Uncovering the role of oxygen on organic carbon cycling: insights from a continuous culture study with a facultative anaerobic bacterioplankton species (*Shewanella baltica*)
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Deoxygenation is tied to organic carbon (*C*<sub>org</sub>) supply and utilization in marine systems. Under oxygen-depletion, bacteria maintain *C*<sub>org</sub> respiration using alternative electron acceptors such as nitrate. Since anaerobic respiration’s energy yield is lower, *C*<sub>org</sub> remineralization may be reduced and its residence time increased. We investigated the influence of oxygen and alternative electron acceptors’ availability on *C*<sub>org</sub> cycling by heterotrophic bacteria during a continuous culture experiment with *Shewanella baltica*, a facultative anaerobic γ-Proteobacteria in the Baltic Sea. We tested six different oxygen levels, from suboxic (<5 µmol L<sup>-1</sup>) to fully oxic conditions, using a brackish (salinity=14 g L<sup>-1</sup>) media supplied with high (HighN) or low (LowN) inorganic nitrogen concentrations relative to glucose as labile *C*<sub>org</sub> source. Our results show that suboxia limited DOC (glucose) uptake and cell growth only under LowN, while higher availability of alternative electron acceptors seemingly compensated oxygen limitation under HighN. N-loss was observed under suboxia in both nitrogen treatments. Under HighN, N-loss was highest and a C:N loss ratio of ~2.0 indicated that *C*<sub>org</sub> was remineralized via denitrification. Under LowN, the C:N loss ratio under suboxia was higher (~5.5), suggesting the dominance of other anaerobic respiration pathways, such as dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA). Bacterial growth efficiency was independent of oxygen concentration but higher under LowN (34 ± 3.0%) than HighN (26 ± 1.6%). Oxygen concentration also affected dissolved organic matter (DOM) cycling. Under oxic conditions, the release of dissolved combined carbohydrates was enhanced, and the amino acid-based degradation index (DI) pointed to more diagenetically altered DOM. Our results suggest bacterial *C*<sub>org</sub> uptake in low-oxygen systems dominated by *S. baltica* can be limited by oxygen but compensated by high nitrate availability. Hence, suboxia diminishes *C*<sub>org</sub> remineralisation only when alternative electron acceptors are lacking. Under high nitrate:*C*<sub>org</sub> supply, denitrification leads to a higher N:C loss ratio, potentially counteracting eutrophication in the long run. Low nitrate:*C*<sub>org</sub> supply may favour other anaerobic respiration pathways like DNRA,
1 Introduction

About 1% of the ocean volume is characterized by oxygen concentrations <20 μmol L⁻¹; concentrations may even fall below the current detection limit of 2 nmol L⁻¹ (Revsbech et al., 2009; Ulloa and Pantoja, 2009). The oceanic oxygen content decreased by about 2% over the past 50 years, and water masses with oxygen concentrations <70 μmol L⁻¹ at 200 dbar (which equals approximately 200 m depth) increased between 1960 and 2008 (Stramma et al., 2010; Schmidtke et al., 2017). Depending on the region and assumed climate scenario, different model studies predict a further decrease in oxygen concentrations within the ocean (summarized in Levin, 2018). The combination of sluggish ventilation, i.e. water column stratification, and enhanced nutrient supply followed by higher organic matter loads and subsequently respiratory oxygen demand is the primary driver of low oxygen levels in marine systems. Hence, oxygen minimum zones (OMZs) are often found in upwelling systems at eastern continental margins or in enclosed seas with strong stratification such as the Baltic Sea and the Black Sea, or in systems strongly affected by nutrient pollution from large river systems like the Gulf of Mexico, the Chesapeake Bay or the Adriatic Sea (Pitcher et al., 2021).

In the central Baltic Sea, hypoxic (<60 μmol O₂ L⁻¹), suboxic (<5 μmol O₂ L⁻¹) and even anoxic (<0 μmol O₂ L⁻¹) waters are permanently present in deeper regions and occasionally along the coast (Conley et al., 2009; Carstensen et al., 2014; Andersen et al., 2017). Eutrophication of the Baltic Sea, resulting from high nutrient riverine and aeolian input of riparian states, leads to massive algal blooms, the degradation of which fuels oxygen reduction (Voss et al., 2011). Meanwhile, oxygen supply to the deep Baltic basins is restricted due to a strong halocline at around 60 m depth and the limited inflow of oxygen-rich North Sea water. Next to nutrient loadings, rising water temperatures may further stimulate cyanobacterial blooms and, subsequently, the degradation of organic matter, reducing oxygen (Kabel et al., 2012). A multidecadal time series in Boknis Eck identified decreasing oxygen concentrations at 25 m depth and increased frequency of anoxic and suboxic events during the period 1957 – 2013 (Lennartz et al., 2014; Hepach et al., 2024). This recent deoxygenation is probably induced by increased microbial respiration at warmer water temperatures and reduced ventilation due to the stratification of the water column earlier in the year (Hepach et al., 2024).

Heterotrophic respiration of organic matter involves redox reactions, wherein organic compounds like glucose undergo remineralization using oxygen or alternative electron acceptors. This process yields oxidized carbon (CO₂), reduced products (H₂O in the case of aerobic respiration), and energy as summarized in Engel et al. (2022). To obtain energy under hypoxic conditions, bacteria may use alternative electron acceptors, such as nitrate and nitrite, for anaerobic respiration, such as denitrification and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) or chemoautotrophic pathways, e.g. anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) (Lam and Kuyper, 2011). For the anaerobic respiration pathways denitrification and DNRA, the energy gain per oxidized carbon atom is 1 and 36% less than via aerobic respiration (Lam and Kuyper, 2011). Denitrification is the sequential reduction of nitrate (NO₃⁻) to nitrite (NO₂⁻), nitric oxide (NO), nitrous oxide (N₂O), nitrous oxide (N₂O) to dinitrogen gas (N₂), which can escape into the atmosphere, causing a loss of reactive nitrogen in the water column. During DNRA, nitrate is reduced to nitrite in the initial reduction step; however, the end product of this reaction is ammonium (NH₄⁺), which is released to the water column. It has been estimated that 30 to 50% of the oceanic nitrogen loss occurs in OMZs (Lam and Kuyper, 2011 and citations within). The oxygen threshold for anaerobic processes is still under investigation. The suppression of anammox was reported for oxygen concentrations between 1.7-20 μmol L⁻¹ (Jensen et al., 2008; Kalvelage et al., 2011; Dalsgaard et al., 2014). The reduction of nitrate is less oxygen sensitive occurring at concentrations up to 25 μmol L⁻¹ (Kalvelage et al., 2011), whereas the entire reduction of nitrate to dinitrogen gas might already be inhibited by nanomolar oxygen concentrations (Dalsgaard et al., 2014). Limited literature addresses the oxygen sensitivity of DNRA. Yet, an incubation experiment showed no direct oxygen dependency for the transcription of nrfA, encoding a key enzyme of this process (Dalsgaard et al., 2014).

