

Bacterial selection during the formation of early-stage aerobic granules in wastewater treatment systems operated under wash-out dynamics

David G. Weissbrodt¹, Samuel Lochmatter¹, Sirous Ebrahimi^{1†}, Pierre Rossi², Julien Maillard¹ and Christof Holliger¹*

¹ Laboratory for Environmental Biotechnology, School for Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

² Central Environmental Molecular Biology Laboratory, School for Architecture, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland

Edited by:

Weiwen Zhang, Tianjin University, China

Reviewed by:

Uwe Strotmann, Fachhochschule Gelsenkirchen, Germany Johannes Scholten, Harleysville, USA

*Correspondence:

Christof Holliger, EPFL ENAC IIE LBE, Station 6, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland.

e-mail: christof.holliger@epfl.ch *Present address:

Sirous Ebrahimi, Sahand University of Technology, Chemical Engineering Faculty, Tabriz, Iran.

Aerobic granular sludge is attractive for high-rate biological wastewater treatment. Biomass wash-out conditions stimulate the formation of aerobic granules. Deteriorated performances in biomass settling and nutrient removal during start-up have however often been reported. The effect of wash-out dynamics was investigated on bacterial selection, biomass settling behavior, and metabolic activities during the formation of early-stage granules from activated sludge of two wastewater treatment plants (WWTP) over start-up periods of maximum 60 days. Five bubble-column sequencing batch reactors were operated with feast-famine regimes consisting of rapid pulse or slow anaerobic feeding followed by aerobic starvation. Slow-settling fluffy granules were formed when an insufficient superficial air velocity (SAV; $1.8 \,\mathrm{cm}\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$) was applied, when the inoculation sludge was taken from a WWTP removing organic matter only, or when reactors were operated at 30°C. Fast-settling dense granules were obtained with 4.0 cm s⁻¹ SAV, or when the inoculation sludge was taken from a WWTP removing all nutrients biologically. However, only carbon was aerobically removed during start-up. Fluffy granules and dense granules were displaying distinct predominant phylotypes, namely filamentous Burkholderiales affiliates and Zoogloea relatives, respectively. The latter were predominant in dense granules independently from the feeding regime. A combination of insufficient solid retention time and of leakage of acetate into the aeration phase during intensive biomass wash-out was the cause for the proliferation of Zoogloea spp. in dense granules, and for the deterioration of BNR performances. It is however not certain that Zoogloea-like organisms are essential in granule formation. Optimal operation conditions should be elucidated for maintaining a balance between organisms with granulation propensity and nutrient removing organisms in order to form granules with BNR activities in short start-up periods.

Keywords: biological wastewater treatment, aerobic granular sludge, granule formation, wash-out dynamics, bacterial selection, nutrient removal limitations

INTRODUCTION

Aerobic granular sludge (AGS) wastewater treatment processes are attractive for intensive and high-rate biological nutrient removal (BNR) and secondary clarification in single sequencing batch reactors (SBR; de Bruin et al., 2004; Giesen et al., 2012). Stable dense and fast-settling aerobic granules with tailored metabolic activities for the removal of carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus are desired for the operation of robust AGS wastewater treatment plants (WWTP). For instance, the overgrowth of filamentous organisms must be avoided in order to prevent process disturbances by the deterioration of the settling properties of aerobic granules (van Loosdrecht et al., 2008).

The formation of aerobic granules has been stimulated by reactor start-up conditions leading to the wash-out of flocculent biomass and selecting for a fast-settling biomass, namely with the combination of short settling times of 3–5 min and short hydraulic retention times (HRT) of 6 h (Beun et al., 1999). Granulation can be impacted by additional operation parameters such as the influent feeding regime, the hydrodynamic shear force, and the concentration of dissolved oxygen (DO). For a review, refer to Lee et al. (2010). Granules have been successfully cultivated with feast-famine regimes involving pulse feeding (3–5 min) followed by prolonged aeration (3–4 h; Morgenroth et al., 1997; Beun et al., 1999; Tay et al., 2002), or anaerobic feeding (1 h) followed by aerobic starvation (2 h; de Kreuk et al., 2005). Granulation has only been observed with up-flow superficial air velocities (SAV) above 0.010 m s⁻¹, typically between 0.025 and 0.045 m s⁻¹. High upflow aeration induce high shear and compaction forces at the surface of granules (Zima et al., 2007), and stimulate the production of exopolymeric substances (EPS) as well as hydrophobic adhesive interactions (Liu and Tay, 2002; Dulekgurgen et al., 2008).

Several studies have however reported on the deterioration of the settling properties of aerobic granules by overgrowth of filamentous microbial structures, called filamentous bulking. For a review, refer to Liu and Liu (2006). This phenomenon has been observed with volumetric organic loading rates (OLR) above 6 kg_{CODs} d⁻¹ m⁻³ (Shin et al., 1992; Moy et al., 2002), with highenergy carbon sources such as carbohydrates (Morgenroth et al., 1997; Weber et al., 2007), and at higher mesophilic temperatures of 30-35°C (Weber et al., 2007; Ebrahimi et al., 2010). Filamentous overgrowth has been limited with higher up-flow mixing or aeration velocities, and with the use of acetate as carbon source (Liu and Liu, 2006). Despite the reduction of filamentous bulking with this substrate, residual filamentous structures have still been observed, and have been presumed to act as backbones for the immobilization of microbial colonies (Martins et al., 2004). In studies investigating granulation in up-flow anaerobic sludge blanket reactors, it has been observed that the same Methanosaeta-affiliating phylotype was constantly dominating the bacterial community during the evolution of fluffy granules to compact granules under the progressive increase in shear forces in the reactor (Grotenhuis et al., 1992; Hulshoff Pol et al., 2004). In the case of aerobic granules, analysis of bacterial compositions of fluffy and dense granules is required to assess whether different granule structures exhibit the same dominant phylotypes or not.

From the nutrient removal point of view, AGS studies initially concentrated on the formation of aerobic granules, and on the removal of organic matter (Morgenroth et al., 1997; Beun et al., 1999; Tay et al., 2002). Emphasis has then been put on achieving nitrification (Tsuneda et al., 2003), denitrification (Beun et al., 2001; Mosquera-Corral et al., 2005), dephosphatation (Lin et al., 2003), and combined BNR (de Kreuk et al., 2005; Lemaire et al., 2008; Yilmaz et al., 2008) in AGS systems. However, it has been shown that 75-100 days have been required to obtain efficient nutrient removal activities in aerobic granules after reactor start-up with flocculent activated sludge (de Kreuk et al., 2005; Xavier et al., 2007; Ebrahimi et al., 2010; Gonzalez-Gil and Holliger, 2011). In these studies, only carbon has been removed during the start-up period. Some authors have achieved enhanced granulation with faster improvements in nutrient removal performances by seeding reactors with crushed pre-cultivated granules (Pijuan et al., 2011; Verawaty et al., 2012). However, the reason why phosphorus and nitrogen removal activities have been inhibited during the first 3 months of reactor start-up with flocculent inoculation sludge and wash-out conditions has not yet been further investigated. Different microbial ecology studies have mainly been conducted on mature granules (Adav et al., 2010; Gonzalez-Gil and Holliger, 2011), but only little information is available on the microbial composition of early-stage AGS.

The present study aimed to investigate the bacterial community dynamics during the formation of early-stage aerobic granules (0-60 days) in bubble-column SBRs operated under conditions selecting for a fast-settling biomass. The main objective was to assess the effect of wash-out dynamics and operation conditions on the underlying bacterial selection, the shape of aerobic granules, the biomass settling properties, and the nutrient removal performances. We first focused on the differences in predominant bacterial populations between compact and fluffy granules, and on a way to avoid filamentous bulking in AGS systems. We then carried out a detailed monitoring of the start-up of one reactor to detect correlations between operation conditions, bacterial community dynamics and nutrient removal performances. The knowledge gained at the microbial ecology level enabled to determine why nutrient removal deteriorated during the start-up of the granulation process in bubble-column SBRs operated under wash-out conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

REACTOR INFRASTRUCTURE AND SEQUENCING BATCH OPERATION

The design and the operation of the bubble-column SBRs were adapted from de Kreuk et al. (2005). The bubble-columns consisted of internal diameters of 52-62 mm, height-to-diameter ratios of 20-25, and working volumes of 2.1-3.1 L. The SBRs were operated in fixed cycles of 3 h comprising feeding of the influent wastewater through the settled sludge bed in pulse (6 min) or anaerobic regime (60 min), aeration (110 min), biomass settling (5 min or stepwise decrease from 15 to 3 min), and withdrawal of the treated effluent (remaining cycle time). The SBRs were inoculated with $2-3 g_{VSS} L^{-1}$ of flocculent activated sludge originating from full-scale WWTPs. Biomass wash-out conditions were imposed with a short HRT of 6 h in order to stimulate granulation, according to Beun et al. (1999). A volume exchange ratio of 50% was applied to this end. The SBRs were operated under biomass dynamic conditions at undefined sludge retention time (SRT). The SRT was a function of the imposed settling time, of the height of the effluent withdrawal point, and of the intrinsic settling properties of the cultivated biomass. The composition of the synthetic cultivation media was similar to the one used by Ebrahimi et al. (2010), and is available in Table A1 in Appendix. Acetate was supplied as sole carbon and energy source at a constant concentration between 400 and 500 mg_{CODs} L⁻¹ in the influent wastewater. This resulted in a constant volumetric OLR of 200–250 mg_{CODs} cycle⁻¹ L_R^{-1} (or 1.6–2.0 kg_{CODs} d⁻¹ m_R⁻³ daily equivalents), and in an initial biomass specific OLR of 50–60 mg_{CODs} cycle⁻¹ g_{CODx}^{-1} (or 0.4–0.6 kg_{CODs} d⁻¹ kg_{CODx}⁻¹ daily equivalents). The biomass specific OLR was a dynamic function of the residual biomass concentration evolving in the reactor. The phosphorous and nitrogenous nutrient ratios amounted to 4.8 gp-pO4 and 12.5 gN-NH4 per 100 gCODs, respectively. During aeration, air was supplied at the target flow-rate with mass flow controllers (Brooks Instrument, Netherlands), DO was not controlled and reached saturation (8-9 mg_{O2} L⁻¹), and pH was regulated at 7.0 ± 0.2 by addition of 1 M HCl or NaOH with a proportional-integral controller.

GRANULATION EXPERIMENTS

In the first part, the granulation process was studied in five reactors (R1–R5) where the bacterial community compositions of early-stage AGS were analyzed in relation with the different combinations of operation parameters, as summarized in **Table 1**.

The first two reactors R1 and R2 were inoculated with a flocculent activated sludge originating from a WWTP designed for

Table 1 | Operation parameters applied during the granulation start-up experiments.

Reactor ¹	Inoculation sludge ²	Temperature (°C)	Feeding regime ⁴	Up-flow SAV (cm s ⁻¹)	Settling time ⁶ (min)
R1	OMR	23 ± 2^3	Pulse	1.8/4.0 ⁵	5
R2	OMR	23 ± 2^3	Anaerobic	1.8/4.0 ⁵	5
R3	BNR	20	Anaerobic	1.8	15 to 3
R4	BNR	20	Anaerobic	2.0	15 to 3
R5	BNR	30	Anaerobic	1.8	15 to 3
R6	BNR	23 ± 2^3	Anaerobic	2.5	15 to 3

¹*R*1–*R*5 were run in the first part of the study investigating differences in bacterial community compositions of early-stage aerobic granules. *R*6 was operated for detailed analysis of process conditions governing bacterial selection during granulation.

²The reactors were inoculated with flocculent activated sludge originating either from a WWTP designed for organic matter removal (OMR) only, or from a WWTP operated for full biological nutrient removal (BNR).

³R1, R2, and R6 were operated at ambient temperature without temperature control.

⁴The synthetic influent wastewater was supplied either in 6 min with a pulsefeeding regime, or in 60 min with an anaerobic-feeding plug-flow regime.

⁵R1 and R2 were operated first with a low up-flow superficial air velocity (SAV) of 1.8 cm s⁻¹. This parameter was doubled after 4 weeks for remediating filamentous overgrowth.

 $^{\circ}$ Two different biomass settling patterns were tested with a constant settling time of 5 min, or with stepwise decrease in the settling time from 15 to 3 min.

organic matter removal (OMR) only (ERM Morges, Switzerland). R1 and R2 were operated during at most 50 days at ambient temperature $(23 \pm 2^{\circ}C)$, with pulse (6 min) or anaerobic plugflow (60 min) feeding regimes, with an initially low up-flow SAV of 1.8 cm s⁻¹ during aeration, and with a constant settling time of 5 min. After having observed proliferation of fluffy granules (30 days), the up-flow SAV was increased to 4.0 cm s⁻¹ in order to obtain dense granules.

The reactors R3, R4, and R5 were inoculated with a flocculent activated sludge originating for a WWTP designed for full BNR along an anaerobic-anoxic-aerobic process (ARA Thunersee, Switzerland). These reactors were operated at either low (20°C, R3 and R4) or high (30°C, R5) mesophilic temperature, with anaerobic plug-flow feeding (60 min), with a low up-flow SAV of 1.8–2.0 cm s⁻¹ during aeration, and with a stepwise decrease in the settling time from 15 to 3 min in 10–15 days. The operation of R4 and R5 has previously been described in detail by Ebrahimi et al. (2010), and lasted over 40 days. R3 was run on a shorter period of 15 days, but microbial ecology data were collected at higher frequency during the transition from flocculent to granular sludge.

