Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Alexandra Jane Spencer, The University of Newcastle, Australia

REVIEWED BY Thomas Courant, Vaccine Formulation Institute, Switzerland

*CORRESPONDENCE Carl R. Alving Calving@hivresearch.org

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Vaccines and Molecular Therapeutics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

RECEIVED 19 November 2022 ACCEPTED 05 January 2023 PUBLISHED 25 January 2023

CITATION

Alving CR, Rao M and Matyas GR (2023) Similarities and differences of chemical compositions and physical and functional properties of adjuvant system 01 and army liposome formulation with QS21. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1102524. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1102524

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Alving, Rao and Matyas. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Similarities and differences of chemical compositions and physical and functional properties of adjuvant system 01 and army liposome formulation with QS21

Carl R. Alving*, Mangala Rao and Gary R. Matyas

Laboratory of Adjuvant and Antigen Research, U.S. Military HIV Research Program, Walter Reed Army Institute of Research, Silver Spring, MD, United States

A vaccine adjuvant known as Adjuvant System 01 (AS01) consists of liposomes containing a mixture of natural congeners of monophosphoryl lipid A (MPL®) obtained from bacterial lipopolysaccharide, and a tree saponin known as QS21. Two vaccines containing AS01 as the adjuvant have been licensed, including a malaria vaccine (Mosquirix[®]) approved by World Health. Organization and European Medicines Agency for use in sub-Saharan Africa, and a shingles vaccine (Shingrix®) approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. The success of the ASO1 vaccine adjuvant has led to the development of another liposomal vaccine adjuvant, referred to as Army Liposome Formulation with QS21 (ALFQ). Like AS01, ALFQ consists of liposomes containing monophosphoryl lipid A (as a synthetic molecule known as 3D-PHAD®) and QS21 as adjuvant constituents, and the polar headgroups of the liposomes of AS01 and ALFQ are similar. We compare here AS01 with ALFQ with respect to their similar and different liposomal chemical structures and physical characteristics with a goal of projecting some of the likely mechanisms of safety, side effects, and mechanisms of adjuvanticity. We hypothesize that some of the side effects exhibited in humans after injection of liposome-based vaccines might be caused by free fatty acid and lysophospholipid released by enzymatic attack of liposomal phospholipid by phospholipase A2 at the injection site or systemically after injection.

KEYWORDS

ALFQ, AS01, monophosphoryl lipid A, QS21 (QS-21) saponin, liposomes, phospholipase A 2, vaccine adjuvant

1 Introduction

In 1986, scientists at the Walter Reed Army Institute of Research (WRAIR), together with collaborators, reported that liposomes containing lipid A derived from Gram-negative bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) exhibited potent adjuvant activity for inducing antibodies to a human malaria sporozoite antigen; because of this it was proposed that

liposomes containing lipid A might be developed as the adjuvant element of a malaria vaccine (1). Nine fundamental strategies and advantages of using liposomes as carriers of antigens and adjuvants for vaccines were summarized later by WRAIR scientists (2, 3).

1.1 Adjuvant system 01

Commercial development of a liposomal malaria vaccine, known as RTS,S/AS01 (or Mosquirix[®]), was originally created as part of a collaboration initiated in 1984 between SmithKline Beecham (now known as GlaxoSmithKline, or GSK), and WRAIR (and other collaborators) to develop a vaccine to malaria (4). Inventive activity by GSK subsequently resulted in emergence of a novel liposomal vaccine adjuvant formulation known as AS01, which comprises liposomes containing both several congeners of monophosphoryl lipid A (MPLA) derived from bacterial lipopolysaccharide (MPL[®]) and a saponin fraction (QS21, also known as QS-21) extracted from the bark of the soap bark tree (Quillaja saponaria) (5-7). It should be noted that MPLA is used in this article as an abbreviation for "monophosphoryl lipid A", but as described later, different chemical structures of MPLA compounds are known by trademarked terms, including MPL® (GSK) or 3D-PHAD® (Avanti Polar Lipids). The AS01 formulation is now an integral part of two approved vaccines, a malaria vaccine (Mosquirix[®]) approved by the European Medicines Agency and the World Health Organization, which is directed against Plasmodium falciparum malaria in sub-Saharan Africa (8–10), and a shingles vaccine (Shingrix[®]) licensed by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for commercial use in the U.S., and which is also licensed in other countries. AS01 has also been used as the adjuvant in a phase 2b vaccine trial against tuberculosis (11).