DNRA, denitrification, and oxic respiration are conducted by heterotrophic bacteria (e.g. Lam and Kuyper, 2011). Heterotrophic bacteria are crucial for the marine carbon cycle since they transform dissolved organic carbon (DOC) into bacterial biomass, which is respired to CO₂ or incorporated by bacteriophores and thus

which sustains labile nitrogen in the system, potentially intensifying the cycle of eutrophication. Going forward, it will be crucial to establish the validity of our findings for S. baltica in natural systems with diverse organic substrates and microbial consortia.
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transferred to higher trophic levels (Azam et al., 1983). Climate-driven changes in ocean circulation and the interplay between primary production, bacterial production (BP), and respiration determines whether a specific area might act as a carbon sink (net uptake of CO$_2$) or source (net release of CO$_2$) (Hoppe et al., 2002). The bacterial carbon demand (BCD) is the total amount of carbon used for anabolic and catabolic processes and can be estimated by the sum of bacterial production and respiration rates. In the Baltic Sea, the BCD has been estimated to equal 50 to 70% of the primary production (Hagstrom et al., 2001; Hoikkala et al., 2015), determining the fate of the photosynthetically fixed carbon. The marine bacterial growth efficiency (BGE), defined as the ratio BP/BCD, is, on average, 20% (1-69%) (Del Giorgio and Cole, 1998). In the Baltic Sea, the BGE is highly variable (5-60%) and depends, among other factors, on substrate quality (summarized in Hoikkala et al., 2015). However, the effect of oxygen limitation on BGE has not been sufficiently investigated. Batch experiments with a microbial community from the Baltic Sea demonstrated similar bacterial growth efficiency (BGE) at both oxic and low oxygen concentrations (Maßmig et al., 2019). However, particle degradation experiments suggested a reduction in the degradation of organic matter under suboxic and anoxic conditions compared to oxic ones, implying a potential decrease in microbial carbon turnover under oxygen-limited conditions (Nguyen and Harvey, 1997; Le Moigne et al., 2017). The apparent contradiction between the findings underscores the complexity of microbial responses to different oxygen concentrations. It also highlights the need for further research to unravel the combined effects of oxygen concentrations and the availability of alternative electron acceptors on total and cell-specific bacterial turnover of carbon, as well as their impact on BGE.

This study investigated whether a decrease in oxygen concentration reduces the bacterial carbon utilization. Additionally, we investigated if nitrate, acting as an alternative electron acceptor, can compensate for the missing oxygen and sustain bacterial carbon turnover. We analyzed the total and cell-specific carbon use, i.e. DOC uptake and particulate organic carbon (POC) production, under six different oxygen conditions with oxygen concentrations ranging from fully oxic to suboxic. The experiments were performed with the γ-Proteobacteria Shewanella baltica, a facultative anaerobe that uses nitrate, among other compounds such as Fe$^{3+}$ and sulfur compounds, as an electron acceptor (Ziemke et al., 1998). Moreover, S. baltica represents around 77% of the cultivatable nitrate-reducing bacteria in the Gotland Deep (Baltic Sea) (Brettar et al., 2001). We incubated an S. baltica culture under high (HighN) and low (LowN) nitrate and identical glucose concentrations to vary the availability of alternative electron acceptors relative to the labile carbon source, yielding an identical glucose concentrations to vary the availability of alternative electron acceptors (Ziemke et al., 1998; Brettar et al., 2001). The cell uses alternative electron acceptors, e.g. nitrate, when oxygen concentration becomes limiting (Ziemke et al., 1998). S. baltica has been identified as denitrifying bacteria (Brettar et al., 2001) and $N_2$O producer (Brettar and Hölle, 1993).

Full genome sequence of Shewanella baltica DSM 9436 (NCTC10735) is deposited in the European Nucleotide archive under sample accession ERS135390 (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/ERS135390) and available genome annotations are deposited in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nuccore/UGYM01000002.1). The genome indicates that the strain is capable of conducting DNRA and denitritification based on gene families NapAB, NrfA, Nos, and narXL.

Chemostats were modified 5 L glass bottles (SCHOTT Duran) with an overflow, enabling a constant culture volume of 4.6 L. Applications for sampling, stirring, medium inflow and aeration were installed in the lid (Figure 1A). The medium was stirred with 280 rotations per minute. Filtered (0.2 μm) and autoclaved medium was added constantly to the chemostat (2 ml min$^{-1}$) through a peristaltic pump to achieve a dilution rate of 0.626 d$^{-1}$. The medium was dripped in and out of the chemostat to avoid contamination (Borchard et al., 2011). Sampling was conducted through an opening in the lid. All material and filters between the medium tank and chemostats were autoclaved or acid cleaned. Filters were regularly checked and immediately acid-cleaned or exchanged when signs of contamination occurred.

Aeration of the chemostats was regulated with a mass flow controller (MFC, Type 1179 Mass Flow Controller; MKS Instruments, Germany) and the associated digital control panel (Type 647C Multi Gas Controller; MKS Instruments Germany; accuracy 0.7%) as described in detail by Borchard et al. (2011). Air underwent filtration (0.2 μm) as a precaution against contamination before entering the medium via a sterilized bubbling stone (End Line Filter, Thermo Scientific) to maintain a consistent and precise aeration level. Gas flow was adjusted to 19-20 ml min$^{-1}$ containing compressed ambient air and an anoxic gas mixture (0.13% CO$_2$ in N$_2$; Air Liquide) to obtain a theoretical inflow of 0.25, 0.53 and 2.56% air saturation. The inflow of 0% air saturation was conducted at two different chemostats with and without an oxygen reduction column (copper catalyst, Glasgerätebau Ochs). One chemostat was bubbled with ambient air directly (19% oxygen saturation) to achieve fully oxygenated conditions. Since chemostats are flow-through systems, a slight inflow of oxygen could not be excluded entirely. Oxygen concentrations were measured within the chemostats before adding bacteria, resulting in six oxygen concentrations: 5, 6, 9, 15, 32, and 240 μmol L$^{-1}$ (Figure 1B). Oxygen concentrations were chosen to represent suboxic conditions (5 μmol L$^{-1}$) and

2 Methods

2.1 Experimental set-up

2.1.1 Chemostats

Experiments were conducted with the γ-Proteobacteria S. baltica (DMS no. 9439; Synonym NCTC10735) at 20°C in the dark. S. baltica is a facultative anaerobic bacteria in the Baltic Sea (Ziemke et al., 1998; Brettar et al., 2001). The cell uses alternative electron acceptors, e.g. nitrate, when oxygen concentration becomes limiting (Ziemke et al., 1998). S. baltica has been identified as denitrifying bacteria (Brettar et al., 2001) and $N_2$O producer (Brettar and Hölle, 1993).
different states of hypoxic conditions (<60 μmol L⁻¹) as well as fully oxic conditions following Gruber (2011). Throughout the manuscript, these six oxygen conditions will be named O₂-5, O₂-6, O₂-9, O₂-15, O₂-32 and O₂-240. Oxygen conditions from O₂-5 to O₂-15 were practically suboxic since respiration in those chemostats depleted oxygen concentrations to <1 μmol L⁻¹ at the sampling days (Supplementary Table 1).

