#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Stelios Katsanevakis, University of the Aegean, Greece

REVIEWED BY Hsiao-Chun (Jean) Tseng, National Taiwan Ocean University, Taiwan Jiafang Huang, Fujian Normal University, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Liyang Zhan I zhanliyang@tio.org.cn Yang Luo I uoyang@tio.org.cn

RECEIVED 05 January 2023 ACCEPTED 25 April 2023 PUBLISHED 12 May 2023

#### CITATION

Li Y, Luo Y, Liu J, Ye W, Zhang J and Zhan L (2023) Sources and sinks of N<sub>2</sub>O in the subtropical Jiulong River Estuary, Southeast China. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 10:1138258. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2023.1138258

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Li, Luo, Liu, Ye, Zhang and Zhan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Sources and sinks of N<sub>2</sub>O in the subtropical Jiulong River Estuary, Southeast China

Yuhong Li, Yang Luo\*, Jian Liu, Wangwang Ye, Jiexia Zhang and Liyang Zhan\*

Third Institute of Oceanography (TIO), Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR), Xiamen, China

Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) is one of the most important greenhouse gases and contributes to the depletion of ozone in the stratosphere. Estuaries are areas of intensive biological production and associated N<sub>2</sub>O emissions through both denitrification and nitrification processes. The spatial and temporal variations of N<sub>2</sub>O in the Jiulong River Estuary, a subtropical estuary, were explored to evaluate sources and sinks of  $N_2O$  in this area. The estuary was found to be a strong source of N<sub>2</sub>O, its saturation in the surface water ranged from 113 to 2926% relative to the ambient atmospheric concentrations, showing great temporal and spatial variations and was influenced by multiple factors such as the concentration of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN, i.e., NO<sub>3</sub>,NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>), salinity and dissolved oxygen. N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations were at a high level in upper estuary but reduced to the lower parts of the estuary. Groundwater input could be another contributor to  $N_2O$  in the estuary. Almost all  $N_2O$  within the estuary was released into the atmosphere rather than being transported to the bay. The  $N_2O$  flux in the estuary (mean 597  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/d) was at the higher end of the range observed in estuaries worldwide due to the very high DIN loads in the Jiulong River Estuary. Our data indicate that the N<sub>2</sub>O saturation in the estuary continues to increase, although the DIN inputs began to decline in 2011, which might be relate to the improved environmental conditions with increased oxygen concentrations. N<sub>2</sub>O production pathways have changed from predominantly denitrification in the past toward significant production from nitrification in the present. Further investigation is needed to better understand the behavior of N<sub>2</sub>O in the Jiulong River Estuary.

#### KEYWORDS

N2O, Jiulong River Estuary, sources, sinks, nitrification/denitrification process

# **1** Introduction

Nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ) is a powerful, long-lived (114-year lifespan) greenhouse gas and a depletor to ozone destruction in the stratosphere (Stocker et al., 2013). The atmospheric concentration of  $N_2O$  has increased at a rate of approximately 2 percent per decade from 270 parts per billion (ppb) in 1750 to 335 ppb at present (Lan et al., 2022), which is largely attributed to the incomplete processing of nitrogen from anthropogenic sources (e.g., sewage release,

agricultural fertilization, and industrial waste discharge) (Smith et al., 1997). Estuaries are important regions of nitrogen cycling and are affected by intensive nutrient loading from human activity, especially in agricultural and urban regions (Borges et al., 2018; Vilmin et al., 2018). The major threat of growing nitrogen loading is elevated N<sub>2</sub>O production and emission in the estuary (Borges et al., 2018; Wells et al., 2018; Reading et al., 2020). Tidal circulation leads to a longer turnover time of nitrogen in the estuary compared with that in the river as well as open ocean. As a consequence, estuaries account for only approximately 0.4% of the global ocean area, but they represent approximately 33% of the oceanic N2O emissions (Bange et al., 1996). However, these estimates have large uncertainty because of the high spatiotemporal variability of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions as well as the restricted data availability, particularly considering long-term monitoring under the management of municipal and industrial sewage and an overall improvement in pollution. Yang et al. (2020) reconstructed and reduced the uncertainty of global oceanic N2O emissions and revealed vigorous seasonal cycles, but subtler spatial and temporal variations were neglected in specific regions. Bange et al. (2019) also proposed facilitating the detection of seasonal and interannual variability of N2O in the future as a requirement of the Nitrous Oxide Ocean Observation Network (N2O-ON). Thus, it is imperative to conduct more comprehensive research to improve the accuracy of estimation both globally and regionally.

The Jiulong River and its estuary, have become eutrophic because of growing nitrogen loading induced by diverse anthropogenic factors, as well as human activities (such as crop cultivation, animal husbandry, and dam building) in the watershed, which has significantly changed both the nitrogen budget and riverine export to the estuary (Chen N. et al., 2015). Fortunately, effective management has been adopted (including updated wastewater treatment plants, reformed pig farming and dredging the channel), the concentration of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) has started to decrease, and the dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration has begun to increase in recent years (Cai et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2017).

In an earlier study from 2009, Zhan et al. (2011) found N<sub>2</sub>O emissions ranging from 3 to 94  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/d in the Jiulong River Estuary, roughly equal to the values from a follow-up study by Wu et al. (2013) in 2010–2011 (5–98  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/d), and then emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O increased up to 168  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/d in 2013-2014. (Chen J. et al., 2015). Here, we conducted a study to investigate the N<sub>2</sub>O distribution in the Jiulong River Estuary. The ultimate objectives of our study were (1) to discuss the factors that influence the distribution of N<sub>2</sub>O along the Jiulong River Estuary; (2) to estimate the sources and sinks of N<sub>2</sub>O in the estuary; and (3) to evaluate the trend of N<sub>2</sub>O in recent decades. This study also provides insights into how human activities affect the N<sub>2</sub>O from the eutrophic Jiulong River Estuary.

#### 2 Method and materials

#### 2.1 Field work and analysis

#### 2.1.1 Study area

The Jiulong River, which has two main tributaries and an average annual freshwater discharge of  $1.47 \times 10^{10}$  m<sup>3</sup>, is the

second-largest river in Fujian Province, Southeast China (Zhang, 1996). The estuary has a gross drainage basin area of 14,741 km<sup>2</sup> and can be defined as one shallow subtropical estuary featuring high turbidity in the low salinity zone (Chen et al., 2018). Annual precipitation falls within the range of 1400 - 1800 mm, 70% of which occurs in April and September. This area is also affected by intense typhoons (1.35 per year), mainly between July and September (Chen et al., 2018). The Jiulong River Estuary belongs to a semi enclosed macrotidal estuary, which has a mean elevation of 3.9 m and a maximum tidal range of 6.4 m. Its tidal current speed reaches up to >2 m/s (Luo et al., 2008). Based on water and salt budgets, it is assumed that the average residence time of water mass in this estuary lasts 2 or 3 days at the annual scale (Cao et al., 2005).

