



The Use of Transposon Insertion Sequencing to Interrogate the Core Functional Genome of the Legume Symbiont *Rhizobium leguminosarum*

Benjamin J. Perry, Mir S. Akter and Christopher K. Yost*

Department of Biology, University of Regina, Regina, SK, Canada

The free-living legume symbiont Rhizobium leguminosarum is of significant economic value because of its ability to provide fixed nitrogen to globally important leguminous food crops, such as peas and lentils. Discovery based research into the genetics and physiology of R. leguminosarum provides the foundational knowledge necessary for understanding the bacterium's complex lifestyle, necessary for augmenting its use in an agricultural setting. Transposon insertion sequencing (INSeq) facilitates high-throughput forward genetic screening at a genomic scale to identify individual genes required for growth in a specific environment. In this study we applied INSeq to screen the genome of R. leguminosarum bv. viciae strain 3841 (RLV3841) for genes required for growth on minimal mannitol containing medium. Results from this study were contrasted with a prior INSeq experiment screened on peptide rich media to identify a common set of functional genes necessary for basic physiology. Contrasting the two growth conditions indicated that approximately 10% of the chromosome was required for growth, under both growth conditions. Specific genes that were essential to singular growth conditions were also identified. Data from INSeq screening on mannitol as a sole carbon source were used to reconstruct a metabolic map summarizing growth impaired phenotypes observed in the Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas pathway, Entner-Doudoroff pathway, pentose phosphate pathway, and tricarboxylic acid cycle. This revealed the presence of mannitol dependent and independent metabolic pathways required for growth, along with identifying metabolic steps with isozymes or possible carbon flux by-passes. Additionally, genes were identified on plasmids pRL11 and pRL12 that are likely to encode functional activities important to the central physiology of RLV3841.

Keywords: Rhizobium, INSeq, Tn-Seq, core functional genome, metabolism, mannitol

INTRODUCTION

Rhizobium leguminosarum is a Gram-negative soil and rhizosphere colonizing bacterium that is also capable of engaging in endosymbiosis with specific leguminous plant genera. The host specificity of rhizobial infection is dependent upon the exchange of specific chemical signals between the infecting bacterium and host plant (Oldroyd et al., 2011), and *R. leguminosarum* is often divided into biovars based on infectious host range. The biovar *viciae* is indicative of *Rhizobia* capable of infecting leguminous plants such as peas (*Pisum sativum*), lentils (*Lens culinaris*), and

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Teresa Rebecca De Kievit, University of Manitoba, Canada

Reviewed by:

Brian Thomas Driscoll, McGill University, Canada Svetlana Yurgel, Dalhousie University, Canada

> *Correspondence: Christopher K. Yost chris.yost@uregina.ca

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Biotic Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 06 June 2016 Accepted: 07 November 2016 Published: 22 November 2016

Citation:

Perry BJ, Akter MS and Yost CK (2016) The Use of Transposon Insertion Sequencing to Interrogate the Core Functional Genome of the Legume Symbiont Rhizobium leguminosarum. Front. Microbiol. 7:1873. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01873 vetch (*Vicia* spp.). When in the endosymbiotic state, *Rhizobium* bacteroids reduce atmospheric nitrogen N_2 to ammonia, which is then exported to the plant for assimilation. In return, the plant host provides fixed carbon and other micro-nutrients to the bacteroids to sustain the symbiosis (Wielbo, 2012; Udvardi and Poole, 2013). The availability of symbiotically supplied nitrogen enables leguminous plants to satisfy their high nitrogen demands and, in part, contributed to the evolutionary success and diversification of the *Leguminosae* (Doyle and Luckow, 2003).

R. leguminosarum bv. viciae 3841(RLV3841) (Johnston and Beringer, 1975), has long been considered a model organism for Rhizobium research and was one of the first rhizobial strains with a published complete genome sequence (Young et al., 2006). Aside from the overarching agricultural context of studying RLV3841, the model organism provides other interesting avenues of research because of its complex genomic structure (Young et al., 2006) and versatility of physiology and lifestyle. RLV3841 has a relatively large bacterial genome comprised of a single 5.1 Mb chromosome and 6 large, stably maintained, plasmids ranging in size from 0.87 to 0.15 Mb. The RLV3841 genome is predicted to contain approximately 7346 genes, a substantial percentage of these genes (25.2%) are annotated as hypothetical genes of unknown function, warranting further investigation. The use of high-throughput experimental approaches may allow prioritization of the study of individual genes within this large functionally unknown group.

The development of next-generation sequencing technologies has resulted in high-throughput methods of transposon (Tn) mutagenesis to study gene function at a genome scale (Gawronski et al., 2009; Goodman et al., 2009; Langridge et al., 2009; van Opijnen et al., 2009). For example, INSeq was developed through the introduction of a type II restriction enzyme site within the IS element of the himar1C9 mariner Tn allowing for the specific capture and PCR amplification of genomic DNA adjacent to the Tn insertion site (Goodman et al., 2011). Next generation sequencing of PCR amplicons derived from DNA isolated from these Tn-mutant libraries allows for the sequencing of millions of Tn insertion tags which can be mapped to the genome sequence and used to enumerate the relative abundance of individual Tn mutants within a mutant population (Barquist et al., 2013; van Opijnen and Camilli, 2013). INSeq and similar high-throughput Tn mutagenesis methods have been used to study the genetic basis of bacterial physiology (Griffin et al., 2011; Brutinel and Gralnick, 2012; Kuehl et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2014; Le Breton et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2015; Meeske et al., 2015; Pechter et al., 2015; Rubin et al., 2015; Hooven et al., 2016; Troy et al., 2016), bacterial resistance to biotic and abiotic factors (Gallagher et al., 2011; Khatiwara et al., 2012; Phan et al., 2013; Byrne et al., 2014; Murray et al., 2015; Shan et al., 2015; Yung et al., 2015; Tran et al., 2016), and colonization of hosts or specific environments (Gawronski et al., 2009; Dong et al., 2013; Kamp et al., 2013; Skurnik et al., 2013; Bishop et al., 2014; Johnson et al., 2014; Verhagen et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Gutierrez et al., 2015; Moule et al., 2015; Turner et al., 2015; Capel et al., 2016). Recently, INSeq was adapted for use in the Rhizobiaceae, and was demonstrated to be a suitable tool for high-throughput functional genomic screening in RLV3841 (Perry and Yost, 2014).

