



Shifts in Estuarine Macroinvertebrate Communities Associated With Water Quality and Climate Change

Thomas Goulding^{1*†}, Pedro M. Sousa^{2†}, Gilda Silva¹, João Paulo Medeiros¹, Frederico Carvalho¹, Inês Metelo³, Catarina Freitas⁴, Nuno Lopes⁴, Paula Chainho^{1,5,6‡} and José Lino Costa^{1,5‡}

¹ Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre, Faculty of Sciences, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal, ² Centre for Marine Investigation of the Algarve, University of the Algarve, Faro, Portugal, ³ Department of Environment, Energy and Climatic Change, City Council of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal, ⁴ Department for Innovation, Environment, Climate, and Sustainability, City Council of Almada, Almada, Portugal, ⁶ Department of Animal Biology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal, ⁶ Centre for Energy and Environment Research, Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal, Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal Campus do IPS - Estefanilha, Setúbal, Portugal

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Maria Bebianno, University of Algarve, Portugal

Reviewed by:

Pablo Muniz, Universidad de la República, Uruguay Sara Cristina Antunes, University of Porto, Portugal

*Correspondence:

Thomas Goulding tgoulding@fc.ul.pt

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

[‡]These authors have contributed equally to this work and share senior authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Marine Ecosystem Ecology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Marine Science

Received: 21 April 2021 Accepted: 21 September 2021 Published: 18 November 2021

Citation:

Goulding T, Sousa PM, Silva G, Medeiros JP, Carvalho F, Metelo I, Freitas C, Lopes N, Chainho P and Costa JL (2021) Shifts in Estuarine Macroinvertebrate Communities Associated With Water Quality and Climate Change. Front. Mar. Sci. 8:698576. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.698576 The present work aims to identify changes in the macroinvertebrate community of the Tagus estuary (Portugal) due to improvements in water quality and to climate change. Data was collected over a period of 16 years (1998–2014) from different sites located along the estuarine gradient. The AZTI Marine Biotic Index (AMBI) was used to assess the ecological quality status based on benthic invertebrate communities and identify possible variations associated with changes in water quality. The overall distribution of each species was examined to detect possible changes associated with climate, based on species' affinity for more temperate or subtropical climates. Results demonstrate that there was an overall improvement of AMBI scores during the assessment period. The analysis of the geographical distribution of benthic species seems to indicate that there has been an increase of species which prefer subtropical climates in the shallower waters of the estuary, whereas in the deeper estuarine sections the propensity is for species that prefer temperate climates.

Keywords: AMBI, ecological status, Portugal, long term data analysis, temporal change, species distribution, global change

INTRODUCTION

By straddling the transitional point between rivers and the oceans, estuaries play an essential role in nature and provide a wide variety of essential ecosystem services (Savage et al., 2012; Layman et al., 2014; Gittman et al., 2016). Due to these ecosystem services and sheltered nature, estuaries have attracted human settlements since prehistoric times (López-Romero et al., 2021). Nowadays, these settlements have evolved into great metropolises where millions of people live and work. This rapid urban development in conjunction with the accompanying agricultural and industrial advances puts enormous pressure on estuaries (Lemley et al., 2017). However, due to their naturally high variability in environmental conditions which fluctuate daily, seasonally, and annually, it is very difficult to truly understand the origin of changes within these systems (Yin, 2002; Maes et al., 2004; Cloern and Jassby, 2010; Caffrey et al., 2014; Lanari et al., 2021). Benthic

invertebrates are frequently used to assess these changes since they play an essential role in the productivity of the food webs and are also a crucial part of the process of nutrient cycling (van Oevelen et al., 2006). Since species included in this group have low mobility and a close relationship with sediments, they can incorporate biotic responses to a variety of contaminants, such as metals and organic matter, making them excellent indicators for assessing the environmental status of a water body (Nunes et al., 2008).

The AMBI-AZTI Marine Biotic Index (Borja et al., 2000) was developed to assess the ecological status of marine environments in accordance with the Water Framework Directive (WFD). This index has also been used to assess the environmental status and seabed integrity in the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD), and in general, to determine the status of soft-bottom marine benthic communities based on the sensitivity/tolerance of species to organic pollution. It classifies water bodies into five categories: Undisturbed, Slightly disturbed, Moderately disturbed, Heavily disturbed, and Extremely disturbed. It has been applied under different impact sources, demonstrating its usefulness in detecting specific localized impacts as well as "diffuse pollution." This index has been used broadly all over the world, as a tool to assess many different types of pressures (Borja et al., 2003, 2019; Carvalho et al., 2006; Belhaouari et al., 2019) in very different geographical locations such as the Baltic sea (Muxika et al., 2005), Persian gulf (Hoveizavy et al., 2012), and the Nandgoan coastal waters of India (Sivaraj et al., 2014). Furthermore, it has been adapted for use in United States coastal waters (US AMBI) (Pelletier et al., 2018) and the Arctic (Azovsky and Kokarev, 2019). This worldwide adoption is largely due to its suitability for the assessment of environments such as estuaries (Tweedley et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2018), coastal lagoons (Carvalho et al., 2006; Reizopoulou et al., 2014), and fjords (Quiroga et al., 2013; Alve et al., 2016).

The soft bottom invertebrates that live in estuaries, are not only affected by human pressures, but also by natural changes such as seasonal and daily (e.g., tidal cycles) variations in the environmental conditions or even the occurrence of extreme stressful events, such as floods and droughts (Chainho et al., 2006). These extreme events have been more frequent because of climate change, a common problem for the planet (Jennerjahn and Mitchell, 2013; Robins et al., 2016). The change of average surface temperature, increased rainfall, acidification, and shifts in marine currents are some examples of the components which may bring on changes in estuarine communities and it is difficult to predict when these changes will occur and on what scale. However, the impact of increased temperature has been proven to be linked to low dissolved oxygen levels and a higher possibility of eutrophication. Increased rainfall has been linked to decreases in salinity which can affect species with a different osmotic regulation capability, while a decreased pH has negative effects on the metabolism of crustaceans and bivalves (Roessig et al., 2004; Pörtner et al., 2008; Bernardino et al., 2016; Goulding et al., 2017; Szalaj et al., 2017; Scanes et al., 2020). Scanes et al. (2020) concluded that effects due to climate change occur at a faster rate in estuaries due to lower depths, which enhances the influence of temperature increase. In a changing world it is of

the utmost importance to be able to provide decision makers with the most accurate and up to date information on which to make policy decisions that will affect the lives of their fellow man (Wackernagel et al., 2004). The implementation of conservation and management decisions for ecosystems requires an in-depth knowledge of their biodiversity to inform essential policy (Dubois et al., 2016). Essentially, the longer the time series the clearer the picture, allowing shifts to be analyzed in a historical context by providing a comparative analysis of change of taxa, biomes, and geographic regions while also providing insights into the mechanisms involved (Dornelas et al., 2014; Cloern et al., 2016).

The Tagus estuary is the largest in Portugal, with an area of 325 km² and is situated in a biogeographic transition area whose border is located between the Carvoeiro cape in the North and the Tagus estuary in the South (Hayden et al., 1984). This means that this region is already associated with a mixture of flora and fauna from both Atlantic temperate regions and subtropical Mediterranean and Atlantic ones. This makes the Tagus estuary an ideal estuarine ecosystem to study the potential changes in biota resulting from climate change, especially because it exhibits many bays, channels and recesses providing a wide diversity of habitats that favor the colonization by species with different ecological characteristics. However, due to its location in the greater metropolitan area of Lisbon, which has approximately 2.5 million inhabitants, it is under considerable pressure from not only a variety of industrial operations and port facilities but also agricultural operations in the upper reaches (Vasconcelos et al., 2007). The inner reaches of the estuary present an almost delta-like morphology with low salinity (between 0 and 15), extensive mudflats and saltmarsh areas. The intermediary area is mostly subtidal and more saline (10-30), while the mouth of the estuary is under a high marine influence during most of the year (salinity 30-35) and is much deeper, reaching 40 m (Costa et al., 2007; Gaudêncio and Cabral, 2007; Vaz et al., 2011). Vasconcelos et al. (2007) found that the Tagus estuary was the most anthropologically pressured in the country by aggregating a multi-metric index which quantitatively evaluates influences from key components: dams, population, industry, port activities, and resource exploitation. Cabral et al. (2012) used the Estuarine Fish Assessment Index (EFAI), to evaluate the ecological integrity of the Tagus estuary's fish communities and ascertains that it had a good Ecological Quality Ratio (EQR) for this element in 2006 and 2010. Chainho et al. (2008) used different benthic assessment tools to understand its robustness in evaluating the benthic ecological status as a response to different levels of human pressure and concluded that most indices and metrics were not sensitive enough to accurately distinguish between all ecological quality classes and to separate between natural and human pressures. Research on the effects of climate change to the biota of the Tagus estuary has been conducted for fish communities (Cabral et al., 2001; Vinagre et al., 2007) however similiar studies for the benthic macrofauna community are non-existent. On the contrary, the use of AMBI index is well documented and has been used to assess the integrity of the ecosystem based on benthic macroinvertebrates (Chainho et al., 2008, 2010; Azeda et al., 2013).