The supplied medium for all chemostats was constantly pumped from a 20 L medium tank. The nutrient composition changed between the HighN (Corg/DIN = 1.25) and the LowN (Corg/DIN = 6.52) medium. The following parameters have been studied: dissolved organic carbon (DOC), particulate organic carbon (POC), dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN), particulate nitrogen (PN), total dissolved nitrogen (TDN), cell abundance, the degradation index (DI) based on amino acid composition, dissolved combined carbohydrate (DCCHO) composition and oxygen. (B) Initial DIN concentration (grey shading) and prescribed oxygen concentrations over time in the chemostat experiment. Vertical lines indicate sampling days during HighN (days 16, 18, 20, 22) and LowN (days 29, 32, 34 and 36) treatment. (C) Batch experiment’s set up to verify the observed nitrogen loss during the chemostat study. Two of the four incubation bottles were turned anoxic before sampling nitrous oxide (N₂O), TDN and PN (see methods for details). (D) Bottle incubations to investigate the development of N₂O production over time. The bottles were sampled at nine consecutive days.
in the LowN treatment 0.23 mmol L⁻¹). Moreover, vitamins, metals, and sodium bicarbonate were added following the recipe for the f/2 medium (see Supplementary Table 2) (Guillard and Ryther, 1962; Guillard, 1975). Media composition was tested in pre-studies to determine the nutrient concentrations needed to yield sufficient biomass to enable sampling of all parameters without exceeding the maximum sampling volume of <10% total volume (450 mL) (La Roche et al., 2010).

After inoculating the chemostats with *S. baltica*, the cells were grown for 29 h in batch mode. Then the flow-through (D=0.626 d⁻¹) was started. The experiment ran for 36 days, the first 22 days as HighN treatment, while during the remaining 14 days, the nutrient supply was changed to LowN. After the change in nitrogen concentration, the system was allowed to adapt for one week to new conditions. Sampling for HighN was conducted on days 16, 18, 20 and 22. Sampling for LowN was performed on days 29, 32, 34, and 36. For analysis, only sampling days with the lowest variation in cell abundance (<22%) were included, i.e. data from day 22 were excluded.

### 2.1.2 Nitrous oxide determination

An additional batch experiment with *S. baltica* was conducted to investigate a potential nitrogen loss during the chemostat experiment (Figure 1C). Batch cultures were inoculated in the same LowN medium as the chemostat study. The experiment lasted eight days with a start concentration of 2.9 x10⁵ cells mL⁻¹ in four incubation bottles (1.12 L; Zscheiler and Klinger) on a shaker (75 rotations min⁻¹). Incubation bottles were first closed with a gas permeable cotton wool. After five days, two incubations were turned anoxic by sealing the bottles with a gas-tight lid and a septum. They were then purged for two hours with a gas mixture consisting of 0.13% CO₂ in pure N₂ (Air Liquide), which was passed septum. They were then purged for two hours with a gas mixture turned anoxic by sealing the bottles with a gas-tight lid and a septum. Incubations were bubbled with a gas-tight cup and septum. After the change in nitrogen concentration, the system was allowed to adapt for one week to new conditions. Sampling for HighN was conducted on days 16, 18, 20 and 22. Sampling for LowN was performed on days 29, 32, 34, and 36. For analysis, only sampling days with the lowest variation in cell abundance (<22%) were included, i.e. data from day 22 were excluded.

### 2.2 Measurements and computations

#### 2.2.1 Bacterial abundance

For bacterial abundance, 4 mL samples were fixed with 200 µL glutaraldehyde (25%) and stored at -20°C for at most two months until analyses by flow cytometry after *Gasol and Del Giorgio (2000)* (FACS Calibur; Becton Dickinson). Before analysis, samples were sonicated (5 seconds), filtered (50 µm) and diluted up to 40 times with ultra-pure water. Then 10 µL Flouresbrite® fluorescent beads (Polyscience, Inc.) and 10 µL Sybr Green (Invitrogen) (final concentration: 1x of the 1000x Sybre Green concentrate) were added to 400 µL of the diluted sample. The instrument was calibrated with TruCount Beads™ (BD) with a measurement error of 2% RSD.

#### 2.2.2 Oxygen

Oxygen concentration in the chemostats was measured with a needle sensor (Pyroscience; TROXR430; detection limit: 0.005% O₂; accuracy: 0.01% O₂ at 0.2% O₂). In the batch cultures, optical sensors (PreSens; SPPS3; detection limit: 0.03% O₂; accuracy: ± 0.4% at 20.9% and ± 0.05% at 0.2%) were used. To convert oxygen concentrations into μmol L⁻¹, the oxygen solubility table from Unisense a/s (Ramsing and Gundersen, 2000) was used.

#### 2.2.3 Nutrients

Ammonium, nitrate, nitrite and phosphate were measured with a nutrient analyzer (Skalar, San +++) (Sharp, 1974) that was calibrated with acetanilide standard (0.1 to 0.7 mg) and soil standard (2 to 4 mg). The resulting measurement range was 0.3 to 5.2 μmol nitrogen and 5.7 to 41.5 μmol carbon, with a measurement error of 0.4%.

#### 2.2.4 Nitrous oxide

N₂O was sampled from the batch cultures bubble-free in 20 mL vials and closed with butyl rubber stoppers and aluminium caps. N₂O samples from the batch culture and N₂O incubations of the separate experiment in N₂O sampling vials were poisoned with 50 µL saturated mercury chloride solution, shaken and stored in the dark at room temperature until analysis for at most one month. Analysis was conducted with a GC/ECD system (Hewlett Packard; 5890 Series II gas chromatograph) that was calibrated daily with four dilutions of a standard gas (Deutsche Steininger GmbH, Mühlheim, Germany) with Helium (AirLiquide GmbH Düsseldorf) ranging between 109 and 994 ppm. For methodological details, see Walter et al. (2006).

#### 2.2.5 Particulate organic carbon and particulate nitrogen

POC and particulate nitrogen (PN) were sampled from the overflow. For analysis, 50–150 mL were filtered onto combusted (8 h, 500°C) GF/F filters (0.7 μm) with a measurement error of 0.4% at -20°C for at most two months. Samples were analyzed with an elemental analyzer (Euro EA, Hechatech) (Sharp, 1974) that was calibrated with anatase standard (0.1 to 0.7 mg) and soil standard (2 to 4 mg). The resulting measurement range was 0.3 to 5.2 μmol nitrogen and 5.7 to 41.5 μmol carbon, with a measurement error of 0.4%.

#### 2.2.6 Dissolved organic carbon and total dissolved nitrogen

For analyses of DOC and total dissolved nitrogen (TDN), 20 mL samples were filtered through a syringe filter (0.45 μm glass microfiber GD/X membrane, Whatman™, rinsed with 25 mL...
MilliQ and 10 mL sample) into a combusted glass ampoule (8 h, 500°C), before 20 μL of 30% ultrapure hydrochloric acid were added. After sealing the ampule, samples were stored in the dark at 4°C for at most two months. Samples of the chemostat experiment were diluted with ultra-pure water (2:1). Samples were analyzed with a TQC–VCSH using a TNM-1 detector (Shimadzu) and the high-temperature catalytic oxidation method modified from Sugimura and Suzuki (1988) as described in Engel and Galgani (2016). Calibration was conducted with potassium hydrogen phthalate standard solutions (0 to 416.7 μmol C L⁻¹) (Merck 109017) and a potassium nitrate standard solution (0-57.1 μmol N L⁻¹) (Merck 105065). Reference seawater standards (Hansell laboratory RSMAS University of Miami) were used to determine the instrument blanks. Between repeated measurements, the relative standard deviation (RSD) is <1%, and the detection limit is 1 μmol L⁻¹ for DOC and 2 μmol L⁻¹ for TDN.

