



Risk Factors for Non-arteritic Anterior Ischemic Optic Neuropathy: A Large Scale Meta-Analysis

Bing Liu^{1†}, Ying Yu^{1†}, Wen Liu¹, Tuo Deng^{2*} and Daoman Xiang^{1*}

¹ Department of Ophthalmology, Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ² Department of Urology and Guangdong Key Laboratory of Urology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China

Objective: We conducted a meta-analysis to explore all the potential risk factors for non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy (NAION) based on the published literature.

Methods: A comprehensive literature search through the online databases was performed to obtain studies concerning the risk factors of NAION up to June 2020. Pooled unadjusted odds ratios (*ORs*) or rate ratios (*RRs*) were calculated to evaluate the weight of risk factors. This study was registered in PROSPERO under the number CRD42018084960.

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Michael S. Vaphiades, University of Alabama at Birmingham, United States

Reviewed by:

Masoud Aghsaei Fard, Farabi Eye Hospital, Iran Howard Pomeranz, Northwell Health, United States

*Correspondence:

Daoman Xiang xiangdm35@163.com Tuo Deng gzgy_dengtuo@sina.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Ophthalmology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Medicine

Received: 29 October 2020 Accepted: 02 September 2021 Published: 04 October 2021

Citation:

Liu B, Yu Y, Liu W, Deng T and Xiang D (2021) Risk Factors for Non-arteritic Anterior Ischemic Optic Neuropathy: A Large Scale Meta-Analysis. Front. Med. 8:618353. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.618353 **Results:** Our meta-analysis included 49 original studies comprising of more than 10 million patients. The following risk factors were proved to be significantly associated with NAION: male gender (OR = 1.67, 95% Cl: 1.50-1.85, P < 0.00001), hypertension (RR = 1.28, 95% Cl: 1.20-1.37, P < 0.00001), hyperlipidemia (RR = 1.43, 95% Cl: 1.26-1.62, P < 0.00001), diabetes mellitus (DM) (RR = 1.53, 95% Cl: 1.36-1.73, P < 0.00001), coronary heart disease (CHD) (RR = 1.68, 95% Cl: 1.24-2.27, P = 0.0008), sleep apnea (RR = 3.28, 95% Cl: 2.08-5.17, P < 0.00001), factor V Leiden heterozygous (RR = 2.21, 95% Cl: 1.19-4.09, P = 0.01), and medication history of cardiovascular drugs.

Conclusion: We concluded that the above risk factors were significantly related to NAION. Better understanding of these risk factors in NAION can help the direct therapeutic approaches.

Keywords: risk factor, non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy, large scale, meta-analysis, systematic review

INTRODUCTION

Non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy (NAION) is the most common ischemic optic neuropathy. The incidence rate is 2.5–11.8 per 100,000 cases in men elder than 50 (1). Characterized by optic nerve ischemia mostly due to hypoperfusion of short posterior ciliary arteries (SPCAs) (2), NAION can lead to unilateral, sudden, and painless loss of vision among awake patients. Segmental or diffuse optic disc edema can be observed without evidence of arteritis (3). Although the detailed pathogenesis is unclear, NAION is probably related to systematic hypoperfusion, nocturnal hypotension, local autoregulation failure, and hypercoagulation (2). NAION is a naturally progressive disease and the contralateral eye involvement rate is 15–20% in the following 5 years (4). It has been proved that the medications, including corticosteroids, aspirin, and

neurotrophic drugs, have limited and controversial efficacies (5, 6). The risk factors should be taken into thorough consideration when providing precautions and treatments for NAION.

The elder men were susceptible to NAION (1, 3). NAIONrelated cardiac and cerebral vascular diseases were reported: hypertension, diabetes mellitus (DM), hyperlipidemia, stroke, prothrombotic disorders, and so on (2). Other systematic and ocular factors were also researched in the previously published articles, such as smoking (1), obstructive sleep apnea (7), phosphodiesterase type-5 inhibitors (PDE5-Is) (8), depression, anemia (9), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (10), hypothyroidism (11), small optic disc (12), age-related macular degeneration, and glaucoma (9). Furthermore, several specific genetic polymorphisms were tested for their association with NAION, involving ACE I/D, MTHFR C677T, and factor V Leiden (13).

The two published meta-analyses reported influences of DM or sleep apnea on NAION, respectively (7, 14). However, impacts of other factors differed in literature and no cumulative conclusions were reached. Therefore, we decided to perform a large-scale systematic review and meta-analysis on all the possible NAION risk factors identified in the published studies. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis concentrating on multiple factors of NAION. We expect to help the clinicians comprehensively understand the risk factors of NAION and provide more evidence for the preventions and treatments.

METHODS

We conducted this systematic review and meta-analysis in accordance with the meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology (MOOSE) guidelines. The protocol registration number of PROSPERO (https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/) was CRD42018084960.

Search Strategy and Study Selection

Original literature was searched comprehensively through electronic Pubmed, Medline, Embase, and Cochrane Library databases. The related references were also screened, including gray literature (in the website http://graylit.osti.gov/). The language of included studies was restricted to English. The last search was on June 6, 2020. The search terms were applied as follows: "non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy" OR "non-arteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy" OR "NAION" OR "NA-AION" in combination with "risk" OR "factor" OR "risk factor." These terms were searched in all the fields of articles, not restricted to their abstracts.

The inclusion criteria were listed as follows. First, the clinical studies concerning the comparisons of risk factors between NAION and controls were taken into further consideration. Second, the risk factors should exist before the diagnosis of NAION, which was judged by carefully screening the abstracts and/or full texts. Third, the samples of case and control groups were provided directly, or odds ratios (*ORs*) or rate ratios (*RRs*) of risk factors were reported with 95% *CIs*. Accordingly, we excluded the animal experiments, case reports/series, abstracts,

conference proceedings, repeated publications, non-published materials, reviews, and editorials.

Two investigators (B. L. and Y. Y.) did the literature search, study screening, data extraction, and eligible study quality assessment independently. The inconsistency was resolved by a third reviewer or *via* an open discussion.

Data Extraction and Study Quality Assessment

We collected the following data in a prepared standard form: first author, year of publication, country, ethnicity, study design, study duration, sample size, baseline information of the patient, and the number of patients (*ORs* or *RRs* with 95% *CIs*) in both the NAION and the control groups. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) (15) was applied for quality assessment of the nonrandomized controlled trials (RCTs). The studies achieving seven or more stars were regarded as high quality.

Statistics Analysis

We calculated the pooled unadjusted ORs or RRs on dichotomous variables to identify the association between the risk factors and NAION. Accordingly, the mean differences (MDs) were used on the continuous variables. Heterogeneity was determined through the chi-square test based on Q and I^2 values (16). No significant heterogeneity existed if the *p*-value was >0.10, and in this condition, the fixed-effect model was used. On the contrary, the random-effect model was applied. We also conducted the subgroup analyses according to different populations. The results were significant in our meta-analysis if a two-sided p-value was <0.05. Inverted funnel plot visual inspection was to assess the publication bias for all the comparisons, and the Egger's test was added when the number of studies was more than 10. The data analyses were performed in software RevMan (version 5.3; Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK) and STATA (version 13.0; StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

RESULTS

We included a total of 49 studies containing more than 10 million patients. The process of verifying the studies was in accordance with a PRISMA flow diagram (**Figure 1**). The basic characteristics are listed in **Table 1**. The studies were published between 1991 and 2019. A total of 38 studies were case-control studies (10, 11, 13, 19, 22–29, 31–35, 37, 39–43, 46–60) and 11 were retrospective cohort studies (9, 17, 18, 20, 21, 30, 36, 38, 44, 45, 61). About 12 of them were studied in the Asian populations, 24 in Europeans, and the remaining 13 in mixed ethnicities. Forty-one studies were graded higher than seven stars, while eight of them were six stars.

Figure 2 shows the relationship between NAION and age, gender, and smoking status. In three studies (9, 19, 48) investigating the influence of age on NAION, results indicated no significance (MD = 0.64 years, 95% *CI*: -6.50 to 7.78, *P* = 0.86) with heterogeneity ($I^2 = 93\%$, *P* < 0.00001) (**Figure 2A**). In eight studies (9, 13, 19, 24, 38, 48, 52, 55) concerning gender, men had significant higher incidence of NAION than women (*OR* = 1.67, 95% *CI*: 1.50–1.85, *P* < 0.00001) without heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$, *P* = 0.63). In the subgroups, five studies



in Europeans also demonstrated the higher susceptibility in men (OR = 1.77, 95% *CI*: 1.23–2.54, P = 0.002). Two studies in mixed ethnicities reached similar results (OR = 1.68, 95% *CI*: 1.51–1.87, P < 0.00001) (**Figure 2B**). No heterogeneity existed in both the subgroups. One Asian study showed no difference in NAION incidence between men and women (OR = 0.77, 95% *CI*: 0.35–1.70, P = 0.51). There were no obvious publication biases detected through the inverted funnel plots of these factors.

Twelve studies (10, 11, 13, 26, 41, 47, 50, 51, 54–56, 59) researched the impact of current smoking status on NAION and

found no significant association (RR = 1.00, 95% *CI*: 0.72–1.39, P = 0.99) with slight heterogeneity ($I^2 = 46\%$, P = 0.03). In the subgroup analysis based on the ethnicities, results in all the three groups (Asian, European, and mixed) pointed out no significant association (**Figure 2C**). The shape of the inverted funnel plot was approximately symmetrical and the Egger's test did not show any indication of publication bias (t = 0.41, P = 0.691).

Hypertension was studied in the 16 original articles (11, 13, 22, 26, 29–31, 37, 39, 41, 43, 47, 50, 55, 56, 59). Our results showed that it was a significant risk factor of NAION (RR =

1.28, 95% *CI*: 1.20–1.37, P < 0.00001) with no heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.48). In five of the studies on Asians, a significant higher incidence of NAION was found in hypertensive patients (RR = 1.30, 95% *CI*: 1.20–1.42, P < 0.00001) and 11 European studies achieved similar results (RR = 1.24, 95% *CI*: 1.11–1.39, P < 0.00001). Both the cases did not demonstrate heterogeneity (**Figure 3A**). No significant publication bias was detected through either the inverted funnel plot or the Egger's test (t = 0.24, P = 0.817).

We extracted and pooled data from the 19 original studies (9–11, 13, 26, 27, 30–32, 37, 39, 41, 43, 47, 51, 54, 56, 58, 59) researching hyperlipidemia. It was a significant risk factor of NAION (RR = 1.43, 95% *CI*: 1.26–1.62, P < 0.00001), and heterogeneity was detected ($I^2 = 52\%$, P = 0.004). According to the subgroup analysis, more NAION cases were found in the hyperlipidemia patients among the studies on Asian (RR = 1.65, 95% *CI*: 1.35–2.01, P < 0.00001), European (RR = 1.57, 95% *CI*: 1.17–2.09, P = 0.002), and mixed populations (RR = 1.27, 95% *CI*: 1.06–1.54, P < 0.01). Heterogeneity appeared in the European and mixed groups, while in Asians no heterogeneity was found (**Figure 3B**). Neither the inverted funnel plot nor the Egger's test showed publication bias (t = 0.97, P = 0.347).