Due to their geographical characteristics, we can find a much wider range of physicochemical parameters in estuaries than, for example, the open ocean. Therefore, in this environment of extremes we can expect to see faster changes due to climate change. The aim of this paper is to analyze spatial and temporal changes in the composition and structure of the benthic macroinvertebrate community in the Tagus estuary and to identify possible associations between those changes and improvements in water quality as well as influence of climate change on species distribution. Considering that over the last 20 years there have been a variety of interventions within the Tagus estuary to improve water quality, specifically the construction of several Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTPs), the initial step of this study was to assess the ecological status of the studied sites over time using the AMBI index. The possible influence of climate change was based on Cabral et al. (2001) where they found that there had been an average increase of 1°C in the Tagus estuary. This was assessed by investigating changes in the community composition based on their native distribution being from either North or South of the ecoregion in which the estuary is located.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This research was based on data collected from various projects carried out at MARE-Marine and Environmental Science Centre, Lisbon University Campus. Datasets used in this study were selected to address temporal changes in the benthic macrofauna community along different timescales and spatial gradients of the Tagus estuary and relate it to changes associated to (i) improvements on the ecological status of the system and (ii) climate change. Only data from the summer was used to discount seasonal variation and because this is the time of year when the benthic macroinvertebrate communities better reflect the impacts of anthropogenic pressures (Chainho et al., 2007). These samples were collected over 16 years (1998-2014) and covered the whole extent of the estuary with a total of 50 sampling stations. From these sampling locations, two types of data were obtained: time series that correspond to yearly samplings in Porto Buxo (PB); Portinho da Costa (PC); Parque das Nações Subtidal (PNS); and Parque das Nações Intertidal (PNI) but are spatially restricted; and spatial series which is restricted to two years (2003 and 2014) but whose sampling stations span the estuary consisting of Estuary Middle (EM), Estuary Bays (EB), and Estuary Lower (EL) (Figure 1).

Yearly samples were taken between 2002 and 2010 at PB and PC sites, using a Day grab with an area of 0.1 m^2 (1 replicate). The samples at PB were collected from 9 sampling stations radiating from the shore. At PC there were 12 sampling stations organized in circular transects approximately 50 m from the shoreline (**Figure 1**). This area is most like a marine environment, located in the deepest part of the estuary and consists of sandy sediments, with a coarser fraction present at PB and salinity in both sites is usually above 30 (Rodrigues et al., 2016). These samples were collected with the aim of monitoring the effects of the implementation of a municipal WWTP at PC which began

operations in 2003, and the discontinuation of discharges of non-treated effluent at PB in the same year (Costa et al., 2018).

The samples from the north bank in the intermediate shallow area of the Tagus estuary were taken yearly, with the aid of a van Veen grab (0.05 m²) (1 replicate) from the PNI (nine stations) and PNS (three stations) sites (Figure 1) between 1998 and 2013. Due to externalities, both sites were not sampled in the year 1999 and neither was PNS in 2002. The sediment is characterized by silts and the salinity varies daily and seasonally between 26 and 31 (Chainho et al., 2010). Monitoring was carried out to assess the effectiveness of the rehabilitation (conducted until 1998) of the surrounding area at the old docks for the EXPO'98 exhibition carried out in the area currently known as Parque das Nações. Long term spatial changes across the estuarine gradient were assessed based on samples collected at 14 stations distributed randomly in the estuarine area in 2003 and 2014 using a van Veen grab (0.05 m²) (1 replicate). These sampling stations were grouped into three sites according to their location in the estuary: EM (seven stations); EB (four stations); and EL (three stations) (Figure 1). The salinity for these sites can vary between 15 and 30 and as a general rule the sediments range from medium to fine sand in the upstream sites (EM) to silt and clay further downstream (EB and EL) (Chainho et al., 2008).

As mentioned previously, the salinity and temperature within the estuary vary considerably. For the sampling stations located within or closer to the mouth of the estuary (PB, PC, EL) and Estuary Bays (EB), the salinity varies between 32 and 35, while the temperature in PB, PC, and EL has a range around 17-19°C, the Estuary Bays ranged between 17 and 23°C. As for locations within the intermediate section of the estuary (PNS, PNI, EM), the salinity would vary between 25 and 32, and the temperature ranged between 18 and 21°C. As a rule, the sediments range from fine sand (0.0250-0.0063 mm) to silt and clay (<0.063 mm) in the sampling locations located in the intermediate section of the estuary (PNS, PNI, and EM), to mostly fine sands and silt and clay further downstream (PB, PC, EB, EL). The percentage of organic matter across the estuary varies between 4 and 12%. The oxygen saturation in the water is between 81 and 113% for the intermediary section of the estuary (PNS, PNI, and EM), and 91 and 107% for the locations within or closer to the mouth of the estuary (PB, PC, EB, EL).

All sediment samples were fixed and preserved with 4% buffered formalin then sieved using a 0.5 mm mesh, preserved in 70% ethanol and stained with Rose Bengal in the laboratory. The specimens were identified to the lowest possible taxonomic level and then counted to determine the number of taxa and their respective abundance. Hayward and Ryland (1995) was used to identify most taxa, along with other scientific publications on specific taxonomic groups. Taxonomic classification followed WORMS (World Register of Marine Species) (available at www.marinespecies.org) online guidelines.

The AMBI index follows a model (Glémarec and Hily, 1981; Grall and Glémarec, 1997) which categorizes benthic invertebrates into five ecological groups (EGs), depending on their dominance along a gradient of organic enrichment and oxygen depletion. These groups include sensitive species (EG



I), indifferent species (EG II), tolerant species (EG III), 2nd order opportunists (EG IV) and 1st order opportunists (EG V) (**Supplementary Annexe 1**). The AMBI value of each sampling station was calculated as proposed by Borja et al. (2000) and a yearly median value for each study site (PB; PC, PNS; PNI; EM; EB; EL) was obtained to understand if there were changes in the benthic ecological status associated with changes in anthropogenic pressures. The AMBI index was calculated using AMBI version 5.0 software, available from https://ambi.azti.es/.

To test the hypothesis that there is a change occurring in the benthic macroinvertebrate community of the Tagus estuary due to climate change, the global distribution of the species located at each study area was ascertained for every year that data was available. Spalding et al. (2007) definition of ecoregions was used, situating the Tagus estuary in the South European Atlantic Shelf Eco Region (SEASER). A classification of 0, 1, or 2 was attributed to each species, based on whether they were a native species to the ecoregions North of the SEASER (type 0), a native species to SEASER (type 1), or a native species to the ecoregions South of SEASER (type 2) (Supplementary Annexe 1). Following this the mean value of the replicates from each area and their deviation from 1 was used to assess whether there was a change in the benthic macroinvertebrate communities and whether this change was influenced by either southern or northern species. Furthermore, it was identified when some of these species started to occur in

the estuary and whether their numbers seemed to increase or decrease over time.

A Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) (Clarke and Gorley, 2006; Anderson et al., 2008) was conducted to assess the spatial and temporal patterns of benthic communities at each studied location. The software Primer 6 was used to conduct the ordinations on the triangular matrices of Bray–Curtis similarity coefficients for each sampling station and year. Datasets were previously square root transformed. Furthermore, to identify which species and factors were related to anthropogenic pressures and climate change, only vectors with a Pearson's correlation of at least r < 0.05 with the ordination axes were overlaid on the graphs.

