



Dynamic Mechanical Property Experiment of Viscous Material for Viscous Damping Wall

Feifei Sun¹, Jiaqi Yang^{2*} and Tanye Wu²

¹ State Key Laboratory of Disaster Reduction in Civil Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai, China, ² Department of Structure Engineering, College of Civil Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai, China

Viscous damping wall (VDW) is an effective velocity-dependent damper, which can dissipate earthquake energy by shear strain of viscous material. The damping force equation of VDW can only be obtained from regression of VDW dynamic test results, as velocity exponent of power-law material can not be obtained from rheometers. In this study, a Dynamic Sandwich-Type Shear test was designed matching the design working conditions of VDW. A series of experiments with different frequencies and strain amplitudes were conducted. Simple data procession methods were proposed to calculate velocity exponent and storage/loss modulus of polymer from experimental data. Comparison with methods adapted from those for VDW formula validated that radial linear regression method was proper to separate stress components and pivotal point method was accurate to evaluate velocity exponent. The velocity exponents obtained varied from 0.8 to 1, with various loading frequency and strain amplitude. Finally, the differences between the results of storage/loss modulus in DSTS test and ARES test were compared. Due to the extrusion effect caused by engineering working condition, the storage and loss modulus obtained by DSTS test was larger than the modulus obtained by ARES test.

Keywords: polymer, dynamic mechanical property, velocity exponent, viscous damping wall, dynamic sandwich-type shear (DSTS) test

INTRODUCTION

One of the most challenging tasks for civil engineers in recent decades has been solving overwhelming earthquake problems, which may cause heavy casualties. Besides vibration isolation design (Xu et al., 2019), researchers have been focusing on seismic mitigation technologies using viscous damping components (Xu et al., 2003, 2004, 2016; Xu, 2007). Viscous damping wall (VDW) is a new type of wall-shaped energy absorber, which can be hidden in building walls and provides large energy absorbing capacity. The performance of VDW is largely dependent on its viscous material. However, the investigations on mechanical properties of such viscous materials are insufficient, causing a major obstacle for in-depth research on VDW.

Researchers have investigated the performance of VDW itself and damping force formula. Miyazaki et al. (1986) carried out a series of cyclic tests to investigate the performance of VDW itself and obtained a design formula. Ou et al. (2005a) proposed a VDW damping force model by regression method and conducted a series of dynamic experiments to study the dynamic performance of VDW with variable temperature, displacement amplitude, velocity, and vibration

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Yong Lu, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

lonut Ovidiu Toma, Gheorghe Asachi Technical University of Iaşi, Romania Antonio Caggiano, Darmstadt University of Technology, Germany

> ***Correspondence:** Jiaqi Yang yjqjarvis@tongji.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Structural Materials, a section of the journal Frontiers in Materials

Received: 26 February 2019 Accepted: 30 April 2019 Published: 17 May 2019

Citation:

Sun F, Yang J and Wu T (2019) Dynamic Mechanical Property Experiment of Viscous Material for Viscous Damping Wall. Front. Mater. 6:112. doi: 10.3389/fmats.2019.00112

1

frequency. Sasaki et al. (2012) conducted a series of oscillation tests against large earthquakes to clarify the characteristics of VDW. A simplified design formula neglecting the influence of stiffness was proposed and compared with experimental curves. Experimental results showed that VDW can still dissipate energy of oscillations even though the damping force decreased over a long time. Sun et al. (2018) conducted a small-scale shaking table test and a large-scale dynamic actuator test to investigate the influence of loading frequency on VDW's performance, and proposed a unified model considering the influence of loading frequency by means of introducing the effect of loading history.

Viscous materials for VDW are mainly semi-solid material such as polymer having both elastic properties and viscous properties. In the field of civil engineering, the investigations of polymer's mechanical properties are insufficient. Ou et al. (2005b) investigated the stability under high temperatures, durability, chemical security and dynamic performance of a new type of viscous material for VDW. Bouix et al. (2009) investigated the compressive stress- strain behavior of Polypropylene over a wide range of engineering strain rates from 0.01 to 1,500 microstrain/s. Drozdov et al. (2010) conducted a uniaxial tensile test for polypropylene/polyethylene blends with constant strain rates, relaxation tests and creep tests at room temperature. Ardakani et al. (2012) studied the rheology of Polypropylene/polybutene-1 blend with low frequency and large shear rate.