In the second part, reactor R6 was operated with a combination of conditions selecting for the formation of dense fast-settling granules and a detailed monitoring of operation conditions, bacterial community compositions, and nutrient removal performances was carried out. R6 was inoculated with flocculent activated sludge taken from the BNR-WWTP, and was operated during 60 days at $23 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C and pH 7.0 ± 0.2, with anaerobic plug-flow feeding (60 min), a moderate up-flow SAV of 2.5 cm s⁻¹, and a stepwise decrease in the settling time from 15 to 3 min. Temperature, pH, DO, and electrical conductivity signals were collected on-line. Concentrations of biomass present in the reactor and in the treated effluent, and microbial ecology data were collected on a daily basis. Liquid phase samples were taken every 3–5 days for physicochemical analyses of soluble compounds in the influent wastewater, in the reactor at the end of the anaerobic phase, and in the treated effluent.

CHARACTERIZATION OF METABOLIC ACTIVITIES OF THE INOCULATION SLUDGE TAKEN FROM THE BNR-WWTP

The nutrient removal capacities of the inoculation sludge taken from the BNR-WWTP were tested in anaerobic, aerobic, and anoxic batch tests, and compared to the operation data of the BNR-WWTP. The tests were run at 20°C in 2-L stirred tank reactors with a biomass concentration of 3-4 g_{VSS} L⁻¹ and with similar starting nutrient concentrations as in R6.

ANALYSES OF SOLUBLE COMPOUNDS AND BIOMASS

Acetate concentration was determined with a high performance liquid chromatograph equipped with an organic acids ion exclusion column ORH-801 (Transgenomics, UK) and a refraction index detector (HPLC Jasco Co-2060 Plus, Omnilab, Germany). The concentration of anions was measured with an ICS-90 ion exchange chromatograph equipped with an IonPacAS14A column and an electrical conductivity detector (Dionex, Switzerland). The concentration of cations was measured with an ICS-3000A ion exchange chromatograph equipped with an IonPacCS16 column and an electrical conductivity detector (Dionex, Switzerland).

The particulate concentrations of total (TSS), volatile (VSS), and inorganic suspended solids (ISS) were measured according to de Kreuk et al. (2005). Granules were observed by light microscopy.

MOLECULAR ANALYSES OF BACTERIAL COMMUNITY COMPOSITIONS

The compositions and dynamics of the bacterial communities were characterized by terminal-restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) analysis targeting the v1-v3 hypervariable region of the Eubacteria 16S rRNA gene pool. The T-RFLP method was adapted from Rossi et al. (2009) and Ebrahimi et al. (2010), and contained the following modifications. DNA was extracted from 100 mg of homogenized biomass samples using the Maxwell 16 Tissue DNA Purification System (Promega, Switzerland). Gene fragments of 500 bp were amplified by PCR using universal eubacterial primers: a FAM-labeled 8-F forward primer (FAM-5'-GAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3') and an unlabeled 518-R reversed primer (5'-ATTACCGCGGCTGCTGG-3'). The PCR program was run in a T3000 Thermocycler (Biometra GmbH, Germany) in 30 cycles comprising a longer denaturation time than the one used by the authors, for optimal amplification of Accumulibacter-related polyphosphate-accumulating organisms (PAO): 10 min initial denaturation (95°C), 1 min denaturation (95°C), 45 s primer annealing (56°C), 2 min elongation (72°C), 10 min final elongation (72°C). The amplicons were purified and concentrated using Invisorb MSB Spin PCRapace purification kits (Invitek Stratec Molecular GmbH, Germany). Amounts of 200 ng of purified PCR products were digested at 37°C for 3 h with 0.5 units of the HaeIII endonuclease (Promega, Switzerland). Volumes of 1 µL of digestion products were mixed with 8.5 µL of HiDi formamid and 0.5 µL of GeneScan 600-LIZ internal size standard (Applied Biosystems, USA), and were denaturated for 2 min at 95°C. The terminal-restriction fragments (T-RFs) were separated and analyzed by capillary gel electrophoresis in an ABI Prism 3100-Avant Genetic Analyzer using a fluid POP-6 gel matrix and fluorescence laser detection (Applied Biosystems, USA). The T-RFLP profiles were aligned using the Treeflap crosstab macro (Rees et al., 2004). The bacterial community structures were expressed as relative contributions of all operational taxonomic units (OTU) contributing to the total measured fluorescence. Predominant OTUs with relative abundances above 2% were presented in stacked bar plots for simplified visual observation. Three single biomass samples from the whole set of samples of the study were analyzed in triplicates to determine the overall relative standard deviation related to the T-RFLP method (6%). For reactor R6, biomass equivalents of target OTUs were expressed by multiplying their relative abundances by the mass of VSS present in the reactor.

ANALYSIS OF THE RICHNESS AND DIVERSITY OF THE BACTERIAL COMMUNITY EVOLVING IN REACTOR R6

Richness and Shannon's H' diversity indices were computed with the R software version 2.14.1 equipped with the Vegan package (R-Development-Core-Team, 2008; Oksanen et al., 2009) based on the full T-RFLP profiles collected during experiment R6. Mathematical geometric evolution models were fitted to the measured richness and diversity profiles with the Berkeley Madonna software (Macey et al., 2000) in order to simulate the evolution of both indices in the reactor. Standard deviation intervals on model predictions were computed from 1000 Monte Carlo simulations on underlying parameters.

PHYLOGENETIC AFFILIATION OF OPERATIONAL TAXONOMIC UNITS

Predominant OTUs detected in R1-R5 were affiliated to closest bacterial relatives by using the cloning-sequencing databank developed by Ebrahimi et al. (2010) and complemented in the present study. Two DNA extracts from biomass samples collected in R6 at day 2 (flocculent sludge) and day 59 (granular sludge) were sent to Research and Testing Laboratory (Lubbock, TX, USA) for 454 Tag-encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing with a Genome Sequencing FLX System (Roche, Switzerland) using the procedure developed by Sun et al. (2011), and the same primers (8-F and 518-R) as the ones used for T-RFLP analysis. The pyrosequencing datasets were denoised and processed with the PyroTRF-ID bioinformatics procedure developed by Weissbrodt and Shani, et al. (paper submitted), which includes sequence annotation with the Greengenes database (McDonald et al., 2012), digital T-RFLP profiling, comparison of digital and experimental T-RFLP profiles, and phylogenetic affiliation of OTUs. QIIME algorithms were used in the denoising process (Caporaso et al., 2010).

BACTERIOME ANALYSIS

The pyrosequencing datasets of the two biomass samples collected in R6 were analyzed by the metagenomics RAST server (MG-RAST; Meyer et al., 2008) for annotation and comparative analysis. The Ribosomal Database Project (RDP; Cole et al., 2009) was used as sequence annotation source. A minimum identity cutoff of 97% was applied in order to retain only the closest bacterial affiliations. A circular phylogenetic tree was constructed with the pyrosequencing datasets of the two samples. The tree was complemented with two bar plots representing the relative abundances of the bacterial genera in both samples. Richness and Shannon's H' diversity indices were also computed from these datasets.

RESULTS

COMPOSITION AND ACTIVITY OF EARLY-STAGE GRANULES CULTIVATED FROM FLOCCULENT OMR-SLUDGE

Reactors R1 and R2 were inoculated with flocculent activated sludge taken from an aeration tank of a WWTP designed for OMR only (OMR-sludge). Operation at ambient temperature $(23 \pm 2^{\circ}C)$, with acetate as carbon source, a low up-flow SAV of 1.8 cm s⁻¹, and a fixed settling time of 5 min resulted in the proliferation of slow-settling fluffy early-stage granules (Figure 1A). Segmented chain filamentous bacterial structures were detected by light microscopy (Figure 1B). The underlying bacterial community compositions are presented in Figure 2A. Closest bacterial affiliations of specific OTUs detected in these reactors are given in Table A2 in Appendix. The fluffy granules obtained in R1 with pulse feeding (6 min) were predominantly composed of Burkholderiales affiliates related to the Sphaerotilus-Leptothrix group (OTU-208, 23-33%), and by Zoogloea spp. belonging to Rhodocyclales (OTU-195, 12-27%). In R2 operated with anaerobic feeding (60 min), Sphaerotilus-Leptothrix affiliates dominated (39-50%) over Zoogloea spp. (2-8%) in fluffy granules.

The application of a higher up-flow SAV of 4.0 cm s^{-1} after 30 days resulted in the recovery of smooth and dense fast-settling granules. The predominant organisms shifted from *Sphaerotilus-Leptothrix* affiliates to *Zoogloea* spp. In the granules of R1, *Zoogloea* spp. (27%) and *Thaurea* spp. (OTU-217, 30%) outcompeted *Burkholderiales* (<1%). The dense granules of R2 were highly dominated by *Zoogloea* spp. (47–57%). *Burkholderiales* decreased to 2% after day 48. OTU-185 affiliating with *Gammaproteobacteria* and with *Rhizobiales* from *Alphaproteobacteria* was present up to 17%.

At physical reactor boundaries, filamentous bulking led to deteriorated sludge settling. Both reactors displayed poor nutrient removal performances. After the recovery of fast-settling granules, nutrient removal did not improve. After pulse feeding in R1, acetate was fully removed within 40 min during the aeration phase. In R2 where slow plug-flow anaerobic feeding was applied, more than 90% of the acetate leaked into the aeration phase during which it was fully consumed. Ammonium was not nitrified and biological dephosphatation did not occur. Only partial nitrogen (20%) and phosphorus removal (10%) was detected in both reactors which was probably due to anabolic requirements.

COMPOSITION AND ACTIVITIES OF EARLY-STAGE GRANULES CULTIVATED FROM FLOCCULENT BNR-SLUDGE

The reactors R3, R4, and R5 were operated with an inoculation sludge originating from a WWTP designed for full BNR, under



FIGURE 1 | Example of early-stage aerobic granule structures observed with light microscopy. Fluffy slow-settling granule obtained after 30 days in reactor R2 with OMR-sludge and low up-flow SAV of 1.8 cm s⁻¹, and exhibiting filamentous outer structures **(A)**. Filamentous segmented chain bacterial structures interspersing across the granular biofilm observed on a sample collected on day 22 in R2 (**B**). Dense fast-settling granule present after 50 days in R6 with BNR-sludge and moderate up-flow SA of 0.025 m s^{-1} , and displaying a tulip-like folded structure around a more opaque internal core (**C**).



T-RFLP during the six granulation experiments. Reactors R1 and R2 were inoculated with activated sludge from the OMR-WWTP (A). R3, R4, and R5 were inoculated with activated sludge from the BNR-WWTP (B). High

resolution bacterial ecology data were collected from R6 to assess the effect of wash-out dynamics on bacterial selection during granulation **(C)**. Main operation conditions are indicated at the top of each graph. Closest bacterial affiliations of target OTUs presented in **Table 2** are given on the right. anaerobic feeding, and with a stepwise decrease in the settling time from 15-3 min. The three reactors resulted in the formation of smooth and dense fast-settling granules after 9-10 days (Figure 1C). The underlying bacterial community dynamics are presented in Figure 2B. The inoculation sludge from the BNR-WWTP was dominated by Nitrospira and Sphingobacteriales (OTU-260, 17%), Tetrasphaera spp. (OTU-223, 7%), and an unidentified OTU-408 (7%). Zoogloea spp. (OTU-195), Burkholderiales (OTU-207), OTU-210 affiliating with Acidobacteriales and Firmicutes, and OTU-214 affiliating with Rhodocyclalesrelated organisms such as Dechloromonas and Methyloversatilis spp. were present in lower abundances (2-3%). In all three reactors, the sludge was still in the flocculent state over the first 6 days. The predominant organisms of the inoculum were replaced within 2-3 days by Acinetobacter spp. (OTU-250, 21-79%). Granulation correlated with the proliferation of Zoogloea spp. (28-55%). Dechloromonas (5-16%), Methyloversatilis (3-10%), and Rhizobiales (4-16%) were detected as flanking populations. Hyphomonadaceae affiliates were abundantly present after 42 days in R4 (23%), and after 39 days in R5 (12%). In contrast to the operation at 20°C in R3 and R4 where dense fast-settling granules were constantly present, the operation at 30°C in R5 led to the proliferation of organisms affiliated to the Sphaerotilus-Leptothrix group (35%) and resulted in a mixture of dense and fluffy granules. Even though a BNR-sludge was used as inoculum, nitrification and dephosphatation activities were not detected in the three AGS systems. Acetate was only consumed to a small extent during the anaerobic feeding phases (18-25%) and fully removed during the aeration phases.

