Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Marcus Thelen, Institute for Research in Biomedicine (IRB), Switzerland

REVIEWED BY

Leticia Gomes De Pontes, University of São Paulo, Brazil Ana Thereza Chaves, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil

*CORRESPONDENCE

Lucia Alves de Oliveira Fraga Luciaalvesfraga@yahoo.com.br; Luciaalves.fraga@ufjf.edu.br Pedro Henrique Ferreira Marçal phfmarcal@gmail.com; pedro.marcal@univale.br

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

⁺These authors have contributed equally to this work and share senior authorship

RECEIVED 22 December 2022 ACCEPTED 20 March 2023 PUBLISHED 28 April 2023

CITATION

Cunha EHM, Marçal PHF, Gama RS, de Oliveira LBP, Pinheiro RO, Sarno EN, Brito-de-Sousa JP, de Souza MLM, Fairley JK, Valente TNS, Velloso-Rodrigues C, Martins-Filho OA, de Oliveira DR and Fraga LAO (2023) Interplay among differential exposure to *Mycobacterium leprae* and TLR4 polymorphism impacts the immune response in household contacts of leprosy patients. *Front. Immunol.* 14:1130137. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2023.1130137

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Cunha, Marçal, Gama, de Oliveira, Pinheiro, Sarno, Brito-de-Sousa, de Souza, Fairley, Valente, Velloso-Rodrigues, Martins-Filho, de Oliveira and Fraga. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Interplay among differential exposure to *Mycobacterium leprae* and TLR4 polymorphism impacts the immune response in household contacts of leprosy patients

Eloisa Helena Medeiros Cunha^{1,2†},

Pedro Henrique Ferreira Marçal^{1,2,3*†}, Rafael Silva Gama², Lorena Bruna Pereira de Oliveira², Roberta Olmo Pinheiro⁴, Euzenir Nunes Sarno⁴, Joaquim Pedro Brito-de-Sousa⁵, Márcio Luís Moreira de Souza¹, Jessica Kathleen Fairley³, Thaisa Netto Souza Valente¹, Cibele Velloso-Rodrigues¹, Olindo Assis Martins-Filho^{5†}, Dirce Ribeiro de Oliveira^{1†} and Lucia Alves de Oliveira Fraga^{1*†}

¹Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora, Governador Valadares, MG, Brazil, ²Universidade Vale do Rio Doce – Univale, Department of Health Sciences, Governador Valadares, MG, Brazil, ³Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, United States, ⁴Laboratório de Hanseníase, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz – FIOCRUZ-RJ, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil, ⁵Grupo Integrado de Pesquisas em Biomarcadores, Instituto René Rachou, FIOCRUZ-Minas, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

Introduction: The aim of the present study was to investigate the association between the single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs1927914 A/G in *TLR4* gene and the immunological profile of household contacts (HHC) of leprosy patients. Leprosy classification is usually complex and requires the assessment of several clinical and laboratorial features.

Methods: Herein, we have applied distinct models of descriptive analysis to explore qualitative/quantitative changes in chemokine and cytokine production in HHC further categorized according to operational classification [HHC(PB) and HHC(MB)] and according to *TLR4*SNP.

Results and discussion: Our results showed that *M. leprae* stimuli induced an outstanding production of chemokines (CXCL8;CCL2; CXCL9; CXCL10) by HHC (PB), while increase levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6; TNF; IFN- γ ; IL-17) were observed for HHC(MB). Moreover, the analysis of chemokine and cytokine signatures demonstrated that A allele was associated with a prominent soluble mediator secretion (CXCL8; CXCL9; IL-6; TNF; IFN- γ). Data analysis according to *TLR4* SNP genotypes further demonstrated that AA and AG were associated with a more prominent secretion of soluble mediators as compared to GG, supporting the clustering of AA and AG genotypes into dominant genetic model. CXCL8, IL-6, TNF and IL-17 displayed distinct profiles in HHC(PB) vs HHC(MB) or AA+AG vs GG genotype. In general, chemokine/cytokine networks analysis showed an

overall profile of AA+GA-selective (CXCL9–CXCL10) and GG-selective (CXCL10–IL-6) axis regardless of the operational classification. However, mirrored inverted CCL2–IL-10 axis and a (IFN- γ –IL-2)-selective axis were identified in HHC(MB). CXCL8 presented outstanding performance to classify AA+AG from GG genotypes and HHC(PB) from HHC(MB). TNF and IL-17 presented elevated accuracy to classify AA+AG from GG genotypes and HHC (PB) (low levels) from HHC(MB) (high levels), respectively. Our results highlighted that both factors: i) differential exposure to *M. leprae* and ii) *TLR4* rs1927914 genetic background impact the immune response of HHC. Our main results reinforce the relevance of integrated studies of immunological and genetic biomarkers that may have implications to improve the classification and monitoring of HHC in future studies.

KEYWORDS

leprosy, chemokines and cytokines, immunological factors, genetic factors, TLR4 gene

Introduction

Leprosy is a chronic granulomatous disease caused by Mycobacterium leprae infection that affects the skin, peripheral nerves and nasal mucosa presenting a wide range of clinical manifestations (1). Considering the broad spectrum of clinical manifestations, leprosy classification is usually complex and requires the assessment of clinical, histopathological, microbiological, and immunological features as originally proposed by Ridley and Jopling (2). Classically, distinct patterns of immune response have been associated with dichotomous clinical manifestations (3). While patients with few skin lesions and low/absent bacillary index displayed a preserved cellular immune response, mediated by a strong IFN-y production, patients multiple skin lesions and high bacillary load exhibited an impaired or absent cellular responses along with enhanced antibody (4). In the late 1990s, the World Health Organization (WHO) proposed a simplified operational classification system, considering the number of cutaneous lesions. According to this operational classification system, patients presenting up to five skin lesions are classified as paucibacillary (PB) while patients with more than five lesions are considered multibacillary (MB) (5).

The accurate classification of PB and MB leprosy patients is relevant to support the implementation of proper multidrug therapy and plays a key role for the success of leprosy control programs. Currently, the diagnosis of leprosy is achieved essentially by clinical evaluation. Although skin smears and histopathological tests can contribute to leprosy diagnosis, these complementary methods are usually available only in specialized reference laboratories (6). In this sense, the use of integrated platforms and algorithms to increase the sensitivity and specificity of leprosy patient classification is considered a relevant strategy to improve the leprosy diagnosis (7, 8).

Previous studies from our group have demonstrated the applicability of using immunological and genetic biomarkers associated with artificial intelligence approaches to help the classification leprosy patients as well as to monitor their household contacts (9-16). As the household contacts of MB leprosy patients presented 5 to 10 times greater risk of developing the disease as compared to the general population, a persistent and continuous follow-up of household contacts represent a relevant strategy to achieve an early diagnosis of subclinical infections (17, 18). In order to define an accurate diagnosis of subclinical infection, the introduction of innovative methodologies is useful to identify novel biomarkers with putative clinical applications. In this context, an algorithm design for a cytokine release assay of antigen-specific in vitro stimuli of circulating leukocytes has been proposed to classify leprosy patients and household contacts, demonstrating that immunological methods are promising tools to complement the clinical diagnosis of subclinical leprosy and ultimately contributing to effective control of the disease (16). Moreover, the use of molecular methods applied to identify single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) of Toll-like receptors (TLRs), particularly TLR1, TLR2 and TLR4, have been reported to be associated with distinct leprosy clinical manifestations (19-23. The relationship between TLRs SNPs and the susceptibility to leprosy as well as the immunological profile of leprosy patients has been already investigated. It has been shown that while TLR2 SNP was associated with increased risk for leprosy, TLR1 and TLR4 SNP were associated with differential production with chemokine and cytokines (24).

