Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Chiara Agostinis, Institute for Maternal and Child Health Burlo Garofolo (IRCCS), Italy

REVIEWED BY

Giuseppina Campisciano, Institute for Maternal and Child Health Burlo Garofolo (IRCCS), Italy Vladimir Leksa, Institute of Molecular Biology (SAS), Slovakia

*CORRESPONDENCE Andrea Marques Vieira da Silva Marques@bio.fiocruz.br

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 28 June 2024 ACCEPTED 09 September 2024 PUBLISHED 17 October 2024

CITATION

Silva AMVd, Machado TL, Nascimento RdS, Rodrigues MPMD, Coelho FS, Tubarão LN, da Rosa LC, Bayma C, Rocha VP, Frederico ABT, Silva J, Cunha DRdAdBe, de Souza AF, Souza RBGd, Barros CA, Fiscina DdS, Ribeiro LCP, de Carvalho CAM, da Silva BJD, Muller R, Azamor T, Melgaço JG, Gonçalves RB and Ano Bom APD (2024) Immunomodulatory effect of bovine lactoferrin during SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Front. Immunol.* 15:1456634. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1456634

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Silva, Machado, Nascimento, Rodrigues, Coelho, Tubarão, da Rosa, Bavma, Rocha, Frederico, Silva, Cunha, de Souza, Souza, Barros, Fiscina, Ribeiro, de Carvalho, da Silva, Muller, Azamor, Melgaço, Gonçalves and Ano Bom. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Immunomodulatory effect of bovine lactoferrin during SARS-CoV-2 infection

Andrea Marques Vieira da Silva^{1*†}, Thiago Lazari Machado^{1†}, Ryann de Souza Nascimento¹, Miguel Pires Medeiros Diniz Rodrigues¹, Felipe Soares Coelho¹, Luciana Neves Tubarão¹, Lorenna Carvalho da Rosa¹, Camilla Bayma¹, Vanessa Pimenta Rocha¹, Ana Beatriz Teixeira Frederico¹, Jane Silva¹, Danielle Regina de Almeida de Brito e Cunha¹, Alessandro Fonseca de Souza¹, Raphaela Barbosa Gonçalves de Souza², Caroline Augusto Barros², Danielle da Silva Fiscina², Luiz Claudio Pereira Ribeiro³, Carlos Alberto Marques de Carvalho⁴, Bruno Jorge Duque da Silva¹, Rodrigo Muller¹, Tamiris Azamor¹, Juliana Gil Melgaço¹, Rafael Braga Gonçalves² and Ana Paula Dinis Ano Bom¹

¹Departamento de Desenvolvimento Experimental e Pré-Clinico (DEDEP), Instituto de Tecnologia em Imunobiológico, Bio-Manguinhos, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, FIOCRUZ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, ²Departamento de Bioquímica, Instituto Biomédico, Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, ³Laboratório de Pesquisa Multiusuário 04 (LPM-04) Hospital Universitário Graffée Guinle, HUGG/EBSERH, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, ⁴Departamento de Patologia, Centro de Ciências Biológicas e da Saúde, Universidade do Estado do Pará, Belém, Brazil

Introduction: Lactoferrin (Lf) is an important immunomodulator in infections caused by different agents. During SARS-CoV-2 infection, Lf can hinder or prevent virus access to the intracellular environment. Severe cases of COVID-19 are related to increased production of cytokines, accompanied by a weak type 1 interferon response.

Methods: We investigated the influence of bovine Lf (bLf) in the immune response during SARS-CoV-2 infection *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays.

Results: Our results show a strong binding between bLf and TLR4/NF- κ B *in silico*, as well as an increase in mRNA expression of these genes in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) treated with bLf. Furthermore, the treatment increased *TLR4/TLR9* mRNA expression in infected K18-hACE2 mouse blood, indicating an activation of innate response. Our results show that, when bLf was added, a reduction in the NK cell population was found, presenting a similar effect on PD-1 in TCD4⁺ and TCD8⁺ cells. In the culture supernatant of PBMCs from healthy participants, bLf decreased IL-6 levels and increased CCL5 in COVID-19 participants. In addition, K18-hACE2 mice infected and treated with bLf presented an increase of serum pro-inflammatory markers (GM-CSF/IL-1β/

IL-2) and upregulated mRNA expression of *IL1B* and *IL6* in the lung tissue. Furthermore, bLf treatment was able to restore *FTH1* levels in brain tissue.

Discussion: The data indicate that bLf can be part of a therapeutic strategy to promote the immunomodulation effect, leading to homeostasis during COVID-19.

KEYWORDS

bovine lactoferrin, COVID-19, immunomodulation, cytokines, TLR4

1 Introduction

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has spread worldwide as a pandemic, leading to 776 million confirmed cases, including more than 7.1 million deaths (1). Acute respiratory manifestations are the most common features of severe COVID-19 and may have extrapulmonary involvement (2). Manifesting elevated serum levels of different cytokines, which is called a "cytokine storm," may contribute to the fatal outcome of COVID-19. Cytokine storm prevention and mitigation could be the key to better clinical outcomes for patients with COVID-19. Thus, some drug-based therapies rely on minimizing the effect of the cytokine storm, such as those with tocilizumab, baricitinib, sarilumab, and corticosteroids (3, 4).

Lactoferrin (Lf), also known as lactotransferrin, is a glycoprotein member of the transferrin family produced commonly by exocrine glands (e.g., mammary and lacrimal glands) and granules of neutrophils (5). Lf was discovered in 1939 and isolated from human (hLf) and bovine (bLf) milk in 1960 (6) as a dimeric protein with 691 and 689 amino acids, respectively, and a molecular weight of about 80 kDa (7).

Lf plays multiple functions related to their capacity of reversible binding to transition metals, such as Fe^{3+} with high affinity, and other ions in a lesser degree. The protein also shows numerous antimicrobial effects, including antibacterial, antifungal, antiviral, antiparasitic, anti-inflammatory, and immunomodulatory activities (8–10).

A broad-spectrum antiviral effect was already assigned to Lf against pathogens such as Influenza A, Human Immunodeficiency, Hepatitis B, and Hepatitis C viruses (11). These antiviral properties were mainly due to the inhibition of virus entry into host cells by attachment to viral particles or blocking cellular receptors (12). Also, the immunomodulatory role of this protein arise from limiting tissue damage by regulation of cytokines, chemokines, and cell surface receptors involved in immunological signaling pathways (13).

Also, a recent study demonstrated the *in vitro* efficacy of Lf against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) variants of concern by direct inhibition of virus entry and immunomodulatory mechanisms (14). Furthermore, oral administration of Lf in mice leads to type I interferon production, thus playing a role in antiviral defense, by inhibition of protein

synthesis, degradation of viral RNA in infected cells, and enhancement of antiviral immune activity (15).