In this paper we used INSeq to define a core functional genome (CFG) of RLV3841 and deconstruct central carbon metabolism for growth on mannitol, a preferred carbon source of rhizobia (Vincent, 1970; Geddes and Oresnik, 2014). Comparing the genes required for growth on mannitol with those required for growth on tryptone-yeast extract media, we estimated a core set of functional genes required for optimal growth. Furthermore, the results of this study demonstrate that using INSeq and growth on minimal media is an effective approach to gain new insight into central carbon metabolism in RLV3841.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Growth Conditions, Strains, and Plasmids

R. leguminosarum bv. *viciae* 3841 (Johnston and Beringer, 1975) was routinely grown at 30°C using tryptone-yeast (TY) extract growth medium (5.0 g tryptone, 3.0 g yeast extract, 3.5 mM CaCl₂ per liter H₂O; TY) or Vincent's minimal medium (VMM) (1.0 g K₂HPO₄, 1.0 g KH₂PO₄, 0.01 g FeCl₂6H₂O, 0.25 g MgSO₄7H₂O, 0.1 g CaCl₂6H₂O, 0.6 g KNO₃, 0.1 mg biotin, 0.1 mg Capanthenoate, and 0.1 mg thiamine per liter H₂O) supplemented with 1.% (w/v) mannitol as a carbon source (Vincent, 1970). The donor *E. coli* strain SM10 λ pir harboring pSAM_Rl was routinely grown on LB medium at 37°C. Antibiotic concentrations were 500 µg/mL streptomycin (Str) and 50 µg/mL neomycin (Neo) for RLV3841, and 100 µg/mL ampicillin (Amp) and 50 µg/mL kanamycin (Kan) for *E. coli*.

Mariner Transposon Mutant Pool Generation and Mutant Selection

Mutant pools were generated as described in Perry and Yost (2014) with minor modifications. Briefly, RLV3841 and *E. coli* SM10 λ *pir*(pSAM_Rl) were grown in broth culture until late exponential phase. 1.0 ml of donor and 0.5 ml of recipient strains were mixed in a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube and pelleted at 12, 000 g for 3 min. The cell mixture was then washed twice with 1000 μ L 1X phosphate buffer saline (PBS), and resuspended in a final volume of approximately 100 μ L 1X PBS. Six independent conjugations were spotted onto pre-warmed VMM-mannitol plates and were incubated at 30°C overnight (~18 h). Following incubation each of the 6 conjugation spots were scraped and resuspended in 1000 μ L of 1X PBS and pooled into a total volume of 6 ml, representing the RLV3841 Tn mutant library.

Selection of mutant pools on VMM-mannitol was conducted using six 245 \times 245 mm² (Corning) Neo and Str containing VMM-mannitol agar plates. For each selection plate, 500 µL of the RLV3841 mutant pool was spread plated and allowed to dry. The agar plates were incubated at 30 C for 72 hr representing between 15 and 18 generations of RLV3841 growth on minimal media. Cells from each plate were harvested by scraping the thin film of cell growth and re-suspending in 5 mL of 1X PBS, vortexed thoroughly to homogenize the cells, and then a 1000 µL aliquot of each cell suspension was used for cell pelleting and DNA isolation (Perry and Yost, 2014).

Transposon Insertion Sequencing

The mutant pools recovered from 2 of 6 selection plates were pooled into 3 independent technical replicates for DNA extraction and Tn insertion sequencing. The method used for library preparation and sequencing is described by Perry and Yost (2014) with modification to the adaptor sequences INSeq_Adpt_Top and INSeq_Adpt_Bottom (Table S1). The final library concentration of the 3 stock library preparations was 1.29, 1.21, and 1.39 ng/µL after size selection. DNA sequencing was performed on an Ion Torrent PGM using 200 bp sequencing chemistry and a 316v2 sequencing chip. The raw sequence output for the 3 technical replicates was 1.4, 1.1, and 1.1 M reads, respectively, and can be found under SRA deposit number: SRR3400585-7 (TY datasets are deposited under SRR3400588-90). Sequencing data from the 3 technical replicates were pooled for a combined total of 3.6 million to achieve sufficient read depth for hidden Markov model analysis (DeJesus and Ioerger, 2013). Raw sequencing data was processed, aligned, and analyzed as previously described (Perry and Yost, 2014). Briefly, raw reads were clipped at the end of the pSAM_Rl mariner IR element, and clipped on the 3' end at the beginning of the INSeq_Adpt sequence. The resulting reads were screened for the presence of a 5'-TA insertion site, and a length \geq 15 bp. Trimmed reads were aligned to the RLV3841 reference genome using bowtie, with the option to suppress reads with multiple alignments from the output file enabled. The alignment files were then converted to wig files and analyzed using the tn-hmm.py python module. The pipeline resulted in a total of 2,374,819 reads being aligned onto the RLV3841 reference genome, after quality filtering and discarding of unaligned reads. The HMM then assigned each "TA" insertion site to one of four growth states which was used to assign each gene to a specific growth phenotype (DeJesus and Ioerger, 2013).

Curation of INSeq Data

Outputs from the HMM for both the TY (Perry and Yost, 2014) and VMM dataset were combined based on RLV3841 locus number. Riley functional classifications for each gene were obtained from the lab of Phillip Poole, University of Oxford (http://rhizosphere.org/lab-page/molecular-tools/genomes/

rlv3841-genome) and appended to the dataset. Duplicate gene sequences were manually examined using reciprocal BLAST to the RLV3841 reference genome for all genes with <0.30 insertion density to avoid miss-classification as an essential gene due to a lack of mapped insertions as a result of the alignment penalty for multiple mapping locations. The final compiled and curated dataset is found in Supplementary File 1.

Insertional Mutagenesis of RL0920 and RL3335

Two previously uncharacterized VMM-mannitol growth impaired genes (RL0920 and RL3335) were mutated to verify the INSeq growth phenotype data. Mutants were created using a single crossover mutagenesis approach with pJQ200SK (Quandt and Hynes, 1993), as described in Vanderlinde et al. (2010). Briefly, a 563 bp internal fragment of RL0920 was PCR amplified using primers RL0920_Fwd and RL0920_Rev, which introduced 5' ApaI and 3' SpeI restriction enzyme sites. The 563 bp amplicon was then directionally cloned into pJQ200SK using ApaI and SpeI. The new vector pJQ200SK-RL0920 was conjugated into RLV3841 wildtype using *E. coli* strain S17-1, and single cross over mutants were selected for on TYSmGm, and then screened for sucrose sensitivity to confirm the plasmid integration. The identical procedure was used to create a single crossover mutant in RL3335 using primers RL3335_Fwd and RL3335_Rev to generate a 603 bp internal gene fragment for cloning into pJQ200SK (Table S1). The resulting mutants in RL0920 and RL3335 were named MA0920 and MA3335.

Growth Curve Analysis of MA0920, MA3335, and RLV3841 Wildtype

Growth curves of RLV3841, MA0920, and MA3335 were performed using a shaking head Synergy HT Microplate Reader (Biotek) with 250 µL of inoculated growth media per well in a 96-well NuncR Optical Bottom Plate (Thermo Scientific), and a 40 µL Anti-Evaporation Oil (Ibidi) overlay. Inoculated growth medium was prepared by scraping cells from freshly grown TYSm or SmGm plates, washing twice with 1XPBS, standardizing the cell suspension for an approximate initial OD₆₀₀ of 0.01. Cells were grown at 30°C with 10 min of shaking followed by OD₆₀₀ measurements every 30 min, for 72 h. Each growth curve was derived from the mean OD₆₀₀ measurements of 7 replicates. Mean generation times (MGT) were calculated in early exponential phase ($OD_{600} < 0.100$) and late exponential phase $(0.100 < OD_{600} < 0.200)$ by calculating the average time required to double the optical density of the cell cultures, within the defined growth phases.