The present study intended to investigate the association between TLR4 SNP and the immunological profile of household contacts (HHC) of leprosy patients. For this purpose, chemokines and cytokines were measured in the supernatant of *in vitro* cultured peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) from HHC categorized based on operational classification (PB and MB) and the qualitative and/or quantitative changes in their levels evaluated according to *TLR4* rs1927914 polymorphism.

Patients, material and methods

Study population

This is a cross-sectional investigation carried out in Governador Valadares, Minas Gerais State, Brazil, a hyperendemic area for leprosy (1.9 cases/10,000 inhabitants) (5). A total of 37 household contacts of leprosy patients (26 females and 11 males), age ranging from 5 to 74 years old were included as a convenience sampling using a non-probabilistic approach. The household contacts of leprosy patients were further categorized into two subgroups, based on operational classification according to World Health Organization, as follows: household contacts of paucibacillary patients [HHC(PB), n=10, 8 females and 2 males, age ranging from 7 to 61 years old] and multibacillary patients [HHC(MB), n=27, 18 females and 9 males, age ranging from 5 to 74 years old]. The Table 1 provide major sociodemographic features and clinical laboratorial records of the study population.

The inclusion of participants was based on convenience sampling using a non-probabilistic approach. There was no pattern in selecting the participants. The household contacts invited to participate in the present investigation, comprised leprosy patient companions contacted at the outpatient unit Reference Center for Endemic Diseases and Special Programs (CREDEN-PES) at the Department of Public Health of Governador Valadares municipality, MG, Brazil.

The study was submitted and approved by the Ethics Committee from Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora – UFJF (Research Protocol CAAE #56863016.6.1001.5147). All participants have read and signed the informed consent form before the inclusion in the study.

Whole blood sampling and PBMC culture *in vitro*

Heparinized whole blood samples (10mL) were collected from each participant and used to obtain peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) for chemokine and cytokine quantification in supernatant from in vitro cultures. PBMC were isolated by Ficoll Hypaque cushion gradient, washed twice and resuspended in RPMI-1640, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 2mM Lglutamine, penicillin (100U/mL) and streptomycin (100µg/mL). Cells (2x10⁵/well) were cultured in duplicates on flat-bottom 96well plates, at 37°C, 5% of CO2 in humidified incubator. Parallel batches of cultures were carried out in the absence of exogenous stimuli (unstimulated culture) and in the presence of 10 irradiationkilled M. leprae bacilli/cell (M. leprae-stimulated culture). The M. leprae bacilli were kindly provided by the Leprosy Laboratory at FIOCRUZ-RJ and Instituto Lauro de Souza Lima - ILSL/SP, obtained as previously described by 25. Culture supernatants were harvested at 5 days for chemokine and cytokine quantification.

Chemokine and cytokine quantification by cytometric beads array

Quantitative analysis of chemokines and cytokines was performed by Cytometric Bead Array (Human Chemokine Kit for CXCL8, CCL2, CXCL9, CCL5 and CXCL10; Human Cytokine Flex Set kit for IL-6, TNF, IFN- γ , IL-17, IL-4, IL-10 and IL-2) purchased from BD Bioscience, Pharmingen, (San Diego, CA, USA) as recommended by the manufacturer. A total of 400 events/chemokine or cytokine-specific beads were acquired on a FACSVerse^{*rmTM*} Bioanalyzer (BD Bioscience, San Jose, CA, USA). Data analysis was performed using the FCAP Array multiplex assay analysis software (Soft Flow, Inc., St. Louis Park, MN, United States).

TLR4 genotyping

The Illustra[®] blood genomic Prep Mini Spin Kit (GE Healthcare) was used to extract DNA from the 250 μ L of whole blood samples from a subgroup of household contacts of leprosy patients [HHC(PB), n=10 and HHC(MB), n=23] and genotyping of SNP rs1927914 A/G in *TLR4* gene by the allelic discrimination using a validated predesigned Taqman[®] quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR) from Life Technologies[®] (Thermo Fisher, Inc). The assays were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions and employed the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR (Applied Biosystems[®]) system platform and the ABI software v 2.0.6 for data analysis.

Statistical analysis

Conventional statistical analysis of chemokines and cytokines were carried out using the Prism GraphPad software (version 8.01, San Diego, California, USA). Student t or Mann-Whitney tests were employed for pairwise comparisons between HHC(PB) vs HHC(MB).

Additional descriptive analysis of biomarker signatures was carried out as previously reported by Marçal et al. (16), modified as follows: continuous variables expressed in pg/mL were converted into categorical data using the intrinsic median values as the cut-off for unstimulated culture (CXCL8 = 6,015; CCL2 = 3,481; CXCL9 = 400; CCL5 = 822; CXCL10 = 1,214; IL-6 = 10,938; TNF = 5.3; IFN- γ = 297; IL-17 = 6.4; IL-4 = 1.0; IL-10 = 54 and IL-2 = 9.1 pg/mL) and *M. leprae*stimulated culture (CXCL8 = 4,319; CCL2 = 3,114; CXCL9 = 324; CCL5 = 1,005; CXCL10 = 1,224; IL-6 = 10,847; TNF = 4.4; IFN- γ = 363; IL-17 = 5.5; IL-4 = 1.0; IL-10 = 55 and IL-2 = 12 pg/mL). Following, the proportion of subjects (%) with chemokine and cytokine levels above the intrinsic cut-off were calculated for each study subgroups. The chemokines and cytokines with proportion of subjects above the 50th percentile were underscored and considered with increased levels.

The analysis of genotype data for the SNP TLR4 rs1927914 was carried out to check for deviation from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) using the online calculator, available at https://gene-calc.pl/. Comparative analysis between A vs G alleles was performed by Student t or Mann-Whitney tests. ANOVA variance analysis followed by Tukey or Kruskal-Wallis with

TABLE 1	Sociodemographic features and clinical-laboratorial records of
the study	/ population.

Parameters	HHC(PB) (n=10)	HHC(MB) (n=27)				
Sociodemograph	nic Features					
Age* median (min-max)	32 (7-61)	33 (5-74)				
Sex (%)						
Males	20	33				
Females	80	67				
Ethnicity (%)						
White	40	67				
Mixed	10	21				
Black	50	12				
Neighborhood (%)						
Urban	40	88				
Rural	60	22				
Number of Residents (%)						
1-2	10	19				
3-4	80	33				
>5	10	48				
Scholarly (%)						
Illiterate	20	8				
Elementary school	70	70				
High school	10	18				
University education	0	4				
Income [#]						
1	20	41				
2	80	41				
3	0	18				
Kinship (%)						
Yes	50	85				
No	50	15				
Clinical-Laboratorial Records						
BCG Vaccination						
Yes	70	85				
No	30	15				
WBC (cells/mm ³)	7,200	7,500				
Neu (%)	62	61				
Mon (%)	3	5				
Lym (%)	28	31				
RBC (x10 ⁶ /mm ³)	4.6	4.4				

(Continued)

TABL	E 1	Continued

Parameters	HHC(PB) (n=10)	HHC(MB) (n=27)
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	13.5	12.9
Hematocrit (%)	43	40
Platelet (count x10 ³ /mm ³)	241	247
Vitamin D [25(OH)D] (ng/mL)	33	29

HHC(PB), household contacts of paucibacillary leprosy patients; HHC(MB), household contacts of multibacillary leprosy patients; BCG, (Bacillus Calmette-Guerin; WBC, White blood cells; Neu, neutrophils; Mon, Monocytes; Lym, lymphocytes; RBC, Red blood cells; *Age is expressed in years old. *Income is expressed in number of minimal salary equivalent to US\$ 250. Laboratorial records as expressed as median values.