1.2 Army liposome formulation with QS21

During the period from 1986 until now, scientists at WRAIR continued to utilize liposomes containing MPLA, which were referred to as Walter Reed liposomes, but are now known as Army Liposome Formulation (ALF), as an adjuvant platform for different types of vaccines, including malaria, HIV-1, and several types of cancer [reviewed in (12)]. However, the emergence of the innovative and successful creation by GSK of AS01 as a commercial adjuvant platform for multiple vaccines led the WRAIR scientists to explore whether liposomes containing MPLA and QS21, but with bulk liposomal lipid compositions that differed from AS01, could lead to the creation of unique adjuvant formulations. It was reasoned that fundamental differences in ALF-type liposomal lipid composition compared to AS01 might enable the use of liposomes containing MPLA and QS21 by WRAIR as unique adjuvants for military vaccine development.

In 2015, basic research on liposomal membrane structures revealed that dramatic differences in the visibility of membraneassociated cholesterol and MPLA, and even different sizes of liposomes containing both MPLA and QS21, could be achieved by changes in the composition of liposomal bulk phospholipids and cholesterol (13, 14) (Figure 1). The invention and patenting of Army Liposome Formulation with QS21 (ALFQ) (15) has enabled the testing of numerous different completed, ongoing, or projected human trials utilizing ALFQ as the vaccine adjuvant (16). Seven current ongoing or planned vaccine trials containing ALFQ as an adjuvant include two different vaccines to *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria (17–19), two different HIV-1 vaccines (20, 21), a vaccine to traveler's diarrhea caused by *Campylobacter jejuni* (22), a vaccine to SARS-CoV-2 which targets multiple variants to prevent COVID-19 (23), and a phase 1 trial which is planned to test a universal influenza vaccine candidate utilizing unconjugated peptides as antigens (24).

2 Discussion

2.1 Mechanisms of adjuvanticity

Among the many possible mechanisms of adjuvanticity that are common to AS01 and ALFQ, several proposed mechanisms stand out: first, binding of one or more of the ten sugars at the polar regions of the MPLA and QS21 molecules to any of numerous glycan-binding lectin receptors, such as C-type lectins, leading to cellular uptake and various types of intracellular activity (25-28); second, binding of the liposomal MPLA to toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4), possibly serving as a TLR4 agonist with activation of TLR4 on dendritic cells and other cells (29); third, high affinity binding of the triterpene ring of saponins, such as QS21, to cholesterol causes dramatic changes in the nanoarchitecture of liposomes or other particles containing cholesterol, including punching of permeability channels in the cholesterol region and causes creation of unusual shapes that might be viewed as danger (or damage) associated molecular patterns (DAMPs) (13, 14, 30-32); and fourth, QS21 and lipid A each is associated with complex intracellular immunomodulatory effects, including induction of inflammasomes and caspases (33, 34).

With regard to the above theoretical mechanisms, it should be noted that although liposomal MPLA is known to have potent adjuvant activity, and exhibits considerable safety in humans (12, 16, 35-38), it might (or might not) serve as a TLR4 agonist as mentioned above. The precise role of the head-group of liposomal MPLA by itself, as opposed to the hydrophobic region of liposomal MPLA, as a TLR4 agonist is still under investigation (39). In addition, it is not clear how the entire liposomal lipid A molecule could interact directly with the TLR4-MD-2 receptor complex on a target cell because liposomal lipid A is firmly and deeply embedded in the liposomes, and interactions with the TLR4-MD-2 complex presumably would require complicated interactions with the entire lipid A molecule independently of the liposomal membrane (39-41). It has been further reported that intracellular bacterial lipopolysaccharide (which includes lipid A as the major active site) binds to an unknown intracellular receptor other than TLR4, leading to activation of noncanonical caspase-11 (42-44). As with binding and TLR4-MD-2 receptor activation by liposomal lipid A, the possible intracellular recognition of liposomal MPLA, which is deeply embedded in the liposomal membrane, by the intracellular MPLA receptor has not been investigated.

With respect to the roles of the sugars in the hydrophilic polar region of QS21, there are seven different individual sugars (galactose,



two of xylose, glucose, fucose, rhamnose, apiose, and arabinose), and these are individually located at eight different sites on the polar region of the QS21 portion of the framework of both AS01 and ALFQ; and MPLA contributes two additional polar sugars (in the form of a disaccharide consisting of glucosamine connected through a $\beta(1-6)$ linkage to glucosamine-4'-phosphate). These ten carbohydrates constitute a sort of sugar lawn at the surfaces of AS01 or ALFQ particles, and the sugars thus might enable the binding and uptake of the liposomeembedded adjuvants and associated antigens by immune cells, such as macrophages, dendritic cells, and other cells that display sugar-specific mammalian lectins on their surfaces that recognize pathogens as foreign objects (45).