DYNAMICS OF BACTERIAL COMMUNITIES AND PROCESS PERFORMANCE UNDER WASH-OUT CONDITIONS

For reactor R6, high frequency of data collection allowed to detect correlations between operation conditions, bacterial dynamics, and BNR performances during early-stage granulation under wash-out conditions. Changes in biomass properties are presented in Figures 3A,B in function of the settling time. With initial settling times of 15 and then 10 min during the first 5 days, the activated sludge remained in the flocculent state and a biomass concentration of 2.45–2.95 g_{VSS} L⁻¹ was maintained in the reactor, forming a settled sludge blanket of 15-30 cm, and the SRT amounted to 12 days. The decrease in the settling time from 6 to 3 min at day 8 resulted in extensive biomass wash-out (Figure 3C). An extremely low residual biomass concentration of 0.2 $g_{VSS} L^{-1}$ was remaining in the system, and formed a settled sludge blanket of only 1 cm. The SRT dropped to 0.5 day, and approached the HRT of 0.25 day. First granule nuclei were observed after 10 days. At day 12, the settling time was increased to 5 min as safety measure to keep the granules in the system. The granular biomass increased to 4.0 g_{VSS} L⁻¹ at day 37, progressively stabilized at 5.3 ± 0.4 g_{VSS} L⁻¹ after 52 days, and formed a settled sludge blanket of 32-40 cm. The fraction of ISS amounted to 38% in the inoculation sludge, and to 12% in the early-stage AGS. An example of a dense fast-settling granule present in R6 after 50 days is presented in Figure 1C.

The reactor was operated with a constant volumetric OLR of 250 mg_{CODs} cycle⁻¹ L_R^{-1} . The biomass specific OLR however

evolved with the residual biomass concentration from initially 51 to 685 mg_{CODs} cycle⁻¹ g_{CODx}⁻¹ between day 8 and day 20 after the intensive biomass wash-out (**Figure 3D**). As the AGS biomass grew in the system, the biomass specific OLR progressively decreased to $20 \text{ mg}_{CODs} \text{ cycle}^{-1} \text{ g}_{CODx}^{-1}$.

Tetrasphaera spp. (OTU-223) dominated in the inoculation sludge (26%), and were progressively replaced in the flocculent sludge after 5 days by Zoogloea spp. (OTU-195, 21%) and by OTU-214 (29%; Figure 2C). During this initial phase, mainly Dechloromonas and Comamonadaceae relatives contributed to OTU-214, whereas Accumulibacter accounted for only 1% of this OTU (Table 2). When expressed as biomass concentration equivalents, OTU-195 and OTU-214 increased during this period up to 0.6 and 0.8 $g_{VSS} L^{-1}$, respectively (Figure 3E). The extensive biomass wash-out at day 8 resulted in the rapid decrease in the masses of all bacterial populations below 0.1 $g_{VSS} L^{-1}$. Zoogloea spp. then rapidly proliferated up to a relative abundance of $54 \pm 8\%$ in the early-stage AGS from day 15 to day 60. Other Rhodocyclales affiliates (OTUs 214 and 215) declined below 5% at day 26. The concentration of Zoogloea spp. amounted to 3.0 $g_{VSS} L^{-1}$ after 52 days. The concentration of other Rhodocyclales affiliates remained low, but exhibited a slight increase from 0.06 to 0.19 $g_{VSS} L^{-1}$ from day 10 to day 60.

After granulation, additional bacterial populations evolved in the AGS. The relative abundance of *Rhizobiales* (OTU-185) increased from 6% at day 11 to 26% at day 39, and stabilized subsequently at $10 \pm 4\%$ over the next 20 days. *Hyphomonadaceae* (OTU-224) were detected above 1% from day 17 on, and were present at $13 \pm 3\%$ after day 37. *Comamonadaceae* (OTU-211) increased up to 16% at day 34, and remained at $5 \pm 2\%$ until the end of the experiment. *Acinetobacter* spp. (OTU-250) were only detected during the first 17 days in relative abundances of 3–12%. OTU-260 affiliating with *Sphingobacteriales* (and *Nitrospira* probably to a less extent) was present up to 7% at day 10, but was only present at low relative abundances of <1–4% in the early-stage granules. Nitrifiers were not detected above the detection limit of the T-RFLP method.

Wastewater treatment plants operation data and metabolic batch tests indicated that the inoculation sludge was efficiently removing organic matter (95%), nitrogen (97%), and phosphorus (92%). BNR activities were detected in R6 during initial operation with a high settling time (Figures 4A,B). After 6 days, 48% of the acetate load was consumed during anaerobic feeding, ammonium was efficiently nitrified to nitrate (97%), and 40% of nitrogen was removed. Two millimoles of orthophosphate were cycled in alternating anaerobic-aerobic conditions (Figure 4C), but only 9% of phosphorus was effectively removed. After intensive biomass wash-out at day 8, BNR activities were lost except carbon removal. Between day 10 and day 40, less than 4% of acetate was taken up during the anaerobic feeding phase, and only $31 \pm 6\%$ of ammonium was removed, presumably by assimilation into biomass. The orthophosphate cycling activity was lost, and phosphorus removal remained at $11 \pm 4\%$ until the end of the experiment. After day 40, ammonium and nitrogen removal recovered to 77 and 60%, respectively. However, nitrite instead of nitrate accumulated in the system. A slight increase in the anaerobic acetate uptake (up to 22%) was detected.



15

10

5

0

15

10

5

0

15

10

0

0

Weissbrodt et al.



in reactor R6 under wash-out dynamics, in function of the imposed settling time. The evolution of the biomass concentration and of the height of the settled sludge blanket displayed similar profiles as soon as the settling time was decreased to 3 min (A). The application of wash-out conditions resulted in the re-coupling of the SRT to the HRT at day 8 (B). A high amount

BACTERIOME ANALYSIS OF THE FLOCCULENT AND GRANULAR SLUDGE IN R6

Based on the T-RFLP data collected from R6, the bacterial community was displaying a strong decrease of 66% in richness and of biomass was withdrawn with the effluent wastewater during wash-out from day 8 on (C). Under reactor operation with a constant volumetric OLR, the biomass specific OLR exhibited a drastic increase during the period of extensive wash-out between day 8 and day 20 (D). Zoogloea, Tetrasphaera, Rhodocyclaceae, and Hyphomonadaceae affiliates displayed distinct biomass evolutions (E). Early-stage granules nuclei formed after 10 days.

52% in diversity during the start-up of the reactor (Figure 5). The bacterial community of the activated sludge taken from the full-scale BNR was associated with a richness of 53 OTUs and a diversity index of 3.3. The bacterial community of the early-stage

T-RF ¹ (bp)	Sample ²	Counts ³ (–)	Fraction of T-RF ⁴ (%)	Affiliation ⁵	15	Accession number ⁵	Closest relative and original microbiota ⁶	Smith-Waterman mapping score (–	Smith-Waterman mapping score (–) ⁷
				Phylum → Order	Family → Genus			Absolute	Normalized
62	Day 2	22	67	0: Actinomycetales	G: Tessaracoccus	G0097568	Uncultured bacterium clone nbw397a07c1 from human skin microbiome	380	0.977
		10	30	P: candidate phylum TM7		EU104134	Uncultured bacterium clone M0509_49 from activated sludge	373	0.912
72	Day 2	18	40	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Zoogloea	AJ011506	Zoogloea resiniphilia strain DhA-35T	376	0.962
		13	35	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Thauera	AY 945909	Uncultured bacterium clone DR-48 from	348	0.926
	Dav 59	11	100	O: Rhodocyclalas	G. Zoodoaa	A 1011506	denitritying bioreactor Zoordoaa rasin'inhilia strain DhA-35T	373	0 942
180	Day 20	4	100	P: Acidobacteria	200000	GQ396818	Uncultured bacterium clone	323	0.807
							AK1AB1_04A from recently deglaciated		
185	Day 2	00	89	O: Rhizobiales		EJ719048	Uncultured bacterium clone p03_D09	296	0.757
							from aquifer sediments		
		-	11	O: Rhodobacterales		EJ719099	Uncultured bacterium clone p04_H05 from aquifer sediments	318	0.941
	Dav 59	14	100	O: Rhizobiales		AF502218	Uncultured bacterium clone HP1B02	409	0.969
							from EBPR sludge		
190	Day 2	20	49	O: Rhizobiales		CU918969	Uncultured Alphaproteobacterium clone	404	0.962
							QEEA1DD02 from anaerobic digester		
		18	44	O: Burkholderiales	G: <i>Acidovorax</i>	EU539550	Uncultured bacterium clone nbt241e12 from human skin microbiota	356	0.944
193	Day 2	22	30	O: Burkholderiales	G: Acidovorax	EU375646	Acidovorax sp. u41 from bacterial	363	0.936
							community degrading organic pollutants		
		21	28	0: Burkholderiales	G: Simplicispira	AJ505861	<i>Comamonadaceae</i> bacterium strain PIV-16-2 from denitrifying bacterial	377	0.969
		43	17	O. Rurbholderiales	G. Dalfia	EE616041	Unruitinating Unruitined harteriium clone 21×10 from	255	0 020
		2	2		200		electricigen enrichment in a MFC		0
	Day 59	72	94	O: Burkholderiales	G: Acidovorax	AJ864847	Acidovorax sp. strain J33 from high	383	0.923
		LC.	ų	O: Xanthomonadales		FU662583	Thountain lake habitats Uncultured bacterium clone	343	0 868
		,	,)	

(dq)		(-)	T-RF ⁴ (%)		5	number ⁵			mapping score (–) ⁷
				Phylum → Order	Family → Genus			Absolute	Normalized
195	Day 2	231	84	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Zoogloea	AF234684	Uncultured sludge bacterium H7 from nitrifying-denitrifying industrial WWTP	385	0.990
		18	2	0: Pseudomonadales	G: Acinetobacter	GQ073520	Uncultured bacterium clone nbw209f04c1 from human skin microbiome	337	0.988
	Day 59	4793	100	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Zoogloea	EU639144	Uncultured bacterium clone LPB19 from EBPR sludge	402	0.854
198	Day 2	65	94	P: candidate phylum TM7		DQ640696	Uncultured TM7 bacterium clone Skagenf80 from EBPR-WWTP	367	0.870
201	Day 2	10	48	P: Chloroflexi		CU927307	Uncultured <i>Chloroflexi</i> bacterium clone EDN7BB07 from anaerobic digester	443	1.000
		œ	38	O: Xanthomonadales	F: Xanthomonadaceae	FJ612198	Uncultured bacterium clone DP3.5.36 from lake ecosystem	360	0.923
208	Day 2	9	30	O: Burkholderiales	G: Rhodoferax	AB154311	Uncultured bacterium clone S9F-53 from eutrophic lake	351	0.931
		-	10	0: Burkholderiales	G: Sphaerotilus	AB087568	Sphaerotilus sp. L19 from filamentous activated sludge bulking process	374	0.979
210	Day 2	Q	42	O: Acidobacteriales		FJ230900	Uncultured bacterium clone F25 from river water receiving antibiotics-rich effluents	403	0.988
		വ	35	P: Firmicutes	G: Trichococcus	EU234209	Uncultured bacterium clone B14 from river water receiving antibiotics-rich effluents	295	1.000
211	Day 59	7	54	O: Burkholderiales	F: Comamonadaceae	EF540425	Uncultured soil bacterium clone MK4a from semi-coke	345	0.925
		Q	46	O: Rhodocyclales	F: Rhodocyclales	DQ088735	Uncultured bacterium clone BE16FW031601GDW_hole1-9 from gold mine groundwater	343	0.724
213	Day 2	17	73	O: Burkholderiales	F: Comamonadaceae	AY662010	Uncultured bacterium clone 300A-D08 from groundwater contaminated with nitric acid	365	0.979
214	Day 2	136	48	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Dechloromonas	AY064177	Uncultured <i>Betaproteobacterium</i> clone UCT N123 from EBPR-WWTP	382	0.977
		45	16	0: Burkholderiales	G: Rhodoferax	AB452981	Betaproteobacterium clone HIBAF001 from freshwater bacterioplankton	366	0.948

