

Rhizobiales as functional and endosymbiontic members in the lichen symbiosis of *Lobaria pulmonaria* L.

Armin Erlacher^{1,2†}, Tomislav Cernava^{1†}, Massimiliano Cardinale^{1,2}, Jung Soh³, Christoph W. Sensen⁴, Martin Grube² and Gabriele Berg¹*

¹ Institute of Environmental Biotechnology, Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria

² Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Graz, Graz, Austria

³ Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada

⁴ Institute of Molecular Biotechnology, AG Computational Biotechnology, Graz University of Technology, Graz, Austria

Edited by:

Andrea Genre, University of Turin, Italy

Reviewed by:

Leo Van Overbeek, Wageningen University and Research Centre, Plant Research International, Netherlands Anton Hartmann, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Germany

*Correspondence:

Gabriele Berg, Institute of Environmental Biotechnology, Graz University of Technology, Petersgasse 12, 8010 Graz, Austria e-mail: gabriele.berg@tugraz.at

[†] These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Rhizobiales (Alphaproteobacteria) are well-known beneficial partners in plant-microbe interactions. Less is known about the occurrence and function of *Rhizobiales* in the lichen symbiosis, although it has previously been shown that Alphaproteobacteria are the dominating group in growing lichen thalli. We have analyzed the taxonomic structure and assigned functions to Rhizobiales within a metagenomic dataset of the lung lichen Lobaria pulmonaria L. One third (32.2%) of the overall bacteria belong to the Rhizobiales, in particular to the families Methylobacteriaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae, and Rhizobiaceae. About 20% of our metagenomic assignments could not be placed in any of the Rhizobiales lineages, which indicates a yet undescribed bacterial diversity. SEED-based functional analysis focused on *Rhizobiales* and revealed functions supporting the symbiosis, including auxin and vitamin production, nitrogen fixation and stress protection. We also have used a specifically developed probe to localize *Rhizobiales* by confocal laser scanning microscopy after fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH-CLSM). Bacteria preferentially colonized fungal surfaces, but there is clear evidence that members of the Rhizobiales are able to intrude at varying depths into the interhyphal gelatinous matrix of the upper lichen cortical layer and that at least occasionally some bacteria also are capable to colonize the interior of the fungal hyphae. Interestingly, the gradual development of an endosymbiotic bacterial life was found for lichen- as well as for fungal- and plant-associated bacteria. The new tools to study Rhizobiales, FISH microscopy and comparative metagenomics, suggest a similar beneficial role for lichens than for plants and will help to better understand the Rhizobiales-host interaction and their biotechnological potential.

Keywords: Rhizobiales, lichen symbiosis, Lobaria pulmonaria, metagenomics, Rhizobiales-specific FISH probe, endosymbiont

INTRODUCTION

Lichen symbioses are estimated to cover up to 8% of the global land surface. Many habitats colonized by lichens are characterized by unfavorable environmental conditions, such as low nutrient availability and/or high temperature fluctuations (Ahmadjian, 1995). Although lichens are able to resist extreme environmental conditions via a dormant stage, they are highly specialized for their habitats and vulnerable to slight changes in the microclimate (or air pollution), which can easily disrupt the integrity of the fine-tuned symbiotic interplay. Lichen symbioses appear as composite organisms with a shape-forming fungus (the mycobiont) and a photosynthetic partner (the photobiont), which is often sheltered by complex fungal structures, into which a complex, stable and thallus-specific microbiome is incorporated. Recently, a bacterial microbiome was identified as a third component of this symbiosis (Grube et al., 2009). Lichens are densely colonized by diverse and host-specific communities of bacteria that occur in specific ecological niches of their hosts (Cardinale et al., 2008,

2012a,b; Grube et al., 2009). Specific above-ground niches in higher plants comprize for example the phyllo- and rhizosphere, or the endosphere (Ryan et al., 2008; Berg et al., 2014). However, lichens do not produce the same organs as found in plants, which develop their organs from meristems. Lichens instead produce a thallus of densely conglutinated fungal hyphae which can form foliose, filamentous, crustose, leprose, squamulose, gelatinous, or fruticose shapes (Grube and Hawksworth, 2007), each hosting specific sets of ecologically specific niches, which can be occupied by bacteria.

Rhizobiales are well-studied associates of plants; they commonly exert beneficial functions for their hosts by providing various nutrients, phytohormons as well as precursors for essential plant metabolites (Ivanova et al., 2000; Delmotte et al., 2009; Verginer et al., 2010). The order contains many genera of nitrogen-fixing, methanotrophic, legume-nodulating, microsymbiotic bacteria (Jourand et al., 2004; Garrity et al., 2005). Recently, nitrogen-fixation was shown not to be limited

to Rhizobiales in leguminous plants, but also to be expressed within various endophytic compartments of non-leguminous plants (Fischer et al., 2012). Besides their almost ubiquitous presence with higher plants, Rhizobiales are also found associated with mosses and lichens (Lundberg et al., 2012; Vorholt, 2012; Erlacher et al., in press). Pink-pigmented-facultativemethylotrophs (PPFMs) are a specific group of Rhizobiales, which can affect the host metabolism including production of vitamins and phytohormones, such as auxines and cytokinines (Ivanova et al., 2000; Delmotte et al., 2009). Methylobacterium spp. can utilize methanol emitted by the plants, methylamine and further C2, C3, and C4 compounds as solely carbon and energy source (Green and Bousfield, 1983; Lidstrom and Chistoserdova, 2002). Schauer and Kutschera (2013) suggest that ferns, liverworts and moss protonemata have an intimate association with methylobacteria, and they argue that the haploid phases of cryptogames are preferred host organisms of these pink-pigmented microbial phytosymbionts. However, less is known for lichen-associated Rhizobiales, including methylobacteria. We postulate that they also play a beneficial role in the lichen symbiosis.