Dunn's post-test was used for multiple comparisons amongst HHC (PB) and HHC(MB) subgroups according to *TLR4* rs1927914 genotypes as well for dominant genetic model (AA+AG vs GG). In all cases, significant differences were considered at p< 0.05.

Assessment of integrative chemokine and cytokine networks were assembled using only significant "r" scores at p<0.05 obtained from Pearson and Spearman correlation analysis. The Cytoscape software platform (available at https://cytoscape.org) was employed to construct the circular layout networks, with nodes representing the chemokines and cytokines. Connecting edges illustrate positive (continuous lines) and negative (dashed lines) strong correlations ("r" scores $\geq |0.67|$) between pairs of attributes.

The analysis of Receiver-operating Characteristic Curves (ROC) was carried out for evaluating the accuracy of chemokines and cytokine measurements to classify HHC into categories, according to operational classification and SNP *TLR4* rs1927914 polymorphism. The MedCalc software (version 18.6, Ostend, Belgium) was used for performance analysis. The Area Under the ROC Curve (AUC) was used as an indicator of global accuracy. Significance was considered at p<0.05. The cut-offs provided by the ROC curve analysis were used to assess the performance indices, including sensitivity (Se), specificity (Sp), and likelihood ratio (LR).

Exploratory heatmap constructs were assembled using conditional formatting in Microsoft Excel Office version 2016. The analysis was performed using customized functions, considering the column Row Z-score, scaled from -2.5 to +5.0. A color key (25th, 50th and 75th) was used to identify clusters of subjects with distinct (low or high) levels of chemokines and cytokines in each subgroup of household contacts of leprosy patients.

Results

Panoramic overview of chemokines and cytokines secretion by *in vitro* cultured PBMCs from household contacts of leprosy patients

The levels of chemokines (CXCL8, CCL2, CXCL9, CCL5, CXCL10) and cytokines (IL-6, TNF, IFN- γ , IL-17, IL-4, IL-10 and IL-2) were measured in the supernatant collected upon *in*

vitro culture of PBMC from HHC(PB) and HHC(MB) and the results shown in the Figure 1. Data analysis demonstrated that higher levels of CXCL8 were observed in unstimulated culture from HHC(PB) as compared to HHC(MB) (Figure 1A). Additionally, in *M. leprae*-stimulated culture, higher levels of CXCL8, CCL2, CXCL9 and CXCL10 were observed in HHC(PB) as compared to HHC(MB). Conversely, higher levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, TNF, IFN- γ , IL-17) were detected in the supernatant of PBMC cultures from HHC(MB) as compared to HHC(PB) (Figure 1B).

Chemokine and cytokine signatures of *in vitro* cultured PBMCs from household contacts of leprosy patients

The levels of chemokines and cytokines measured in the supernatant collected upon *in vitro* culture of PBMC from HHC (PB) and HHC(MB) were further reported as biomarker signatures. The results are shown in Figure 2. Color diagrams illustrate the proportion of subjects with levels above intrinsic median cut-off obtained for unstimulated and *M. leprae*-stimulated cultures



FIGURE 1

Panoramic overview of chemokines and cytokines secretion by *in vitro* cultured peripheral blood mononuclear cells from subgroups of household contacts of leprosy patients. The levels of chemokines (CXCL8, CCL2, CXCL9, CCL5, CXCL10) and cytokines (IL-6, TNF, IFN- γ , IL-17, IL-4, IL-10 and IL-2) were measured in the supernatant collected upon *in vitro* culture of PBMC from household contacts on paucibacillary [HHC(PB) = ______ n=10] and multibacillary patients [HHC(MB) = _______ n=27]. Data were obtained (**A**) in the absence of exogenous stimuli (unstimulated culture) and (**B**) in the presence of M. leprae antigen stimulation (M. leprae-stimulated culture). Quantitative analysis was performed by Cytometric Beads Array (CBA) according to manufacturer instructions. The results are expressed in pg/mL and presented in boxplot format, indicating the median values (min-max values and 25th and 75th interquartile range). Comparative analysis between subgroups was carried out by the Mann-Whitney test. Significant differences are indicated by connecting lines and underscored by * (p<0.05) and ** (p<0.01). Color background (______ and _____, respectively) were used to highlight the chemokines with increased levels in HHC(PB) and HHC(MB).



FIGURE 2

Chemokine and cytokine signatures of *in vitro* cultured peripheral blood mononuclear cells from subgroups of household contacts of leprosy patients. The levels of chemokines (CXCL8, CCL2, CXCL9, CCL5, CXCL10) and cytokines (IL-6, TNF, IFN- γ , IL-17, IL-4, IL-10 and IL-2) were measured in the supernatant collected upon *in vitro* culture of PBMC from household contacts of paucibacillary [HHC(PB) = _____, n=10] and multibacillary patients [HHC (MB) = ______, n=27] in the absence of exogenous stimuli (unstimulated culture) and in the presence of M. leprae antigen stimulation (M. leprae-stimulated culture). Quantitative analysis was performed by Cytometric Beads Array (CBA) according to manufacturer instructions. The results are reported as chemokine and cytokine signatures as described in methods. Continuous variables expressed in pg/mL were converted into categorical data using the intrinsic median values as the cut-off for unstimulated culture and M.leprae-stimulated culture as described in methods. The proportion of subjects with chemokine and cytokine levels above the intrinsic median was calculated and data are presented as (A) color diagram of individual samples and (B) overlayed ascending curves. Color diagrams illustrates the number of subjects with chemokine and cytokine levels above the intrinsic median cut-off, with *#* indicating missing data. The chemokines and cytokines with proportion of subjects above the 50th percentile(dashed line) and color rectangle background (______ and _____, respectively) were used to highlight the exclusive chemokines and cytokines with increased levels in HHC(PB) and HHC(MB).

(Figure 2A). Data analysis confirmed the higher levels of CXCL8 and further demonstrated that higher levels of IL-6 and IL-2 observed in unstimulated cultures from HHC(PB). On the other hand, higher levels of TNF, IL-10 and IL-17 were observed in unstimulated cultures from HHC(MB). The chemokine and cytokine signatures of *M. leprae*-stimulated cultures further confirmed that while higher levels of chemokines (CXCL9, CXCL10, CCL2 and CXCL8) along with increased levels of IL-10 were observed for HHC(PB), increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF, IFN- γ , IL-6 and IL-17) besides higher levels of CCL5 were detected for HHC(MB) (Figure 2B).

Association of the *TLR4* rs1927914 polymorphism with the chemokine and cytokine secretion by *in vitro* cultured PBMCs from household contacts of leprosy patients

Overall, the HHC(PB) and HHC(MB) populations displayed HWE. The distribution of allelic (A=40%; G=70% and A=43%;

G=57%, respectively) and genotypic frequency (AA=10%; AG=40%; GG=50% and AA=9%; AG=69%; GG=22%, respectively) did not show significant differences between HHC (PB) and HHC(MB). Moreover, the distribution of frequency of carriers of A allele (AA+AG = 50% and 78%, respectively) or the GG major homozygous genotypes (50% and 22%, respectively) did not differ between HHC(PB) and HHC(MB) (Figure 3).