To assess significant temporal differences, a PERMANOVA (Permutational Analysis of Variance) (Anderson et al., 2008) was performed, using a normalized dataset to build a similarity matrix based on Euclidean distances. This test ascertained whether there were significant temporal differences for the AMBI and geographic distribution of species present over the study period. Year and estuarine areas were used as fixed factors in the spatial and temporal gradient of the case study. If significant differences (r < 0.05) were found a paired *t*-test was performed. Furthermore, G-tests of independence (Sokal and Rohlf, 1995) were run using BIOMstat, version 3.0 (available from appliedbiostat.com) to determine whether distribution of the species (0 or 2) was independent of the sampling year.

RESULTS

A total of 67,188 specimens were identified. Most of the specimens (45,154) were collected in PB (21,557) and PC (23,597) (Table 1). The dominant taxonomic group at all locations, except for PNI, was Polychaeta in both abundance and richness. At PNI the most abundant taxonomic group was Clitellata, while Polychaeta was the group with the highest richness. Bivalves were the 2nd most dominant taxonomic group in PB and PC. At LE and EB the class Malacostraca was the 2nd most representative taxa (Table 1). The dominant taxa at PB and PC were Mediomastus fragilis (Rasmussen, 1973) (26 and 23.1% respectively), Pomatoceros sp. (11.4 and 20% respectively), and Oligochaeta (9.5 and 4,8% respectively). At PNS the dominant taxa were Oligochaeta (25%), Streblospio shrubsolii (Buchanan, 1890) (23.9%), and Tharyx sp. (22.8%), while at PNI, Oligochaeta (35%), Peringia ulvae (Pennant, 1777) (28.8%), and S. shrubsolii (16.7%) were dominant. Within the spatial estuarine gradient the taxa differed depending on the location, with most dominant taxa being: at EM Tubificoides sp. (20.1%), S. shrubsolii (10.1%) and Chaetozone setosa (Malmgren, 1867) (7.8%); at EB, C. setosa (50.3%), S. shrubsolii (8.4%), and Polydora cornuta (Bosc, 1802) (5.2%); and at EL, C. setosa (47%), S. shrubsolii (8.4%), and Melita palmata (Grube, 1860) (7.7%).

Mean annual AMBI index values calculated for PB (**Figure 2A**) and PC (**Figure 2B**) have fluctuated over the study period ranging between 1.30 and 3.43 in PB and 1.90 and 2.71 in PC. Even considering these fluctuations, these values have always been within the range for slightly disturbed (SD) communities, except for the year 2002 in PB where the index value of 3.49 equates with moderately disturbed (MD). Moreover, in PB there was a general improvement in AMBI values when compared to the first sample taken in 2002 (before the non-treated effluent removal in 2003).

The AMBI scores for PNS (**Figure 2C**) and PNI (**Figure 2D**) do not reveal a change in disturbance levels during the study period and fluctuated between 2.78 and 3.00 in PNI, and 1.47 and 3.01 in PNS. Both reflect SD communities. The AMBI values for EM, EB, and EL (**Figure 2E**) demonstrated clear improvements from MD to SD communities between 2003 and 2014. EL went from 3.69 to 3.07, EB from 3.74 to 2.19 and EM from 4.00 to 2.19. The whole estuary data for 2003 and 2014 indicated that there was an improvement in the ecological status over that period with AMBI values decreasing in different estuarine habitats (EM, EB, and EL). This corroborates with the general trend in all the sites during this study demonstrated by the fact that, when compared, the AMBI index is always lower in the last year of sampling compared to the first, with the only exception being PC, where the values remain similar.

The PERMANOVA test for the AMBI values in PB indicates significant differences among years [Pseudo-F = 7.58; P(perm) = 0.001]. Pairwise tests showed that these differences were always observed when considering the years 2002 and 2008, as these were the years with the lowest and highest AMBI scores. PC also had significant differences for the AMBI values among years [Pseudo-F = 7.68; P(perm) = 0.001], especially between the highest scored year (2009) and the lowest (2007) scored. For PNS there were no significant differences (p < 0.05) among years, contrary to PNI, which revealed significant differences [Pseudo-F = 2.597; P(perm) = 0.009], mostly for the years 2009 and 2012. For all sites throughout the estuary (EL; EB; EM) significant differences between the two years [Pseudo-F = 14.99; P(perm) = 0.001] were found.

SEASER native species (type 1) were dominant in all sampled areas and years. However, there was a clear representation in the composition of the benthic macroinvertebrate community of type 0 (North SEASER) and type 2 (South SEASER) specimens in all sampling sites. In PB and PC (**Figures 3A,B**) there seems to be no change in the prominence of specimens of any type

TABLE 1 | Main taxa groups of benthic macroinvertebrates, number of taxa (Sp), and number of individuals (Ind) collected in the summer season at: Porto do Buxo (PB) and Portinho da Costa (PC) during the sampling period (2002–2010); Parque das Nações subtidal (PNS) and Parque das Nações Intertidal (PNI) during the sampling period (1998–2003); and Estuary Middle (EM); Estuary Bays (EB); and Estuary Lower (EL) during the sampling period (2003 and 2014).

Таха	РВ		PC		PNS		PNI		EM		EB		EL	
	Sp	Ind	Sp	Ind	Sp	Ind	Sp	Ind	Sp	Ind	Sp	Ind	Sp	Ind
Anthozoa	16	167	19	364	1	138	1	5	_	-	_	-	_	_
Bivalvia	34	3071	35	2814	12	84	9	1001	16	158	9	99	10	39
Clitellata	1	2228	1	1248	_	-	_	-	3	428	2	19	2	11
Echinoidea	3	24	3	15	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gastropoda	33	575	31	532	2	17	4	7081	2	64	2	9	3	2
Maxillopoda	6	794	3	93	-	-	-	-	1	34	1	31	-	-
Malacostraca	49	1561	45	1546	19	218	13	710	11	231	10	354	8	64
Ophiuroidea	3	65	4	159	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	_
Polychaeta	128	12989	125	16762	29	1771	33	7087	38	486	24	140	23	456
Polyplacophora	1	9	2	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pycnogonida	4	26	7	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhabtitophora	4	48	3	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
Total	282	21557	278	23597	64	2231	62	15889	71	1401	48	1922	46	591



from the beginning to the end of the sampling period, having a constant dominance by type 0 specimens (North SEASER). In PNI (**Figure 3D**) there appears to be a near total dominance of type 1 specimens (SEASER native species) during the sampling period. The same cannot be said for PNS (**Figure 3C**) which clearly demonstrated a steady increase of type 2 specimens (South SEASER) from the beginning to the end of the sampling period, except for 2007 and 2010, when the balance fluctuated back to

type 1. In EM and EL (**Figure 3E**) there was an increase in abundance of type 2 individuals from 2003 to 2014. However, in EB there was a decrease of the number of specimens of type 2 in the same period.

For PB, the pairwise PERMANOVA performed to ascertain potential differences among years in the geographic distribution of the species found it was significant [Pseudo-F = 2.12; P(perm) = 0.045].



For the other sites the trends referred before were not significant (p > 0.05).

Individuals (Types 0 and 2) G-Independence Test Results for Dependency Among Sampling Years

The G-test of independence was run in order to ascertain if the abundance of type 0 and type 2 individuals was independent of sample year for PB, PC, PNS (**Table 2**), EB, and EM.

For PNI and EL the G-test for independence demonstrated no significant difference (p > 0.05) in abundance of both type 0 (G = 7.58/df = 14 and G = 1.87/df = 1 respectively) and type 2 (G = 8.651/df = 14 and G = 0.39/df = 1 respectively) being independent of sample year (**Table 2**).

For EM and EB independence was found between the two sample years (2003–2014) for individuals type 0 (p < 0.05) and for individuals type 2 (p < 0.05).

TABLE 2	PB PC	and PNS	abundance c	of type ()	and type	2 individuals ir	sampled v	vears
	г D, г O,	ana no,	abandance	л сурс о	and type i		i sumpicu	you o.

Γγρe 0 ndividuals PB)	G = 696.32; df = 7; ρ < 0.001 2002 2008 2010 2004 2006 2007 2005 2009				
,					
уре 2	G = 117.31; df = 7; <i>p</i> < 0.001				
ndividuals	2002 2010 2009 2008 2007 2004 2006 2005				
PB)					
уре 0	G = 180.44; df = 7; <i>p</i> < 0.001				
ndividuals	2009 2002 2010 2006 2007 2008 2004 2005				
PC)					
ype 2	G = 46.08; df = 7; <i>p</i> < 0.001				
ndividuals	2002 2009 2010 2006 2007 2008 2004 2005				
PC)					
ype 2	G = 117.31; df = 7; <i>p</i> < 0.001				
ndividuals	2012 2013 2009 2011 1998 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2010				
PNS)					

Lines join independent sets. (G-test of independence).