According to recent publications, Rhizobiales are a particularly common order on lichens (Bates et al., 2012; Cardinale et al., 2012b). Although there are several reports about endofungal bacteria in ascomycetous fungi (Bertaux et al., 2005; Sharma et al., 2008), until know there is no evidence for them in lichens (Grube and Berg, 2009). While Alphaproteobacteria have been detected by fluorescence in situ hybridization and confocal laser scanning microscopy in lichens (Cardinale et al., 2008; Grube et al., 2009), there are no suitable FISH probes available to specifically stain the order Rhizobiales, except RHIZ1244 (according to Probebase) (http://www.microbial-ecology.net/probebase; accession nr. pB-02665; Thayanukul et al., 2010). In silico analysis using probematch (https://rdp.cme.msu.edu/probematch/) revealed that the RHIZ1244 probe detection spectrum is incomplete and fails to recognize important families such as Methylobacteriaceae, Bradyrhizobiaceae, or Beijerinckiaceae.

The lung lichen, *Lobaria pulmonaria* L., is a tripartite lichen, with one ascomycete fungus hosting both a dominant green algal partner (*Dictyochloropsis reticulata*) and a minor cyanobacterial partner (internal herds of *Nostoc*). This lichen is known as a sensitive biological indicator of air pollution that experienced a massive decline in Europe during the twentieth century (Scheidegger and Goward, 2002). Nonetheless, it may develop



prolific populations in suitable cool and humid habitats, both by the efficient spread with symbiotic propagules and by its growth rate, which is one of the highest among all lichens (**Figure 1**). In this work, we have investigated *L. pulmonaria* collected in the high montane forest zone in Alps. We pursued a metagenomic approach to assess functional diversity of *Rhizobiales* associated with this lichen species and used *in situ* visualization to localize and reveal colonization strategies of this bacterial order. For this purpose, we designed a novel FISH probe to efficiently and specifically target members of *Rhizobiales*.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

SAMPLING

L. pulmonaria samples were collected in two mountain forests from mountain maple (*Acer pseudoplatanus* L.) in Austria (Styria, Gstatterboden, 47°34′20″N, 14°35′4.4″E and Styria, Johnsbach, 47°32′29.7″N 14°37′36.6″E). Ten individual lichen thalli were collected and stored in sterile plastic bags on ice.

LOBARIA PULMONARIA METAGENOME AND ANALYZES

All metagenome-based analyzes were carried out on the assembled dataset described in a previous study by Grube et al. (2015). The number of actual contigs used for the in silico FISHprobe evaluation was 368,424, while 28,526 contigs assigned to the taxon Rhizobiales were used for the functional analysis of Rhizobiales. CLUSTER CONTROL (Stocker et al., 2004) was used to search with the blastn algorithm for FISH-probe matches within the dataset (e-value cutoff = 1.6). The assembled metagenomic dataset is publicly available on MG-RAST (http:// metagenomics.anl.gov; project ID: 4529136.3). To obtain taxonomic assignments, the Tera-BLASTN program (www.timelogic. com/documents/TeraBLAST2009.pdf) was run on the 368,424 contigs, using TimeLogic (Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA, USA) DeCypher boards against the "nt" (nucleotide sequence) database from NCBI (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/db). The blastn results were imported into MEGAN (Metagenome Analyzer, v4.70.4) (Huson et al., 2011) to produce several taxonomy profiles. For functional analysis, we used a similar approach as above but used Tera-BLASTX (www.timelogic.com/documents/ TeraBLAST2009.pdf), which was run against the "nr" (nonredundant protein sequence) database from NCBI (ftp://ftp.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/blast/db). The blastx results were imported into MEGAN (v4.70.4) as well for functional analysis. The 28,526 contigs that were previously assigned to Rhizobiales were used for assignment of SEED functions (Overbeek et al., 2005) within MEGAN. SEED-based analysis allows hierarchical organization of complete and partial gene sequences allocated within the utilized contig collection and thus quantification of specific functions on different levels.

IN SILICO ANALYSIS OF rRNA-TARGETED OLIGONUCLEOTIDE PROBES

ProbeBase (http://www.microbial-ecology.net/probebase; Loy et al., 2007) was used to screen for available FISH probes targeting the order *Rhizobiales*. RDP Probe Match (http://rdp.cme.msu. edu/probematch; Cole et al., 2005) and the Silva RNA database using TestProbe 3.0 (http://www.arb-silva.de/search/testprobe; Quast et al., 2013) with taxonomy browser were utilized to evaluate the amplitude and coverage of available and designed FISH probes. The Probe sequences (5'-3') were aligned (reversed and complement search allowed) to RDP and Silva SSU r119 databases with the REFNR sequence collection.