Twenty-three studies (9–11, 13, 22, 26, 29–32, 37–39, 41, 43, 47, 50, 51, 54–56, 58, 59) were included for examining the relationship between DM and NAION. In our meta-analysis, diabetes significantly increased the occurrence of NAION (*RR* = 1.53, 95% *CI*: 1.36–1.73, P < 0.00001) and results had heterogeneity ($I^2 = 55\%$, P = 0.0006). The subgroup analysis was shown as below: *RR* was 2.12 (95% *CI*: 1.40–3.21, P = 0.0004) in Asians, 1.49 (95% *CI*: 1.01–2.18, P = 0.04) in Europeans, and 1.52 (95% *CI*: 1.44–1.59, P < 0.00001) in mixed populations. Heterogeneity was shown together with the forest plot (**Figure 4A**). The inverted funnel plot and the Egger's test showed no publication bias (t = 1.34, P = 0.203).

The data from 11 original studies (9, 10, 30, 32, 43, 47, 50, 51, 54, 58, 59) were collected for pooled results of coronary heart disease (CHD) on NAION. Our forest plot indicated CHD to be a significant risk factor with RR = 1.68 (95% *CI*: 1.24–2.27, P = 0.0008). Heterogeneity was also significant ($I^2 = 71\%$, P < 0.00001). In the subgroups, one Asian study (RR = 1.81, 95% *CI*: 1.29–2.55, P = 0.0007) and six studies on mixed ethnicities (RR = 1.61, 95% *CI*: 1.07–2.43, P = 0.02) found significant association, while no such relationship was detected in four of the Europeans studies (RR = 1.73, 95% *CI*: 0.87–3.42, P = 0.12). Heterogeneity was obvious in the European and mixed groups, while not applicable in the Asian group (**Figure 4B**). No significant publication bias was detected through either the inverted funnel plot or the Egger's test (t = -1.00, P = 0.346).

Nine studies (9, 10, 34–36, 38, 46, 51, 61) investigated sleep apnea and its correlation with NAION. Sleep apnea impacted the existence of NAION significantly (RR = 3.28, 95% *CI*: 2.08–5.17, P < 0.00001) bearing heterogeneity ($I^2 = 99\%$, P < 0.00001). Four studies on the mixed ethnicities observed positive association (RR = 1.57, 95% *CI*: 1.42–1.72, P < 0.00001) without heterogeneity ($I^2 = 13\%$, P = 0.33), while three of the European studies demonstrated no influence of sleep apnea on NAION (Figure 4C) in the subgroup analysis. The inverted funnel plot did not show publication bias.

Cerebrovascular disease was investigated in a total of five studies (10, 32, 43, 50, 55). No significant association was detected after we pooled the original data (OR = 1.63, 95% CI: 0.38–7.00, P = 0.51). Heterogeneity was found significantly ($I^2 = 85\%$, P < 0.00001) (**Figure 5**). No publication bias was detected through the inverted funnel plot.

The laboratory biochemical markers measuring coagulative status were examined and studied (27, 40, 41, 47, 53, 56). Our meta-analysis found the following three factors to be significantly related to NAION: increased fibrinogen (RR = 1.78, 95% *CI*: 1.26–2.52, P = 0.001), hyperhomocysteinemia (RR = 3.14, 95% *CI*: 1.99–4.93, P < 0.00001), and high level of lipoprotein(a) (RR = 1.36, 95% *CI*: 1.09–1.71, P = 0.007) (**Table 2**). All the results showed no heterogeneity. Elevated VIII factor had no significant influence on NAION (RR = 1.91, 95% *CI*: 0.79–4.63, P = 0.15) with apparent heterogeneity ($I^2 = 82\%$, P = 0.04). There were no publication biases detected through the inverted funnel plot for all the above factors.

Data of cardiovascular drugs history were collected and pooled. Three studies (19, 30, 42) inspected the relationship of antithrombotics and NAION. Significant association was detected (OR = 2.30, 95% *CI*: 1.86–2.84, P < 0.00001) with no heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.39). In detail, a significant increase in the NAION cases was found in patients taking statins (OR = 1.32, 95% *CI*: 1.18–1.48, P < 0.00001). Beta-blockers (β -blockers) were also investigated in the two studies with significant correlation to NAION (OR = 1.48, 95% *CI*: 1.05–2.08, P = 0.02) (**Table 2**).

We analyzed the six genotypes and gained pooled results from the nine included studies (13, 22, 27, 37, 39, 41, 47, 49, 59). Factor V Leiden heterozygous cases were more susceptible to NAION (RR = 2.21, 95% *CI*: 1.19–4.09, P = 0.01), while five genotypes were not significant: MTHFR 677T heterozygous (OR = 0.96, 95% *CI*: 0.11–8.40, P = 0.97), MTHFR 677T homozygous (OR = 1.78, 95% *CI*: 0.58–5.49, P = 0.31), ACE DD (OR = 0.92, 95% *CI*: 0.44–1.89, P = 0.81), ACE II (OR = 0.87, 95% *CI*: 0.59–1.30, P = 0.51), and ACE ID (OR = 1.09, 95% *CI*: 0.70–1.70, P = 0.69). Inverted funnel plots did not show the publication biases.

Six trials from the five studies were analyzed for the impact of 1-month use of PDE5-Is on NAION (25, 32, 33, 44, 45). Results from meta-analysis showed no significant increased trends of NAION incidence (RR = 1.16, 95% CI = 0.98-1.39, P = 0.09) with obvious heterogeneity ($I^2 = 57\%$, P = 0.04) (Figure 6). No obvious publication bias was detected through the inverted funnel plot visual inspection.

Apart from the systematic diseases, ocular factors were also studied and recorded comprehensively in the published literature; however, they were mentioned in less than the three articles. In three studies (9, 10, 58) concerning glaucoma, no significant association was found (OR = 0.74, 95% *CI*: 0.11–5.00, P = 0.76) with heterogeneity ($I^2 = 90\%$, P < 0.00001). Microaneurysm/retinal hemorrhage, small optic cup, high intraocular pressure (29), small cup to disc ratio (CDR) (60), age-related macular degeneration, retinal vein occlusion (9), anti-vascular endothelial growth factor injection times (17), and

TABLE 1 | Baseline information of the included studies.

| Included study | Country | Main ethnicity | Study duratio | n Study design | Match | Sample (n) | | Gender (Ma Female, <i>n</i>) | le/ | Mean/media age (years) | in | Quality score | Risk factors |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | | | | Case | Control | Case | Control | Case | Control | _ | |
| Chen et al. (17) | Chinese Taipei | Asian | 2007–2013 | Retrospective cohort study | NA | 180 | 77,030 | 48,570 |)/28,640 | 67.4 : | ± 12.2 | ***** | 13 |
| Yang et al. (18) | Korea | Asian | 2002–2013 | Retrospective cohort study | Age, sex, system risk factors, co-medications- matched | ic28 | 10,081 | 8,075 | 5/2,034 | ٨ | A | ***** | 8 |
| Yang et al. (19) | Korea | Asian | 2002–2013 | Retrospective cohort study | Age, sex, system risk factors, co-medications- matched | ic1,097 | 399,877 | 523/574 | 176,163/183, | 358 N | A | ***** | 13 |
| Lee et al. (20) | Korea | Asian | 2011–2015 | Case-control study | Age, sex, system risk factors-matched | ic22,498 | 31,475 | 9,175/13,323 | 3 12,832/ 18,643 | 58 ± 11 | 58 ± 11 | ***** | 14 |
| Sun et al. (21) | Chinese Taipei | Asian | 1996–2013 | Retrospective cohort study | Age, sex-matche | d 374 | 42,066 | I | NA | Ν | A | ****** | 8 |
| Chen et al. (22) | China | Asian | 2013–2015 | Case-control study | Age, sex-matche | d 71 | 142 | 47/24 | 94/48 | 54.86 ± 10.07 | 55.08 ± 10.36 | ****** | 4, 6, 11 |
| Zhu et al. (23) | China | Asian | 2011–2016 | Case-control study | Age, sex, laboratory parameters- matched | 48 | 96 | 19/29 | 49/50 | 56.7 ± 11.9 | 57.3 ± 10.9 | ***** | 16 |
| Kuhli-Hattenbach et al. (24) | Germany | European | 2010–2015 | Case-control study | Age-matched | 8 | 25 | 5/3 | 11/14 | 45.6 ± 7.2 | 42.9 ± 8.5 | ****** | 2, 12 |
| Flahavan et al. (25 | i)UK | European | 2010–2015 | Case-control study | NA | 279 | NA | 27 | 79/0 | 61.8 | 8 (8.3) | ****** | 15 |
| Kim et al. (26) | Korea | Asian | 2009–2015 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 45 | 45 | 19/26 | 19/26 | 63.48 ± 15.23 | 62.42 ± 12.51 | ****** | 3, 4, 5, 6, 13 |
| Zotz et al. (27) | Germany | European | 1999–2005 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 109 | 109 | 66/43 | 66/43 | 58.1 ± 11.1 | 57.2 ± 10.7 | ****** | 5, 11, 12 |
| Yao et al. (28) | China | Asian | 2011–2015 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 42 | 100 | 19/23 | 44/56 | 63.2 ± 3.6 | 63.4 ± 2.3 | ****** | 14 |
| Sahin et al. (29) | Turkey | European | 2011–2015 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 46 | 90 | 23/23 | 39/51 | 57.3 ± 9.1 | 55.7 ± 13.2 | ****** | 4, 6, 13, 14 |
| Lee et al. (30) | Chinese Taipei | Asian | 2000-2011 | Retrospective cohort study | Age, gender-matched | 414 | 789 | 239/175 | 461/328 | 55.9 ± 18.6 | 55.1 ± 18.4 | ****** | 4, 5, 6, 7, 10 |
| Chang et al. (31) | Chinese Taipei | Asian | 2000–2009 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 184 | 187,424 | 98/86 | 92,822/94,60 | 2 N | A | ****** | 4, 5, 6, 14 |
| Cestari et al. (9) | USA | Mixed | 2001–2014 | Retrospective cohort study | NA | 977 | 1,380,500 | 529/448 | 557761/ 822,739 | 64.0 ± 9.2 | 58.4 ± 9.4 | ***** | 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 13, 14 |
| Nathoo et al. (32) | Canada | Mixed | 2000–2011 | Case-control study | Age-matched | 1,109 | 1,237,290 | 1,109/0 | 1,237,290/0 | 69.8 ± 12.6 | 69.8 ± 12.6 | ***** | 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 15 |

(Continued)

Risk Factors for NAION

Liu et al.