Several kinds of testing methods have been used to measure the mechanical parameters of polymer. A cone-and-plate method was used to investigate the storage and loss modulus of viscous fluid (Makris et al., 1993). Several scholars used a non-linear ultrasound method called Dynamic Acoustoelastic Testing (DAET) to assess the non-linear mechanical properties of viscoelastic material. The DAET method is based on the interaction between a low-frequency acoustic wave to successively compress and expand the material, and ultrasound pulse to probe this medium. The Time of Flight Modulations (TOFM) of the ultrasound pluses are plotted as a function of the low-frequency pressure amplitude on DAET diagrams (Trarieux et al., 2013). The mechanical properties of silicone oils were investigated by DAET. An analytical model to describe non-linear viscoelastic properties of silicone oil was proposed and validated the accuracy by comparing analytical results with DAET results (Trarieux et al., 2012, 2013). However, DAET cannot measure the parameters of polymer under shear strain excitation. Rheometer is another technique to investigate the mechanical properties of polymer under shear strain. Dynamic Shear Rheometer (DSR) was used to investigated the effect of time and temperature on blending level between fresh and actual RAP blinders (Yousefi Rad et al., 2014). ARES system is a is an advanced strain controlled rheometer, which tests the polymer's modulus precisely. ARES test usually used to measure the linear mechanical property under shear strain of polymer. Polymers such as SBR and PEKK were investigated on the influences of frequencies, strain rates and strain amplitudes on modulus of polymers (Hu et al., 2008; Snijkers and Vlassopoulos, 2011; Dessi et al., 2016; Coulson et al., 2018). However, polymers are usually shear-thinning material and following the power-law whose velocity exponent is not equal to 1 (Fatkullin et al., 2011; Li et al., 2013), while rheometers assume that the velocity exponent is always equal to 1 during measuring process. Therefore, a method to measure the velocity exponent is needed.

Rheometers and other testing methods do not consider velocity exponent's effects and the working condition of viscous material in VDW. In order to solve these problems, a new DSTS (Dynamic Sandwich-Type Shear) test was designed and used in this research. Moreover, a processing method is proposed in order to measure the storage/loss modulus and velocity exponent of polymer. The influence of loading frequency and strain amplitude on storage, loss modulus and velocity exponent was also studied in this paper by DSTS test.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this experiment, Oppanol-B12-PIB supplied by BASF SE (BASF SE, Ludwigshafen, Germany) has been used. Oppanol-B12-PIB is a kind of polymer containing 200 mg/kg of hexane and 4 mg/kg of fluorine with viscose average molecular weight of 60,000 and specific gravity 0.92 g/cm³. Viscosity of Oppanol-B12-PIB under 100 degree Celsius is 30,000 mPa·s and coefficient of expansion under 20 degree Celsius is $6.3 \cdot 10^{-4}k^{-1}$. The dissipation coefficient of Oppanol-B12-PIB under the loading frequency 50 Hz is $5 \cdot 10^{-4}$. The melting point of Oppanol-B12-PIB is -64 degree Celsius.

Storage Modulus and Loss Modulus

Consider an oscillatory shear flow between two parallel plates at a distance h apart, where the bottom plate is stationary and top plate is sinusoidal displaced by a small amount $\delta \sin(\omega t)$. According to Boltzmann's concept of fading memory (Phan-Thien, 2002), shear stress can be obtained as

$$\tau = G'(\omega) \gamma(t) + \mu'(\omega) \dot{\gamma}(t) \tag{1}$$

where ω is angular frequency (rad/s), $\gamma(t)$ is the shear strain and $\gamma(t) = \frac{\delta}{h} \sin(\omega t)$, $\dot{\gamma}(t)$ is shear strain rate, and $\dot{\gamma}(t) = \frac{\delta}{h}\omega \cos(\omega t)$ (microstrain/s). $G'(\omega)$ is the storage modulus (N/m²), $\mu'(\omega)$ is the dynamic viscosity (N·s/m²). The other two related quantities, the loss modulus $G''(\omega)$ (N/m²), and the storage viscosity $\mu''(\omega)$ (N·s/m²) are defined as

$$\mu''(\omega) = \frac{G'(\omega)}{\omega} \tag{2}$$

$$G''(\omega) = \omega \mu'(\omega) \tag{3}$$

Power Law

Polymers are usually Non-Newtonian material, and the velocity exponent α of Non-Newtonian fluid does not equal to 1. In the other words, the relationship between viscous stress and strain rate of polymers is non-linear, which is called power law.