Table 2 | Continued

l-RF ⁻ (bp)	Sample ²	Counts ³ (–)	Fraction of T-RF ⁴ (%)	Affilia	Affiliation ⁵	Accession number ⁵	Closest relative and original microbiota ⁶	Smith-Waterman mapping score (–	Smith-Waterman mapping score (–) ⁷
				Phylum → Order	Family → Genus			Absolute	Normalized
		29	10	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Methyloversatilis	AY436796	Methyloversatilis universalis strain EHg5	364	0.958
		:					isolated from sediments		
		12	4	0: <i>Rhodocyclales</i>	G: Zoogloea	DQ413172	<i>Zoogloea</i> sp. EMB 357 isolated from anaerohic-aerohic SBR	344	0.901
		11	4	O: Burkholderiales	G: Aquamonas	DQ521469	Uncultured bacterium clone	366	0.951
							ANTLV1_A07 from Antarctica lake ice		
							cover microbiota		
		4	1	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Rhodocyclus	EF565151	Uncultured bacterium clone VIR_D5	368	0.981
							from EBPR sludge rich in		
	Ĺ		() ()	ī			Accumulibacter		
	гау ра	4	Ö	U: hnodocyclales	Decnoromonas	DQ413103	Uncultured bacterium clone 44 Irom	301	0.830
							anaerodic-aerodic SBR		
		2	12	0: <i>Rhodocyclales</i>	G: Rhodocyclus	AF502224	Uncultured bacterium clone HP1A13	372	0.923
							Trom EBPK sludge		
215	Day 2	14	44	O: <i>Rhodocyclales</i>	G: Methyloversatilis	DQ066958	Uncultured bacterium clone pLW-7 from	349	0.928
		¢	0						
		מ	78	P.: Uniorotiexi	ט: <i>Caldiinea</i>	CU91//4/	Uncultured <i>Chlorotlexi</i> bacterium clone	6/7	0.730
							OEEB2DA06 from anaerobic digester		
		2	9	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Rhodocyclus	EJ719063	Uncultured bacterium clone p04_A04	320	0.938
							from aquifer sediments		
		-	ო	O: <i>Rhodocyclales</i>	G: Dechloromonas	AY062126	Uncultured Betaproteobacterium clone	306	0.820
							UCT N141 from EBPR-WWTP		
216	Day 2	വ	35	O: Rhodocyclales	F: Rhodocyclales	NR029035	Quatrionicoccus australiensis strain Ben	311	0.881
		ო	21	0: Burkholderiales	F: Comamonadaceae	EU180529	<i>Betaproteobacterium</i> BAC49 from oranular activated carbon filters	273	0.853
		-	7	O: Nitrosomonadales	G: Nitrosomonas	FU937892	Uncultured bacterium clone 3BR-6DD	348	606.0
							from an iron oxidizing freshwater habitat	2	
217	Day 2	15	46	O: Actinomycetales		AF513101	Uncultured bacterium clone 7 from	385	0.955
							foaming activated sludge		
		12	36	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Thauera	AM084110	Thauera sp. R-28312 from denitrifying	386	1.000
							sludge		
223	Day 2	545	66	O: Actinomycetales	G: Tetrasphaera	AF255629	Uncultured bacterium clone Ebpr19 from	374	0.944
							EBPR-WWTP		

(dq)	Sample ^z	Counts ³ (–)	Fraction of T-RF ⁴ (%)	Affiliation ⁵	ion ⁵	Accession number ⁵	Closest relative and original microbiota ⁶		Smith-Waterman mapping score (–) ⁷
				Phylum → Order	Family → Genus			Absolute	Normalized
	Day 59	23	100	O: Actinomycetales	G: Tetrasphaera	AF527583	Uncultured bacterium clone LPB21 from	371	0.949
224	Day 59	135	96	O: Rhodobacterales	F: Hyphomonadaceae	AF236001	EBER Siddye Alphaproteobacterium A0904	285	0.625
228	Day 2	50	88	O: Actinomycetales	F: Intrasporangiaceae	AF513091	Uncultured bacterium clone 17 from	382	0.946
							faming activated sludge		
		ო	Ð	C: Actinobacteria	F: Microthrixaceae	CU917839	Uncultured Actinobacterium clone	388	0.965
							QEEB1AC11 from anaerobic digester		
233	Day 2	26	87	P: candidate phylum TM7		FJ534960	Uncultured bacterium clone 14 from anaerobic fermentation of waste	271	0.666
							activated sludge		
		2	7	O: Phycisphaerales		FJ612210	Uncultured bacterium clone DP7.3.10	283	0.625
							from lake ecosystem		
250	Day 2	35	92	O: Pseudomonadales	G: Acinetobacter	EU467673	Uncultured bacterium clone	415	0.883
							molerat_2g12_1 from gut microbiota		
252	Day 2	4	80	O: Sphingobacteriales		FJ793188	Uncultured bacterium clone TDB87 from	295	0.905
							a hot spring dam		
253	Day 2	14	88	O: Ignavibacteriales	F: Ignavibacteriaceae	AB186808	Uncultured bacterium from	462	0.977
							polychlorinated-dioxin-dechlorinating		
							microbial community		
257	Day 2	7	58	O: Sphingobacteriales		EF562554	Uncultured bacterium clone CC_3 from	380	0.997
							consortium degrading complex organic		
							matter		
		വ	42	P: candidate phylum TM7		AB200304	Uncultured bacterium clone	283	0.663
							UTFS-OF09-d22-29 from EBPR-WWTP		
260	Day 2	16	76	O: Nitrospirales	G: Nitrospira	AF314422	Uncultured bacterium PHOS-HE34 from	366	0.924
							an aerobic EBPR ecosystem		
		4	19	O: Sphingobacteriales		FJ660602	Uncultured bacterium clone A194 from	334	0.859
							full-scale WWTP		
	Day 59	ю	100	O: Sphingobacteriales		AY302128	Uncultured bacterium clone DSBR-B082	354	0.878
							from denitrifying community		
264	Day 59	ო	100	O: Thiotrichales	G: Thiothrix	L79963	Thiothrix fructosivorans strain I, a	334	0.933
							mamentous sunur bacterium mom WWTP		