Aiming at verifying the association between the SNP *TLR4* rs1927914 and the pattern of immune response, the levels of chemokines and cytokines were measured in the supernatant collected upon *in vitro* culture of PBMC from HHC(PB) and HHC(MB). The groups were then categorized as: carriers of A and G alleles as well as AA, AG and GG genotypes. The results are presented in Figure 4. Overall, no significant differences were observed for chemokine and cytokine profiles between A and G alleles. However, higher levels of CXCL8 and IL-2 were observed in unstimulated cultures for the AA genotype. Moreover, in the unstimulated cultures, higher levels of CXCL9, CXCL10, TNF and IL-17 were found for AG genotypes (Figure 4A). In general, the GG genotype was associated with lower levels of CXCL8, CXCL9, TNF and IFN- γ in *M. leprae*-stimulated cultures (Figure 4B).



Frequency of TLR4 rs1927914 alleles and genotypes in household contacts of leprosy patients. The single nucleotide polymorphisms of *TLR4* gene was analyzed as described in methods. (A) Color diagrams illustrate the *TLR4* rs1927914 alleles and genotypes profile of household contacts of paucibacillary [HHC(PB) = n=10] and multibacillary patients [HHC(MB) = n=27]. (B) The allelic (A = and G = , genotypic (AA = AG = and GG = and dominant genetic model (AA+AG = and GG = frequencies are shown in bar charts as percentage of subjects within HHC(PB) and HHC(MB) (and background, respectively). Comparative analysis of *TLR4* rs1927914 alleles and genotypes frequencies between groups was carried out by Chi-square test (χ 2) and p values provided in the figure. In all cases, significance was considered at p<0.05.

Chemokine and cytokine signatures of *in vitro* cultured PBMCs from household contacts of leprosy patients according to *TLR4* rs1927914 alleles/genotypes

The levels of chemokines and cytokines measured in the supernatant collected upon *in vitro* culture of PBMC from HHC (PB) and HHC(MB) were further reported as biomarker signatures according to the *TLR4* rs1927914 alleles/genotype. The results are shown in Figure 5. Radar charts illustrate the proportion of subjects with levels above intrinsic median cut-off obtained for unstimulated and *M. leprae*-stimulated cultures.

Data demonstrated that in unstimulated cultures, the presence of A allele was associated with increased levels of CXCL8, CXCL9, CXCL10, TNF, IFN- γ , IL-17 and IL-2 while the G allele showed an association with higher levels of CXCL10, IL-6, IFN- γ and IL-10 (Figure 5A). Notably, upon *M. leprae* antigen recall *in vitro*, it is evident that while the A allele exhibited a more prominent secretion of CXCL8, CXCL9, IL-6, TNF and IFN- γ , the G allele presented only increased levels of IL-6 (Figure 5A).

The analysis of chemokines and cytokines according to *TLR4* rs1927914 genotypes further highlighted that AA (CXCL8, CCL2, TNF and IL-2) and AG (CXCL9, CXL10, IL-6, TNF, IFN- γ and IL-10) genotypes were associated with a more prominent secretion of chemokines and cytokines in both culture conditions (Figure 5B). These findings further support the clustering of AA and AG genotypes into a dominant genetic model for comparative analysis between AA+AG with GG genotype.



and GG (, n = 10) genotypes. Data were obtained (A) in the absence of exogenous stimuli (unstimulated culture) and (B) in the presence of M. leprae antigen stimulation (M. leprae-stimulated culture). Quantitative analysis was performed by Cytometric Beads Array (CBA) according to manufacturer instructions. The results are expressed in pg/mL and presented in bar chats, indicating the median values (25th and 75th interquartile range). Comparative analysis amongst subgroups was carried out by Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's post-test for multiple comparison between subgroups. Significant differences at p<0.05 are indicated by connecting lines.

Chemokine and cytokine secretion by *in vitro* cultured PBMC from subgroups of household contacts of leprosy patients according to the *TLR4* rs1927914 dominant genetic model

An additional strategy was employed to verify the simultaneous impact of SNP *TLR4* rs1927914 concomitant with the operational classification of household contacts. For this purpose, the levels of chemokines and cytokines in the supernatant of *in vitro* culture of

PBMC from HHC(PB) and HHC(MB) were compared considering the dominant genetic model (AA+AG vs GG). The results are presented in Figure 6. Data from unstimulated culture showed that HHC(MB) associated with AA+AG genotypes presented lower levels of CCL2 as compared to HHC(PB) with the same genotype group (Figure 6A). Moreover, in *M. leprae*-stimulated cultures, lower CXCL8 and higher IL-6 and IL-17 were observed in HHC (MB) with AA+AG genotypes as compared to HHC(PB) with the same genotype. Additionally, higher TNF and lower IL-17 were observed in HHC(PB) with GG genotype as compared with HHC



to TLR4 rs1927914 alleles/genotypes. The levels of chemokines (CXCL8, CCL2, CXCL9, CXCL9, CXCL0) and cytokines (IL-6, TNF, IFN- γ , IL-17, IL-4, IL-10 and IL-2) were measured in the supernatant collected upon *in vitro* culture of PBMC from household contacts of leprosy patients categorized according to the TLR4 rs1927914 alleles/genotypes as follows: (A) A (\square , n = 23) and G (\square , n = 30) alleles as well as (B) AA (\square , n = 3), AG (\square , n = 20) and GG (\square , n = 10) genotypes. Quantitative analysis was performed by Cytometric Beads Array (CBA) according to manufacturer instructions. The results are reported as chemokine and cytokine signatures as described in methods. Continuous variables expressed in pg/mL were converted into categorical data using the intrinsic median values as the cut-off for unstimulated culture and M. leprae-stimulated culture as described in methods. The proportion of subjects with chemokine and cytokine levels above the intrinsic median was calculated and data are presented as radar charts with each axis representing each chemokine and cytokine. The chemokines and cytokines with proportion of subjects outside the 50th percentile (gray circle) were underscored by #.

(MB) with the same genotype (Figure 6B). Together, these findings illustrate the impact of differential *M. leprae* exposure over the *TLR4* rs1927914 genotypic background (Figure 6).

On the other hand, lower levels of CXCL8, IL-6 and TNF were observed in HHC(MB) with GG genotype as compared to HHC (MB) with AA+AG genotypes, demonstrating that *TLR4* rs1927914 genotypic background may also impact the immune response regardless of the high degree of *M. leprae* exposure (Figure 6B).

Networks of chemokines and cytokines secreted by *in vitro M. leprae-stimulated* PMBCs from household contacts according to the *TLR4* rs1927914 dominant genetic model

In order to take an overall snapshot of the simultaneous impact of SNP *TLR4* rs1927914 and the operational classification of household contacts, integrative biomarker networks were assembled considering the "r" scores of significant correlations between chemokines and cytokines. The data are shown in Figure 7. Overall, the networks constructs demonstrated that HHC(PB) exhibited more robust connectivity between chemokines and cytokines as compared to HHC(MB). Moreover, it was possible to identify selective edges associated with distinct degrees of *M. leprae* exposure and *TLR4* rs1927914 genotypes. In this sense, *TLR4* rs1927914-associated patterns, referred as AA +GA-selective (CXCL9 – CXCL10) and GG-selective (CXCL10 – IL-6) axis were identified. Conversely, connectivity patterns associated with distinct *M. leprae* exposure profiles were also observed, as demonstrated by the mirrored inverted CCL2 – IL-10 axis displaying positive correlation (\nearrow) in HHC(PB) and negative correlation (\searrow) in HHC(MB). Besides, an HHC(MB)-selected axis (IFN- γ – IL-2) was also detected (Figure 7).