RESULTS

Tn Insertion Sequencing and Transposition Summary of VMM-Mannitol Mutant Pools

The RLV3841 genome contains 140,056 potential mariner insertion sites distributed across the chromosome and 6 megaplasmids. Insertion densities within the genome ranged from 0.65 to 0.86 across the 7 replicons, with an average insertion density of 0.80 (Table S2). The insertion densities were similar to the insertion densities previously observed in an INSeq experiment using TY medium for selection (Perry and Yost, 2014). HMM analysis of the VMM INSeq data assigned 7.2 and 2.6% of genes to essential (ES) or growth defective (GD) growth phenotypes, respectively (Table S3). Given sufficient generations of growth GD mutants would be excluded from the mutant community. Therefore, ES and GD states were pooled into a single growth impaired (GI) category (9.8% of the genes) for annotation with Riley functional groupings. 87.1% of genes were observed to have no impact on growth (NE), and 1.20% of genes with Tn insertions became over-represented within the mutant communities, and were predicted to confer a growth advantage (GA) phenotype (Table S3). To further simplify interpretation of the INSeq data by focusing exclusively on loss-of-function phenotypes the NE and GA genes were pooled into a single category termed growth neutral (GN). Within the genome 1.6% of genes had sequence duplications resulting in no information concerning their impact on growth due to the multiple Tn insertion tag mapping location penalty imposed. As well, 0.3% of genes were observed to lack a "TA" dinucleotide motif leaving them without a target site for mariner Tn insertion.

The RLV3841 CFG, VMM-Growth Impaired, and TY-Growth Impaired Phenotypes

Comparison of the VMM-mannitol INSeq dataset with the TY INSeq dataset identified a set of genes that when mutated conferred a GI phenotype under both conditions; these 491 genes were assigned to the CFG (Figure 1). Whereas, 170 and 72 genes, when interrupted by Tn insertion, resulted in a condition dependent impaired ability to grow on VMM (VGI) and TY (TGI), respectively. Genes within the CFG were represented by 5 major Riley classification groups: macromolecule synthesis and metabolism (20.0%), energy and carbon metabolism (10.2%), ribosomal constituents (9.8%), cell envelope (9.4%), and conserved hypothetical proteins (9.2%) (Figure 2). Genes that gave rise to a VGI phenotype were composed of 3 major functional groups: metabolism of amino acids (17.6%), biosynthesis of co-factors and carriers (14.7%), and nucleotide biosynthesis (11.8%). While the 4 major groups of TGI genes consisted of hypothetical proteins (27.8%), cell envelope (15.3%), macro-molecule synthesis and metabolism (12.5%), and transport and binding proteins (11.1%).

Open reading frames annotated as hypothetical proteins represent approximately 25.2% of the RLV3841 genome. Of these, 103 hypothetical proteins were observed to have a GI phenotype on VMM, TY, or both growth conditions (**Table 1**). Sequence duplication within the RLV3841 genome resulted in 20 annotated hypothetical proteins not being assayed for a growth phenotype



using INSeq, due to multiple potential alignments of sequenced Tn insertion tags.

Growth Curve Analysis of Two Predicted VMM-Growth Impaired Mutants

Growth curves of RLV3841, MA0920 (INSeq predicted RL0920 VGI), and MA3335 (INSeq predicted RL3335 VGI), in TY and VMM-Mannitol broth over 72 h are shown in **Figure 3**. After 72 h growth RLV3841, MA0920, and MA3335 reached final mean OD_{600} readings of 0.696, 0.657, and 0.628 on TY; and 0.588, 0.179, and 0.198 on VMM, respectively. Mean generation times for RLV3841, MA0920, and MA3335 on TY were 2.5, 3.0, and 3.0 h in early exponential phase; and 3.5, 4.5, and 4.0 h in late exponential phase. The MGTs in VMM-Mannitol were observed to be 6.0, 7.5, and 8.5 h in early exponential phase for RLV3841, MA0920, and MA3335 respectively. In late exponential phase, MA0920 and MA3335 halted growth and did not complete an additional doubling, whereas RLV3841 wildtype continued to double with a MGT of 9.5 h.

The Genetics of Central Carbon Metabolism for Growth on Mannitol

INSeq was used to identify a potential minimal central carbon metabolism pathway for growth on mannitol. Figure 4, and accompanying Table 2, provide a metabolic map illustrating the interconnections of the Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas (EMP) pathway, Entner-Doudoroff (ED) pathway, pentose phosphate (PP) pathway, and tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) that compose central carbon metabolism, with an overlay of the observed growth impaired phenotypes. It was observed that the genes required for mannitol uptake and conversion to fructose-6P (F6P) were VGI. Genes required for conversion of F6P to pyruvate were observed to be VGI within the ED pathway. Genes required for conversion of F6P into glyceraldehyde-3P (GA3P), via the upper EMP pathway, were observed to have no impact on growth when mutated. Genes required for the conversion of GA3P to pyruvate as part of the lower EMP pathway were found to be VGI when mutated. Assimilation of pyruvate into the TCA cycle was observed to be VGI via more than one metabolic pathway. Mutation of genes within the TCA cycle were observed to result in GI or VGI phenotypes, with the exception of a growth neutral step at the conversion between fumarate and malate (Figure 3; Table 2).

Plasmid Growth Impaired Genes

Mutation of genes encoded on RLV3841 megaplasmids that resulted in a growth impaired phenotype were assigned as plasmid growth impaired (PGI), while plasmid genes that when mutated impaired growth on VMM or TY uniquely were designated PVGI and PTGI respectively. Collectively, 48 genes distributed across the 6 megaplasmids were predicted to result in a PGI phenotype when mutated (Supplementary File 1). The 48 PGI genes included 11 Riley functional classes (**Figure 5**). All 6 plasmids were observed to have a set of 3 replication protein encoding genes categorized as PGI. Plasmid pRL11 was observed to carry the most PGI biosynthetic genes including 6 genes of



an 8 gene cluster predicted to code for cobalamin biosynthesis (Figure 5).

DISCUSSION

The CFG of RLV3841

Young et al. (2006) used phylogeny of conserved genes and GC% to describe a core and accessory genome within the RLV3841. The present study helps to improve the level of resolution for distinguishing between the RLV3841 core genes and accessory genes using functional genetic screening. We propose that RLV3841 has a CFG which can be defined as the core set of genes required for normal growth, independent of any specific environmental condition. In this study we approximate the CFG of RLV3841 by contrasting INSeq generated data sets from growth on complex peptide rich and minimally defined media with mannitol as the sole carbon source. Cross referencing VGI and TGI chromosomal genes identified an overlapping set of 491 genes that we putatively assigned to the CFG of RLV3841, as their loss of function resulted in a GI phenotype that appears to be independent of the growth media used. The number of CFG genes was less than that of both the TGI and VGI genes, which represented 563 and 661 genes respectively (Figure 1). This is to be expected if the CFG represents a central set of genes required for core cellular functions.