Lf interacts with Toll-like receptors (TLRs) and promotes regulatory effects in the immune system (16–18). TLR4 is a surface receptor classified as a pattern recognition receptor (PRR) that interacts with several infectious and non-infectious agents, leading to the activation of nuclear factor kappa B (NF- κ B), and mitogen-activated protein kinases, leading to phosphorylation of intracellular molecules, such as the MyD88 protein, and contributing to T-cell proliferation as well as natural killer (NK) cells, monocyte, neutrophil, and dendritic cell stimulation (9, 19).

A previous study showed that severely ill patients with COVID-19 have a high concentration of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin-6 (IL-6), compared to those who are moderately ill (3). In the same way, IL-2, IL-2R, IL-7, IL-10, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (G-CSF), interferon- γ -inducible protein 10 (IP-10/CXCL10), monocyte chemoattractant protein (MCP-1/CCL2) MCP-3/CCL7, Interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (IL-1ra), Macrophage Inflammatory Protein-1 Alpha (MIP-1 α /CCL3), interferon gama (IFN- γ), and tumor necrosis factor (TNF- α) were observed at high plasma concentration in intensive care unit patients. The acute phase of SARS-CoV-2 infection was associated with a marked leukopenia in up to 80% of hospitalized patients, associated with a dramatic decrease of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells (20).

Imbalances in the number of mononuclear cells in peripheral blood are related to the inflammatory phase of COVID-19, and some studies have associated these changes with the disease outcome (21–23). Restoration of immune cell numbers and functions has the potential to correct the delicate immune homeostasis required to establish an effective recovery from SARS-CoV-2 infection (24).

In this study, we evaluated the effect of bLf on the cellular immune response and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines using SARS-CoV-2-infected cells derived from patients with COVID-19. In addition, the therapeutic effect of bLf in SARS-CoV-2-infected K18-human ACE2 (hACE2) mice was investigated. Additionally, using *in silico* strategy, we investigated a model of interaction between the Lf molecule and TLR4 in an attempt to provide a possible mechanism of action for this protein.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 In vitro experimental design

2.1.1 Study population

Blood samples were obtained by venipuncture from patients with COVID-19 attended at a public hospital (Gaffrée and Guinle University Hospital) in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. Fifteen patients were followed up, and blood collection was performed according to COVID-19 symptoms onset at <10 days (T1), 20–30 days (T2), and 40–60 days (T3). SARS-CoV-2 infection was confirmed by real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). All patients signed an informed consent, and the protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board under certificate number 37079320.4.0000.5258. Participants with negative results for SARS-CoV-2 infection by RT-qPCR and without signals and symptoms were included as a control group and called unexposed (n = 15) (Table 1). Demographic data such as age and gender of participants are described in Table 1.

2.1.2 In vitro bLf treatment assay

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from each participant were isolated and purified by density gradient centrifugation (Ficoll-Paque; Sigma), resuspended in freezing solution CryoStor (STEMCELL Technologies), and cryo-preserved in liquid nitrogen. PBMCs collected at T1, T2, and T3 were cultured in using Culture media for human cells (RPMI) 1640 media (InvitrogenTM) supplemented with 1 M 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1piperazineëthanesulfonic acid (HEPES) buffer, 2 mM L-glutamine, 5 μM β-mercaptoethanol, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1% non-essential amino-acid solution, 1% (v/v) vitamin, and 10% fetal bovine serum (InvitrogenTM) for 18 h (resting) before antigen stimulation. The cells were then incubated for 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO2 with recombinant IL-2 (50 ng/mL; Mabtech, #3851-2A) as a positive control. Afterward, PBMC samples from healthy participants unexposed to COVID-19 and from patients with convalescent COVID-19 (T2) were treated with different concentrations of apo-bLf (CAS BioSciences, New York, NY, USA) at 1, 5, and 10 mg/mL, and the cells were then incubated for 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO2, after resting of 18 h, whereas PBMC samples from T1 were treated with bLf at 10 mg/mL, after resting. The cells were incubated for 48 h at 37°C and 5% CO2. Subsequently, the cells were collected for RNA extraction using the TRIzol reagent (InvitrogenTM), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.1.3 Immunophenotyping by flow cytometry

After initial incubation, cells were harvested, and the pellet was washed with Fluorescence Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) buffer (25). After that, the cells were resuspended in live-dead blue dye solution,

TABLE 1 Demographic features of enrolled participants.

	Unexposed (n = 15)	COVID-19 (n = 15)
Age (mean ± SD)*	36 ± 9.4	30.9 ± 10.3
Sex (F/M)	11/4	12/3

*Years; F, female; M, male.

incubated for 15 min, washed with FACS buffer, and centrifuged at 400 g for 10 min. Then, the cells were incubated for 25 min at 2°C–8° C with a mix of human antibodies: CD8 Brilliant Violet 605 (clone SK1), CD56 PECy5 (clone B159), CD3 FITC (clone UCHT-1), CD16 PECF594 (clone 3G8), CD4 APC (clone L200), CD69 Brilliant Violet 421 (clone FN50), and CD279 (PD-1) Brilliant Violet 711 (clone EH12.1), all purchased from BD Biosciences (San Diego, CA, USA). The samples were washed with FACS buffer, centrifuged at 400 g for 10 min, homogenized with 1% paraformaldehyde solution, and analyzed using LSR Fortessa (BD Biosciences) followed by offline analysis by FlowJo software (BD Biosciences).

2.1.4 Cytokine detection in PBMC supernatant

To verify the levels of IL-6, C-X-C motif chemokine ligand 8 (IL-8/ CXCL8), C-C motif chemokine ligand 5 (rantes/CCL5) cytokines in the supernatant of stimulated PBMC, an in-house multiplex liquid microarray test was performed. To this end, 10⁶ xMAP microspheres (Luminex Corporation, Austin, Texas, USA) were coupled with antihuman mouse purified monoclonal antibodies at the following concentrations: anti-IL-6 at 100 µg/mL, anti-CXCL8 at 50 µg/mL, and anti-CCL5 at 100 µg/mL (all from Abcam Plc, Cambridge, UK). Coupling reactions were performed using the Amine Coupling Kit (Bio-Rad) following the manufacturer's instructions. For quantitation assay, the Bio-Plex Pro Human Cytokine Standard 27-plex, Group I (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA), was used as a standard curve following the manufacturer's instructions. Supernatant samples from cell culture were diluted 1:10, and standards were incubated in duplicates with coupled microspheres at 600 rotations per Minute (rpm) for 30 min at 37°C. The microspheres were washed three times with wash solution [Phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4) + 1% bovine serum albumin + 0.02% Tween 20 + 0.005% sodium azide] and incubated with antihuman goat polyclonal biotinylated antibodies (0.1 µg/mL) against all the analyzed cytokines (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN, USA) at 600 rpm for 30 min at 37°C. Subsequently, the microspheres were washed, incubated with streptavidin-Phycoerythrin (PE) (BD Biosciences) at 600 for 10 min at 37°C, and resuspended in the wash solution. The median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of each reaction was quantified in pg/mL using the Luminex MagPix system (Luminex Corporation, Austin, Texas, USA). Cytokine concentrations were calculated by interpolating MFI of samples with the standard curve and a fourparameter analysis was applied using the SoftMax Pro v5.4 software (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA, USA).