2.2.7 Dissolved carbohydrates and amino acids

Samples for high-molecular-weight (>1kDa) dissolved combined carbohydrates (DCHO) were taken by filtering 20 mL of seawater through 0.45 μm Acrodisc syringe filters into pre-combusted glass vials (4 h, 500°C) and stored frozen at −20°C until analysis. The analysis was conducted according to Engel and Händel (2011). DCHO samples were first desalted by membrane dialysis (1 kDa MWCO, Spectra Por) for 5 h at 1°C. DCHO samples were hydrolyzed for 20 h at 100°C with 0.4 M HCl final concentration and then neutralized through acid evaporation under a vacuum and nitrogen atmosphere (1 h, 60°C). Samples were analyzed using high-performance anion-exchange chromatography coupled with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD) on a Dionex ICS 3000. The system was calibrated with a mixed sugar standard solution including the neutral sugars: fucose (Fuc), rhamnose (Rha), arabinose (Ara), galactose (Gal), xylose/mannose (Xyl/Man), and glucose (Glc), the amino sugars: galactosamine (GalN), glucosamine (GlcN), and the acidic sugars: galacturonic acid (GalUA), glucuronic acid (GluA), glucuronic acid (GluUA) and muramic acid (MurA).

Samples for dissolved amino acids (DAA) were taken by filtering 20 mL of seawater through 0.45 μm Acrodisc syringe filters into pre-combusted glass vials (4 h, 500°C) and stored frozen at −20°C until analysis. The analysis was performed according to Lindroth and Mopper (1979) and Dittmar et al. (2009) and modified after Engel and Galgani (2016). 1 mL of the DAA sample was hydrolyzed with 1 mL of 30% hydrochloric acid (Merck, supra pure) in sealed ampoules at 100°C for 20 h. The 2 mL DAA hydrolysate was dried in a microwave at 60°C under a nitrogen atmosphere and washed twice with 0.5 mL of MilliQ to remove any remaining acid. DAA samples were re-dissolved in 1 mL MilliQ for analysis and mixed with 9:1 borate buffer (pH 9.5). A 1260 HPLC system (Agilent) with a C18 column (Phenomenex Kinetex, 2.6 μm, 150 x 4.6 mm) was used for analysis after the separation of thirteen different amino acids and in-line derivatization with o-phthalaldehyde and mercaptoethanol. A linear gradient was run with 100% Solvent A [5% Acetonitrile (LiChrosolv, Merck, HPLC gradient grade) in Sodium dihydrogen-phosphate buffer (pH 7.0; Merck, suprapur)] to 22% solvent B (Acetonitrile) in 50 min. The following standards were used: asparagine + aspartic acid (AsX), glutamine + glutamic acid (GIX), serine (Ser), glycine (Gly), threonine (Thr), arginine (Arg), alanine (Ala), tyrosine (Tyr), valine (Val), isoleucine (Ile), phenylalanine (Phe), leucine (Leu), and γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA). The detection limit of this analysis was ~1.4 nmol L⁻¹ with a precision of 2%. For the O₂-240 HighN treatment only two sampling days were analyzed.

2.2.8 Nitrogen and carbon turnover

The net production rates of POC and PN (rPPOC, PPN, μmol L⁻¹d⁻¹) were estimated by Equation 1:

\[ r_{PPOC} = \left( \frac{[POC]_{chem} - [POC]_{medium}}{D} \right) \times \frac{1}{C_{138}} \]  

(1)

where \([POC, PN]_{chem}\) are the POC and PN concentrations within the chemostat, respectively, at the day of sampling, \([POC, PN]_{medium}\) the respective concentrations in the medium, and \(D\) is the dilution rate (0.626 d⁻¹). Since the particulate material in the medium tank was removed during filtration, the correction for \([POC, PN]_{medium}\) primarily accounted for the adsorption of dissolved carbon and nitrogen onto the GF/F filter. DOC and total dissolved nitrogen (TDN=DIN+DON) net uptake rates were estimated by the difference in DOC and TDN concentration within the chemostats and the supplied medium multiplied by the dilution rate (Equation 2):

\[ r_{U_{DOC, TDN}} = \left( \frac{[DOC, TDN]_{chemostat} - [DOC, TDN]_{medium}}{D} \right) \times \frac{1}{C_{2}} \]  

(2)

Nitrogen and carbon loss rates (μmol L⁻¹d⁻¹) were calculated as the difference between uptake and production rates. The BGE on carbon (BGE-C) was estimated as a ratio between net DOC production and net DOC uptake after Kroer (1993) (Equation 3):

\[ BGE = C = \frac{r_{POC}}{r_{U_{DOC}}} \]  

(3)

In addition, we calculated the BGE based on nitrogen as ratio between net PN production and net TDN uptake (Equation 4):

\[ BGE = N = \frac{r_{PN}}{r_{U_{TDN}}} \]  

(4)

2.2.9 Degradation Index

The Degradation Index (DI), derived from the amino acid composition, is an indicator of the diagenetic state of organic matter. The DI was calculated with a principal component analysis (PCA), including the molar percentages of all analyzed DAA except GABA. The PCA is a multivariate regression analysis that can be used to elucidate compositional differences among samples in a data matrix and was performed in R version 3.4.2., using the function prcomp (R Core Team, 2017). Lower DI values indicate more degraded, higher values rather fresh organic material. For instance, typically leucine is degraded preferably compared to glycine. The calculation of the DI, based on the molar percentage of DAA, follows the methodology established by Kaiser and Benner (2009) and builds upon the concept of particulate amino acids developed by Dauwe et al. (1999).
2.3 Statistical analysis and creation of figures

Statistical analysis of the chemostat samples was conducted with a significance level of 0.05 in R (version 3.3.3) (R Core Team, 2017). Differences between the oxygen conditions within one nitrogen treatment were conducted with an ANOVA and a following post hoc test (TukeyHSD). To test the effect of different nitrogen treatments under different oxygen conditions, we conducted a multifactorial ANOVA with a following post hoc test (TurkeyHSD). The number of sampling days differed between the HighN (3 sampling days) and LowN treatment (4 sampling days). To have a balanced design, we excluded sampling day 29 during the multifactorial ANOVA, following the advice of Field et al., 2012. Data were summarized with the R package FSA (Olge, 2017). Differences in sugar composition of O2-5 and O2-oxic were investigated using R version 4.0.1 with the Package vegan (Oksanen et al., 2022). Gluconic acid and galacturonic acid were excluded from this analysis as their concentration was always below the detection limit. As for the multifactorial ANOVA, data from sampling day 29 were excluded. The test was performed separately for oxygen and nitrogen influences. The dissimilarity matrix function Bray-Curtis was chosen. To give information on correlations between carbon and nitrogen parameters, a Pearson correlation coefficient matrix was calculated using SigmaStat and visualized as heatmap (Supplementary Table 3).