The constitutive equation of power law is

$$\tau_V = \mu' \cdot \dot{\gamma}^{\alpha} \tag{4}$$

where α is velocity exponent, τ_V is viscous shear stress, $\dot{\gamma}$ is shear strain rate, μ' is viscosity.

Concept of DSTS Test

To investigate the mechanical properties of PIB under shear strain like VDW, and to obtain the velocity exponent, a Dynamic Sandwich-Type Shear test (DSTS test) is proposed with schematic diagram shown in **Figure 1**. As in the actual engineering condition in VDW, the shear plate is sandwiched in the polymers, and the PIB is bonding on the surface of shear plate. When the shear plate undergoes displacement, the shear plate drives PIB to move together, which causes shear strain of PIB. At the same time, the PIB provides corresponding shear stress on bonding surface of shear plate, and the resultant force of the shear stress is damping force. The shear force and displacement can be converted into shear stress and shear strain as:

$$\tau = \frac{Q}{A} \tag{5}$$

$$\gamma = \frac{5}{H} \tag{6}$$

Where Q is damping force, S is displacement of shear plate, and H is the gap between polymers A is the area of shear plate that inserted in the polymer, A = 2ab, a is the width of shear plate and b is the insertion depth, γ is shear strain τ is shear stress.

According to the material mechanic of viscoelastic material and power law, the constative equation can be written as:

$$\tau = \tau_V + \tau_E = \mu' \dot{\gamma}^{\alpha} + G' \gamma \tag{7}$$

where τ_V is viscous shear stress, τ_E is elastic shear stress. α is velocity exponent, $\dot{\gamma}$ is shear strain rate. γ is shear strain, μ' is viscosity, G' is storage modulus.

FIGURE 2 | Data procession. (A) Radial linear regression (B) Pivotal points.

Methods for Data Processing

Radial Linear Regression for Stress Separation

As indicated in Equation (7), the measured shear stress is a direct sum of two components of τ_V and τ_E . Given the complexity due to the power of the first derivative combined with a linear term, it is not a straightforward issue to separate the stress components. Observing the symmetry of the hysteresis curve, radial linear regression is proposed to regress the linear term from the hysteretic curve, and the slope of the regression line is the storage modulus G' which is shown in **Figure 2A**. Thus, the viscous shear stress can be isolated from total shear stress as:

$$\tau_V = \tau - G'\gamma \tag{8}$$

Pivotal Point Method for Velocity Exponent

As there are two undetermined quantities in Equation (4), two conditions are needed to solve the velocity exponent and loss modulus. This paper used maximum strain rate point and 0.5 times maximum strain rate point to calculate the parameters, as the two pivotal points shown in **Figure 2B**.

The equations are expressed as:

$$\ln(\tau_{V_{\gamma_{max}}}\omega) = \ln(G'') + \alpha \ln(\dot{\gamma}_{max}) \tag{9}$$

$$\ln(\tau_{V_{\gamma_{\underline{1}}}}\omega) = \ln(G'') + \alpha \ln(\dot{\gamma}_{\underline{1}}max})$$
(10)

where $\dot{\gamma}_{max}$ is the maximum strain rate, $\dot{\gamma}_{\frac{1}{2}max} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\gamma}_{max}$, $\tau_{V_{\gamma_{max}}}$ is the stress at $\dot{\gamma} = \dot{\gamma}_{max}$, $\tau_{V_{\gamma_{\frac{1}{2}max}}}$ is the stress at $\dot{\gamma} = \dot{\gamma}_{\frac{1}{2}max}$.

Fitting Method for Strain Rate

The shear stain γ can be obtained from the measured displacement S by Equation (6). Regarding the shear strain rate $\dot{\gamma}$, as the pivotal point method is sensitive to its accuracy, it

 $0.5\dot{\gamma}_{max}$

Ý mar



ν

is not appropriate to use numerical differences to calculate the first derivate of γ . Therefore, the strain curves were fitted by sinusoidal functions firstly, and then the strain rates were derived as the first derivate of the fitted sinusoidal functions, as illustrated later in sub-section Calculation Results.