2.2 Differences between the liposomes and liposomal adjuvants in AS01 and ALFQ

Despite the above similarities, the liposomes in AS01 and in ALFQ have dramatically different chemical compositions and physical properties; these differences involve important areas, namely, liposomal phospholipid composition and fatty acyl saturation, total and relative amounts of cholesterol content, and liposome size (16). The bulk phospholipid of AS01 liposomes consists of a net neutral zwitteronic unsaturated phospholipid, dioleoyl phosphatidylcholine (DOPC); in contrast, ALFQ contains two different phospholipids, both of which contain saturated fatty acyl groups: neutral zwitterionic dimyristoyl phosphatidylcholine (DMPC) and anionic dimyristoyl phosphatidylglycerol (DMPG). The cholesterol (CHOL) differences between AS01 and ALFQ depend in part on the injection volume of AS01 when compared to ALFQ, but the total CHOL content of ALFQ is at least >20-fold higher by weight when compared to AS01, and the mole percent ratios of liposomal CHOL to phospholipid are greatly different, 33.7% for AS01 and 55% for ALFQ (16). With respect to size, the median diameter of AS01 liposomal particles is uniformly approximately 100 nm (46). In contrast, addition of QS21 to nano-sized ALF55 liposomes containing 55% CHOL to form ALFQ results in a remarkable liposomal fusion event, leading to a polydisperse final size distribution of unilamellar liposomes ranging from approximately 50 nm to as large as 30,000 nm (14). The fate of AS01 particles after intramuscular injection in mice has been reported previously (47), and studies on the effects of particle size on tissue distribution of polydisperse ALFQ vs. AS01-like nanoparticles containing 3D-PHAD[®] instead of MPL[®] (32) are planned in animal models. Effects, if any, of the size of ALFQ on vaccine safety have not yet been directly determined, but results from the seven ongoing or planned clinical vaccine trials with ALFQ described earlier might provide some guidance in the future.

Another notable difference between AS01 and ALFQ lies in the chemical structures of the MPLA adjuvants. MPL®, which is obtained by GSK by extraction of multiple congeners of MPLA from Salmonella minnesota R595 lipopolysaccharide, contains hexa-acylated, and penta-acylated MPLA (each of which can serve as a TLR4 agonist based on human monocyte model cell culture studies), and also tetra-acylated MPLAs (which can serve as a TLR4 antagonist in human model cell cultures) and triacylated MPLAs (which can serve as a TLR4 antagonist in human model cell culture) (48). In contrast, ALFQ contains 3D-PHAD[®] (from Avanti Polar Lipids), which is a pure pentaacylated synthetic lipid A (which can serve as a TLR4 agonist in human model cell culture) (47). Although the disaccharide polar headgroups are identical in $\text{MPL}^{\textcircled{R}}$ and 3D-PHAD R , the above authors note that the presence of both TLR4 agonists and antagonists that exist in the hydrophobic regions of the multiple MPLA congeners in MPL[®] differentiate it from 3D-PHAD[®] (48). The later paper further suggested, based on human model cell culture studies, that differences in the hydrophobic regions of MPLA might explain differences of immunostimulatory or inflammatory activities of different forms of liposomal MPLA after intramuscular injection as vaccine adjuvants in humans.

From all of this, it is clear that the physical and chemical characteristics of the hydrophobic regions of AS01 and ALFQ are quite different, with regard both to the bulk liposomal lipids and to the chemical structures of the hydrophobic regions of MPLA. Here we propose some ways in which differences in the liposomal phospholipid and cholesterol compositions might influence functional characteristics of AS01 and ALFQ as vaccine adjuvants.

2.3 Potential differential susceptibility of AS01 and ALFQ to attack by phospholipase A₂

There are many different groups and types of phospholipase A2 (PLA₂), including secreted PLA₂, cytosolic PLA₂, calciumindependent PLA2, lipoprotein-associated PLA2, lysosomal PLA2, and adipose PLA₂. Despite the diversity, all types of PLA₂ enzymatically remove the sn-2 fatty acyl chain of the phospholipid, resulting in generation of free fatty acid (FFA) and lysophospholipid (lysoPL) (49, 50). Among the many types of PLA₂ described in mammalian tissues, some may cause release of saturated as well as unsaturated fatty acids. However, liposomes that contain unsaturated phospholipids (such as in AS01) have less tightly arranged fatty acyl chains than saturated chains (such as in ALFQ) because of kinks in the chains at the double bonds; these discontinuities diminish the ability to form strong van der Waals bonds which weaken exponentially with the distance between the chains (51). Liposomes having greater phospholipid fatty acyl fluidity due to unsaturated fatty acyl chains may have greater susceptibility to enzymatic attack by PLA₂. However, addition of cholesterol in the liposomal bilayer can increase the stability of the liposomes and might harden the particles against PLA₂ attack (52). As an example, inclusion of 35 mol% cholesterol in liposomes containing DMPC (the phospholipid in ALFQ), or with other similar saturated phospholipids, completely inhibited enzymatic attack by pancreatic PLA₂ (53). In addition, liposomes containing cholesterol together with unsaturated plant phospholipids were more susceptible to tumor cell-associated PLA₂ attack than were liposomes having saturated plant phospholipids, and liposomes containing purified FFA or lysoPL exhibited direct cytotoxic activities against cultured human tumor cells or normal cells (54-57). Lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) is recognized as a DAMP (58), and interestingly, with macrophages from mice injected with LPS (TLR primed macrophages), but not with non-TLR primed macrophages, it was reported that LPC promoted inflammatory cytokines and cytotoxicity that were dependent on the release of extracellular ATP (another DAMP) from the cells (59).