www.frontiersin.org

Holi Target Antifactor Antifactor Antifactor 10 1	Holi Annue of the control Annue of the control <th>L L L</th> <th>-</th> <th></th> <th>L</th> <th></th> <th>Ľ</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>-</th>	L L L	-		L		Ľ				-
Polyman-Order Family- demo Absolute Absolute <th>Amount Amount Amount</th> <th>T-RF' (bp)</th> <th>Sample[∠]</th> <th>Counts³ (–)</th> <th>Fraction of T-RF⁴ (%)</th> <th>Affiliatic</th> <th>°u</th> <th>Accession number⁵</th> <th>Closest relative and original microbiota^o</th> <th>Smith-W mapping</th> <th>aterman score (–)⁷</th>	Amount	T-RF' (bp)	Sample [∠]	Counts ³ (–)	Fraction of T-RF ⁴ (%)	Affiliatic	°u	Accession number ⁵	Closest relative and original microbiota ^o	Smith-W mapping	aterman score (–) ⁷
203 Day 2 4 57 O: Sphingenomadeles G: Sphingedolum AB040739 Sphingeolum cloacea a 211 0.786 204 Day 2 1 0 Chroossprinales F. Rhodosprinaceae EU864465 Chroubheno-degrading bacterium 360 0.960 204 Day 2 1 100 O: Chossprinales F. Rhodosprinaceae EU864465 Uncultured bacterium clone 380 0.960 204 Day 2 6 75 O: Closstridales C. Anaercineae EU864465 Uncultured bacterium clone 289 0.960 204 Day 2 6 75 O: Closstridales C. Anaercineae EU854019 Uncultured bacterium clone 289 0.961 302 Day 2 6 75 C. Anaercineae EU356049 Uncultured bacterium clone 289 0.719 303 Day 2 28 33 Uncultured bacterium clone 289 0.719 304 Day 2 28 0 0.739 Uncultured bacterium clone 289	239 Day 2 4 57 0: Sphingononadales 6: Sphingononadales 6: Sphingonounadales 6: Sphingonounadales 7.0 7.00 244 1 3 43 0: Rhodospriliales F. Rhodospriliales E. Rhodospriliales					Phylum → Order	Family → Genus			Absolute	Normalized
3 43 0: Rhodospriliales F. Rhodosprilialease EUB64465 bust num munt writer receiving antibiotics-tich inver water receiving and water water receiving and the antibiotics-tich inversion number with Haali ligestion and forming exercises 0.03034 0.0104177 310 0.061 30 Day 2 2 4 100 0: Sphingobacteriales Att DEI_OLE for receiving antibiotics-tich inversion number with Haali ligestion and forming one tich inversion number with Haali ligestion and forming one def form recently degl	3 43 0: Rhodospriliales F. Rhodospriliales E. Rhodospriliales E. Bladate unitation 350 0.367 24 Day 2 1 100 C: Cannaproteolasteria 263 0.361 0.066 <t< td=""><td>289</td><td>Day 2</td><td>4</td><td>57</td><td>O: Sphingomonadales</td><td>G: Sphingobium</td><td>AB040739</td><td>Sphingobium cloacae a non-ylphenol-degrading bacterium</td><td>277</td><td>0.785</td></t<>	289	Day 2	4	57	O: Sphingomonadales	G: Sphingobium	AB040739	Sphingobium cloacae a non-ylphenol-degrading bacterium	277	0.785
294 Day 2 1 100 O: Clostridiales G: Runinococcus DO796981 uncultured bacterium clone 289 0.906 302 Day 2 6 75 C: Anaerolineae EU332818 Uncultured bacterium clone 289 0.0841 304 Day 2 28 93 C: Gammaproteobacteria EU332818 Uncultured bacterium clone 383 0.844 305 Day 2 28 93 C: Gammaproteobacteria E1356049 Uncultured bacterium clone 289 0.779 305 Day 2 4 100 O: Sphingobacteriales G0396934 Uncultured bacterium clone 299 0.779 308 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocycleles G: Dechloromonas EF632559 Dechloromonas sp. A34, a bacterium 299 0.779 308 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocycleles G: Dechloromonas sp. A34, a bacterium 308 0.922 308 Day 59 12 0.01 Dechloromonas sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 308 Day 59 Dechloromonas sp. A34, a bacterium 208 206467 </td <td>234 Day 2 1 100 O: Clostridiales G: Runinococcus DD796931 Turunus 302 Day 2 6 75 C: Anaerolineae 289 0.0631 302 Day 2 6 75 C: Anaerolineae 131 0.031 303 Day 2 6 75 C: Anaerolineae 131 0.031 304 Day 2 28 93 C: Gammeproteobacteria E1356049 Uncutured bacterium clone 289 0.044 303 Day 2 28 0. 0.05/hingobacteriales G. Gondopine 310 0.321 304 Day 59 12 100 0.5/hingobacteriales G. Bachloromonas p. Ast. abstendim clone 299 0.779 304 Day 59 12 100 0.5/hingobacteriales 0.779 505 303 Day 59 12 100 0.5/hingobacteriales 0.779 505 305 Day 59 Dachloromonas p. Ast. abstendim clone 299 0.779 304</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>б</td> <td>43</td> <td>0: Rhodospirilales</td> <td>F: Rhodospirillaceae</td> <td>EU864465</td> <td>Uncultured bacterium clone E52 from river water receiving antibiotics-rich</td> <td>350</td> <td>0.967</td>	234 Day 2 1 100 O: Clostridiales G: Runinococcus DD796931 Turunus 302 Day 2 6 75 C: Anaerolineae 289 0.0631 302 Day 2 6 75 C: Anaerolineae 131 0.031 303 Day 2 6 75 C: Anaerolineae 131 0.031 304 Day 2 28 93 C: Gammeproteobacteria E1356049 Uncutured bacterium clone 289 0.044 303 Day 2 28 0. 0.05/hingobacteriales G. Gondopine 310 0.321 304 Day 59 12 100 0.5/hingobacteriales G. Bachloromonas p. Ast. abstendim clone 299 0.779 304 Day 59 12 100 0.5/hingobacteriales 0.779 505 303 Day 59 12 100 0.5/hingobacteriales 0.779 505 305 Day 59 Dachloromonas p. Ast. abstendim clone 299 0.779 304			б	43	0: Rhodospirilales	F: Rhodospirillaceae	EU864465	Uncultured bacterium clone E52 from river water receiving antibiotics-rich	350	0.967
302 Day 2 6 75 C: Anaerolineae EU332818 Uncutured organism clone OTU117 310 0.831 304 Day 2 28 93 C: Gammaproteobacteria E13356049 Uncutured bacterium clone G5 from 383 0.844 315 Day 2 28 93 C: Gammaproteobacteria E1356049 Uncutured bacterium clone G5 from 383 0.844 325 Day 2 4 100 O: Sphingobacteriales G0396974 Uncutured bacterium clone 299 0.779 338 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G: Dechloromonas EF632569 Dechloromonas Sp. A4, a bacterium 299 0.779 338 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G: Dechloromonas EF632559 Dechloromonas Sp. A4, a bacterium 299 0.779 338 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G: Dechloromonas Sp. A4, a bacterium 270 0.922 341 Bacterium clone 0.012 Dechloromonas Sp. A34, a bacterium 270 0.922	302 Day 2 6 75 C: Anaerolineae EU332818 Uncultured organism clone OTU1177 310 0.831 304 Day 2 28 93 C: Gammaproteobacteria EU332818 Uncultured organism clone G5 from 383 0.844 305 Day 2 28 93 C: Gammaproteobacteriales 0.844 labscale EBPR system 299 0.779 305 Day 2 4 100 O: Sphingobacteriales 0.844 labscale EBPR system 299 0.779 305 Day 59 12 100 O: Shingobacteriales 6.0396974 Uncultured bacterium clone 6710117 378 0.922 305 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G: Dechloromonas Sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 305 Dechloromonas Sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 from tracentil goverburde 0.9323 306 Dechloromonas Sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 from tracentil goverburde 0.9265 0.926/normonas Sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.9223 <	294	Day 2	.	100	0: Clostridiales	G: Ruminococcus	DQ796981	Uncultured bacterium clone RL386_aao85c11 from human gut	289	0.906
304 Day 2 28 93 C: Gammaproteobacteria EJ366049 Uncultured bacterium clone G5 from 333 0.844 325 Day 2 4 100 O: Sphingobacteriales G0396974 Uncultured bacterium clone 299 0.779 326 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G. Dachforomonas FH31DE1_04E from recently deglaciated 299 0.779 328 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G: Dechforomonas EF632559 Dechforomones sp. A34, a bacterium 278 0.922 328 0.378 Solids 0:805 Dechforomonas sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 328 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G: Dechforomonas EF632559 Dechforomones sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 328 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G: Dechforomonas EF632559 Dechforomones sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 328 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G: Dechforomonas EF632559 Dechforomones sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 3201301 Roosestinatinteirerinnarine	304 Day 2 28 93 C. Garmaproteobacteria EJ356049 Uncultured bacterium clone 383 0.844 325 Day 2 4 100 O. Sphingobacteriales 60386974 Uncultured bacterium clone 299 0.779 325 Day 59 12 100 O. Sphingobacteriales 60386974 Uncultured bacterium clone 299 0.779 328 Day 59 12 100 O. Shingobacteriales 5. Dechloromonas Sp. A34, a bacterium clone 299 0.922 378 12 100 O. Shingobacterial et al. 5. Dechloromonas Sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 378 13 Information standardia and standard	302	Day 2	Q	75	C: Anaerolineae		EU332818	Uncultured organism clone OTUI177 from aerobic FBPR-SBR	310	0.831
325 Day 2 4 100 O: Sphingobacteriales G0396974 Uncutured bacterium clone 299 0.779 338 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G: Dechloromonas EF632559 Dechloromonas sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 339 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G: Dechloromonas EF632559 Dechloromonas sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 30 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G: Dechloromonas EF632559 Dechloromonas sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 30 Distribut biomass sample selected for pyrosequencing analysis. Ifrom phosphate mining overburden 378 0.922 4.Number of sequences from the pyrosequencing analysis. Ifrom phosphate mining overburden 100 101/J 4.Different bacterial affiliations and GenBark accession numbers obtained after reference organism. 401/J 105 4.Different bacterial affiliations and GenBark accession numbers obtained after mapping against the Greengenes reference sequences of 165 rRNA encoding gene (McDonald et al., 2012). Legend: P, Phylum; C 6.dosset ibacterial affiliations and domina for the reference clones were isolated were obtained after submitting the accession numbers into the GenBark public	325 Day 2 4 100 O: Sphingobacteriales GQ396974 Uncultured bacterium clone 299 0.779 339 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G. Dechloromonas sp. A34, a bacterium clone 299 0.701 338 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G. Dechloromonas sp. A34, a bacterium clone 299 0.922 318 Solis Dechloromonas sp. A34, a bacterium clone 378 0.922 320 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G. Dechloromonas sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 318 Number of sequences from the pyrosequencing analysis. 1 1 100 1 1 100 1<	304	Day 2	28	93	C: Gammaproteobacteria		FJ356049	Uncultured bacterium clone G5 from lab-scale FRPR system	383	0.844
399 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G: Dechloromonas EF632559 Dechloromonas sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 From phosphate mining overburden from phosphate mining overburden 15/Ize of target terminal-restriction fragments (T-RF) obtained with HaelII digestion and forming operational taxonomic units (OTU). 0.922 2.0 riginal biomass sample selected for pyrosequencing analysis. 3 Umber of sequences from the pyrosequencing dataset that were related to the particular reference organism. 4 3.1 Wumber of sequences from the pyrosequencing dataset that were related to the particular reference organism. 4 16 4.2 Different bacterial affiliations and GenBank accession numbers obtained after mapping against the Greengenes reference sequences of 165 rRNA encoding gene (McDonald et al., 2012). Legend: P, phylum; C acloss the intervolotan function of acot population to the target T-RF is given in this column. 6 dass; O, order; F, family; G, genus. 6	399 Day 59 12 100 O: Rhodocyclales G: Dechloromonas EF632559 Dechloromonas sp. A34, a bacterium 378 0.922 Size of target terminal-restriction fragments (TFF) obtained with Haell1 digestion and forming operational taxonomic units (OTU). Isopon phosphate mining overburden 15/se of target terminal-restriction fragments (TFF) obtained with Haell1 digestion and forming operational taxonomic units (OTU). Isopon phosphate mining overburden 378 0.922 16/sife of prosequencing dataset that were related to the particular reference organism. 1 <td< td=""><td>325</td><td>Day 2</td><td>4</td><td>100</td><td>O: Sphingobacteriales</td><td></td><td>GQ396974</td><td>Uncultured bacterium clone AK1DE1_04E from recently deglaciated</td><td>299</td><td>0.779</td></td<>	325	Day 2	4	100	O: Sphingobacteriales		GQ396974	Uncultured bacterium clone AK1DE1_04E from recently deglaciated	299	0.779
¹ Size of target terminal-restriction fragments (T-RF) obtained with HaelII digestion and forming operational taxonomic units (OTU). ² Original biomass sample selected for pyrosequencing analysis. ³ Number of sequences from the pyrosequencing dataset that were related to the particular reference organism. ⁴ Different bacterial populations can contribute to the same T-RF. The percentage of contribution of each population to the target T-RF is given in this column. ⁵ Closest bacterial affiliations and GenBank accession numbers obtained after mapping against the Greengenes reference sequences of 16S rRNA encoding gene (McDonald et al., 2012). Legend: P, phylum; C class; O, order; F, family; G, genus. ⁶ Description of closest relatives and original microbiota from which the reference clones were isolated were obtained after submitting the accession numbers into the GenBank public database (Benson et al., 2011).	¹ Size of target terminal-restriction fragments (TRF) obtained with HaelIII digestion and forming operational taxonomic units (OTU). ² Original biomass sample selected for pyrosequencing analysis. ² Original biomass sample selected for pyrosequencing analysis. ³ Number of sequences from the pyrosequencing dataset that were related to the particular reference organism. ⁴ Different bacterial populations can contribute to the same TRF. The percentage of contribution of each population to the target TRF is given in this column. ⁴ Different bacterial affiliations and GenBank accession numbers obtained after mapping against the Greengenes reference sequences of 16S rRNA encoding gene (McDonald et al., 2012). Legend: P, phyl. ⁶ Closest bacterial affiliations and GenBank accession numbers obtained after mapping against the Greengenes reference sequences of 16S rRNA encoding gene (McDonald et al., 2012). Legend: P, phyl. ⁶ Closest bacterial affiliations and GenBank accession numbers obtained after mapping against the Greengenes reference sequences of 16S rRNA encoding gene (McDonald et al., 2012). Legend: P, phyl. ⁶ Closest bacterial affiliations and GenBank accession numbers obtained after mapping against the Greengenes reference sequences of 16S rRNA encoding gene (McDonald et al., 2012). Legend: P, phyl. ⁶ Closest bacterial affiliations and GenBank accession numbers obtained after mapping against the Greenge of contribute of the rationes of 16S rRNA encoding gene (McDonald et al., 2012). Legend: P, phyl. ⁶ Closest bacterial optications and genes. Consider nucleotide positions and gaps in the sequences structures. The highest absolute SW score that can be obtice the learnin of the content of content of the content of the content of the content	399	Day 59	12	100	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Dechloromonas	EF632559	bous Dechloromonas sp. A34, a bacterium from phosphate mining overburden respiring selenate	378	0.922
⁴ Different bacterial populations can contribute to the same T-RF. The percentage of contribution of each population to the target T-RF is given in this column. ⁵ Closest bacterial affiliations and GenBank accession numbers obtained after mapping against the Greengenes reference sequences of 16S rRNA encoding gene (McDonald et al., 2012). Legend: P, phylum: C class; O, order; F, family; G, genus. ⁶ Description of closest relatives and original microbiota from which the reference clones were isolated were obtained after submitting the accession numbers into the GenBank public database (Benson et al., 2011).	⁴ Different bacterial populations can contribute to the same T-RF. The percentage of contribution of each population to the target T-RF is given in this column. ⁵ Closest bacterial affiliations and GenBank accession numbers obtained after mapping against the Greengenes reference sequences of 16S rRNA encoding gene (McDonald et al., 2012). Legend: P, phyl. class; O, order; F, family; G, genus. ⁶ Closest bacterial affiliations and GenBank accession numbers obtained after mapping against the Greengenes reference sequences of 16S rRNA encoding gene (McDonald et al., 2012). Legend: P, phyl. class; O, order; F, family; G, genus. ⁶ Description of closest relatives and original microbiota from which the reference clones were isolated were obtained after submitting the accession numbers into the GenBank public database (Benson et al., ⁷ The Smith-Waterman (SW) score was used as mapping similarity measure. SW scores consider nucleotide positions and gaps in the sequence structures. The highest absolute SW score was normalized to the laborth of the related handled control co	¹ Size of ² Origine ³ Numbe	^t target terminal [.] It biomass samp or of sequences	restriction fra restriction fra reselected fo from the pyrc	igments (T-RF) ob. rr pyrosequencing sequencing data:	tained with HaellI digestion and . 1 analysis. set that were related to the parti	forming operational taxono cular reference organism.	omic units (OTU).			
⁶ Description of closest relatives and original microbiota from which the reference clones were isolated were obtained after submitting the accession numbers into the GenBank public database (Benson et al., 2011 The Content Momentum or and or provide analysis of the content and in the content of the content of the trade of the content of	⁶ Description of closest relatives and original microbiota from which the reference clones were isolated were obtained after submitting the accession numbers into the GenBank public database (Benson et al., ⁷ The Smith–Waterman (SW) score was used as mapping similarity measure. SW scores consider nucleotide positions and gaps in the sequence structures. The highest absolute SW score that can be ob ¹⁶ the lowth of the sequence itself. Each shorth at Miscore was normalized to the length of the related control control conteners in order to allow comparison between sequences of various lengths.	⁴ Differe ⁵ Closes class: C	nt bacterial pop t bacterial affilia) order: E family	ulations can c itions and Ger C. G. denus.	ontribute to the s nBank accession .	ame T-RF. The percentage of coni numbers obtained after mapping	tribution of each populatior 3 against the Greengenes .	n to the target T.R reference sequer	F is given in this column. nees of 16S rRNA encoding gene (McDonald et al.	., 2012). Legei	id: P, phylum; C,
	The Smith-Waternair Jow's sorie was used as mapping similarity measure. Swessones consider nucleoride positions and gaps in the sequence studionest absolute Swesche that can be ob- ic the handth of the sequence fread factor and normalized to the length of the related denoised centroid sequence in order to allow comparison between sequences of various lengths	⁶ Descri _j	otion of closest	relatives and c	original microbiota	if from which the reference clones	s were isolated were obtair	ned after submitti	ng the accession numbers into the GenBank public	database (Ber	son et al., 2011).

mapping in MG-RAST (Meyer et al., 2008), the affiliations obtained for the two denoised pyrosequencing datasets were related to traditional sequence identity scores of 99.7 ± 0.5%.





granules (day 30–60) was composed of 18 ± 5 OTUs, and showed a diversity index of 1.6 ± 0.3 . The best fits of the mathematical geometric evolution models to the evolution of richness and diversity indices ($R^2 = 0.97$ and 0.98, respectively) were obtained with finite rates of decrease of 11 and 10%, respectively. According to the models, the decrease in richness and diversity before extensive biomass wash-out (day 0–8) amounted to 38 and 30%, i.e., apparent decrease rates of about 2.5 OTUs and 0.13 diversity units per day. During the formation of early-stage granules occurring after biomass wash-out (day 8–27), the richness and diversity decreased by another 27 and 21% (0.8 OTUs and 0.04 diversity units per day).

The pyrosequencing analyses of biomass samples collected on day 2 and day 59 confirmed that the early-stage AGS displayed a strongly reduced richness and diversity compared to the initial flocculent sludge (**Table 3**). The bacteriome of the flocculent sludge on day 2 was composed of 50 orders and 170 genera that were evenly distributed (3.9 diversity units). The bacteriome of the early-stage AGS was composed of only 20 orders and 57 genera that were unevenly distributed (1.1 diversity units). This

Main bacterial orders	%1	Main bacterial genera and relative abundances
FLOCCULENT SLUDGE (D	AY 2)	
Rhodocyclales	24	Zoogloea (10%), Dechloromonas (9.7%), Methyloversatilis (1.4%), Azoarcus (1.3%), Thauera (1.2%)
Actinomycetales	10	Tetrasphaera (3.4%), Terrabacter (3.2%), Nocardia (1.0%), Micrococcus (0.4%), Streptomyces (0.3%)
Burkholderiales	9	Acidovorax (3.7%), Diaphorobacter (1.4%), Burkholderia (1.2%), Alcaligenes (0.7%), Hydrogenophaga (0.4%)
Pseudomonadales	9	Acinetobacter (8.0%), Pseudomonas (0.7%)
Bacillales	6	Brevibacillus (5.3%), Trichococcus (0.3%)
Chromatiales	5	Thiolamprovum (1.7%), Allochromatium (1.7%), Halochromatium (0.7%)
Rhodobacterales	5	Azospirillum (2.0%)
Rhodospirillales	3	Rhodobacter (3.7%), Rhodobaca (0.7%)
Rhizobiales	2	Methylosinus (1.1%), Rhodopseudomonas (0.5%), Methylocystis (0.3%), Bradyrhizobiaceae (0.1%)
Sphingobacteriales	2	Terrimonas (1.3%), Chitinophaga (0.9%)
Sphingomonadales	2	Sphingomonas (1.8%)
Bacteroidales	2	Butyricimonas (2.0%)
38 residual orders (<2%)	21	137 residual genera
EARLY-STAGE AGS (DAY 5	9)	
Rhodocyclales	84	Zoogloea (80%), Accumulibacter (3.6%), Azoarcus (2.8%), Thauera (0.6%)
Burkholderiales	4	Massilia (2.5%), Comamonas (0.5%), Acidovorax (0.5%)
Flavobacteriales	3	Flavobacterium (2.8%)
Xanthomonadales	2	Stenotrophomonas (1.0%), Pseudoxanthomonas (0.3%), Dyella (0.2%)
Neisseriales	2	Aquitalea (1.4%)
15 residual orders (<2%)	5	45 residual genera

Table 3 | Summary of the main bacterial orders and genera identified by pyrosequencing analysis of the flocculent sludge and early-stage AGS samples taken from R6.