#### 2.1.2 Field work

We divided the estuary into 3 zones according to geography, following the practice of Yan et al. (2012) (Figure 1). Six cruises were conducted along the salinity gradient of the main channel in the Jiulong River Estuary in September, November, and December 2017 and May, July, and August 2018 (Table 1). Each campaign was initiated from the lower reaches upward around early flood tide, and took ~2 h to complete. Groundwater surface samples were collected from 13 wells along the coast of the Jiulong River Estuary in September 2020. The wells had different depths of approximately 5 to 15 m, and were situated along the north and south banks of the Jiulong River Estuary. The sample locations are presented in Figure 1.

During every cruise, surface water samples were gathered, and bottom waters were sampled during the 3 surveys in 2018 using a 5-L polymethyl methacrylate bottle. Samples for N<sub>2</sub>O determination were added to the bottom of a 250-mL BOD (biochemical oxygen demand) bottle gravitationally equipped with a ground glass stoppered flask, and 2 times the volume of the bottle was overflowed to avoid bubbles. After, 150  $\mu$ L saturated HgCl<sub>2</sub> solution was added to inhibit microbial activity, the ground stopper was greased, plunged and fixed immediately. These waters were transported in the dark to the laboratory and analyzed within 2 weeks of the cruise. Temperature, salinity, N<sub>2</sub>O, turbidity, nitrate, nitrite, ammonium as well as phosphate were measured for all estuary water samples and groundwater samples.

#### 2.1.3 Analysis of N<sub>2</sub>O

A static headspace gas chromatography (GC) method was developed for sample analysis (Zhan et al., 2013), 3 subsamples were transferred into 20 mL headspace vials. In each headspace vial, 12 mL of sample was replaced with high-purity nitrogen to create a headspace (Zhan et al., 2013). Control standards were produced following equilibrating gas standards using standard water with identical headspace preparation technique (Zhan et al., 2013). N<sub>2</sub>O standard gases (100, 400, 700, 1500, and 4000 ppb N<sub>2</sub>O) were produced at National Institute of Metrology, China. The subsamples were agitated in a CTC autosampler for 10 min to achieve equilibrium, and the headspace gas was analyzed using an Agilent 7890A equipped and electron capture detector (ECD). Both the precision and accuracy of this method were ~ 2%.



#### 2.1.4 Ancillary measurements

The hydrographic parameters of temperature ( $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C) and salinity ( $\pm 0.1$ ) were measured with a WTW Cond 3110, and dissolved oxygen ( $\pm 0.01 \text{ mg/L}$ ) was measured using a HACH HQ 30d probe. Nutrient samples were filtered using glass fiber film (0.45 µm) and frozen stored ( $-20^{\circ}$ C) for follow-up laboratory measurements. Dissolved nitrate, nitrite, ammonium as well as phosphate concentrations were determined using a continuous flow autoanalyzer (AA3, Bran+Luebbe Co., Germany) using colorimetric techniques (Yan et al., 2012). The detection limits for nitrite, nitrate and ammonium were 0.04, 0.1 and 0.5 µmol/L, separately. Samples containing high concentrations of nutrients were diluted as necessary. Nutrients data in 2017 (3 cruises) were obtained from Li et al. (2021).

#### 2.2 Calculation of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions

 $\rm N_2O$  fluxes from the sea surface to the atmosphere were calculated to be the difference between measured  $\rm N_2O$  ( $C_{\rm mea}$ ) concentration and computed  $\rm N_2O$  equilibrium concentration with the equation as below:

$$F = k \left( C_{\text{mea}} - C_{\text{eq}} \right) \tag{1}$$

where *F* suggests the flux of N<sub>2</sub>O ( $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/d); and *C*<sub>eq</sub> denotes the air-equilibrated seawater N<sub>2</sub>O concentration, which can be computed for *in situ* temperatures and salinities based on solubility data (Weiss and Price, 1980). N<sub>2</sub>O in the atmosphere had not been observed by the surveys. In this study, global mean atmospheric N<sub>2</sub>O mixing ratios of 330 ppb in 2017 and 331 ppb in 2018 from NOAA/ESRL (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd) were

TABLE 1 Comparisons of temperature, salinity, wind speed, turbidity, tidal amplitude, tidal current velocity at the surface, and discharge in each campaign.

Survey	Temperature (°C)	Salinity	DO (%)	Wind <sup>a</sup> (m/s)	Turbidity (NTU)	Tidal amplitude (m)	Discharge <sup>b</sup> (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	NH₄+ (μmol/ L)	NO₃ (µmol/ L)	NO <sub>2</sub> (μmol/ L)	PO4 <sup>3–</sup> (µmol/ L)
2017-9	31.1-33.0	0-29.8	53- 110	3.9	1-32	3.2	215	1-118	5-210	2-20	0.3-4.8
2017-11	20.4-22.3	0.6-28.6	68- 109	5.7	14-59	3.3	149	7-120	37-230	5-18	1.3-5.7
2017-12	15.7-17.8	3.3-26.3	62- 106	6.5	7-121	5	129	9-74	30-214	5-21	1.6-4.8
2018-5	29.4-32.8	2.2-30.3	45- 98	3.9	16-58	5	184	1-77	11-98	3-26	0.5-2.3
2018-7	29.7-31.6	0-26.7	43- 94	4.0	7-269	5.8	231	2-76	24-112	3-28	0.9-2.2
2018-8	27.0-29.0	0-29.4	54- 92	4.3	5-831	4.7	476	1-30	15-119	3-18	0.5-5.3

a: monthly average wind speed; b: 10-day average discharge.

employed in the calculations. k (cm/h) suggests the gas transfer velocity, often indicated by the function of wind speed and Schmidt number (Sc). Sc is the Schmidt number of N<sub>2</sub>O that can be computed according to the equation from Wanninkhof (2014). For shallow and tidal estuaries, turbulence arising from the friction between bottom flow and side topography also contributes to water turbulence (Raymond and Cole, 2001; Gwenaël Zappa et al., 2003; Abril et al., 2004). The model developed by Raymond and Cole, 2001 was selected in this study due to its median value and because it has been widely used in other researches (Li et al., 2021). In this study, the gas transfer velocity was computed using monthly average wind speeds (10-m height, Table 1) obtained from the Xiamen airport (14 km from the estuary) documented by Weather Underground (https://www.wunderground.com).

#### 2.3 Calculation of N<sub>2</sub>O budget

The budget of  $N_2O$  at the estuary involves import and export mechanisms that include riverine inputs, groundwater inputs, *in situ* production and consumption, water-air exchange and export to the bay. To look at the  $N_2O$  budget and distinguish unidentified  $N_2O$  sources or sinks in the estuary, we used the modeling framework of Eyre et al. (2016) and Chen et al. (2022), the input of  $N_2O$  should be equal to the output of  $N_2O$  in the estuary, and it could be assessed with the equation as below:

$$X = S + W + R + G - B - E \tag{2}$$

where X is the unidentified N<sub>2</sub>O source or sink in the estuary, S is the sediment-water N<sub>2</sub>O effluxes, W is the water column of N<sub>2</sub>O production or consumption, R is the river input, G is the groundwater input, B is the loss of N<sub>2</sub>O because of transport to the bay, E refers to the loss of N<sub>2</sub>O caused by sea-to-air emissions, and the  $\pm$  sign indicates the gain or loss of N<sub>2</sub>O of the estuary (+ means gain/production, - means loss/consumption). Incubation for water column (W) and sediments (S) are not carried out in this study, which needed to better interpret the mechanisms of production of N<sub>2</sub>O in the Jiulong River Estuary with further work.