From a practical standpoint, one theoretical effect of using liposomes containing unsaturated phospholipids, such as in AS01, when compared to liposomes containing saturated DMPC phospholipids, such as in ALFQ, might be that AS01 is more susceptible to attack and degradation by certain types of PLA₂ than ALFQ (Figure 2). Injection of liposomes containing a substrate for attack by PLA₂ might result in local cytotoxic effects due to local accumulation of FFA and lysoPL, and it seems likely that this could lead to local inflammatory reactions at the site of injections. Although, the actual existence and degree of such reactions, if they occur, can

2.4 Effects of AS01 and ALFQ as constituents of human vaccines

Although AS01 has been utilized as an adjuvant constituent in numerous human clinical trials, it is most noted for achieving regulatory approval as a constituent in a shingles vaccine (Shingrix[®]) in adults, and a P. falciparum malaria vaccine (Mosquirix[®]) in infants. As previously mentioned, ALFQ is being tested as an adjuvant in seven phase 1 trials, but there are no direct studies underway to compare the potency of AS01 vs. ALFQ as an adjuvant constituent in a vaccine containing the same antigen. As noted earlier, although AS01 and ALFQ both comprised liposomes containing QS21 and different types of MPLA compounds as adjuvants, it has been suggested that a mixture of natural congeners serving either as TLR4 agonists or antagonists in MPL[®], as opposed to synthetic PHAD[®] serving only as a TLR4 agonist, might reflect some differences of adjuvanticity and safety aspects of AS01 when compared to ALFQ (48). However, in view of the previously mentioned differences in the chemistry and structure of the bulk phospholipids of liposomes between AS01 and ALFQ, it seems reasonable also to speculate on the possibility of certain safety and reactogenicity aspects related to liposomal bilayer membrane fluidity and nanoarchitecture that might be observed in humans.

2.5 Predicted side effects in humans after intramuscular injection of vaccines containing AS01 or ALFQ

As most of us know, intramuscular injection can sometimes be uncomfortable. Indeed, in one double-blinded study, among 1312 individuals aged 50-59 who were injected intramuscularly with a placebo consisting of 0.5 ml of saline, 14% experienced pain, 15% myalgia, 20% fatigue, 22% headache, 7% shivering, 3% fever, and 11% gastrointestinal symptoms within 1-7 days of the injection (61). However, certain individuals who are injected with a vaccine containing a recombinant protein antigen and AS01 as an adjuvant instead of saline alone, sometimes experience more discomfort. In the above double-blinded study, a separate parallel group of 1315 individuals among those in the same age range who were injected with a vaccine to shingles containing a recombinant protein and AS01 adjuvant (Shingrix[®], GSK), 88% experienced pain, 57% myalgia, 57% fatigue, 51% headache, 36% shivering, 28% fever, and 24% gastrointestinal symptoms (61). After a phase 1 trial with another antigen (HBsAg) adjuvanted with AS01, increased reactogenicity also occurred when compared to placebo injection, especially after two vaccine injections, and it was concluded that "Adjuvants like AS01B increase the immunogenicity of vaccines and generally cause increased transient reactogenicity compared with Alum." (62). In the latter study, it was further speculated that "...similar innate immune signals may underlie adjuvant reactogenicity and immunogenicity." It occurs to us that it seems unlikely that strongly increased reactogenicity is necessarily required in order for



a vaccine to display strongly increased potency. For example, in a phase 1 vaccine trial comparing seven different adjuvants, each vaccine utilizing a recombinant gp120 envelope antigen, alumadsorbed ALF-type liposomes containing MPLA evoked no greater local or systemic toxicity than alum alone (37), but induced much stronger immune responses to the gp120 antigen than with alum alone (38).