Performance of chemokines and cytokines secreted *in vitro by M. leprae-stimulated* PBMCs to cluster subgroups of household contacts of leprosy patients

Intending to transpose the laboratorial findings into clinical applied biomarkers, translational analysis was carried out to determine the performance of chemokines and cytokines measured in the supernatant of *in vitro M. leprae*-stimulated PBMC from HHC(PB) and HHC(MB) categorized according to the *TLR4* rs1927914 dominant genetic model. For this purpose, Receiver-operating Characteristic Curves (ROC) were assembled and the Area Under the Curve (AUC) was used as an indicator of global accuracy (Figure 8). Data analysis demonstrated that CXCL8 presented outstanding performance to classify both HHC subgroups into AA+AG or GG genotypes with elevated global



FIGURE 6

patients according to the TLR4 rs1927914 dominant genetic model. The levels of chemokines (CXCL8, CCL2, CXCL9, CCL5, CXCL10) and cytokines (IL-6, TNF, IFN-y, IL-17, IL-4, IL-10 and IL-2) were measured in the supernatant collected upon in vitro culture of PBMC from household contacts of paucibacillary [HHC(PB), n =10] and multibacilary patients [HHC(MB), n = 23], further categorized according to the TLR4 rs1927914 genotype dominance model, as follows: AA+AG (____, n = 5; ____, n = 18, respectively) and GG (____, n = 5; ____, n = 5, respectively).Data were obtained (A) in the absence of exogenous stimuli (unstimulated culture) and (B) in the presence of M. leprae antigen stimulation (M. leprae-stimulated culture). Quantitative analysis was performed by Cytometric Beads Array (CBA) according to manufacturer instructions. The results are expressed in pg/mL and presented in bar chats, indicating the median values (25th and 75th interquartile range). Comparative analysis amongst subgroups was carried out by Kruskal-Wallis followed by Dunn's post-test for multiple comparison between subgroups. Significant differences at p<0.05 are indicated by continuous and dashed connecting lines for intragroup and intergroup comparisons, respectively

accuracy (AUC=0.95 and 0.85, respectively). Moreover, TNF also presented elevated performance to classify HHC(MB) into AA+AG and GG genotypes with elevated global accuracy (AUC=0.94) (Figure 8A, continuous rectangles).

Several biomarkers presented high performance to classify household contact with AA+AG genotypes according to the M. leprae exposure into HHC(PB) and HHC(MB) with elevated global accuracy (AUC for CXCL8 = 0.89, IL-6 = 0.82 TNF=0.80, IFN- γ =0.82 and IL-17 = 0.90). Moreover, IL-17 was able to classify household contact with GG genotypes according to the M. leprae exposure into HHC(PB) and HHC(MB) with excellent global accuracy (AUC=1.00) (Figure 8A, dashed rectangles).

Heatmap constructs were assembled using the Z score to further illustrate these findings (Figure 8B, continuous and dashed rectangles, respectively). ROC curves were constructed to further demonstrate the performance of the selected chemokines and cytokines to cluster subgroups of household contacts according to the TLR4 rs1927914 dominant genetic model (Figure 9).



Discussion

Leprosy still persists as a relevant public health problem in several countries in Asia, Africa, and Latin America (5). Leprosy classification is usually complex and requires the assessment of several clinical and laboratorial features. Moreover, current attempts are still needed to increase the limited sets of clinical and laboratorial parameters available for predictive diagnosis and monitoring of household contacts of leprosy patients.

The host immune response plays a critical role in the pathophysiology of leprosy and together with genetic factors influences the clinical course of the disease (26). Many immunological biomarkers have been proposed as relevant diagnostic/prognostic tools to classify distinct clinical forms and support prompt treatment onset for effective leprosy control. Immunological parameters have been also suggested as useful laboratories markers to monitor household contacts (HHC)of leprosy patients (27, 28). However, according to Bernard Naafs (29) there is no outstanding laboratorial test to predict whether HHC will develop leprosy after exposure. Since 1973, it has been postulated that higher exposure of HHC to *M. leprae* can impact

their immune response and may lead to an increased risk of developing clinical disease (30).

Besides the impact of exposure to *M. leprae* on the immune response of HHC, the host genetic variability can affect the intrinsic susceptibility to leprosy and modulate risk factors to develop clinical manifestations. In this sense, previous studies have shown that single nucleotide polymorphism in TLR genes may contribute to leprosy susceptibility, increasing the risk to develop clinical disease or leprosy reactions (21, 23, 24, 31, 32). While some loci affect intrinsic susceptibility to leprosy (leprosy per se), others modulate risk factors for paucibacillary or multibacillary forms of the disease or for the development of leprosy reactions (31). However, conflicting results are observed amongst genetic studies that may occur due to ethnic diversity as well as differences in the allelic frequencies for TLR genes in indistinct populations.

The main goal of the present investigation was to evaluate the interplay between the operational classification [HHC(PB) and HHC(MB)] and the SNP *TLR4* rs1927914 in household contacts living in Governador Valadares, Minas Gerais State, Brazil, a hyperendemic area for leprosy. The primary endpoint was the impact of these factors on the overall profile of immune response



FIGURE 8

Performance of chemokines and cytokines secreted *in vitro* by M. leprae-stimulated peripheral blood mononuclear cells to cluster subgroups of household contacts of leprosy patients. The levels of chemokines (CXCL8, CCL2, CXCL9, CCL5, CXCL10) and cytokines (IL-6, TNF, IFN- γ , IL-17, IL-4, IL-10 and IL-2) were measured in the supernatant collected upon *in vitro* M. leprae-stimulated PBMC from household contacts of paucibacillary [HHC(PB), n =10] and multibacilary patients [HHC(MB), n = 23], further categorized according to the TLR4 rs1927914 genotype dominance model, as follows: AA +AG (\bigcirc , n = 5; \bigcirc , n = 18, respectively) and GG (\bigcirc , n = 5; \bigcirc , n = 5, respectively). (A) Quantitative analysis was performed by Cytometric Beads Array (CBA) according to manufacturer instructions. The results are expressed in pg/mL and presented in scatter plot, indicating the mean values. Comparative analysis between subgroups was carried out by Receiver-operating Characteristic Curves (ROC) and the Area Under the Curve (AUC) used as an indicator of global accuracy. Significant differences at p<0.05 are indicated by bold underline format. Biomarker with higher performance to cluster AA +AG from GG genotypes and HHC(PB) from HHC(MB) are underscored by continuous and dashed rectangles, respectively. (B) Heatmap constructs were assembled using conditional formatting in Microsoft Excel Office version 2016. A color key was employed to identify clusters of subjects with distinct (low or high) levels of chemokines and cytokines in each subgroup of household contacts of leprosy patients. The biomarkers able to cluster AA +AG from GG genotypes and HHC(PB) apart from HHC(MB) are highlighted by continuous and dashed rectangles, respectively.

to *M. leprae* antigens. A detailed analysis of chemokines and cytokines secretion was carried out upon *M. leprae*-specific *in vitro* stimulation of PBMCs from subgroups of HHC further classified according to *TLR4* rs1927914 alleles/genotypes.