2.1.5 Cytokines gene expression in bLftreated PBMC

Complementary DNA (cDNA) was synthesized from 500 ng of total RNA using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Thirty-two transcripts were quantified, using *B2M*, *GAPDH*, *18S*, and *PPIA* genes as endogenous controls of expression (genes and primer descriptions are given in Supplementary Table S1). Analysis of gene expression was performed using Fluidigm (Biomark platform) assays, as described elsewhere (26), and according to the manufacturer's instructions. Data were normalized by division of the cycle threshold (Ct) mean value of targets by Ct mean of reference genes. Results were expressed on a logarithmic scale.

2.2 In silico molecular docking to evaluate the protein-protein interactions of bLf with TLR4 and NF- κ B

The *in silico* experiments were performed using Cluspro 2.0 server (https://cluspro.bu.edu/login.php) to molecular docking the protein–protein interaction model for bLf (Protein Data Bank (PDB): 1BLF) with TLR4 from *Homo sapiens* (human samples) (PDB: 4G8A) and bLf with NF-κB from *Homo sapiens* (human samples) (PDB: 1SVC). The top score models posed with the lowest energy were chosen to be analyzed through the PDBsum server (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/pdbsum) to get the residue interactions and bounding information. The PyMoL software (http://www.pymol.org/ pymol) was used to calculate the root mean square deviation (RMSD) by superimposition between the ligand's atoms and the visualization of the protein–protein docking generated.

2.3 In vivo experimental design

2.3.1 SARS-CoV-2 infection and bLf treatment of K18-hACE2

Twenty-one K18-hACE2 transgenic mice of both sexes were used as a SARS-CoV-2 infection model. Animals were 17 to 20 weeks old, weighing 20-25 g, SARS-CoV-2 naïve, and captivity colony born in the Mice Breeding Service of the Institute of Science and Technology in Biomodels of Fiocruz (Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). Of this total, three experimental groups (n = 7) were generated: negative control (NC), subjected to saline administration; a positive control (PC) group, infected 10⁵ SARS-CoV-2 particles (Wuhan strain); and a group that received both the viral particles and the apo-bLf at 10 mg/10 μ L (treated) for 72 h (at 12-h intervals). K18-hACE2 mice were inoculated through the intranasal route by trained staff and observed daily to record body weight and clinical signs of illness (bristling fur, arched back, respiratory alteration, eye discharge, and limited mobility). After 7 days post-infection (dpi), mice were euthanized by CO₂ asphyxiation followed by cervical dislocation (Figure 1A). Whole blood as well as pieces of lungs and brain tissues were harvested and stored using a stabilizer solution of InvitrogenTM, TRIzol (blood), or RNAlater (lungs and brain), according to the manufacturer's instructions. This research protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee on the Use of Animals of Fiocruz under certificate number LW-17/20.

2.3.2 Cytokine detection in K18-hACE2 mouse serum

Cytokines in serum samples from K18-hACE2 mice at the seventh dpi were detected using ProcartaPlex Mouse Th1/Th2 Cytokine Panel, 11plex kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer's instruction. The samples were assayed in duplicate to quantify the levels of the cytokines IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-12p70, IL-13, IL-18, IFN- γ , TNF- α , and Granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF). The results were analyzed as described in Section 2.1.4.

2.3.3 Cytokine/chemokine gene expression in tissues and whole blood

To evaluate the gene expression profile at 7 dpi, tissue mRNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen), and whole-blood mRNA was extracted by the TRIzol method, both procedures according to manufacturer's instructions, followed by cDNA synthesis from 500 ng of total RNA using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). The cytokines/chemokine IL1B, IL6, IL18, FTH1, NOX1, IL10, CASP1, GADD45G, IFNB1, TLR4, TLR9, CCL2, and CLEC5A transcripts were quantified, using B2M, GAPDH, and PPIA genes as endogenous controls of expression (gene and primer descriptions are given in Supplementary Table S1); qPCR was performed using SYBR[®] Green master mix (Applied Biosystems), 400 nM each primer (forward and reverse) and 5 ng each cDNA. The cycling conditions used were 10 min at 95°C, 15 s at 95°C, and 4 min at 60°C, for 45 cycles for DNA denaturation and amplification carried out using the QuantStudio Pro 7 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Using the LinRegPCR software, the mean PCR efficiency per amplicon was determined and used to calculate the start concentration per sample (N0), expressed as arbitrary fluorescence units. Data were normalized by the division of N0 mean of targets by N0 mean of the reference genes. Results were expressed on a logarithmic scale.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was performed to identify the normality assumption of the data. The comparisons between the explored groups were performed using the Mann-Whitney U test. For the ex vivo data, the comparison was performed among unexposed subjects and the different time points of blood collection were made for the COVID-19 group. For the in vitro data from FACS, the comparison was performed between unstimulated (mock) and all concentrations of bLf used in the cell culture assay. Bar graphs represent mean ± standard deviation (SD). Cell frequencies above 1% were considered for the final analysis of the flow cytometry data, and differences were considered statistically significant when $p \le 0.05$. The cytokines detected were analyzed by comparing the SARS-CoV-2-infected and bLf-treated groups, using the Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-test, and differences were considered statistically significant when $p \leq 0.05$. Log2-normalized expression was compared among NC, PC, and treated groups using the Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's post-test, and differences were considered statistically significant when $p \le 0.1$. Graphs and statistical analysis were performed using Prism software, v8.4.2 (San Diego, CA, USA).

3 Results

3.1 The relative amount of circulating NK and T cells affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection

Comparisons of blood from healthy subjects (unexposed) and patients with COVID-19 revealed that the percentage of circulating NK $(CD56^+)$ and $CD4^+$ T cells significantly increased at 20–30 days after



the onset of symptoms (Figures 2A, B, D). In contrast, $CD8^+$ T cells were reduced at the same time point (Figures 2A, E). Total monocytes ($CD14^+$) were not affected in the follow-up, and a restoration in $CD8^+$ T cells was observed 40–60 days after symptom onset (Figures 2A, C).