3 Results

3.1 Experimental conditions and nutrient dynamics in chemostats with varied oxygen levels

Oxygen concentrations differed between O2-suboxic (O2-5 to O2-15), O2-32 and O2-240 conditions throughout the experiment (Supplementary Table 1). Average oxygen concentrations were <0.5 μmol L−1 within the three O2-suboxic condition chemostats, as bacteria immediately reduced the supplied oxygen. Due to respiration, oxygen concentrations in O2-32 decreased to 6.68 ± 2.06 μmol L−1 in the HighN and 11.28 ± 9.66 μmol L−1 in the LowN treatment. In O2-240, the oxygen concentration was 256 and 252 μmol L−1 in HighN and LowN, respectively, and hence fully oxic throughout the experiment. The pH ranged between 7.66 and 8.01 in HighN and 7.43 and 7.57 in LowN (Supplementary Table 1). To assess the differences between the targeted and actual nutrient concentrations in the supplied medium, we measured the nutrient concentrations in the medium tank on all sampling days (Supplementary Table 2). The average nutrient concentrations in the LowN medium were 217 (± 4) μmol L−1 nitrate, 235 (± 6) μmol L−1 ammonium and 216 (± 16) μmol L−1 phosphate. In the HighN medium, we measured 1140 (± 15) μmol L−1 nitrate, 1186 (± 18) μmol L−1 ammonium and 227 (± 9) μmol L−1 phosphate.

The relative standard deviation of cell abundance within each chemostat was <13% and <22% at the consecutive sampling days of the LowN and HighN treatments, respectively. The highest within chemostat variation was found in the HighN treatment at O2-15, O2-32 and O2-240 (Figure 2). In the HighN treatment, cell abundance was significantly highest under O2-32 (17 x 10^7 cells mL^−1) and similar (8-15 x 10^7 cells mL^−1) under all remaining oxygen conditions. In the LowN treatment, cell abundance increased with oxygen concentrations over the whole range. Already under O2-15 conditions cell abundance (9 ± 0.4 x 10^7 cells mL^−1) was significantly (p<0.01) higher than under the other O2-suboxic conditions (6-8 x 10^7 cells mL^−1). Cell abundance was highest at 20 x 10^7 cells mL^−1 in the O2-240 LowN treatment (Figure 2). Moreover, cell abundance under O2-suboxic conditions was higher in the HighN treatment compared to the LowN treatment, with a significant difference under O2-5 conditions (p=0.02) (Figure 2, Supplementary Table 6).

DIN speciation differed between O2-suboxic, O2-32, and O2-240 conditions (Figures 3A, B). In the HighN treatment, ammonium concentrations were only slightly lower than in the supplied medium and similar between oxygen levels, varying between 922 and 1117 μmol L−1 (Figure 3A). Nitrite concentrations were below the detection limit in O2-5 to O2-9 and ranged from 4 to 43 μmol L−1 under O2-15 and O2-32 conditions. Under O2-240 conditions, nitrite concentrations were much higher (549 ± 256 μmol L−1). Nitrate concentrations were depleted (<1 μmol L−1) under O2-5-9 conditions and highest under oxic conditions with 480 ± 340 μmol L−1. In the LowN treatment, ammonium concentration differed between oxygen levels and was lowest under O2-240 (4 ± 0.3 μmol L−1) and highest under O2-6 (97 ± 26 μmol L−1) conditions (Figure 3B). Nitrite concentrations were below the detection limit under O2-suboxic conditions but higher under O2-240 (5 ± 10 μmol L−1) and highest under O2-32 (51 ± 24 μmol L−1) conditions. Nitrate concentrations were below the detection limit under O2-suboxic conditions but highest under O2-32 (67 ± 26 μmol L−1).

3.2 Oxygen-dependent nitrogen turnover rates and denitrification

Nitrogen turnover rates were averaged for each chemostat over the experiment duration. TDN net uptake rates (rUTDN) differed between HighN and LowN treatment (Figure 4A). The highest rates were observed in the suboxic HighN treatments O2-5 and O2-6, varying between 731-747 μmol L−1 d−1. rUTDN was somewhat lower at O2-15 with 626 μmol L−1 d−1(707,753),(726,761) and strongly reduced at O2-32 and O2-240. In the LowN treatment, rUTDN was similar in chemostats O2-5 to O2-32 varying between 218 and 274 μmol L−1 d−1, and slightly reduced in the oxic treatment O2-240 with 161 μmol L−1 d−1. Production of PN was not significantly different between the oxygen levels in both nitrogen treatments and ranged between 87 and 128 μmol L−1 d−1 in HighN and between 86 and 129 μmol L−1 d−1 in LowN (Figure 4C). However, PN production showed a slight increase with increasing oxygen concentration in the LowN treatment, in agreement with increasing cell abundance.

Net TDN uptake exceeded PN production in all chemostats except for HighN/O2-240, resulting in a loss nitrogen (Figure 4E). The highest nitrogen loss was observed in the O2-suboxic HighN treatments.
treatment 613 to -644 μmol L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\). In the LowN treatments, nitrogen loss was higher in the O\(_2\)-suboxic chemostats (146 to 163 μmol L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)) than in the O\(_2\)-32 (89 μmol L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)). Under oxic conditions, no nitrogen loss was determined in the HighN treatment, whereas a slight loss was calculated for the LowN treatment (42 ± 5.6 μmol L\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)).

Previous studies have shown that strains of \(S.\ baltica\) denitrify, producing both N\(_2\)O and, to a large extent, N\(_2\) in the Baltic Sea (Brettar et al., 2001). To investigate a possible production of N\(_2\)O as an intermediate product of denitrification that may explain the observed loss of nitrogen in chemostats under suboxia, we conducted two additional experiments (see methods for details, Figures 1C, D). In the first experiment (batch culture), oxygen concentrations during the first five days were, on average, 224 μmol L\(^{-1}\) (94-269 μmol L\(^{-1}\)), being especially low on day 4, probably due to enhanced growth activity. After day 5, two out of the four incubations were exposed to suboxia with oxygen concentrations<0.6 μmol L\(^{-1}\). The other two incubations were kept under oxic conditions (249-265 μmol L\(^{-1}\)). In the suboxic incubations, total nitrogen was again lost in significant amounts (-35 and -37 μmol L\(^{-1}\)), and N\(_2\)O concentrations were high (81 and 240 nmol L\(^{-1}\)), indicating denitrification by \(S.\ baltica\). In the two oxic incubations, no significant loss of nitrogen was observed (± 7 μmol L\(^{-1}\)) (Figure 4E) and N\(_2\)O concentrations were comparable to atmospheric conditions (10 and 12 nmol L\(^{-1}\)) (Supplementary Figure 1). The second experiment (bottle incubation) further confirmed the production of N\(_2\)O by \(S.\ baltica\). Concentrations of
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*FIGURE 2*  
Bacterial abundance in chemostat incubations with different levels of supplied oxygen concentration (μmol L\(^{-1}\)) under high (HighN) and low (LowN) reactive nitrogen conditions relative to labile carbon.
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(A, B) The dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) components ammonium (NH\(_4\)), nitrite (NO\(_2\)), and nitrate (NO\(_3\)) in chemostats with different levels of supplied oxygen concentration (μmol L\(^{-1}\)) during the HighN and LowN treatments. Initial DIN concentration (NO\(_3\) and NH\(_4\); 1:1) of the supplied medium was the same for all oxygen levels and is indicated by the straight line.
N₂O increased exponentially over time from 2 nmol L⁻¹ at the beginning of the experiment to 56 nmol L⁻¹ after seven days of incubation (Supplementary Figure 2).