Spatial and Temporal Patterns

The first two axes of the PCoA for PB (Figure 4A) explained 30% of the data variation. It appears that the years 2005, 2006, 2009, and 2010 are separated from the remaining years along the first axis, with these years being positively associated with PCO1. The earlier years (2002 and 2004) were associated to the negative PCO2 axis and AMBI and D were negatively correlated with PCO2, while the earlier years (2002 and 2004) are separated from the others across PCO2. All represented species and AMBI index are positively correlated with PCO1 while geographic distribution (D) is negatively correlated. Similarly, most species are positively correlated with PCO2 excluding Oligochaeta and M. fragilis. On the other hand, AMBI and D are negatively correlated with PCO2. The species vectors are all limited to species which had a Pearsons correlation of r > 0.5. All species represented by the vectors are type 1.

The first two axes in the PCoA for PC (**Figure 4B**) account for 27.9% of the data variation. There appears to be a clear separation from the first two sampling years to the last two years along the first axis, with 2002 and 2004 being negatively associated to PCO1 and 2009 and 2010 being positively associated with it. The species vectors are all limited to species which had a Pearson correlation of r > 0.5 with the ordination axes. For PB, the species vectors were all limited to species which had a Pearsons correlation of at least r > 0.5. All the species vectors represented in **Figure 4** are positively correlated with PCO1 and associated with the later years of the study (2009 and 2010) except for *Nephtys cirrosa* (Ehlers, 1868) which is negatively correlated with them. All species and AMBI are negatively correlated with PCO2 and D has a negligible association to both axes.

The first two axes in the PCoA for PNS (**Figure 5A**) account for 36.5% of the data variability. Years from 1998 to 2009 were gradually separated along the first axis. The later years (2011, 2012, and 2013) were associated to the negative PCO2 and AMBI and D were negatively correlated with this axis. The species vectors are limited to species which had a Pearson correlation of r > 0.4 with the ordination axes. Most of the species represented in **Figure 5A** and D are positively correlated with PCO1 with the exception of *Corophium* sp., *S. shrubsolii* and AMBI which are negatively correlated. All species as well as AMBI and D are negatively correlated with PCO2.

The first two axes in the PCoA for PNI (**Figure 5B**) explain 48.5% of the data variation (31.5 for PCO1 and 17% for PCO2). According to the PCoA, earlier years (negatively associated with PCO1) seemed to be separated from the later years (positively associated with PCO1). The years 2000 and 2008 were negatively associated with PCO2. The species vectors are all limited to species which had a Pearsons correlation of r > 0.3 with the ordination axes. All the species in **Figure 5B** are positively correlated with PCO2, while D is positively correlated, and both are negatively correlated with PCO1.



The first two axes in the PCoA for Estuary—EM, EB, and EL (**Figure 6**) account for 29.6% of the data variation. A separation between 2003, which is negatively associated with PCO1, and 2014, which is positively associated, seemed to occur. The species vectors are all limited to species which had a Pearsons correlation of r > 0.4 with the ordination axes. From the species shown in the **Figure 6**, *S. shrubsoli, Cyatura carinata* (Krøyer, 1847), *C. setosa*, and *Boccardiella ligerica* (Ferronnière, 1898) and AMBI are negatively correlated with PCO1, while D and *Nephtys* sp., *Parvicardium pinnulatum* (Conrad, 1831), *N. cirrosa, Heteromastus filiformis* (Claparède,



FIGURE 5 (A) Principal coordinates analysis (PCOA) of soft bottom macrobenthic communities within Parque das Nações Subtidal (PNS). Correlation with AZTI's Marine Biotic Index (AMBI) and Distribution (D) are shown as well as species which have a Pearson correlation of at least 0.4 with PCO1 or PCO2. (B) PCoA of soft bottom macrobenthic communities within Parque das Nações Intertidal (PNI). Correlation with AZTI's Marine Biotic Index (AMBI) and Distribution (D) are shown as well as species which have a Pearson correlation of at least 0.3 with PCO1 or PCO2.

1864), and *Nephtys caeca* (Fabricius, 1780) are positively correlated with PCO1.

DISCUSSION

The results of the long-term assessment of the benthic communities in the Tagus estuary seem to indicate two main findings:

1. There was an overall improvement in the ecological status over the study period, with AMBI values decreasing in



different estuarine habitats (EM, EB, EL, and PB) from MD to SD during the study period.

2. There appears to be a propensity for species which prefer northern climates in the deeper waters at the mouth of the estuary (PB and PC), more southerly distributed species in the mid depths (EM; EL; and PNS) and an absence of either in the shallower more extreme environments (PNI and EB)

Studies on this sort of timescale (16 years) regarding benthic macroinvertebrate communities in estuaries are limited (Dauvin, 2000; Frid et al., 2009; Wildsmith et al., 2011) and this can be due to a variety of factors, not least the time required to process samples and the cost involved. Although some other studies using AMBI and MAMBI show that seasonal variability does not influence trends in the data (Borja and Tunberg, 2011), others have demonstrated that there is in fact an effect in estuarine systems where extreme events, such as floods and droughts, are frequent (Reiss and Kröncke, 2005; Chainho et al., 2010). Therefore, it is important to choose seasons in which benthic communities reflect the impacts of anthropogenic pressure on the system rather than natural variability (e.g., seasonal) (Chainho et al., 2007). Another factor which makes the temporal change difficult to measure is the variability of benthic communities along the estuarine gradient (spatial variability) (Chainho et al., 2008; Teixeira et al., 2009). The current study attempts to identify temporal changes in the benthic macroinvertebrate community along different timescales and spatial gradients of the Tagus estuary using data

collected for the environmental monitoring of the estuary. This approach identified spatial variations of benthic communities throughout the study area.

At the mouth of the estuary (PB and PC), even though there was a general improvement of the AMBI at PB after the elimination of the non-treated effluent in 2003, no significant changes were noted in the make up of the benthic community during the study period (2002-2010). This feature probably results from the fact that these sites are in the main estuarine channel, with a strong tidal influence, where strong water flows are responsible for the dispersion of pollutants. In fact, even before the elimination of the untreated effluent in PB and construction of the WWTP in PC, the PB area was only moderately polluted and after that it became slightly polluted. There were no significant changes for the AMBI score at the Parque das Nações (PNI and PNS) for the period 1998-2013, mostly because the major improvements in the area had occurred before this time series began (Chainho et al., 2008). The AMBI results for Parque das Nações seemed to indicate that there is a dominance of EG III species which equates to organisms which are more tolerant toward organic enrichment (Grall and Glémarec, 1997). With regards to the spatial series (EM; EB; EL) the results clearly show an improvement in the ecological quality between the two sampling years. Other studies which indicate there has been an improvement of the ecological quality in the Tagus estuary by using other ecological assessment tools (Chainho et al., 2010) further confirm these results.

The use of benthic indices to measure the state of an ecosystem is fraught with challenges which require the adaptation of existing tools (Chainho et al., 2008) and the use of indicators which integrate a great variety of metrics (Dauvin, 2000; Quintino et al., 2006; Borja et al., 2009; Pinto et al., 2009). The response of these species to variable and unpredictable conditions in transition systems makes it even more difficult to separate the natural and anthropogenic origins of stress on the biota (Elliott and Quintino, 2007). Furthermore, in the current study, the robustness of the AMBI results could be questioned in the Parque das Nacões area due to the reduced number of collected specimens, mainly in the intertidal zone, which was predictable due to the poor biological richness that these areas have when compared to subtidal environments. The benthic assemblage of estuaries can change quite quickly due to a variety of environmental factors as well as the potential colonization of alien species. This can severely affect the distribution and abundance of species in an ecosystem (Holt, 1990). For example, between 1930 and 1950, there was a reduction in the abundance of cirripedes on the British coast, because of an increase in water temperature of 0.5°C (Southward and Crisp, 1954). According to Austin et al. (2001), the number of invasive species in estuaries has increased in the last 20 years due to climate change. These small variations in temperature seem to be enough to affect the abundance of species, especially in systems like estuaries which suffer these effects at a faster rate than the open sea as well as when the organisms are living at the limit of their geographical distribution (Scanes et al., 2020). In the case of the Tagus estuary, the water temperature increased by 1°C in a 15 year period between 1980 and the mid 90s (Cabral et al., 2001) and this trend is predicted to continue well into the 21st century. In addition, this estuary is located on the border of two biogeographic zones (Hayden et al., 1984), and therefore it would be expected that a change in the macrobenthos community due to these increased temperatures would be reflected in a change of abundances of local species, by increasing the number of species from southern latitudes and reducing the abundance of northern species.