3.2 *In vitro* effects of bLf on NK and T cells and secreted cytokines in the convalescent phase

Considering the results for the COVID-19 group at 20–30 days after the onset of symptoms, PBMCs from this time point were chosen for *in vitro* cultivation with bLf to explore their effects on the subpopulations of NK, CD4⁺ T, and CD8⁺ T cells. Although we found that patients with COVID-19 showed an increase in the total NK population, in the subpopulation of NK cells, NK^{bright} cells (CD3⁻CD56^{high}) and NK-like T cells (NKT) were showed a decrease in the COVID-19 exposed group (Figures 3A, B). Furthermore, bLf (10 mg/mL) reduced the subpopulation of NK^{bright} cells (CD3⁻CD56^{high}) in individuals exposed and unexposed to COVID-19 (Figure 3A). The NKT subpopulation showed an apparent reduction in concentrations of 1, 5, and 10 mg/mL bLf in unexposed individuals, whereas those exposed only showed a reduction in bLf at a concentration of 10 mg/ mL (Figure 3B). When T cells were evaluated under bLf treatment, the activation marker (CD69) was found to be raised for CD4⁺ T cells at 5 and 10 mg/mL bLf (Figure 3C). In addition, the programmed death marker (CD279/PD-1) expression was diminished in bLf at 10 mg/mL for CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, but only in the COVID-19 group (Figures 3D, E). Secreted IL-6 levels were diminished upon bLf treatment at 5 and 10 mg/mL in unexposed PBMC culture (Figure 3F). Although CXCL8 levels were unaltered in both groups (Figure 3G), high levels of CCL5 were detected after bLf treatment at 10 mg/mL in the COVID-19 group (Figure 3H).

3.3 Increased expression of NFKB, IFIT1, NCF4, and TLR4 genes induced by bLf in an acute phase

Based on the results obtained in the immunophenotyping, treatment with bLf at 10 mg/mL had a greater effect on the frequency of cell subpopulations. Thus, the PBMCs of the acute



accessing the subpopulation of PBMCs by *ex vivo* immunophenotyping. (B) Percentage of total NK cells, (C) total monocytes, (D) total CD4⁺ T cells, and (E) total CD4⁺ T cells, obtained from unexposed individuals and patient with COVID-19. Percentage of obtained from unexposed individuals and patients with COVID-19. Percentage of obtained from unexposed individuals and patients with COVID-19. Percentage of obtained from unexposed individuals and patients with COVID-19. Percentage of obtained from unexposed individuals and patients with COVID-19. Percentage of obtained from unexposed individuals and patients with COVID-19 (**p < 0.01, and ****p < 0.0001).

phase COVID-19 group (T1) were treated with this concentration for evaluation of different cytokines by mRNA expression. Evaluating only the effect of Lf on PBMCs from acute participants, mRNA expression of *NFKB* (nuclear factor kappa B), *IFIT1* (ferritin heavy chain 1), *NCF4* (neutrophil cytosolic factor 4), and *TLR4* (TLR4) were upregulated with statistically significant differences compared to those in the NC and no treated (NT) groups as shown in Figure 4. However, no significant difference was seen in pro-inflammatory and regulatory cytokine genes.

3.4 Molecular docking shows interactions of bLf with TLR4 and NF- κB

Using *in silico* analysis, 66 residues (48 from chain A and 18 from chain B) of TLR4 (PDB: 4G8A) were found interacting with 62 residues of bLf (PDB: 1BLF). The binding information is summarized in Figure 5 and Table 2. In addition, bLf also interacted with NF- κ B (PDB: 1SVC) through 35 and 33 residues, respectively. Both TLR4 and NF- κ B had high weighted scores with low energy and good RMSD



(B) NKT(CD3⁺CD56⁺), (C) CD4⁺ activated T cells (CD69⁺), (D) exhausted CD4⁺ T cells (PD-1⁺), and (E) exhausted CD8⁺ T (PD-1⁺) cells upon stimulation with different bLf concentrations (1, 5, and 10 mg/mL), as well as unstimulated (mock). Levels of (F) IL-6, (G) CXCL8 (IL-8), and (H) CCL5 (RANTES) detected in supernatant obtained from cell stimulation conditions. *p < 0.05 and **p < 0.01.

values (<0.5 Å) in their interactions with bLf (Table 2). Such strong interchain interactions of bLf with a cell surface receptor (TLR4) and an intracellular protein (i.e., NF- κ B) may simulate the internalization of the molecule, suggesting a potential activation of immune cells.

3.5 Immunomodulatory effect of bLf on SARS-CoV-2 acute infection *in vivo*

During the monitoring of K18-hACE2 mice, a lower percentage of weight loss was observed in the animals that received bLf

(treated) in comparison to that in PC group, whereas the NC group did not show any weight loss (Supplementary Figure S1). There was also a slight decrease in clinical signs in animals from the treated group, with no significant difference in the infected group (PC) (Supplementary Figure S2). These clinical aspects suggest that bLf exerts a favorable effect in terms of COVID-19 outcome. Analyzing SARS-CoV-2 RT-qPCR, it was not possible to observe significant changes in the viral load of treated mice and PC group, in samples of the nasal swabs from 7 days post-challenge (data not showed). Hence, we hypothesized that the immunomodulatory



properties of bLf could be protective against COVID-19 in our mouse model.

The immunomodulatory effect of bLf on COVID-19 reflected in a differential cytokine profile in serum, blood cells, and lung/brain tissues of treated mice. Among cytokines analyzed in the serum, IFN- γ levels were lower in the treated bLf group and the NC group compared to those in the untreated mouse group PC (p < 0.05). The pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1β, IL-2, and GM-CSF, but not IFN- γ , showed higher levels in treated animals than that in the PC group (Figure 1B). In the blood, the treated group also presented a high expression of TLR4 and TLR9 when compared to the PC group (Figure 1C). In the brain, the virus led to high expression of CCL2, IL6, and IFNB1 and low FTH1. The bLf treatment led to increase FTH1 expression (Figure 1D). Analyzing the lung gene expression levels of the PC group in comparison to that of the NC group, it was observed that the levels of CCL2 and GADD45G increased and that of IL1B, CASP1, and NOX1 increased. The bLf treatment induced increased levels of IL1B and IL6 (Figure 1E). Thus, these data suggest that bLf treatment was able to increase the systemic and lung levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines and the homeostatic effects in iron metabolism in the treated group, contributing to an early and beneficial inflammatory response.

TABLE 2 Description of the top models of TLR4/bLf and NF- κ B/bLf docking complexes as assessed by the ClusPro 2.0 method.