In the first-in-human trial utilizing ALFQ as an adjuvant (FMP013/ALFQ) in ten adult subjects, the antigen consisted of a soluble circumsporozoite recombinant malaria protein that was adjuvanted with ALFQ (17, 18). Two different vaccine doses were used: five subjects were given a low injection dose of 0.5 ml having 20 µg of antigen and ALFQ containing 100 µg of MPLA and 50 µg of QS21; and five subjects were given a higher injection dose of 1.0 ml with 40 µg of antigen, 200 µg of MPLA, and 100 µg of QS21. The low dose side effects were quite mild: the most severe effect was moderate redness at injection site and headache in one individual at one visit. The high dose was slightly more reactogenic, but all observed events were graded mild or moderate and there were no severe adverse events. The RTS,S recombinant protein antigen in the Mosquirix® (GSK) malaria vaccine also contains circumsporozoite repeat sequence epitopes that were present in the FMP013/ALFQ vaccine, and it was further concluded in the FMP013/ALFQ study: "Both groups [low and high dose] exhibited robust humoral and cellular immunological responses, and compared favorably with historical responses reported for RTS,S/AS01" (17). In other words, the FMP013/ALFQ vaccine might have immunogenicity against malaria that is similar or greater than that exhibited by RTS,S/AS01 malaria vaccine in clinical studies in adults.

It should be noted that when AS01 is used for the shingles vaccine (Shingrix[®]), a single 0.5 ml dose contains 50 µg of MPLA and 50 µg of QS21. However, because of the low degree of reactogenicity of ALFQ found in the FMP013/ALFQ study, higher levels of MPLA (200 µg) and QS21 (100 µg) are currently being employed for certain other vaccines, and we anticipate that this higher dose of adjuvant might enable higher immunogenicity of the vaccine without causing increased side effects.

In summary, based on the above physical and chemical similarities and differences between the liposomes, we conclude that AS01 and ALFQ probably have similar adjuvant potency. However, we also hypothesize that ALFQ liposomes are more rigid due to stronger van der Waals forces between the fatty acyl chains in the hydrophobic region of the lipid bilayer, and are thus less permeable than AS01 liposomes. With ALFQ this might restrict access to the phospholipid fatty acyl chains by PLA₂, leading to decreased release of toxic FFA and lysoPL; and it also might result in less visibility of fatty acyl chains that might promote toxic inflammatory activity in ALFQ. Overall, we predict that the initial low reactogenicity observed with the FMP013/ALFQ vaccine will also be observed with other future vaccines containing ALFQ.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Author contributions

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct, and intellectual contribution to the work and approved it for publication.

Funding

This work was supported by a cooperative agreement (W81XWH-18-2-0040) between the Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc., and the U.S. Department of Defense. The views expressed are those of the authors and should not be construed to represent the positions of the U.S. Army, the Department of Defense, or the Henry M. Jackson Foundation.

Conflict of interest

CA is an inventor on U.S. and International patents for ALFQ. The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be constructed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

References

1. Alving CR, Richards RL, Moss J, Alving LI, Clements JD, Shiba T, et al. Effectiveness of liposomes as potential carriers of vaccines: Applications to cholera toxin and human malaria sporozoite antigen. *Vaccine* (1986) 4(3):166–72. doi: 10.1016/0264-410x(86) 90005-8

2. Alving CR. Liposomes as carriers for vaccines. In: Ostro M, editor. *Liposomes: From biophysics to therapeutics*. New York: Marcel Dekker (1987). p. 195–218.

3. Alving CR, Richards RL. Liposomes containing lipid a: A potent nontoxic adjuvant for a human malaria sporozoite vaccine. *Immunol Lett* (1990) 25(1-3):275–9. doi: 10.1016/0165-2478(90)90127-c

4. Laurens MB. RTS,S/AS01 vaccine (MosquirixTM): An overview. Hum Vaccin Immunother (2020) 16(3):480–9. doi: 10.1080/21645515.2019.1669415

5. Garcon NM, Friede M. Vaccines containing a saponin and a sterol. international application number: PCT/EP96/01464. World Intellectual Property Organization International Publication Number WO 96/33739 (1996). Available at: https://patentscope.wipo.int/search/en/detail.jsf?docId=WO1996033739.

6. Vandepapeliere P. Vaccine compositions comprising a saponin adjuvant. United States patent 10143745 (2018). GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals, S.ARixensart (BE).

7. Vandepapeliere P. Vaccine compositions comprising a saponin adjuvant. United States patent 10039823 (2018). GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals, S.ARixensart (BE).

8. EMA. Mosquirix: Opinion on medicine for use outside EU (2015). Available at: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/opinion-medicine-use-outside-EU/human/mosquirixopiniondetails-section (Accessed September 19, 2022).