| Included study | Country | Main ethnici | ity Study duration | on Study design | Match | Sample (n) | | Gender (Ma Female, <i>n</i>) | ile/ | Mean/media age (years) | an | Quality score | Risk factor |
|---------------------------------|---------|--------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | | Case | Control | Case | Control | Case | Control | - | |
| Campbell et al. (33) | Mixed | Mixed | 2008–2012 | Case-control study | Self control | | 43 | 2 | 13/0 | 61.4 | (48–73) | ****** | 15 |
| Sakai et al. (13) | Japan | Asian | NA | Case-control study | NA | 34 | 102 | 20/14 | 67/36 | 62 (48–91) | 64 (42–88) | ****** | 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 11 |
| Nagy et al. (19) | Hungary | European | 2008–2012 | Case-control study | NA | 21 | 39 | 11/10 | 17/22 | 63.43 ± 10.78 | 73.07 ± 9.58 | ***** | 1, 2, 10, 14 |
| Bilgin et al. (34) | Turkey | European | NA | Case-control study | Age, gender, systemic risk factors-matched | 27 | 27 | 15/12 | 15/12 | 64.9 ± 7.86 | 63.7 ± 5.24 | ***** | 8 |
| Arda et al. (35) | Turkey | European | 2010–2012 | Case-control study | Age, gender, systemic risk factors-matched | 20 | 20 | 14/6 | 14/6 | 60.90 ± 8.14 | 4 61.15 ± 7.23 | ***** | 8 |
| Stein et al. (36) | USA | Mixed | 2001–2007 | Retrospective cohort study | NA | 3,123 | 2,257,163 | | NA | 1 | NA | ****** | 8 |
| Markoula S (37) | Greece | European | 2004–2007 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 47 | 76 | 29/18 | 47/29 | 66.2 | 65.6 | ****** | 4, 5, 6, 11 |
| Lee et al. (38) | USA | Mixed | 1991–2007 | Retrospective cohort study | NA | 319 | 50,711 | 20,46 | 3/30,567 | 1 | NA | ****** | 2, 6 |
| Felekis et al. (39) | Greece | European | 2003–2008 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 77 | 60 | 50/27 | 32/28 | 63.4 ± 9.3 | 66.3 ± 9.3 | ****** | 4, 5, 6, 11 |
| Kuhli-Hattenbach et al. (40) | Germany | European | 2004–2006 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 35 | 70 | 27/8 | 52/18 | 51.5 | 49.8 | ****** | 12 |
| Giambene et al. (41) | Italy | European | NA | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 85 | 107 | 39/46 | 47/60 | 65 (26–88) | 65 (21–84) | ****** | 3, 4, 5, 6, 1 ⁻ 12, |
| Kesler et al. (42) | Israel | European | NA | Case-control study | Age, gender, smoking, atherothrombotic factors-matched | 33 | 151 | 20/13 | NA | 62.5 ± 0.3 | 61.9 ± 0.1 | ***** | 10 |
| Pinna et al. (43) | Italy | European | 1992–2006 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 150 | 280 | 68/72 | NA | 63.6 ± 11.2 | NA | ****** | 4, 5, 6, 7, 9 |
| French et al. (44) | USA | Mixed | 2004–2005 | Retrospective cohort study | Age, smoking, systemic risk factors-matched | | 3,702,474 | 3,70 | 2,474/0 | (| 67 | ***** | 15 |
| | | | | | | | 3,775,840 | 3,77 | 5,840/0 | (| 68 | | |
| Li et al. (10) | USA | Mixed | 2000–2004 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 73 | 73 | 38/35 | 38/35 | 63.5 ± 11.0 | 63.5 ± 11.1 | ****** | 3, 5, 6, 7, 8 9, 13, 14 |
| Margo and French (45) | USA | Mixed | 2004–2005 | Retrospective cohort study | NA | | 4,157,357 | 4,15 | 7,357/0 | (| 64 | ****** | 15 |
| Palombi et al. (46) | France | European | NA | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 27 | 5,615 | 18/9 | 2,648/2,967 | 65 | 63.5 | ****** | 8 |

(Continued)

Risk Factors for NAION

TABLE 1 | Continued

| Included study | Country | Main ethnicity | Study duratio | n Study design | Match | Sample (n) | | Gender (Ma Female, <i>n</i>) | ale/ | Mean/media age (years) | n | Quality score | Risk factors |
|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------|----------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | | | | Case | Control | Case | Control | Case | Control | | |
| Nagy et al. (47) | Hungary | European | 1997–2004 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 36 | 81 | 23/13 | 53/28 | 65.9 ± 11.6 | 61.6 ± 12.6 | ****** | 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12 |
| Salomon (48) | Israel | European | 1984–2000 | Case-control study | NA | 92 | 145 | 66/26 | 85/60 | 61.8 ± 11.7 | 57.3 ± 18.4 | ***** | 1, 2, 14 |
| Glueck et al. (49) | USA | European | 1999–2003 | Case-control study | Age, gender, race-matched | 12 | 36 | 4/8 | 12/24 | 62 ± 15 | 63 ± 15 | ****** | 11 |
| Deramo et al. (11) | USA | European | 1995–1998 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 37 | 74 | 25/12 | 50/24 | 43.2 | 43 | ****** | 3, 4, 5, 6, 14 |
| Weger et al. (50) | Austria | European | 1996–2000 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 71 | 71 | 30/41 | 30/41 | 68.1 ± 8.7 | 68.3 ± 9.4 | ****** | 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, 14 |
| Mojon et al. (51) | USA & Switherland | Mixed | 9 months | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 17 | 17 | 15/2 | 15/2 | 64.6 ± 11.7 | 63.3 ± 11.0 | ****** | 3, 5, 6, 7, 8 |
| Salomon et al. (52 |)Israel | European | 1984–1999 | Case-control study | NA | 74 | 71 | 54/20 | 43/28 | 64.5 (41–89) | 66 | ***** | 2, 11 |
| Pianka et al. (53) | Israel | European | 1998–1999 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 40 | 81 | | NA | 66.3 ± 1.96 | 66 ± 2 | ****** | 12 |
| Salomon et al. (52 | lsrael | European | 1984–1997 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 61 | 90 | 45/16 | 53/37 | 62 (34–85) | 66 (31–85) | ****** | 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, |
| Jacobson et al. (54) | USA | Mixed | 1987–1995 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 51 | NA | 30/21 | NA | 68 (48–86) | NA | ****** | 3, 5, 6, 7, 14 |
| Johnson et al. (55 |) USA | European | 1993–1996 | Case-control study | Race, cup-disc ratio matched | 43 | 30 | 27/16 | 14/16 | 70.7 | 77.9 | ****** | 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 14 |
| Talks et al. (56) | UK | European | 1986–1992 | Case-control study | Age, gender-matched | 41 | 41 | 27/14 | 27/14 | 66.7 | 66.9 | ****** | 3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 14 |
| Fry et al. (57) | USA | Mixed | 1987–1990 | Case-control study | Age, sex-matche | d 15 | 30 | 7/8 | NA | 61 | 61 | ****** | 16 |
| Kalenak et al. (58) | USA | Mixed | NA | Case-control study | Age, gender, race-matched | 45 | 45 | 21/24 | 21/24 | 66.7 ± 9.1 | 66.6 ± 9.7 | ****** | 5, 6, 7, 13, 14 |

Risk factors: 1. age; 2. gender; 3. smoking; 4. hypertension; 5. hyperlipidemia; 6. DM; 7. CHD; 8. sleep apnea; 9. cerebrovascular disease; 10. cardiovascular drugs; 11. genetic factors; 12. hypercoagulation; 13. ocular risk factors; 14. other factors; 15. phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors; 16. carotid stenosis.

*represents score of Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS).

| | NA | ON | | Co | ntrol | | | Mean Difference | Mean Difference | С | | | | Risk Ratio | Risk Ratio |
|---|------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|--------|------------|---------|----------------------|---------------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| - | Study or Subgroup Mean | SD ' | Total N | ean : | SD | Total | Weight | IV. Random, 95% | CI IV. Random. 95% CI | • | Study or Subgroup | log[Risk Ratio] S | E Weight | IV. Random, 95% CI | IV. Random. 95% CI |
| | Cestari DM, 2016 64 | 9.2 | 977 | 58.4 9 | 9.4 13 | 80500 | 36.6% | 5.60 [5.02, 6.18 | 1 | | 1.4.1 Asian | | | | |
| | Nagy V, 2013 63.43 10 | .78 | 21 7 | 3.07 9. | 58 | 39 | 30.1% | -9.64 [-15.14, -4.14 | | | Kim DH. 2017 | 0.9808 0.429 | 8 8.3% | 2.67 [1.15, 6, 19] | |
| | Salomon O, 2004 61.8 1 | 1.7 | 92 | 57.3 18 | 3.4 | 145 | 33.2% | 4.50 [0.67, 8.33 | 1 | | Sakai T. 2013 | 0.088 0.441 | 1 8.1% | 1 09 0 46 2 591 | |
| | Total (95% CI) | | 1000 | | 12 | 00694 | 100.0% | 0 64 1 6 60 7 76 | | | Subtotal (95% CI) | 01000 01111 | 16.4% | 1 72 10 72 4 121 | |
| | Hotorogonoity: Tau? = 36 13: Chi? | - 20 | 25 df - | 2 (P < 0 | 00001 |)- 12 - 01 | 100.076 | 0.04 [-0.00, 7.70 | + | | Hotorogonoity Tou? = 0 | 21. Chi2 = 2.10 df = 1.0 | D = 0.15). 1 | = 50% | |
| | Test for overall effect: 7 = 0.18 (P | = 0.8 | 6) | c (1 - 0 | .00001 |), i = 3. | 10 | | -20 -10 0 10 | 20 | Helelogeneity. Tau u | J.21, GHP = 2.10, GI = 1 (| 0.13), P | - 52.76 | |
| | | 0.0 | -/ | | | | | | Favours [old] Favours [young] | | l est for overall effect: 2 | 2 = 1.21 (P = 0.23) | | | |
| | | mal | | fe | male | | | Odds Ratio | Odds Ratio | | 1.4.2 European | | | | |
| - | Study or Subgroup Ev | ents | Total | Even | 8 1 | otal V | reight | M-H. Fixed, 95% C | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | | Deramo VA, 2003 | -0.4055 0.304 | 6 11.3% | 0.67 0.37, 1.211 | |
| | Sakai T 2013 | 20 | 87 | 1 | 4 | 50 | 2.5% | 0 77 10 35 1 701 | | | Giambene B 2009 | -0 1147 0 281 | 7 12 0% | 0.89 0.51 1.551 | |
| | Subtotal (95% CI) | | 87 | | | 50 | 2.5% | 0.77 [0.35, 1.70] | | | Johnson I N 1996 | 0.5563 0.802 | 1 3.6% | 1 74 0 36 8 40 | |
| | Total events | 20 | | 1 | 4 | | | | | | Noov V 2006 | 0.7650 1.112 | 9 2 19/ | 0.46 0.05 4 121 | |
| | Heterogeneity: Not applicable | | | | | | | | | | Nayy V, 2000 | -0.7039 1.112 | C 40.000 | 0.40 0.00, 4.12] | |
| | Test for overall effect: Z = 0.65 (F | P = 0.5 | 51) | | | | | | | | Salomon O, 2000 (B) | -0.5493 0.356 | 5 10.0% | 0.58 0.29, 1.16 | |
| | 112 European | | | | | | | | | | Talks SJ, 1995 | 1.2528 0.406 | 8 8.8% | 3.50 [1.58, 7.77] | |
| | Johnson LN, 1996 | 27 | 41 | 1 | 6 | 32 | 1.1% | 1.93 (0.75, 4.97) | | | Weger M, 2002 | -0.2877 0.407 | 8 8.8% | 0.75 [0.34, 1.67] | |
| | Kuhli-Hattenbach C, 2017 | 5 | 16 | | 3 | 17 | 0.4% | 2.12 [0.41, 10.88] | | | Subtotal (95% CI) | | 56.5% | 0.96 [0.59, 1.59] | - |
| | Nagy V, 2013 | 11 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 1.0% | 1.42 [0.49, 4.13] | | | Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0 | 0.24; Chi ² = 14.89, df = 6 | (P = 0.02); | I ² = 60% | |
| | Salomon O, 2000 (A) | 54 | 97 | 2 | 0 | 48 | 2.2% | 1.76 [0.87, 3.54] | | | Test for overall effect: Z | Z = 0.15 (P = 0.88) | | | |
| | Salomon O, 2004 Subtotal (95% CI) | 66 | 151 | 2 | 6 | 86 215 | 3.4% | 1.79 [1.02, 3.14] | - | | | | | | |
| | Total events | 163 | 333 | 7 | 5 | 215 | 0.170 | 1.77 [1.23, 2.34] | | | 1.4.3 Mixed | | | | |
| | Heterogeneity: Chi2 = 0.24, df = 4 | (P = | 0.99); 14 | = 0% | - | | | | | | Jacobson DM 1997 | -0.3285 0.446 | 7 8.0% | 0 72 0 30 1 73 | |
| | Test for overall effect: Z = 3.08 (F | P = 0.0 | 002) | | | | | | | | Jacobson DM, 1007 | 0.4462 0.470 | 6 7 29/ | 0.64 0.25 1.641 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 1: 1 2007 | 0.4405 0.475 | 7 6 00/ | 0.74 0.24 0.451 | |
| | 1.1.3 Mixed | 500 | | | 0 000 | | 0.00 | | - | | LI J, 2007 | -0.3303 0.301 | 0.0% | 0.71 0.24, 2.15] | |
| | Les MS 2011 | 160 | 20463 | 44 | 0 30 | 567 | 03.2% | 1.74 [1.04, 1.98] | | | Mojon DS, 2002 | 0.2231 0.576 | 5 5.8% | 1.25 0.40, 3.87] | |
| | Subtotal (95% CI) | | 578753 | 10 | 853 | 754 | 89.4% | 1.68 [1.51, 1.87] | • | | Subtotal (95% CI) | | 27.2% | 0.77 [0.47, 1.27] | |
| | Total events | 689 | | 60 | 7 | | | | | | Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0 | 0.00; Chi ² = 0.89, df = 3 (| P = 0.83); P | * = 0% | |
| | Heterogeneity: Chi ² = 1.25, df = 1 | (P = | 0.26); I | = 20% | | | | | | | Test for overall effect: Z | Z = 1.01 (P = 0.31) | | | |
| | rest for overall effect: 2 = 9.32 (r | | ,0001) | | | | | | | | Total (05% CI) | | 100.0% | 1 00 10 72 1 201 | - |
| | Total (95% CI) | | 579173 | | 854 | 019 10 | 00.0% | 1.67 [1.50, 1.85] | • | | 10tai (55 /6 Cl) | | 100.0% | 1.00 [0.72, 1.39] | |
| | Total events | 872 | 0.000-1 | 69 | 6 | | | | | | Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0 | J.16; Chi* = 22.34, df = 1 | 2 (P = 0.03) | ; 1" = 46% 0.05 | 0.2 1 5 |
| | Test for overall effect: 7 = 9.64 (| (1)= | 0.03); P | = 0% | | | | | 0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5 | 10 | Test for overall effect: Z | Z = 0.02 (P = 0.99) | | | Favours (smoking) Favours (no smoking) |
| | Test for subgroup differences: Cl | P = 3 | .79. df = | 2 (P = | 0.15). | 2 = 47.2 | % | | Favours [male] Favours [female] | | Test for subaroup differ | rences: Chi ² = 2.41. df = 2 | 2 (P = 0.30) | . I ² = 17.0% | (|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