Defining a CFG in RLV3841 provides context for subsequent INSeq and classical genetic studies. For example, the described CFG for RLV3841 will help explain if a mutation resulting in

a GI phenotype in a plant associated environment is the result of impairing some aspect of the RLV3841 CFG or is instead the result of a plant specific interaction. This is particularly important for genes encoding hypothetical proteins of unknown function. Summarizing the distribution of gene functions in the CFG identified 20 functional groupings (Figure 2). Five major categories accounted for over half of the total CFG (287 genes). These 5 functional categories included: macromolecule synthesis and modification (98 genes), energy and carbon metabolism (50 genes), ribosome constituents (48 genes), cell envelope (46 genes), and hypothetical proteins (50 genes) (Figure 2). Hypothetical proteins aside, these 4 categories logically compose the majority of the CFG as they represent the central genes required for the synthesis of the major cellular components, central conversion of carbon for generation of reductant and ATP, production and modification of protein synthesis machinery, and synthesis of the cell envelope.

The fact that genes encoding hypothetical proteins was one of the five major categories assigned to the CFG reinforces the broadly acknowledged observation among geneticists that the function of many genes involved in core cellular processes still remain uncharacterized. INSeq is a powerful technique that can help identify hypothetical proteins required for survival in specific growth conditions, and ultimately will advance our rate of discovery in this large and under studied category of genes. For example, in this study we identified a total of 103 hypothetical proteins observed to have an impaired growth phenotype under at least one growth condition; of which 19 were VGI, 20 were

TABLE 1 | Growth impaired hypothetical proteins.

			VMM INS	Seq Data			TY INSe	q Data			
Locus	Growth phenotype	Ν	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	N	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	Riley key	Annotation
COBE FU	NCTIONAL GE	NOME	HVPOTHE	TICAL PROTEII	NS						
RL1763	GI	2	0	0	ES	2	0	0	ES	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein
RL2220	GI	7	0.57	29.75	ES	7	0.57	30.25	ES	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein
RL2402	GI	13	0.46	13.5	GD	13	0.46	11.33	GD	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein
RL2581	GI	6	0	0	ES	6	0.33	1.5	ES	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein
RL4714	GI	1	0	0	ES	1	1	2	GD	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein
RL0128	GI	8	0.13	1	ES	8	0	0	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL0393	GI	8	0.38	9.33	GD	8	0.5	4.25	GD	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL0412	GI	10	0	0	ES	10	0	0	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL0548	GI	7	0	0	ES	7	0.14	2	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL0612	GI	2	0	0	ES	2	0	0	GD	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL0620	GI	7	0	0	GD	7	0	0	GD	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL1432	GI	5	0.2	7	ES	5	0.6	4.33	GD	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL1566	GI	11	0.18	5	ES	11	0	0	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL2245	GI	8	0.13	22	ES	8	0.25	30	GD	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein with Riml domain, putative N-acetyltransferase
RL3754	GI	6	0.17	8	ES	6	0	0	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL3757	GI	5	0.6	16	GD	5	0.4	33.5	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL3762	GI	14	0	0	ES	14	0.07	1	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical exported protein
RL4061	GI	10	0	0	ES	10	0.3	1.67	GD	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL4324	GI	1	0	0	ES	1	0	0	GD	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical exported protein
RL4434	GI	3	0	0	ES	3	0	0	GD	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL4437	GI	8	0	0	GD	8	0.13	2	GD	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL4733	GI	8	0	0	ES	8	0	0	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL0126	GI	8	0	0	ES	8	0.13	3	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL0144	GI	5	0	0	ES	5	0	0	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL0456A	GI	4	0	0	NE	4	0	0	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL0619	GI	4	0.25	1	GD	4	0.25	1	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
L0626	GI	13	0.46	12.67	GD	13	0.62	14.25	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein

				Seq Data			TY INSe	q Data			
Locus	Growth phenotype	N	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	N	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	Riley key	Annotation
RL0936	GI	30	0.07	1	ES	30	0.17	2	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein (TPR repeat family)
RL1094	GI	17	0.12	8	ES	17	0.18	5.33	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL1116	GI	9	0.11	18	ES	9	0.11	39	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL1569	GI	21	0.05	1	ES	21	0.14	1	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL1598	GI	6	0	0	ES	6	0.17	1	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL1706	GI	3	0	0	ES	3	0	0	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein in NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase region
RL2034	GI	8	0.5	3.75	GD	8	0.38	1	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL2042	GI	29	0.28	8.5	ES	29	0.17	5.4	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL2056	GI	5	0.2	1	GD	5	0.2	2	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL2207	GI	6	0.17	1	ES	6	0.17	2	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL2232	GI	11	0.09	1	ES	11	0	0	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL2584	GI	9	0	0	ES	9	0.11	2	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL2697	GI	5	0.2	21	ES	5	0.2	22	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical exported protein
RL3259	GI	1	0	0	NE	1	0	0	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL3314	GI	8	0	0	ES	8	0	0	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL3464	GI	15	0.27	1.5	GD	15	0.53	2.63	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL3948A	GI	31	0.06	2	ES	31	0.16	1	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL3967	GI	19	0	0	ES	19	0.53	3.5	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL4037	GI	8	0.5	3.25	GD	8	0.63	6.8	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL4280	GI	20	0.4	2.5	GD	20	0.25	4	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL4523	GI	13	0.23	7.67	ES	13	0.23	12.33	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical exported protein
RL4562	GI	10	0.1	3	ES	10	0.1	4	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical exported protein
RL4734	GI	14	0	0	ES	14	0	0	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
	OWTH IMPAIRE	ED HY	POTHETIC	AL PROTEINS							
RL0044	VGI	13	0.62	22.88	ES	13	0.69	32.33	NE	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein
RL1117	VGI	6	0.5	15.33	ES	6	0.67	8	NE	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein
RL1628	VGI	39	0.64	8.32	ES	39	0.67	10.35	NE	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein

			VMM INS	Seq Data			TY INSe	q Data			
Locus	Growth phenotype	N	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	N	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	Riley key	Annotation
RL1946	VGI	13	0.62	1.75	GD	13	0.69	3.33	NE	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein
RL2117A	VGI	33	0.76	11.36	ES	33	0.79	13.31	NE	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein
RL2154	VGI	16	0.69	7.55	GD	16	0.75	7.25	NE	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein
RL2611	VGI	10	0.5	11.6	ES	10	0.9	14	NE	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein
RL1524	VGI	5	0.6	3.33	GD	5	0.8	9.75	NE	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL3760	VGI	7	0	0	ES	7	0.29	9	NE	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL4043	VGI	2	0.5	2	ES	2	1	29	NE	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL4066	VGI	24	0.42	2.8	GD	24	0.79	9.68	NE	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL0571	VGI	3	0	0	ES	3	1	26.67	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL0960	VGI	10	0.3	1.33	GD	10	0.5	2.6	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein (Sua5 family)
RL1523	VGI	4	0.25	2	GD	4	1	4.25	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL2210	VGI	5	0.2	1	GD	5	0.4	1	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL2289	VGI	3	0.33	1	GD	3	1	19	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL2291	VGI	7	0.71	2	GD	7	0.86	20	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL2527	VGI	13	0.31	8.5	GD	13	0.69	6.78	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical exported protein
RL4728	VGI	13	0.23	6	ES	13	0.69	6.11	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
	TH IMPAIRED					-					
RL1527	TGI	2	1	24	NE	2	1	3.5	GD	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL1562	TGI	9	0.56	14.8	NE	9	0.56	16.4	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL1618A	TGI	17	1	9.82	NE	17	0.65	2.18	GD	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL2307	TGI	4	0.75	5.67	NE	4	0.75	10	GD	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL4065	TGI	3	0.67	1	NE	3	0	0	NE	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL4716	TGI	18	1	37.17	NE	18	0.61	6.55	GD	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical exported protein
RL0109	TGI	8	0.75	8.5	NE	8	0.25	2.5	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein
RL0890	TGI	16	0.81	19.54	NE	16	0.5	13.75	ES		Conserved hypothetical protein Conserved hypothetical
RL1526 RL1528	TGI TGI	11 11	0.91 0.91	34.9 14.3	NE	11 11	0.64 0.45	5.43 7.2	GD GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein Conserved hypothetical
RL2086	TGI	6	0.91	5.8	NE	6	0.45	1.33	GD	0.0.2	protein Conserved hypothetical
RL2000	TGI	17	0.83	13.33	NE	0 17	0.65	17.55	ES	0.0.2	exported protein Conserved hypothetical
162142	IGI	17	0.71	10.00		17	0.00	17.00	L0	0.0.2	protein

		_		Seq Data			TY INSe	q Data				
Locus	Growth phenotype	N	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	N	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	Riley key	Annotation	
RL2542	TGI	2	1	4.5	NE	2	0	0	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
RL2625	TGI	15	0.8	9.08	NE	15	0.47	3.71	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
RL2641	TGI	9	0.67	14	NE	9	0.56	5.8	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
RL3499	TGI	14	0.93	17.08	NE	14	0.5	2.29	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
RL3500	TGI	18	1	34.89	NE	18	0.67	5.75	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
RL3761	TGI	14	0.93	13.23	NE	14	0.21	1	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical exported protein	
RL4016	TGI	5	0.8	27.5	NE	5	0	0	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
RL4503	TGI	4	0.75	3	NE	4	1	4.75	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
				TICAL PROTEI								
pRL100111		38	0.45	3.18	GD	38	0.45	3.71	ES	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein	
pRL70135 pRL120721		17 6	0.47 0.17	22.75 1	ES ES	17 6	0.65 0.33	23 2.5	ES ES	0.0.0 0.0.1	Hypothetical protein Conserved hypothetical	
oRL100012	PGI	3	0	0	ES	3	0	0	ES	0.0.2	protein Conserved hypothetical protein	
oRL110465	PGI	8	0.13	1	GD	8	0.13	2	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
pRL70167	PGI	11	0.27	11	ES	11	0.27	19	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
pRL80098	PGI	11	0.18	9.5	ES	11	0.64	8.14	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
PLASMID/	VMM/TY)-GR	оwтн	I IMPAIRED	HYPOTHETIC	AL PRC	TEIN	s					
oRL100010	PVGI	3	0.33	3	GD	3	1	11.67	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
oRL100149	PVGI	58	0.83	14.56	ES	58	0.83	18.54	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
oRL110044	PVGI	6	0.33	1.5	GD	6	0.83	4	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
pRL110108	PVGI	17	0.76	9.85	ES	17	0.76	13.38	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
oRL110351	APTGI	10	0.7	9.71	NE	10	0.6	14.67	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
oRL70166	PVGI	9	0.33	6.33	ES	9	0.67	6.83	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
oRL90280	PVGI	6	0.5	4.33	ES	6	0.83	6.6	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	
_ocus	Growth phenotype	Ν	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	N	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	Riley key	Annotation	Sequence duplication
GROWTH I	MPAIRED OR	PLAS	MID GROW	/TH IMPAIRED	нүрот	HETIC	CAL PROTE	INS WITH SEQ	JENCE	DUPLICATI	ON	
pRL110582		4	0	0	ES	4	0	0	ES		Hypothetical protein	pRL70102, pRL110582 downstrean pRL100152 upstream

pRL100152, upstream pRL100166

Locus	Growth phenotype	Ν	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	Ν	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	Riley key	Annotation	Sequence duplications
pRL70102	PGI	4	0	0	ES	4	0	0	ES	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein	pRL70102, pRL110582, downstream pRL100152, upstream pRL100166
RL1481	GI	6	0	0	ES	6	0	0	ES	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein	RL1481, 3'-end of RL00456B, 5'-end of RL0457, 3'-end of pRL100088, 5'-end of pRL100089
pRL110342	PGI	2	0	0	ES	2	0	0	ES	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein	upstream pRL100046, upstream RL2679
pRL100089	PGN	5	0.4	5.5	NE	5	0.6	5.33	NE	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein	pRL100089, RL1481
pRL70033	PGI	8	0	0	ES	8	0	0	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein	pRL70033, pRL70047D, pRL70147
pRL70047	PGI	6	0	0	ES	6	0	0	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein	pRL70142, pRL70047
pRL70142	PGI	6	0	0	ES	6	0	0	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein	pRL70142, pRL70047
pRL70179	PGI	3	0	0	ES	3	0	0	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein	pRL70169, pRL70179, PRL100095
pRL120379	PGI	35	0	0	ES	35	0	0	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein	pRL90004, pRL90319, pRL100469, pRL120379
pRL90004	PGI	35	0	0	ES	35	0	0	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein	pRL90004, pRL90319, pRL100469, pRL120379
pRL120650	PGI	6	0	0	ES	6	0	0	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein	RL2139, RL0835, pRL120650
RL0835	GI	7	0	0	ES	7	0.14	21	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein	RL2139, RL0835, pRL120650
RL2139	GI	6	0	0	ES	6	0	0	ES	0.0.1	Conserved hypothetical protein	RL2139, RL0835, pRL120650
pRL110353	PGN	4	0.25	2	NE	4	0.25	3	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	, pRL110353, RL2153
RL2153	GI	4	0.25	3	GD	4	0.25	4	GD	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	pRL110353, RL2153
pRL100095	PGI	2	0	0	NE	2	0	0	NE	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	pRL70169, pRL70179, PRL100095
pRL70169	PGI	2	0	0	ES	2	0	0	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	pRL70169, pRL70179, PRL100095

Locus	Growth phenotype	Ν	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	Ν	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	Riley key	Annotation	Sequence duplications
pRL100469	PGI	35	0	0	ES	35	0	0	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein	pRL90004, pRL90319, pRL100469, pRL120379
pRL90319	PGI	35	0	0	ES	35	0	0	ES	0.0.2	Conserved hypothetical protein, possible fusion protein	pRL90004, pRL90319, pRL100469, pRL120379



TGI, 14 were plasmid associated, and the remainder belonged to the CFG (**Table 1**).