The results demonstrated that M. leprae-stimuli induced higher levels of chemokines (CXCL8, CCL2, CXCL9 and CXCL10) in HHC(PB) as compared to HHC(MB). Conversely, higher levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (IL-6, TNF, IFN-y, IL-17) were detected in HHC(MB). The analysis of chemokine and cytokine signatures further corroborate these findings, showing that M. leprae-stimuli triggered higher levels of chemokines (CXCL9, CXCL10, CCL2 and CXCL8) in HHC(PB), while increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF, IFN-y, IL-6 and IL-17) were detected for HHC(MB). The enhancement of pro-inflammatory cytokines in HHC(MB) has been already reported in previous studies from our group (13, 33). The precise relationship between pro-inflammatory cytokines and M. leprae exposure is still controversial. Martins et al. (34) have proposed a direct correlation between the levels of IFN-y and the degree of exposure to M. leprae. Moreover, Sampaio et al. (35) have also reported that M. leprae-induced IFN-y production is higher in multibacillary as compared to paucibacillary contacts. Furthermore, the IFN-y production in response to M. leprae antigen stimuli in

vitro is higher amongst contacts of leprosy patients suggesting a high frequency of sensitization. According to Geluk et al. (36), IFN- γ production induced by *M. leprae* can identify individuals highly exposed to M. leprae and therefore at higher risk for developing clinical disease and/or transmitting the bacterium. Conversely, Carvalho et al. (37) demonstrated that the continuous exposure of multibacillary contacts to live M. leprae bacilli downregulates the specific cellular immune response against the pathogen (38). It is possible that the extent of response to M. leprae antigen primarily depended on the time length of contact and degree of infectiousness of leprosy patients rather than the genetic background dictated by the degree of consanguinity between contacts and the patients (35). Overall, our findings corroborate the hypothesis that as greater the exposure, higher the predisposition to develop the disease (27, 39). Therefore, the prominent pro-inflammatory profile observed in HHC(MB) may be an indicative of early subclinical infection (37).

The analysis of chemokine and cytokine signatures further demonstrated that HHC bearing the A allele of TLR4rs1927914presented a prominent secretion of several soluble mediators (CXCL8, CXCL9, IL-6, TNF and IFN- γ). Data analysis according to TLR4 SNP genotypes further demonstrated AA (CXCL8, CCL2, TNF and IL-2) and AG (CXCL9, CXL10, IL-6, TNF, IFN- γ and IL-10) genotypes were associated with a more



prominent secretion of soluble mediators as compared to GG that was associated with lower levels CXCL8, CXCL9, TNF and IFN- γ . These data supported the clustering of AA and AG genotypes into dominant genetic model. Using this dominant genetic model, we have assessed the simultaneous impact of *TLR4* rs1927914 polymorphism and the HHC operational classification on the overall chemokine and cytokine profiles. Data from *M. leprae*-stimulated cultures demonstrated that both: i) differential *M. leprae* exposure and ii) *TLR4* rs1927914 genotypic background have an impact on the immune response of HHC. Lower levels CXCL8 and higher levels of IL-6 and IL-17 were observed in HHC(MB) with AA +AG genotypes. Conversely, higher TNF and lower IL-17 were observed in HHC(PB) with GG genotype.

Integrative biomarker networks were also assembled to evaluate the simultaneous impact of SNP *TLR4* rs1927914. Overall, our findings showed that the resistance-associated genotype (GG) presented an inflammatory axis CXCL10 – IL-6 while the susceptibility-associated dominant genetic model (AA+AG) presented an inflammatory axis CXCL9 – CXCL10. According to Geluk et al. (36), CXCL10 was correlated with exposure to *M. leprae* and, therefore, with the risk of infection. In fact, CXCL10 has been pointed out as a significant biomarker to identify leprosy patients (40–42). It has been shown that increased levels of CXCL10 have been observed in patients able to reduce the bacilloscopic index after multidrug therapy, suggesting that CXCL10 is important to control the bacillary load (43).

Our data demonstrated that lower exposure to *M. leprae* typified by HHC(PB) was associated with an IL-10 modulated axis (\land CCL2 – IL-10), while higher exposure to *M. leprae* exemplified by HHC(MB) did not exhibit a modulatory axis (\backslash CCL2 – IL-10) and also presented a (IFN- γ –IL-2)-selective axis. It has been shown that CCL2 in association with IFN- γ is a relevant biomarker of subclinical infection of HHC, as also a parameter for early infection monitoring (28).

Intending to transpose the laboratorial findings into clinical applied biomarkers, translational analysis was carried out to determine the performance of chemokines and cytokines to classify HHC according to SNP *TLR4* rs1927914 genotypic background. Our results demonstrated that CXCL8 presented an outstanding performance to classify AA+AG (high levels) from GG (low levels) genotypes in both HHC subgroups. Moreover, amongst AA+AG, CXCL8 was able to cluster HHC(PB) (high levels) from HHC(MB) (low levels). CXCL8 has been pointed out as a potent pro-inflammatory chemokine playing a role in *M. leprae* infection (44). Our findings regarding CXCL8 may appear controversial. Higher levels of CXCL8 were observed in the susceptibility-associated dominant genetic model (AA+AG) as compared to resistance-associated genotype (GG) in both HHC subgroups.

However, amongst AA+AG subjects, those with lower exposure to *M. leprae* HHC(PB) presented higher levels of CXCL8. These apparently controversial results, in fact, demonstrated the relevance of carrying out integrated studies of immunological and genetic biomarkers to classify and monitor HHC. Indeed, our results demonstrated that HHC(MB) further classified according to the dominant genetic model (AA+AG) presented lower levels of CXCL8. Ultimately, this finding illustrates the impact of differential *M. leprae* exposure over the *TLR4* rs1927914 genotypic background.

The results showed that TNF has elevated accuracy to classify HHC(MB) into AA+AG (high levels) from GG (low levels) genotype. Previous studies of our group demonstrated that TNF was able to classify HHC according to the operational classification with HHC(MB) presenting low levels as compared to HHC(PB) (16). This apparently contradictory finding further emphasizes the relevance of carrying out immunological studies integrated with genetic analysis to achieve a more accurate classification of HHC.

Our data also demonstrated that IL-17 was able to cluster HHC (MB) (high levels) from HHC(PB) (low levels) regardless of the SNP *TLR4* rs1927914 genotypic background. It is notably the presence of an important IL-17-mediated inflammatory response in the HHC(MB) group regardless of the *TLR4* rs1927914 genotype. The role of IL-17 in leprosy is still controversial. While some studies demonstrate low levels of IL-17 in serum and *in situ*, other reports showed increased levels of IL-17 in leprosy lesions (45, 46). Studies focusing on the IL-17 profile of HHC categorized according to operational classification are still scarce. According to Saini et al. (47), the levels of IL-17 in HHC were high as compared to leprosy patients, suggesting a role of this cytokine as a potential biomarker for early subclinical infection.