9. GSK. Fact sheet: RTS,S malaria vaccine candidate (Mosquirix[™]) (2016). Available at: https://www.malariavaccine.org/files/content/page/files/RTSS%20vaccine% 20candidate%20Factsheet_FINAL.pdf (Accessed September 19, 2022).

10. GSK. WHO grants prequalification to GSK's mosquirix – the first and only approved malaria vaccine (2022). Available at: https://www.gsk.com/en-gb/media/press-releases/who-grants-prequalificationto-gsk-s-mosquirix-the-first-and-only-approved-malaria-vaccine/ (Accessed September 19, 2022).

11. Tait DR, Hatherill M, van der Meeren O, Ginsberg AM, Van Brakel E, Salaun B, et al. Final analysis of a trial of M72/AS01E vaccine to prevent tuberculosis. *N Engl J Med* (2019) 381(25):2429–39. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1909953

12. Alving CR, Rao M, Steers NJ, Matyas GR, Mayorov AV. Liposomes containing lipid A: An effective, safe, generic adjuvant system for synthetic vaccines. *Expert Rev Vaccines* (2012) 11(6):733-44. doi: 10.1586/erv.12.35

13. Beck Z, Matyas GR, Alving CR. Detection of liposomal cholesterol and monophosphoryl lipid a by QS-21 saponin and limulus polyphemus amebocyte lysate. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (2015) 1848(3):775–80. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2014.12.005

14. Beck Z, Matyas GR, Jalah R, Rao M, Polonis VR, Alving CR. Differential immune responses to HIV-1 envelope protein induced by liposomal adjuvant formulations containing monophosphoryl lipid a with or without QS21. *Vaccine* (2015) 33 (42):5578–87. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.09.001

15. Alving CR, Beck Z. inventors; The Government of the United States as Represented by the Secretary of the Army, assignee. Non-toxic Adjuvant Formulation Comprising a Monophosphoryl Lipid A (MPLA)-Containing Liposome Composition and a Saponin, United States patent 10434167 (2019).

16. Alving CR, Peachman KK, Matyas GR, Rao M, Beck Z. Army liposome formulation (ALF) family of vaccine adjuvants. *Expert Rev Vaccines* (2020) 19(3):279–92. doi: 10.1080/14760584.2020.1745636

17. Hutter JN, Robben PM, Lee C, Hamer M, Moon JE, Merino K, et al. First-inhuman assessment of safety and immunogenicity of low and high doses of plasmodium falciparum malaria protein 013 (FMP013) administered intramuscularly with ALFQ adjuvant in healthy malaria-naïve adults. *Vaccine* (2022) 40(40):5781–90. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2022.08.048

18. ClinicalTrials.gov. A trial for the study of falciparum malaria protein 013 administered via intramuscular injection, in: *Healthy adults* (2020). Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04268420 (Accessed October 8, 2022).

19. ClinicalTrials.gov. Phase 1 clinical trial with controlled human malaria infection (CHMI) for safety, protective efficacy, and immunogenicity of plasmodium falciparum malaria protein (FMP014) administered intramuscularly with ALFQ healthy malarianaïve adults (2020). Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04296279?term= alfq&draw=2&rank=3 (Accessed October 8, 2022).

20. ClinicalTrials.gov. *HIV Vaccine in HIV-uninfected adults* (2020). Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04658667?term=alfq&draw=2&rank=6 (Accessed October 8, 2022).

21. ClinicalTrials.gov. A phase 1 randomized study to evaluate the safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of ranging doses of ALFQ adjuvant in a candidate HIV vaccine containing A244 and B.65321 (2022). Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05423418?term=alfq&cond=hiv-1&draw=2&rank=1 (Accessed October 8, 2022).

22. ClinicalTrials.gov. First-in-Human safety and immunogenicity evaluation of an intramuscular campylobacter jejuni conjugate vaccine (CJCV2) with and without army liposome formulation containing QS-21 (ALFQ) (2022). Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05500417?term=alfq&cond=Campylobacter+Jejuni+Infection&draw=2&rank=1 (Accessed October 8, 2022).

23. ClinicalTrials.gov. A PHASE 1, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study to evaluate the safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of ranging doses of SARS-COV-2-Spike-Ferritin-Nanoparticle (SPFN_1B-06-PL) vaccine with army liposomal formulation QS21 (ALFQ) for prevention of COVID-19 in healthy adults (2021). Available at: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04784767 (Accessed October 8, 2022).