The differences found in species origin and subsequent distribution in the estuary seem to indicate that their geographical distribution is dependent on the depth of different areas within the system. It appears that within this systems shallower areas such as EB and PNI, where the influence of the air temperature is more of a factor, it is too cold in the winter and too warm in the summer for either group of southern or northerly distributed species to successfully colonize these areas and thus allow native fauna to proliferate. In contrast the deeper areas within the estuary such as PNS and EM seem to be more susceptible to an increase in abundance of southerly species, as a gradual water temperature increase and absence of the extremes found in shallower areas (such as PNI) allow some of these southerly distributed species to thrive. Corophium orientale (Schellenberg, 1928) is a good indicator of this kind of pattern. This species was sampled in Parque das Nações during several years between 2005 and 2013, and only in some of the sampling locations that took place in 2003 and 2014 across the whole estuary. C. orientale

lives in brackish or estuarine waters, and is found mostly in fine muddy sediments, and occasionally in sandy bottoms (Diviacco and Bianchi, 1987). It is commonly found in the Mediterranean, Black, and Azov Seas (Bousfield and Hoover, 1997), but in recent years, this species has expanded its distribution. It was registered in the Guadalquivir Estuary, in the South of Spain, between 1991 and 1994 (Cuesta et al., 1996), as well as in southern Portugal (Rodrigues and Dauvin, 1987). More recently was registered in 2003 in the Mira estuary (Chainho et al., 2008). The current distribution of C. orientale may be related to the increase of water temperature within its past range due to climate change, creating the conditions for a natural change of its distribution. This species is very sensitive to variations in temperature, with its mortality increasing at higher temperatures (Bigongiari et al., 2004). This species also seems to adapt well to extreme events associated to climate change, such as floods and droughts, as shown by Gamito et al. (2010), who modeled the evolution of C. orientale populations in the Mira estuary, showing that, these amphipods recover and resume their previous situation shortly after an extreme climatic event, contributing to the resilience of the system.

At the mouth of the estuary where the depth can exceed 40 m the propensity is for the occurrence of more northerly distributed species, and this has not changed since the beginning of the study period. This is in line with the rest of our findings as change in temperature will occur at a much slower rate at these depths, therefore further monitoring over a longer time period would be necessary to see any significant change in species composition in the future. Although there were no significant differences between species with predominantly southerly or northerly distributions over the time series, the latter was always dominant over the former. However, a group of three species that belong to the genus *Medicorophium* are worth mentioning: Medicorophium aculeatum (Chevreux, 1908), Medicorophium annulatum (Chevreux, 1908), and Medicorophium minimum (Schiecke, 1978). The reason for this is that species belonging to this genus are native to Mediterranean waters, and only in recent years were reported to be found outside of the Mediterranean and Black Seas (Myers and Riera, 2013). M. aculeatum was registered at PB in 2011, 2015, 2016, and 2017 as well as at the Parque das Nações area in 2011 and 2012 (unpublished data). However, it is worth noting that the number of individuals found in PB each year was always one. Endemic to the Mediterranean Sea, this species was registered in 2000-2004 in the Odiel-Tinto estuary (Sánchez-Moyano and García-Asencio, 2010b) in Spain, and near the coastal area of the Guadiana estuary in 2000 on the south-eastern Portuguese border with Spain (Sánchez-Moyano and García-Asencio, 2010a). M. annulatum was registered in the Tagus estuary only at PB and PC sites. This species was found at PB in 2005, 2006 and 2014, and at PC in 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2013 (unpublished data). According to Myers (1982), this species is usually found in deeper waters, which could explain why the species was only found at the mouth of the estuary. Although endemic to the Mediterranean Sea, details on its distribution are scarce due to the low number of records. The species was also registered at the Sado estuary in 1999 (Carvalho et al., 2001). *M. minimum* was registered in the Tagus estuary at PB in 2006, 2009 and 2012, and at PC in 2010, 2012, 2013, 2015, 2017, and 2018 (unpublished data). Outside of the Mediterranean Sea, this species has been registered in 2011 in the Canary Islands (Tuya et al., 2014), and in the Algarve (Sampaio et al., 2016).

The change in the distribution of a species is not only conditioned on temperature as there are a plethora of variables that influence a species distribution such as, pH, water quality, dispersion mechanisms, life cycle, biotic interactions, and physical barriers to name just a few (Clark and Frid, 2001; Hiscock et al., 2004; Thuiller, 2004). This is further reinforced due to the demonstration by the G-tests of independence that even though there are significant differences in the number of type 2 individuals in some points of the estuary the same cannot be said for the number of species. This appears to have been the case of the polychaete Cossura coasta (Kitamori, 1960) registered in Parque das Nações in 2005, 2009, and 2012, and in PB in 2009. This species was also found in the samples collected in 2003 and 2014. However, except for the sampling that took place in 2003 where C. coasta was found in considerable numbers in some stations, the number of individuals found across all other locations (Parque das Nações and PB) or years (2014) was always one. C. coasta lives in brackish or estuarine waters and can be found in depths up to 200 m (Jayaraj et al., 2007). This polychaete is native to Indo-Pacific waters, where it can be found from Japan to India. The species was first registered in the Mediterranean in 1983 (Bogdanos and Fredj, 1983), although some claim the identification is questionable (Read, 2000; Zenetos et al., 2005). However, the species was also registered by Chainho et al. (2008) in the Mira and Tagus estuaries, Portugal in 2003.

Changes in climate occur on long time scales and are sometimes hard to truly perceive. Therefore, with a time series of 16 years it is difficult to make any concrete conclusions as the results in the distribution of the species were overall not significant. In Barry et al. (1995) the time series, ranging between 1932 and 1993, revealed significant differences in macrobenthos communities with a shift to more southerly species in Monterey Bay in California with a temperature increase of 0.75°C. Even with a relatively small time series the same sort of shift could be occurring in the Tagus estuary as the system is also experiencing an increase in temperature (Cabral et al., 2001) and a change in the makeup of benthic biota due to the recent occurrence of non-native species (Moura et al., 2017).

These results show that there has been a change within the benthic macroinvertebrate community in the Tagus estuary. This appears to be related to an increase in water quality and with a shift in species geographic range with an increase in the presence of southernly distributed species in the mid depths of the estuary, the shallower areas being too extreme for the proliferation of either northern or southern distributed species, and no change in the predominantly northerly distributed species in the deeper waters at the mouth of the system. However, a longer time series is necessary to confirm these results, and future studies (from a distribution perspective), should include the winter months as well as summer to ascertain the impact of seasonal variability.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TG revised and wrote the manuscript. PS conceptualized the study, wrote the original draft, and reviewed manuscript. GS and JM collected samples, performed taxonomical identification, contributed to interpertation of results, and reviewed manuscript. FC performed taxonomical identification. PC and JC conceptualized the study, performed the statistical analyses, interperted the results, and reviewed the manuscript. IM, CF, and NL provided resources from local authorities and contributed for the interpretation of the results. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