Experimental Set-Up

As shown in **Figure 3A**, the test specimen is composed of a steel plate sandwiched by PIB filled in a steel box. The insertion depth of shear plate is 25 mm, and the gap distance between steel shear plate and steel box is 5 mm, which is shown in **Figure 3A**. The width of shear plate is 40 mm (shown in **Figure 3B**), and the overall dimensions of steel box are $138 \times 22 \times 49$ mm (shown in **Figure 3C**).

The experiments were conducted at the Structural Laboratory of Tongji University. The Instrumentation schematic is shown in



Figure 4A and the actual installation of DSTS test is shown in **Figure 4B**. The reaction frame is fixed and load cell is fixed on the end of the reaction frame. The shear plate is connected on the load cell rigidly, and the shear plate is inserted in the steel box which is filled with PIB. And the steel box is rigidly connected on the shake table.

During the experiment, the shake table is going to provide sinusoidal excitation and the steel box which is rigidly connected on shake table is going to move together, the load cell is going to receive reaction force signal and laser displacement meter is going to receive displacement signal.

A series of test cases were conducted and the test cases are shown in Table 1. In variable frequency test, the imposed displacement amplitude was 4 mm, while the angular frequencies of loading sine wave were 0.63, 1, 1.6, 2.5, 4, 6.3 rad/s, respectively. During variable frequency test, the excitation angular frequencies of ARES tests were 0.63, 1, 1.6, 2.5, 4, 6.3 rad/s, respectively which were set in the ARES's program and cannot be changed. In order to compare with the results of ARES test, this paper selected the same test cases as ARES test during DSTS test. In variable strain test, the imposed displacement amplitudes were 1.25, 2.5, 4, 6, 8, 10 mm, respectively, while the angular frequency of loading sine wave was 6.3 rad/s. In order to investigate the behavior of PIB under small and large shear strain, this paper selected small displacement amplitudes 1.25 and 2.5 mm, while the large displacement amplitudes were 4, 6, 8, 10 mm. Cycles of each testing case were 5. Sine wave used as excitation wave in the loading process according to the displacement control scheme. The displacement was measured by laser displacement meters with measurement period of 20 ms, and the force was measured by load cell with period of 20 ms.

TABLE 1 Test cases.				
Number	Variable frequency test		Variable strain test	
	Frequency (rad/s)	Displacement (mm)	Frequency (rad/s)	Displacement (mm)
1	0.63	4	6.3	1.25
2	1	4	6.3	2.5
3	1.6	4	6.3	4
4	2.5	4	6.3	6
5	4	4	6.3	8
6	6.3	4	6.3	10









RESULTS

Hysteretic Curve

The hysteretic curves of variable loading frequency test are shown in **Figure 5A**. As the frequency increases, the peak stress increases, and the slope of the hysteretic curve increases gradually. The hysteretic curves under 4 and 6.3 rad/s are almost overlapping, indicating that PIB is insensitive to frequency variations over this frequency range.

The hysteretic curves of variable strain amplitude test are shown in **Figure 5B**. The displacement amplitudes were transformed into strain amplitudes by Equation (6) and strain amplitudes were shown in the legend of **Figure 5B**. As the strain amplitude increases, the area of hysteretic loop increases rapidly, the peak stress also increases and the slope of the hysteretic curve decreases.

Calculation Results

Taking the third cycle of hysteretic loop for calculation. The strain time history curves were fitted by sinusoidal functions. Taking the case at the frequency of 0.63 Hz and the strain amplitude of 0.8 as an example, the strain time history was fitted as 0.7293sin(3.958t + 0.6208), with a coefficient of regression of





 $R^2 = 0.991$. The needed strain rates of the pivotal points were then calculated with the first derivative of the fitting function.

The relationship between frequency and mechanical parameters of PIB are shown in **Figure 6**. As the frequency increases, the storage modulus and loss modulus increase (shown in **Figure 6A**). And these phenomena are typical for polymer in the test results obtained in other investigations (Ardakani et al., 2012). As the frequency increases, velocity exponents decreases in the range of 0.94~1.02 (shown in **Figure 6B**).

The relationship between strain amplitude and mechanical parameters of PIB are shown in **Figure 7**. As the amplitude increases, the storage modulus decreases, and the loss modulus increases (shown in **Figure 7A**). And these phenomena are typical for polymer in the test results obtained in other investigations (Ou et al., 2005b). Velocity exponent exhibits no



 $\label{eq:FIGURE 10} \textbf{FIGURE 10} \mid \textbf{Regression results of viscous stress amplitudes}.$

clear trend with the frequency increase, and fluctuates within the range of $0.8 \sim 1$ (shown in **Figure 7B**).