¹Relative abundances of bacterial orders obtained after mapping in MG-RAST (Meyer et al., 2008). Full bacteriome phylogenetic tree and sector graph representations are available in **Figures A1,A2** in Appendix.

analysis also showed that the T-RFLP method was covering at least 85% of the diversity obtained by pyrosequencing. Within the *Rhodocyclales* order, *Zoogloea* affiliates became very predominant in the early-stage AGS, and *Dechloromonas*-related organisms that were abundant in the flocculent sludge at day 2 were replaced by *Accumulibacter* and *Azoarcus* relatives in the early-stage AGS at day 59.

At the level of the nitrifiers, the pyrosequencing analysis enabled detection of ammonium- (AOB) and nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB). AOB were only detected at relative abundances below 0.5% in the flocculent sludge, namely *Nitrosococcus* (0.24%), *Nitrosomonas* (0.12%), and *Nitrosovibrio* spp. (0.06%), and represented a biomass concentration of 0.012 g_{VSS}⁻¹. The NOB-related *Nitrospira* spp. were detected in higher abundance (1.02%) than *Nitrobacter* spp. (0.06%). The two genera together accounted for a biomass concentration of 0.032 g_{VSS} L⁻¹. The AOB and NOB present in the flocculent sludge were not detected in the early-stage granules. Only the AOB *Nitrosopira* spp. were detected at 0.03%, and accounted for 0.002 g_{VSS} L⁻¹ on this particular day.

DISCUSSION

FLUFFY AND DENSE FAST-SETTLING GRANULES HARBORED DIFFERENT PREDOMINANT PHYLOTYPES

Unfavorable filamentous bulking occurring during early-stage granulation was related to the application of an insufficient SAV (1.8 cm s^{-1}) in the case of an inoculum taken from OMR-WWTP, or when operation was conducted at higher

mesophilic temperature (30°C). The bacterial community of slowsettling fluffy granules was dominated by filamentous *Sphaerotilus* and *Leptothrix* bacterial genera. These organisms are known to cause severe filamentous bulking in conventional WWTPs (Richard et al., 1985). During the formation of compact flocs and granular biofilms, the proliferation of filamentous organisms toward the outside of microbial aggregates is enhanced by substrate gradients generated by diffusion limitations across the biofilm matrices (Martins et al., 2004; Liu and Liu, 2006). The ecology data showed that filamentous bulking can also occur with acetate as carbon source, and not only with carbohydrates that have been proposed as main bulking vectors (Liu and Liu, 2006).

The application of a more intensive SAV (4.0 cm s^{-1}) was successful for the recovery of smooth and dense fast-settling granules. In the study of McSwain et al. (2004), filamentous overgrowth was counteracted by high shear forces. In analogy to chlorine addition in conventional WWTPs, high shear forces helped to break the superficial filamentous structures. Specific remedial actions that suppress the cause of filamentous proliferation are however preferred for sustainable reactor operation (van Loosdrecht et al., 2008). The inoculation sludge taken from the BNR-WWTP was beneficial for the production of compact granules at 20°C with a low SAV. At full-scale level, this corresponds to definite energetic advantages. With the BNR-sludge, fluffy granules were only observed at 30°C. The growth kinetics of filamentous bacteria are enhanced at such temperature (Richard et al., 1985). In BNR-WWTPs, the successive anaerobic, anoxic, and aerobic zones are

clearly separated. The readily biodegradable substrates are fully removed by PAO under anaerobic conditions, and are not available in the aerobic zone for fast-growing heterotrophs such as filamentous bacteria (van Loosdrecht et al., 2008). Thus, BNR-sludge exhibits a lower filamentous bulking potential than OMR-sludge, and can be advantageous for the granulation process. Ensuring full anaerobic acetate uptake in AGS-SBRs might also favorably suppress filamentous overgrowth.

Dense fast-settling early-stage aerobic granules were dominated by *Zoogloea* relatives. In contrast to fluffy and dense anaerobic granules that have been both dominated by *Methanosaeta* spp. (Grotenhuis et al., 1992; Hulshoff Pol et al., 2004), fluffy and dense aerobic granules were composed of different predominant phylotypes. *Zoogloea* spp. have also previously been detected in other granulation studies involving wash-out conditions (Etterer, 2006; Li et al., 2008; Ebrahimi et al., 2010; Gonzalez-Gil and Holliger, 2011).

THE POSSIBLE ROLE OF *RHODOCYCLALES*-RELATED ORGANISMS IN GRANULATION

The T-RFLP and metagenomics analyses revealed that Rhodocyclales-affiliated Zoogloea, Dechloromonas, Thauera, and Rhodocyclus spp. were abundant in the communities of fast-settling earlystage granules. Acinetobacter spp. were present during the transition from flocs to granules with anaerobic feeding. The Rhodocyclales-affiliated organisms share some physiological properties in BNR-WWTPs (Hesselsoe et al., 2009). They produce EPS and store poly-\beta-hydroxyalcanoates (PHA) when high organic loads are present under aerobic conditions, hold an arsenal of surface adhesins, and form flocs and biofilms (Sich and Van Rijn, 1997; Allen et al., 2004; Dugan et al., 2006; Oshiki et al., 2008; Nielsen et al., 2010; Seviour et al., 2012). Acetate was abundantly present under aerobic conditions due to pulse feeding, or to incomplete anaerobic uptake. Feast-famine regimes and high shear stress also stimulate EPS production during granulation (Liu and Tay, 2002; Dulekgurgen et al., 2008; Seviour et al., 2010). In contrast to flocculent sludge settling that can suffer from Zoogloea-mediated viscous bulking (Norberg and Enfors, 1982; van Niekerk et al., 1987; Lajoie et al., 2000), AGS settling was not hampered by the proliferation of Zoogloea relatives. High shear stress and compaction forces generated by up-flow aeration (Zima et al., 2007) were likely to counteract viscous bulking. In addition, storage compounds such as PHA confer higher density and settling velocity to bacterial cells (Mas et al., 1985; Schuler et al., 2001). PHA storage was confirmed by confocal laser scanning microscopy analysis with Nile Red staining of cross-sectioned granules dominated by Zoogloea spp. (data not shown). Hence, the physiology of Zoogloea-like and other Rhodocyclales-affiliated organisms might be relevant for the cohesion of granular biofilms. However, microbial aggregation is probably not restricted to single organisms, and specific process conditions could select for other organisms with similar functions (Bossier and Verstraete, 1996; Beun et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2009).

WASH-OUT CONDITIONS AS DRASTIC BACTERIAL SELECTION PRESSURE DURING AEROBIC GRANULATION

Even though inoculation with BNR-sludge and anaerobic feeding were combined, active PAO and nitrifiers were outcompeted by

Zoogloea spp. during start-up. Two tentative explanations of this specific bacterial selection were formulated from the results of R3–R5 based on wash-out dynamics. Firstly, the wash-out dynamics resulted in an insufficient SRT that did not enable bacterial populations with lower growth rates such as PAO and nitrifiers to maintain themselves in the system. Secondly, during anaerobic feeding, the influent wastewater was not long enough in contact with the low residual biomass after wash-out. With a constant volumetric OLR and a fixed anaerobic plug-flow feeding phase, a large acetate fraction was present during aeration and selected for fast-growing *Zoogloea* spp. over PAO. The data collected with R6 were used to confirm these explanations, and are discussed hereafter.

Tetrasphaera spp. and other Rhodocyclales-affiliated organisms such as Dechloromonas, Methyloversatilis spp., and Rhodocyclus spp. to a lower extent, were able to compete with Zoogloea spp. for the carbon source when 2.45 g_{VSS} L⁻¹ and 30 cm of settled flocculent biomass was initially present in the system. By considering a bed porosity of 0.5 and an influent flow-rate of 21 mL min⁻¹, each volume fraction of the influent wastewater was in contact with the settled biomass during 15 min on average. With this contact time, 50% of acetate was removed under anaerobic conditions with concomitant release of orthophosphate showing that PAO activity was still present. Accumulibacter was only present in low abundance in the flocculent sludge (0.1-0.5%). However, additional organisms could have contributed to the detected PAO activity. Tetrasphaera spp. have been described as putative PAO in full-scale BNR-WWTP, but their underlying dephosphatating metabolism has not yet been deciphered (Nielsen et al., 2012). Dechloromonas spp. have been described as an accompanying guild of Accumulibacter, and have been proposed as putative PAO as well (Kong et al., 2007; Oehmen et al., 2010). The genus Methyloversatilis that affiliates to Rhodocyclales has only recently been discovered, and has been shown to metabolize nitrogen (Kalyuzhnaya et al., 2006; Baytshtok et al., 2008; Kittichotirat et al., 2011). However, more research is required on its metabolism under alternating anaerobic-aerobic conditions.

The combination of a low settling time (3 min) and a low HRT (6 h) resulted in intensive biomass wash-out. The SRT dropped to a value close to the HRT, and the reactor system was governed by the hydraulic properties. Aerobic heterotrophic organisms such as Zoogloea, Dechloromonas, Acinetobacter, and filamentous Burkholderiales affiliates that are related to maximum growth rates of 0.229-0.690 h⁻¹ (Lau et al., 1984; van Niekerk et al., 1987; Logan et al., 2001; Kim and Pagilla, 2003) that are above 1/HRT, were able to proliferate over slower-growing PAO ($0.042 h^{-1}$, Henze et al., 1999) and nitrifiers (0.017-0.046 h⁻¹, Xavier et al., 2007). During reactor start-up, strong decreases in richness and diversity were observed. The apparent decrease rates were about 3 times higher before than after wash-out, indicating that the use of a synthetic wastewater with acetate as sole carbon source significantly contributed to the change in the bacterial community structure before wash-out. Gonzalez-Gil and Holliger (2011) have also reported that early-stage AGS cultivated with acetate or propionate as sole carbon and energy sources displayed half of the richness of the inoculation sludge. Winkler et al. (2011) have reported that, although distant, denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis profiles of bacterial communities of a conventional WWTP and of a pilot

AGS reactor fed with the same urban wastewater exhibited similar richness and eveness.

After wash-out, only $0.3 g_{VSS} L^{-1}$ of biomass was remaining in the system and the biomass specific OLR increased by a factor of 13 from 51 to $685 \text{ mg}_{\text{CODs}} \text{ cycle}^{-1} \text{ g}_{\text{CODx}}^{-1}$. Granulation started with a biomass specific OLR above 2.7 kg_{CODs} d⁻¹ kg_{CODx}⁻¹ equivalents, which is in agreement with the bottom value of 1.3 kg_{CODs} d^{-1} kg_{CODx}⁻¹ considered by Morgenroth et al. (1997) to enable sludge granulation. However, with a settled biomass height of only 1 cm, the contact time with the influent wastewater was extremely short (30 s). The fixed anaerobic plug-flow feeding phase thus resulted in the leakage of more than 90% of the acetate load into the aeration phase where it was available for fastgrowing aerobic heterotrophs. This also explains why Zoogloea spp. outcompeted Accumulibacter, and why phosphorus was not removed. Deteriorated dephosphatation has also been correlated in flocculent sludge SBRs with Zoogloea proliferation over PAO caused by the concomitant presence of acetate as electron donor and oxygen or nitrate as terminal electron acceptors (Fang et al., 2002; Montoya et al., 2008). Proper anaerobic selector operation has been recommended to suppress this zoogloeal overgrowth (van Loosdrecht et al., 2008).

In conclusion, the detailed microbial ecology investigation involving T-RFLP, pyrosequencing, and PyroTRF-ID analyses conducted in this study in combination with a bioprocess engineering approach showed that slow-settling fluffy granules and dense fast-settling early-stage granules cultivated under wash-out dynamics were displaying distinct predominant phylotypes, namely filamentous *Burkholderiales* affiliates and *Zoogloea*

REFERENCES

- Adav, S. S., Lee, D. J., and Lai, J. Y. (2010). Microbial community of acetate utilizing denitrifiers in aerobic granules. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 85, 753–762.
- Allen, M. S., Welch, K. T., Prebyl, B. S., Baker, D. C., Meyers, A. J., and Sayler, G. S. (2004). Analysis and glycosyl composition of the exopolysaccharide isolated from the floc-forming wastewater bacterium Thauera sp. MZ1T. *Environ. Microbiol.* 6, 780–790.
- Baytshtok, V., Kim, S., Yu, R., Park, H., and Chandran, K. (2008). Molecular and biokinetic characterization of methylotrophic denitrification using nitrate and nitrite as terminal electron acceptors. *Water Sci. Technol.* 58, 359–365.
- Benson, D. A., Karsch-Mizrachi, I., Lipman, D. J., Ostell, J., and Sayers, E. W. (2011). GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, D32–D37.
- Beun, J. J., Heijnen, J. J., and van Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (2001). N-removal in a granular sludge sequencing batch airlift reactor. *Biotechnol. Bio*eng. 75, 82–92.
- Beun, J. J., Hendriks, A., van Loosdrecht, M. C. M., Morgenroth, E., Wilderer, P. A., and Heijnen, J. J.