Data colllection was financed by Almada and Lisbon Municipalities, FCT – Fundação IP under projects MARE (UIDB/04292/2020), COASTNET (PINFRA/22128/2016) and Programa Operacional do Mar – PROMAR (PROMAR 31-03-01-FEP161[PMC1]). This publication was also funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under grant agreement N810139: Project Portugal Twinning for Innovation and Excellence in Marine Science and Earth Observation – PORTWIMS. PS was funded by grant 03985B provided by the University of the Algarve and Águas do Algarve. JM, TG, and FC were funded by the Foundation of Technology and Sciences (FCT) grants SFRH/BD/77539/2011, 2020. 05001.BD and 2020. 06325.BD respectively, and PC was funded by the contract 2020. 01797.CEECIND.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful to Francisco Ferreira, Miguel Letra, and the MOR and Pérola Branca crews for their support during the sampling surveys. We would also like to thank Sara Cabral, Erica Sá, Maria João Tavares, and Carla Azeda for their assistance during field and laboratory work.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmars.2021. 698576/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Alve, E., Korsun, S., Schönfeld, J., Dijkstra, N., Golikova, E., Hess, S., et al. (2016). ForamAMBI: a sensitivity index based on benthic foraminiferal faunas from north-east Atlantic and Arctic fjords, continental shelves and slopes. *Mar. Micropaleontol.* 122, 1–12.
- Anderson, M. J., Gorley, R. N., and Clarke, K. R. (2008). PERMANOVA+ for PRIMER: Guide to Software and Statistical Methods. Plymouth: PRIMER-E.
- Austin, G. E., Rehfisch, H. A., Viles, H. A., and Berry, P. M. (2001). "Impacts on coastal environments," in *Climate Change and Nature Conservation in Britain and Ireland: Modelling Natural Resource Responses to Climate Change (the MONARCH project). UKCIP Technical Report*, eds P. A. Harrison, P. M. Berry, and T. P. Dawson (Oxford: The UK Climate Impacts Programme), 177–228.
- Azeda, C., Sá, E., Silva, G., Medeiros, J. P., Tavares, M. J., Almeida, P. R., et al. (2013). Preliminary results of biological monitoring using benthic macroinfauna of the discharge areas of Lisbon drainage basins in Tagus estuary after new developments in sanitation infrastructures. *J. Sea Res.* 83, 163–172. doi: 10.1016/j.seares.2013.05.017
- Azovsky, A. I., and Kokarev, V. N. (2019). Stable but fragile: long-term dynamics of arctic benthic macrofauna in Baydaratskaya Bay (the Kara Sea). *Polar Biol.* 42, 1307–1322.
- Barry, J. P., Baxter, C. H., Sagarin, R. D., and Gilman, S. E. (1995). Climate-related, long-term faunal changes in a California rocky intertidal community. *Science* 267, 672–675. doi: 10.1126/science.267.5198.672
- Belhaouari, B., Si-hamdi, F., and Belguermi, A. (2019). Study of the benthic macrofauna and application of AMBI index in the coastal waters of Algeria. *Egypt. J. Aquat. Biol. Fish.* 23:321328.
- Bernardino, A. F., Pagliosa, P. R., Christofoletti, R. A., Barros, F., Netto, S. A., Muniz, P., et al. (2016). Benthic estuarine communities in Brazil: moving forward to long term studies to assess climate change impacts. *Braz. J. Oceanogr.* 64:S2. doi: 10.1590/S1679-875920160849064sp2
- Bigongiari, N., Braida, T., Carretti, F., and Pellegrini, D. (2004). Influence of temperature on the mortality and sensitivity of *Corophium orientale*. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 72, 881–887. doi: 10.1007/s00128-004-0326-z
- Bogdanos, C., and Fredj, G. (1983). Sur la présence de Cossura coasta Kitamori, 1960 (Polychaeta, Cossuridae) dans les eaux côtières grecques (Mer Égée, Mer Ionienne). *Thalassographica* 6, 5–15.
- Borja, A., and Tunberg, B. G. (2011). Assessing benthic health in stressed subtropical estuaries, eastern Florida, USA using AMBI and M-AMBI. *Ecol. Indic.* 11, 295–303. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2010.05.007
- Borja, A., Chust, G., and Muxika, I. (2019). Chapter three forever young: the successful story of a marine biotic index. *Adv. Mar. Biol.* 82, 93–127. doi: 10.1016/bs.amb.2019.05.001
- Borja, A., Franco, J., and Pérez, V. (2000). A marine biotic index to establish the ecological quality of soft-bottom benthos within European estuarine and coastal environments. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 40, 1100–1114. doi: 10.1016/S0025-326X(00) 00061-8
- Borja, A., Miles, A., Occhipinti-Ambrogi, A., and Berg, T. (2009). Current status of macroinvertebrate methods used for assessing the quality of European marine waters: implementing the water framework directive. *Hydrobiologia* 633, 181–196. doi: 10.1007/s10750-009-9881-y
- Borja, A., Muxika, I., and Franco, J. (2003). The application of a marine biotic index to different impact sources affecting soft-bottom benthic communities along European coasts. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 46, 835–845. doi: 10.1016/S0025-326X(03) 00090-0
- Bousfield, E. L., and Hoover, P. M. (1997). The amphipod superfamily Corophioidea on the Pacific coast of North America. Part V. Family Corophiidae: Corophinae, new subfamily. Systematics and distributional ecology. *Amphipacifica* 2, 67–139.
- Cabral, H. N., Costa, M. J., and Salgado, J. P. (2001). Does the Tagus estuary fish community reflect environmental changes? *Clim. Res.* 18, 119–126. doi: 10.3354/cr018119
- Cabral, H. N., Fonseca, V. F., Gamito, R., Gonçalves, C. I, Costa, J. L., Erzini, K., et al. (2012). Ecological quality assessment of transitional waters based on fish assemblages in Portuguese estuaries: the Estuarine Fish Assessment Index (EFAI). *Ecol. Indic.* 19, 144–153. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.08.005

- Caffrey, J. M., Murrell, M. C., Amacker, K. S., Harper, J. W., Phipps, S., and Woodrey, M. S. (2014). Seasonal and inter-annual patterns in primary production, respiration, and net ecosystem metabolism in three estuaries in the northeast Gulf of Mexico. *Estuar. Coasts* 37, 222–241. doi: 10.1007/s12237-013-9701-5
- Carvalho, S., Gaspar, M. B., Moura, A., Vale, C., Antunes, P., Gil, O., et al. (2006). The use of the marine biotic index AMBI in the assessment of the ecological status of the Óbidos lagoon (Portugal). *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 52, 1414–1424. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2006.04.004
- Carvalho, S., Ravara, A., Quintino, V., and Rodrigues, A. M. (2001). Macrobenthic community characterisation of an estuary from the western coast of Portugal (Sado estuary) prior to dredging operations. *Bol. Inst. Esp. Oceanogr.* 17, 179–190.
- Chainho, P., Chaves, M. L., Costa, J. L., Costa, M. J., and Dauer, D. M. (2008). Use of multimetric indices to classify estuaries with different hydromorphological characteristics and different levels of human pressure. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 56, 1128–1137. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2008.03.018
- Chainho, P., Costa, J. L., Chaves, M. L., Dauer, D. M., and Costa, M. J. (2007). Influence of seasonal variability in benthic invertebrate community structure on the use of biotic indices to assess the ecological status of a Portuguese estuary. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 54, 1586–1597. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2007.06.009
- Chainho, P., Costa, J. L., Chaves, M. L., Lane, M. F., Dauer, D. M., and Costa, M. J. (2006). "Seasonal and spatial patterns of distribution of subtidal benthic invertebrate communities in the Mondego River, Portugal—a poikilohaline estuary," in *Marine Biodiversity*, eds H. Queiroga, et al. (Dordrecht: Springer), 59–74.
- Chainho, P., Silva, G., Lane, M. F., Costa, J. L., Pereira, T., Azeda, C., et al. (2010). Longterm trends in intertidal and subtidal benthic communities in response to water quality improvement measures. *Estuar. Coasts* 33, 1314–1326. doi: 10.1007/s12237-010-9321-2
- Clark, R. A., and Frid, C. L. J. (2001). Long-term changes in the North Sea ecosystem. *Environ. Rev.* 9, 131–187. doi: 10.1139/er-9-3-131
- Clarke, K. R., and Gorley, R. N. (2006). *PRIMER V6: User Manual/Tutorial*. Plymouth: PRIMER-E.
- Cloern, J. E., Abreu, P. C., Carstensen, J., Chauvaud, L., Elmgren, R., Grall, J., et al. (2016). Human activities and climate variability drive fast-paced change across the world's estuarine–coastal ecosystems. *Glob. Change Biol.* 22, 513–529. doi: 10.1111/gcb.13059
- Cloern, J. E., and Jassby, A. D. (2010). Patterns and scales of phytoplankton variability in estuarine- coastal ecosystems. *Estuar. Coasts* 33, 230-241. doi: 10.1007/s12237-009-9195-3
- Costa, J. L., Silva, G., Medeiros, J. P., Sá, E., Tavares, M. J., Almeida, P. R., et al. (2018). Quinze Anos de Monitorização das Comunidades Biológicas do Estuário do Tejo no Âmbito da Construção e Funcionamento das ETARs do Portinho da Costa e da Mutela (Concelho de Almada): Experiência adquirida e ensinamentos Para Futuros Casos semelhantes. APAI, Universidade do Porto. Available online at: http://hdl.handle.net/10174/24540 (accessed August 08, 2020).
- Costa, M. J., Vasconcelos, R., Costa, J. L., and Cabral, H. N. (2007). River flow influence on the fish community of the Tagus estuary (Portugal). *Hydrobiologia* 587, 113–123. doi: 10.1007/s107500070690-x
- Cuesta, J. A., Serrano, L., Bravo, M. R., and Toja, J. (1996). Four new crustaceans in the Guadalquivir River estuary (SW Spain), including an introduced species. *Limnetica* 12, 41–45.
- Dauvin, J. C. (2000). The muddy fine sand Abra alba–Melinna palmata community of the bay of Morlaix twenty years after the Amoco Cadiz oil spill. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 40, 528–536. doi: 10.1016/S0025-326X(99)00242-8
- Diviacco, G., and Bianchi, C. N. (1987). Fauna interrelationships between lagoonal and marine amphipod crustacean communities of the Po River Delta (Northern Adriatic). Anal. Biol. 12, 67–77.
- Dornelas, M., Gotelli, N. J., McGill, B., Shimadzu, H., Moyes, F., Sievers, C., et al. (2014). Assemblage time series reveal biodiversity change but not systematic loss. *Science* 344, 296–299. doi: 10.1126/science.1248484
- Dubois, M., Rossi, V., Ser-Giacomi, E., Arnaud-Haond, S., López, C., and Hernández-García, E. (2016). Linking basin-scale connectivity, oceanography and population dynamics for the conservation and management of marine ecosystems. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 25, 503–515. doi: 10.1111/geb.12431
- Elliott, M., and Quintino, V. (2007). The estuarine quality paradox, environmental homeostasis and the difficulty of detecting anthropogenic stress in naturally