### 3.3 Oxygen-dependent carbon turnover under high and low nitrogen conditions: Implications for DOC and POC dynamics

DOC uptake was generally higher in the HighN compared to the LowN treatment (Figure 4B). In the HighN treatment, DOC uptake varied between 1713 and 1896 μmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹, suggesting efficient depletion of supplied glucose (theoretical glucose supply: 1878 μmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹; measured DOC supply, including other carbon sources in the medium, e.g. vitamins: 2034 ± 10 μmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹). DOC uptake slightly decreased under O₂-suboxic conditions, being lowest in O₂-5 (p<0.01) and higher in O₂-9 than in O₂-6 and O₂-5 (p<0.01), while it was similar at higher oxygen concentrations (Supplementary Table 4). In the LowN treatment, DOC uptake (1702-1872 μmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹) was significantly higher under O₂-32 and O₂-240 conditions than under O₂-suboxic conditions (p<0.01) and almost equal to the amount of labile carbon supplied in the form of glucose (theoretical carbon supply: 1878 μmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹; measured carbon (DOC) supply: 1995 ± 43 μmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹). DOC uptake was significantly higher under O₂-15 compared to O₂-5 and O₂-6 conditions (p<0.01) (Supplementary Table 5).

The production of POC varied between 343 and 617 μmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹ in the HighN treatment and was highest under O₂-32 (Figure 4D). Otherwise, no significant impact of oxygen concentration on POC production was found. In the LowN treatment, however, POC production showed increasing trends with increasing oxygen concentrations and ranged from 353 to 652 μmol L⁻¹ d⁻¹, with significantly higher rates under O₂-32 and O₂-240, compared to O₂-5, O₂-6 and O₂-9 conditions (p<0.01) (Supplementary Table 5). Additionally, POC production was significantly higher under O₂-15 compared to O₂-6 conditions (p<0.01) but similar under O₂-32 and O₂-240 conditions. The increment of DOC uptake and POC production with increasing oxygen concentrations was thus more pronounced in the LowN treatment compared to the HighN treatment.

The trend of oxygen dependence on carbon turnover observed for the LowN treatment reversed after normalization to cell abundance, i.e. the cell-specific carbon uptake and production rates decreased with increasing oxygen concentration, indicating higher cell division rates under more oxic conditions. Cell-specific POC production was significantly higher under O₂-suboxic (5-7 fmol cell⁻¹ d⁻¹) compared to O₂-32 conditions and decreased significantly further under O₂-240 conditions (3-4 fmol cell⁻¹ d⁻¹) (p<0.01)
production under O2-32 (4 ± 0.3 fmol cell−1 d−1) was significantly lower than under O2-9 (5 ± 0.5 fmol cell−1 d−1) (p=0.01) but similar to cell-specific POC production under O2-6, O2-5 and O2-15 conditions. Cell-specific POC production was also similar between O2-32 and O2-240 conditions (Supplementary Table 4). Moreover, cell-specific DOC uptake was lower under O2-32 (11 ± 2 fmol cell−1 d−1) than under O2-suboxic conditions, with a significant difference to the uptake under O2-9 (p=0.01, 21 ± 2 fmol cell−1 d−1) and O2-15 conditions (p=0.05, 18 ± 4 fmol cell−1 d−1). Cell-specific DOC uptake was similar under O2-240 and O2-32 conditions (Supplementary Table 4).

At oxygen levels, O2-5 to O2-15 DOC uptake rates were higher in the HighN treatment, whilst POC production rates were similar in both nitrogen treatments. In consequence, C-loss rates differed strongly between HighN and LowN at low oxygen concentrations (Figure 4F). C-loss rates varied between 1228 and 1452 μmol L−1 d−1 in HighN and between 808 and 1181 μmol L−1 d−1 in LowN. Since carbon is lost from the system primarily as CO2, our data suggest that cell-specific respiration rates were 7.6-15.8 fmol CO2 cell−1 d−1 under HighN and 6.2-12.5 fmol CO2 cell−1 d−1 under LowN. Thereby, cell-specific CO2 production in the LowN was higher under suboxic conditions (O2-5-15:10.5-12.5 fmol CO2 cell−1 d−1) compared to the more oxygenated chemostats (O2-32-240: 6.2-7.9 fmol CO2 cell−1 d−1). In the HighN treatment, cell-specific respiration was comparable between suboxic (O2-5-15:10.9-15.8 fmol CO2 cell−1 d−1) andoxic conditions (O2-32-240: 7.6-13.8 fmol CO2 cell−1 d−1).

3.4 Differential carbon and nitrogen turnover responses to oxygen reduction under low and high nitrogen conditions

The LowN and HighN treatments differed in magnitude of carbon and nitrogen turnover and the response to oxygen reduction. The [POC]/[PN] ratio, which here represents the carbon-to-nitrogen ratio of the bacterial-produced biomass, varied between 4.4 and 4.8 (4.58 ± 0.11) in the HighN and between 4.6-5.1 (4.88 ± 0.11) in the LowN treatment. No significant differences of [POC]/[PON] were determined between oxygen concentrations in both treatments (Supplementary Tables 4, 5). In contrast, the ratios of carbon and nitrogen lost from the system in gaseous form (C: N loss) were lower under suboxic conditions in the HighN treatments, ranging from 2.0 ± 0.1 at the lowest O2-level (O2-5) treatment to 2.69 ± 0.13 in O2-15 and more pronounced to 27 ± 22 in O2-32. Since no nitrogen loss was detectable in the HighN O2-240 chemostat, no C: N loss ratio was determined. In the LowN treatment, C: N loss ratios increased gradually from 5.54 at suboxic levels to 31 in the fully oxic chemostat.

BGEs were compared for growth efficiencies on carbon (BGE_C) and on nitrogen (BGE_N) (Figure 5). In the HighN treatment, the BGE_C ranged between 22 and 34% and did not change consistently with oxygen concentrations, although individual treatments were different (Supplementary Table 4). Under LowN conditions, BGE_C ranged between 29 and 38% and was similar between the different oxygen conditions, also (Figure 5). Nitrogen-based BGE showed the highest differences between HighN and LowN in all suboxic treatments (Figure 5); average BGE_N in the LowN was 37 ± 1.8% and significantly higher than in the HighN treatment (14 ± 0.8%) (p<0.01). This pattern reversed at O2-32, and at fully oxic conditions, dissolved nitrogen was completely assimilated into biomass in HighN. Here, BGE_N was even higher than the theoretical maximum value of 100%. This may be attributed to different efficiencies during PN and TDN sampling and/or analyses.