(1999). Aerobic granulation in a sequencing batch reactor. *Water Res.* 33, 2283–2290.

- Bossier, P., and Verstraete, W. (1996). Triggers for microbial aggregation in activated sludge? *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 45, 1–6.
- Caporaso, J. G., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., Fierer, N., Pena, A. G., Goodrich, J. K., Gordon, J. I., Huttley, G. A., Kelley, S. T., Knights, D., Koenig, J. E., Ley, R. E., Lozupone, C. A., McDonald, D., Muegge, B. D., Pirrung, M., Reeder, J., Sevinsky, J. R., Turnbaugh, P. J., Walters, W. A., Widmann, J., Yatsunenko, T., Zaneveld, J., and Knight, R. (2010). QIIME allows analysis of highthroughput community sequencing data. Nat. Methods 7, 335–336.
- Cole, J. R., Wang, Q., Cardenas, E., Fish, J., Chai, B., Farris, R. J., Kulam-Syed-Mohideen, A. S., McGarrell, D. M., Marsh, T., Garrity, G. M., and Tiedje, J. M. (2009). The Ribosomal Database Project: improved alignments and new tools for rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 37, D141–D145.
- de Bruin, L. M. M., de Kreuk, M. K., van der Roest, H. F. R.,

relatives, respectively. Filamentous bulking could be remediated by the application of intensive up-flow aeration, or by the use of an inoculation sludge taken from a BNR-WWTP. A combination of insufficient SRT and of leakage of acetate into the aeration phase was the cause for the proliferation of Zoogloea spp. in dense fastsettling granules, and for the deterioration of BNR performances which has been commonly observed by different authors during granulation start-ups. It is however not certain that Zoogloea-like organisms are essential in granule formation. Additional research is needed to determine if they are required to stimulate early-stage granulation in BNR systems, or if granules can be cultivated without their involvement. Furthermore, optimal operation conditions should be elucidated for maintaining a balance between organisms with granulation propensity and nutrient removing organisms in order to form granules with BNR activities in short start-up periods.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was financed by the Swiss National Science Foundation, Grant No. 205321-120536. David Weissbrodt obtained a grant from the EPFL FEE Foundation. We are grateful to ERM Morges and ARA Thunersee for providing the inoculation sludge. We recognize the excellent technical assistance of Sébastien Gabus, Jean-Pierre Kradolfer and Marc Deront in reactor operation, and of Corinne Weis, Yoan Rappaz and Emmanuelle Rohrbach in molecular ecology analyses. Scot E. Dowd, Yan Sun and Lars Koenig from the Research and Testing Laboratory (Lubbock, TX, USA) are acknowledged for pyrosequencing analyses and advice.

Uijterlinde, C., and van Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (2004). Aerobic granular sludge technology: an alternative to activated sludge? *Water Sci. Technol.* 49, 1–7.

- de Kreuk, M. K., Heijnen, J. J., and van Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (2005). Simultaneous COD, nitrogen, and phosphate removal by aerobic granular sludge. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 90, 761–769.
- Dugan, P., Stoner, D., and Pickrum, H. (2006). "The genus Zoogloea," in The Prokaryotes, eds M. Dworkin, S. Falkow, E. Rosenberg, K. H. Schleifer, and E. Stackebrandt (New York: Springer), 960–970.
- Dulekgurgen, E., Artan, N., Orhon, D., and Wilderer, P. A. (2008). How does shear affect aggregation in granular sludge sequencing batch reactors? Relations between shear, hydrophobicity, and extracellular polymeric substances. *Water Sci. Technol.* 58, 267–276.
- Ebrahimi, S., Gabus, S., Rohrbach-Brandt, E., Hosseini, M., Rossi, P., Maillard, J., and Holliger, C. (2010). Performance and microbial community composition dynamics of aerobic granular sludge from sequencing batch bubble column reactors operated at 20°C, 30°C, and

35°C. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 87, 1555–1568.

- Etterer, T. J. (2006). Formation, Structure and Function of Aerobic Granular Sludge. Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universität München, Munich.
- Fang, H. H. P., Zhang, T., and Liu, Y. (2002). Characterization of an acetate-degrading sludge without intracellular accumulation of polyphosphate and glycogen. *Water Res.* 36, 3211–3218.
- Giesen, A., Niermans, R., and van Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (2012). Aerobic granular biomass: the new standard for domestic and industrial wastewater treatment? *Water21* 4, 28–30.
- Gonzalez-Gil, G., and Holliger, C. (2011). Dynamics of microbial community structure and enhanced biological phosphorus removal of propionate- and acetate-cultivated aerobic granules. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 8041–8051.
- Grotenhuis, J. T. C., Plugge, C. M., Stams, A. J. M., and Zehnder, A. J. B. (1992). Hydrophobicities and electrophoretic mobilities of anaerobic bacterial isolates from methanogenic granular sludge. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58, 1054–1056.

- Henze, M., Gujer, W., Mino, T., Matsuo, T., Wentzel, M. C., Marais, G. V. R., and Van Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (1999). Activated sludge model No.2d, ASM2d. Water Sci. Technol. 39, 165–182.
- Hesselsoe, M., Fureder, S., Schloter, M., Bodrossy, L., Iversen, N., Roslev, P., Nielsen, P. H., Wagner, M., and Loy, A. (2009). Isotope array analysis of *Rhodocyclales* uncovers functional redundancy and versatility in an activated sludge. *ISME J.* 3, 1349–1364.
- Hulshoff Pol, L. W., De Castro Lopes, S. I., Lettinga, G., and Lens, P. N. L. (2004). Anaerobic sludge granulation. *Water Res.* 38, 1376–1389.
- Kalyuzhnaya, M. G., De Marco, P., Bowerman, S., Pacheco, C. C., Lara, J. C., Lidstrom, M. E., and Chistoserdova, L. (2006). Methyloversatilis universalis gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel taxon within the Betaproteobacteria represented by three methylotrophic isolates. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 56, 2517–2522.
- Kim, H., and Pagilla, K. R. (2003). Competitive growth of *Gordonia* and *Acinetobacter* in continuous flow aerobic and anaerobic/aerobic reactors. J. Biosci. Bioeng. 95, 577–582.
- Kittichotirat, W., Good, N. M., Hall, R., Bringel, F., Lajus, A., Médigue, C., Smalley, N. E., Beck, D., Bumgarner, R., Vuilleumier, S., and Kalyuzhnaya, M. G. (2011). Genome sequence of *Methyloversatilis universalis* FAM5 T, a methylotrophic representative of the order *Rhodocyclales. J. Bacteriol.* 193, 4541–4542.
- Kong, Y., Xia, Y., Nielsen, J. L., and Nielsen, P. H. (2007). Structure and function of the microbial community in a full-scale enhanced biological phosphorus removal plant. *Microbiology* 153, 4061–4073.
- Lajoie, C. A., Layton, A. C., Gregory, I. R., Sayler, G. S., Don, E. T., and Meyers, A. J. (2000). Zoogleal clusters and sludge dewatering potential in an industrial activated-sludge wastewater treatment plant. *Water Environ. Res.* 72, 56–64.
- Lau, A. O., Strom, P. F., and Jenkins, D. (1984). Growth kinetics of Sphaerotilus natans and a floc former in pure and dual continuous culture. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. 56, 41–51.
- Lee, D.-J., Chen, Y.-Y., Show, K.-Y., Whiteley, C. G., and Tay, J.-H. (2010). Advances in aerobic granule formation and granule stability in the course of storage and reactor operation. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 28, 919–934.

- Lemaire, R., Yuan, Z., Blackall, L. L., and Crocetti, G. R. (2008). Microbial distribution of Accumulibacter spp. and Competibacter spp. in aerobic granules from a labscale biological nutrient removal system. Environ. Microbiol. 10, 354–363.
- Li, A. J., Yang, S. F., Li, X. Y., and Gu, J. D. (2008). Microbial population dynamics during aerobic sludge granulation at different organic loading rates. *Water Res.* 42, 3552–3560.
- Lin, Y. M., Liu, Y., and Tay, J. H. (2003). Development and characteristics of phosphorus-accumulating microbial granules in sequencing batch reactors. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 62, 430–435.
- Liu, Y., and Liu, Q.-S. (2006). Causes and control of filamentous growth in aerobic granular sludge sequencing batch reactors. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 24, 115–127.
- Liu, Y., and Tay, J. H. (2002). The essential role of hydrodynamic shear force in the formation of biofilm and granular sludge. *Water Res.* 36, 1653–1665.
- Logan, B. E., Zhang, H., Mulvaney, P., Milner, M. G., Head, I. M., and Unz, R. F. (2001). Kinetics of perchlorate- and chlorate-respiring bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67, 2499–2506.
- Macey, R., Oster, G., and Zahnley, T. (2000). Berkeley Madonna User's Guide. Berkeley, CA: University of California.
- Martins, A. M. P., Picioreanu, C., Heijnen, J. J., and van Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (2004). Three-dimensional dual-morphotype species modeling of activated sludge flocs. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 38, 5632–5641.
- Mas, J., Pedros-Alio, C., and Guerrero, R. (1985). Mathematical model for determining the effects of intracytoplasmic inclusions on volume and density of microorganisms. *J. Bacteriol.* 164, 749–756.
- McDonald, D., Price, M. N., Goodrich, J., Nawrocki, E. P., DeSantis, T. Z., Probst, A., Andersen, G. L., Knight, R., and Hugenholtz, P. (2012). An improved Greengenes taxonomy with explicit ranks for ecological and evolutionary analyses of bacteria and archaea. *ISME J.* 6, 610–618.
- McSwain, B. S., Irvine, R. L., and Wilderer, P. A. (2004). The influence of settling time on the formation of aerobic granules. *Water Sci. Technol.* 50, 195–202.
- Meyer, F., Paarmann, D., D'Souza, M., Olson, R., Glass, E., Kubal, M.,

Paczian, T., Rodriguez, A., Stevens, R., Wilke, A., Wilkening, J., and Edwards, R. (2008). The metagenomics RAST server – a public resource for the automatic phylogenetic and functional analysis of metagenomes. *BMC Bioinformatics* 9, 386. doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-386

- Montoya, T., Borrás, L., Aguado, D., Ferrer, J., and Seco, A. (2008). Detection and prevention of enhanced biological phosphorus removal deterioration caused by *Zoogloea* overabundance. *Environ. Technol.* 29, 35–42.
- Morgenroth, E., Sherden, T., van Loosdrecht, M. C. M., Heijnen, J. J., and Wilderer, P. A. (1997). Aerobic granular sludge in a sequencing batch reactor. *Water Res.* 31, 3191–3194.
- Mosquera-Corral, A., de Kreuk, M. K., Heijnen, J. J., and van Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (2005). Effects of oxygen concentration on N-removal in an aerobic granular sludge reactor. *Water Res.* 39, 2676–2686.
- Moy, B. Y. P., Tay, J. H., Toh, S. K., Liu, Y., and Tay, S. T. L. (2002). High organic loading influences the physical characteristics of aerobic sludge granules. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 34, 407–412.
- Nielsen, P. H., Mielczarek, A. T., Kragelund, C., Nielsen, J. L., Saunders, A. M., Kong, Y., Hansen, A. A., and Vollertsen, J. (2010). A conceptual ecosystem model of microbial communities in enhanced biological phosphorus removal plants. *Water Res.* 44, 5070–5088.
- Nielsen, P. H., Saunders, A. M., Hansen, A. A., Larsen, P., and Nielsen, J. L. (2012). Microbial communities involved in enhanced biological phosphorus removal from wastewater – a model system in environmental biotechnology. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 23, 452–459.
- Norberg, A. B., and Enfors, S. O. (1982). Production of extracellular polysaccharide by Zoogloea ramigera. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 44, 1231–1237.
- Oehmen, A., Carvalho, G., Lopez-Vazquez, C. M., van Loosdrecht, M. C. M., and Reis, M. A. M. (2010). Incorporating microbial ecology into the metabolic modelling of polyphosphate accumulating organisms and glycogen accumulating organisms. *Water Res.* 44, 4992–5004.
- Oksanen, J., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., O'Hara, B., Simpson, G. L., Solymos, P., Stevens, M. H. H., and Wagner, H. (2009). Vegan: Community Ecology

Package. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

- Oshiki, M., Onuki, M., Satoh, H., and Mino, T. (2008). PHA-accumulating microorganisms in full-scale wastewater treatment plants. *Water Sci. Technol.* 58, 13–20.
- Pijuan, M., Werner, U., and Yuan, Z. (2011). Reducing the startup time of aerobic granular sludge reactors through seeding floccular sludge with crushed aerobic granules. *Water Res.* 45, 5075–5083.
- R-Development-Core-Team. (2008). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Rees, G., Baldwin, D., Watson, G., Perryman, S., and Nielsen, D. (2004). Ordination and significance testing of microbial community composition derived from terminal restriction fragment length polymorphisms: application of multivariate statistics. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 86, 339–347.
- Richard, M., Hao, O., and Jenkins, D. (1985). Growth kinetics of Sphaerotilus species and their significance in activated sludge bulking. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. 57, 68–81.
- Rossi, P., Gillet, F., Rohrbach, E., Diaby, N., and Holliger, C. (2009). Statistical assessment of variability of terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis applied to complex microbial communities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 7268–7270.
- Schuler, A. J., Jenkins, D., and Ronen, P. (2001). Microbial storage products, biomass density, and setting properties of enhanced biological phosphorus removal activated sludge. *Water Sci. Technol.* 43, 173–180.
- Seviour, T., Donose, B. C., Pijuan, M., and Yuan, Z. (2010). Purification and conformational analysis of a key exopolysaccharide component of mixed culture aerobic sludge granules. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 4729–4734.
- Seviour, T., Yuan, Z., van Loosdrecht, M. C. M., and Lin, Y. (2012). Aerobic sludge granulation: a tale of two polysaccharides? *Water Res.* 46, 4803–4813.
- Shin, H. S., Lim, K. H., and Park, H. S. (1992). Effect of shear stress on granulation in oxygen aerobic upflow sludge bed reactors. *Water Sci. Technol.* 26, 601–605.
- Sich, H., and Van Rijn, J. (1997). Scanning electron microscopy of biofilm formation in denitrifying, fluidised bed reactors. *Water Res.* 31, 733–742.