FLUORESCENCE IN SITU HYBRIDIZATION COMBINED WITH CONFOCAL LASER SCANNING MICROSCOPY (FISH-CLSM)

FISH-CLSM was applied on *L. pulmonaria* samples to investigate colonization patterns of *Rhizobiales* and all bacteria. Within 3 h after collection, samples were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and 1x phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (3:1 ratio, respectively) for 6 h at 4°C. Fixed *Lobaria* thallus samples were cut with a cryotome.

We designed FISH probe RHIZ3r (Table 1) specific to our metagenomic data. The oligonucleotide sequence based on the Primer 3r (Nishio et al., 1997) was synthetized and labeled with a Cy5 fluorochrome (Biomers, Wiener Neudorf, Austria). FISH was applied according to Cardinale et al. (2008) to visualize and decipher the nature of the correlations detected by metagenomics. Briefly, the cryosections were transferred into 1.5 ml Eppendorf tubes and rinsed with 1x PBS. Lysozyme (1 mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) treatment was applied and incubated at RT for 10 min. After an ethanolic series (50-70-96% EtOH solutions; 3 min each) samples were rinsed and further washed for 3 min with ice-cold 1x PBS. All hybridizations were performed at 43°C for 2h in a buffer containing 0.9 M NaCl, 0.02 M Tris-HCl, 0.01% sodium dodecvl sulfate, 10-50% (Table 1) ultrapure formamide (FA; Invitrogen), and 5.0 ng of each FISH probe μl^{-l} (pH 8). An equimolar mixture of Cy3-labeled EUB338, EUB338-II and EUB338-III probes (Amann et al., 1990; Daims et al., 1999) was used for staining all Bacteria. RHIZ3r and RHIZ1244 (Thayanukul et al., 2010) was used to stain taxa within the bacterial order Rhizobiales. NONEUB probes (Wallner et al., 1993) labeled to fluorochromes analogous to the positive probes were used as negative controls. The hybridization buffer was replaced by a prewarmed (44°C) washing buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl, 450/46/18 mM NaCl (10/40/50% FA), and 5 mM EDTA (for 40% and 50% FA)] and incubated for 15 min in a water bath (44°C). The hybridization and washing step were repeated sequentially for the utilized FISH-probes in dependency of the specific FA requirements. After eliminating the washing buffer the sections were again rinsed with ice-cold double-distilled H2O in order to remove residual salt

Table 1 | Oligonucleotide probes utilized for FISH in this study

crystals. FISH stained samples were transferred on optical slides, dried and mounted with SlowFade Gold antifadent (Molecular Probes, Eugene, USA). For visualization a Leica TCS SPE confocal laser-scanning microscope (Leica Microsystems, Mannheim, Germany) was used. Autofluorescence and additional calcofluor white (Sigma-Aldrich) staining of the lichen tissues was used for imaging the host structures. The fluorescent dyes Cy3, and Cy5 labeling the FISH probes were sequentially excited with 532 and 635 nm laser beams. Autofluorescence and calcofluor staining was excited with a 405 nm laser beam. The confocal stacks were acquired with a LeicaACS APO 40x oil CS objective lens (NA, 1.15) and a Leica ACS APO 63x oil CS objective lens (NA, 1.30) and for each field of view, an appropriate number of optical slices were acquired within a Z-step ranging from 0.15 to $0.5 \,\mu$ m. The software Imaris 7.3 (Bitplane, Zurich, Switzerland) was used for imaging and post-processing of the confocal stacks and maximum projections. Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems Inc., USA) was used to label the final figures.

RESULTS

ANALYSIS OF LOBARIA-ASSOCIATED RHIZOBIALES

An assembled Lobaria-associated metagenome consisting of 362,424 contigs was utilized for taxonomic and functional studies of assigned Rhizobiales. In total, 88,602 contigs were assigned to bacteria. Alphaproteobacteria was the most frequently identified bacterial phylum in the metagenome and comprized 53,688 contigs (46.8% of all identified cellular organisms and 60.6% of identified bacteria). Of these, 28,526 assigned contigs belong to the predominant Rhizobiales (32.2% of identified bacteria), with families Methylobacteriaceae (11,421 contigs or 12.9% of identified bacteria), Bradyrhizobiaceae (5230 contigs or 5.9% of identified bacteria), and Rhizobiaceae (2403 contigs or 2.7% of identified bacteria). Methylobacterium was the only identified genus of Methylobacteriaceae and Methylobacterium radiotolerans (8% of all Rhizobiales) the most frequent species. Less frequent species were identified as M. nodulans, M. populi and members of the M. extorquens group. A total of 21% of present Rhizobiales was assigned to the cluster Methylobacterium sp. 4-46 or remained unclassified. Identified genera within the family of Bradyrhizobiaceae were more diverse and represented by four distinctive genera: Bradyrhizobium (7% of all Rhizobiales), Rhodopseudomonas (6% of all Rhizobiales), Nitrobacter (1% of all Rhizobiales) and Oligotropha (0.5% of all Rhizobiales).

Name	Sequence (5'-3')	Fluorochrome	Target	Formamide (% at 43°C)	References
EUB338*	GCTGCCTCCCGTAGGAGT	СуЗ	Most bacteria	10	Amann et al., 1990
EUB338II*	GCAGCCACCCGTAGGTGT	СуЗ	Planctomycetales	10	Daims et al., 1999
EUB338III*	GCTGCCACCCGTAGGTGT	СуЗ	Verrucomicrobiales	10	Daims et al., 1999
NONEUB**	ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGC	Cy5 or Cy3	/	* *	Wallner et al., 1993
RHIZ3r	GGCTTATCACCGGCAGTCTCC	Cy5	Rhizobiales	40	Nishio et al., 1997
RHIZ1244	TCGCTGCCCACTGTCACC	Cy5	Rhizobiales	50	Thayanukul et al., 2010

*Probes were used in equimolar concentration.