stressed areas. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 54:640645. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2007.02. 003

- Frid, C. L. J., Garwood, P. R., and Robinson, L. A. (2009). Observing change in a North Sea benthic system: A 33 year time series. J. Mar. Syst. 77, 227–236.
- Gamito, S., Chainho, P., Costa, J. L., Medeiros, J. P., Costa, M. J., and Marques, J. C. (2010). Modelling the effects of extreme events on the dynamics of the amphipod *Corophium orientale. Ecol. Model.* 221, 459–466. doi: 10.1016/j. ecolmodel.2009.10.031
- Gaudêncio, M. J., and Cabral, H. N. (2007). Trophic structure of macrobenthos in the Tagus estuary and adjacent coastal shelf. *Hydrobiologia* 587, 241–251. doi: 10.1007/s10750-007-0686-6
- Gittman, R. K., Peterson, C. H., Currin, C. A., Jodrie, F. J., Piehler, M. F., and Bruno, J. F. (2016). Living shorelines can enhance the nursery role of threatened estuarine habitats. *Ecol. Appl.* 26, 249–263. doi: 10.1890/14-0716
- Glémarec, M., and Hily, C. (1981). Perturbations apportées à la macrofaune benthique de la baie de Concarneau par les effluents urbains et portuaires. Acta Oecol. Oecol. Appl. 2, 139–150.
- Goulding, T. A., De Orte, M. R., Szalaj, D., Basallote, M. D., DelValls, T. A., and Cesar, A. (2017). Assessment of the environmental impacts of ocean acidification (OA) and carbon capture and storage (CCS) leaks using the amphipod *Hyale youngi. Ecotoxicology* 26, 521–533. doi: 10.1007/s10646-017-1783-6
- Grall, J., and Glémarec, M. (1997). Using biotic indices to estimate macrobenthic community perturbations in the Bay of Brest. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 44(Suppl. 1), 43–53. doi: 10.1016/S02727714(97)80006-6
- Hayden, B. P., Ray, G. C., and Dolan, R. (1984). Classification of coastal and marine environments. *Environ. Conserv.* 11, 199–207. doi: 10.1017/ S0376892900014211
- Hayward, P. J., and Ryland, J. S. (1995). *Handbook of Marine Fauna in North-West Europe*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hiscock, K., Southward, A. J., Tittley, I., and Hawkins, S. J. (2004). Effect of changing temperature on benthic marine life in Britain and Ireland. *Aquat. Conserv.* 14, 333–362. doi: 10.1002/aqc.628
- Holt, R. D. (1990). The microevolutionary consequences of climate change. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 5, 311–315. doi: 10.1016/0169-5347(90)90088-U
- Hoveizavy, S., Savari, A., Dehghan Madiseh, S., Doustshenas, B., and Pasha, H. (2012). Using of AMBI (Azti Marine Biotic Index) index to evaluation of Khuzestan coastal sediment health status. J. Mar. Sci. Technol. 11, 1–15.
- Jayaraj, K., Jayalakshmi, K., and Saraladevi, K. (2007). Influence of environmental properties on macrobenthos in the Northwest Indian shelf. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 127, 459–475. doi: 10.1007/s10661-006-9295-5
- Jennerjahn, T. C., and Mitchell, S. B. (2013). Pressures, stresses, shocks and trends in estuarine ecosystems–an introduction and synthesis. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 130, 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2013.07.008
- Lanari, M., Possamai, B., da Silva Copertino, M., and Garcia, A. M. (2021). Seasonal and El Niño Southern oscillation-driven variations in isotopic and elemental patterns among estuarine primary producers: implications for ecological studies. *Hydrobiologia* 848, 593–611. doi: 10.1007/s10750020-04462-0
- Layman, C. A., Jud, Z. R., Archer, S. K., and Riera, D. (2014). Provision of ecosystem services by humanmade structures in a highly impacted estuary. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 9:044009. doi: 10.1088/17489326/9/4/044009
- Lemley, D. A., Adams, J. B., and Taljaard, S. (2017). Comparative assessment of two agriculturally-influenced estuaries: similar pressure, different response. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 117, 136–147. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.01.059
- López-Romero, E., Verdin, F., Eynaud, F., Culioli, C., Hoffmann, A., Huchet, J. B., et al. (2021). Human settlement and landscape dynamics on the coastline south of the Gironde estuary (SW France): a multiproxy approach. *J. Island Coast. Archaeol.* 16, 1–22. doi: 10.1080/15564894.2021.1880505
- Maes, J., Van Damme, S., Meire, P., and Ollevier, F. (2004). Statistical modeling of seasonal and environmental influences on the population dynamics of an estuarine fish community. *Mar. Biol.* 145, 1033–1042. doi: 10.1007/s00227-004-1394-7
- Moura, P., Garaulet, L. L., Vasconcelos, P., Chainho, P., Costa, J. L., and Gaspar, M. (2017). Age and growth of a highly successful invasive species: the Manila clam *Ruditapes philippinarum* (Adams & Reeve, 1850) in the Tagus estuary (Portugal). *Aquat. Invasions* 12, 133–146.
- Muxika, I., Borja, A., and Bonne, W. (2005). The suitability of the marine biotic index (AMBI) to new impact sources along European coasts. *Ecol. Indic.* 5, 19–31. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2004.08.004