DISCUSSION

Validation of Stress Separation Method

In comparison with the radial linear regression method mentioned in sub-section Radical Linear Regression for Stress Separation, the maximum strain point, adapted from the approach often intuitively used for VDW, is also applied here to separate the stress components with the following equation.

$$G' = \frac{\tau_{\gamma max}}{\gamma_{max}} \tag{11}$$

where $\tau_{\gamma max}$ is stress at the maximum strain, γ_{max} is the maximum strain.

The test case with frequency 2.5 rad/s, strain 0.8 is taken as an example to illustrate effect of two different ways of stress separation. After calculating storage modulus, bringing storage modulus into Equation (8) can separate elastic stress and obtain viscosity hysteretic curve. **Figure 8** presents the comparison of viscous stress-strain hysteretic curves obtained by radial linear method and maximum strain point method. It can be seen from **Figure 8**, the curve obtained by the former method is symmetric while the other one is obviously asymmetric about horizontal axis, indicating that some elastic strain has not been taken out. Therefore, the radial linear method is more suitable to separate stress components.

Validation of Pivotal Point Method Qualitative Validation

The following Equation (12) (Japan Society of Seismic Isolation, 2008) was adapted from the regressed energy formula of the relationship between dissipation energy and velocity power



exponent of VDW based on enormous amount of test data of VDWs.

$$E_d = 4e^{-0.24\alpha} \tau_{V\gamma,max} \gamma_{\gamma,max} \tag{12}$$

where E_d is the dissipated energy E_d equals to the area of hysteretic loop. α is velocity exponent, $\gamma_{\gamma,max}$ is the maximum strain, $\tau_{V\gamma,max}$ is the corresponding viscous shear stress when γ is the maximum strain.

The comparison of velocity exponents by pivotal point method and Equation (12) are presented in **Figure 9**. Under variable loading frequency test, the velocity exponent

obtained from two methods both tend to decrease as the frequency increases. The velocity exponent obtained by Equation (12) is larger than 1, while the velocity exponent obtained by pivotal point method is smaller than 1. As the testing material in DSTS test is a kind of shear-thinning material for VDW, the results of pivotal point method are more acceptable.

Accuracy Validation

In practice, viscous damping force of VDW is expressed as a power function of stroke velocity, which can be regressed with measured data of viscous damping force amplitudes and



velocity amplitudes of a series of test cases with different loading frequencies. The same regression approach was applied herein for the present data of viscous shear stress amplitudes and shear strain rate amplitudes under variable loading frequencies, which were separated by radial linear regression. As shown in **Figure 10**, the regression produces parameters value G'' = 26942N/m² and $\alpha = 0.32$. In this way the obtained α is smaller than 1, and is far from the values in **Figure 6B**, which is qualitatively acceptable. This approach produces a single set of parameters over a range of loading frequency.

Figure 11 presents the comparison of hysteresis curve between experimental results and simulated results by Equation (7) with the parameters obtained by pivotal point method and the above regression approach. It can be seen that all the simulated curves obtained by the pivotal point method fit experimental curves well, while the other simulated curves depart significantly from experimental curve. Therefore, the pivotal point method is quite accurate and more reasonable to calculate velocity exponent.

Comparison of Modulus Between ARES Test and DSTS Test

ARES system is an advanced strain controlled rheometer, which tests the specimen's storage modulus and loss modulus precisely. This paper also conducted an ARES test on the same material and the results of ARES test and DSTS test are compared. In the ARES test, the diameter of plate is 25 mm, the gap between two plate is 1 mm and the frequency ranges from 0.63 to 6.3 rad/s.

The comparison of storage modulus between ARES test and DSTS test is shown in **Figure 12A**. It is shown that the storage modulus increases as the frequency increases in ARES test. The storage modulus obtained by DSTS test is 1.4~3.5 times ARES's result. This phenomenon was caused by extrusion effect. When the shear plate was moving in the steel box, the moving path of shear plate and surface of polymer did not fit smoothly which can cause additional lateral compression, namely the extrusion effect, while the ARES test is pure shear test without the extrusion effect. The extrusion effect does unavoidably exist in the behavior of VDW, showing the necessity of DSTS test.