post-cataract surgery (18) were all studied in one literature, which is listed in **Table 2**.

Table 3 provides 14 factors researched in <3 studies. Positive B allele of the glycoprotein Ib α variable number of tandem repeats (48), increased P-selectin (19), decreased flow-mediated dilation (28), anemia (9), peripheral vascular occlusive disease (9, 58), recurrent herpes labialis (55), increased mean platelet volume (24), elevated IgG titer to Chlamydia pneumonia (50), and end-stage renal disease (31), all indicated a significant association with NAION, while depression (9), COPD (10, 54), hypothyroidism (11, 56), ischemic kidney injury (38), and carotid stenosis (23, 57) had no such relationship.

DISCUSSION

In our systematic review and meta-analysis, we included articles studying a variety of risk factors: age, gender, ethnicity, systematic diseases, ocular factors, genotypes, cardiovascular drugs, and so on. We finally concluded the following risk factors to be significantly associated with NAION: male gender, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, DM, CHD, sleep apnea, medication history of cardiovascular drugs, and factor V Leiden heterozygous. Some other systematic and ocular diseases were researched in <3 studies and did not seem to be significant risk factors. In the subgroup analyses based on ethnicities, we found that the influences of gender in Asians and CHD and sleep apnea in Europeans were not significant. Therefore, we should take notice when applying our results to different populations.

In our meta-analysis, the cardiovascular factors were highly associated with NAION and studied in most literature, including hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and DM. NAION probably results from topical and/or systematic hypoperfusion (2). Although this is not a thrombotic event, many predisposing factors related to thrombogenesis or hypercoagulative state can disturb the systematic blood circulation *via* different pathways (41). For example, hyperlipidemia is harmful to endothelial cells and accelerates the formation of atherosclerotic plaques, further leading to hypertension and CHD (27). Some biochemical markers (such as hyperhomocysteinemia) and genetic polymorphisms (such as factor V Leiden heterozygous) indicating hypercoagulative state were also significant risk factors in our meta-analysis. Therefore, the above factors are cofactors of NAION with similar mechanisms. Drugs treating cardiovascular diseases were proved to be significantly associated with NAION, too, such as antithrombotics, β -blockers, and statins. These drugs could not be considered as independent risk factors because they were only applied to treat the diseases.

Although the above major factors were reported to induce NAION, we summarized and reconfirmed these conclusions. In addition, we included the hypercoagulative biomarkers and possible risky genetic polymorphisms from the published literature. We first performed the meta-analyses on these factors, demonstrating that increased homocysteinemia, fibrinogen, lipoprotein(a), and factor V Leiden heterozygous were risk factors of NAION. Several diseases and biomarkers were identified in <3 studies, which are listed in Table 3. They might be the potential risk factors if more original studies were carried out. Specifically, we conducted the subgroup analyses based on ethnicities in seven risk factors. The cases were divided into Asians, Europeans, and mixed ethnicities from original publications. The ethnicity did not affect the association between smoking, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, DM, and NAION. Nevertheless, the incidence of NAION had no disparity in different gender among the Asians. CHD and sleep apnea were not significant risk factors in Europeans. This subgroup analysis expanded the application of our results to different peoples.

Diabetes and sleep apnea were proved to be important risk factors in the previously published meta-analyses (7, 14). We reconducted meta-analyses on both factors with 11 new



FIGURE 3 | Meta-analysis of the association of NAION with hypertension (A) and hyperlipidemia (B).