**NONEUB was applied as negative control; formamide concentrations were analog to the positive FISH probes.

Three percent of all *Rhizobiales* remained unclassified genera of the *Bradyrhizobiaceae* family. The most abundant species within *Bradyrhizobiaceae* was identified as *Rhodopseudomonas palustris* (6% of all *Rhizobiales*). *Rhizobiaceae* included the *Rhizobium/Agrobacterium* group (6% of all *Rhizobiales*) and the *Sinorhizobium/Ensifer* group (2% of all *Rhizobiales*). Less abundant *Rhizobiales* families were assigned to the genera of *Beijerinckiaceae* (5% of all *Rhizobiales*), *Xanthobacteraceae* (4% of all *Rhizobiales*), *Phyllobacteriaceae* (4% of all *Rhizobiales*) and *Brucellaceae* (0.4% of all *Rhizobiales*). A detailed taxonomic composition of *Rhizobiales* up to species level was visualized with Krona ((Ondov et al., 2011); **Figure 2**).

SEED-based functional analysis (Figure 3) focused on *Rhizobiales* and its three most abundant families. Included clustering and hierarchical organization of identified functions was utilized to retrieve quantitative information for highly abundant taxa. The abundance of function-related genes assigned to specified taxonomic ranks was compared to their overall occurrence in the entire metagenome. Thereby we obtained a comprehensive overview of functions with high relevance to the symbiotic system (Table S1). *Lobaria*-associated *Rhizobiales* were shown to be involved in all candidate functions (except

biosynthesis of plant alkaloids). They were found to be involved in the biosynthesis of auxins and plant octadecanoids. Notably Bradvrhizobiaceae accounted for 24 contigs assigned to biosynthesis of plant octadecanoids, while Methylobacteriaceae only accounted for one contig containing this function. Nitrogen fixation was represented by 5 contigs assigned to Rhizobiales, and one assigned to either Bradyrhizobiaceae or Methylobacteriaceae. Type III secretion systems were found in 35 contigs, with 16 assigned to Methylobacteriaceae, 7 assigned to Bradyrhizobiaceae, one assigned to Rhizobiaceae and 11 without assignment to a specific family. One-carbon metabolism and carbon dioxide fixation were represented within Rhizobiales by 224 and 286 contigs, respectively. Biosynthesis of cofactors, vitamins, prosthetic groups and pigments was particularly frequent and represented by 848 contigs within Rhizobiales. Notably Bradyrhizobiaceae were found to contribute to chlorophyll biosynthesis (59 contigs), synthesis of folate and pterines (46 contigs) and coenzyme B12 biosynthesis (99 contigs). Conversely, Rhizobiaceae were rather underrepresented with 5 contigs assigned to synthesis of folate and pterines and 7 contigs assigned to coenzyme B12 biosynthesis. Overall stress response was found within 632 contigs associated with Rhizobiales. Methylobacteriaceae accounted





for 243 contigs, while *Bradyrhizobiaceae* and *Rhizobiaceae* accounted for 104 and 46 contigs, respectively. Response to oxidative stress was present with 257 contigs (108 assigned to *Methylobacteriaceae*, 47 to *Bradyrhizobiaceae* and 21 to *Rhizobiaceae*).

EVALUATION OF THE RHIZ3r FISH PROBE FOR RHIZOBIALES STAINING

Alignments to sequences of the Silva (Quast et al., 2013) and Probematch databases (Cole et al., 2005) revealed that the only available FISH probe RHIZ1244 targeting Rhizobiales was not suitable to label taxa retrieved in the Lobaria microbiome (Figure 4; Table S2). The designed FISH probe RHIZ3r, based on the primer 3r (Nishio et al., 1997), was therefore evaluated and we could demonstrate a high coverage for specific taxa, including the most abundant families Methylobacteriaceae and Bradyrhizobiaceae (Figure 4). According to the Probematch analysis (Table S3), RHIZ3r (11166 hits) shows slightly reduced coverage in the order Rhizobiales compared to RHIZ1244 (14312 hits). However, the latter probe does not match well with the highly abundant families Bradyrhizobiaceae (7/11453) and Methylobacteriaceae (5/9098 hits), whereas RHIZ3r performs much better in this respect (Methylobacteriaceae: 3821/9098 hits; Bradyrhizobiaceae: 5586/11453 hits; Table S3). In silico alignment of the sequence to genus level shows that the FISH probe RHIZ3r targets bacteria belonging to the order Rhizobiales, families Methylobacteriaceae (genus Methylobacterium, coverage: 86%) and Bradyrhizobiaceae (genus Bradyrhizobium, coverage: 99%; genus Afipia, coverage: 100%; genus Nitrobacter, coverage: 100%; genus Oligotropha, coverage: 100%; genus Rhodoblastus, coverage: 100%; genus Rhodopseudomonas, coverage: 92%).

The results of the *in silico* analysis were confirmed by identification of excised SSCP bands amplified with primer RHIZ3r (Erlacher et al., in press) and with the metagenomic data.

