

Microbial eukaryote diversity in the marine oxygen minimum zone off northern Chile

Darren J. Parris¹, Sangita Ganesh¹, Virginia P. Edgcomb², Edward F. DeLong^{3,4} and Frank J. Stewart¹*

¹ School of Biology, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, USA

² Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, USA

³ Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Parsons Laboratory 48, Cambridge, UK

⁴ Center for Microbial Ecology, Research and Education, Hawaii, HI, USA

Edited by:

Peter R. Girguis, Harvard University, USA

Reviewed by:

Xiu-Lan Chen, Shandong University, China Shawn R. Campagna, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, USA

*Correspondence:

Frank J. Stewart, School of Biology, Georgia Institute of Technology, Ford ES&T Building, Rm 1242, 311 Ferst Drive, Atlanta GA 30332, USA e-mail: frank.stewart@ biology.gatech.edu

Molecular surveys are revealing diverse eukaryotic assemblages in oxygen-limited ocean waters. These communities may play pivotal ecological roles through autotrophy, feeding, and a wide range of symbiotic associations with prokaryotes. We used 18S rRNA gene sequencing to provide the first snapshot of pelagic microeukaryotic community structure in two cellular size fractions (0.2–1.6 μ m, >1.6 μ m) from seven depths through the anoxic oxygen minimum zone (OMZ) off northern Chile. Sequencing of >154,000 amplicons revealed contrasting patterns of phylogenetic diversity across size fractions and depths. Protist and total eukaryote diversity in the $> 1.6 \,\mu$ m fraction peaked at the chlorophyll maximum in the upper photic zone before declining by \sim 50% in the OMZ. In contrast, diversity in the 0.2–1.6 μ m fraction, though also elevated in the upper photic zone, increased four-fold from the lower oxycline to a maximum at the anoxic OMZ core. Dinoflagellates of the Dinophyceae and endosymbiotic Syndiniales clades dominated the protist assemblage at all depths (~40-70% of sequences). Other protist groups varied with depth, with the anoxic zone community of the larger size fraction enriched in euglenozoan flagellates and acantharean radiolarians (up to 18 and 40% of all sequences, respectively). The OMZ 0.2-1.6 µm fraction was dominated (11-99%) by Syndiniales, which exhibited depth-specific variation in composition and total richness despite uniform oxygen conditions. Metazoan sequences, though confined primarily to the 1.6 µm fraction above the OMZ, were also detected within the anoxic zone where groups such as copepods increased in abundance relative to the oxycline and upper OMZ. These data, compared to those from other low-oxygen sites, reveal variation in OMZ microeukaryote composition, helping to identify clades with potential adaptations to oxygen-depletion.

Keywords: microeukaryote, ETSP OMZ, diversity, 18S, low oxygen

INTRODUCTION

Marine oxygen minimum zones (OMZs) support complex microbial communities adapted for life under low oxygen conditions. In the anoxic OMZ of the Eastern Tropical South Pacific (ETSP) off Chile and Peru, aerobic respiration of sinking organic matter combines with a decline in water column mixing to deplete dissolved oxygen to below detection (<15 nM; Thamdrup et al., 2012). Oxygen depletion at the ETSP OMZ core (~100-400 m) selects for communities dominated by bacteria and archaea (prokaryotes) capable of anaerobic or microaerophilic metabolism (Stevens and Ulloa, 2008; Ulloa et al., 2012). These microorganisms make important contributions to marine nitrogen, sulfur, and carbon cycling (Wright et al., 2012), for example as mediators of nitrogen loss through denitrification and anaerobic ammonium oxidation (Thamdrup et al., 2006; Lam et al., 2009). Although most large multicellular eukaryotes are absent from anoxic marine waters, severely oxygen-depleted layers in other OMZ regions contain a diverse community of microbial eukaryotes (microeukaryotes) including protists and fungi, as well as zooplankton with potential adaptations to oxygen limitation (Orsi et al., 2012; Orsi and Edgcomb, 2013; Teuber et al., 2013). As suggested for a coastal OMZ (Orsi et al., 2012), microeukaryotes in the ETSP OMZ may play important ecological roles, for example through predation or symbiotic interactions with prokaryotes. However, while bacteria and archaea in the ETSP OMZ are becoming better understood, and numerous studies have examined benthic meiofaunal diversity beneath OMZs (Neira et al., 2001; Levin, 2003), little is known about pelagic eukaryote diversity in this unique oxygen-depleted environment.

Marine microeukaryotes and zooplankton can significantly affect the structure and function of prokaryote communities and are now recognized as pivotal members of aquatic food webs in numerical models of nutrient cycling and in paradigms of surface and deep-ocean ecology (Arístegui et al., 2009). Protists impact major nutrient cycles directly and indirectly, through grazing on prokaryotic prey and consequent regeneration of nutrients, and through direct modification of organic matter (sinking

particulate and dissolved) (Taylor, 1982; Sherr and Sherr, 2002; Tang et al., 2010). Zooplankton surfaces, guts, and fecal pellets are habitats for bacterial growth (Tang et al., 2010), supporting local cell densities orders of magnitude higher than in the surrounding water (Grossart et al., 2003). Studies in oxygen deficient waters of the North Pacific and the anoxic Cariaco Basin have revealed unique protist communities with diversity and abundances varying along gradients of oxygen, sulfide, and methane concentration (Taylor et al., 2001; Li et al., 2008; Lin et al., 2008; Edgcomb et al., 2011a; Orsi et al., 2011, 2012). Anaerobic and microaerophilic species of ciliates and euglenid flagellates seem to be enriched in anoxic water layers (Lynn, 2008; Edgcomb et al., 2011b; Orsi et al., 2011, 2012). Recent studies of marine sediments suggest that some euglenids engage in symbiosis with nitrate reducing, sulfur oxidizing, epibiotic bacteria (Bernhard et al., 2000; Yubuki et al., 2009; Edgcomb et al., 2010). Cryptic symbioses between ciliates and methanogenic archaea have been suggested to possibly contribute significantly to methanogenesis in OMZs (Orsi et al., 2012). Furthermore, OMZs are known to harbor larger zooplankton such as copepods with specific ecophysiological and behavioral adaptations for persistence under low oxygen (e.g., reduced overall metabolic rates, enhanced activity of anaerobic pathways, diel migrations) (Childress and Seibel, 1998; Teuber et al., 2013). However, interactions of OMZ zooplankton with other community members are not well understood.

In some ocean regions, picoeukaryotes smaller than 3 µm may be among the most abundant cells in the water column (Moon-van der Staay et al., 2001; Massana et al., 2011), playing roles in primary production, food web dynamics, and mineral cycling (Fogg, 1995; Diez et al., 2001; Moon-van der Staay et al., 2001; Worden et al., 2004). However, many of these smaller microeukaryotes are new to science, and we are just beginning to understand their ecology (Moon-van der Staay et al., 2001; Worden et al., 2004). Because of their small size, picoeukaryotes may be overlooked in gene surveys focusing only on larger particulate size fractions of filtered water samples (> $3.0 \,\mu$ m). Indeed, no studies of OMZs have presented a size-fractionated comparison of eukaryotic community structure. Characterizing microeukaryote diversity and distribution among different OMZ regions and size fractions is a necessary step toward quantifying the ecological importance of these organisms for bulk chemical cycling and eukaryote-prokaryote interactions in OMZs and for clarifying the effects of severe oxygen depletion on planktonic community structure.

Here, we use 18S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing to provide a snapshot of eukaryote community structure in two size fractions $(0.2-1.6 \,\mu\text{m}, > 1.6 \,\mu\text{m})$ along a depth gradient through the ETSP OMZ off northern Chile. To our knowledge, this is the first community-level genetic survey of microbial eukaryotes in this anoxic water column. We highlight differences between the two size fractions, across the OMZ oxygen gradient, and make comparisons to 18S gene surveys from other marine environments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SAMPLE COLLECTION AND DNA EXTRACTION

Microbial community samples were collected from the ETSP OMZ as part of the Center for Microbial Ecology: Research and

Education (C-MORE) BiG RAPA (Biogeochemical Gradients: Role in Arranging Planktonic Assemblages) cruise aboard the *R/V Melville* (18 November—14 December, 2010). Seawater was sampled from seven depths spanning the oxic photic zone and oxycline (5 and 32 m samples), the suboxic ($O_2 < 10 \,\mu$ m) upper OMZ (70 m), the anoxic OMZ core (110, 200, 320 m), and the oxic zone beneath the OMZ (1000 m) from a single site (20° 05.0 S, 70° 48.0 W) off the coast of Iquique, Chile on November 19th (5 m), 20th (32 m), 21st (70, 110, 200, 320 m) and 23rd (1000 m). Water was sampled from Niskin bottles on a rosette containing a CTD profiler (Sea-Bird SBE 911plus) with a dissolved oxygen sensor and fluorometer. A peristaltic pump was used to filter water (10 L) through an in-line prefilter (GF/A, 1.6 µm pore-size, 47 mm dia., Whatman) to retain larger eukaryotes and a Sterivex[™] filter (0.22 µm pore-size, Millipore) to retain picoeukaryotes. Prefilters and Sterivex[™] filters were saturated with lysis buffer (~1.8 ml; 50 mM Tris-HCl, 40 mM EDTA, and 0.73 M Sucrose) and frozen until analysis.

Genomic DNA was extracted as described in Ganesh et al. (2014). Cells were lysed by adding lysozyme (2 mg in 40 μ l of Lysis buffer per filter) directly to a microcentrifuge tube containing a pre-filter or to the SterivexTM cartridge, sealing the caps/ends, and incubating for 45 min at 37°C. Proteinase K (1 mg in 100 μ l lysis buffer, with 100 μ l 20% SDS) was added and the tubes further incubated for 2 h at 55°C. Lysate was removed and DNA was extracted once with Phenol:Chloroform:Isoamyl Alcohol (25:24:1) and once with Chloroform:Isoamyl Alcohol (24:1). The purified aqueous phase was concentrated using Amicon Ultra-4 w/100 kDa MWCO centrifugal filters. Purified DNA aliquots from each depth and size fraction were used for PCR.

18S rRNA GENE PCR AND AMPLICON SEQUENCING

A 450-bp fragment spanning the variable V4 region of the 18S rRNA gene was PCR amplified using the universal forward primer 3NDF and the universal reverse primer V4_euk_R1 (Bråte et al., 2010). Primers were appended with Roche 454 sequencing adaptors and sample-specific barcodes as described in the protocol established for the Human Microbiome Project by the Broad Institute (Jumpstart Consortium Human Microbiome Project Data Generation Working Group, 2012). Reactions (25 µl) were performed with 50-150 ng template DNA in Platinum PCR Mastermix (Invitrogen) and run on an Eppendorf Mastercyler thermocycler. The PCR program for amplification was: 94°C for 2 min, followed by 34 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 59°C, 60 s at 72°C with a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. Amplicons were purified using the QIAQuick PCR Clean-Up Kit, quantified on a Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer, and pooled at equimolar concentrations. Pooled, barcoded amplicons were sequenced unidirectionally using the Roche Genome Sequencer FLX Instrument with Titanium chemistry. All sequence data generated in this study will be made publicly available in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive and is accessible under BioProject ID number PRJNA263803.