#### 2.4 Statistical analysis

Tests for the normality and homogeneity of the data were carried out with the Shapiro–Wilk test and Levene's test. As the data failed to satisfy the assumptions of normality and homogeneity, we used the nonparametric Wilcoxon test to compare the average values between surface and bottom waters with paired samples, and Kruskal-Wallis test for seasonal and longitudinal differences with independent samples. Before the principal component analysis (PCA) calculation, a correlation matrix presenting the correlations was performed with the Spearman coefficient analysis with the same variables. All statistical tests and PCA steps were performed with SPSS (Statistics 23, IBM).

# **3** Results

#### 3.1 Hydrochemistry

During the sampling period, water discharge was highest in August and lowest in December, a certain degree of interannual and seasonal variability was revealed compared to the long-term (1961– 2006) pattern of seasonal variation (Huang, 2008) (Figure 2). Table 1 and Figure S1 show the principal physicochemical properties of surface water from the Jiulong River Estuary in the 6 survey cruises. The lowest water temperature was 16.3°C in December, and the highest reached 31.9°C in September (Figure S1A). An obvious salinity gradient was seen across the estuary (Figure S1B), and a dramatic fall of salinity could be seen upstream. For middle reaches, the lowest salinity range (0–9.5) was in August and September (flood period), when the survey was after heavy rain and the river had the largest discharge of all the surveys, the salinity range increased to 9.5–22.5 in May (dry season), low discharge and large tidal amplitude (5.8 m) during the sampling day.

Saturation of dissolved oxygen (DO) augmented progressively along with the salinity gradient except in August (Figure S1D) and varied from being mildly undersaturated to slightly supersaturated (43-119%). In the lower reaches, mixing with seawater, which was rich in oxygen, also exerted great effects on the verified growing DO content, and no noticeable discrepancy was revealed between surveys (p>0.05, Kruskal-Wallis test). Generally, nutrients levels decreased from upper reaches to lower reaches in the estuary. The upper reaches were characterized by high nutrient levels (Figure S1D-F), which was the outcome of riverine input. In the lower reaches, the lowest level of nutrients occurred subject to relatively less eutrophic coastal seawater. Nitrate presented high concentrations (mean: 87 µmol/L) and seemed dominant, standing for ~ 65% of the total DIN (mean: 134  $\mu$ mol/L); in contrast, nitrite concentrations reached as ~ 8% (mean: 11 µmol/ L). Notably, a storm-caused flood took place prior to the August survey, during which the runoff was 2 times that of July. The fresh



Interannual and monthly dynamics in water discharge of the Jiulong River. Dashed line presents long-run mean water discharge in 1961– 2006 (Huang, 2008), while the solid curve indicates the water discharge between 2017 and 2018. Arrows show the sampling dates of the estuary.

water from upstream areas seemed to significantly affect the nutrients in the estuary, presenting different patterns compared to other surveys.

#### 3.2 Spatial and seasonal variations in N<sub>2</sub>O concentration and saturation

N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations in water surface and bottom along the Jiulong River Estuary are plotted against longitude (a) and salinity (b) in Figure 3 and displayed significant spatial and temporal variability, between 11 and 185 nmol/L, corresponding to the saturation within 113-2926%. Therefore, the Jiulong River Estuary was a net source of atmospheric N2O. Generally, N2O exhibited a high level in the upper reaches, but decreased in lower reaches in overall seasons. During the surveys in August and September (flood period), the maximum values were located in the middle reaches (Figure 3A), which might result from the discharge from South Creek (Figure 1, it was hard to reach because of the shallow water depth). During flood period, floodwater carrying N<sub>2</sub>O from the upstream areas of South Creek could be another contributor to the Jiulong River Estuary N<sub>2</sub>O budget.

We also tested the differences of N2O concentrations in different conditions of discharge and tidal amplitude. If the discharge was<200 m<sup>3</sup>/s, the sampling campaign conditions were considered representative of the dry season (November-2017, December-2017 and May-2018), and if the discharge was >200 m<sup>3</sup>/s, the sampling campaigns were considered representative of the flood period (September-2017, July-2018 and August-2018). If the tidal amplitude< 5 m, the campaigns were considered during neap tide, if the tidal amplitude was >5 m, the campaigns were considered during spring tide. The differences for N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations were not significant between dry and flood conditions, as well as between neap and spring tides (p > 0.05, Kruskal-Wallis Test).

Table 2 presents a comparison of N<sub>2</sub>O, temperature, salinity, DO, DIN, and turbidity between the surface and bottom waters in 3 cruises in 2018. The mean turbidity value of the bottom water seemed higher than the values of surface water. However, the



N2O concentration, saturation and fluxes vs longitude (A, C, E) and salinity (B, D, F) in the Jiulong River Estuary. The dashed lines resemble those of Figure 1

differences in all these parameters between the surface and bottom waters were not significant (p>0.05, Wilcoxon Test).

Figure S2 and Table S1 show the seasonal variation in  $N_2O$  concentration using the Kruskal-Wallis Test, which reached the maximum generally in September and the minimum in July, the differences among these 2 campaigns were significant. For longitudinal differences, significant differences of  $N_2O$  values among surveys could be found in the upper and middle reaches, however, in lower reaches, variation of  $N_2O$  concentrations showed not pronounced.

Results of the principal component analysis (PCA) are shown in Figure 4. Factors 1 and 2 together explain for about 73% of the data variance. Factor 1 is dominated by DIN ( $NO_3^-$ ,  $NH_4^+$ , and  $NO_2^-$ ) and  $N_2O$  in one hand and by salinity and DO on the other hand. Factor 2 shows the influence of wind and temperature, as well as the tides and discharge. The PCA and correlation matrix (Table 3) consistently reveal that the controlling processes over  $N_2O$ concentrations.  $N_2O$  were shown to be strongly positively correlated with DIN ( $NO_3^-$ ,  $NH_4^+$ , and  $NO_2^-$ ) and strongly inversely correlated with salinity and DO. The measured N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations in the groundwater showed high spatial variability, ranging from 6 to 301 nmol/L with an average of 133  $\pm$  99 nmol/L along the north bank and from 4 to 5380 nmol/L with an average of 1233  $\pm$  2054 nmol/L along the south bank. Generally, N<sub>2</sub>O concentration of the groundwater exceeded that of the estuary, demonstrating groundwater was possibly one N<sub>2</sub>O source to the Jiulong River Estuary.