IN SILICO EVALUATION OF POTENTIAL FISH PROBES FOR *RHIZOBIALES* IN THE METAGENOME

We used Blastn to search for FISH probe binding sites within the entire assembled *Lobaria*-associated metagenome, and found different *Rhizobiales* taxa, including members of the families *Rhizobiaceae*, *Beijerinckiaceae*, *Bradyrhizobiaceae*, *Methylobacteriaceae*, *Methylocystaceae*, and *Phyllobacteriaceae*. Hits for non-targeted taxa included mostly unspecific chloroplast and plastid DNA as well as two hits for *Xanthomonadaceae* and one hit for *Pseudomonadaceae* (Table S2).

VIZUALISATION OF LOBARIA-ASSOCIATED RHIZOBIALES

Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization with both the RHIZ3r and the EUB338-MIX probes resulted in unambiguously strong signals. Image analysis and three-dimensional reconstructions of confocal stacks showed that most of the bacteria colonize *L. pulmonaria* at the outer surface of the lichen cortex (**Figures 5A–C**). Mixed colonies formed by putative *Rhizobiales* and other bacteria were frequently detected (**Figures 5A–C,E**), and morphological diversity of bacteria was apparent (**Figure 5E**). The autofluorescent fungi and algae in *Lobaria* allowed us to reconstruct the host structure (**Figures 5A,B,D**). Close co-existence between the bacteria and the hydrophilic fungal cortex were observed (**Figure 5D**), whereas intra-thalline hydrophobic spaces as well as photobionts were not colonized by bacteria (**Figures 5A,C**). Free



FIGURE 4 | TestProbe 3.0 (Silva ribosomal RNA database) analysis showing the targeted coverage of bacterial taxa in a comparison of RHIZ3r- and RHIZ1244- FISH Probes. The maximum amount of mismatches was set to 0 (see also Table S3 for RDP Probmatch comparison).



FIGURE 5 | Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) micrographs showing the bacterial colonization of the lichen *L. pulmonaria* stained by fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH). Green, algae; blue/purple, fungi; yellow, *Rhizobiales*; red, other bacteria. (A,B,D), three-dimensional models made of isosurfaces and spheres; (C), volume rendering; (E), maximum projection; (F), single optical slice. In (B): white box indicates the region shown in (D). Arrow in (F) indicates an endophytic bacterial cell (see also Figure S1). Scale bars: (A-C) (30 μm); (D-F) (5 μm).

hyphae protruding from the lower surfaces were often covered by bacteria. In rare cases, bacteria colonized the hyphae internally (**Figure 5F**; Figures S1, S2).

DISCUSSION

Our new study provides a first insight into the functional potential of *Rhizobiales*, which are the predominant order of bacteria associated with the lichen symbiosis. *Rhizobiales* are responsible for more than one third of all bacterial taxonomic assignments. About 20% of our metagenomic assignments could not be placed in any of the *Rhizobiales* lineages, which indicates that there might be numerous yet undescribed bacterial diversity colonizing lichens. One taxonomically undescribed phylogenetic lineage of *Rhizobiales*, not present in our dataset, was detected in diverse lichens from North America, and named LAR1 (Hodkinson and Lutzoni, 2009). Most of the classified bacteria in our dataset belong to the families *Methylobacteriaceae*, *Bradyrhizobiaceae* and *Rhizobiaceae*, which are therefore expected to play an important role within the lichen symbiosis.

Because Rhizobiales are common in growing lichen parts, we argue that they could play a role in development and growth of lichens. This hypothesis is well supported by the potential functions encoded in the metagenomic contigs of Alphaproteobacteria and Rhizobiales in our dataset. SEED-based functional analysis revealed functions supporting the symbiosis, including auxin and vitamin production, nitrogen fixation and stress protection. Taxonomical assignments showed high proportions of beneficial nitrogen fixing at species level. However, we think that nitrogen-fixation is not a required rhizobial function in the L. pulmonaria symbiosis, because fixed nitrogen is provided by the associated cyanobacterial partners (which is located in clustered colonies, in so-called internal cephalodia), and because excessive nitrogen (e.g., agricultural contamination) is rather a problem affecting the survival of L. pulmonaria in many localities. It is therefore interesting to observe a significant number of contigs that is assigned to nitrogen metabolism. Metabolism related to cofactors and vitamin production is also well represented in our dataset, suggesting that the corresponding products are valuable to support the growing lichen thallus. In addition, the high abundance of Methylobacterium species might be a promising source to find novel compounds or bioconversion as in higher plants (Verginer et al., 2010). In comparison with a study of Methylobacterium spp. on mosses by Erlacher et al. (in press), using fingerprinting methods, we

detected higher species diversity in the *L. pulmonaria* microbiome, which is also confirmed by the metagenomic data. Stress protection for the symbiosis by bacteria was detected, which seem to play a unique and important function of host-associated microbiomes. Stress protection was already detected for mosses (Bragina et al., 2014) but also for plant-associated bacteria (Alavi et al., 2013). The biotechnological potential of stress-protecting bacteria was already shown (Alavi et al., 2013; Berg et al., 2013), which shows new solutions for agriculture in a changing climate.