DATA ANALYSIS

Amplicons were analyzed according to established protocols using the QIIME package (Caporaso et al., 2010). Barcoded 18S datasets were de-multiplexed and filtered to remove low

quality sequences using default parameters (minimum quality score = 25, minimum sequence length = 200, no ambiguous bases allowed). De-multiplexed sequences were clustered into Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) at 97% sequence similarity, with taxonomy assigned to representative OTUs from each cluster by queries against the Protist Ribosomal Reference database, PR2 (as of March 2014, Guillou et al., 2012). OTU counts were rarefied and alpha diversity was quantified at a uniform sequencing depth based on the lowest sequence count from the protist only subset at 70 m (N = 1308 sequences) using the phylogenetic diversity (PD) metric (Faith, 1992). To evaluate differences in community composition between samples, sequences were aligned using the PyNAST aligner in QIIME and beta diversity was calculated using the weighted Unifrac metric, which compares samples based on the phylogenetic relatedness (branch lengths) of OTUs in a community, taking into account relative OTU abundance (Lozupone and Knight, 2005). Sample relatedness based on Unifrac was visualized using a two-dimensional Principal Coordinate Analysis.

RESULTS

OXYGEN

As reported previously (Ganesh et al., 2014), the sample site was characterized by steep vertical gradients in dissolved oxygen (**Figure 1**). Oxygen concentrations measured with the SBE sensor ranged from ~250 μ M at the surface to below 5 μ M through the OMZ core (~100–400 m), before increasing below 400 m to ~60 μ M at 1000 m. Prior measurements using high sensitivity switchable trace oxygen sensors with resolution in the nanomolar range indicate that the ETSP OMZ core is anoxic, with oxygen concentrations below 30 nM (Revsbech et al., 2009; Thamdrup et al., 2012). The base of the photic zone (1% surface PAR) occurred at ~40 m, with chlorophyll concentration peaking at 32 m (1.97 μ g l⁻¹).



PHYLOGENETIC DIVERSITY

Following removal of low quality reads, 44,975 and 109,141 sequences representing both protist and metazoan taxa were analyzed to assess diversity in the 0.2-1.6 µm (picoeukaryote) and $>1.6 \,\mu\text{m}$ size fractions, respectively (median per sample: 6760 and 15,564 for small and large size fractions; Table 1, **Figure 1**). In the $>1.6 \,\mu$ m fraction, phylogenetic diversity (PD), which measures the total branch length connecting all OTUs in the 18S rRNA gene phylogeny, peaked at 32 m within the primary chlorophyll maximum, but was comparable between surface (5 m) and anoxic (110-320 m) depths (Figure 1). In contrast, PD of the picoeukaryote fraction was bimodal with depth, peaking first within the chlorophyll maximum layer, declining to a minimum along the oxycline, and then progressively increasing four-fold within the OMZ to peak again at 300 m, where diversity was equivalent to that observed at 32 m and almost twofold higher than that of the >1.6 μ m fraction at the same depth (Figure 1).

COMMUNITY COMPOSITION

Eukaryote community composition differed substantially along the OMZ oxygen gradient and between size fractions (**Figure 2**). Based on weighted Unifrac distances, sequences of the >1.6 μ m fraction were less similar across depths compared to the 0.2–1.6 μ m fraction (**Figure 2E**), reflecting a broader range of major taxonomic groups in the larger fraction. Indeed, excluding the 5 m surface sample, samples of the 0.2–1.6 μ m fraction were dominated by a single Order (Syndiniales). The >1.6 μ m fraction from the oxic sub-OMZ (1000 m) and both size fractions from the surface (5 m) sample were relative outliers, clustering apart from those of the oxycline and OMZ depths (**Figure 2E**).

Table 1 100 minA gene amplicon sequencing statistics.	Table 1	18S rRNA	gene amplico	n sequencing	statistics.
---	---------	----------	--------------	--------------	-------------

Sample	Count	OTU ¹	
TOTAL sequences	196,027 ²		
Mean length	410 bp		
TOTAL unique OTUs		2331	
5 m 0.2–1.6 μm	4679	463	
$5 m > 1.6 \mu m$	26,127	639	
32 m 0.2–1.6 µm	6760	620	
$32 m > 1.6 \mu m$	12,943	946	
70 m 0.2–1.6 µm	2036	47	
$70 m > 1.6 \mu m$	15,564	462	
110 m 0.2–1.6 µm	8097	205	
$110 \text{ m} > 1.6 \mu\text{m}$	14,868	440	
200 m 0.2–1.6 µm	5633	270	
$200 m > 1.6 \mu m$	13,388	504	
320 m 0.2–1.6 μm	7148	692	
$320 m > 1.6 \mu m$	9562	382	
1000 m 0.2–1.6 µm	10,622	310	
$1000 m > 1.6 \mu m$	16,689	660	
$1000 m > 1.6 \mu m$	16,689	66	

¹ Observed number of operational taxonomic units (>97% nucleotide similarity). ² Total sequences before quality filtering.



fraction based on all 18S rRNA gene sequences. (B) > 1.6 μ m fraction with metazoan sequences excluded. (C) 0.2–1.6 μ m fraction based on all sequences. (D) > 1.6 μ m fraction based only on sequences matching

metazoa. **(E)** shows a principle component analysis of community taxonomic relatedness based on all sequences from both size fractions, as quantified by the weighted Unifrac metric. Depths within the OMZ are circled. **(F)** 0.2–1.6 μ m size fraction based only on sequences matching Syndiniales dinoflagellates.

The separation of the surface samples was due partly to their enrichment in metazoan and haptophyte sequences (45–72% of total, compared to 3–13% for sub-surface depths; **Figure 2C**). The diversity of the metazoan pool peaked at 5 m (**Figure 2D**), with groups such as the Appendicularia (Urochordata) and arthropods over-represented relative to OMZ depths (**Figure 2D**). In contrast, cnidarians and ctenophores comprised the bulk of the metazoan sequences in the larger size fraction within and below the OMZ. Sequences matching copepods (Maxillopoda) declined in relative abundance from the primary chlorophyll layer (32 m) to the upper OMZ, but increased again to a local maximum at the OMZ core (200, 320 m; **Figure 2D**).

Protists were abundant (45–96%) at all depths but comprised the vast majority (>85% of total) of the eukaryote community within the oxycline and OMZ (**Figure 2B**). Several groups followed clear depth-specific trends. Euglenozoan flagellates of the class Diplonemea represented a major portion of the OMZ community, comprising 18% of total sequences from the larger size fraction at 200 m, but were almost absent (<2%) from oxycline and surface communities. Ciliate sequences related to the Litostomatea were only observed in the 110 m and 200 m OMZ depths, although in low abundance (0.5–1.5% of all sequences). Photosynthetic algae of the Cryptophyta, Haptophyta, Picobiliphyta, Choanoflagellida, and Stramenopiles (diatoms) were confined primarily to the surface, representing ~20% of the sequence pool from the larger size fraction at 5 m (**Figure 2B**). In contrast, radiolarians in this fraction became progressively more dominant with depth, with unidentified members of the Acantharea peaking at the upper and lower OMZ boundaries (110 and 320 m), accounting for 42 and 41% of sequences, respectively, and Polycistenea radiolarians peaking at over 1/3 of protist sequences at 1000 m beneath the OMZ (**Figure 2B**).

The most consistently abundant protist OTUs were similar to uncultured Dinophyceae and the predominantly parasitic dinoflagellate taxon Syndiniales. These groups were detected at all depths, representing 42–68% of all protist sequences in the larger size fraction. Aside from a decline in Dinophyceae representation at 1000 m (to \sim 7%), sequence abundance within Dinophyceae and Syndiniales in the larger size fraction did not differ notably between oxic and OMZ depths (**Figure 2B**). In contrast, the picoeukaryotic fraction (excluding that of the surface sample) was dominated by Syndiniales dinoflagellates (53–99% of total), which showed substantial depth-specific variation in clade diversity across depths (**Figure 2F**). Syndiniales diversity peaked

at the surface and again within the OMZ, with Group II clades 5, 21, and 30 enriched at the OMZ depths (**Figure 2E**) and Group II clade 7 being the most abundant group at all other depths.

DISCUSSION

As observed in other low oxygen marine waters (Table 2), the ETSP OMZ harbors a diverse community of microeukaryotes with complex patterns of taxonomic structuring across oxygen gradients and between cellular size fractions. Phylogenetic diversity of eukaryotes in the >1.6 um size fraction, which presumably captures the majority of eukaryotic biomass, peaked in the oxic, photic zone in the layer of maximum chlorophyll fluorescence. This pattern is consistent with the hypothesis that resource availability supports a greater number of niches for microeukaryotes. Enhanced diversity in the photic zone may also be driven by a greater overall abundance in near-surface waters of larger organisms (metazoa) acting as hosts for symbiotic or parasitic protists. Interestingly, diversity within the larger size fraction from anoxic OMZ depths was equivalent to that at the surface (5 m) and in the oxic bottom water. The overall diversity trend in the larger size fraction did not vary substantially when only protists were analyzed (Figure 1), indicating that metazoan sequences had negligible effects on diversity calculations.

The relationship between picoeukaryotes and oxygen in OMZs is not well understood. In contrast to the larger size fraction, the 0.2-1.6 µm fraction in the ETSP was most diverse at the OMZ core. This pattern differs from that observed in seasonally anoxic waters where microeukaryote diversity (0.22-2.7 µm) and species richness declines as oxygen decreases below 20 µM (Orsi et al., 2012). However, the presence of sequences from metazoans and larger protists (e.g., radiolarians) in the 0.2-1.6 µm fraction suggests that a portion of the DNA in this size range likely originated from lysed cells and that diversity patterns in this fraction should be interpreted cautiously. Nonetheless, depth-specific diversity trends in the picoeukaryote fraction do not directly parallel those of the larger size fraction. A similar decoupling was observed in a recent analysis of size-fractionated prokaryotic communities at this ETSP site where diversity decreased from the surface to anoxic waters in the small size fraction while increasing in the larger size fraction (Ganesh et al., 2014). Though limited to a single depth profile, our data indicate that relationships between environmental variables, notably oxygen concentration, and community diversity metrics vary depending on the subset of the community being examined, indicating that estimates of bulk eukaryote diversity and inferences of diversity-environment linkages in this system may require examination of multiple microbial size classes.

The composition of the OMZ eukaryote community in the larger size fraction was presumably strongly influenced by the community in the overlying oxic, photic zone. The majority of non-metazoan sequences in this fraction were found at the surface (5 m) and at the depth of maximum chlorophyll fluorescence (32 m), and included diverse algae, particularly photosynthetic haptophytes, cryptophytes, unaffiliated Dinophyceae, and Stramenopiles (diatoms), as well as heterotrophic radiolarians belonging to the Acantharea and putative endosymbiotic dinoflagellates of the Syndiniales. Although haptophyte and

cryptophyte sequence abundance became negligible upon the transition out of the photic zone and into the OMZ, radiolarians, Dinophyceae and Syndiniales dinoflagellates remained dominant community members at all depths. The consistent signal from dinoflagellates may, to an unknown extent, reflect their presence as sinking dinospores resulting from near-surface infections, or alternatively, their presence in sinking, inactive or dead metazoan hosts. Similarly, Stramenopile sequences mostly related to photosynthetic diatoms were detectable at all depths and in both size fractions, though at low abundance (<2%). Stramenopiles, diatoms in particular, are known to form dense blooms in upwelling zones, sink rapidly when no longer growing, and are major constituents of OMZ eukaryotic communities at the surface and most likely as sinking particulate organic matter at anoxic depths (Table 2, Smetacek, 1985; Montero et al., 2007). The low relative abundance of stramenopiles in this dataset may indicate that we sampled between blooms of these taxa or during a bloom of other algal species. We cannot rule out the possibility that some of the diatom sequences recovered from anoxic depths represent active cells. It has been shown, for example, that some diatoms may respire nitrate to persist during periods of dark anoxia (Kamp et al., 2011), raising the possibility that even transient (sinking) members of the OMZ microeukaryote assemblage may contribute to bulk elemental cycling in anoxic waters.