#### $3.3 N_2O$ emission to the atmosphere

The monthly average wind speeds, ranging from 3.9 to 6.5 m/s (Table 1), were used to calculate N<sub>2</sub>O flux. In agreement with N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, the sea-to-air N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes exhibited great spatial variability in the Jiulong River Estuary. The maximum N<sub>2</sub>O flux as 1731  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/d was observed in the low salinity region, while the N<sub>2</sub>O flux decreased to 80  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/d toward the mouth of the estuary (Figures 3E, F; Table S1). The average N<sub>2</sub>O flux across the whole estuary was estimated to be 597 ± 490  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/d. Previous investigations in 2009 (Zhan et al., 2011) found N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from 3

TABLE 2 Comparison of the temperature, salinity, DO, DIN, turbidity, and N<sub>2</sub>O between the surface and bottom waters from 3 zones in the Jiulong River Estuary in 3 cruises in 2018.

Area		T (°C)	Salinity	DO (%)	NO <sub>3</sub> (μmol/L)	NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> (μmol/L)	NO <sub>2</sub> (μmol/L)	SRP (µmol/L)	Turbidity (NTU)	N <sub>2</sub> O (nmol/L)
Upper	Surface	30.6 ± 2.3	2.2 ± 3.2	66 ± 17	96.5 ± 23	54.7 ± 27	13.7 ± 10	2.2 ± 1.5	73 ± 111	76 ± 32
	Bottom	30.2 ± 1.9	3.8 ± 5.0	61 ± 17	98.4 ± 17	52.8 ± 30	14.6 ± 8	1.6 ± 0.5	126 ± 93	77 ± 35
Middle	Surface	29.9 ± 1.1	20.4 ± 8.8	78 ± 12	57.7 ± 34	26.2 ± 22	9.3 ± 5	$1.2 \pm 0.4$	47 ± 29	$41 \pm 34$
	Bottom	30.3 ± 1.4	16.2 ± 9.2	79 ± 12	48.9 ± 24	19.1 ± 19	8.2 ± 3	1.3 ± 0.5	117 ± 232	44 ± 32
Lower	Surface	29.6 ± 0.5	22.5 ± 4.6	86 ± 9	48 ± 36	5.6 ± 4	5.3 ± 3	$1 \pm 0.5$	$11 \pm 6$	23 ± 12
	Bottom	29.1 ± 0.8	27.9 ± 1.1	87 ± 5	26.5 ± 10	3.8 ± 3.8	$4.4 \pm 1$	0.8 ± 0.2	55 ± 37	15 ± 7

The differences between surface and bottom waters for these parameters were tested and were not significant (p>0.05, Wilcoxon Test).



	Wind	N <sub>2</sub> O	DO	Temperature	Salinity	PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	$NO_2^-$	$NO_3^-$	$\rm NH_4^+$	DIN	Turbidity	Discharge
N <sub>2</sub> O	-0.16											
DO	0.03	-0.57**										
Temperature	-0.80**	0.25**	-0.06									
Salinity	0.02	-0.86**	0.59**	-0.06								
PO <sub>4</sub> <sup>3-</sup>	0.74**	0.26**	0.06	-0.71**	-0.43**							
$NO_2^-$	0.25**	0.70**	-0.53**	-0.18	-0.72**	0.61**						
NO <sub>3</sub>	0.46**	0.70**	-0.31**	-0.40**	-0.80**	0.63**	0.70**					
$\mathrm{NH}_4^+$	0.28**	0.80**	-0.41**	-0.19	-0.71**	0.47**	0.71**	0.81**				
DIN	0.42**	0.76**	-0.36**	-0.35**	-0.79**	0.61**	0.76**	0.97**	0.90**			
Turbidity	-0.14	0.26**	-0.33**	0.11	-0.35**	0.08	0.21*	0.16	-0.04	0.09		
Discharge	-0.50**	0.05	-0.28**	0.45**	-0.18	-0.38**	-0.28**	-0.15	-0.38**	-0.26**	0.42**	
Tidal	-0.14	-0.24**	-0.42**	0.11	0.13	-0.37**	-0.16	-0.43**	-0.35**	-0.42**	0.12	0.22*

TABLE 3 Spearman correlation matrix for N<sub>2</sub>O, temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen (DO; %sat), SRP,  $NO_2$ ,  $NO_3$ ,  $NH_4^-$  turbidity and wind in the Jiulong River Estuary.

\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

to 94  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/d, and then increased to 0.5-168  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/d in 2013-2014 (Chen J. et al., 2015). In this study, it has increased to 80-1741  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/d, indicating an increasing trend in the N<sub>2</sub>O emissions of the Jiulong River Estuary during the last 10 years. To test the differences in the upper reaches between N<sub>2</sub>O values sampled in 2009 (Zhan et al., 2011) with N<sub>2</sub>O values in our study, a comparison was made using Kruskal-Wallis Test, N<sub>2</sub>O values in this study were much higher (Figure S3) than that sampled in 2009 (Zhan et al., 2011).

# 4 Discussion

# 4.1 Factors that influence the N<sub>2</sub>O distribution

Factors contributing to the variations of N<sub>2</sub>O in an estuarine system include its production primarily via nitrification/ denitrification that is related to the substrate level (DIN: NO<sub>2</sub>,  $NH_4^+$ , and  $NO_3^-$ ). Ambient environmental conditions such as DO level, salinity conditions including estuarine mixing, river discharge as well as N<sub>2</sub>O emission also play a role. The mixing diagram reflects the nonconservative behavior of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in August and September (flood period), with the system acting as an  $NH_4^+$  sink and  $NO_3^-$  source (Figure 5). In view of this, the removal of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and addition of N<sub>2</sub>O in the middle reaches (Figure 3) of the Jiulong River Estuary should partly be a result of nitrification, which is consistent with a previous report that suggested N<sub>2</sub>O accumulation primarily came from nitrification instead of denitrification in the Jiulong River Estuary (Wu et al., 2013; Chen N. et al., 2015). Moreover, the significance of nitrification to estuary seems noticeable: a distinct positive correlation between  $NH_4^+$  and  $N_2O$  concentrations supports  $N_2O$  production through nitrification to some extent (de Wilde and de Bie, 2000).

N<sub>2</sub>O distribution of the Jiulong River Estuary also came under the influence of other environmental factors. Specifically,  $\mathrm{N}_2\mathrm{O}$ concentrations were correlated with DO and turbidity (Table S2). The relationships between N2O and DO show negative correlations in overall seasons, i.e., high N2O concentrations and low DO concentrations. Such a pattern resembles those found in other estuaries by previous studies (de Wilde and de Bie, 2000; Brase et al., 2017). Low dissolved O2 (suboxic conditions) makes for nitrification and then N<sub>2</sub>O production (Codispoti, 2010; Kim et al., 2013), which can also be observed from our sediment incubation experiment. High N<sub>2</sub>O is usually observed near the maximum turbidity district (Barnes and Owens, 1998; Abril et al., 2000; Gonçalves et al., 2010), which exists year round in the Jiulong River Estuary (Chen et al., 2018). Lots of nitrifiers adhere to particles (Stehr et al., 1995), and a great many surveys concerning estuaries show that particle accumulation helps enhance nitrifying population growth as well as nitrifying action (Abril et al., 2000; de Wilde and de Bie, 2000). N<sub>2</sub>O concentration was shown to be strongly related to salinity (Table 3), N<sub>2</sub>O rapidly dropped with increasing salinity (Figure 3B). Nevertheless, in the middle reaches, the lowest N<sub>2</sub>O value (Figure 3A) was found in July (spring tide, Table 1), the higher tidal amplitude means more seawater intrusion to the estuary, indicating that low N<sub>2</sub>O seawater was diluting the high N<sub>2</sub>O estuarine water, thus the estuarine mixing process is another dominant process in the Jiulong River Estuary. The N2O concentration in the upper/middle reaches showed a certain degree of variation (Figure S2); however, we found no significant differences between dry and flood conditions, or among tidal amplitudes. Specific factors that control dynamic spatial pattern



of  $N_2O$  should be addressed in August (flood period), the lowest  $N_2O$  value was found in the upper reaches (Figure 3A), when the survey was after a rain storm and the river had the highest discharge of all the surveys, significantly higher water discharge (Table 1) may substantially dilute both the  $N_2O$  and its substrate such as DIN (Figure 3).