So far, colonization of lichens was mostly shown on surfaces of lichens (e.g., Cardinale et al., 2008). The present study clearly shows that Rhizobiales members are not restricted to the thallus surface. It is thus tempting to consider endobiotic life style of bacteria, similar to endophytism in plants. However, there are marked differences to an endophytic lifestyle of higher plants. Plants are typically characterized by a protective cuticula which forms a clear boundary between the plant and the external environment. By the cuticula internal tissues, plants are protected against uncontrolled water loss or contamination from external water, dirt, and from invasion of microorganisms. Such a layer is missing in thalline organisms such as mosses or lichen thalli (which are also known as "lower plants" or "cryptogams"). Both mosses and lichens belong to poikilohydric organisms, desiccating with atmospheric drought. Without a cuticula it is also more difficult to differentiate between endosphere and phyllosphere. The present data confirm that there is no clear external border of the lichen surface. We have already observed a depletion of bacterial abundance in other lichens but no qualitative differences when we analyzed bacterial associates of lichens after increasing duration of surface sterilization (unpublished data). By studying lichens we uncover interesting new insights about the endophytic strategies. In some lichens, the internal parts of lichens can be colonized. This is clearly shown in Cladonia, where hollow thalli are internally colonized by biofilm like bacterial communities (Cardinale et al., 2008). In cases of crust-forming lichens we observed that bacteria can partly enter the lateral parts of neighboring thallus segments (areoles; e.g., Lecanora polytropa, Grube et al., 2009). The case of Lobaria now shows that the external polysaccharide matrix between the hyphae of the lichens can, at varying depths, be penetrated by Rhizobiales. The loose aggregation of hyphae and the lack of a cuticula found in higher plants facilitate mutualistic bacterial colonization which gradually develops from ectoto endo-symbiotic lifestyles. We have not observed bacteria so far in the algal layer or in the aerated medulla part beneath the algal layer. We suppose this is due to the fact that particularly the medulla layer of lichens has strongly hydrophobic surfaces (due to a hydrophobin cell layer, which enwrap the cells of the eukaryote partners). However, we also found first indications of endohyphal occurrences of bacteria in L. pulmonaria (Figure 5F; Figures S1, S2). While our findings of intracellular colonization of lichenized-fungal hyphae still require additional methodological prove to be validated, the endohyphal bacterial occurrence in non-lichenized fungi has repeatedly been found in very different lineages (e.g., Bertaux et al., 2005; Partida-Martinez and Hertweck, 2005; Sharma et al., 2008). Recent work sheds light on their diverse functions (Ghignone et al., 2012), and also revealed new details regarding how bacteria penetrate fungal hyphae (Moebius et al., 2014). We argue that occasional endohyphal bacteria in lichens might be particularly efficient strains to digest the rather thick fungal cell walls in lichens. This observation may also spur new interest in lichens as a bioresource for biotechnological applications.

Beneficial plant-microbe interactions were extensively studied in the past and reviewed by Berg (2009). Such interactions include diverse and important functions including the suppression of pathogens and the increase in plant growth and fitness. While the traits involved in bacterial adaption and exchange of particular metabolites to higher plants are partially deciphered (Vorholt, 2012), less is known about microbe-lichen interactions. Functional assignments from the metagenome suggest *Rhizobiales* as a vital component supporting the lichen symbiosis. Results indicate that they are able to supply auxiliary as well as essential metabolites to their host. This study is the first to relate the abundance of bacteria with potential functions of their representatives within the lichen structure. Our present study also provides first indications for lichen endosymbiosis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by a grant of the Austrian Science Foundation to Gabriele Berg and Martin Grube (Project 1799). We thank Henry Müller, Ines Aline Aschenbrenner, and Martina Köberl for discussions. The authors gratefully acknowledge support from NAWI Graz.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://www.frontiersin.org/journal/10.3389/fmicb. 2015.00053/abstract

REFERENCES

- Ahmadjian, V. (1995). Lichens are more important than you think. *Bioscience* 45, 124–124. doi: 10.1093/bioscience/45.3.124
- Alavi, P., Starcher, M. R., Zachow, C., Müller, H., and Berg, G. (2013). Rootmicrobe systems: the effect and mode of interaction of Stress Protecting Agent (SPA) *Stenotrophomonas rhizophila* DSM14405(T.). *Front. Plant Sci.* 4:141. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00141
- Amann, R. I., Binder, B. J., Olson, R. J., Chisholm, S. W., Devereux, R., and Stahl, D. A. (1990). Combination of 16S rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes with flow cytometry for analyzing mixed microbial populations. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 56, 1919–1925.
- Bates, S. T., Cropsey, G. W., Caporaso, J. G., Knight, R., and Fierer, N. (2012). Bacterial communities associated with the lichen symbiosis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 1309-1314. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02257-10
- Berg, G. (2009). Plant-microbe interactions promoting plant growth and health: perspectives for controlled use of microorganisms in agriculture. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 84, 11–18. doi: 10.1007/s00253-009-2092-7
- Berg, G., Grube, M., Schloter, M., and Smalla, K. (2014). Unraveling the plant microbiome: looking back and future perspectives. *Front. Microbiol.* 5:148. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2014.00148
- Berg, G., Zachow, C., Müller, H., Phillips, J., and Tilcher, R. (2013). Next-generation bio-products sowing the seeds of success for sustainable agriculture. *Agronomy* 3, 648–656. doi: 10.3390/agronomy3040648
- Bertaux, J., Schmid, M., Hutzler, P., Hartmann, A., Garbaye, J., and Frey-Klett, P. (2005). Occurrence and distribution of endobacteria in the plant-associated mycelium of the ectomycorrhizal fungus Laccaria bicolor