- Sun, Y., Wolcott, R. D., and Dowd, S. E. (2011). Tag-encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing for the elucidation of microbial and functional gene diversity in any environment. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 733, 129–141.
- Tay, J. H., Liu, Q. S., and Liu, Y. (2002). Aerobic granulation in sequential sludge blanket reactor. *Water Sci. Technol.* 46, 13–18.
- Tsuneda, S., Nagano, T., Hoshino, T., Ejiri, Y., Noda, N., and Hirata, A. (2003). Characterization of nitrifying granules produced in an aerobic upflow fluidized bed reactor. *Water Res.* 37, 4965–4973.
- van Loosdrecht, M. C. M., Martins, A. M., and Ekama, G. A. (2008). "Bulking sludge," in *Biological Wastewater Treatment: Principles, Modelling and Design*, eds M. Henze, M. C. M. Van Loosdrecht, G. A. Ekama, and D. Brdjanovic (London: IWA Publishing), 291–308.
- van Niekerk, A. M., Jenkins, D., and Richard, M. G. (1987). The competitive growth of *Zoogloea ramigera* and

type 021N in activated sludge and pure culture – a model for low F:M bulking. *J. Water Pollut. Control Fed.* 59, 262–273.

- Verawaty, M., Pijuan, M., Yuan, Z., and Bond, P. L. (2012). Determining the mechanisms for aerobic granulation from mixed seed of floccular and crushed granules in activated sludge wastewater treatment. *Water Res.* 46, 761–771.
- Wang, J., Zhang, Z., and Wu, W. (2009). Research advances in aerobic granular sludge. Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae 29, 449–473.
- Weber, S. D., Ludwig, W., Schleifer, K. H., and Fried, J. (2007). Microbial composition and structure of aerobic granular sewage biofilms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73, 6233–6240.
- Winkler, M. K. H., Kleerebezem, R., de Bruin, L. M. M., Habermacher, J., Abbas, B., and van Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (2011). "Microbial diversity differences in aerobic granular sludge in comparison to conventional treatment plant," in *IWA Biofilm Specialist*

Conference, ed. Z. Qi (London: IWA), 23–26.

- Xavier, J. B., de Kreuk, M. K., Picioreanu, C., and van Loosdrecht, M. C. M. (2007). Multi-scale individual-based model of microbial and byconversion dynamics in aerobic granular sludge. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41, 6410–6417.
- Yilmaz, G., Lemaire, R., Keller, J., and Yuan, Z. (2008). Simultaneous nitrification, denitrification, and phosphorus removal from nutrient-rich industrial wastewater using granular sludge. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 100, 529–541.
- Zima, B. E., Diez, L., Kowalczyk, W., and Delgado, A. (2007). Sequencing batch reactor (SBR) as optimal method for production of granular activated sludge (GAS) – fluid dynamic investigations. *Water Sci. Technol.* 55, 151–158.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that

could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 10 August 2012; accepted: 27 August 2012; published online: 13 September 2012.

Citation: Weissbrodt DG, Lochmatter S, Ebrahimi S, Rossi P, Maillard J and Holliger C (2012) Bacterial selection during the formation of early-stage aerobic granules in wastewater treatment systems operated under wash-out dynamics. Front. Microbio. **3**:332. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00332

This article was submitted to Frontiers in Microbiotechnology, Ecotoxicology and Bioremediation, a specialty of Frontiers in Microbiology.

Copyright © 2012 Weissbrodt, Lochmatter, Ebrahimi, Rossi, Maillard and Holliger. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any third-party graphics etc.

APPENDIX

Composition of the cultivation media (**Table A1**). Cloning-sequencing databank constructed for OTUs detected in reactors R1– R5 (**Table A2**). Full bacteriome phylogenetic tree constructed with the two pyrosequencing datasets (**Figure A1**). Sector graph representation of the bacteriome composition of the two bacteriomes of flocculent sludge and granular sludge (**Figure A2**).

Table A1 | Composition of the cultivation media, adapted from de Kreuk et al. (2005) and Ebrahimi et al. (2010).

Compound	CAS no.	Molecular formula	Molecular weight (g mol ^{–1})	Amount per 20 L of medium ¹	Concentration in medium (mmol L ⁻¹)
Carbon source medium ²					
Sodium acetate	127-09-3	C₂H₃O₂Na ⋅3H₂O	136.09	170.11/212.64 g	³ 62.5/78.1
Magnesium sulfate	7487-88-9	MgSO ₄ .7H ₂ O	246.51	17.75 g	3.6
Potassium chloride	7447-40-7	KCI	74.55	7.16 g	4.8
Nitrogen and phosphorus sources me	edium ²				
Ammonium chloride	12125-02-9	NH ₄ Cl	53.49	37.87 g	35.4
Dipotassium hydrogen phosphate	7758-11-4	K ₂ HPO ₄	174.18	14.62 g	4.2
Potassium dihydrogen phosphate	7778-77-0	KH ₂ PO ₄	136.09	5.72 g	2.1
Trace element solution	-	-	-	100 mL	-
Compound	CAS no.	Molecular formula	Molecular weight (g mol ^{−1})	Amount per 5 L of stock solution ¹ (g)	Concentration in stock solution (mmol L ⁻¹)
Trace element solution					
Disodium ethylenediaminetetraacetate	139-33-3	C ₁₀ H ₁₄ N ₂ O ₈ Na ₂ .2H ₂ O	372.25	637.0	342.2
Zinc sulfate	7733-02-0	ZnSO ₄ .7H ₂ O	287.59	22.0	15.3
Calcium chloride	10043-52-4	CaCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O	147.02	81.8	111.3
Manganese chloride	7773-01-5	MnCl ₂ .4H ₂ O	197.92	50.6	51.1
Iron(II) sulfate	7720-78-7	FeSO ₄ .7H ₂ O	278.05	49.9	35.9
Ammonium heptamolybdate	12027-67-7	(NH ₄) ₆ Mo ₇ O ₂₄ .4H ₂ O	1′235.88	16.4	2.7
Copper(II) sulfate	7758-98-7	CuSO ₄ ·5H ₂ O	249.71	15.7	12.6
Cobalt(II) chloride	7646-79-9	CoCl ₂ .6H ₂ O	237.96	16.1	13.5

¹The cultivation media and the trace element solution were prepared in 20 and 5 L of demineralized water.

²During the feeding phase of each SBR cycle, the synthetic influent wastewater was composed of 10% (v/v) of carbon source medium, of 10% (v/v) of nitrogen and phosphorus source medium, and of 80% (v/v) of tap water.

³The reactors R1–R5 and R6 were operated with 400 and 500 mg_{COD} L⁻¹ of acetate in the influent wastewater, respectively. The chemical oxygen demand (COD) conversion factor of acetate is $64 g_{COD} mol_{Ac}^{-1}$.

F-RF ¹ (bp)	Reactor ²	Affilia	tion ³	Accession number ³	Closest relative and original microbiota ⁴	Sequence identity ⁵ (%)
		Phylum → Family	Genus			
71	R3	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Zoogloea	AJ505853	Zoogloea resiniphila strain PIV-3C2w from denitrifying bacterial community	99
185	R4	C: Gammaproteobacteria		AM180057	Uncultured bacterium clone A1-41 from a N- and P-removing system	97
		O: Rhizobiales	G: Ochrobactrum	CP000759	Ochrobactrum anthropi ATCC 49188	100
193	R4, R5	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Dechloromonas	AF314420	Uncultured bacterium PHOS-HE23 from aerobic EBPR system	99
195	R1, R3	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Zoogloea	DQ413172	<i>Zoogloea</i> sp. EMB 357 from anaerobic-aerobic SBR	100
	R4, R5	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Zoogloea	AF527582	Uncultured bacterium clone LPB19 from EBPR system	99
		O: Enterobacteriales	G: Escherichia/Shigella	AY838362	Bacterium HPC775 from effluent treatment plant of chemical and dye industry	98
208	R1, R3	O: Burkholderiales	G: Sphaerotilus	AB087568	<i>Sphaerotilus</i> sp. L19 involved in filamentous sludge bulking process	100
		O: Burkholderiales	G: Leptothrix	FM175128	Uncultured Leptothrix sp. from tufa core sample	98
	R4, R5	O: Burkholderiales		AB087576	<i>Leptothrix</i> sp. L18 involved in filamentous sludge bulking process	98
213	R5	O: Burkholderiales	F: Comamonadaceae	AY491594	Uncultured bacterium clone oc53 from oligotrophic microbial fuel cells	99
214	R4, R5	O: Rhodocyclales	F: Rhodocyclales	EF565147	Uncultured bacterium clone UWMH_4 in Accumulibacter enrichment	99
215	R3	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Dechloromonas	EF632559	<i>Dechloromonas</i> sp. A34 from phosphate mining overburden	98
	R4, R5	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Dechloromonas	AY823964	Uncultured <i>Betaproteobacterium</i> clone DFAW-071 from acetate-fed denitrifying community	100
216	R5	O: Nitrosomonadales	G: Nitrosomonas	AJ621027	Ammonium-oxidizing Nitrosomonas sp. Is32	97
217	R3	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Zoogloea	DQ413157	<i>Zoogloea</i> sp. EMB 108 from anaerobic-aerobic SBR	98
	R1	O: Rhodocyclales	G: Thauera	AM084110	<i>Thauera</i> sp. R-28312 from denitrifying community	95
224	R4, R5	O: Sphingomonadales	G: Novosphingobium	AJ746094	Novosphingobium sp. MG37 from haemodialysis water and fluid	100
		O: Rhodobacterales F: Hyphomonadaceae AF502221 Uncultured bacterium clone HP1B26 from EBP sludge		99		
239	R4, R5	C: Gammaproteobacteria		AY651306	Uncultured bacterium clone Cont82 from EBPR ecosystem	99
250	R3	O: Pseudomonadales	G: Acinetobacter	GQ383923	Acinetobacter sp. XJ127 from sewage water	99
	R4, R5	O: Pseudomonadales	G: Acinetobacter	DQ205305	Kartchner Caverns bacterium HI-O4	100
		O: Flavobacteriales	G: Chryseobacterium	AF502204	Uncultured bacterium clone HP1B06 from EBPR sludge	99
289	R4, R5	O: Sphingomonadales	F: Sphingomonadaceae	U37341	<i>Sphingomonas paucimobilis</i> isolate EPA 505 from PAH-degrading soil consortia	100

The initial cloning-sequencing database of Ebrahimi et al. (2010) was complemented in the present study.

¹ Size of target terminal-restriction fragments (T-RF) obtained with HaeIII digestion and forming operational taxonomic units (OTU).

²Reactor biomass system from which the clones were isolated. A number of 1–7 clones were sequenced per T-RF.

³Closest bacterial affiliations and GenBank accession numbers obtain after mapping in the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP; Cole et al., 2009).

Legend: P, phylum; C, class; O, order; F, family; G, genus.

⁴Description of closest relatives and original microbiota from which the reference clones were isolated obtained from GenBank (Benson et al., 2011). ⁵Sequence identity scores obtained after mapping in RDP.



FIGURE A1 | Bacteriome phylogenetic tree constructed in MG-RAST (Meyer et al., 2008) with the pyrosequencing datasets of the two biomass samples collected on day 2 (flocculent sludge, red bar plot) and day 59 (early-stage AGS, green bar plot) in the R6 reactor. Each bar plot is related to the number of pyrosequencing reads detected per bacterial affiliation. The tree is presented with classes (outer black circle segments) and orders subdivisions (colored slices), and bacterial genera names. The identity of target orders marked with an asterisk is given for each left-hand and right-hand half of the circular tree. The RDP database (Cole et al., 2009) was used as annotation source, and a minimum identity cutoff of 97% was applied.