All these results suggest that the  $N_2O$  distribution in the Jiulong River Estuary was subject to many factors, impact of such factors seems additive rather than exclusive. Specific factors that control dynamic spatial pattern of  $N_2O$  should be confirmed by follow-up research which takes into account more details existing in the estuary.

#### 4.2 Overall N<sub>2</sub>O sources and sinks

The Jiulong River Estuary was divided into 3 zones, namely, the upper, middle and lower reaches. The average N<sub>2</sub>O sea-to-air fluxes ( $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/d) were 1111, 617 and 147, and the water areas (km<sup>2</sup>) were 14.3, 79.1 and 46.6 in the upper, middle and lower reaches, respectively. The daily N<sub>2</sub>O emissions of the Jiulong River Estuary (*E*) were estimated to be 71,542 mol/d.

Using the N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations of the freshwater endmembers (average: 118 nmol/L, S<1) multiplied by the annual average daily water discharge  $(0.405 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3/\text{d})$  to assess the riverine N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes (*R*) to the estuary yields a N<sub>2</sub>O flux of 4785 mol/d, which is equal to  $1.7 \times 10^6$  mol/yr in terms of annual input.

Groundwater could be another source of  $N_2O$  in the Jiulong River Estuary. Based on average  $N_2O$  concentrations (725 nmol/L)

and daily groundwater discharge of  $0.17 \times 10^8$  m<sup>3</sup>/d (Guo et al., 2011), N<sub>2</sub>O input from the groundwater to the estuary (*G*) is estimated as 12,331 mol/d, which accounts for 28% of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from the sediment.

 $N_2O$  loss due to export to the bay could be another sink (*B*), and  $N_2O$  surface water concentrations observed at estuary mouth (S>28) were used to calculate the  $N_2O$  transport to the bay, and were multiplied by the annual average water discharge (0.405×10<sup>8</sup> m<sup>3</sup>/d), giving a flux of 593 mol/d, indicating a minor sink of  $N_2O$  in the estuary.

Incubations for water column and sediments are not carried out in this study. No clear consumption or production during incubation was found from the Brisbane River Estuary, Australia (Sturm et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the water column plays a major part in N<sub>2</sub>O production in other estuarine studies (Law et al., 1992; Barnes and Owens, 1998; de Wilde and de Bie, 2000), indicating variability among estuaries. According to other research, sedimentwater N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes ranged between -5 and 600  $\mu$ mol/m<sup>2</sup>/d, showing high spatiotemporal variability with fluxes (Barnes and Owens, 1998; Laursen and Seitzinger, 2002; Zhang et al., 2010; Allen et al., 2011).

The N<sub>2</sub>O budget (equation 2) demonstrates the Jiulong River Estuary indistinct N<sub>2</sub>O sources (*X*) of around 55,019 mol/d, there are some possible unidentified inputs, including sediment efflux, tributaries and sewage inputs are potential sources of N<sub>2</sub>O. Conceptual model for sources and sinks of N<sub>2</sub>O in the Jiulong River Estuary could be seen in Figure 6. Intensity of denitrification can be regulated through the presence of nitrate and nitrite (Dong et al., 2004). Hence, in the presence of sufficient nitrate, sedimentary



denitrification site is ideal, and  $N_2O$  may well derive from denitrification in sediment from the Jiulong River Estuary. In the middle reaches, South Creek joins the Jiulong River Estuary and the  $N_2O$  concentrations are elevated between the 117.85 and 117.9°E (south creek) during the flood seasons, we conclude that the south creek join the estuary and provide fresh water with high concentration of  $N_2O$  to the waterbody in the estuary. Sewage investigations are not covered in this survey; although wastewater is partially treated, the nutrients released into the estuary continually increase (Chen et al., 2014), which in turn plays a major role as a  $N_2O$  source in the estuary.

# 4.3 $N_2O$ emissions and long-term trends in the estuary

The plot concerning  $N_2O$  vs. salinity (Figure 3B) is characterized by concave curves, and the concave profile indicates that  $N_2O$  in the estuary decreased faster compared with that of the conservative mixing with  $N_2O$ -poor seawater.  $N_2O$  consumption has traditionally been considered active only in anoxic environments (Codispoti and Christensen, 1985). Recently, some studies have found that  $N_2O$  reduction may occur in oxygenated waters (Raes et al., 2016; Rees et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2021; Tang et al., 2022; Wyman et al., 2013). According to incubations experiment for water column,  $N_2O$  had not been obviously produced or consumed (section 4.2). Therefore,  $N_2O$  reductions are primarily caused by gas emissions into the to the atmosphere. N<sub>2</sub>O saturation of surface water varied between 113% and 2926% in the 6 surveys of the research (Table 4), showing N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations in the Jiulong River Estuary were at a higher level with respect to the atmospheric N2O concentrations throughout the year. Hence, the Jiulong River Estuary represents one net source of N<sub>2</sub>O discharged into the atmospheric environment. The average N<sub>2</sub>O flux of the Jiulong River Estuary was estimated to be 597 µmol/  $m^2/d$  (Table 4). This flux is comparable to the flux in the Colne Estuary (Dong et al., 2004) and far higher compared with that in estuaries such as the Scheldt, Humber, Indian and Pearl Estuaries (de Wilde and de Bie, 2000; Lin et al., 2016; Rao and Sarma, 2016), indicating that saturations and emissions of N2O in the Jiulong River Estuary rank top in the world (Figure 7). In accordance with the coverage in the Jiulong River Estuary (see section 4.2), the annual N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were estimated to be  $0.26 \times 10^8$  mol/yr (equal to 1.1 Gg N/yr), which accounted for approximately 3.3% of the riverine DIN (34.3 Gg N/yr) load to the estuary (Yan et al., 2012), which was one order of magnitude higher than the 0.3% from DIN input employed in global scale models for estuaries (Seitzinger and Kroeze, 1998), indicating very high yields of N<sub>2</sub>O of the Jiulong River Estuary compared to global estuaries. Areal nutrient flux yield rates of the Jiulong River were much higher than those in major world rivers, and although the water discharge in the Jiulong River merely reaches 1.1% of that in the Changjiang River and 2.5% of that in the Pearl River, the areal DIN yield rates from the Jiulong River watershed are 4.1 and 2.4 times those from the Yangtze River

TABLE 4 Comparison of N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations, saturations and DIN in the Jiulong River Estuary from this and previous studies.