3.5 Oxygen-dependent dynamics of organic matter composition under low and high nitrogen conditions

High molecular weight (>1kDa) dissolved combined carbohydrates (DCCHO) were released from the cells during the experiment in all chemostats (Figure 6). DCCHO concentration gradually increased with increasing oxygen levels in the LowN treatment, from 2.0 ± 0.23 μmol L−1 in O2-5 to 14.2 ± 5.6 μmol L−1 in O2-240. In the HighN treatment, the highest DCCHO concentration was observed at O2-32 with 5.0 ± 1.7 μmol L−1 declining to 2.4 ± 0.58 μmol L−1 in O2-5 and 3.0 ± 1.1 μmol L−1 in O2-240. Cell-specific DCCHO production ranged between 1.32 and 4.72 x 10−2 fmol cell−1 d−1. Both absolute DCCHO concentration and cell-specific DCCHO production were highest in the fully oxic O2-240 LowN chemostat. DCCHO composition differed between the same oxygen levels in HighN and LowN and was pronounced between suboxic (O2-5) and oxic (O2-240) conditions (Figure 7). Little variation was observed between replicate sampling, suggesting that the composition of DCCHO was significantly affected by oxygen.
concentration (p<0.001). Comparing the suboxic level O₂-5 between the nitrogen treatments showed that glucose was the dominant sugar in DCCHO in HighN with a molar fraction of 33 Mol%, followed by glucosamine with 22 Mol%. In the LowN treatments, the fraction of glucosamine was higher, yielding a similar share of glucosamine and glucose (~36 Mol%). Under oxic conditions, DCCHO composition differed from suboxic conditions and contained high amounts of arabinose (51 Mol%) and mannose/xylose (45%). Since the total DCCHO produced in the LowN O₂-240 treatment had the highest fractions of arabinose and mannose/xylose; the fractions of glucose and glucosamine were reduced. In particular, the DCCHO produced in the LowN O₂-240 treatment had the highest fractions of arabinose (51 Mol%) and mannose/xylose (45%). Since the total DCCHO concentration in LowN O₂-240 was much higher than in all other chemostats, DCCHO production and composition under fully oxic and LowN conditions were clearly distinct. At suboxic conditions, arabinose was absent in both the HighN and the LowN treatment (Supplementary Figure 3).

The DI based on dissolved hydrolysable amino acid composition indicates the diagenetic state of organic matter (Dauwe et al., 1999; Kaiser and Benner, 2009). In the HighN treatment, the DI was significantly lower under O₂-32 and O₂-240 conditions, suggesting higher reworking of DOM under oxygenated conditions (p<0.01) (Supplementary Figure 4; Supplementary Table 4). Trends differed somewhat in the LowN incubations, where the DI was similar between O₂-suboxic and O₂-32 conditions but significantly lower only under fully oxic, O₂-240 conditions also (p<0.01).

4 Discussion

4.1 Bacterial carbon utilization and nitrogen loss dynamics at different oxygen concentration

We conducted a continuous culture experiment to explore changes in bacterial carbon utilization at different oxygen concentrations. The set-up allowed consecutive sampling without changing the chemical environment (Novick and Szilard, 1956). Notably, there were significant variations in oxygen concentrations among the O₂-240, O₂-32 and O₂-5 to O₂-15 conditions (Figure 1B, Supplementary Table 1). The transition to suboxic conditions in O₂-5 to O₂-15 occurred promptly, while in O₂-32 (i.e., hypoxic conditions), oxygen concentrations displayed fluctuations, indicating dynamic changes in respiration rates (Supplementary Table 1).

In continuous culture systems like ours, strictly in chemostats under steady-state conditions, cell yields are determined by the limiting substrate supplied, and growth rates equal dilution rates as long as the dilution rate does not exceed the maximum growth rate (Novick and Szilard, 1950). In our experiment, the cell yield of S. baltica was likely limited by the availability of organic carbon, i.e. glucose. Under higher oxygen conditions, the uptake of DOC was equal to the amount supplied, suggesting a depletion of glucose in the chemostat. Other substrates like TDN or phosphate were still abundant. Under lower oxygen conditions, carbon assimilation was influenced by the availability of oxygen or alternative electron acceptors (i.e., NO₃). As a consequence, DOC uptake and the cell yields of S. baltica were reduced.

In addition to the chemostat set-up, we conducted two independent batch experiments with S. baltica to verify nitrogen loss by measuring the intermediate product N₂O (Supplementary Figures 1, 2). The proportion between formed N₂O and the observed nitrogen loss (0.23 and 0.65%) in the suboxic batch experiment aligns with literature, as only 0.1-6% of denitrified nitrogen can be detected as N₂O (Nishio et al., 1983; Seitzinger, 1988; Seitzinger and Kroeze, 1998; Beaulieu et al., 2011). According to Mahne and Tiedje (1995), denitrification is defined as the transformation of at least 80% of reduced nitrate or nitrite into N₂O or N₂ gas. Under O₂-suboxic conditions in the chemostat experiment, 84-95% and ≥100% of nitrate was removed from the system in the HighN and LowN treatment, respectively, suggesting that denitrification was mainly responsible for observed nitrogen loss.

4.2 Impact of electron acceptor availability on cell growth and carbon turnover

Denitrification requires organic compounds as carbon and electron donors. For glucose as an organic substrate, the equation can be described after Kirchman (2018) (Equation 5):

$$\text{5glucose} + 24 \text{NO}_3^- + 24\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 30\text{CO}_2 + 12\text{N}_2 + 24\text{H}_2\text{O}$$ (5)

With an energy release of ΔG of -2657 kJ mol⁻¹. According to this, denitrification has a stoichiometric C: N loss ratio of 1.25. Shewanellae can also perform dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium (DNRA) (Chen and Wang, 2015). Based on glucose as an electron donor and carbon source, the equation can be formulated as (Kirchman, 2018) (Equation 6):

$$\text{5glucose} + 15\text{NO}_3^- + 30\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 30\text{CO}_2 + 15\text{NH}_4^+ + 15\text{H}_2\text{O}$$ (6)

The DNRA reaction yields substantially less energy (ΔG of -1767 kJ mol⁻¹) than denitrification, leading to a loss of carbon as CO₂ but
not nitrogen since NO$_3^-$ is reduced to NH$_4^+$. Compared to denitrification, DNRA also has a lower NO$_3^-$ requirement.

The differences in the transformation of nitrate to gaseous nitrogen (N loss), in relation to the production of CO$_2$ (C loss), allow an estimation of the ratios of denitrification and DNRA. An average C:N loss ratio of ~2 was determined for all suboxic chemostats in the HighN treatment. This low C:N loss ratio points to denitrification as the dominant anaerobic respiration process, responsible for nitrogen loss. More specifically for the HighN, the observed C:N loss ratio indicates that for every 5 mol of glucose respired by denitrification, 3 mol glucose were respired by DNRA. In the LowN treatment, the average C:N loss ratio under suboxic conditions was 5.5 suggesting that anaerobic respiration pathways other than denitrification became more important. Thus, for every 5 mol of glucose respired by denitrification, 17 mol glucose were likely respired by DNRA, shifting the dominance from denitrification to DNRA. The relatively high concentrations of ammonium in the O$_2$-suboxic LowN treatment (Figure 3A) further indicated the formation of ammonium during DNRA. A small glucose fraction was likely metabolised by aerobic/microaerobic respiration supported by the continuous O$_2$-5 to O$_2$-15 aeration.

Under O$_2$-suboxic conditions, oxygen and nitrate concentrations were close to the detection limit in both treatments, indicating that electron acceptors limited the bacterial cell yield and glucose uptake (Figures 3, 5, Supplementary Table 1). In the LowN treatment, the increasing cell abundance with increasing oxygen concentration showed that oxygen as an electron acceptor stimulated glucose uptake and cellgrowth
In the HighN treatment, we observed that cell abundance did not consistently decrease with oxygen concentration. This suggests that the supply of nitrate as an alternative electron acceptor mitigated any limitations typically associated with electron acceptors when compared to the LowN treatment (see Figure 2). In both treatments, cell abundances differed between O₂-32 and O₂-240 conditions, although electron acceptors did not seemingly limited glucose uptake in either of those treatments.