S238N. Environ. Microbiol. 7, 1786–1795. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005. 00867.x

- Bragina, A., Oberauner-Wappis, L., Zachow, C., Halwachs, B., Thallinger, G. G., Müller, H., et al. (2014). The *Sphagnum* microbiome supports bog ecosystem functioning under extreme conditions. *Mol. Ecol.* 23, 4498–4510. doi: 10.1111/mec.12885
- Cardinale, M., Grube, M., Vieira de Castro, J., Müller, H., and Berg, G. (2012a). Bacterial taxa associated with the lung lichen *Lobaria pulmonaria* are differentially shaped by geography and habitat. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 329, 111–115. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2012.02508.x
- Cardinale, M., Steinová, J., Rabensteiner, J., Berg, G., and Grube, M. (2012b). Age, sun and substrate: triggers of bacterial communities in lichens. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 4, 23–28. doi: 10.1111/j.1758-2229.2011.00272.x
- Cardinale, M., Vieira de Castro, J., Mueller, H., Berg, G., and Grube, M. (2008). *In situ* analysis of the bacterial community associated with the reindeer lichen *Cladonia arbuscula* reveals predominance of Alphaproteobacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 66, 63–71. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2008. 00546.x
- Cole, J. R., Chai, B., Farris, R. J., Wang, Q., Kulam, S. A., McGarrell, D. M., et al. (2005). The Ribosomal Database Project (RDP-II): sequences and tools for high-throughput rRNA analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33, 294–296. doi: 10.1093/nar/gki038
- Daims, H., Brühl, A., Amann, R., Schleifer, K. H., and Wagner, M. (1999). The domain-specific probe EUB338 is insufficient for the detection of all bacteria: development and evaluation of a more comprehensive probe set. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 22, 434–444. doi: 10.1016/S0723-2020(99)80053-8
- Delmotte, N., Knief, C., Chaffron, S., Innerebner, G., Roschitzki, B., Schlapbach, R., et al. (2009). Community proteogenomics reveals insights into the physiology of phyllosphere bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 16428–16433. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0905240106
- Erlacher, A., Grube, M., Berg, G., and Cardinale, M. (in press). Mosses and Lichens Provide Specific Micro-Habitats for Pink Pigmented Facultative Methylotrophs. IOBC/WPRS Bull.
- Fischer, D., Pfitzner, B., Schmid, M., Simões-Araújo, J. L., Reis, V. M., Pereira, W., et al. (2012). Molecular characterisation of the diazotrophic bacterial community in uninoculated and inoculated field-grown sugarcane (*Saccharum* sp.). *Plant Soil* 356, 83–99. doi: 10.1007/s11104-011-0812-0
- Garrity, G. M., Bell, J. A., and Lilburn, T. (2005). "Class I. Alphaproteobacteria class. nov.," in *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, Vol. 2, eds G. M. Garrity, D. J. Brenner, N. R. Krieg, and J. T. Staley (New York, NY: Springer), 1.
- Ghignone, S., Salvioli, A., Anca, I., Lumini, E., Ortu, G., Petiti, L., et al. (2012). The genome of the obligate endobacterium of an AM fungus reveals an interphylum network of nutritional interactions. *ISME J.* 6, 136–145. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2011.110
- Green, P., and Bousfield, J. (1983). Emendation of *Methylobacterium* Patt, Cole, and Hanson 1976; *Methylobacterium rhodinum* (Heumann 1962) comb.nov. corrig.; *Methylobacterium radiotolerans* (Ito and Iitzuka 1971) comb. nov. corrig.; and *Methylobacterium mesophilicum* (Austin and Goodfellow 1979) comb. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Micr.* 33, 875–877.
- Grube, M., and Berg, G. (2009). Microbial consortia of bacteria and fungi with focus on the lichen symbiosis. *Fungal Biol. Rev.* 23, 72–85. doi: 10.1016/j.fbr.2009.10.001
- Grube, M., Cardinale, M., de Castro, J., Müller, H., and Berg, G. (2009). Speciesspecific structural and functional diversity of bacterial communities in lichen symbioses. *ISME J.* 3, 1105–1115. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2009.63
- Grube, M., Cernava, T., Soh, J., Fuchs, S., Aschenbrenner, I., Lassek, C., et al. (2015). Exploring functional contexts of symbiotic sustain within lichen-associated bacteria by comparative omics. *ISME J.* 9, 412–424. doi: 10.1038/ismej. 2014.138
- Grube, M., and Hawksworth, D. L. (2007). Trouble with lichen: the reevaluation and re-interpretation of thallus form and fruit body types in the molecular era. *Mycol. Res.* 111, 1116–1132. doi: 10.1016/j.mycres.2007. 04.008
- Hodkinson, B. P., and Lutzoni, F. (2009). A microbiotic survey of lichen-associated bacteria reveals a new lineage from the Rhizobiales. *Symbiosis* 49, 163–180. doi: 10.1007/s13199-009-0049-3
- Huson, D. H., Mitra, S., Ruscheweyh, H. J., Weber, N., and Schuster, S. C. (2011). Integrative analysis of environmental sequences using MEGAN4. *Genome Res.* 21, 1552–1560. doi: 10.1101/gr.120618.111