	Weighted score	Lowest energy
ClusPro 2.0 model	TLR4 - bLf	NF-ĸB - bLf
Balanced (kcal/mol)	-1,210.1	-911.1
Electrostatic favored (kcal/mol)	-1,045.8	-1072.3
Hydrophobic favored (kcal/mol)	-998.8	-956.0
van de Waals + electrostatic (kcal/mol)	-333.2	-264.1
RMSD (Å)	0.262	0.368

RMSD, root mean square deviation value.

4 Discussion

The COVID-19 pandemic is still a threat, due to the fast virus spread of new variants (27, 28). The main feature of COVID-19 pathogenesis is the dysregulation of immunological responses called cytokine storm. Despite the development of effective vaccines, there is no specific treatment available, and some subjects remain vulnerable to severe manifestations, such as pregnant women, elderly, and immunocompromised people. Hence, it is imperative to develop a therapeutic strategy capable of being used in those risk groups. Here, we utilized cells from patients with COVID-19, *in silico* and *in vivo* approaches, for investigating the potential immunomodulatory effect of bLf in COVID-19 infections. Our findings show that bLf can bind to TLR4 and NF- κ B, modulating the frequency of circulating T and NK subtypes cells, as well as reestablishing homeostatic levels of immunological mediators.

Lf is a pleiotropic molecule that triggers both anti- and proinflammatory events, with an important role in general immunity toward the restoration of physiological homeostasis. Some studies demonstrated the anti-inflammatory effect of Lf on immune cells (macrophages, NK cells, and neutrophils) (5, 26). It has been shown that the treatment with Lf inhibits the formation of neutrophil extracellular traps in neutrophils exposed *in vitro* to polyclonal stimuli such as phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate (5). Moreover, Lf presents a protective role upon exposition to exogenous antigens, serving as a mediator for the activation and migration of macrophages/monocytes and dendritic cells (13, 29, 30). Here, the reduction of IL-6 levels and the frequency of NK cells in participants' unexposed (healthy) samples with bLf reinforced its potential immunomodulatory effect.

In the COVID-19 context, our findings suggest that the treatment with bLf could help develop a responsive immune response in the COVID-19 acute phase. In terms of innate immune responses, peripheral blood cells from our clinical cohort and mice infected with SARS-CoV-2 presented a cytokine storm profile observed in previous studies. Studies demonstrated that such a profile can be favorable during the acute phase by triggering antiviral responses but is associated with severity in the convalescent phase when the immunopathological responses can cause damage (27, 31). Here, bLf treatment in the acute phase led to



high levels of pro-inflammatory and antiviral cytokines, including high levels of TLR4, NF- κ B, and downstream genes, whose activation events are pivotal for fighting against viral infections.

Cell surface receptors (PRRs and TLR4) bind to several infectious and non-infectious agents triggering the activation of NF-KB, contributing to T-cell and other immune cell proliferation (9, 18, 19, 32). The binding of bLf to TLRs was previously demonstrated with an immunomodulatory effect, facilitating maturation, differentiation, and functional activity of neutrophils, monocytes, and dendritic cells (16). Nevertheless, the molecular interaction of bLf with TLR4 and NF-KB has not been well explored, especially during SARS-CoV-2 infection. Here, our in vitro study showed a significant expression of both TLR4 and NFKB after bLf treatment of immune cells from COVID-19 group, as indicated by RMSD value of 0.262 Å for TLR4 from human samples binding to bLf, whereas Ohto et al. (33) showed an RMSD value of 1.6 Å among TLR4 and putative ligand lipopolysaccharide (LPS). Also, analyzing the residues present in the binding sites, our molecular docking suggests the activation of both TLR4 and NF-KB because of bLf interaction. Mukund et al. (34) showed some binding residues of NFκB (Lys52, Ser243, Asp274, and Lys275) presenting the inhibition of the translocation to the nucleus and expression of NF-KB in breast cancer cell lines (35). These active site residues did not participate in any interaction observed in our in silico data, suggesting that they do not affect the binding between bLf and NF-KB (34). Also, it was observed in vitro in cell lineage the participation of carbohydrate chain human Lf in activation of TLR4-mediating innate immunity

(36). In this context, Ráscon-Cruz et al. (2021) showed that five potential glycosylation sites of bLf (Asn233, Asn281, Asn368, Asn476, and Asn545) can be exposed on its surface and may participate in the recognition of specific receptors (16). Our *in silico* findings detected two residues, Asn233 and Asn281, binding to TLR4, suggesting that these residues may participate in the immunomodulatory mechanism of bLf observed in the activation of NK and T cells during COVID-19. Likewise, *in vivo* bLf treatment also induced *TLR4* and *TLR9* in K18-hACE2 mouse peripheral blood. Both the pathogen and bLf were inoculated through the intranasal route to simulate viral tropism by the respiratory system. Allegedly, this is the first report to use this model to evaluate bLf immunomodulatory effect against acute COVID-19.

In COVID-19 group, NK cells (CD56⁺) and helper CD4⁺ T cells (Th1 and Th2) were found in the lungs of deceased patients and, with increased frequency in peripheral blood, were related to the inflammatory phase (21–23). In addition, it was demonstrated that SARS-CoV-2 can induce the activation of these immune cells (37–39). On the other hand, lymphopenia was also described by several studies, as well as impairment of IFN production, suggesting an ability of SARS-CoV-2 to perform immune escape or suppress the immune response (35, 40, 41). Similar results were detected in our study with patients in the acute phase of COVID-19, which showed an increase in NK cells and CD4⁺ T cells (20–30 days), but a decrease in CD8⁺ T cells up to 10 days and between 20 and 30 days from the onset of symptoms.

Moreover, bLf was able to reduce the percentage of NK cells subset even for COVID-19 or unexposed (healthy) subjects in the *in vitro* assay. Nevertheless, Kuhara et al. (2006) reported that oral administration of bLf in healthy mice increased NK cell populations and IFN-y production in the peripheral blood and spleen in a dosedependent manner, suggesting that bLf enhances NK cell activity (15). In contrast to our in vivo data, K18-hACE2 mice treatment with bLf showed lower serum IFN-γ levels on 7 dpi of SARS-CoV-2 infection. Regarding PD-1, Zeng et al. (2020) showed PD-1 overexpression by activated T cells associated with fatal outcomes in critical patients with COVID-19 (22). Here, in T cells expressing PD-1, bLf could impair its frequency, specifically in COVID-19 samples. Meanwhile, an increase of CD4⁺ T cells expressing CD69⁺ together with CCL5 levels was noted in our experiments using bLf on COVID-19 samples. Pérez-García et al. (2022) showed that low CCL5 expression levels in the upper respiratory tract are associated with COVID-19 severity (42). CCL5 chemokine combined with CCR5, CCR3, and CCR1 receptors is upregulated by Th1 responses and downregulated by Th2 responses, with an important role in Th1 cell recruitment and activation (43), which suggests a positive effect of bLf in our experiments. In addition, treated bLf caused an increase in GM-CSF, IL-1B, and IL-2 in serum levels in vivo, which returned to the homeostatic level.