24. Sei CJ, Rao M, Schuman RF, Daum LT, Matyas GR, Rikhi N, et al. Conserved influenza hemagglutinin, neuraminidase and matrix peptides adjuvanted with ALFQ induce broadly neutralizing antibodies. *Vaccines (Basel)* (2021) 9(7):698. doi: 10.3390/vaccines9070698

25. Marciani DJ. New Th2 adjuvants for preventive and active immunotherapy of neurodegenerative proteinopathies. *Drug Discovery Today* (2014) 19(7):912–20. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2014.02.015

26. Marciani DJ. Is fucose the answer to the immunomodulatory paradox of quillaja saponins? *Int Immunopharmacol* (2015) 29(2):908-13. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2015.10.028

27. Marciani DJ. Elucidating the mechanisms of action of saponin-derived adjuvants. Trends Pharmacol Sci (2018) 39(6):573-85. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2018.03.005

28. Lacaille-Dubois MA. Updated insights into the mechanism of action and clinical profile of the immunoadjuvant QS-21: A review. *Phytomedicine* (2019) 60:152905. doi: 10.1016/j.phymed.2019.152905

29. Casella CR, Mitchell TC. Putting endotoxin to work for us: Monophosphoryl lipid a as a safe and effective vaccine adjuvant. *Cell Mol Life Sci* (2008) 65(20):3231-40. doi: 10.1007/s00018-008-8228-6

30. Bangham AD, Horne RW, Glauert AM, Dingle JT, Lucy JA. Action of saponin on biological cell membranes. *Nature* (1962) 196:952–5. doi: 10.1038/196952a0

31. Paepenmüller T, Müller-Goymann CC. Influence of quil a on liposomal membranes. Int J Pharm (2014) 475(1-2):138-46. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpharm.2014.08.007

32. Singh P, Beck Z, Matyas GR, Alving CR. Saturated phospholipids are required for nano- to micron-size transformation of cholesterol-containing liposomes upon QS21 addition. *J Liposome Res* (2019) 29(3):247–50. doi: 10.1080/08982104.2018.1538239

33. Lamkanfi M, Dixit VM. Mechanisms and functions of inflammasomes. *Cell* (2014) 157(5):1013–22. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.04.007

34. Marty-Roix R, Vladimer GI, Pouliot K, Weng D, Buglione-Corbett R, West K, et al. Identification of QS-21 as an inflammasome-activating molecular component of saponin adjuvants. *J Biol Chem* (2016) 291(3):1123–36. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M115.683011

35. Fries LF, Gordon DM, Richards RL, Egan JE, Hollingdale MR, Gross M, et al. Liposomal malaria vaccine in humans: a safe and potent adjuvant strategy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A.* (1992) 89(1):358–62. doi: 10.1073/pnas.89.1.358

36. Heppner DG, Gordon DM, Gross M, Wellde B, Leitner W, Krzych U, et al. Safety, immunogenicity, and efficacy of plasmodium falciparum repeatless circumsporozoite protein vaccine encapsulated in liposomes. *J Infect Dis* (1996) 174(2):361–6. doi: 10.1093/infdis/174.2.361

37. McElrath MJ. Selection of potent immunological adjuvants for vaccine construction. Semin Cancer Biol (1995) 6(6):375-85. doi: 10.1016/1044-579x(95)90007-1

38. Rao M, Onkar S, Peachman KK, White Y, Trinh HV, Jobe O, et al. Liposomeencapsulated human immunodeficiency virus-1 gp120 induces potent V1V2-specific antibodies in humans. J Infect Dis (2018) 218(10):1541–50. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiy348

39. Cochet F, Peri F. The role of carbohydrates in the lipopolysaccharide (LPS)/Tolllike receptor 4 (TLR4) signalling. *Int J Mol Sci* (2017) 18(11):2318. doi: 10.3390/ ijms18112318

40. Poltorak A, Ricciardi-Castagnoli P, Citterio S, Beutler B. Physical contact between lipopolysaccharide and toll-like receptor 4 revealed by genetic complementation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. (2000) 97(5):2163–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.040565397

41. Maeshima N, Fernandez RC. Recognition of lipid A variants by the TLR4-MD-2 receptor complex. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* (2013) 3:3. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2013.00003

42. Hagar JA, Powell DA, Aachoui Y, Ernst RK, Miao EA. Cytoplasmic LPS activates caspase-11:implications in TLR4-independent endotoxic shock. *Science* (2013) 341 (6151):1250–3. doi: 10.1126/science.1240988

43. Kayagaki N, Wong MT, Stowe IB, Ramani SR, Gonzalez LC, Akashi-Takamura S, et al. Noncanonical inflammasome activation by intracellular LPS independent of TLR4. *Science* (2013) 341(6151):1246–9. doi: 10.1126/science.1240248

44. Yang J, Zhao Y, Shao F. Non-canonical activation of inflammatory caspases by cytosolic LPS in innate immunity. *Curr Opin Immunol* (2015) 32:78–83. doi: 10.1016/ j.coi.2015.01.007