Although broad similarities in microeukaryote composition were observed across depths, several groups were enriched within the anoxic zone. Euglenid flagellates matching most closely to Diplonema and ciliates of the Litostomatea increased in relative abundance in the OMZ, particularly in the larger size fraction. Diverse euglenids and ciliates are common inhabitants of anaerobic and microaerophilic marine waters (Bernard and Fenchel, 1996; Hayward et al., 2003; Orsi et al., 2011, Table 2). Clonebased molecular studies of OMZs have identified a new class of euglenids (Symbiontida) that are closely related to diplonemids, common in low oxygen waters, and appear to host sulfideoxidizing, nitrate-reducing epibiotic bacteria (Edgcomb et al., 2010; Orsi et al., 2011, 2012). Many different ciliates, including those in the class Litostomatea, have been recovered from molecular and visual studies of low oxygen sites (Lynn, 2008; Stock et al., 2009; Edgcomb and Bernhard, 2013; Edgcomb and Pachiadaki, 2014; Pachiadaki et al., in press). Ciliates found in anaerobic habitats all have mitochondria or mitochondria-like organelles called hydrogenosomes, and pyruvate oxidation via H2-excretion appears to be central to their anaerobic lifestyle (Fenchel and Finlay, 1991). Taxa known to inhabit anoxic marine habitats include the karyorelictids, prostomatids, haptorids, trichostomatids, entodiniomorphids, suctorids, scuticocilliatids, heterotrichids, odontostomatids, oligotrichids, and hypotrichids, some of which may be facultative anaerobes (Corliss, 1979; Fenchel and Finlay, 1995). Ciliates are often important grazers of abundant prokaryotes found along oxyclines, including in the deep sea (e.g., Lin et al., 2008; Pachiadaki et al., in press), and hence, may contribute significantly to nutrient cycling along OMZ oxygen gradients. Perispira ovum, a litostomatean ciliate, has been shown to prey heavily on euglenids, sequestering their chloroplasts and mitochondria as a potential mechanism to cope with low oxygen, thus acquiring the ability to function as

Table 2 | Relative abundance of dominant taxa across diverse low oxygen sites.