The comparison of loss modulus between ARES test and DSTS test is shown in **Figure 12B**. It is shown that the loss modulus increases as the frequency increases in ARES test. The loss modulus obtained by DSTS test is $2.1\sim2.5$ times ARES's result. This phenomenon was also caused by the extrusion effect. The comparison here above indicates that the DSTS test can capture dynamic mechanical properties of materials under engineering condition.

CONCLUSION

The influence of loading frequency and strain amplitude on mechanical properties of PIB has been investigated by DSTS test which was designed by considering the engineering working condition of PIB in VDW. The main conclusion can be summarized as follow:

- 1. Radial linear regression method was proposed to calculate storage modulus and pivotal point method was proposed to calculate loss modulus and velocity exponent. The radial linear regression method could accurately separate stress components The velocity exponent obtained by pivotal point method was both qualitatively reasonable and accurate to simulate the experimental curves.
- 2. The velocity exponent obtained was generally smaller than 1, and varied from 0.8 to 1. With strain amplitude in the range of " $0.4 \sim 2.4$ " and the angular frequency in the range of " $0.63 \sim 6.3$ rad/s", the velocity exponent tends to decrease with the increase of frequency and strain.
- 3. The storage modulus of PIB decreases as the strain amplitude increases, while it increases as the frequency increases. The loss modulus of PIB increases as the strain amplitude and frequency increase.
- 4. Due to the extrusion effect caused by engineering working condition, Storage modulus obtained by DSTS test is 1.4~3.5 times ARES's result, and loss modulus obtained by DSTS test is 2.1~2.5 times ARES's result.

In the variable strain amplitude test cases, the modulus and velocity exponent of PIB exhibited obvious fluctuation with the increase of strain amplitude. This phenomenon will affect the performance of VDW, and it will be systematically studied in the future. The suitable viscous materials for VDW are rare, therefore only DSTS tests of one kind of PIB were conducted in this paper. In the future, DSTS test will be used to find more material that suitable for VDW.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All datasets generated for this study are included in the manuscript and/or the supplementary files.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The testing material and the parameters were supplied by the Chinese agent of BASF SE.

REFERENCES

- Ardakani, F., Jahani, Y., and Morshedian, J. (2012). Dynamic viscoelastic behavior of polypropylene/polybutene-1 blends and its correlation with morphology. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 125, 640–648. doi: 10.1002/app.36324
- Bouix, R., Viot, P., and Lataillade, J. L. (2009). Polypropylene foam behaviour under dynamic loadings: strain rate, density and microstructure effects. *Int. J. Impact Eng*, 36, 329–342. doi: 10.1016/j.ijimpeng.2007.11.007
- Coulson, M., Quiroga Cortés, L., Dantras, E., Lonjon, A., and Lacabanne, C. (2018). Dynamic rheological behavior of poly (ether ketone ketone) from solid state to melt state. J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 135:46456. doi: 10.1002/app.46456
- Dessi, C., Tsibidis, G. D., Vlassopoulos, D., De Corato, M., Trofa, M., D' Avino, G., et al. (2016). Analysis of dynamic mechanical response in torsion. *J. Rheol.* 60, 275–287. doi: 10.1122/1.4941603
- Drozdov, A. D., Christiansen, J. D., Klitkou, R., and Potarniche, C. G. (2010). Viscoelasticity and viscoplasticity of polypropylene/polyethylene blends. *Int. J. Solids Struct.* 47, 2498–2507. doi: 10.1016/j.ijsolstr.2010.05.010
- Fatkullin, N., Mattea, C., and Stapf, S. (2011). A simple scaling derivation of the shear thinning power-law exponent in entangled polymer melts. *Polymer* 52, 3522–3525. doi: 10.1016/j.polymer.2011.06.009
- Hu, Y. T., Palla, C., and Lips, A. (2008). Comparison between shear banding and shear thinning in entangled micellar solutions. J. Rheol. 52:379. doi: 10.1122/1.2836937
- Japan Society of Seismic Isolation (2008). *Passive Damping Structure Design and Construction Manual*. Translated by T. Jiang. Beijing: China Architecture & Building Press [in Chinese].
- Li, J. J., Jiang, H. Q., and Liu, Y. (2013). The viscoelastic effect of polymer on tracer dispersion. J. Dispers. Sci. Technol. 34, 222–227. doi: 10.1080/01932691.2012.658318
- Makris, N., Dargush, G. F., and Constantinou, M. C. (1993). Dynamic analysis of generalized viscoelastic fluids. J. Eng. Mech. 119, 1663–1679.
- Miyazaki, M., Kitada, Y., Arima, F., and Hristov, I. (1986). "Earthquake response control design of buildings using viscous damping walls," in *Proceedings of* the 1st East Asian Conference on Structural Engineering and Construction (Bangkok), 1882–1891. doi: 10.1016/j.engstruct.2008.05.023
- Ou, J., Liu, W. Q., and Zhang, Z. T. (2005a). Experimental study on dynamic performance of viscous damping wall [in Chinese]. *Earthq. Resist. Eng. Retrofit.* 27, 55–59. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1002-8412.2005.06.011
- Ou, J., Liu, W. Q., and Zhang, Z. T. (2005b). Experimental research on a new type of viscous damping material [in Chinese]. J. Earthq. Eng. Eng. Vibr. 25, 108–112. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1000-1301.2005.01.019
- Phan-Thien, N. (2002). Understanding Viscoelasticity. Berlin: Springer, 101–102. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-32958-6
- Rad, F., Sefidmazgi, N., and Bahia, H. (2014). Application of diffusion mechanism. *Transp. Res. Rec.* 2444, 71–77. doi: 10.3141/2444-08