Overall, our data support the relevance of investigating the immune response together with genetic profile as potential biomarkers to monitor household contacts. It is important to mention that the present study has some limitations. The small sample size that could reduce the statistical power of our findings requires further investigations with a higher number of subjects. Moreover, the use of multiple comparisons without corrections for confounding variables such as the bacilloscopic index may also impact the conclusions. The study may present a degree of bias, since the participants were selected as a non-probabilistic sampling and therefore, further studies are required to validate these findings prior transposing them as a general statement to a populational basis. Moreover, the observational design must be further validated by longitudinal follow-up of HHC subjects to monitor the development of leprosy disease. Furthermore, simultaneous investigations of household contacts and matching index cases should be considered.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrated that CXCL8 and IL-17 are relevant biomarkers to monitor HHC. While CXCL8 presented a profile closely related to the genetic background, IL-17 appears as an important hallmark of high *M. leprae* exposure. The observation that the degree of *M. leprae* exposure over impacts the TLR genotypic background and vice versa, reinforces that an interplay between these biomarkers should be considered while monitoring HHC. Thus, the integration of immunological and molecular methods to follow-up the development of subclinical infection in HHC is strongly recommended.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee from Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora – UFJF (Research Protocol CAAE #56863016.6.1001.5147). Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

Author contributions

Study Design: PHFM, JKF and LAOF Funding Acquisition: JKF, ROP, ENS and LAOF. Sample collection, experimental procedures and data acquisition: EHMC, RSG, LBOP, MLS, TNSV and CVR Data Analysis: EHMC, PHFM, JPBS, MLS, CVR, OAMF and LAOF. Writing and reviewing the manuscript: EHMC, PHFM, CVR, OAMF and LAOF. All of the authors read and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This study received financial support from the Conselho de Desenvolvimento Tecnológico e Científico/CNPq/BRAZIL, DECIT 2008, DECIT 2012, FAPEMIG, TC 304/2013/FNS/MS and Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES). OAMF received PQ fellowships from CNPq. PHFM has received PDE fellowships from CNPq (201023/2022-9). These funding sources had no role in the study's design and collection, analysis, implementation, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Programa Multicêntrico de Bioquímica e Biologia Molecular at Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora Campus Governador Valadares e Universidade Vale do Rio Doce. We are very thankful to Marlucy Rodrigues Lima for their technical support. We are also grateful to all members of CREDEN-PES, especially MD Alexandre Castelo Branco for the diagnostics of the patients and Regina L.B. Cipriano for administrative support. OM-F has received PQ fellowships from CNPq and is a research fellow from FAPEAM (PVN-II, PRÓ-ESTADO Program #005/2019.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Mizoguti DDF, Hungria EM, Freitas AA, Oliveira RM, Cardoso LP, Costa MB, et al. Multibacillary leprosy patients with high and persistent serum antibodies to leprosy IDRI diagnostic-1/LID-1: higher susceptibility to develop type 2 reactions. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* (2015) 110(7):914–20. doi: 10.1590/0074-02760150198

2. Ridley DS, Jopling WH. Classification of leprosy according to immunity. a fivegroup system. Int J Lepr Other Mycobact Dis (1966) 34(3):255-73.

3. Cabral N, de Figueiredo V, Gandini M, de Souza CF, Medeiros RA, Lery LMS, et al. Modulation of the response to mycobacterium leprae and pathogenesis of leprosy. *Front Microbiol* (2022) 13:918009. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2022.918009

4. Yasmin H, Varghese PM, Bhakta S, Kishore U. Pathogenesis and host immune response in leprosy. *Adv Exp Med Biol* (2021) 1313:155–77. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-67452-6_8

5. World Health Organization. Global leprosy update 2017: Accelerating reduction of disease burden. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec* (2018) 93:445.

6. Contin LA, Alves CJ, Fogagnolo L, Nassif PW, Barreto JA, Lauris JR, et al. Use of the ML-flow test as a tool in classifying and treating leprosy. *Bras Dermatol* (2011) 86 (1):91–5. doi: 10.1590/S0365-05962011000100012

7. Hacker MA, Duppre NC, Nery JA, Sales AM, Sarno EN. Characteristics of leprosy diagnosed through the surveillance of contacts: A comparison with index cases in Rio de Janeiro 1987-2010. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* (2012) 107(Suppl 1):49–54. doi: 10.1590/s0074-0276201200090009

8. Brazil, Ministry of Health. *Guidelines for surveillance, care and elimination of leprosy as a public health problem: Technical-operational manual.* Brasília: Ministry of Health (2016).

9. Gama RS, Gomides TAR, Gama CFM, Moreira SJM, de Neves Manta FS, de Oliveira LBP, et al. High frequency of m. leprae DNA detection in asymptomatic household contacts. *BMC Infect Dis* (2018) 18(1):153. doi: 10.1186/s12879-018-3056-2

10. Marçal PHF, Fraga LAO, Mattos AMM, Menegati L, Coelho ADCO, Pinheiro RO, et al. Utility of immunoglobulin isotypes against LID-1 and NDO-LID for, particularly IgG1, confirming the diagnosis of multibacillary leprosy. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz* (2018) 113(5):e170467. doi: 10.1590/007402760170467

11. Gama RS, Souza MLM, Sarno EN, Moraes MO, Gonçalves A, Stefani MMA, et al. A novel integrated molecular and serological analysis method to predict new cases of leprosy amongst household contacts. *PloS Negl Trop Dis* (2019) 13(6):e0007400. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0007400

12. Gama RS, Leite LA, Colombo LT, Fraga LAO. Prospects for new leprosy diagnostic tools, a narrative review considering ELISA and PCR assays. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop* (2020) 53:e20200197. doi: 10.1590/0037-8682-0197-2020

13. Marçal PHF, Gama RS, Pereira de Oliveira LB, Martins-Filho OA, Pinheiro RO, Sarno EN, et al. Functional biomarker signatures of circulating T-cells and its association with distinct clinical status of leprosy patients and their respective household contacts. *Infect Dis Poverty* (2020) 9(1):167. doi: 10.1186/s40249-020-00763-7

 Dennison CL, de Oliveira LB, Fraga LAO, E Lima RS, Ferreira JA, Clennon JA, et al. Mycobacterium leprae-helminth co-infections and vitamin d deficiency as potential risk factors for leprosy: A case-control study in south-eastern Brazil. Int J Infect Dis (2021) 105:261–6. doi: 10.1016/j.jijid.2021.02.048

15. De Souza MLM, Lopes GA, Branco AC, Fairley JK, Fraga LAO. Leprosy screening based on artificial intelligence: Development of a cross-platform app. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* (2021) 9(4):e23718. doi: 10.2196/23718

16. Marçal PHF, de Souza MLM, Gama RS, de Oliveira LBP, Gomes MS, do Amaral LR, et al. Algorithm design for a cytokine release assay of antigen-specific *in vitro* stimuli of circulating leukocytes to classify leprosy patients and household contacts. *Open Forum Infect Dis* (2022) 9(3):ofac036. doi: 10.1093/ofd/ofac036

17. Goulart IM, Goulart LR. Leprosy: Diagnostic and control challenges for a worldwide disease. *Arch Dermatol Res* (2008) 300(6):269–90. doi: 10.1007/s00403-008-0857-y

18. Araujo S, Freitas LO, Goulart LR, Goulart IM. Molecular evidence for the aerial route of infection of mycobacterium leprae and the role of asymptomatic carriers in the persistence of leprosy. *Clin Infect Dis* (2016) 63(11):1412–20. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciw570

19. Johnson CM, Lyle EA, Omueti KO, Stepensky VA, Yegin O, Alpsoy E, et al. Cutting edge: A common polymorphism impairs cell surface trafficking and functional responses of TLR1 but protects against leprosy. *J Immunol* (2007) 178(12):7520–4. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.178.12.7520

organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

20. Bochud PY, Sinsimer D, Aderem A, Siddiqui MR, Saunderson P, Britton S, et al. Polymorphisms in toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) are associated with protection against leprosy. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* (2009) 28(9):1055–65. doi: 10.1007/s10096-009-0746-0

21. Wong SH, Gochhait S, Malhotra D, Pettersson FH, Teo YY, Khor CC, et al. Leprosy and the adaptation of human toll-like receptor 1. *PloS Pathog* (2010) 6(7): e1000979. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000979