| Study or Subgroup Io | og[Risk Ratio] SE | Weight | Risk Ratio | Risk Ratio | B Risk Ratio Risk Ratio Risk Ratio |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.7.1 Asian | | mangine | | | 1.8.1 Asian |
| Chang VS 2016 | 0.2462 0.0890 | 12 494 | 1 28 [1 07 1 52] | - | Lee YC, 2016 0.5936 0.1747 13.3% 1.81 [1.29, 2.55] |
| Chan T 2018 | 1 201 0 2465 | 0.70/ | 1.20 [1.07, 1.32] | | Subtotal (95% CI) 13.3% 1.81 [1.29, 2.55] |
| Kim DIL 2017 | 1.291 0.3461 | 2.170 | 3.04 [1.05, 7.17] | | Test for overall effect: Z = 3.40 (P = 0.0007) |
| Kim DH, 2017 | 1.0296 0.4764 | 1.5% | 2.60 [1.10, 7.12] | - | 182 European |
| Lee 10, 2016 | 0.7048 0.1424 | 8.8% | 2.02 [1.53, 2.67] | | Nagy V, 2006 -0.2357 0.6252 4.4% 0.79 [0.23, 2.69] |
| Sakai 1, 2013 | 1.0953 0.5158 | 1.3% | 2.99 [1.09, 8.22] | | Pinna A, 2008 0.2187 0.3065 9.8% 1.24 [0.68, 2.27] |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | 26.7% | 2.12 [1.40, 3.21] | - | Salomon O, 2000 (B) 0.8197 0.3153 9.6% 2.27 [1.22, 4.21] |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.14 | ; Chi ² = 17.39, df = 4 (P | = 0.002); P | ² = 77% | | Subtotal (95% Cl) 25.8% 1.73 [0.87, 3.42] |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 3 | 3.52 (P = 0.0004) | | | | Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.24; Chi ² = 6.64, df = 3 (P = 0.08); P = 55% Test for overall effect; Z = 1.57 (P = 0.12) |
| 1.7.2 European | | | | | 1.8.3 Mixed |
| Deramo VA, 2003 | 1.6094 0.8121 | 0.6% | 5.00 [1.02, 24.56] | | Cestari DM, 2016 1.0816 0.0703 15.6% 2.95 [2.57, 3.39] |
| Felekis T, 2010 | 0.2971 0.3375 | 2.8% | 1.35 [0.69, 2.61] | | Jacobson DM, 1997 -0.3567 0.4239 7.2% 0.70 [0.30, 1.61] |
| Giambene B, 2009 | 0.007 0.6549 | 0.8% | 1.01 [0.28, 3.63] | | Kalenak JW, 1991 0.2412 0.3434 8.9% 1.27 [0.65, 2.49] |
| Johnson LN, 1996 | 0.5563 0.5417 | 1.2% | 1.74 [0.60, 5.04] | | Li J, 2007 0.4055 0.4254 7.2% 1.50 [0.85, 3.45] |
| Markoula S 2011 | 0.0211 0.3186 | 3 1% | 1 02 [0 55 1 91] | | Mojon LS, 2002 0.4055 0.846 2.7% 1.50 [0.29, 1.87] |
| Nagy V 2006 | 1 6487 0 7389 | 0.7% | 5 20 [1 22 22 13] | | Subtotal (95% CI) 60.9% 1.61 [1.07, 2.43] |
| Pinna A 2008 | -0 3493 0 2661 | 4 1% | 0 71 [0 42 1 19] | | Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.18; Chi ² = 24.86, df = 6 (P = 0.0004); I ² = 76% Test for exceed effects 7 = 2.29 (P = 0.02) |
| Sabin M 2016 | -0.3097 0.4431 | 1 7% | 0.73 [0.31 1.75] | | resciol overall energy 2 = 2.20 (P = 0.02) |
| Salim W, 2010 | -0.3097 0.4431 | 2 50/ | 1 94 [1 04 2 27] | | Total (95% Cl) 100.0% 1.68 [1.24, 2.27] |
| Talka S L 1005 | 0.0121 0.2915 | 3.0% | 1.64 [1.04, 3.27] | | Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.15; Chi ² = 38.41, df = 11 (P < 0.0001); l ² = 71% 0.01 0.1 1 10 10 Test for overall effect: 7 = 3.36 (P = 0.0008) |
| Taiks 55, 1995 | 0.4055 0.6065 | 0.40/ | 1.50 [0.46, 4.92] | | Test for subaroub differences: Chi ² = 0.19. df = 2 (P = 0.91). I ² = 0% Favours (CHD) Favours [no CHD] |
| Weger M, 2002 | 1.273 0.3933 | 2.170 | 3.57 [1.05, 7.72] | | |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | 01-12 - 00.07 -16 - 40.0 | 21.5% | 1.49 [1.01, 2.10] | - | C |
| Test for overall effect: Z = 2 | ; Chi ² = 22.27, df = 10 (F 2.03 (P = 0.04) | ² = 0.01); P | - = 55% | | Risk Katio Nisk Katio Nisk Katio Study or Subgroup log[Risk Ratio] SE Weight V. Random, 95% Cl I.9.1 Asian |
| | . , | | | | |
| | . , | | | | Sun MH, 2018 1.4366 0.0263 12.9% 4.21 [4.00, 4.43] |
| 1.7.3 Mixed | . , | | | | Sun MH, 2018 1,4396 0.0283 12,9% 4,21 (4,00,443) Yang HK,2019 (A) 4,5869 0,4199 9,1% 98,19 (43,12,223,61] Subtotal (9% CI) 22,1% 19,76 (0,90,432,95] |
| 1.7.3 Mixed Cestari DM, 2016 | 0.4588 0.0324 | 16.0% | 1.58 [1.48, 1.69] | | Sion MM, 2018 1.4368 0.0263 12.5% 4.21 (4.00, 4.43) Yang MC,2019 (A). 45680 0.016 9.51% 9.61149412.22.03.61 Sublocate (1997 C4) - 4.457; Cb1 = 56.07; di = 1 (P < 0.0003) (P < 0.0003) (P < 0.0003) (P < 0.0003) Helenopenhytic Tail - 4.457; Cb1 = 56.07; di = 1 (P < 0.0003) (P < |
| 1.7.3 Mixed Cestari DM, 2016 Jacobson DM, 1997 | 0.4588 0.0324 0.6419 0.37 | 16.0% 2.4% | 1.58 [1.48, 1.69] 1.90 [0.92, 3.92] | • | Standard 2016 (A) 1.4460 (2010) 12.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0° (3.0 |
| 1.7.3 Mixed Cestari DM, 2016 Jacobson DM, 1997 Jacobson DM, 1997 | 0.4588 0.0324 0.6419 0.37 1.6094 0.6344 | 16.0% 2.4% 0.9% | 1.58 [1.48, 1.69] 1.90 [0.92, 3.92] 5.00 [1.44, 17.34] | • | Sen MPL 2016 (1) 14,060 (2020) 12 PF (2011) 12 (1) 22 (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) |
| 1.7.3 Mixed Cestari DM, 2016 Jacobson DM, 1997 Jacobson DM, 1997 Kalenak JW, 1991 | 0.4588 0.0324 0.6419 0.37 1.6094 0.6344 0.1178 0.4378 | 16.0% 2.4% 0.9% 1.8% | 1.58 [1.48, 1.69] 1.90 [0.92, 3.92] 5.00 [1.44, 17.34] 1.13 [0.48, 2.65] | · | Sou MR, 2016 14360 0020 12.57 4 (2010, 24.8) Yong MC, 2016 (0) 4456 0.419 151 (2011, 2014, 2014) Heterogenety: Tar 4 4 27: OH = 66: 01; df = 1 (P < 0.0001); (P = 69% Test for works effect: 2 + 1.89 (P = 0.06) 1.9.2 European Arcis H, 2013 0, 2685 0.1691 11.5% 1.31 (0.90, 1.89) Bigin, 0.213 0, 2685 0.3191 11.5% |
| 1.7.3 Mixed Cestari DM, 2016 Jacobson DM, 1997 Jacobson DM, 1997 Kalenak JW, 1991 Lee MS, 2011 | 0.4588 0.0324 0.6419 0.37 1.6094 0.6344 0.1178 0.4378 0.3584 0.1139 | 16.0% 2.4% 0.9% 1.8% 10.6% | 1.58 [1.48, 1.69] 1.90 [0.92, 3.92] 5.00 [1.44, 17.34] 1.13 [0.48, 2.65] 1.43 [1.14, 1.79] | · | Sou Mar, 2016 (a) 1.4506 (2020 12 JP (a) 4.140,48.01 (b) 4.507 (b) 4.140,48.01 (b) 4.507 (b) 4. |
| 1.7.3 Mixed Cestari DM, 2016 Jacobson DM, 1997 Jacobson DM, 1997 Kalenak JW, 1991 Lee MS, 2011 Li J, 2007 | 0.4588 0.0324 0.6419 0.37 1.6094 0.6344 0.1178 0.4378 0.3584 0.1139 0.1001 0.2699 | 16.0% 2.4% 0.9% 1.8% 10.6% 4.0% | 1.58 [1.48, 1.69] 1.90 [0.92, 3.92] 5.00 [1.44, 17.34] 1.13 [0.48, 2.65] 1.43 [1.14, 1.79] 1.11 [0.65, 1.88] | | Sour Met, 2016 and 14, 4040 (2020) 12 PM and 14 (2014, 0.4, 4.8) Source and the second secon |
| 1.7.3 Mixed Cestari DM, 2016 Jacobson DM, 1997 Jacobson DM, 1997 Kalenak JW, 1991 Lee MS, 2011 Li J, 2007 Moien DS, 2002 | 0.4588 0.0324 0.6419 0.37 1.6094 0.6344 0.1178 0.4378 0.3584 0.1139 0.1001 0.2699 -0.4055 0.846 | 16.0% 2.4% 0.9% 1.8% 10.6% 4.0% 0.5% | 1.58 [1.48, 1.69] 1.90 [0.92, 3.92] 5.00 [1.44, 17.34] 1.13 [0.48, 2.65] 1.43 [1.14, 1.79] 1.11 [0.65, 1.88] 0.67 [0.13, 3.50] | | Sour BHC, 2016 (a) 1.4.506 (2020) 12.9.9. 4.2.10.0.4.8.01 Source BHC, 2016 (a) 1.4.506 (2020) 12.9.9. 4.2.10.0.4.8.01 Source BHC (a) 1.4.506 (2020) 12.9.10.10.0.4.8.01 Heterogeneity: Tarl 4.57. CoH = 4.67. cH = 0.4.00011; P = 99%. Tarl 50 constituent 4.8.10 (a) 1.9.9 (b) |
| 1.7.3 Mixed Cestari DM, 2016 Jacobson DM, 1997 Jacobson DM, 1997 Kalenak JW, 1991 Lee MS, 2011 Li J, 2007 Mojon DS, 2002 Nathon NA. 2015 | 0.4588 0.0324 0.6419 0.37 1.6094 0.6344 0.1178 0.4378 0.3584 0.1139 0.1001 0.2699 -0.4055 0.846 0.3599 0.0407 | 16.0% 2.4% 0.9% 1.8% 10.6% 4.0% 0.5% 15.6% | 1.58 [1.48, 1.69] 1.90 [0.92, 3.92] 5.00 [1.44, 17.34] 1.13 [0.48, 2.65] 1.43 [1.14, 1.79] 1.11 [0.65, 1.88] 0.67 [0.13, 3.50] 1.43 [1.32, 1.55] | | Sour Mer, 2016, up. 1, 4568, 0.020 12 5P, up. 14 241, 0.0, 4.81 1 Source 14, 159, 10 1, 4568, 0.020 12 5P, up. 14 241, 0.0, 4.81 1 Hoterogeneity, Tar. 4 257, Chi = 50, 07, et al. (P < 0.0001); P = 695%, Tast to row and white; 2 = 1.81 P < 0.0001 1; P = 695%, 1.92 European Arcia 1, 2013 0, 2048, 0, 1691 11, 5% 1, 31 (0, 00, 1.89) Bigin, G, 2013 0, 2468, 0, 0491 11, 5% 4, 33 (0, 16, 5, 47) Publick 4, 2008 1, 1414, 0.072 1, 124, 4, 33 (0, 16, 3, 30) Hoterogeneity, Tar. 4 0, 02, 04 = 33, 7, 47 = 2, 02 < 0, 00001); P = 595%, Tast to row and white; 2 = 1.91 P = 0,0001 |
| 1.7.3 Mixed Cestari DM, 2016 Jacobson DM, 1997 Jacobson DM, 1997 Kalenak JW, 1991 Lee MS, 2011 Li J, 2007 Mojon DS, 2002 Nathoo NA, 2015 Subtotai (95% CI) | 0.4588 0.0324 0.6419 0.37 1.6094 0.6344 0.178 0.4378 0.3584 0.1139 0.1001 0.2699 -0.4055 0.846 0.3599 0.0407 | 16.0% 2.4% 0.9% 1.8% 10.6% 4.0% 0.5% 15.6% 51.7% | 1.58 [1.48, 1.69] 1.90 [0.92, 3.92] 5.00 [1.44, 17.34] 1.13 [0.48, 2.65] 1.43 [1.14, 1.79] 1.11 [0.65, 1.88] 0.67 [0.13, 3.50] 1.43 [1.32, 1.55] 1.49 [1.36, 1.64] | | Soc MH (2016 (c) 14:006 (2010 12/9 4 (2) 14:00.48) Sockets (195 Cc) 4.0.2 (2) 14:00 (2) 12/9 (2) 14:00.48) Heterogravity, Tarl 4.07 CoH = 4.07 (c) + 2.0.2 (c) + 1.0 (c) (2.0.2 (c)) Heterogravity, Tarl 4.07 CoH = 4.07 (c) + 1.0 (c) (2.0.0 (c)) Tarl 4.0 com Hetero 2 = 1.0 (c) - 0.00() 1.3.2 European Acia 4.013 (0.006) (2.006) (1.0 (c) (2.0 (c)) Acia 4.013 (0.006) (2.0 (c) (2.0 (c))) Socket (2) (2.0 (c) (2.0 (c))) Socket (2) (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) Socket (2) (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) 1.3.2 European Acia 4.013 (0.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) Socket (2) (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) Socket (2) (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) Socket (2) (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) 1.3.2 European Heterogravity (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) 1.3.3 European Heterogravity (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) 1.3.3 Model Condon (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) (2.0 (c)) 1.4.3 Model Condon (2.0 (c)) |
| 1.7.3 Mixed Cestari DM, 2016 Jacobson DM, 1997 Jacobson DM, 1997 Kalenak JW, 1991 Lee MS, 2011 Li J, 2007 Mojon DS, 2002 Nathoo NA, 2015 Subtotal (95% CI) | 0.4588 0.0324 0.6419 0.37 1.6094 0.6344 0.1178 0.4378 0.3584 0.1139 0.1001 0.2699 -0.4055 0.846 0.3559 0.0407 | 16.0% 2.4% 0.9% 1.8% 10.6% 4.0% 0.5% 15.6% 51.7% | 1.58 [1.48, 1.69] 1.90 [0.92, 3.92] 5.00 [1.44, 17.34] 1.13 [0.48, 2.65] 1.43 [1.14, 1.79] 1.11 [0.65, 1.88] 0.67 [0.13, 3.50] 1.43 [1.32, 1.55] 1.49 [1.36, 1.64] = 34% | | Sour Net, 2016 (a) 1.4506 (2020) 12.9% (a) 14.04.46.1 Source 1995 (c) 4.4506 (2020) 12.9% (a) 14.04.46.1 Hoterogeneity Tart 4.87. Ch ² |
| 1.7.3 Mixed Cestari DM, 2016 Jacobson DM, 1997 Jacobson DM, 1997 Kalenak JW, 1991 Lee MS, 2011 Li J, 2007 Mojon DS, 2002 Nathoo NA, 2015 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00 Test for overall effect: Z = 6 | 0.4588 0.0324 0.6419 0.374 0.1178 0.4374 0.3584 0.1139 0.1001 0.2699 -0.4055 0.846 0.3599 0.0407 chi ² = 10.59, df = 7 (P 3.61 (P < 0.0001) | 16.0% 2.4% 0.9% 1.8% 10.6% 4.0% 0.5% 15.6% 51.7% = 0.16); I ² | 1.58 [1.48, 1.69] 1.90 [0.92, 3.92] 5.00 [1.44, 17.34] 1.13 [0.48, 2.65] 1.43 [1.14, 1.79] 1.11 [0.65, 1.88] 0.67 [0.13, 3.50] 1.43 [1.32, 1.55] 1.49 [1.36, 1.64] = 34% | | Sou Net, 2016 (a) 1.4506 (2020) 12.97. 421 (40.4.43) Souker (197. c) 4.57 (b) 1.59 (c) 1.29 (c) 4.21 (b) 4.21 (b) 4.23 (c) 4.23 |
| 1.7.3 Mixed Cestari DM, 2016 Jacobson DM, 1997 Jacobson DM, 1997 Kalenak JW, 1991 Lee MS, 2011 Li J, 2007 Mojon DS, 2002 Nathoo NA, 2015 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00 Test for overall effect: Z = & Total (95% CI) | 0.4588 0.0324 0.6419 0.37 1.6094 0.6344 0.1178 0.4374 0.3584 0.1139 0.1001 0.2699 -0.4055 0.846 0.3599 0.0407 t; Chi ² = 10.59, df = 7 (P 8.61 (P < 0.00001) | 16.0% 2.4% 0.9% 1.8% 10.6% 4.0% 0.5% 15.6% 51.7% = 0.16); I ² | 1.58 [1.48, 1.69] 1.90 [0.92, 3.92] 5.00 [1.44, 17.34] 1.13 [0.48, 2.65] 1.43 [1.14, 1.79] 1.11 [0.65, 1.88] 0.67 [0.13, 3.50] 1.43 [1.32, 1.55] 1.49 [1.36, 1.64] = 34% | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Sou Net, 2016 (a) 1.4060 (2020) 12.9% 4.21 (40.4.43) Source 1995 (c) 4.457 (b) 14.96 (c) 12.9% 4.21 (40.4.43) Heterogeneity Tar ² 4.97 (c) ⁴ = 4.07 (c) ⁴ = 4.97 (c) ⁴ = 4.97 (c) ⁴ = 4.95 (c) ⁴ Tast for constituent et al. 2 - 4.97 (c) ⁴ = 4.97 (c) ⁴ = 4.97 (c) ⁴ Heterogeneity Tar ⁴ = 4.97 (c) ⁴ = 4.97 (c) ⁴ = 4.97 (c) ⁴ = 4.95 (c) ⁴ Heterogeneity Tar ⁴ = 4.97 (c) ⁴ = 4.97 (c) ⁴ = 4.97 (c) ⁴ = 4.95 (c) ⁴ Heterogeneity Tar ⁴ = 4.02 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ = 2.07 (c) ⁴ = 4.05 (c) ⁴ Heterogeneity Tar ⁴ = 4.02 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ = 2.07 (c) ⁴ = 4.05 (c) ⁴ Heterogeneity Tar ⁴ = 4.02 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ = 2.07 (c) ⁴ = 4.05 (c) ⁴ Heterogeneity Tar ⁴ = 4.02 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ = 2.07 (c) ⁴ = 0.0001 (c) ⁴ = 5.05 (c) ⁴ Heterogeneity Tar ⁴ = 0.00 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ = 2.07 (c) ⁴ = 0.0001 (c) ⁴ = 5.05 (c) ⁴ Heterogeneity Tar ⁴ = 0.00 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ = 2.07 (c) ⁴ = 0.0001 (c) ⁴ = 5.05 (c) ⁴ Buddetal (5.07 (c) 4.36 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ Heterogeneity Tar ⁴ = 0.00 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ Heterogeneity Tar ⁴ = 0.00 (c) ⁴ = 3.07 (c) ⁴ = |
| 1.7.3 Mixed Cestari DM, 2016 Jacobson DM, 1997 Jacobson DM, 1997 Kalenak JW, 1991 Lee MS, 2011 Li J, 2007 Mojon DS, 2002 Nathoo NA, 2015 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.00 Test for overall effect: Z = 6 Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02 | 0.4598 0.0324 0.6419 0.37 1.6094 0.6344 0.1178 0.4378 0.3584 0.1139 0.4005 0.846 0.3599 0.0407 r; Chi ² = 10.59, df = 7 (P 8.61 (P < 0.00001) r; Chi ² = 51.34, df = 23 (F | 16.0% 2.4% 0.9% 1.8% 10.6% 4.0% 51.7% = 0.16); I ² 100.0% | 1.58 [1.48, 1.69] 1.90 [0.92, 3.92] 5.00 [1.44, 17.34] 1.13 [0.48, 2.66] 1.43 [1.14, 1.79] 1.11 [0.65, 1.88] 0.67 [0.13, 3.50] 1.43 [1.32, 1.55] 1.49 [1.36, 1.64] = 34% 1.53 [1.36, 1.73] | | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |
| 1.7.3 Mixed Cestari DM, 2016 Jacobson DM, 1997 Jacobson DM, 1997 Kalenak JW, 1991 Lee MS, 2011 Li J, 2007 Mojon DS, 2002 Nathoo NA, 2015 Subtotal (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.02 Test for overall effect: z = 6 Total (95% CI) | 0.4588 0.0324 0.6419 0.371 1.6094 0.6344 0.1178 0.4378 0.3584 0.1139 0.1001 0.2699 -0.4055 0.846 0.3599 0.0407 chi ² = 10.59, df = 7 (P 3.61 (P < 0.0001) ; Chi ² = 51.34, df = 23 (F 3.64 (P < 0.0001) | 16.0% 2.4% 0.9% 1.8% 10.6% 0.5% 15.6% 51.7% = 0.16); I ² 100.0% | $\begin{array}{c} 1.58 \left[1.48, 1.69\right] \\ 1.90 \left[0.92, 3.92\right] \\ 5.00 \left[1.44, 17.34\right] \\ 1.13 \left[0.48, 2.65\right] \\ 1.43 \left[1.14, 1.79\right] \\ 1.41 \left[1.45, 1.89\right] \\ 1.43 \left[1.32, 1.55\right] \\ 1.49 \left[1.36, 1.64\right] \\ = 34\% \end{array}$ | | Sour BHT, 2016 (1) 14:000 (2020) 12:07 (1) 14:07 (10) 4.80 Source 19:07 (1) 4:07 (1) 15:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) 4:07 (10) |