That same effect was seen on the mRNA expression of several genes related to pro-inflammatory pathways in brain and lung tissues, confirming the modulating effect of bLf. Also, the high expression of *FTH1* is consistent with findings that demonstrate the role of bLf as a physiological orchestrator of iron and inflammatory homeostasis through its ability to modulate the expression of the major iron proteins, both in *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies as well as in clinical trials (10). Additionally, a higher *FTH1* expression was observed in the brain tissue of the bLf-treated group, indicating that bLf can cross the blood-brain barrier and suggesting that brain cells are important targets for its action (44).

In addition to its well-documented immunomodulatory properties, bLf exhibits a multifaceted antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2, acting at various stages of the viral lifecycle. Beyond modulating the immune response, bLf has been shown to block the interaction between the viral spike protein and heparan sulfate proteoglycans, which serve as alternative receptors facilitating viral entry (45, 46). Furthermore, bLf inhibits the activity of the serine protease Transmembrane Serine Protease 2 (TMPRSS2), crucial for viral priming, thereby preventing the virus from entering host cells (47). Additionally, bLf has been reported to reduce viral replication by inhibiting the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (48, 49) and to blunt the main viral protease Mpro (also known as 3CLpro), which is essential for viral protein processing and maturation (50). These diverse mechanisms of action likely contribute to the observed antiviral effects of bLf in our study and highlight its potential as a broad-spectrum antiviral agent against SARS-CoV-2.

Furthermore, the antiviral efficacy of bLF can vary depending on the SARS-CoV-2 variant, as demonstrated by Wotring et al. (14), who found that bLf and its derivative, bovine lactoferricin (bLfcin), were particularly effective against the Alpha (B.1.1.7) variant. In our study, we used the Wuhan strain, and, although this choice provides valuable insights, it is important to consider that different variants, due to their distinct mutations, may respond differently to bLf. These variations could influence the extent of bLf antiviral effects, as certain mutations might alter the virus interaction with host cell receptors or its susceptibility to Lf mechanisms of action. However, a more recent study by Alves et al. (51) revealed that SARS-CoV-2 Wuhan and Omicron (BA.1) strains were equivalent in terms of sensitivity to bLf during infection of Vero cells, regardless of the iron-saturation state of the protein. Further studies are thus needed to assess bLf effectiveness across a broader range of SARS-CoV-2 variants.

As a limitation of the current study, the high concentration of bLf used for the *in vitro* assays concerning the constitutive levels of Lf in humans could exacerbate the immune events induced by this molecule. For *in vivo* experiments, an additional collection point between 0 and 7 dpi would, perhaps, be more informative for the immunomodulatory effect of Lf, presenting a greater number of differentially expressed genes, because the transcription time of each gene may be different from each other.

Our findings reinforce the immunomodulatory effect of bLf already described in the literature and fill the gap in the knowledge about its regulatory effect on the immune response during SARS-CoV-2 infection. Taken together, the results presented here indicate that bLf affects the amount of circulating immune cells in the late stage of infection, whereas, in acute COVID-19, the bLf induces pro-inflammatory cytokines, suggesting that bLf can be explored considering the immunomodulatory effect under evaluation of the time of infection, as an important supplementation that supports immune in the therapy of SARS-CoV-2 infection, promoting immunological homeostasis. Thus, the bLf effects can be further explored in future clinical trials taking into consideration the time of infection, clinical conditions, and in synergism of other drugs that help clear to clear the infection and minimize several damages.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: Zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13845744.

Ethics statement

The studies involving humans were approved by Institutional Review Board under certificate number 37079320.4.0000.5258. The

studies were conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. The participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. The animal study was approved by Ethics Committee on the Use of Animals of Fiocruz under certificate number LW-17/20. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

Author contributions

AMVS: Data curation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Resources, Software, Validation, Visualization. TLM: Data curation, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization. RSN: Data curation, Methodology, Software, Formal analysis, Writing original draft. MPMDR: Data curation, Methodology, Software, Writing - original draft, Formal analysis. FSC: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. LNT: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing - original draft. LCR: Writing - original draft, Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Supervision. CB: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing - original draft. VPR: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing - original draft. ABTF: Data curation, Methodology, Software, Writing - original draft. JS: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing original draft. DRABC: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing - original draft. AFS: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing original draft. RBGS: Data curation, Methodology, Software, Writing - original draft. CAB: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing - original draft. DSF: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, Writing original draft. LCPR: Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Project administration, Writing - original draft. CAMC: Formal analysis, Project administration, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. BJDS: Data curation, Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing - original draft. RM: Data curation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Writing original draft. TA: Data curation, Formal analysis, Software, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. JGM: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. RBG: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review & editing. APAB: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This study received financial support from Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (VPPCB-005-FIO-20-2-11) to AAB, Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ E-26/211.369/2019, E-26/204.212/2022, E-26/200.205/2023) to JM and Ação Orçamentária 21C0 de Enfrentamento da Emergência de Saúde Pública de Importância Internacional Decorrente do Coronavírus from UNIRIO/Ministério da Educação do Governo do Brasil to RG. FAPERJ (E-26/201.098/2023, granted the Ryan Nascimento e Juliana Gil Melgaço.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the staff of Laboratório de Tecnologia Imunológica, Laboratório de Tecnologia Virológica, and Laboratório de Experimentação Animal for technical collaboration. We would also like to thank Laboratório de Pesquisa Multiusuário 01 (LPM-01) of the Federal University of the State of Rio de Janeiro for using its facilities as well as the medical, nursing and biochemical analysis team at Gaffrée and Guinle University Hospital—Elaine Ximenes and José Lopes Magalhães—for their competent technical assistance during the collections and the logistics and sample transportation team, especially Jorge Vasconcellos Mesquita.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1456634/ full#supplementary-material

References

1. WHO. Coronavirus (COVID-19) dashboard. Available online at: https://covid19. who.int (Accessed July 31, 2022).

2. Xavier AR, Silva JS, Almeida JPCL, Conceição JFF, Lacerda GS, Kanaan S. COVID-19: clinical and laboratory manifestations in novel coronavirus infection. *Jornal Brasileiro Patologia e Medicina Laboratorial*. (2020) 56:e3232020. doi: 10.5935/1676-2444.20200049