North Pactific Ocean Oxic at January sampling Oxic at 5m Clipphora Unknown dvodate 20 Countwey et al., 2010 CS 524 Oxic at 180m Divolutiona 20 Divolutiona 70 Secondary Secondary <t< th=""><th>Site</th><th>Oxygen^a</th><th>Taxonomic group^b</th><th>Relative%</th><th>References</th><th>Method^c</th><th>Sequences</th></t<>	Site	Oxygen ^a	Taxonomic group ^b	Relative%	References	Method ^c	Sequences
Oxic site, January samplingUnknown alveolate20 Ding/tyscape20 16 12 Aamthama20 12 12 Aamthama20 12 	North Pacific Ocean	Oxic at 5 m	Ciliophora	20	Countway et al., 2010	CS	524
sampling Doi: at 190 m Polycistomaa Doi: at 190 m Polycistomaa Doi: at 190 m Polycistomaa Pol	Oxic site, January sampling		Unknown alveolate	20			
Oxic at 150 m Polydistenea 70 Marine Akeelare Group I 3 Oxic at 500 m Polydistenea 35 Marine Akeelare Group I 71 Hamalin Pool, Shark Anoxic 1-2 cm Litostomates (Gilophora)* 40 Edgeomb and Bernhard, N, CS >96 By microbalities Poraminifera (Rhorail 10-20 2013 2013 - Bernanent anoxic basin Oxic 0-1 cm Dinophyscose (Protoinium) 10-20 2013 - Carliaco basin Oxic 0-1 cm Bringhyscose (Protoinium) 10-20 2013 - - Permenent anoxic basin Oxic 0-1 cm MAST Stramenopiles 11 - - - - Novel Akveolates 5 Novel Akveolates 5 - - - - Anoxie Radiolarians (RAD, 3, RAD-19) 90 Orsi et al., 2011 CS 6489 MAST Stramenopiles 11 Novel Akveolates* 114 - - Novel Akveolates 1 - Novel Akveolates* 14 Euglenoza* 9 Not et al., 2008 CS 641 Oxic alte Oxic Surface Maria akveolates 1 10-30 Not et al., 2012 A 4987 </td <td></td> <td>Dinophysceae</td> <td>16</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			Dinophysceae	16			
Marine Alveolate Group I Acantharea Marine Alveolate Group I Marine Alveolate Group I Marine Alveolate Group I Marine Alveolate Group I Marine Alveolate Group I12 23 AcanthareaHamein Pool, Shark, Bey microbalites Bey microbalites Demonsent anxic basinAnoxic - 2 cmLicetomates (Cliophora)* Labyrinhulide (Stramenopiles)40 10-20 2013Edgcomb and Bernhard, 2013A, CS 2013>96Bey microbalites Bey marine anxic basinOxic 0-1 cmDinophyscase (Protodinium) MAST Stramenopiles)10-20 12-202013Science 14, 2011CS6489Permanent anxic basinOxic 0-1 cmRadiofariar (RAD-3, RAD-19) MAST Stramenopiles)90 10-20Orsi et al., 2011CS6489Permanent anxic basinOxic 0-1 cmRadiofariar (RAD-3, RAD-19) MAST Stramenopiles90 10-20Orsi et al., 2011CS6489Permanent anxic basinAnoxic // Sufficit Radiofariar (RAD-3, RAD-19) MAST Stramenopiles90 10-20Orsi et al., 2011CS6489Indian Ocean Oxic Chi max MAST Stramenopiles11 Novel Alveolates 1 Dinophyscose10-20 10-25Not et al., 2008CS541Oxic Chi max Seesonally anoxic FjordOxic Chi max Marine alveolates 1 Dinophyscose10-20 20-20Not et al., 2012A4987Seesonally anoxic FjordOxic Chi max DinophyscoseStramenopiles 20-2010-25Not et al., 2012A4987Seesonally anoxic FjordAnoxic Stramenopiles Dinophyscose10-25Unpublished 40		Oxic at 150 m	Polycistenea	70			
Acantharea3 Acantharea3 AcanthareaOvic at 500 mPolycystenea55 Marine Alveolate Group II 23 Marine Alveolate Group II 23 Marine Alveolate Group II 23 Marine Alveolate Group II 2340Edgcomb and Bernhard, 2013A, CS>96Permanent anoxic besinAnoxic 1-2 cmLitestomates (Gilophora)* Evaninifiera (Rh2aria)40Edgcomb and Bernhard, 2013A, CS>96Oxic 0-1 cmDinophysceae (Protodinium) Labyrinthulida (Stramenopiles)10-202013CS6483Permanent anoxic basinOxicRadioarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) MAST Stramenopiles90Orsi et al., 2011CS6483Permanent anoxic basinAnoxic / Sufficit Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19)90Orsi et al., 2011CS6483Novel Alveolates11 Novel Alveolates10Novel Alveolates1010Novel Alveolates14 Euglenoza*151516Novel Alveolates1010-26Not et al., 2008CS541Oxic Chi maxMarine alveolates 1 Marine alveolates 110-26Not et al., 2012A4967Saanich Inlet Saasanuly anoxic FjordOxic Chi maxMarine alveolates10-20Orsi et al., 2012A4967Saanich Inlet Seasanelly anoxicAnoxic 2007Dinophysceae20-30Orsi et al., 2012A4967Saanich Inlet Seasanelly anoxicAnoxic 2007Dinophysceae15-2015-2016-20Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxic <td></td> <td></td> <td>Marine Alveolate Group I</td> <td>12</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			Marine Alveolate Group I	12			
Oxic at 500m Polycystenea Marine Alveolate Group I 55 7 Hamalin Pool, Shark Bay microballites Anoxic 1-2 cm Labyinthulida (Stramenopiles) 10-20 10-20 Edgoomb and Bernhard, 2013 A, CS >96 Bay microballites Foraminifiera (Rhizaria) 10-20 Labyinthulida (Stramenopiles) 10-20 2013 A, CS >96 Drace 0-1cm Dinophysocae Portaminifiera (Rhizaria) 10-20 2013 CS 64830 Permanent anoxic basin Oxic 0-1cm Badolerinas (RAD.3, RAD-19) 90 Orsi et al., 2011 CS 64839 Permanent anoxic basin Anoxic Radiolerinas (RAD.3, RAD-19) 72 Anoxic /Sufficiti Novel Alveolates* 11 Novel Alveolates* 11 Novel Alveolates* 14 Euglenoza* 15 Indian Ocean Oxic Sufface Marine alveolates 1 10-30 10-30 CS 54 Oxic atiu Oxic Chi max Marine alveolates 1 10-30 10-30 A 4987 Seasonally anoxic Stamenopiles 15-20 10-20 10-20 10-20 10-20 10-2			Acantharea	3			
Marine Alveolate Group II Marine Alveolate Group II23 Marine Alveolate Group II23 THamalin Pool, Shark Bay microbialites Permanent anoxic basinAnoxic 1-2 cm Eroaminifera (Rhusria)Labyrinthulida (Stramenopiles) 10-2010-20 2013Edgcomb and Bernhard, 2013A, CS>96Garine Dasin Permanent anoxic basinOxic 0-1 cmBade Barbard, Labyrinthulida (Stramenopiles)10-20 10-20CS6489Permanent anoxic basinOxic 0-1 cmMarine Alveolates Termanent anoxic basinStramenopiles100CS6489Permanent anoxic basinOxic 0-1 cmMarine Alveolates Termanent anoxicStramenopiles100CS6489Permanent anoxic basinOxic 0-1 cmMarine Alveolates Termanent anoxicStramenopiles100CS6489Permanent anoxic basinAnoxic Marine AlveolatesNovel Alveolates100CS6489Anoxic Suffidic basinMarine alveolates1010Stramenopiles10Stramenopiles10Novel Alveolates11 Hovel AlveolatesNot et al., 2018CS541Anoxic Suffidic bioinghyscaeaMarine alveolates I Dinophyscaea10-20Not et al., 2012A4987Stramenopiles privecae0Oxic Chi max Marine alveolates I Marine alveolates I Dinophyscaea10-20Oral et al., 2012A4987Stramenopiles privecae0Oxic Stramenopiles Termanenpiles10-20Oral e		Oxic at 500 m	Polycystenea	55			
Marine Alveolate Group 1 7 Hamelin Pool, Shark Bay microbalities Bery microbalities Demander anoxic basin Anoxic 1-2 cm Litostomate (Ciliophora)* Labyrinshulida (Stramenopiles) 40 Edgcomb and Bernhard, 0-20 A, CS >96 Data Dollar Displacease (Protodinium) 10-20 2013 <			Marine Alveolate Group II	23			
Hamelin Pool, Shark Bay microbialities Permanent anoxic basinAnoxic 1-2 cm Labyrinthuids (Stramenopiles)40 10-20 10-20Edgormb and Bernhard, D0-20A, CS 2013>96 2013Cariaco basin Permanent anoxic basinOxic ORadiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) MAST Stramenopiles90 10-20Oral et al., 2011CS6489Cariaco basin basinAnoxicRadiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) MAST Stramenopiles90 10Oral et al., 2011CS6489AnoxicRadiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) MAST Stramenopiles71 MAST Stramenopiles71 10-2072 MAST Stramenopiles71 10-20India Ocean Oxic SurfaceMarine alveolates' Mast Stramenopiles20-35 10-20Not et al., 2012CS541 541Seasonally anoxic FjordOxic Surface Mast Stramenopiles10-20 10-20Not et al., 2012A4987 441 452Sanch Inlet Seasonally anoxic FjordOxic Surface Mast Stramenopiles10-30 10-20Orsi et al., 2012A4987 440Seasonally anoxic FjordOxic Mast Stramenopiles10-30 10-20Orsi et al., 2012A4987 440Seasonally anoxic Seasonally anoxic FjordAnoxic AnoxicStramenopiles 15-2010-20 10-20Orsi et al., 2012A4987 440Sanch Inlet Seasonally anoxic PiordAnoxic 10 Colphysceae11-20 10-20Orsi et al., 2012A4987 440Sanch Inlet Seasonally anoxic PiordAnoxic 2000 10-20Dinophysceae<			Marine Alveolate Group I	7			
Bay microbialities Labyrinthulida (Stramenopiles) 10–20 2013 Permanent anoxic Foraminifera (Rhuzaia) 10–20 Labyrinthulida (Stramenopiles) 10–20 Cariaco basin Oxic 0–1cm Dinophysceee (Protofinium) 10–20 Cariaco basin Oxic Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 90 Orsi et al., 2011 CS 6499 Permanent anoxic MAAS TStramenopiles 5 basin Novel Alveolates 5 Anoxic Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 72 Anoxic Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 72 Anoxic Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 72 MAST Stramenopiles 11 Novel Alveolates 111 Novel Alveolates 114 Euglenozoa* 9 Indian Ocean Oxic Surface Marine alveolates 1 10–20 Oxic state Dinophysceae 10–25 MAST Stramenopiles 17 Novel Alveolates 1 10–20 Dinophysceae 10–25 MAST Stramenopiles 17 Novel Alveolates 1 10–30 Anoxic Straface Marine alveolates 1 10–30 MAST Stramenopiles 10–20 Dixic Chi max Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) Oxic state Dinophysceae 10–25 MAST Stramenopiles 10–20 Dixic Chi max Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) Oxic Straface Dinophysceae 10–25 MAST Stramenopiles 10–30 Dixic Chi max Radiolarians 15–40 MAST Stramenopiles 5–15 Stanich Inlet Oxic Stramenopiles 15–20 Suboxic Stramenopiles 15–20 Suboxic Stramenopiles 15–20 Suboxic Stramenopiles 15–20 Suboxic Chi max Ramenopiles 15–20 Suboxic Stramenopiles 16–20 Suboxic Stramenopiles 15–20 Suboxic Stramenopiles 15–20 Suboxic Stramenopiles 15–20 Suboxic Stramenopiles 16–20 Suboxic Stramenopiles 16–20 Suboxic Stramenopiles 16–20 Suboxic Stramenopiles 16–20 Suboxic Strame	Hamelin Pool, Shark	Anoxic 1–2 cm	Litostomatea (Ciliophora)*	40	Edgcomb and Bernhard,	A, CS	>96
Permanent anoxic basin Oxic 0-1 cm Foraminifier (RhiZaria) 10-20 Cariaco basin Oxic Radolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 90 Orsi et al., 2011 CS 6489 Permanent anoxic basin MAST Stramenopiles 5 5 5 5 Anoxic Radolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 90 Orsi et al., 2011 CS 6489 Permanent anoxic basin MAST Stramenopiles 11 5 6489 6 Anoxic/Sulfidi Radolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 60 6 6 6 Movie I Alveolates 11 11 7 7 7 Movie I Alveolates 11 11 7 7 7 Movie I Alveolates 14 11 7 7 7 Movie I Alveolates 14 10 7 7 7 Novel I Alveolates 10 10 7 7 7 Novel I Alveolates 10 10 7 7 7 Novel I Alveolates 10 10 20 5 10 Oxic Sufface Marine alveolates 10 10 20 4 Novie I Masti Stramenopiles 10 10 20 4 <td< td=""><td>Bay microbialites</td><td></td><td>Labyrinthulida (Stramenopiles)</td><td>10–20</td><td>2013</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	Bay microbialites		Labyrinthulida (Stramenopiles)	10–20	2013		
basin Oxic 0-1cm Dinophysocae (Protodinium) Labyrinthulida (Stramenopiles) 10-20 Carlaco basin Permanent anoxic basin Oxic Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 90 Orsi et al., 2011 CS 6489 Permanent anoxic basin MAST Stramenopiles 5 5 5 5 Anoxic Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 72 72 72 MAST Stramenopiles 11 72 74 74 MAST Stramenopiles 11 70 74 74 MAST Stramenopiles 17 70 74 74 Novel Alveolates* 14 14 14 14 Euglenozoa* 10 80 75 74 Novel Alveolates* 14 14 14 14 Euglenozoa* 10 70 74 74 Novel Alveolates I 20-35 Not et al., 2008 CS 541 Oxic Surface Marine alveolates I 10-20 75 74 Oxic ChI max Marine alveolates I 10-30 75 74 Seasonally anoxic Stramenopiles 10-30 74 74 Fjord Suboxie Stramenopiles 10-30 74 74 Seasonally	Permanent anoxic		Foraminifera (Rhizaria)	10–20			
Labyrinthulida (Stramenopiles) 10–20 Cariaco basin Permanent anoxic basin Oxic Anoxic Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) MAST Stramenopiles 90 Orsi et al., 2011 CS 6489 Anoxic MaST Stramenopiles 5 Anoxic Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 72 Movel Alveolates' 14 Fuglenozoa' 15 Anoxic / Sufface Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 72 Movel Alveolates' 14 Fuglenozoa' 15 Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 60 Mast Stramenopiles 17 Novel Alveolates' 14 14 Euglenozoa* 19 72 Not et al., 2008 CS 541 Oxic Surface Marine alveolates I 20-35 Not et al., 2008 CS 541 Oxic Stramenopiles 10–30 MAST Stramenopiles 10–30 Anoxic 541 Oxic Stramenopiles 10–30 MAST Stramenopiles 10–30 Anoxic 541 Oxic Sufface Stramenopiles 10–30 Orsi et al., 2012 A 4987 Sasanolity anoxic	basin	Oxic 0–1cm	Dinophysceae (Protodinium)	10–20			
Carlaco basin Permanent anoxic basinOxicRadiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) MAST Stramenopiles90Orsi et al., 2011CS6489AnoxicRadiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) MAST Stramenopiles11			Labyrinthulida (Stramenopiles)	10–20			
Permanent anoxic basin MAST Stramenopiles Novel Alveolates 5 Anoxic Anoxic Rediolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 72 MAST Stramenopiles 11 Novel Alveolates* 14 Euglenozoa* 15 Euglenozoa* 17 Novel Alveolates* 14 Euglenozoa* 17 Novel Alveolates 17 Novel Alveolates 17 Novel Alveolates 18 Indian Ocean Oxic Surface Mast Stramenopiles Diric site Oxic Chi max Mast Stramenopiles MAST Stramenopiles 10-20 MAST Stramenopiles 10-20 Oxic Chi max Mast Stramenopiles MAST Stramenopiles 10-20 Seasonally anoxic Dinophysceae Fjord Suboxic Stramenopiles Mast Stramenopiles 15-40 Eiglenozoa* 10-50 Radiolarians 15-40 Novie Alveolates I 10-30 Radiolarians 15-40 Mast Stramenopiles 16-50 Stamenopiles 16-50 Suboxic Dinophysceae Dinophysceae 30-40 Stramenopiles 15-20 Dinophysceae 15-20 <td>Cariaco basin</td> <td>Oxic</td> <td>Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19)</td> <td>90</td> <td>Orsi et al., 2011</td> <td>CS</td> <td>6489</td>	Cariaco basin	Oxic	Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19)	90	Orsi et al., 2011	CS	6489
basin Novel Alveolates 5 Anoxic Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 72 Anoxic/Sulfidie Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) RAST Stramenopiles Anoxic/Sulfidie Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) RAST Stramenopiles 15 Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) RAST Stramenopiles 17 Novel Alveolates* 14 Euglenozoa* 15 Indian Ocean Oxic Surface Marine alveolates 1 Oxic Surface Narine alveolates 1 Oxic Surface Narine alveolates 1 Oxic Surface Narine alveolates 1 Oxic ChI max Marine alveolates 1 Dinophysceae 0-25 Not et al., 2008 CS 541 CS Saanich Inlet Oxic Stramenopiles Dinophysceae 0-25 Cilfophora* Dinophysceae 0-20 Dinophyscea	Permanent anoxic		MAST Stramenopiles	5			
Anoxic Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 72 MAST Stramenopiles 11 Novel Alveolates* 14 Euglenozoa* 1.5 Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) 60 MAST Stramenopiles 17 Novel Alveolates* 14 Euglenozoa* 9 Indian Ocean Oxic Surface Marine alveolates 1 20-35 Not et al., 2008 CS 541 Oxic site Dinophysceae 10-25 MAST Stramenopiles 10-20 Oxic ChI max Marine alveolates 1 10-30 Radiolarians 15-40 MAST Stramenopiles 15-40 1000 1000 1400 Eigenozoa* 10-20 0rsi et al., 2012 A 4987 Seasonally anoxic Stramenopiles 30-40 15-20 1000 Fjord Suboxic Stramenopiles 30-40 15-20 1000 Seasonally anoxic Ciliophora* 15-20 15-20 15 15 Staramenopiles 15-20 15 15 15 15 Saanich Inlet Anoxic Coscinodiscophyceae 39 Rocke et al., 2012 A 2000 Seasonally anoxic Dinophysceae 15-20 15 15<	basin		Novel Alveolates	5			