FIGURE 4 | Meta-analysis of the association of NAION with diabetes mellitus (DM) (A), coronary heart disease (CHD) (B), and sleep apnea (C).

| | NAIO | N | Control | | Odds Ratio | | | Odds Ratio | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------|------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|----|--|
| Study or Subgroup | Events | Total | Events | Total | Weight | M-H, Random, 95% C | | M-H, Rand | lom, 95% Cl | | | |
| Johnson LN, 1996 | 3 | 43 | 3 | 30 | 18.7% | 0.68 [0.13, 3.60] | | | | | | |
| Li J, 2007 | 14 | 73 | 2 | 73 | 19.5% | 8.42 [1.84, 38.57] | | | | | - | |
| Nathoo NA, 2015 | 7 | 1109 | 30932 | 1237290 | 23.3% | 0.25 [0.12, 0.52] | | _ | | | | |
| Pinna A, 2008 | 10 | 150 | 9 | 280 | 22.5% | 2.15 [0.85, 5.42] | | - | | | | |
| Weger M, 2002 | 6 | 71 | 1 | 71 | 16.1% | 6.46 [0.76, 55.13] | | _ | | - | | |
| Total (95% CI) | | 1446 | | 1237744 | 100.0% | 1.63 [0.38, 7.00] | | | | - | | |
| Total events | 40 | | 30947 | | | | | | | | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | 2.23; Chi ² | = 27.3 | 9, df = 4 (| (P < 0.0001 |); ² = 85% | b | | | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: | Z = 0.66 (| P = 0.5 | 1) | | | | 0.02 | 0.1 Favours [cerebrovascular disease] | Favours [no cereb | orovascular disease] | 50 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IGURE 5 Meta-analy | /sis of th | e asso | ociation | of NAION | with cer | ebrovascular disease | s. | | | | | |

studies included on diabetes and three on sleep apnea. Apart from the verification of their conclusions with larger sample sizes, we also performed the subgroup analyses according to ethnicities as stated above. For DM, its influence on NAION was not related to ethnicity in our pooled results, similar to the conclusions of Chen et al. (14). Furthermore, our conclusions were more powerful with three extra cohort studies compared with the previous meta-analysis (14), in which the included studies were all case-controlled because the cohort studies had a higher level of evidence. Sleep apnea was not a significant risk factor of NAION in the Europeans after our subgroup analysis, although a published study found that the Europeans were more likely to have NAION (14). However, only three studies were included in this subgroup, and we achieved marginal data (P = 0.06). We expected more valid original studies on different ethnicities, in case, a high-quality meta-analysis could be conducted.

We found no apparent association between the occurrence of NAION and 1-month use of PDE5-Is, which was published by us in 2018 (8). Although PDE5-Is mainly cause vasodilation and systematic hypotension (32), their influences on the NAION pathogenesis remain controversial. Because of the relatively low incidence of NAION and difficulties in diagnosis, it was hard to include adequate samples in the published literature, and confounders were not adjusted in several case-control studies. More clinical studies are necessarily needed to provide strong evidence on this point.