Chromosomal VMM and TY Specific Growth Impairment

Growth on minimal media requires the biosynthesis of several metabolites that can be scavenged from a complex growth media. Therefore, it was expected that there were more VGI genes compared to TGI genes, and that a substantial portion of the VGI genes are functionally classified for the biosynthesis of amino acids (30 genes), cofactors and carriers (25 genes), nucleotides (20 genes), and metabolic intermediates (15 genes) (**Figure 2**).

To further interrogate the VGI dataset, two previously uncharacterized genes, RL0920 a putative ATP-binding mrp family protein and RL3335 a putative lysophospholipase, were selected for targeted mutagenesis. A growth curve in liquid culture was used to characterize the generation time and growth response of the mutants. As expected, MA0920 and MA3335 were substantially growth impaired with increased generation times in VMM-mannitol. After 72 h of growth the mutant cultures were 1/3 the density of the wildtype in VMM-mannitol, whereas in TY they had achieved similar densities to the wildtype (**Figure 3**).

The TGI genes were composed of the smallest number of GI genes and the least functional complexity (**Figure 2**). Unlike the VGI genes, the general mechanism underlying the TGI genes does not hinge on metabolic biosynthesis, which is not surprising as complex media will contain many, if not all, metabolic intermediates required for growth. The largest functional categories observed in the TGI genes were hypothetical proteins (20 genes), cell envelope (11 genes), macromolecule synthesis and modification (9 genes), and transport and binding proteins (8 genes). Previous studies have identified several TGI genes, which collectively are implicated in outer membrane integrity or periplasmic function, suggesting that growth on complex media may require specific envelope



traits (Gilbert et al., 2007; Vanderlinde et al., 2009, 2011; Foreman et al., 2010; Vanderlinde and Yost, 2012a,b). Additionally, we observed a putative cold shock response protein (RL2964), a LysR family transcriptional regulator, multiple transcriptional response regulators from predicted two-component systems (RL0036, RL1433, RL1729), a histidine kinase component of a two-component system (RL1382), as well as hypothetical proteins containing transmembrane domains (RL1526, RL2641), AAA–ATPase domain (RL2625), or N-terminal secretion signals (RL3761, RL1528, RL1618A, RL2086, RL4716) that were GI on

TY medium (Supplementary File 1). These findings suggest that the TGI phenotype collectively may be centered around cell sensing and stress response at the cell envelope-environment interface.

Central Carbon Metabolism of Mannitol

Using INSeq we were able to screen the 4 major conserved central carbon metabolic pathways for genes required for growth on mannitol (**Figure 4**; **Table 2**). As expected, disruption of the genes required for mannitol transport and conversion to

						VMM INSeq			TY INSeq			
Metabolic	RLV	RLV	Putative	Growth	Insertion	Average read	Gene	Insertion	Average read	Gene	Riley	Riley functional
step	locus	symbol	product	phenotype	density	depth	state	density	depth	state	key	information
MANNITOL	UPTAKE	AND CONV	MANNITOL UPTAKE AND CONVERSION TO FRUCTOSE									
1.0	RL4219	I	Transcriptional regulator	GN	0.86	16.67	ШN	0.79	25.27	ШN	6.3.10	Putative deor family transcriptional
												regulator (repressor) or sorbitol/mannitol operon
1.0	RL4215	I	Mannitol ABC transporter ATP-binding protein	VGI	0.41	1.71	G	0.88	12.6	BN	1.5.3	Putative ATP-binding component of ABC transporter CUT1 mannitol transporter (S. Mel SBP homolog smc01496 induced by dulcitol, sorbitol, mannitol)
1.0	RL4216	mtlG	Mannitol transmembrane permease component of ABC transporter	VGI	0.56	.0 .0	GD	-	34.11	ШZ	1.5.3	Putative permease component of ABC transporter CUT1 mannitol transporter (S. Mel SBP homolog smc01496 induced by dulcitol, sorbitol, mannitol)
1.0	RL4217	mtlF	Mannitol transmembrane permease component of ABC transporter	VGI	0.53	2.78	GD	0.94	36.06	ШZ	1.5.3	Putative permease component of ABC transporter CUT1 mannitol transporter (S. Mel SBP homolog smc01496 induced by dulcitol, sorbitol, mannitol)
1.0	RL4218	mtlE	Mannitol-binding component of ABC transporter	VGI	0.48	5.3	GD	о. О	26.11	ШZ	1.5.3	Putative SBP of ABC transporter CUT1 mannitol transporter (S. Mel SBP homolog smc01496 induced by dulcitol, sorbitol, mannitol)
1.0	RL4214	mtlK	Mannitol 2-dehydrogenase	NGI	0.12	7.5	GD	0.88	24.8	ЫN	3.4.3	Putative mannitol 2-dehydrogenase
1.0	RL0098	I	Mannitol dehydrogenase	GN	0.93	17	NE	0.93	17.62	ЫR	3.4.3	Putative mannitol dehydrogenase
1.0	RL0502	frk	Fructokinase	NGI	0.21	ო	GD	۲	15.71	NE	3.5.5	Fructokinase
ENTNER-DOUDOROFF PATHWAY	DI DZEO	FF PATHWA		5	0.05	Ŧ	ć	000	Ŧ	ć	с 1	Dutativo ali acco 6 abacabata
3	HLU/ 33	1 IMZ	rutative glucose-o-priospnate 1-dehydrogenase		c0.0	_	О Ц	0.03	_	2	0.0.0	rutative glucose-o-priospriate 1-dehydrogenase
1.1	RL1315	zwf1	Glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase	GN	0.93	18.72	NE	-	18.7	NE	3.5.6	Putative glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase
1.1	pRL120561	51 -	Glucose-6-phosphate 1-dehydrogenase	PGN	-	19	NE	-	14.5	NE	6.3.0	Putative XRE family transcriptional regulator
1:2	RL0752	lbd	6-phosphogluconolactonase	Ū	0	0	ES	0	0	ES	3.5.6	Putative 6-phosphogluconolactonase
1.3	RL0751	edd	Phosphogluconate dehydratase	VGI	0	0	ES	÷	8.73	NE	3.3.3	Putative phosphogluconate dehydratase