Sampling period	Concentration (nmol/L)	Saturation (%)	DIN (µmol/L)	References
Jan 2009	14-82 (41)	147-774 (280)	43-130(98 ± 34)	(Zhan et al., 2011)
Jul 2010 to Aug 2011	12-113	197-1605	15-370 (198 ± 97)	(Wu et al., 2013)
Aug 2012, Aug 2013	24.39 ± 12.05	314.51 ± 123.28	(132 ± 135)	(Chen J et al., 2015)
Sep 2017 to Aug 2018	11-185 (60)	113-2926 (827)	18-365(133 ± 93)	This study



and Pearl River watersheds, separately (Yan et al., 2012). Many studies have shown that DIN has a significant relationship with  $N_2O$ , with increased  $N_2O$  emissions due to the increased DIN inputs (Borges et al., 2018; Wells et al., 2018; Reading et al., 2020), Zhang et al. (2010) made a plot of estuarine  $N_2O$  versus DIN on a global scale, and by compiling  $N_2O$  data from 50 estuaries (Reading et al., 2020), they both found  $N_2O$  saturation showed a positive relationship with DIN on a global scale, and our data nicely match the correlation they show. Thus, the higher  $N_2O$  saturation could be attributed to greater DIN loadings as well as greater yields of  $N_2O$  rates of the Jiulong River Estuary.

We made a comparison for the trend of nutrient loads and N2O saturations in the past 10 years (Table 4). Previous investigations in 2009 found N<sub>2</sub>O saturations around 280% (Zhan et al., 2011); since then, the saturations of N2O has been increasing, and the mean N2O saturations has increased by 2 folds of magnitude during the last 10 years (Table 4). In addition, riverine N<sub>2</sub>O flux to the Jiulong River Estuary increased more than 15-fold during the 1985-2012 period (Chen N. et al., 2015). This is in contrast to some European estuaries, such as the Elbe and Schelde Estuaries (de Wilde and de Bie, 2000; Brase et al., 2017), where reduced N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations were observed during the last 20 years, following a significant decrease in DIN loads and an improvement in environmental conditions. However, the DIN in the Jiulong River Estuary presented an increasing trend, reached a maximum in 2011 and then decreased (Table 4), which conformed to the historical nutrient fluxes of the Jiulong River Estuary (Wu et al., 2017). Based on the correlation of DIN and N2O mentioned above, N2O saturation should have declined after 2011; instead, it is remarkable that N2O saturation continued to increase. One possible reason for the contrast phenomenon between the correlations and our finding is probably the increased oxygen concentration (Cai et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2017) in the Jiulong River Estuary during the past decade. In line with high nutrient loads and low oxygen saturation in the Elbe estuary in the 1980s (Hanke and Knauth, 1990), it is concluded that denitrification was the dominant contributor to N2O production at that time (Brase et al., 2017). N<sub>2</sub>O can be reduced to N<sub>2</sub> under anoxic conditions *via* denitrification (Upstill-Goddard et al., 2017), whereas, the yields of  $N_2O$  from microbial processes are enhanced under suboxic conditions (Zhang et al., 2010; Brase et al., 2017). With the improved environmental conditions in the Jiulong River Estuary especially with increased oxygen concentrations, the importance of denitrification appears to have ceased in the contemporary estuary, and our data also support that obvious nitrate addition in the middle reach points toward nitrification playing an important role in  $N_2O$  production in the contemporary estuary. It remains unclear why  $N_2O$  saturation continued to increase to such a high level, while it seems plausible that intense nitrification/denitrification and high yields of  $N_2O$  in the sediment, may still play an important role in overall  $N_2O$  production in the Jiulong River Estuary.

#### **5** Conclusions

N2O concentrations in the Jiulong River Estuary presented great temporal and spatial variations, and saturations fell within the range of those in other estuaries worldwide but were toward the higher end and were in accordance with the high DIN levels. The N2O dynamics in the Jiulong River Estuary were influenced by many factors, such as DIN (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> and NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>), salinity and dissolved oxygen. N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations showed little variability between the surface and bottom waters. Sea-to-air fluxes act as the main sinks for N<sub>2</sub>O of the water column in the Jiulong River Estuary, and careful incubation experiments in the water column and sediments are required to better interpret the mechanisms of production of N2O in the Jiulong River Estuary. Compared with previous research, DIN loads peaked in 2011 and then declined, but our research indicated that N2O saturation continued to increase, which may be related to the improved DO conditions. The main N<sub>2</sub>O production pathway has changed from predominantly denitrification in the past to significant nitrification in the present. Thus, the future development of N2O in the Jiulong River Estuary is still unclear and should be evaluated in further studies.

#### Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

#### Author contributions

YLi, YLu and LZ contributed to conception and design of the study. YLi, JL and JZ collected the field samples and analyzed the data. WY performed the statistical analysis. YLu provided the hydrographic data. YLi drafted the manuscript with input from all other authors. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This work was supported by National Key R&D Program of China (2022YFC2804002), the Scientific Research Foundation of the Third Institute of Oceanography (Grants 2022011, 2020004, 2020017), the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (Grant 2020J01102) and Asian Cooperation Fund Project-Study on Typical Bay Ecological Protection and Management Demonstration. We appreciate two anonymous reviewers, and Editor Dr. Christian Joshua Sanders for their constructive comments that have improved the manuscript substantially.

### Acknowledgments

We appreciate two reviewers, and Editors for their constructive comments that have improved the manuscript substantially.

# References

Abril, G., Commarieu, M. V., Maro, D., Fontugne, M., Guérin, F., and Etcheber, H. (2004). A massive dissolved inorganic carbon release at spring tide in a highly turbid estuary. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 31 (9), 1893–1906. doi: 10.1029/2004GL019714

Abril, G., Riou, S. A., Etcheber, H., Frankignoulle, M., Wit, R. D., and Middelburg, J. J. (2000). Transient, tidal time-scale, nitrogen transformations in an estuarine turbidity maximum–fluid mud system (The gironde, south-west France). *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 50 (5), 703–715. doi: 10.1006/ecss.1999.0598

Allen, D., Dalal, R. C., Rennenberg, H., and Schmidt, S. (2011). Seasonal variation in nitrous oxide and methane emissions from subtropical estuary and coastal mangrove sediments. *Australia Plant Biol.* 13 (1), 126–133. doi: 10.1111/j.1438-8677.2010.00331.x

Bange, H. W., Rapsomanikis, S., and Andreae, M. O. (1996). Nitrous oxide in coastal waters. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 10 (1), 197-207. doi: 10.1029/95GB03834

Bange, H. W., Sim, C. H., Bastian, D., Kallert, J., Kock, A., Mujahid, A., et al (2019). Nitrous oxide (N2O) and methane (CH4) in rivers and estuaries of northwestern Borneo. *Biogeosciences* 16, 4321–4335. doi: 10.5194/bg-16-4321-2019