22. Hart BE, Tapping RI. Genetic diversity of toll-like receptors and immunity to m. *leprae Infection. J Trop Med* (2012) 2012:415057. doi: 10.1155/2012/415057

23. Marques CS, Brito-de-Souza VN, Guerreiro LT, Martins JH, Amaral EP, Cardoso CC, et al. Toll-like receptor 1 N248S single-nucleotide polymorphism is associated with leprosy risk and regulates immune activation during mycobacterial infection. *J Infect Dis* (2013) 208(1):120–9. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jit133

24. Santana NL, Rêgo JL, Oliveira JM, Almeida LF, Braz M, Machado LM, et al. Polymorphisms in genes TLR1, 2 and 4 are associated with differential cytokine and chemokine serum production in patients with leprosy. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* (2017) 112(4):260–8. doi: 10.1590/0074-02760160366

25. Leal-Calvo T, Martins BL, Bertoluci DF, Rosa PS, de Camargo RM, Germano GV, et al. Large-Scale gene expression signatures reveal a microbicidal pattern of activation in mycobacterium leprae-infected monocyte-derived macrophages with low multiplicity of infection. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:647832. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.647832

26. Alter A, Grant A, Abel L, Alcaïs A, Schurr E. Leprosy as a genetic disease. *Mamm Genome* (2011) 22(1-2):19-31. doi: 10.1007/s00335-010-9287-1

27. Queiroz EA, Medeiros NI, Mattos RT, Carvalho APM, Rodrigues-Alves ML, Dutra WO, et al. Immunological biomarkers of subclinical infection in household contacts of leprosy patients. *Immunobiology* (2019) 224(4):518–25. doi: 10.1016/j.imbio.2019.05.002

28. Queiroz EA, Medeiros NI, Mattos RT, Pinto BF, Carvalho APM, Dutra WO, et al. CCL2 and IFN-γserum levels as biomarkers for subclinical infection in household contacts of leprosy patients. *Microb Pathog* (2021) 150:104725. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104725

29. Naafs B. World leprosy day 2018: How forward respecting the past? Indian J Med Res (2018) 147(1):1-3. doi: 10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_109_18

30. Godal T, Negassi K. Subclinical infection in leprosy. Br Med J (1973) 3 (5880):557-9. doi: 10.1136/bmj.3.5880.557

31. Mira MT, Alcaïs A, Nguyen VT, Moraes MO, Di Flumeri C, Vu HT, et al. Susceptibility to leprosy is associated with PARK2 and PACRG. *Nature* (2004) 427 (6975):636–40. doi: 10.1038/nature02326

32. Misch EA, Macdonald M, Ranjit C, Sapkota BR, Wells RD, Siddiqui MR, et al. Human TLR1 deficiency is associated with impaired mycobacterial signaling and protection from leprosy reversal reaction. *PloS Negl Trop Dis* (2008) 2(5):e231. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0000231

33. Esquenazi D, Alvim IM, Pinheiro RO, Oliveira EB, Moreira Lde O, Sarno EN, et al. Correlation between central memory T cell expression and proinflammatory cytokine production with clinical presentation of multibacillary leprosy relapse. *PloS One* (2015) 10(5):e0127416. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0127416

34. Martins MV, Guimarães MM, Spencer JS, Hacker MA, Costa LS, Carvalho FM, et al. Pathogen-specific epitopes as epidemiological tools for defining the magnitude of mycobacterium leprae transmission in areas endemic for leprosy. *PloS Negl Trop Dis* (2012) 1:12. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0001616

35. Sampaio EP, Moreira AL, Kaplan G, Alvim MF, Duppre NC, Miranda CF, et al. Mycobacterium leprae-induced interferon-gamma production by household contacts of leprosy patients: Association with the development of active disease. *J Infect Dis* (1991) 164(5):990–3. doi: 10.1093/infdis/164.5.990

36. Geluk A, Bobosha K, van der Ploeg-van Schip JJ, Spencer JS, Banu S, Martins MV, et al. New biomarkers with relevance to leprosy diagnosis applicable in areas hyperendemic for leprosy. *J Immunol* (2012) 188(10):4782–91. doi: 10.4049/ iimmunol.1103452

37. Carvalho AP, da Conceição Oliveira Coelho Fabri A, Corrêa Oliveira R, Lana FC. Factors associated with anti-phenolic glycolipid-I seropositivity among the household contacts of leprosy cases. *BMC Infect Dis* (2015) 15:219. doi: 10.1186/s12879-015-0955-3

38. de Carvalho FM, Rodrigues LS, Duppre NC, Alvim IMP, Ribeiro-Alves M, Pinheiro RO, et al. Interruption of persistent exposure to leprosy combined or not with recent BCG vaccination enhances the response to mycobacterium leprae specific antigens. *PloS Negl Trop Dis* (2017) 11:e0005560. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0005560

39. Cassirer-Costa F, Medeiros NI, Chaves AT, Lyon S, Coelho-Dos-Reis JGA, Ribeiro-Junior AF, et al. Cytokines as biomarkers to monitoring the impact of multidrug therapy in immune response of leprosy patients. *Cytokine* (2017) 97:42–8. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2017.05.020

40. van Hooij A, Tjon Kon Fat EM, Batista da Silva M, Carvalho Bouth R, Cunha Messias AC, Gobbo AR, et al. Evaluation of immunodiagnostic tests for leprosy in Brazil, China and Ethiopia. *Sci Rep* (2018) 8:17920. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-36323-1

41. van Hooij A, van den Eeden S, Richardus R, Tjon Kon Fat E, Wilson L, Franken KLMC, et al. Application of new host biomarker profiles in quantitative point-of-care tests facilitates leprosy diagnosis in the field. *EBioMedicine* (2019) 47:301–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.08.009

42. van Hooij A, Geluk A. In search of biomarkers for leprosy by unraveling the host immune response to mycobacterium leprae. *Immunol Rev* (2021) 301:175–92. doi: 10.1111/imr.12966

43. Ferreira H, Mendes MA, de Mattos Barbosa MG, de Oliveira EB, Sales AM, Moraes MO, et al. Potential role of CXCL10 in monitoring response to treatment in leprosy patients. *Front Immunol* (2021) 12:662307. doi: 10.3389/fimmu. 2021.662307

44. Rawat KD, Chahar M, Reddy PVJ, Srivastava N, Gupta UD, Natrajan M, et al. Expression and analysis of CXCL8 and CXCL10 chemokines in human skin lesions infected with m.leprae. *Mycobact Dis* (2016) 6:2. doi: 10.4172/2161-1068.1000208

45. da Motta-Passos I, Malheiro A, Gomes Naveca F, de Souza Passos LF, Ribeiro De Barros Cardoso C, da Graça Souza Cunha M, et al. Decreased RNA expression of interleukin 17A in skin of leprosy. *Eur J Dermatol* (2012) 22(4):488–94. doi: 10.1684/ejd.2012.1741

46. Trombone AP, Belone A, Guidella C, Fachin L, Ramuno N, Soriani M, et al. T Helper cytokines expression in leprosy forms and reactional states: Serum and in situ analysis. *J Immunol* (2012) 188(1_Supplement):59.9. doi: 10.4049/ jimmunol.188.Supp.59.9

47. Saini C, Ramesh V, Nath I. CD4+ Th17 cells discriminate clinical types and constitute a third subset of non Th1, non Th2 T cells in human leprosy. *PloS Negl Trop Dis* (2013) 7(7):e2338. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0002338