MAST Stramenopiles11 Novel Alveolates*14 Heuglenozoa*Anoxic/SulficileRadiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19)60 MAST Stramenopiles17 Novel Alveolates*Indian Ocean Oxic SurfaceOxic SurfaceMarine alveolates 120-35 HadiolariansNot et al., 2008CS541Oxic siteOxic ChI maxMarine alveolates 1 Dinophysceae10-25 HAST Stramenopiles10-20 HAST StramenopilesNot et al., 2008CS541Oxic ChI maxMarine alveolates 1 Marine alveolates 1 Hadiolarians10-30 Radiolarians15-40 DinophysceaeOrsi et al., 2012A4987Seasonally anoxic FjordSuboxicStramenopiles30-40 Dinophysceae0rsi et al., 2012A4987Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxic at 200m DinophysceaeStramenopiles15-20 Dinophysceae0rsi et al., 2012A2000Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxic at 200m DinophysceaeDinophysceae21 TUnpublishedA2000Seasonally anoxic FjordAnoxic at 200m DinophysceaeDinophysceae39 TRocke et al., 2012CS175Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxic at 200m DinophysceaeDinophysceae39 TRocke et al., 2012CS175Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxic at 200m DinophysceaeDinophysceae39 TRocke et al., 2012CS175Seasonally anoxic Seasonally anoxicDinophysceae39 TRocke et al., 2012CS175<		Anoxic	Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19)	72			
Novel Alveolates*14Euglenozoa*15Anoxic/SulfidicRadiolerinas (RAD-3, RAD-19)60MAST Stramenopiles17Novel Alveolates*14Euglenozoa*9Indian OceanOxic SurfaceMarine alveolates 120-35Not et al., 2008CS541Oxic siteOxic SurfaceMarine alveolates 110-2010-2010-2010-20Oxic ChI maxMarine alveolates 110-3010-2010-2010-2010-20Oxic ChI maxMarine alveolates 110-3010-2010-2010-2010-20Oxic ChI maxMarine alveolates 110-3010-2010-2010-2010-20Oxic ChI maxMarine alveolates 110-3010-2010-2010-20Oxic ChI maxMarine alveolates 110-3010-2010-2010-20Seanch InletOxicStramenopiles5-1510-2010-20Seasonaliy anoxicDinophysceae20-300-4010-2010-20FjordSuboxicStramenopiles15-2510-2010-20Saanich InletAnoxicStramenopiles15-2010-2010-20Seasonaliy anoxicDinophysceae15-2010-2010-20Saanich InletAnoxicDinophysceae39Rocke et al., 2012A2000Seasonaliy anoxicDinophysceae39Rocke et al., 2012CS175Seasonaliy anoxicDinophysceae39Rocke et al., 2			MAST Stramenopiles	11			
Image: series of the series			Novel Alveolates*	14			
Anoxic/Sulfidic MAST Stramenopiles Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19) MAST Stramenopiles 60 MAST Stramenopiles Indian Ocean Oxic Surface Oxic Surface Marine alveolates I 20-35 Not et al., 2008 CS 541 Oxic Site Oxic ChI max Marine alveolates I 10-25 Not et al., 2008 CS 541 Oxic Site Oxic ChI max Marine alveolates I 10-30 15-40 10-30 15-40 MAST Stramenopiles 10-30 MAST Stramenopiles 5-15 10-30 15-40 Saanich Inlet Oxic Stramenopiles 30-40 15-40 10000 Fjord Suboxic Stramenopiles 30-40 15-25 10000 Fjord Suboxic Stramenopiles 15-20 15-20 15-20 Saanich Inlet Anoxic Stramenopiles 15-20 15-20 15-20 Saanich Inlet Anoxic Dinophysceae 21 Unpublished A 2000 Seasonally anoxic Dinophysceae 15 15 15-20 15-20 Suboxic Dinophysceae 17 data Edgcomb 15 Guif of Mexico Oxic Surface Coscinodiscophyceae 39 Rocke et al., 2012 CS 175			Euglenozoa*	1.5			
MAST Stramenopiles 17 Novel Alveolates* 14 Euglenozoa* 9 Indian Ocean Oxic Surface Oxic Surface Marine alveolates I 20-35 MAST Stramenopiles 10-25 MAST Stramenopiles 10-20 Oxic ChI max Marine alveolates I 10-30 Radiolarians 15-40 MAST Stramenopiles 5-15 Saanich Inlet Oxic Stramenopiles 30-40 Dinophysceae 30 Dinophysceae 30 Dino		Anoxic/Sulfidic	Radiolarians (RAD-3, RAD-19)	60			
Novel Alveolates* Euglenozoa*14 Euglenozoa*Indian Ocean Oxic SutfaceOxic SurfaceMarine alveolates I Dinophysceae20-35 10-25Not et al., 2008CS541Oxic siteOxic ChI maxMarine alveolates I MAST Stramenopiles10-20 10-20Namine alveolates I 10-30 Radiolarians10-30 15-40Seasonally anoxicSanich Inlet DinophysceaeOxicStramenopiles DinophysceaeOrsi et al., 2012A4987Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicOxicStramenopiles Dinophysceae30-40 DinophysceaeOrsi et al., 2012A4987Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicOxicStramenopiles Tamenopiles30-40 DinophysceaeOrsi et al., 2012A4987Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxicStramenopiles Tilicphora* Dinophysceae15-25 Tilicphora*Over et al., 2012A2000Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxic at 200 m DinophysceaeDinophysceae Tilicphora*15A2000Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicOxic Surface DinophysceaeCoscinodiscophyceae Tilicphora*17 Tilicphora*data EdgcombA2000Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicOxic Surface DinophysceaeCoscinodiscophyceae39 Tilicphora*Rocke et al., 2012CS175Gulf of Mexico Seasonally anoxicOxic Surface DinophysceaeCoscinodiscophyceae Trasinophytes39 Tilicphora*Rocke et al., 2012CS175Gulf of Mexico PolycysteneaOxic Su			MAST Stramenopiles	17			
Euglenozoa*9Indian Ocean Oxic SurfaceOxic SurfaceMarine alveolates I Dinophysceae20–35 10–25Not et al., 2008CS541Oxic siteDinophysceae10–25 MAST Stramenopiles10–20 10–30Narine alveolates I Radiolarians 15–4010–30 15–40Not et al., 2012A4987Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicOxicStramenopiles40–50 DinophysceaeOrsi et al., 2012A4987FjordSuboxic LStramenopiles30–40 Dinophysceae30–40 DinophysceaeOrsi et al., 2012A4987Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicSuboxic CStramenopiles15–25 Dinophysceae15–25Orsi et al., 2012A4987Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxicStramenopiles Dinophysceae15–25Orsi et al., 2012A2000Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxic at 200 m DinophysceaeDinophysceae21 15Unpublished data EdgcombA2000Seasonally anoxicOxic Surface Prasinophytes10 Dinophysceae39 800 PrasinophytesRocke et al., 2012CS175Gulf of Mexico Seasonally anoxicOxic Surface AnoxicCoscinodiscophyceae Prasinophytes39 800 PrasinophytesRocke et al., 2012CS175Anoxic Phycystenea Phycystenea9 Novel Alveolates*66SSS			Novel Alveolates*	14			
Indian Ocean Oxic SurfaceOxic SurfaceMarine alveolates I20–35 InophysceaeNot et al., 2008CS541Oxic siteDinophysceae10–25 MAST Stramenopiles10–20 10–20Oxic et al., 2012A4987Oxic ChI maxMarine alveolates I MaST Stramenopiles10–30 15–40Stramenopiles5–15ASaanich InletOxicStramenopiles40–50 DinophysceaeOrsi et al., 2012A4987Seasonally anoxicSuboxicStramenopiles30–40 DinophysceaeOrsi et al., 2012A4987FjordSuboxicStramenopiles30–40 Dinophysceae30–40 DinophysceaeOrsi et al., 2012A4987Saanich InletOxicStramenopiles15–25 Ciliophora*15–20Orsi et al., 2012A2000Saanich InletAnoxicDinophysceae21 DinophysceaeUnpublishedA2000Seasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae39 PrasinophytesRocke et al., 2012CS175Gulf of Mexico Seasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae30 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes11 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes1			Euglenozoa*	9			
Oxic siteDinophysceae10–25MAST Stramenopiles10–20Oxic ChI maxMarine alveolates I10–30Radiolarians15–40MAST Stramenopiles5–15Saanich InletOxicStramenopiles20–30Seasonally anoxicDinophysceae20–30FjordSuboxicStramenopiles30–40Dinophysceae30–40Dinophysceae30–40Dinophysceae30–40Dinophysceae30–40Dinophysceae15–25Ciliophora*15–20Saanich InletAnoxicStramenopiles15–20Saanich InletAnoxic at 200 mDinophysceae21UnpublishedSeasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae39Rocke et al., 2012CSGulf of MexicoOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae30Rocke et al., 2012CS175Seasonally anoxicDinophysceae40–50Prasinophytes10Prasinophytes10Morie Alveolates*6Novel Alveolates*61010	Indian Ocean	Oxic Surface	Marine alveolates I	20–35	Not et al., 2008	CS	541
MAST Stramenopiles10–20Oxic ChI maxMarine alveolates I10–30Radiolarians15–40MAST Stramenopiles5–15Saanich InletOxicStramenopiles40–50Orsi et al., 2012A4987Seasonally anoxicDinophysceae20–30FjordSuboxicStramenopiles30–40FjordSuboxicStramenopiles30–40	Oxic site		Dinophysceae	10–25			
Oxic Chl maxMarine alveolates I Radiolarians MAST Stramenopiles10–30 15–40 MAST StramenopilesSaanich InletOxicStramenopiles40–50Orsi et al., 2012A4987Seasonally anoxicDinophysceae20–30744987FjordSuboxicStramenopiles30–40 Dinophysceae30–4044AnoxicStramenopiles15–2515–2015–2015–20Saanich InletAnoxic at 200 m StramenopilesDinophysceae21 15UnpublishedA2000Saanich InletAnoxic at 200 m StramenopilesDinophysceae39 15Rocke et al., 2012CS175Gulf of Mexico Seasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae39 PrasinophytesRocke et al., 2012CS175AnoxicDinophysceae10 Prasinophytes10 Prasinophytes9 Polycystenea10 Polycystenea10 Polycystenea10 Polycystenea10 Polycystenea10 Polycystene			MAST Stramenopiles	10–20			
Radiolarians MAST Stramenopiles15–40 5–15Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicOxicStramenopiles40–50Orsi et al., 2012A4987Seasonally anoxicDinophysceae20–30Orsi et al., 2012A4987FjordSuboxicStramenopiles30–40 Dinophysceae30–40AnoxicStramenopiles15–25AnoxicStramenopiles15–25Ciliophora*15–20Dinophysceae15–20Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxic at 200 mDinophysceae21UnpublishedA2000Seasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae39Rocke et al., 2012CS175Gulf of Mexico Seasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae39Rocke et al., 2012CS175Gulf of Mexico PrasinophytesDinophysceae40–50Prasinophytes10Anoxic175Anoxic PolycysteneaDinophysceae80 Polycystenea9 		Oxic Chl max	Marine alveolates I	10–30			
MAST Stramenopiles5–15Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicOxicStramenopiles40–50Orsi et al., 2012A4987SidoxicDinophysceae20–30Stramenopiles30–40Dinophysceae30–40PiordSuboxicStramenopiles15–25Ciliophora*15–25Ciliophora*15–20Dinophysceae15–202000Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxic at 200 mDinophysceae21UnpublishedA2000Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxic at 200 mDinophysceae1517data EdgcombA2000Seasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae39Rocke et al., 2012CS175Gulf of Mexico Seasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae39Rocke et al., 2012CS175Anoxic PrasinophytesDinophysceae80Polycystenea9Novel Alveolates*640			Radiolarians	15–40			
Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicOxicStramenopiles Dinophysceae40–50 20–30Orsi et al., 2012A4987FjordSuboxicStramenopiles Dinophysceae30–40 Dinophysceae30–40 30–40AnoxicStramenopiles Dinophysceae30–40 30–40AnoxicStramenopiles Dinophysceae30–40 30–40AnoxicStramenopiles15–25 Ciliophora* Dinophysceae15–20A2000Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxic at 200 m DinophysceaeDinophysceae21 15Unpublished data EdgcombA2000Sulf of Mexico Seasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae39 PrasinophytesRocke et al., 2012CS175Gulf of Mexico Seasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae30 PrasinophytesNovel Alveolates*610			MAST Stramenopiles	5–15			
Seasonally anoxic Dinophysceae 20–30 Fjord Suboxic Stramenopiles 30–40 Dinophysceae 30–40 Anoxic Stramenopiles 15–25 Ciliophora* 15–20 Dinophysceae 15–20 Saanich Inlet Anoxic at 200 m Dinophysceae Seasonally anoxic Dinophysceae 21 Unpublished A 2000 Seasonally anoxic Dinophysceae 15 21 Unpublished A 2000 Seasonally anoxic Dinophysceae 15 15 20 2000 2000 Gulf of Mexico Oxic Surface Coscinodiscophyceae 39 Rocke et al., 2012 CS 175 Seasonally anoxic Dinophysceae 40–50 10 175 175 Gulf of Mexico Oxic Surface Coscinodiscophyceae 30 Rocke et al., 2012 CS 175 Seasonally anoxic Dinophysceae 80 10 175 175 Maxie Dinophysceae 80 10 175 175 Polycystenea 9	Saanich Inlet	Oxic	Stramenopiles	40–50	Orsi et al., 2012	А	4987
FjordSuboxicStramenopiles30–40Dinophysceae30–40AnoxicStramenopilesCiliophora*15–25Dinophysceae15–20Saanich InletAnoxic at 200 mSeasonally anoxicDinophysceae21UnpublishedMaxicDinophysceaeSeasonally anoxicDinophysceae21UnpublishedSeasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae39Prasinophytes10Prasinophytes10Prosinophytes10AnoxicDinophysceaeMoxicDinophysceaeSeasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae39Polycystenea9Novel Alveolates*6	Seasonally anoxic		Dinophysceae	20–30			
Dinophysceae30–40AnoxicStramenopiles15–25Ciliophora*15–20Dinophysceae15–20Saanich InletAnoxic at 200 mDinophysceae21UnpublishedA2000Seasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae39Rocke et al., 2012CS175Gulf of MexicoOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae39Rocke et al., 2012CS175Seasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae30Rocke et al., 2012CS175Gulf of MexicoOxic SurfaceDinophysceae40–50Prasinophytes10Prasinophytes10AnoxicDinophysceae8090/ycystenea9Novel Alveolates*6V	Fjord	Suboxic	Stramenopiles	30–40			
AnoxicStramenopiles15–25Cliophora*15–20Dinophysceae15–20Saanich InletAnoxic at 200 mDinophysceae21UnpublishedA2000Seasonally anoxicDinophyta (Syndiniales)*17data EdgcombA2000Gulf of MexicoOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae39Rocke et al., 2012CS175Gulf of MexicoOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae40–50Prasinophytes10Frasinophytes10AnoxicDinophysceae80Polycystenea9Novel Alveolates*6Frasinophytes10			Dinophysceae	30–40			
Ciliophora*15–20Dinophysceae15–20Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxic at 200 m Dinophyta (Syndiniales)*21 17 data EdgcombUnpublished data EdgcombA 2000Gulf of Mexico Seasonally anoxicOxic Surface DinophysceaeCoscinodiscophyceae Dinophysceae39 40–50Rocke et al., 2012CS 175Gulf of Mexico Seasonally anoxicOxic Surface PrasinophytesCoscinodiscophyceae Prasinophytes39 10Rocke et al., 2012CS 40–50175Moxic Polycystenea PolycysteneaDinophysceae 9 Novel Alveolates*80 9 69 610		Anoxic	Stramenopiles	15–25			
Dinophysceae15–20Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxic at 200 m Dinophyta (Syndiniales)*21 Dinophyta (Syndiniales)*Unpublished AA 2000Gulf of Mexico Seasonally anoxicOxic Surface DinophysceaeCoscinodiscophyceae Dinophysceae39 40–50Rocke et al., 2012CS175Gulf of Mexico Seasonally anoxicOxic Surface PrasinophytesCoscinodiscophyceae Prasinophytes39 10Rocke et al., 2012CS175Moxic Polycystenea Novel Alveolates*9 Rocke et al.9 Rocke et al.9 Rocke et al.10 Rocke e			Ciliophora*	15–20			
Saanich Inlet Seasonally anoxicAnoxic at 200 mDinophysceae Dinophyta (Syndiniales)* Stramenopiles21Unpublished data EdgcombA2000Gulf of Mexico Seasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae39Rocke et al., 2012CS175Gulf of Mexico Seasonally anoxicOxic SurfaceCoscinodiscophyceae40–50Prasinophytes1010Anoxic Polycystenea Novel Alveolates*Dinophysceae8091010			Dinophysceae	15–20			
Seasonally anoxic Dinophyta (Syndiniales)* Stramenopiles 17 data Edgcomb Gulf of Mexico Oxic Surface Coscinodiscophyceae 39 Rocke et al., 2012 CS 175 Seasonally anoxic Dinophysceae 40–50 Prasinophytes 10 10 10 Anoxic Dinophysceae 80 Polycystenea 9 Novel Alveolates* 6 10	Saanich Inlet	Anoxic at 200 m	Dinophysceae	21	Unpublished	А	2000
Stramenopiles 15 Gulf of Mexico Oxic Surface Coscinodiscophyceae 39 Rocke et al., 2012 CS 175 Seasonally anoxic Dinophysceae 40–50 10 10 10 Anoxic Dinophysceae 80 10 10 Polycystenea 9 10 10 Novel Alveolates* 6	Seasonally anoxic		Dinophyta (Syndiniales)*	17	data Edgcomb		
Gulf of Mexico Oxic Surface Coscinodiscophyceae 39 Rocke et al., 2012 CS 175 Seasonally anoxic Dinophysceae 40–50			Stramenopiles	15			
Seasonally anoxicDinophysceae40–50Prasinophytes10AnoxicDinophysceae80Polycystenea9Novel Alveolates*6	Gulf of Mexico	Oxic Surface	Coscinodiscophyceae	39	Rocke et al., 2012	CS	175
Prasinophytes 10 Anoxic Dinophysceae 80 Polycystenea 9 Novel Alveolates* 6	Seasonally anoxic		Dinophysceae	40–50			
Anoxic Dinophysceae 80 Polycystenea 9 Novel Alveolates* 6			Prasinophytes	10			
Polycystenea9Novel Alveolates*6		Anoxic	Dinophysceae	80			
Novel Alveolates* 6			Polycystenea	9			
			Novel Alveolates*	6			