45. Manabe N, Yamaguchi Y. 3D structural view of pathogen recognition by mammalian lectin receptors. *Front Mol Biosci* (2021) 8:670780. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2021.670780

46. Jin J, Tarrant RD, Bolam EJ, Angell-Manning P, Soegaard M, Pattinson DJ, et al. Production, quality control, stability, and potency of cGMP-produced plasmodium falciparum RH5.1 protein vaccine expressed in drosophila S2 cells. *NPJ Vaccines* (2018) 3:32. doi: 10.1038/s41541-018-0071-7

47. Didierlaurent AM, Collignon C, Bourguignon P, Wouters S, Fierens K, Fochesato M, et al. Enhancement of adaptive immunity by the human vaccine adjuvant AS01 depends on activated dendritic cells. *J Immunol* (2014) 193(4):1920–30. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.1400948

48. Wang YQ, Bazin-Lee H, Evans JT, Casella CR, Mitchell TC. MPL adjuvant contains competitive antagonists of human TLR4. *Front Immunol* (2020) 11:577823. doi: 10.3389/fmmu.2020.577823

49. Vasquez AM, Mouchlis VD, Dennis EA. Review of four major distinct types of human phospholipase A₂. *Adv Biol Regul* (2018) 67:212-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jbior.2017.10.009

50. Dennis EA. Allosteric regulation by membranes and hydrophobic subsites in phospholipase A2 enzymes determine their substrate specificity. *J Biol Chem* (2022) 298 (5):101873. doi: 10.1016/j.jbc.2022.101873

51. Seu KJ, Cambrea LR, Everly RM, Hovis JS. Influence of lipid chemistry on membrane fluidity:tail and headgroup interactions. Biophys J (2006) 91(10):3727–35. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.106.084590

52. Nakhaei P, Margiana R, Bokov DO, Abdelbasset WK, Jadidi Kouhbanani MA, Varma RS, et al. Liposomes: Structure, biomedical applications, and stability parameters with emphasis on cholesterol. *Front Bioeng Biotechnol* (2021) 9:705886. doi: 10.3389/fbioe.2021.705886

53. Op den Kamp JA, Kauerz MT, van Deenen LL. Action of pancreatic phospholipase A2 on phosphatidylcholine bilayers in different physical states. *Biochim Biophys Acta* (1975) 406(2):169–77. doi: 10.1016/0005-2736(75)90001-2

54. Jett M, Chudzik J, Alving CR, Stanacev NZ. Metabolic fate of liposomal phosphatidylinositol in murine tumor cells: implications for the mechanism of tumor cell cytotoxicity. *Cancer Res* (1985) 45(10):4810–5.

55. Jett M, Alving CR. Tumoricidal effects of liposomes containing phosphatidylinositol or phosphatidylcholine. *Methods Enzymol* (1987) 141:459-66. doi: 10.1016/00766879(87)41092-6

56. Kigoshi S, Ito R. High levels of free fatty acids in lymphoid cells, with special reference to their cytotoxicity. *Experientia* (1973) 29(11):1408–10. doi: 10.1007/BF01922845

57. Jung S, Lee S, Lee H, Yoon J, Lee EK. Oleic acid-embedded nanoliposome as a selective tumoricidal agent. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces* (2016) 146:585–9. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2016.06.058

58. Shao Y, Nanayakkara G, Cheng J, Cueto R, Yang WY, Park JY, et al. Lysophospholipids and their receptors serve as conditional DAMPs and DAMP receptors in tissue oxidative and inflammatory injury. *Antioxid Redox Signal* (2018) 28 (10):973–86. doi: 10.1089/ars.2017.7069

59. Ismaeel S, Qadri A. ATP release drives inflammation with lysophosphatidylcholine. *Immunohorizons* (2021) 5(4):219-33. doi: 10.4049/immunohorizons.2100023

60. Batsika CS, Gerogiannopoulou AD, Mantzourani C, Vasilakaki S, Kokotos G. The design and discovery of phospholipase A2 inhibitors for the treatment of inflammatory diseases. *Expert Opin Drug Discovery* (2021) 16(11):1287-305. doi: 10.1080/17460441.2021.1942835

61. GSK. FDA Package insert for shingrix (2021). Available at: https://www.fda.gov/media/108597/download (Accessed October 11, 2022).

62. Burny W, Marchant A, Hervé C, Callegaro A, Caubet M, Fissette L, et al. Inflammatory parameters associated with systemic reactogenicity following vaccination with adjuvanted hepatitis b vaccines in humans. *Vaccine* (2019) 37(14):2004–15. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.02.015