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FS contributed experimental design, result discussion and data analysis. JY designed DSTS test, collected and analyzed data, interpreted results, and drafted the manuscript. TW conducted ARES test and collected data.

FUNDING

Financial support by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Project No. 2016YFC0701203) and Financial support by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51778488) are greatly acknowledged.

- Sasaki, K., Miyazaki, M., and Sawada, T. (2012). "Characteristics of viscous wall damper of intense oscillation test against large earthquakes," in *Proceedings of* the 15th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering (Lisbon), 1742–1750.
- Snijkers, F., and Vlassopoulos, D. (2011). Cone-partitioned-plate geometry for the ARES rheometer with temperature control. J. Rheol. 55:1167. doi: 10.1122/1.3625559
- Sun, F. F., Wu, T. Y., and Mo, G. (2018). Resistant force model of viscous damping wall considering influence of loading frequency. *Eng. Struct.* 161, 96–107. doi: 10.1016/j.engstruct.2018.01.071
- Trarieux, C., Callé, S., Moreschi, H., Poulin, A., Tranchant, J. F., and Defontaine, M. (2013). "An analytical model to describe nonlinear viscoelastic properties of fluids measured by Dynamic Acoustoelastic Testing," in *Ultrasonics Symposium* (Glasgow: IEEE). doi: 10.1109/ULTSYM.2012. 0182
- Trarieux, C., Callé, S., Poulin, A., Tranchant, J. F., and Defontaine, M. (2012). Measurement of nonlinear viscoelastic properties of fluids using dynamic acoustoelastic testing. *IOP Conf. Ser. Mater. Sci. Eng.* 42:2026. doi: 10.1088/1757-899X/42/1/012026
- Xu, Z. D. (2007). Earthquake mitigation study on viscoelastic dampers for reinforced concrete structures. J. Vibr. Control 13, 29–45. doi: 10.1177/1077546306068058
- Xu, Z. D., Huang, X. H., Xu, F. D., and Yuan, J. (2019). Parameters optimization of vibration isolation and mitigation system for precision platforms using nondominated sorting genetic algorithm. *Mech. Syst. Signal Process.* 128, 191–201. doi: 10.1016/j.ymssp.2019.03.031
- Xu, Z. D., Liao, Y. X., Ge, T., and Xu, C. (2016). Experimental and theoretical study on viscoelastic dampers with different matrix rubbers. *J. Eng. Mech. ASCE* 142: 04016051.
- Xu, Z. D., Shen, Y. P., and Zhao, H. T. (2003). A synthetic optimization analysis method on structures with viscoelastic dampers. *Soil Dyn. Earthq. Eng.* 23, 683–689. doi: 10.1016/j.soildyn.2003.07.003
- Xu, Z. D., Zhao, H. T., and Li, A. Q. (2004). Optimal analysis and experimental study on structures with viscoelastic dampers. J. Sound Vibr. 273, 607–618. doi: 10.1016/s0022-460x(03)00522-4

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Sun, Yang and Wu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.