A crowded optic disc was often observed in NAION because hypoperfusion or ischemia of the optic nerve head is apparent in a tight optic disc structure (26). An optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a useful tool to measure the CDR. Several studies showed the association between the CDR and NAION. For example, González Martín-Moro et al. reported a smaller CDR to be a risk factor and poor prognostic marker TABLE 2 | Meta-analysis results of the association of hypercoagulation, cardiovascular drugs, and ocular risk factors with non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy (NAION).

| Factors | No. of studies | Sam | ple size | | Risk | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------|---------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------|--|--|
| | | Cases (n) | Controls (n) | Pooled OR/R | R (95% CI) | Р | | |
| Hypercoagulation | | | | | | | | |
| Increased fibrinogen | 3 | 186 | 231 | 1.78 (1.26 | -2.52) | 0.001 | | |
| Hyperhomocysteinemia | 4 | 269 | 367 | 3.14 (1.99 | -4.93) | <0.00001 | | |
| Increased lipoprotein(a) | 3 | 229 | 286 | 1.36 (1.09 | -1.71) | 0.007 | | |
| Elevated VIII factor | 3 | 180 | 260 | 1.91 (0.79 | -4.63) | 0.15 | | |
| Cardiovascular drugs | | | | | | | | |
| Antithrombotics | 3 | 468 | 979 | 2.30 (1.86 | -2.84) | < 0.00001 | | |
| Statins | 3 | 1,556 | 1,238,230 | 1.32 (1.18 | -1.48) | < 0.00001 | | |
| β-blockers | 2 | 447 | 940 | 1.48 (1.05 | -2.08) | 0.02 | | |
| Ocular factors | | | | | | | | |
| Microaneurysm/retinal hemorrhage | 1 | 45 | 45 | 5.37 (1.09- | -26.49) | 0.04 | | |
| Small cup | 1 | 45 | 45 | 35.20 (4.45- | -278.25) | 0.007 | | |
| Small cup to disc ratio | 1 | 40 | 120 | NA | | <0.00001 | | |
| IOP | 1 | 46 | 90 | 1.27 (1.08 | -1.48) | 0.003 | | |
| AMD | 1 | 977 | 1,380,500 | 3.29 (2.85 | -3.80) | <0.00001 | | |
| RVO | 1 | 977 | 1,380,500 | 9.72 (7.95- | -11.89) | <0.00001 | | |
| Number of anti-VEGF injections | 1 | 180 | 77,030 | <10 vs. 10–15 times | 1.91 (1.32–2.76) | 0.0006 | | |
| | | | | <10 vs. >15 times | 2.20 (1.42-3.43) | 0.0004 | | |
| Post-cataract surgery | 1 | 1,097 | 1,097 399,877 | | 1.80 (1.46–2.21) | | | |
| Glaucoma | 3 | 1,095 | 1,380,618 | 0.74 (0.11 | -5.00) | 0.76 | | |

IOP, intraocular pressure; AMD, age-related macular degeneration; RVO, retinal vein occlusion; OR, odds ratio; RR, rate ratio; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.



of NAION based on the measurements by OCT (60). OCT angiography can detect the perfusion and vessel density of optic disc in patients with NAION, further providing more information of blood supply around optic nerve head. Fard et al. and Gandhi et al. found that the patients with NAION lost some vascular networks and perfusion in the optic disc areas (62, 63). However, most of the studies compared the CDR between NAION and controls, but did not explain the definition of "small optic disc." Pooled *ORs* or *RRs* of small CDR on NAION could not be extracted from these studies, so they did not meet our inclusion criteria. A meta-analysis studying this topic can be conducted in our future

work, specifically collecting the continuous variables from these original articles.

Some other factors were researched in <3 studies. Johnson and colleagues (55) researched the impact of recurrent herpes labialis on NAION and reached a significant relationship. Weger et al. observed an elevated IgG titer to Chlamydia pneumonia in NAION cases compared with normal controls (50). Both pathogens disturb the function of endothelial cells, activate the secretion of inflammatory cytokines, and thus induce or promote atherosclerosis. In the cohort study by Chang et al., endstage renal disease was proved to increase the risk of NAION (31), probably because these patients had received repeated

| Factors | No. of studies | Samp | le size (n) | Risk | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------|--|
| | | Case | Control | Pooled OR/RR (95% CI) | Р | |
| VNTR B allele | 1 | 92 | 145 | 4.25 (1.67–10.82) | 0.002 | |
| P-selectin | 1 | NA | | 4.12 (1.22–13.91) | 0.02 | |
| Flow-mediated dilation | 1 | | NA | 1.79 (1.67–2.01) | <0.00001 | |
| Anemia | 1 | 977 | 1,380,500 | 2.13 (1.83–2.47) | < 0.00001 | |
| Depression | 1 | 977 | 1,380,500 | 0.87 (0.72-1.06) | 0.17 | |
| Peripheral vascular occlusive disease | 2 | 1,022 | 1,380,545 | 1.99 (1.52–2.59) | <0.0000 | |
| Recurrent herpes labialis | 1 | 43 | 30 | 3.11 (1.18–8.19) | 0.02 | |
| Mean platelet volume | 1 | 46 | 90 | 1.61 (1.13–2.28) | 0.008 | |
| IgG titer to Chlamydia pneumoniae | 1 | 71 | 61 | 3.48 (1.26–9.61) | 0.02 | |
| COPD | 3 | 73 | 73 | 0.80 (0.34-1.85) | 0.6 | |
| Hypothyroidism | 2 | 78 | 115 | 2.68 (0.61–11.72) | 0.19 | |
| End-stage renal disease | 1 | 184 | 187,424 | 2.60 (1.88–3.59) | < 0.00001 | |
| Ischemic kidney injury | 1 | 22,498 31,475 | | 1.53 (0.67–3.46) | 0.31 | |
| Carotid stenosis | 2 | 63 | 126 | 39.70 (0.02, 99269.34) | 0.36 | |

VNTR, a variable number of tandem repeats; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; OR, odds ratio; RR, rate ratio; CI, confidence interval; NA, not available.

hemodialysis, which resulted in systematic hypotension. Zhu et al. (23) and Fry et al. (57) achieved different results on the relationship between carotid stenosis and NAION. Although the carotid arterial abnormities can possibly cause ocular arterial disturbance by potential embolism and decreased perfusion (64), no consistent conclusions were reached due to the lack of well-planned studies with large sample sizes. All the above research were carried out in a small number of studies, so the studies with larger samples size are necessary to confirm these findings.

Although our meta-analysis was conducted comprehensively and included multiple potential risk factors of NAION, it still has several limitations. First, all the included original articles were case-control or retrospective cohort studies. No RCTs or prospective cohort studies have been published yet. Recalled data might be incomplete and inaccurate, bringing biases to these studies. Second, most NAION possible factors have similar mechanisms and act as confounders. Independence of these factors may probably be examined by RCTs; however, no RCTs can be conducted on studying the risk factors. Third, the time span of the included studies lasts from 1991 to 2019, during which the changes in lifestyles and medical techniques influence the type of risk factors. Finally, some risk factors were examined in <3 studies and it was hard to confirm their association with NAION. The above limitations reduced the quality of our meta-analysis partly and restricted our results to be applied.

REFERENCES

 Miller NR, Arnold AC. Current concepts in the diagnosis, pathogenesis and management of nonarteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy. *Eye.* (2015) 29:65–79. doi: 10.1038/eye.2014.144 Consequently, our study concluded that the following risk factors were associated with NAION: male gender, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, DM, CHD, sleep apnea, medication history of cardiovascular drugs, and factor V Leiden heterozygous. Better understanding of these risk factors in NAION can direct future research and therapeutic approaches.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author/s.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BL, TD, and DX: conceptualization and project administration. BL and YY: literature search and screening, formal analysis, data curation, and writing—original draft preparation. BL and TD: methodology and software. BL, YY, and TD: validation. WL, TD, and DX: writing—review and editing. TD and DX: supervision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was supported by a grant from the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (No. 2020A1515110113) and the Science and Technology Planning Project of Guangzhou, China (No. 202102020113).

- Berry S, Lin WV, Sadaka A, Lee AG. Nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy: cause, effect, and management. *Eye Brain*. (2017) 27:23– 28. doi: 10.2147/EB.S125311
- 3. Barros AE, Amram AL, Derham AM, Smith SV, Lee AG. Management of ischemic optic neuropathies. *Expert Rev*

Ophthalmol. (2017) 12:99–109. doi: 10.1080/17469899.2017.129 1341

- Fraser JA, Rueløkke LL, Malmqvist L, Hamann S. Prevalence of optic disc drusen in young patients with nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy: a 10-year retrospective study. *J Neuroophthalmol.* (2021) 41:200– 5. doi: 10.1097/WNO.00000000000974
- Hayreh SS, Zimmerman MB. Non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy: role of systemic corticosteroid therapy. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* (2008) 246:1029–46. doi: 10.1007/s00417-008-0805-8
- Kaderli B, Avci R, Yucel A, Guler K, Gelisken O. Intravitreal triamcinolone improves recovery of visual acuity in nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. J Neuroophthalmol. (2007) 27:164–8. doi: 10.1097/WNO.0b013e31814a5a9a
- Wu Y, Zhou LM, Lou H, Cheng JW, Wei RL. The association between obstructive sleep apnea and nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Curr Eye Res.* (2016) 41:987– 92. doi: 10.3109/02713683.2015.1075221
- Liu B, Zhu L, Zhong J, Zeng G, Deng T. The association between phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor use and risk of non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sex Med.* (2018) 6:185–92. doi: 10.1016/j.esxm.2018.03.001
- Cestari DM, Gaier ED, Bouzika P, Blachley TS, De Lott LB, Rizzo JF, et al. Demographic, systemic, and ocular factors associated with nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. *Ophthalmology*. (2016) 123:2446– 55. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2016.08.017
- Li J, McGwin G Jr, Vaphiades MS, Owsley C. Non-arteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy and presumed sleep apnoea syndrome screened by the Sleep Apnea scale of the Sleep Disorders Questionnaire (SA-SDQ). Br J Ophthalmol. (2007) 91:1524–7. doi: 10.1136/bjo.2006. 113803
- Deramo VA, Sergott RC, Augsburger JJ, Foroozan R, Savino PJ, Leone A. Ischemic optic neuropathy as the first manifestation of elevated cholesterol levels in young patients. *Ophthalmology*. (2003) 110:1041– 6. doi: 10.1016/S0161-6420(03)00079-4
- Moghimi S, Afzali M, Akbari M, Ebrahimi KB, Khodabande A, Yazdani-Abyaneh AR, et al. Crowded optic nerve head evaluation with optical coherence tomography in anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. *Eye.* (2017) 31:1191–8. doi: 10.1038/eye.2017.56
- Sakai T, Shikishima K, Matsushima M, Tsuneoka H. Genetic polymorphisms associated with endothelial function in nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. *Mol Vis.* (2013) 19:213–9.
- Chen T, Song D, Shan G, Wang K, Wang Y, Ma J, et al. The association between DM and nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE.* (2013) 8:e76653. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0 076653
- Stang A. Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. *Eur J Epidemiol.* (2010) 25:603–5. doi: 10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z
- Higgins J, Green S (editors). Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. In: *The Cochrane Collaboration*,. A John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Publication (2011). Available online at: https://handbook-5--1.cochrane.org/ (accessed July 21, 2018).
- 17. Chen YY, Chou P, Huang YF, Chien HJ, Wu YC, Lee CC, et al. Repeated intravitreal injections of antivascular endothelial growth factor in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration may increase the risk of ischemic optic neuropathy. *BMC Ophthalmol.* (2019) 19:268. doi: 10.1186/s12886-019-1284-x
- Yang HK, Park SJ, Byun SJ, Park KH, Hwang JM. Risk of nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy after cataract surgery. *Am J Ophthalmol.* (2019) 207:343–50. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2019.08.001
- Nagy V, Kolozsvari B, Balogh Z, Csutak A, Kasza M, Nagy B Jr, et al. Increased level of platelet P-selectin in nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* (2013) 251:917– 22. doi: 10.1007/s00417-012-2196-0
- Lee JY, Park KA, Oh SY. Risk of ischemic kidney injury in patients with nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy: a nationwide population-based study. Am J Ophthalmol. (2018) 187:153-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2017.12.025