3. Tang N, Li D, Wang X, Sun Z. Abnormal coagulation parameters are associated with poor prognosis in patients with novel coronavirus pneumonia. *J Thromb Haemost*. (2020) 18:844–7. doi: 10.1111/jth.14768

4. Melgaço JG, Brito e Cunha D, Azamor T, da Silva AMV, Tubarão LN, Gonçalves RB, et al. Cellular and molecular immunology approaches for the development of immunotherapies against the new coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2): challenges to near-future breakthroughs. *J Immunol Res.* (2020) 2020:8827670. doi: 10.1155/2020/8827670

5. Okubo K, Kamiya M, Urano Y, Nishi H, Herter JM, Mayadas T, et al. Lactoferrin suppresses neutrophil extracellular traps release in inflammation. *EBioMedicine*. (2016) 10:204–15. doi: 10.1016/j.ebiom.2016.07.012

6. Montreuil J, Tonnelat J, Mullet S. Préparation et propriétés de la lactosidérophiline (lactotransferrine) du lait de femme. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. (1960) 45:413–21. doi: 10.1016/0006-3002(60)91478-5

7. Vogel HJ. Lactoferrin, a bird's eye view. Biochem Cell Biol. (2012) 90:233-44. doi: 10.1139/o2012-016

8. Valenti P, Antonini G. Lactoferrin: Lactoferrin: an important host defence against microbial and viral attack. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2005) 62:2576–87. doi: 10.1007/s00018-005-5372-0

9. Puddu P, Valenti P, Gessani S. Immunomodulatory effects of lactoferrin on antigen presenting cells. *Biochimie*. (2009) 91:11–8. doi: 10.1016/j.biochi.2008.05.005

10. Rosa L, Cutone A, Lepanto M, Paesano R, Valenti P. Lactoferrin: A natural glycoprotein involved in iron and inflammatory homeostasis. *IJMS*. (2017) 18:1985. doi: 10.3390/ijms18091985

11. Berlutti F, Pantanella F, Natalizi T, Frioni A, Paesano R, Polimeni A, et al. Antiviral properties of lactoferrin—A natural immunity molecule. *Molecules*. (2011) 16:6992–7018. doi: 10.3390/molecules16086992

12. Redwan EM, Uversky VN, El-Fakharany EM, Al-Mehdar H. Potential lactoferrin activity against pathogenic viruses. *Comptes Rendus Biologies*. (2014) 337:581–95. doi: 10.1016/j.crvi.2014.08.003

13. Chang R, Ng TB, Sun W-Z. Lactoferrin as potential preventative and adjunct treatment for COVID-19. Int J Antimicrobial Agents. (2020) 56:106118. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106118

14. Wotring JW, Fursmidt R, Ward L, Sexton JZ. Evaluating the *in vitro* efficacy of bovine lactoferrin products against SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern. J Dairy Sci. (2022) 105:2791-802. doi: 10.3168/jds.2021-21247

15. Kuhara T, Yamauchi K, Tamura Y, Okamura H. Oral administration of lactoferrin increases NK cell activity in mice via increased production of IL-18 and type I IFN in the small intestine. *J Interferon Cytokine Res.* (2006) 26:489–99. doi: 10.1089/jir.2006.26.489

16. Rascón-Cruz Q, Espinoza-Sánchez EA, Siqueiros-Cendón TS, Nakamura-Bencomo SI, Arévalo-Gallegos S, Iglesias-Figueroa BF. Lactoferrin: A glycoprotein involved in immunomodulation, anticancer, and antimicrobial processes. *Molecules*. (2021) 26:205. doi: 10.3390/molecules26010205

17. Curran CS, Bertics PJ. Lactoferrin regulates an axis involving CD11b and CD49d integrins and the chemokines MIP-1 α and MCP-1 in GM-CSF-treated human primary eosinophils. *J Interferon Cytokine Res.* (2012) 32:450–61. doi: 10.1089/jir.2011.0111

18. Zemankova N, Chlebova K, Matiasovic J, Prodelalova J, Gebauer J, Faldyna M. Bovine lactoferrin free of lipopolysaccharide can induce a proinflammatory response of macrophages. *BMC Vet Res.* (2016) 12:251. doi: 10.1186/s12917-016-0878-2

19. Khan A, Khan SU, Khan A, Shal B, Rehman SU, Rehman SU, et al. Antiinflammatory and anti-rheumatic potential of selective plant compounds by targeting TLR-4/AP-1 signaling: A comprehensive molecular docking and simulation approaches. *Molecules*. (2022) 27:4319. doi: 10.3390/molecules27134319

20. Guan W, Ni Z, Hu Y, Liang W, Ou C, He J, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med. (2020) 382:1708–20. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa2002032

21. Maucourant C, Filipovic I, Ponzetta A, Aleman S, Cornillet M, Hertwig L, et al. Natural killer cell immunotypes related to COVID-19 disease severity. *Sci Immunol.* (2020) 5:eabd6832. doi: 10.1126/sciimmunol.abd6832

22. Zeng Z, Xu L, Xie X-Y, Yan H-L, Xie B-J, Xu W-Z, et al. Pulmonary pathology of early phase COVID-19 pneumonia in a patient with a benign lung lesion. *Histopathology.* (2020) 5:823-31. doi: 10.1111/his.14138

23. Zhao J, Yuan Q, Wang H, Liu W, Liao X, Su Y, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients of novel coronavirus disease 2019. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2020) 71: ciaa344. doi: 10.1093/cid/ciaa344

24. Bonifacius A, Tischer-Zimmermann S, Dragon AC, Gussarow D, Vogel A, Krettek U, et al. COVID-19 immune signatures reveal stable antiviral T cell function

despite declining humoral responses. Immunity. (2021) 54:340-354.e6. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.01.008

25. Melgaço JG, Soriani FM, Sucupira PHF, Pinheiro LA, Vieira YR, de Oliveira JM, et al. Changes in cellular proliferation and plasma products are associated with liver failure. *World J Hepatol.* (2016) 8:1370–83. doi: 10.4254/wjh.v8.i32.1370

26. Guerreiro LTA, Robottom-Ferreira AB, Ribeiro-Alves M, Toledo-Pinto TG, Rosa Brito T, Rosa PS, et al. Gene expression profiling specifies chemokine, mitochondrial and lipid metabolism signatures in leprosy. *PloS One.* (2013) 8: e64748. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0064748

27. Vasireddy D, Vanaparthy R, Mohan G, Malayala SV, Atluri P. Review of COVID-19 variants and COVID-19 vaccine efficacy: what the clinician should know? *J Clin Med Res.* (2021) 13:317–25. doi: 10.14740/jocmr4518