(Continued)

Table 2 | Continued

Site	Oxygen ^a	Taxonomic group ^b	Relative%	References	Method ^c	Sequences
North Pacific	Oxic Surface	Ciliophora	27	Schnetzer et al., 2011	CS	856
Coastal upwelling		Stramenopiles	20			
		Dinophysceae	14			
	Suboxic	Alveolate Group II*	35			
		Acantharea*	19			
		Polycystenea	16			
	Anoxic	Alveolate Group II*	36			
		Ciliophora*	16			
		Acantharea*	13			
Gotland Deep	Suboxic	Ciliophora*	43	Stock et al., 2009	CS	600
Seasonally anoxic		Fungi	30			
		Choanoflagellida	11			
	Anoxic/Sulfidic	Jakobida	71			
		Fungi	6			
		Ciliophora*	5			
Thetis	Anoxic	Fungi	37	Stock et al., 2012	CS	192
Hypersaline anoxic		Ciliophora*	20			
basin		Stramenopiles	20			
Sippewissett salt	Suboxic	Unknown Stramenopiles	26	Stoeck et al., 2003	CS	42
marsh		Unknown Alveolates	26	,		
		(Ciliophora)*	20			
Black Sea	Suboxic	Stramenopiles	42	Wylezich and Jürgens,	CS	258
Permanently		Ciliophora*	36	2011		
anoxic		Dinoflagellates	19			
	Anoxic/Sulfidic	Stramenopiles	42			
		Ciliophora*	33			
		Euglenozoa*	8			
Mariajer Fjord	Anoxic	Alveolates (mainly	41	Zuendorf et al., 2006	CS	307
Permanently anoxic		Ciliophora)*				
		Stramenopiles	28			
ETSP OMZ	Oxic at 5 m	Metazoa	55	This study	А	196,027
Permanently anoxic		Dinophysceae	15			
		Prymnesiophysceae	5			
	Oxic at 32 m	Dinophysceae	27			
		Dinophyta (Syndiniales)	26			
		Acantharea	17			
	Oxic at 70 m	Dinophysceae	32			
		Dinophyta (Syndiniales)	24			
		Metazoa	16			
	Anoxic at 110 m	Acantharea*	43			
		Dinophysceae	27			
		Dinophyta (Syndiniales)*	16			
	Anoxic at 200 m	Dinophysceae	40			
		Euglenozoa*	18			
		Dinophyta (Syndiniales)*	16			
	Anoxic at 320 m	Acantharea*	40			
		Dinophysceae	25			
		Dinophyta (Syndiniales)*	8			

^a Low oxygen samples are in bold, Oxic, O₂ above 15 µM; Suboxic, O₂ below 15 µM; Anoxic or Anoxic Sulfidic, no O₂.

^b Dominant taxa, bold font with an asterisk highlight taxa shared among multiple low oxygen sites but not common at oxic sites.

^cSequencing Method, CS, cloning and Sanger sequencing; A, Amplicon sequencing.

mixotrophs in environments where mixotrophy confers a competitive advantage (Johnson et al., 1995). The lifestyle of litostomatean ciliates in the core of this OMZ, well outside of the photic zone, can only be speculated upon, and if these ciliates are active, other adaptive mechanisms may be present within taxa not normally described from dark, anoxic, deep water columns. Within both of these diverse groups (ciliates and euglenids detected in this study), physiological adaptions to oxygen depletion and mutualistic associations with prokaryotes may enable persistence in OMZs.

Dinoflagellates of the order Syndiniales showed clear depthspecific differences. This group predominated within the $0.2-1.6\,\mu m$ size fraction, increasing in richness at the OMZ core and contributing to an overall peak in diversity at this depth (Figures 1, 2E). The Syndiniales are thought to be exclusive endoparasites of macrozoans and other protists (Moreira and López-García, 2003; Chambouvet et al., 2008) and are frequently reported as the most abundant picoeukaryotes in environmental clone libraries from diverse ocean sites (López-García et al., 2001; Countway et al., 2007; Not et al., 2007; Sauvadet et al., 2010). Syndiniales are known to play important roles in controlling blooms of different taxa, and these parasitoids complete their life cycle in less than 3 days, releasing hundreds of freeliving dinospores ($<2 \mu m$) in the process (Chambouvet et al., 2008; Guillou et al., 2008). The abundance of dinospores may contribute to dominance of Syndiniales in many environmental surveys. Although the diversity and environmental distribution of Syndiniales are not fully understood, prior evidence shows that Groups I and II are present at hypoxic and suboxic sites (Guillou et al., 2008), with Group II more common than Group I below the photic zone. Here, Syndiniales Group II clades 5, 21, and 30 increased in relative abundance within the ETSP OMZ compared to more oxygenated waters above and below. Conversely, Clade 7 (Group II), the dominant Syndiniales group within the oxycline and in the oxic depths, decreased in abundance at the OMZ core. Some Group II clades (specifically 1, 2, and 14) are parasites of other dinoflagellates and appear to show host specificity (Chambouvet et al., 2008). It is unclear whether the vertical distribution and ecological contributions of these and other Syndiniales clades in the ETSP OMZ are driven by free-living Syndiniales cells or by associations with hosts whose distributions vary with depth (assuming host lysis and release of cells into the picoeukaryotic fraction). Indeed, clone libraries from anoxic waters appear to contain members of the Dinophysceae sharing low similarity to known dinoflagellates who may serve as hosts to Syndiniales (Stoeck et al., 2003; Wylezich and Jürgens, 2011).

Radiolarian protists related to *Acantharea* were also enriched in the OMZ. This may suggest they are also transported to the OMZ interior as sinking cells from the surface, potentially as aggregates or in association with fecal pellets (Turner, 2002). However, sequences affiliating with Radiolaria are frequently extremely abundant in environmental surveys of oxygen-depleted water columns (e.g., Not et al., 2008; Countway et al., 2010; Edgcomb et al., 2011a; **Table 2**). In the ETSP, acantharids peaked in proportional abundance at the upper portion of the OMZ (110 m) and again at 320 m in the anoxic core. Acantharids are mixotrophs that are common in surface waters, especially in eutrophic environments (Gilg et al., 2010), where they have been shown to host large numbers of photosynthetic eukaryotic symbionts (Michaels, 1991; Michaels et al., 1995). They are thought to be the most significant biological regulators of strontium in the ocean as they construct their cell walls entirely of strontium sulfate. Interestingly, these taxa are in very low abundance (<3%)at 200 m and at intermediate abundance (14-15%) in the oxic 32 and 70 m samples. The acantharid-affiliated OTUs that were abundant in the OMZ at 110 and 320 m were also present at surface depths, suggesting the transport of these taxa into the OMZ via sinking particles. However, we did not observe an even distribution of these signatures at depths between the surface and 320 m, and so the patchy distribution of this group is inconsistent with a uniform flux of dead or dying radiolarians from the photic zone. Measurements of absolute abundance along with indicators of metabolic activity would be required to confirm and interpret this pattern.