In the HighN treatment, cell abundance was higher in O₂-32 than O₂-240. In contrast, cell abundance in the O₂-240 exceeded abundance in the O₂-32 in the LowN treatment. In the treatments with lower cell abundances (O₂-240 HighN, O₂-32 LowN), nitrite seemed to accumulate, and nitrate concentrations were reduced and more variable compared to the respective treatments with higher cell abundances (O₂-32 HighN, O₂-240 LowN) (Figures 3A, B). One explanation for the observed differences in cell abundance is insufficient diffusion of oxygen or nitrate through bacterial aggregates, not sustaining the demand for electron acceptors. Interestingly, arabinose, a sugar included in bacterial EPS from biofilms and aggregates (Casillo et al., 2018), was a major component of DCCHO under O₂-32 and O₂-240 conditions (Figure 7, Supplementary Figure 3), supporting the idea of aggregate formation in these chemostats. Oxygen limiting conditions have already been detected within cyanobacteria and diatom aggregates, supporting our hypothesis (Klawonn et al., 2015; Ploug and Bergkvist, 2015; Bianchi et al., 2018). Aggregate formation, that was also visible within the chemostats may also partially explain lower cell abundance in the HighN O₂-240 treatments, as cell counting by flow cytometry cannot resolve cell numbers in aggregates.

The two different anaerobic respiratory pathways considered in this study, denitrification and DNRA yield 1 and 36% per oxidized carbon atom less energy than aerobic respiration, respectively (Lam and Kuypers, 2011). Two hypotheses can be raised: i) the reduced energy yield hampers bacterial activity, or ii) the reduced energy yield can be compensated by an increased anaerobic respiration of labile organic carbon (glucose in this experiment) to enhance the metabolic energy yield. In our study, the POC/PN ratio (4.4-5.1) was well within the range previously observed for bacterial biomass (3.7-5) (Goldman et al., 1987; S. Lee and Fuhrman, 1987). Therefore, the ratio between POC production and DOC uptake can be used as an indicator for BGE_C (Kroes, 1993). The higher cell-specific DOC uptake and the lower C:N loss under O₂-32 suboxic compared to O₂-32 and O₂-240 conditions in both treatments support the hypothesis of increased anaerobic respiration under oxygen-limiting conditions. However, BGE_C did not consistently change with oxygen concentrations (Figures 5). Hence, enhanced anaerobic respiration almost compensated for the reduced energy gain, resulting in similar BGE_C underoxic and suboxic conditions. BGE_C has been suggested to increase with increasing mineral nutrient supply (DelGiorgio and Cole, 1998). In our study, BGE_C, however, was lower in HighN despite higher NO₃⁻ supply; except for O₂-32. One explanation might be that a higher fraction of nitrogen is lost from the system during denitrification than during DNRA. Indeed, N loss under suboxia was highest in HighN, while BGE_N and BGE_C were reduced. This suggests that denitrification can compensate for the lower energy gain compared to aerobic respiration at the potential expense of reduced organic matter production. DNRA, in contrast, has a lower energy gain but leads to a supply of NH₄⁺ that can be used for biomass production. Switching between denitrification and DNRA would thus allow the cell to adapt to environmental variations in organic carbon and NO₃⁻ supply.

### 4.3 Implications for carbon cycling in oxygen minimum zones

Within marine ecosystems, the microbial loop connects the DOM and POM pools, enabling the transfer of organic matter to higher trophic levels (Azam et al., 1983). Thus, oxygen-dependent differences in bacterial carbon turnover can be highly relevant for food web dynamics. Our results suggest that a high NO₃⁻:Corg. supply under suboxia favors denitrification and supports bacterial DOC turnover (DOC uptake, cell growth and POC formation) to a similar extent as aerobic respiration. However, denitrification is at the expense of reactive nitrogen, which is subsequently lost from the system as N₂O and N₂ and will reduce the build-up of biomass in the long run. Therewith, denitrification is a natural process countering eutrophication in systems like the Baltic Sea (Voss et al., 2005). Denitrification is considered to be favored under carbon-limited conditions, while DNRA would be favored in nitrate-limited environments rich in labile carbon (Kelso et al., 1997). Our results support this assumption as the lower NO₃⁻:Corg. supply ratio in the LowN chemostats seemingly favored DNRA, resulting in lower DOC production, cell growth and higher CO₂ release, keeping nitrogen within the system, either as ammonium, cellular nitrogen or labile DOM. In the Baltic Sea, denitrification rates in the water column are up to two orders higher than DNRA (Bonaglia et al., 2016), which may point to a lack of labile carbon sources. The amino acid-based DI indicated that DOM in the LowN suboxic chemostats was more labile. Production of more labile DOM under suboxic conditions aligns with previous findings of natural Baltic Sea microbial consortia (Maßmig et al., 2019). In contrast, the release of polysaccharides generally increased with oxygen concentration (except for HighN O₂-240), and composition changed towards more arabinose and mannose containing DCCHO. Arabinose and mannose have been found in DCCHO, facilitating the adhesion of bacteria to surfaces, biofilm formation and microcolony of bacteria within (Kavita et al., 2013). From an ecological point of view, bacterial microcolony formation provides a defence from size-selective nanoflagellate grazing (Hahn et al., 2000). Since nanoflagellates are likely more susceptible to suboxia, minimizing grazing pressures through flocculation/colony formation may be more beneficial under oxic conditions.

Similar to OMZs, the presented study included environments with fine-scaled variations in oxygen supply. The incubations, often summarized as O₂-32 suboxic (O₂-5 to O₂-15), differed in cell abundance, DOC-uptake, DI, BGE_C and BGE_N (Figure 2, 4B, 5, Supplementary Table 4). Especially in the LowN treatment, the trends of higher carbon cycling and cell growth with increasing oxygen concentrations are continued at suboxic levels. These results illustrate that even changes of a few μmol in oxygen concentrations have the potential to significantly influence bacterial carbon cycling.
In this study, the monomeric sugar glucose was used as a labile organic carbon substrate. In marine oxygen minimum zones, however, organic carbon is usually bound in more complex molecules that must first enzymatically be broken down and/or converted into labile substrates. Recent work suggests that the metabolisation of organic matter in these systems is also related to the oxygen sensitivity of catabolic enzymes (Giovannoni et al., 2021). Future studies, therefore, need to investigate whether the conclusion that oxygen deprivation does not hinder carbon turnover as long as alternative electron acceptors are abundant also holds when the available organic carbon compounds are more complex and refractory than in our study.

5 Summary and conclusion

In the Baltic Sea, DNRA and denitrification are important anaerobic pathways involved in the heterotrophic carbon cycle. Our study suggests that cell growth and carbon turnover of *S. baltica* are only limited under suboxia when the supply of alternative electron acceptors is low relative to labile carbon. A decrease in organic carbon decomposition can be expected if the availability of electron acceptors such as nitrate cannot compensate for the reduced energy gain of anaerobic respiration. To better assess the interplay of eutrophication and deoxygenation, future models should take the gradual reduction of bacterial carbon turnover with decreasing oxygen availability and co-limitations of labile organic substrates and electron acceptors into account. This may also help to assess long-term consequences and feedbacks, as DNRA may promote eutrophication while denitrification counteracts it. A combination of community analyses, microbial rate measurement, estimation of physical fluxes and determination of available electron acceptors are needed to validate our results for natural environments with fluctuating oxygen concentrations, such as the Baltic Sea.
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