- Ivanova, E. G., Doronina, N. V., Shepelyakovskaya, A. O., Laman, A. G., Brovko, F. A., and Trotsenko, Y. A. (2000). Facultative and obligate aerobic methylobacteria synthesize cytokinins. *Microbiology* 69, 646–651. doi: 10.1023/A:1026693 805653
- Jourand, P., Giraud, E., Bena, G., Sy, A., Willems, A., Gillis, M., et al. (2004). *Methylobacterium nodulans* sp. nov., for a group of aerobic, facultatively methylotrophic, legume root-nodule-forming and nitrogen-fixing bacteria. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 54, 2269–2273. doi: 10.1099/ijs.0.02902-0
- Lidstrom, M. E., and Chistoserdova, L. (2002). Plants in the pink: cytokinin production by *Methylobacterium*. J. Bacteriol. 184, 1818. doi: 10.1128/JB.184.7.1818.2002
- Loy, A., Maixner, F., Wagner, M., and Horn, M. (2007). probeBase an online resource for rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes: new features 2007. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 35, 800–804. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkl856
- Lundberg, D. S., Lebeis, S. L., Paredes, S. H., Yourstone, S., Gehring, J., Malfatti, S., et al. (2012). Defining the core *Arabidopsis thaliana* root microbiome. *Nature* 488, 86–90. doi: 10.1038/nature11237
- Moebius, N., Üzüm, Z., Dijksterhuis, J., Lackner, G., and Hertweck, C. (2014). Active invasion of bacteria into living fungal cells. *Elife* 3:e03007. doi: 10.7554/eLife.03007
- Nishio, T., Yoshikura, T., and Itoh, H. (1997). Detection of *Methylobacterium* Species by 16S rRNA Gene-Targeted PCR. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63, 1594–1597.
- Ondov, B. D., Bergman, N. H., and Phillippy, A. M. (2011). Interactive metagenomic visualization in a web browser. BMC Bioinformatics 12:385. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-12-385
- Overbeek, R., Begley, T., Butler, R. M., Choudhuri, J. V., Chuang, H. Y., Cohoon, M. (2005). The subsystems approach to genome annotation and its use in the project to annotate 1000 genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 33, 5691–5702. doi: 10.1093/nar/gki866
- Partida-Martinez, L. P., and Hertweck, C. (2005). Pathogenic fungus harbours endosymbiotic bacteria for toxin production. *Nature* 437, 884–888. doi: 10.1038/nature03997
- Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., and Glöckner, F. O. (2013). The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, D590–D596. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gks1219
- Ryan, R. P., Germaine, K., Franks, A., Ryan, D. J., and Dowling, D. N. (2008). Bacterial endophytes: recent developments and applications. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 278, 1–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007.00918.x
- Schauer, S., and Kutschera, U. (2013). Methylobacteria isolated from bryophytes and the 2-fold description of the same microbial species. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 8:e23091. doi: 10.4161/psb.23091
- Scheidegger, C., and Goward, T. (2002). "Monitoring lichens for conservation: red lists and conservation action plans," in *Monitoring with Lichens–Monitoring Lichens*, eds P. L. Nimis, C. Scheidegger, and P. A. Wolseley (Dordrecht: Springer), 163–181.
- Sharma, M., Schmid, M., Rothballer, M., Hause, G., Zuccaro, A., Imani, J., et al. (2008). Detection and identification of bacteria intimately associated with fungi of the order Sebacinales. *Cell. Microbiol.* 10, 2235–2246. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2008.01202.x
- Stocker, G., Rieder, D., and Trajanoski, Z. (2004). ClusterControl: a web interface for distributing and monitoring bioinformatics applications on a Linux cluster. *Bioinformatics* 20, 805–807. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bth014
- Thayanukul, P., Zang, K., Janhom, T., Kurisu, F., Kasuga, I., and Furumai, H. (2010). Concentration-dependent response of estrone-degrading bacterial community in activated sludge analyzed by microautoradiography-fluorescence *in situ* hybridization. *Water Res.* 44, 4878–4887. doi: 10.1016/j.watres.2010. 07.031
- Verginer, M., Siegmund, B., Cardinale, M., Müller, H., Choi, Y., Míguez, C. B., et al. (2010). Monitoring the plant epiphyte *Methylobacterium extorquens* DSM 21961 by real-time PCR and its influence on the strawberry flavor. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 74, 136–145. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00942.x
- Vorholt, J. A. (2012). Microbial life in the phyllosphere. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 10, 828–840. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2910
- Wallner, G., Amann, R., and Beisker, W. (1993). Optimizing fluorescent in situ hybridization with rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes for flow cytometric identification of microorganisms. *Cytometry* 14, 136–143. doi: 10.1002/cyto.990140205

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 13 November 2014; accepted: 15 January 2015; published online: 10 February 2015.

Citation: Erlacher A, Cernava T, Cardinale M, Soh J, Sensen CW, Grube M and Berg G (2015) Rhizobiales as functional and endosymbiontic members in the lichen symbiosis of Lobaria pulmonaria L. Front. Microbiol. **6**:53. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00053

This article was submitted to Plant-Microbe Interaction, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology.

Copyright © 2015 Erlacher, Cernava, Cardinale, Soh, Sensen, Grube and Berg. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.