Over half of all sequences at the surface (>1.6 μ m fraction) were affiliated with metazoans (Figures 2A,D). While the dominant urochordate signal detected at the surface was lost in the transition into the OMZ, cnidarian, ctenophore, and Maxillipoda (copepod)- associated sequences detected at the surface were present in anoxic depths at low abundance (<10% of total sequences). Sequences matching jellyfish (Cnidaria) dominated the metazoan sequence pool in the anoxic zone. Diverse jellyfish have been observed in the oxycline and upper hypoxic layers of the OMZ of the Eastern Tropical North Pacific (Beatteay, 2012, unpublished), consistent with the observed tolerance of many jellyfish to hypoxia (Elliott et al., 2012). Copepods have also been observed in diverse low oxygen waters where they exhibit speciesspecific depth distributions (Saltzman and Wishner, 1997) and may possess physiological adaptations such as reduced respiration that allow persistence for brief periods under hypoxia (Teuber et al., 2013). Here, sequences matching copepods increased in relative abundance from the oxycline to the anoxic OMZ core before declining again at 1000 m, raising the potential that these organisms may occupy a niche within the anoxic zone where they may escape predation or have less competition. Additional taxonomic and physiological characterizations are needed to confirm the presence of a metazoan community adapted specifically to the extreme oxygen depletion observed in the ETSP.

COMPARISONS TO OTHER LOW OXYGEN SITES

The taxonomic trends in the ETSP show broad similarities to those observed at other low oxygen marine sites, as well as notable differences, which may reflect the unique habitat characteristics of an OMZ. The relative dominance of dinoflagellate and radiolarian signatures in the ETSP OMZ has been observed at several other oxygen-depleted sites in upwelling regions, including the Black Sea, Mariager Fjord, North Pacific, Saanich Inlet, and the Gulf of Mexico (**Table 2**), although due to potentially high ribosomal RNA gene copy numbers in these groups, these observations must be interpreted with caution until they are confirmed by microscopy or another method. Signatures of ciliates and euglenids were more abundant in our libraries from within the OMZ core and are also common in molecular surveys from other low oxygen environments. For example,

Microeukaryote diversity in the Chilean OMZ

litostomatean ciliates were identified in an Eastern Mediterranean anoxic basin (Edgcomb and Bernhard, 2013) and signatures of other diverse ciliates, including novel lineages have been recovered from Mariager fjord, the Black Sea, Baltic Sea, and the North Pacific (**Table 2**). Euglenid signatures were abundant in molecular surveys of both the Black Sea and Cariaco Basin (**Table 2**). Stramenopiles appear to be common across anoxic environments, but were rarely detected in our ETSP OMZ dataset.

The sequences recovered from many of these "low oxygen" groups vary by region and often do not match known sequences in public databases that would enable finer taxonomic assignments (Orsi et al., 2012; Stock et al., 2012; Edgcomb and Bernhard, 2013). This highlights a general gap in knowledge of the underlying diversity in oxygen-depleted waters. Furthermore, studies of eukaryote diversity in low oxygen waters have utilized an array of sampling methods, sample sizes, and analysis tools, making it challenging to directly compare diversity metrics across sites (Stock et al., 2009; Edgcomb et al., 2011a; Orsi et al., 2011; Rocke et al., 2012). For example, the analysis of multiple size fractions in our study identifies contrasting patterns of phylogenetic diversity with depth in the OMZ, suggesting knowledge of the effect of environmental gradients (e.g., oxygen) on community structure may be biased depending on sample collection and processing strategy. Together, the increasing volume of sequence data from diverse low oxygen regions suggests geographic differences in protist communities in different oxygen-poor habitats, and high levels of uncharacterized microeukaryote diversity (Stoeck et al., 2003; Zuendorf et al., 2006; Not et al., 2008; Schnetzer et al., 2011; Orsi et al., 2012). Resolving linkages between environmental parameters and diversity of microeukaryotes in OMZs will require application of uniform sampling methods across diverse low oxygen waters, as well as studies that couple gene surveys to genomic or ecophysiological measurements to link OTU distributions to functional activity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Jess Bryant, Adrian Sharma, Jaime Becker and the captain and crew of the *R/V Melville* for help in sample collection, and Tsultrim Palden for help in DNA library preparation and pyrosequencing. This work is a contribution of the Center for Microbial Oceanography: Research and Education (C-MORE) and was made possible by generous support from the National Science Foundation (1151698 to Frank J. Stewart and EF0424599 to Edward F. DeLong), the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation (Frank Stewart), the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation (Edward F. DeLong), and the Agouron Institute (Edward F. DeLong). Edgcomb's involvement was supported by contributions from the Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Director of Research and Ocean Life Institute.

REFERENCES

- Arístegui, J., Gasol, J. M., Duarte, C. M., and Herndl, G. J. (2009). Microbial oceanography of the dark ocean's pelagic realm. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 54, 1501–1529. doi: 10.4319/lo.2009.54.5.1501
- Beatteay, S. L. (2012). Impact of Hypoxia on the Vertical Distribution of Jellyfish in the Eastern Tropical Northern Pacific. University of Washington Senior Thesis.
- Bernard, C., and Fenchel, T. (1996). Some microaerobic ciliates are facultative anaerobes. Eur. J. Protistol. 32, 293–297. doi: 10.1016/S0932-4739(96)80051-4

- Bernhard, J. M., Buck, K. R., Farmer, M. A., and Bowser, S. S. (2000). The Santa Barbara Basin is a symbiosis oasis. *Nature* 403, 77–80. doi: 10.1038/47476
- Bråte, J., Logares, R., Berney, C., Ree, D. K., Klaveness, D., Jakobsen, K. S., et al. (2010). Freshwater Perkinsea and marine-freshwater colonizations revealed by pyrosequencing and phylogeny of environmental rDNA. *ISME J.* 4, 1144–1153. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2010.39
- Caporaso, J., Kuczynski, J., Stombaugh, J., Bittinger, K., Bushman, F. D., Costello, E. K., et al. (2010). QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. *Nat. Methods* 7, 335–336. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.f.303
- Chambouvet, A., Morin, P., Marie, D., and Guillou, L. (2008). Control of toxic marine dinoflagellate blooms by serial parasitic killers. *Science* 322, 1254–1257. doi: 10.1126/science.1164387
- Childress, J. J., and Seibel, B. A. (1998). Life at stable low oxygen levels: adaptations of animals to oceanic oxygen minimum layers. *J. Exp. Biol.* 201, 1223–1232.
- Corliss, J. O. (1979). The Ciliated Protozoa. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Countway, P. D., Gast, R. J., Dennett, M. R., Savai, P., Rose, J. M., and Caron, D. A. (2007). Distinct protistan assemblages characerize the euphotic zone and deep sea (2500 m) of the western North Atlantic (Sargasso Sea and Gulf Stream). *Environ. Microbiol.* 9, 1219–1232. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007. 01243.x
- Countway, P. D., Vigil, P. D., Schnetzer, A., Moorthi, S. D., and Caron, D. A. (2010). Seasonal analysis of protistan community structure and diversity at the USC Microbial Observatory (San Pedro Channel, North Pacific Ocean). *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 55, 2381–2396. doi: 10.4319/lo.2010.55.6.2381
- Diez, B., Pedros-Alio, C., and Massan, R. (2001). Study of genetic diver-sity of eukaryotic picoplankton in different oceanic regions by small-subunit rRNA gene cloning and sequencing. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67, 2932–2941. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.7.2932-2941.2001
- Edgcomb, V., and Bernhard, J. (2013). Heterotrophic protists in hypersaline microbial mats and deep hypersaline basin water columns. *Life* 3, 346–362. doi: 10.3390/life3020346
- Edgcomb, V., Breglia, S. A., Yubuki, N., Beaudoin, D., Patterson, D. J., Leander, B. S., et al. (2010). Identity of epibiotic bacteria on symbiontid euglenozoans in O₂-depleted marine sediments: evidence for symbiont and host co-evolution. *ISME J.* 5, 1–13. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2010.121
- Edgcomb, V. P., Orsi, W., Breiner, H.-W., Stock, A., Filker, S., Yakimov, M. M., et al. (2011b). Novel kinetoplastids associated with hypersaline anoxic lakes in the Eastern Mediterranean deep-sea. *Deep Sea Res. I* 58, 1040–1048. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr.2011.07.003
- Edgcomb, V., Orsi, W., Bunge, J., Jeon, S., Christen, R., Leslin, C., et al. (2011a). Protistan microbial observatory in the Cariaco Basin, Caribbean. I. Pyrosequencing vs Sanger insights into species richness. *ISME J.* 5, 1344–1356. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.6
- Edgcomb, V. P., and Pachiadaki, M. (2014). Ciliates along oxyclines of permanently stratified marine water columns. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 4, 434–445. doi: 10.1111/jeu.12122
- Elliott, D. T., Pierson, J., and Roman, M. (2012). Relationship between environmental conditions and zooplankton community structure during summer hypoxia in the northern Gulf of Mexico. J. Plankton Res. 34, 443–453. doi: 10.1093/plankt/fbs029
- Faith, D. P. (1992). Conservation evaluation and phylo- genetic diversity. Biol. Conserv. 61, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/0006-3207(92)91201-3
- Fenchel, T., and Finlay, B. J. (1991). The biology of free-living anaerobic ciliates. *Eur. J. Protistol.* 26, 201–215. doi: 10.1016/S0932-4739(11)80143-4
- Fenchel, T., and Finlay, B. J. (1995). "Ecology and evolution in anoxic worlds, oxford series," in *Ecology and Evolution*, eds R. M. May, and P. H. Harvey (New York, NY: Oxford University Press), 276.
- Fogg, G. E. (1995). Some comments on picoplankton and its importance in the pelagic ecosystem. Aquat. Microbial. Ecol. 9, 33–39. doi: 10.3354/ame009033
- Ganesh, S., Parris, D. J., DeLong, E. F., and Stewart, F. J. (2014). Metagenomic analysis of size-fractionated picoplankton in a marine oxygen minimum zone. *ISME* J. 8, 187–211. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2013.144
- Gilg, I., Amaral-Zettler, L., Countway, P., Moorthi, S., Schnetzer, A., and Caron, D. (2010). Phylogenetic affiliations of mesopelagic acantharia and acantharianlike environmental 18S rRNA genes off the southern California coast. *Protist* 161, 197–211. doi: 10.1016/j.protis.2009.09.002
- Grossart, H. P., Hietanen, S., and Ploug, H. (2003). Microbial dynamics on diatom aggregates in Øresund, Denmark. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 249, 69–78. doi: 10.3354/meps249069