Barnes, J., and Owens, N. J. P. (1998). Denitrification and nitrous oxide concentrations in the Humber estuary, UK, and adjacent coastal zones. *Mar. pollut. Bull.* 37 (3–7), 247–260. doi: 10.1016/S0025-326X(99)00079-X

Borges, A. V., Darchambeau, F., Lambert, T., Bouillon, S., Morana, C., Brouyère, S., et al. (2018). Effects of agricultural land use on fluvial carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide concentrations in a large European river, the meuse (Belgium). *Sci. total environ.* 610–611, 342–355. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.08.047

Brase, L., Bange, H. W., Lendt, R., Sanders, T., and Dähnke, K. (2017). High resolution measurements of nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ) in the Elbe estuary. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 4, 162. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2017.00162

Cai, M., Huang, D., Liu, Y., Chen, K., and Yang, S. (2016). Quantitative analysis of anthropogenic influences on coastal water - a new perspective. *Ecol. indicat.: Integrat. monitor. Assess. Manage.* 67 (Aug.), 673–683. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.03.037

Cao, W., Hong, H., and Yue, S. (2005). Modelling agricultural nitrogen contributions to the jiulong river estuary and coastal water. *Global Planet. Change* 47 (2–4), 111–121. doi: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2004.10.006

Chen, J., Cao, W., Cao, D., Huang, Z., and Liang, Y. (2015). Nitrogen loading and nitrous oxide emissions from a river with multiple hydroelectric reservoirs. *Bull. Environ. Contaminat. Toxicol.* 94 (5), 633–639. doi: 10.1007/s00128-015-1525-5

Chen, N., Krom, M. D., Wu, Y., Yu, D., and Hong, H. (2018). Storm induced estuarine turbidity maxima and controls on nutrient fluxes across river-estuary-coast continuum. *Sci. total Environ.* 628-629, 1108-1120. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.060

Chen, J.-J., Wells, N. S., Erler, D. V., and Eyre, B. D. (2022). Land-use intensity increases benthic N2O emissions across three sub-tropical estuaries. *J. Geophys. Res.: Biogeosci.* 127, e2022JG006899. doi: 10.1029/2022JG006899

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

#### Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fmars.2023.1138258/full#supplementary-material

Chen, N., Wu, J., Chen, Z., Lu, T., and Wang, L. (2014). Spatial-temporal variation of dissolved N2 and denitrification in an agricultural river network, southeast China. *Agricul. Ecosyst. Environ.* 189, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2014.03.004

Chen, N., Wu, J., Zhou, X., Chen, Z., and Lu, T. (2015). Riverine N<sub>2</sub>O production, emissions and export from a region dominated by agriculture in southeast Asia (Jiulong river). *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 208, 37–47. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2015.04.024

Codispoti, L. A. (2010). Interesting times for marine N<sub>2</sub>O. *Science* 327 (5971), 1339–1340. doi: 10.1126/science.1184945

Codispoti, L. A., and Christensen, J. P. (1985). Nitrification, denitrification and nitrous oxide cycling in the eastern tropical south pacific ocean. *Mar. Chem.* 16 (4), 277–300. doi: 10.1016/0304-4203(85)90051-9

de Wilde, H. P. J., and de Bie, M. J. M. (2000). Nitrous oxide in the schelde estuary: production by nitrification and emission to the atmosphere. *Mar. Chem.* 69 (3), 203–216. doi: 10.1016/S0304-4203(99)00106-1

Dong, L. F., Nedwell, D. B., Colbeck, I., and Finch, J. (2004). Nitrous oxide emission from some English and welsh rivers and estuaries. *Water Air Soil Pollution: Focus* 4 (6), 127–134. doi: 10.1007/s11267-004-3022-4

Eyre, B. D., Maher, D. T., and Sanders, C. (2016). The contribution of denitrification and burial to the nitrogen budgets of three geomorphically distinct Australian estuaries: importance of seagrass habitats. *Limnol. Oceanog.* 61 (3), 1144–1156. doi: 10.1002/ lno.10280

Gonçalves, C., Brogueira, M. J., and Camões, M. F. (2010). Seasonal and tidal influence on the variability of nitrous oxide in the tagus estuary, Portugal. *Scientia Mar.* 74 (S1), 57–66. doi: 10.3989/scimar.2010.74s1057

Guo, Z., Huang, L., Yuan, X., Liu, H., and Li, K. (2011). Estimating submarine groundwater discharge to the jiulong river estuary using Ra isotopes (in Chinese). *Adv. Water Sci.* 22 (1), 118–125.

Hanke, V.-R., and Knauth, H.-D. (1990). N2O-gehalte in wasser-und luftproben aus den bereichen der tideelbe und der deutschen bucht. *Vom Wasser* 75, 357–374.

Huang, X. Q. (2008). Hydrological characteristics in the jiulong watershed (in Chinese). Water Sci. Technol. 1, 16–20.

Kim, I. N., Lee, K., Bange, H. W., and Macdonald, A. M. (2013). Interannual variation in summer  $N_2O$  concentration in the hypoxic region of the northern gulf of Mexico 1985–2007. Biogeosciences 10 (11), 6783–6792. doi: 10.5194/bg-10-6783-2013

Lan, X., Thoning, K. W., and Dlugokencky, E. J. (2022). Trends in globally-averaged CH4, N2O, and SF6 determined from NOAA global monitoring laboratory measurements. version 2023-01. Available at: https://gml.noaa.gov/ccgg/trends\_n2o/

Laursen, A. E., and Seitzinger, S. P. (2002). The role of denitrification in nitrogen removal and carbon mineralization in mid-Atlantic bight sediments. *Continental shelf Res.* 22 (9), 1397–1416. doi: 10.1016/S0278-4343(02)00008-0 Law, C., Rees, A., and Owens, N. (1992). Nitrous oxide: estuarine sources and atmospheric flux. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 35 (3), 301–314. doi: 10.1016/S0272-7714(05)80050-2

Li, Y., Zhan, L., Chen, L., Zhang, J., Wu, M., and Liu, J. (2021). Spatial and temporal patterns of methane and its influencing factors in the jiulong river estuary, southeastern China. *Mar. Chem.* 228, 103909. doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2020.103909

Lin, H., Dai, M., Kao, S. J., Wang, L., Roberts, E., Yang, J. Y. T., et al. (2016). Spatio temporal variability of nitrous oxide in a large eutrophic estuarine system: the pearl river estuary, China. *Mar. Chem.* 182, 14–24. doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2016.03.005

Luo, Z. B., Pan, W. R., Zhang, G. R., Teng, M. A., and Wang, J. (2008). The threedimensional tidal current numerical model in jiulongjiang estuary and xiamen bay (in Chinese). J. Xiamen Univ. 47 (6), 864–868.