- Myers, A. A. (1982). The family Corophiidae. Mém. Inst. Océangr. Monaco. 13, 185–208.
- Myers, A. A., and Riera, R. (2013). First record of the genus *Medicorophium* (Amphipoda: Corophiidea) from outside the Mediterranean. *Mar. Biodivers. Rec.* 6:E66. doi: 10.1017/S1755267213000420
- Nunes, M., Coelho, J. P., Cardoso, P. G., Pereira, M. E., Duarte, A. C., and Pardal, M. A. (2008). The macrobenthic community along a mercury contamination in a temperate estuarine system (Ria de Aveiro, Portugal). *Sci. Total Environ.* 405, 186–194. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2008.07.009
- Pelletier, M. C., Gillett, D. J., Hamilton, A., Grayson, T., Hansen, V., Leppo, E. W., et al. (2018). Adaptation and application of multivariate AMBI (M-AMBI) in US coastal waters. *Ecol. Indic.* 89:818827. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2017.08.067
- Pinto, R., Patricio, J., Baeta, A., Fath, B. D., Neto, J. M., and Marques, J. C. (2009). Review and evaluation of estuarine biotic indices to assess benthic condition. *Ecol. Indic.* 9, 1–25. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2008.01.005
- Pörtner, H. O., Bock, C., Knust, R., Lannig, G., Lucassen, M., Mark, F. C., et al. (2008). Cod and climate in a latitudinal cline: physiological analyses of climate effects in marine fishes. *Clim. Res.* 37, 253–270. doi: 10.3354/cr00766
- Quintino, V., Elliott, M., and Rodrigues, A. M. (2006). The derivation, performance and role of univariate and multivariate indicators of benthic change: case studies at differing spatial scales. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 330, 368–382. doi: 10.1016/j. jembe.2005.12.040
- Quiroga, E., Ortiz, P., Reid, B., and Gerdes, D. (2013). Classification of the ecological quality of the Aysen and Baker Fjords (Patagonia, Chile) using biotic indices. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 68:117126.
- Read, G. B. (2000). Taxonomy and distribution of a new Cossura species (Annelida: Polychaeta: Cossuridae) from New Zealand. *Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash.* 113, 1096– 1110.
- Reiss, H., and Kröncke, I. (2005). Seasonal variability of benthic indices: an approach to test the applicability of different indices for ecosystem quality assessment. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 50, 1490–1499. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2005.06. 017
- Reizopoulou, S., Simboura, N., Sigala, K., Barbone, E., Aleffi, F., Kaisakis, G., et al. (2014). Assessing the ecological status of Mediterranean coastal lagoons using macroinvertebrates. Comparison of the most commonly used methods. *Mediterr. Mar. Sci.* 15, 602–612.
- Robins, P. E., Skov, M. W., Lewis, M. J., Giménez, L., Davies, A. G., Malham, S. K., et al. (2016). Impact of climate change on UK estuaries: a review of past trends and potential projections. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 169, 119–135. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2015.12.016
- Rodrigues, A. M., and Dauvin, J. C. (1987). Crustacés péracarides de la "ria de Alvor" (Côte du Sud du Portugal). *Cah. Biol. Mar.* 28, 207–223.
- Rodrigues, M., Fortunato, A. B., and Freire, P. (2016). Salinity Evolution in the Tagus Estuary Relative to Climate Change. Lisbon: Jornadas de Engenharia Hidrográfica.
- Roessig, J. M., Woodley, C. M., Cech, J. J., and Hansen, L. J. (2004). Effects of global climate change on marine and estuarine fishes and fisheries. *Rev. Fish Biol. Fish.* 14, 251–275. doi: 10.1007/s11160004-6749-0
- Sampaio, L., Mamede, R., Ricardo, F., Magalhães, L., Rocha, H., Martins, R., et al. (2016). Softsediment crustacean diversity and distribution along the Portuguese continental shelf. *J. Mar. Syst.* 163, 43–60. doi: 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2016. 06.011
- Sánchez-Moyano, J. E., and García-Asencio, I. (2010b). Crustacean assemblages in a polluted estuary from South-Western Spain. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 60, 1890–1897. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.07.016
- Sánchez-Moyano, J. E., and García-Asencio, I. (2010a). Crustacean assemblages along the Guadiana River estuary (south-western Iberian Peninsula). J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K. 91, 127–138. doi: 10.1017/S0025315410001074
- Savage, C., Thrush, S. F., Lohrer, A. M., and Hewitt, J. E. (2012). Ecosystem services transcend boundaries: estuaries provide resource subsidies and influence functional diversity in coastal benthic communities. *PLoS One* 7:e42708. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0042708
- Scanes, E., Scanes, P. R., and Ross, P. M. (2020). Climate change rapidly warms and acidifies Australian estuaries. *Nat. Commun.* 11:1803. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-15550-z
- Sivaraj, S., Murugesan, P., Muthuvelu, S., Vivekanandan, K. E., and Vijayalakshmi, S. (2014).). AMBI and M-AMBI indices as a robust tool for assessing the effluent stressed ecosystem in Nandgaon coastal waters, Maharashtra, India. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 146, 60–67.

- Sokal, R. R., and Rohlf, F. J. (1995). *Biometry*, 3rd Edn. New York, NY: W. H. Freeman and Company.
- Southward, A. J., and Crisp, D. J. (1954). Recent changes in the distribution of the intertidal barnacles *Chthamalus stellatus* Poli and *Balanus balanoides* L. in the British Isles. J. Anim. Ecol. 23, 163–177.
- Spalding, M. D., Fox, H. E., Allen, G. R., Davidson, N., Ferdaña, Z. A., Finlayson, M., et al. (2007). Marine ecoregions of the world: a bioregionalization of coastal and shelf areas. *Bioscience* 57:573583. doi: 10.1641/B570707
- Szalaj, D., De Orte, M. R., Goulding, T. A., Medeiros, I. D., DelValls, T. A., and Cesar, A. (2017). The effects of ocean acidification and a carbon dioxide capture and storage leak on the early life stages of the marine mussel Perna perna (Linneaus, 1758) and metal bioavailability. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 24, 765–781. doi: 10.1007/s11356-016-7863-y
- Teixeira, H., Neto, J. M., Patrício, J., Veríssimo, H., Pinto, R., Salas, F., et al. (2009). Quality assessment of benthic macroinvertebrates under the scope of WFD using BAT, the Benthic assessment tool. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 58, 1477–1486. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2009.06.006
- Thuiller, W. (2004). Patterns and uncertainties of species' range shifts under climate change. *Glob. Change Biol.* 10, 2220–2227. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486. 2004.00859.x
- Tuya, F., Png-Gonzalez, L., Riera, R., Haroun, R., and Espino, F. (2014). Ecological structure and function differs between habitats dominated by seagrasses and green seaweeds. *Mar. Environ. Res.* 98, 1–13. doi: 10.1016/j.marenvres.2014.03. 015
- Tweedley, J. R., Warwick, R. M., Clarke, K. R., and Potter, I. C. (2014). Familylevel AMBI is valid for use in the north-eastern Atlantic but not for assessing the health of microtidal Australian estuaries. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 141, 85–96.
- van Oevelen, D., Soetaert, K., Middelburg, J. J., Herman, P. M., Moodley, L., Hamels, I., et al. (2006). Carbon flows through a benthic food web: integrating biomass, isotope and tracer data. *J. Mar. Res.* 64, 453–482. doi: 10.1357/ 002224006778189581
- Vasconcelos, R. P., Reis-Santos, P., Fonseca, V., Maia, A., Ruano, M., França, S., et al. (2007). Assessing anthropogenic pressures on estuarine fish nurseries along the Portuguese coast: a multimetric index and conceptual approach. *Sci. Total Environ.* 374, 199–215. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2006. 12.048
- Vaz, N., Mateus, M., and Dias, J. M. (2011). Semidiurnal and spring-neap variations in the Tagus estuary: application of a process-oriented hydro-biogeochemical model. J. Coast. Res. 64:16191623.

- Vinagre, C., Costa, M. J., and Cabral, H. N. (2007). Impact of climate and hydrodynamics on sole larval immigration towards the Tagus estuary, Portugal. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 75, 516–524. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2007.05.035
- Wackernagel, M., Monfreda, C., Schulz, N. B., Erb, K.-H., Haberl, H., and Krausmann, F. (2004). Calculating national and global ecological footprint time series: resolving conceptual challenges. *Land Use Policy* 21, 271–278. doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2003.10.006
- Wildsmith, M. D., Rose, T. H., Potter, I. C., Warwick, R. M., and Clarke, K. R. (2011). Benthic macroinvertebrates as indicators of environmental deterioration in a large microtidal estuary. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 62, 525–538. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.11.031
- Yin, K. (2002). Monsoonal influence on seasonal variations in nutrients and phytoplankton biomass in coastal waters of Hong Kong in the vicinity of the Pearl River estuary. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 245:111122. doi: 10.3354/meps245111
- Zenetos, A., Çinar, M. E., Pancucci-Papadopoulou, M. A., Harmelin, J. G., Furnari, G., Andaloro, F., et al. (2005). Annotated list of marine alien species in the Mediterranean with records of the worst invasive species. *Mediterr. Mar. Sci.* 6, 63–118. doi: 10.12681/mms.186
- Zhou, Z., Li, X., Chen, L., Li, B., Liu, T., Ai, B., et al. (2018). Macrobenthic assemblage characteristics under stressed waters and ecological health assessment using AMBI and M-AMBI: a case study at the Xin'an River Estuary, Yantai, China. Acta Oceanol. Sin. 37, 77–86.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Goulding, Sousa, Silva, Medeiros, Carvalho, Metelo, Freitas, Lopes, Chainho and Costa. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.