- Guillou, L., Bachar, D., Audic, S., Bass, D., Berney, C., Bittner, L., et al. (2012). The Protist Ribosomal Reference database (PR2): a catalog of unicellular eukaryote small sub-unit rRNA sequences with curated taxonomy. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D597–D604. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1160
- Guillou, L., Viprey, M., Chambouvet, A., Welsh, R., Kirkham, A., and Massana, R. (2008). Widespread occurrence and genetic diversity of marine parasitoids belonging to Syndiniales (Alveolata). *Environ. Microbiol.* 10, 3349–3365. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01731.x
- Hayward, B. H., Drosteb, R., and Epstein, S. S. (2003). Interstitial ciliates: benthic microaerophiles or planktonic anaerobes? *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.* 50, 356-359. doi: 10.1111/j.1550-7408.2003.tb00148.x
- Johnson, P. W., Donaghay, P. L., Small, E. B., and Sieburth, J. M. (1995). Ultrastructure and ecology of Perispira ovum (Ciliophora:Litostomatea): an aerobic, planktonic ciliate that sequesters the chloroplasts, mitochondria, and paramylon of Euglena proxima in a micro-oxic habitat. *Eukaryot. Microbiol.* 42, 323–335. doi: 10.1111/j.1550-7408.1995.tb01588.x
- Kamp, A., de Beer, D., Nitsch, J. L., Lavik, G., and Stief, P. (2011). Diatoms respire nitrate to survive dark and anoxic conditions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 5649–5654. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1015744108
- Lam, P., Lavik, G., Jensen, M., Vossenberg, J., Schmid, M., Woebken, D., et al. (2009). Revising the nitrogen cycle in the Peruvian oxygen minimum zone. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 4752–4757. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0812444106
- Levin, L. A. (2003). Oxygen minimum zone benthos: adaptation and community response to hypoxia. Oceanogr. Marine Biol. 41, 1–45.
- Li, X. N., Taylor, G. T., Astor, Y., and Scranton, M. I. (2008). Sulfur speciation in the Cariaco Basin with reference to chemoautotrophic production. *Mar. Chem.* 112, 53–64. doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2008.06.002
- Lin, X. J., Scranton, M. I., Chistoserdov, A., Varela, R., and Taylor, G. T. (2008). Spatiotemporal dynamics of bacterial populations in the anoxic Cariaco Basin. *Limnol Oceanogr.* 53, 37–51. doi: 10.4319/lo.2008.53.1.0037
- López-García, P., Rodríguez-Valera, F., Pedrós-Alió C., and Moreira, D. (2001). Unexpected diversity of small eukaryotes in deep-sea Antarctic plankton. *Nature* 409, 603–607. doi: 10.1038/35054537
- Lozupone, C., and Knight, R. (2005). UniFrac: a new phylogenetic method for comparing microbial communities. *Appl. Environ Microbiol*. 71, 8228–8235. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.12.8228-8235.2005
- Lynn, D. (2008). The Ciliated Protozoa: Characterization, Classification, and Guide to the Literature, 3rd Edn. New York, NY: Springer.
- Massana, R., Pernice, M., Bunge, J. A., and Del Campo, J. (2011). Sequence diversity and novelty of natural assemblages of picoeukaryotes from the Indian Ocean. *ISME J.* 5, 184–195. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2010.104
- Michaels, A. F. (1991). Acantharian abundance and symbiont productivity at the VERTEX seasonal station. J. Plankton Res. 13, 399–418. doi: 10.1093/plankt/13.2.399
- Michaels, A. F., Caron, D. A., Swanberg, N. R., Howse, F. A., and Michaels, C. M. (1995). Planktonic sarcodines (acantharia, radiolaria, foraminifera) in surface waters near Bermuda: Abundance, biomass and vertical flux. *J. Plankton Res.* 17, 131–163.
- Montero, M., Daneri, G., Cuevas, L. A., González, H. E., Jacob, B., Lizárraga, L., et al. (2007). Productivity cycles in the coastal upwelling area off Concepcion: the importance of diatoms and bacterioplankton in the organic carbon flux. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 75, 518–530. doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2007.08.013
- Moon-van der Staay, S. Y., De Wachter, R., and Vaulot, D. (2001). Oceanic 18S rDNA sequences from picoplankton reveal new eukaryotic lineages. *Nature* 409, 607–610. doi: 10.1038/35054541
- Moreira, D., and López-García, P. (2003). Are hydrothermal vents oases for parasitic protists? Trends Parasitol. 19, 556–558. doi: 10.1016/j.pt.2003.09.013
- Neira, C., Sellanes, J., Levin, L. A., and Arntz, W. E. (2001). Meiofaunal distributions on the Peru margin: relationship to oxygen and organic matter availability. *Deep-Sea Res.* 48, 2453–2472. doi: 10.1016/S0967-0637(01)00018-8
- Not, F., Gausling, R., Azam, F., Heidelberg, J. F., and Worden, A. Z. (2007). Vertical distribution of picoeukaryotic diversity in the Sargasso Sea. *Environ. Microbiol.* 9, 1233–1252. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01247.x
- Not, F., Latasa, M., Scharek, R., Viprey, M., Karleskind, P., et al. (2008). Phytoplankton diversity across the Indian Ocean: a focus on the picoplanktonic size fraction. *Deep-Sea Res. I* 55, 1456–1473. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr.2008.06.007
- Orsi, W., and Edgcomb, V. (2013). Microbial eukaryotes in marine oxygen minimum zones. *Polyextremophiles* 27, 485–497. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-6488-0_21

- Orsi, W., Edgcomb, V., Jeon, S., Leslin, C., Bunge, J., Taylor, G. T., et al. (2011). Protistan microbial observatory in the Cariaco Basin, Caribbean. II. Habitat specialization. *ISME J.* 5, 1357–1373. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.7
- Orsi, W., Song, Y. C., Hallam, S., and Edgcomb, V. (2012). Effect of oxygen minimum zone formation on communities of marine protists. *ISME J.* 6, 1586–15601. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2012.7
- Pachiadaki, M., Taylor, C., Oikomomou, A., Yakimov, M., Stoeck, T., and Edgcomb,
 V. P. (in press). Grazing studies conducted *in situ* reveal protist turnover of bacterial biomass in the Deep E. Mediterranean Sea. *Deep Sea Res. II.*
- Revsbech, N. P., Larsen, L. H., Gundersen, J. K., Dalsgaard, T., Ulloa, O., and Thamdrup, B. (2009). Determination of ultra-low oxygen concentrations in oxygen minimum zones by the STOX sensor. *Limnol. Oceanogr. Methods* 7, 371–381. doi: 10.4319/lom.2009.7.371
- Rocke, E., Hongmei, J., and Liu, H. (2012). Phylogenetic composition and distribution of picoeukaryotes in the hypoxic northwestern coast of the Gulf of Mexico. *Microbiologyapen* 2, 1, 130–143. doi: 10.1002/mbo3.57
- Saltzman, J., and Wishner, K. F. (1997). Zooplankton ecology in the eastern Pacific oxygen minimum zone above a seamount: 2. Vertical distribution of copepods. *Deep-Sea Res. I* 44, 931–954. doi: 10.1016/S0967-0637(97)00006-X
- Sauvadet, A.-L., Gobet, A., and Guillou, L. (2010). Comparative analysis between protist communities from the deep-sea pelagic ecosystem and specific deephydrothermal habitats. *Environ. Microbiol.* 12, 2946–2964. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02272.x
- Schnetzer, A., Moorthi, S., Countway, P., Gast, R., Gilg, I., and Caron, D. (2011). Depth matters: microbial eukaryote diversity and community structure in the eastern North Pacific revealed through environmental gene libraries. *Deep Sea Res. I* 58, 16–26. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr.2010.10.003
- Sherr, E. B., and Sherr, B. F. (2002). Significance of predation by protists in aquatic microbial food webs. Antonie Leeuwenhoek Int. J. Gen. Mol. Microbiol. 81, 293–308. doi: 10.1023/A:1020591307260
- Smetacek, V. S. (1985). Role of sinking in diatom life-history cycles: ecological, evolutionary, and geological significance. *Marine Biol.* 84, 239–251. doi: 10.1007/BF00392493
- Stevens, H., and Ulloa, O. (2008). Bacterial diversity in the oxygen minimum zone of the eastern tropical South Pacific. *Environ. Microbiol.* 10, 1244–1259. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2007.01539.x
- Stock, A., Breiner, H. W., Pachiadaki, M., Edgcomb, V., Filker, S., et al. (2012). Microbial eukaryote life in the new hypersaline deep-sea basin Thetis. *Extremophiles* 16, 21–34. doi: 10.1007/s00792-011-0401-4
- Stock, A., Bunge, J., Jürgens, K., and Stoeck, T. (2009). Protistan diversity in the suboxic and anoxic waters of the Gotland Deep (Baltic Sea) as revealed by 18S rRNA clone libraries. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* 55, 267–284. doi: 10.3354/ ame01301
- Stoeck, T., Taylor, G. T., and Epstein, S. S. (2003). Novel eukaryotes from a permanently anoxic Cariaco Basin (Caribbean Sea). Appl. Environ. Microbiol 69, 5656–5663. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.9.5656-5663.2003
- Tang, K. W., Turk, V., and Grossart, H. P. (2010). Linkage between crustacean zooplankton and aquatic bacteria. *Aquat. Microb. Ecol.* 61, 261–277. doi: 10.3354/ame01424
- Taylor, G. T. (1982). The role of pelagic heterotrophic protozoa in nutrient cycling: a review. *Ann. Inst. Oceanogr. Paris* 58, 227–241.
- Taylor, G. T., Scranton, M. I., Iabichella, M., Ho, T., Thunell, R. C., Muller-Karger, F., et al. (2001). Chemoautotrophy in the redox transition zone of the Cariaco Basin: a significant midwater source of organic carbon production. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 46, 148–163. doi: 10.4319/lo.2001.46.1.0148
- Teuber, L., Kiko, R., Séguin, F., and Auel, H. (2013). Respiration rates of tropical Atlantic copepods in relation to the oxygen minimum zone. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 448, 28–36. doi: 10.1016/j.jembe.2013.06.012
- Thamdrup, B., Dalsgaard, T., Jensen, M. M., Ulloa, O., Farías, L., and Escribano, R. (2006). *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 51, 2145–2156. doi: 10.4319/lo.2006. 51.5.2145
- Thamdrup, B., Dalsgaard, T., and Revsbech, N. P. (2012). Widespread functional anoxia in the oxygen minimum zone of the eastern South Pacific. *Deep Sea Res.* 65, 36–45. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr.2012.03.001
- Turner, J. T. (2002). Zooplankton fecal pellets, marine snow and sinking phytoplankton blooms. Aquat. Microb. Ecol. 27, 57–102. doi: 10.3354/ame027057
- Ulloa, O., Canfield, D. E., Delong, E. F., Letelier, R. M., and Stewart, F. J. (2012). Microbial oceanography of anoxic oxygen minimum zones. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 15996–16003. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1205009109

- Worden, A. Z., Nolan, J. K., and Palenik, B. (2004). Assessing the dynamics and ecology of marine picophytoplankton: The importance of the eukaryotic component. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 49, 168–179. doi: 10.4319/lo.2004. 49.1.0168
- Wright, J. J., Konwar, K. M., and Hallam, S. J. (2012). Microbial ecology of expanding oxygen minimum zones. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 10, 381–394.
- Wylezich, C., and Jürgens, K. (2011). Protist diversity in suboxic and sulfidic waters of the Black Sea. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13, 2939–2956. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02569.x
- Yubuki, N., Edgcomb, V. P., Bernhard, J. M., and Leander, B. S. (2009). Ultrastructure and molecular phylogeny of Calkinsia aureus: cellular identity of a novel clade of deep-sea euglenozoans with epibiotic bacteria. *BMC Microbiol.* 9:16. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-9-16
- Zuendorf, A., Bunge, J., Behnke, A., Barger, K. J. A., and Stoeck, T. (2006). Diversity estimates of microeukaryotes below the chemocline of the anoxic Mariager Fjord, Denmark. *Fems Microbiol Ecol* 58, 476–491. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00171.x

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 22 August 2014; accepted: 29 September 2014; published online: 28 October 2014.

Citation: Parris DJ, Ganesh S, Edgcomb VP, DeLong EF and Stewart FJ (2014) Microbial eukaryote diversity in the marine oxygen minimum zone off northern Chile. Front. Microbiol. 5:543. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00543

This article was submitted to Aquatic Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology.

Copyright © 2014 Parris, Ganesh, Edgcomb, DeLong and Stewart. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.