Raes, E. J., Bodrossy, L., Van de Kamp, J., Holmes, B., Hardman-Mountford, N., Thompson, P. A., et al. (2016). Reduction of the powerful greenhouse gas N2O in the south-Eastern Indian ocean. *PloS One* 11 (1), 1–11. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0145996

Rao, G. D., and Sarma, V. V. S. S. (2016). Variability in concentrations and fluxes of methane in the Indian estuaries. *Estuar. Coasts* 39 (6), 1–12. doi: 10.1007/s12237-016-0112-2

Raymond, P. A., and Cole, J. J. (2001). Gas exchange in rivers and estuaries: choosing a gas transfer velocity. *Estuaries* 24 (2), 312–317. doi: 10.2307/1352954

Reading, M. J., Tait, D. R., Maher, D. T., Jeffrey, L. C., Looman, A., Holloway, C., et al. (2020). Land use drives nitrous oxide dynamics in estuaries on regional and global scales. *Limnol. Oceanog* 65 (8), 1903-1920. doi: 10.1002/lno.11426

Rees, A. P., Brown, I. J., Jayakumar, A., Lessin, G., Somerfield, P. J., and Ward, B. B. (2021). Biological nitrous oxide consumption in oxygenated waters of the high latitude Atlantic ocean. *Commun. Earth Environ.* 2 (1), 36. doi: 10.1038/s43247-021-00104-y

Seitzinger, S. P., and Kroeze, C. (1998). Global distribution of nitrous oxide production, n inputs in freshwater, coastal marine ecosystems. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 12 (1), 93–113. doi: 10.1029/97GB03657

Smith, K. A., Mctaggart, I. P., and Tsuruta, H. (1997). Emissions of  $N_2O$  and NO associated with nitrogen fertilization in intensive agriculture, and the potential for mitigation. *Soil Use Manage.* 13 (s4), 296–304. doi: 10.1111/j.1475-2743.1997.tb00601.x

Stehr, G., Böttcher, B., Dittberner, P., Rath, G., and Koops, H.-P. (1995). The ammonia-oxidizing nitrifying population of the river Elbe estuary. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 17 (3), 177–186. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.1995.tb00141.x

Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G. K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., et al. (2013). "IPCC 2013: climate change 2013: the physical science basis," in *Contribution of working group I to the fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change* (Cambridge: WMO/UNEP), 95–123 pp.

Sturm, K., Grinham, A., Werner, U., and Yuan, Z. (2016). Sources and sinks of methane and nitrous oxide in the subtropical Brisbane river estuary, south East Queensland, Australia. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 168, 10–21. doi: 10.1016/j.ecss.2015.11.002

Sun, X., Amal, J., and Bess, B. W. (2017). Community composition of nitrous oxide consuming bacteria in the oxygen minimum zone of the Eastern tropical south pacific. *Front. Microbiol.* 8. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01183

Sun, X., Jayakumar, A., Tracey, J. C., Wallace, E., Kelly, C. L., Casciotti, K. L., et al. (2021). Microbial N2O consumption in and above marine N2O production hotspots. *Isme J.* 15 (5), 1434–1444. doi: 10.1038/s41396-020-00861-2

Tang, Z., Liu, X., Li, G., and Liu, X. (2022). Mechanism of biochar on nitrification and denitrification to N2O emissions based on isotope characteristic values. *Environ. Res.* 212 (Part A). Upstill-Goddard, R. C., Salter, M. E., Mann, P. J., Barnes, J., Poulsen, J., Dinga, B., et al. (2017). The riverine source of CH4 and N2O from the republic of Congo, western Congo basin. *Biogeosciences* 14, 1–24. doi: 10.5194/bg-14-2267-2017

Vilmin, L., Mogollón, J. M., Beusen, A. H. W., and Bouwman, A. F. (2018). Forms and subannual variability of nitrogen and phosphorus loading to global river networks over the 20th century. *Global Planet. Change* 163, 67–85. doi: 10.1016/ j.gloplacha.2018.02.007

Wanninkhof, R. (2014). Relationship between wind speed and gas exchange over the ocean revisited. *Limnol. Oceanog.: Methods* 12 (6), 351-362. doi: 10.4319/lom.2014.12.351

Weiss, R. F., and Price, B. A. (1980). Nitrous oxide solubility in water and seawater. Mar. Chem. 8 (4), 347–359. doi: 10.1016/0304-4203(80)90024-9

Wells, N. S., Maher, D. T., Erler, D. V., Hipsey, M., Rosentreter, J. A., and Eyre, B. D. (2018). Estuaries as sources and sinks of N<sub>2</sub>O across a land use gradient in subtropical Australia. *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 32 (5), 877–894. doi: 10.1029/2017GB005826

Wu, G., Cao, W., Huang, Z., Kao, C.-M., Chang, C.-T., Chiang, P.-C., et al. (2017). Decadal changes in nutrient fluxes and environmental effects in the jiulong river estuary. *Mar. pollut. Bull.* 124 (2), 871–877. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.01.071

Wu, J., Chen, N., Hong, H., Lu, T., Wang, L., and Chen, Z. (2013). Direct measurement of dissolved  $N_2$  and denitrification along a subtropical river-estuary gradient, China. *Mar. pollut. Bull.* 66 (1-2), 125-134. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.10.020

Wyman, M., Hodgson, S., and Bird, C. (2013). Denitrifying alphaproteobacteria from the Arabian Sea that express nosZ, the gene encoding nitrous oxide reductase, in oxic and suboxic waters. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 79 (8), 2670–2681. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03705-12

Yan, X. L., Zhai, W. D., Hong, H. S., Li, Y., Guo, W. D., and Huang, X. (2012). Distribution, fluxes and decadal changes of nutrients in the jiulong river estuary, southwest Taiwan strait. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 57 (18), 2307–2318. doi: 10.1007/s11434-012-5084-4

Yang, S., Chang, B. X., Warner, M. J., Weber, T. S., and Bianchi, D. (2020). Global reconstruction reduces the uncertainty of oceanic nitrous oxide emissions and reveals a vigorous seasonal cycle. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 117 (22), 201921914. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1921914117

Zappa, C. J., Raymond, P. A., Terray, E. A., and Mcgillis, W. R. (2003). Variation in surface turbulence and the gas transfer velocity over a tidal cycle in a macro-tidal estuary. *Estuaries* 26 (6), 1401–1415. doi: 10.1007/BF02803649

Zhan, L.-Y., Chen, L.-Q., Zhang, J.-X., and Lin, Q. (2013). A system for the automated static headspace analysis of dissolved  $N_2O$  in seawater. *Int. J. Environ.* Anal. Chem. 93 (8), 828–842. doi: 10.1080/03067319.2012.702273

Zhan, I., Chen, L., Zhang, J., and Zheng, A. (2011). Distribution of N2O in the jiulong jiang river estuary and estimation of its air-sea flux during winter (in Chinese). *J. Oceanog. Taiwan Strait* 30 (002), 189–195.

Zhang, J. (1996). Nutrient elements in large Chinese estuaries. *Continental shelf Res.* 16 (8), 1023–1045. doi: 10.1016/0278-4343(95)00055-0

Zhang, G. L., Zhang, J., Liu, S. M., Ren, J. L., and Zhao, Y. C. (2010). Nitrous oxide in the changjiang (Yangtze river) estuary and its adjacent marine area: riverine input, sediment release and atmospheric fluxes. *Biogeosciences* 7 (11), 3505–3516. doi: 10.5194/bg-7-3505-2010