28. Hadj Hassine I. Covid-19 vaccines and variants of concern: A review. *Rev Med Virol.* (2022) 32:e2313. doi: 10.1002/rmv.2313

29. Habib HM, Ibrahim S, Zaim A, Ibrahim WH. The role of iron in the pathogenesis of COVID-19 and possible treatment with lactoferrin and other iron chelators. *Biomed Pharmacother*. (2021) 136:111228. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2021.111228

30. Wang Y, Wang P, Wang H, Luo Y, Wan L, Jiang M, et al. Lactoferrin for the treatment of COVID-19 (Review). *Exp Ther Med.* (2020) 20:1-1. doi: 10.3892/etm.2020.9402

31. Fouladseresht H, Doroudchi M, Rokhtabnak N, Abdolrahimzadehfard H, Roudgari A, Sabetian G, et al. Predictive monitoring and therapeutic immune biomarkers in the management of clinical complications of COVID-19. *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.* (2021) 58:32–48. doi: 10.1016/j.cytogfr.2020.10.002

32. Perdijk O, van Neerven RJJ, van den Brink E, Savelkoul HFJ, Brugman S. Bovine lactoferrin modulates dendritic cell differentiation and function. *Nutrients.* (2018) 10:848. doi: 10.3390/nu10070848

33. Ohto U, Fukase K, Miyake K, Shimizu T. Structural basis of species-specific endotoxin sensing by innate immune receptor TLR4. *Nature*. (2012) 490(7421):502–6. doi: 10.1038/nature11543

34. Mukund V, Behera SK, Alam A, Nagaraju GP. Molecular docking analysis of nuclear factor- κ B and genistein interaction in the context of breast cancer. *Bioinformation*. (2019) 15:11-7. doi: 10.6026/97320630015011

35. Hadjadj J, Yatim N, Barnabei L, Corneau A, Boussier J, Pere H, et al. Impaired type I interferon activity and exacerbated inflammatory responses in severe Covid-19 patients. *Infect Dis (except HIV/AIDS)*. (2020) 369(6504):718-24. doi: 10.1101/2020.04.19.20068015

36. Ando K, Hasegawa K, Shindo K, Furusawa T, Fujino T, Kikugawa K, et al. Human lactoferrin activates NF- κ B through the Toll-like receptor 4 pathway while it interferes with the lipopolysaccharide-stimulated TLR4 signaling. *FEBS J.* (2010) 277:2051–66. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2010.07620.x

37. Melgaço JG, Azamor T, Silva AMV, Linhares JHR, dos Santos TP, Mendes YS, et al. Two-step *in vitro* model to evaluate the cellular immune response to SARS-CoV-2. *Cells.* (2021) 10:2206. doi: 10.3390/cells10092206

38. Orlov M, Wander PL, Morrell ED, Mikacenic C, Wurfel MM. A case for targeting th17 cells and IL-17A in SARS-CoV-2 infections. *J Immunol.* (2020) 205:892-8. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol.2000554

39. Martonik D, Parfieniuk-Kowerda A, Rogalska M, Flisiak R. The role of th17 response in COVID-19. *Cells.* (2021) 10:1550. doi: 10.3390/cells10061550

40. Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Netea MG, Rovina N, Akinosoglou K, Antoniadou A, Antonakos N, et al. Complex immune dysregulation in COVID-19 patients with severe respiratory failure. *Cell Host Microbe.* (2020) 27:992–1000.e3. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2020.04.009

41. Krämer B, Knoll R, Bonaguro L, ToVinh M, Raabe J, Astaburuaga-García R, et al. Early IFN- α signatures and persistent dysfunction are distinguishing features of NK cells in severe COVID-19. *Immunity.* (2021) 54:2650–2669.e14. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2021.09.002

42. Pérez-García F, Martin-Vicente M, Rojas-García RL, Castilla-García L, Muñoz-Gomez MJ, Hervás Fernández I, et al. High SARS-CoV-2 viral load and low *CCL5* expression levels in the upper respiratory tract are associated with COVID-19 severity. *J Infect Dis.* (2022) 225:977–82. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiab604

43. Hu J-Y, Zhang J, Cui J-L, Liang X-Y, Lu R, Du G-F, et al. Increasing CCL5/CCR5 on CD4+ T cells in peripheral blood of oral lichen planus. *Cytokine*. (2013) 62:141–5. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2013.01.020

44. Fillebeen C, Descamps L, Dehouck M-P, Fenart L, Benaïssa M, Spik G, et al. Receptor-mediated transcytosis of lactoferrin through the blood-brain barrier. *J Biol Chem.* (1999) 274:7011–7. doi: 10.1074/jbc.274.11.7011

45. Hu Y, Meng X, Zhang F, Xiang Y, Wang J. The *in vitro* antiviral activity of lactoferrin against common human coronaviruses and SARS-CoV-2 is mediated by targeting the heparan sulfate co-receptor. *Emerging Microbes Infections*. (2021) 10:317–30. doi: 10.1080/2221751.2021.1888660

46. Prieto-Fernández E, Egia-Mendikute L, Vila-Vecilla L, Bosch A, Barreira-Manrique A, Lee SY, et al. Hypoxia reduces cell attachment of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein by modulating the expression of ACE2, neuropilin-1, syndecan-1 and cellular heparan sulfate. *Emerging Microbes Infections*. (2021) 10:1065–76. doi: 10.1080/22221751.2021.1932607

47. Ohradanova-Repic A, Skrabana R, Gebetsberger L, Tajti G, Baráth P, Ondrovičová G, et al. Blockade of TMPRSS2-mediated priming of SARS-CoV-2 by lactoferricin. *Front Immunol.* (2022) 13:958581. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.958581

48. Lai X, Yu Y, Xian W, Ye F, Ju X, Luo Y, et al. Identified human breast milk compositions effectively inhibit SARS-CoV-2 and variants infection and replication. *iScience*. (2022) 25:104136. doi: 10.1016/j.isci.2022.104136

49. He S, Qin H, Guan L, Liu K, Hong B, Zhang X, et al. Bovine lactoferrin inhibits SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-1 by targeting the RdRp complex and alleviates

viral infection in the hamster model. J Med Virol. (2023) 95:e28281. doi: 10.1002/jmv.28281

50. Zhao W, Li X, Yu Z, Wu S, Ding L, Liu J. Identification of lactoferrin-derived peptides as potential inhibitors against the main protease of SARS-CoV-2. *Lebensm Wiss Technol.* (2022) 154:112684. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112684

51. Alves NS, Azevedo AS, Dias BM, Horbach IS, Setatino BP, Denani CB, et al. Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 infection in vero cells by bovine lactoferrin under different iron-saturation states. *Pharmaceuticals*. (2023) 16:1352. doi: 10.3390/ph16101352