- Sun MH, Lee CY, Liao YJ, Sun CC. Nonarteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy and its association with obstructive sleep apnoea a health insurance database study. *Acta Ophthalmol.* (2019) 97:e64– 70. doi: 10.1111/aos.13832
- Chen T, Ma J, Shan G, Zhong Y. The polymorphisms of ATOH 7, ET-1 and ACE in non-arteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. *Exp Eye Res.* (2018) 174:147–51. doi: 10.1016/j.exer.2018.05.021
- 23. Zhu WH, Chen TT, Jin L, Wang HY, Yao FJ, Wang CY, et al. Carotid artery intimal medial thickness and carotid artery plaques in hypertensive patients with non-arteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* (2017) 255:2037–43. doi: 10.1007/s00417-017-3744-4
- Kuhli-Hattenbach C, Hellstern P, Kohnen T, Hattenbach LO. Platelet activation by ADP is increased in selected patients with anterior ischemic optic neuropathy or retinal vein occlusion. *Platelets*. (2017) 28:720– 3. doi: 10.1080/09537104.2016.1276548
- Flahavan EM, Li H, Gupte-Singh K, Rizk RT, Ruff DD, Francis JL, et al. Prospective case-crossover study investigating the possible association between nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy and phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitor exposure. Urology. (2017) 105:76–84. doi: 10.1016/j.urology.2017.02.044
- Kim DH, Shin GR, Choi YJ. Risk factors for non-arteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy in a Korean population. *Neuroophthalmology*. (2017) 41:68– 75. doi: 10.1080/01658107.2016.1267771
- Zotz RB, Finger C, Scharf RE, Unsöld R. Associations between thrombophilic risk factors and determinants of atherosclerosis and inflammation in patients with non-arteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy. *Hamostaseologie*. (2016) 36:46–54. doi: 10.5482/HAMO-15-10-0028
- Yao F, Wan P, Su Y, Liao R, Zhu W. Impaired systemic vascular endothelial function in patients with non-arteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* (2016) 254:977– 81. doi: 10.1007/s00417-015-3212-y
- Sahin M, Sahin A, Elbey B, Yüksel H, Türkcü FM, Cingü AK. Mean platelet volume in patients with nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. J Ophthalmol. (2016) 2016:1051572. doi: 10.1155/2016/1051572
- Lee YC, Wang JH, Huang TL, Tsai RK. Increased risk of stroke in patients with nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy: a nationwide retrospective cohort study. *Am J Ophthalmol.* (2016) 170:183– 9. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2016.08.006
- Chang YS, Weng SF, Chang C, Wang JJ, Su SB, Huang CC, et al. Risk of nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy following end-stage renal disease. *Medicine*. (2016) 95:e3174. doi: 10.1097/MD.000000000003174
- Nathoo NA, Etminan M, Mikelberg FS. Association between phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors and nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. J Neuroophthalmol. (2015) 35:12– 5. doi: 10.1097/WNO.0000000000186
- 33. Campbell UB, Walker AM, Gaffney M, Petronis KR, Creanga D, Quinn S, et al. Acute nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy and exposure to phosphodiesterase type 5 inhibitors. J Sex Med. (2015) 12:139–51. doi: 10.1111/jsm.12726
- Bilgin G, Koban Y, Arnold AC. Nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy and obstructive sleep apnea. J Neuroophthalmol. (2013) 33:232– 4. doi: 10.1097/WNO.0b013e31828eecbd
- Arda H, Birer S, Aksu M, Ismailogullari S, Karakucuk S, Mirza E, et al. Obstructive sleep apnoea prevalence in non-arteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy. *Br J Opthalmol.* (2013) 97:206–9. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2012-302598
- Stein JD, Kim DS, Mundy KM, Talwar N, Nan B, Chervin RD, et al. The association between glaucomatous and other causes of optic neuropathy and sleep apnea. *Am J Ophthalmol.* (2011) 152:989–98. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2011.04.030
- Markoula S, Giannopoulos S, Asproudis I, Kostoulas C, Nikas A, Bagli E, et al. Renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system genes and nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. *Mol Vis.* (2011) 17:1254–60.
- Lee MS, Grossman D, Arnold AC, Sloan FA. Incidence of nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy: increased risk among diabetic patients. *Ophthalmology*. (2011) 118:959–63. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2011.01.054
- Felekis T, Kolaitis NI, Kitsos G, Vartholomatos G, Bourantas KL, Asproudis I. Thrombophilic risk factors in the pathogenesis of non-arteritic anterior

ischemic optic neuropathy patients. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* (2010) 248:877–84. doi: 10.1007/s00417-010-1308-y

- Kuhli-Hattenbach C, Scharrer I, Lüchtenberg M, Hattenbach LO. Selective thrombophilia screening of patients with nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* (2009) 247:485– 90. doi: 10.1007/s00417-008-0987-0
- 41. Giambene B, Sodi A, Sofi F, Marcucci R, Fedi S, Abbate R, et al. Evaluation of traditional and emerging cardiovascular risk factors in patients with nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy: a case-control study. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* (2009) 247:693–7. doi: 10.1007/s00417-008-0981-6
- 42. Kesler A, Irge D, Rogowski O, Bornstein N, Berliner S, Shapira I, et al. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein measurements in patients with non-arteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy: a clue to the presence of a microinflammatory response. *Acta Opthalmol.* (2009) 87:216–21. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-3768.2008.01199.x
- Pinna A, Solinas G, Masia C, Zinellu A, Carru C, Carta A. Glucose-6phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PD) deficiency in nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy in a Sardinian Population, Italy. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.* (2008) 49:1328–32. doi: 10.1167/iovs.07-1115
- 44. French DD, Margo CE. Post-marketing surveillance of ischaemic optic neuropathy in male veterans co-prescribed phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors with organic nitrates or alpha-blockers. *Drug Saf.* (2008) 31:241–7. doi: 10.2165/00002018-200831030-00005
- Margo CE, French DD. Ischemic optic neuropathy in male veterans prescribed phosphodiesterase-5 inhibitors. *Am J Ophthalmol.* (2007) 143:538– 9. doi: 10.1016/j.ajo.2006.10.006
- Palombi K, Renard E, Levy P, Chiquet C, Deschaux Ch, Romanet JP, et al. Non-arteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy is nearly systematically associated with obstructive sleep apnoea. Br J Ophthalmol. (2006) 90:879– 82. doi: 10.1136/bjo.2005.087452
- Nagy V, Steiber Z, Takacs L, Vereb G, Berta A, Bereczky Z, et al. Trombophilic screening for nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.* (2006) 244:3–8. doi: 10.1007/s00417-005-1154-5
- Salomon O, Rosenberg N, Steinberg DM, Huna-Baron R, Moisseiev J, Dardik R, et al. Nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy is associated with a specific platelet polymorphism located on the glycoprotein Ibα gene. *Ophthalmology*. (2004) 111:184–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ophtha.2003.05.006
- Glueck CJ, Wang P, Bell H, Rangaraj V, Goldenberg N. Nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy: associations with homozygosity for the C677T methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase mutation. J Lab Clin Med. (2004) 143:184–92. doi: 10.1016/j.lab.2003.10.015
- Weger M, Haas A, Stanger O, El-Shabrawi Y, Temmel W, Maier R, et al. Chlamydia pneumoniae seropositivity and the risk of nonarteritic ischemic optic neuropathy. *Ophthalmology*. (2002) 109:749–52. doi: 10.1016/S0161-6420(01)01031-4
- Mojon DS, Hedges TR III, Ehrenberg B, Karam EZ, Goldblum D, Abou-Chebl A, et al. Association between sleep apnea syndrome and nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. *Arch Ophthalmol.* (2002) 120:601– 5. doi: 10.1001/archopht.120.5.601
- 52. Salomon O, Dardik R, Steinberg DM, Kurtz S, Rosenberg N, Moisseiev J, et al. The role of angiotensin converting enzyme and angiotensin II type 1 receptor gene polymorphisms in patients with nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. *Ophthalmology*. (2000) 107:1717–20. doi: 10.1016/S0161-6420(00)00220-7
- Pianka P, Almog Y, Man O, Goldstein M, Sela BA, Loewenstein A. Hyperhomocystinemia in patients with nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy, central retinal artery occlusion, and central retinal vein occlusion. *Ophthalmology*. (2000) 107:1588–92. doi: 10.1016/S0161-6420(00)00181-0
- 54. Jacobson DM, Vierkant RA, Belongia EA. Nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy a case-control study of potential risk factors. Arch

Ophthalmol. (1997) 115:1403-7. doi: 10.1001/archopht.1997.0110016057 3008

- Johnson LN, Krohel GB, Allen SD, Mozayeni R. Recurrent herpes labialis as a potential risk factor for nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. J Natl Med Assoc. (1996) 88:369–73.
- Talks SJ, Chong NHV, Gibson M, Dodson PM. Fibrinogen, cholesterol and smoking as risk factors for non-arteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy. *Eye.* (1995) 9:85–8. doi: 10.1038/eye.1995.13
- Fry CL, Carter JE, Kanter MC, Tegeler CH, Tuley MR. Anterior ischemic optic neuropathy is not associated with carotid artery atherosclerosis. *Stroke.* (1993) 24:539–42. doi: 10.1161/01.STR.24. 4.539
- Kalenak JW, Kosmorsky GS, Rockwood EJ. Nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy and intraocular pressure. *Arch Ophthalmol.* (1991) 109:660– 1. doi: 10.1001/archopht.1991.01080050074032
- Salomon O, Huna-Baron R, Kurtz S, Steinberg DM, Moisseiev J, Rosenberg N, et al. Analysis of prothrombotic and vascular risk factors in patients with nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy. *Ophthalmology*. (1999) 106:739–42. doi: 10.1016/S0161-6420(99)90159-8
- González Martín-Moro J, Contreras I, Gutierrez-Ortiz C, Gómez-Sanz F, Castro-Rebollo M, Fernández-Hortelano A, et al. Disc Configuration as a risk and prognostic factor in NAION: the impact of cup to disc ratio, disc diameter, and crowding index. *Semin Ophthalmol.* (2019) 34:177– 81. doi: 10.1080/08820538.2019.1620792
- Yang HK, Park SJ, Byun SJ, Park KH, Kim JW, Hwang JM. Obstructive sleep apnoea and increased risk of nonarteritic anterior ischaemic optic neuropathy. *Br J Ophthalmol.* (2019) 103:1123–8. doi: 10.1136/bjophthalmol-2018-312910
- Fard MA, Yadegari S, Ghahvechian H, Moghimi S, Soltani-Moghaddam, Subramanian PS. Optical coherence tomography angiography of a pale optic disc in demyelinating optic neuritis and ischemic optic neuropathy. *J Neuroophthalmol.* (2019) 39:339–44. doi: 10.1097/WNO.000000000000 0775
- 63. Gandhi U, Chhablani J, Badakere A, Kekunnaya R, Rasheed MA, Goud A, et al. Optical coherence tomography angiography in acute unilateral nonarteritic anterior ischemic optic neuropathy: a comparison with the fellow eye and with eyes with papilledema. *Indian J Opthalmol.* (2018) 66:1144–8. doi: 10.4103/ijo.IJO_17 9_18
- Hayreh SS, Zimmerman MB. Ocular arterial occlusive disorders and carotid artery disease. Ophthalmol Retina. (2017) 1:12–8. doi: 10.1016/j.oret.2016.0 8.003

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Liu, Yu, Liu, Deng and Xiang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.