MutualityNumberDesireControlTesting <t< th=""><th>Metabolic</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Metabolic												
Ioos Symbol Point, item Capity Capi		RLV	RLV	Putative	Growth	Insertion	Average rea		Insertion	Average read		Riley	Riley functional
HullCl Global Global<	step	locus	symbol	product	phenotype	density	depth	state	density	depth	state	key	information
IDDDIA Propriorization Sertitions Constrained Constrained<	1.4	RL4162	eda	Keto-hydroxyglutarate- aldolase/keto-deoxy- phosphogluconate aldolase	VGI	0.13	14	ES	0.88	3.14	ШN	3.3.3	Putative 2-dehydro-3- deoxyphosphogluconate aldolase
RL0504 pp Cursen by processing functional model Val 0.05 S 0.76 10.69 Ne 3.55 Ne	EMBDEN-N	<i>NEVERHOF</i>	PARNAS	PATHWAY									
H1332 pp Prodince/protection (N) 1 $(6,1)$ (N) $(1,2,1)$ (N) $($	2.1	RL0504	ibdi	Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase	VGI	0.06	30	ES	0.76	10.69	NE	3.5.5	Putative glucose-6-phosphate isomerase
	2.2	RL3322	dłd	Pyrophosphate-fructose-6- phosphate 1-phosphotransferase	CGN	-	16.8	NE	0.95	21.79	ШN	3.5.5	Putative pyrophosphate-fructose 6-phosphate 1-phosphotransferase
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2.3	RL4012		Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase	ND	0.86	22.42	BN	0.93	21.23	ШN	3.5.5	Putative fructose-bisphosphate aldolase
PrL120196 Functose 1,6 bisphosphate RN 0.95 2067 NE 1 2253 NE 33.0 R12513 IAA Trosephosphate isomeraes RV 0.95 14.56 NE 242 NE 35.0 PL120209 IAA Trosephosphate isomeraes RV3 0.38 24.5 NE 35.0 NE	2.3	pRL12002	7	Aldolase	PGN	0.86	23.92	NE	0.93	21.46	NE	3.3.15	
RL2513 <i>Iph</i> Ticosephosphate isomerase GN 0.9 14.56 NE 0.9 2.422 NE 0.50 PRL120203 <i>Iph</i> Ticosephosphate isomerase PGI 0.38 2.33 GD 0.98 8.14 NE 3.56 1 PL120203 <i>Iph</i> Ticosephosphate isomerase PGI 0.38 2.33 GD 0.98 8.14 NE 3.56 1 RL4011 <i>Iph</i> Phosphosp/cerate kinase VGI 0.25 3.67 GD 0.98 NE 3.56 1 1 9 NE 3.55 1 RL4011 <i>Iph</i> Phosphosp/cerate kinase VGI 0.26 3.67 1 1 9 NE 3.55 1 RL4011 <i>Iph</i> Phosphosp/cerate mutase VGI 0.26 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td>2.3</td> <td>pRL12019</td> <td>Q</td> <td>Fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase</td> <td>PGN</td> <td>0.95</td> <td>20.67</td> <td>BN</td> <td></td> <td>22.53</td> <td>ШN</td> <td>3.3.9</td> <td>Putative fructose-bisphosphate aldolase</td>	2.3	pRL12019	Q	Fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase	PGN	0.95	20.67	BN		22.53	ШN	3.3.9	Putative fructose-bisphosphate aldolase
pRL120203 (pil) Tirosephosphate isomerase dehydrogenase WGI 0.38 2.33 GD 0.88 8.14 NE 35.1 RL4001 gay Ghydrogenase Ghydrogenase VGI 0.38 2.33 GD 0.88 8.14 NE 35.1 RL4011 pgk Phosphosynate kinase VGI 0.25 3.67 GD 0.83 8.14 NE 35.1 RL4011 pgk Phosphosynate kinase VGI 0.26 3.67 GD 0.83 NE 35.1 RL4011 pgk Phosphosynate kinase VGI 0.26 3.67 GD 0.83 NE 35.1 RL4011 pgm Phosphosynate kinase VGI 0.28 NE 0.73 9.88 NE 35.5 RL203 pm Phosphosynate kinase GN 0.28 NE 0.73 9.88 NE 35.5 RL203 pm Phosphosycerate mutase GN 1 19.22 NE 35.5	2.4	RL2513	tpiA	Triosephosphate isomerase	GN	0.9	14.56	NE	0.9	24.22	NE	3.6.0	Putative triosephosphate isomerase
RL4007 gap Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate Vcl 0 ES 1 9 NE 35.1 RL4011 pgr Piosphogycerate kinase Vcl 0.36 367 GD 0.33 38.2 NE 35.1 1 RL0179 gm/d Piosphogycerate kinase Vcl 0.36 1.5 GD 0.33 38.2 NE 35.1 1 RL0179 gm/d Piosphogycerate kinase Vcl 0.36 1.5 GD 0.33 38.2 NE 35.5 1 RL0179 gm/d Piosphogycerate mutase Vcl 0.36 1.5 ME 35.5 1 35.5 1 1 35.5 1 1 35.5 1 1 35.5 1 1 35.5 1 1 1 1 35.5 1 1 1 35.5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <	2.4	pRL12020.	9 tpiA	Triosephosphate isomerase	PVGI	0.38	2.33	GD	0.88	8.14	NE	3.6.0	Putative triosephosphate isomeras
RL4011 pgk Prosphog/vareate kinase Val 0.25 3.67 GD 0.83 38.2 NE 3.51 RL0179 gm/d Prosphog/vareate kinase Val 0.36 1.5 GD 0.83 38.2 NE 3.51 RL0179 gm/d Prosphog/vareate kinase Val 0.36 1.5 GD 0.73 9.88 NE 3.55 1 RL0179 gm/d Prosphog/vareate mutase GN 0.36 1.5 GD 0.73 9.88 NE 3.55 1 3.55 1 3.55 1 3.55 1 3.55 1 3.55 1 3.55 1 1 3.55 1 1 3.55 1 1 3.55 1 1 3.55 1 1 3.55 1 1 3.55 1 1 3.55 1 1 3.55 1 1 3.55 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2.5	RL4007	gap	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	VGI	0	0	ES	-	O	ШZ	3.5.1	Putative glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase
RL0179gm/dfroshoglyceronutaseVG0.361.5GD0.739.88NE3.55RL2665Hypothetical proteinGN0.88 10.71 NE 9.88 NE 3.55 NERL2054gm/BHypothetical proteinGN 0.88 10.71 NE 9.38 NE 3.55 NERL2054gm/BPhosphoglycerate mutaseGN 1 1 9.22 NE 3.55 NE 3.55 NERL1010gm/BPhosphoglycerate mutaseGN 1 1 34.29 NE 16.77 NE 3.55 NE 3.55 NERL239enoPhosphoglycerate mutaseGN 1 1 34.29 NE 16.77 NE 3.55 NE 3.55 NERL2039enoPhosphoglycerate mutaseGN 0.19 1 6.02 NE 3.55 NE 3.55 NERL2039enoPhosphoglycerate mutaseGN 0.19 1 6.02 16.77 NE 3.55 NE 3.55 NERL2039enoPhosphoglycerate mutaseGN 0.38 6.72 0.89 21.28 NE 3.55 NERL2040py/dPhorate kinaseGN 0.38 0.72 1.26 0.93 1.57 1.52 1.25 1.26 1.55 1.52 RL2041pibmitPhotosphoglocerateGN 0.93 1.224 1.26 0.18 1.26 1.26 <td< td=""><td>2.6</td><td>RL4011</td><td>pgk</td><td>Phosphoglycerate kinase</td><td>VGI</td><td>0.25</td><td>3.67</td><td>GD</td><td>0.83</td><td>38.2</td><td>NE</td><td>3.5.1</td><td>Putative phosphoglycerate kinase</td></td<>	2.6	RL4011	pgk	Phosphoglycerate kinase	VGI	0.25	3.67	GD	0.83	38.2	NE	3.5.1	Putative phosphoglycerate kinase
RL265Hypothetical proteinGN 0.38 10.71 NE 1 9.38 NE $0.0.0$ $RL054$ gmB Phosphogycerate mutaseGN 1 19.22 NE 0.38 21.38 NE $3.5.5$ NE $RL010$ gmB Phosphogycerate mutaseGN 1 19.22 NE 0.38 21.38 NE $3.5.5$ NE $RL230$ gmB Phosphogycerate mutaseGN 1 19.22 NE 0.38 26.2 NE $3.5.5$ NE $RL233$ eno Phosphogycerate mutaseGN 1 34.29 NE 0.83 26.2 NE $3.5.5$ NE $RL233$ eno Phosphogycerate mutaseGN 0.19 1 602 0.81 4.77 NE $3.5.5$ NE $RL233$ eno Phosphogycerate mutaseGN 0.19 1 620 0.81 4.77 NE $3.5.5$ NE $RL233$ $podK$ Pyruvate hydrateaseGN 0.34 21.26 NE 0.81 4.77 NE $3.5.5$ 1 $RL233$ $podK$ Pyruvate dehydrogeneseGN 0.34 21.26 NE 0.31 24.59 NE $3.5.5$ 1 $RL2241$ pdM Pyruvate dehydrogeneseGN 0.34 21.26 NE 0.31 1 GD $3.5.5$ 1 $RL2241$ pdM Pyruvate dehydrogeneseGI 0.91 0.19 1 GD $3.5.6$ 1 1	2.7	RL0179	gpmA	Phosphoglyceromutase	VGI	0.36	1.5	GD	0.73	9.88	ШZ	3.J.J	Putative 2,3-bisphosphoglycerate- dependent phosphoglycerate mutase (Phosphoglyceromutase) (PGAM) (BPG-dependent PGAM) (dPGM)
RL0954 gmB Phosphoglycerate mutaseGN119.22NE0.8921.38NE3.5.5NERL1010 gmB Phosphoglycerate mutaseGN0.8315.2NE0.8326.2NE3.5.5NERL2097Phosphoglycerate mutaseGN134.29NE139.12NE3.5.5NERL2030 $pykA$ Phosphoglycerate mutaseGN134.29NE139.12NE3.5.5NERL2030 $pykA$ Phosphoglycerate mutaseGI0.1911GD0.833.5.5NE3.5.5NERL2030 $pykA$ Phosphoglycerate mutaseGI0.1916D0.814.77NE3.5.5NERL2030 $pykA$ Phrvate kinaseGI0.238.67ES0.924.83GD3.5.5NERL1080 $pykA$ Phrvate kinaseGI0.238.67ES0.914.77NE3.5.5NERL1080 $pykA$ Phrvate kinaseGI0.238.67ES0.924.83GD3.5.5NERL2031 $pdhA$ Phrvate cehydrogenaseGI0.238.67ES0.9124.69NE24.69NERL2241 $pdhA$ Phrvate dehydrogenaseGI00DES1.66D3.5.5NERL2242 $pdhB$ Phrvate dehydrogenaseGI0DD <t< td=""><td>2.7</td><td>RL2655</td><td></td><td>Hypothetical protein</td><td>GN</td><td>0.88</td><td>10.71</td><td>NE</td><td>-</td><td>9.38</td><td>NE</td><td>0.0.0</td><td>Hypothetical protein</td></t<>	2.7	RL2655		Hypothetical protein	GN	0.88	10.71	NE	-	9.38	NE	0.0.0	Hypothetical protein
RL1010 gmB Phosphoglycerate mutaseGN 0.83 15.2 NE 0.83 26.2 NE $3.5.5$ NERL2997Phosphoglycerate mutaseGN1 34.29 NE 1 39.12 NE $3.5.5$ NERL2097Phosphoglycerate mutaseGN1 34.29 NE 1 39.12 NE $3.5.5$ NERL203 pv/d Phosphoglycerate mutaseGI 0.19 1 60 0.81 4.77 NE $3.5.5$ NERL4060 py/d Pyruvate kinaseGI 0.19 1 60 0.81 4.77 NE $3.5.5$ NERL4060 py/d Pyruvate kinaseGI 0.23 8.67 ES 0.92 4.83 GD $3.5.5$ 1 RL1080 pp/d Pyruvate kinaseGI 0.23 8.67 ES 0.92 4.83 GD $3.5.5$ 1 RL2024 pd/h Pyruvate dehydrogenaseGI 0.34 21.26 NE 1 GD $3.5.6$ 1 RL2242 pd/h Pyruvate dehydrogenaseGI 0 0 0 0 0 $3.5.6$ 1 <td>2.7</td> <td>RL0954</td> <td>gpmB</td> <td>Phosphoglycerate mutase</td> <td>GN</td> <td>-</td> <td>19.22</td> <td>NE</td> <td>0.89</td> <td>21.38</td> <td>NE</td> <td>3.5.5</td> <td>Putative phosphoglycerate mutase</td>	2.7	RL0954	gpmB	Phosphoglycerate mutase	GN	-	19.22	NE	0.89	21.38	NE	3.5.5	Putative phosphoglycerate mutase
RL2937Phosphoglycerate mutaseGN1 34.29 NE1 39.12 NE $3.5.5$ NE $3.5.$	2.7	RL1010	gpmB	Phosphoglycerate mutase	GN	0.83	15.2	NE	0.83	26.2	NE	3.5.5	Putative phosphoglycerate mutase
RL2239enoPhosphopyruvate hydrataseVGI0.191GD0.81 4.77 NE $3.5.5$ <td>2.7</td> <td>RL2997</td> <td></td> <td>Phosphoglycerate mutase</td> <td>GN</td> <td>-</td> <td>34.29</td> <td>NE</td> <td>-</td> <td>39.12</td> <td>NE</td> <td>3.5.5</td> <td>Putative phosphoglycerate mutase</td>	2.7	RL2997		Phosphoglycerate mutase	GN	-	34.29	NE	-	39.12	NE	3.5.5	Putative phosphoglycerate mutase
R14060 <i>p/k4</i> Pruvate kinase GI 0.23 8.67 ES 0.92 4.83 GD 3.5.5 I D R11086 <i>pd/k</i> Pruvate kinase GN 0.34 21.26 NE 0.91 24.69 NE 3.5.5 I CARBOXULATION Pruvate phosphate dikinase GN 0.84 21.26 NE 0.91 24.69 NE 3.5.5 I CARBOXULATION Pruvate dehydrogenase GI 0.84 21.26 NE 0.91 24.69 NE 3.5.5 I R12241 <i>pd/h</i> Pruvate dehydrogenase GI 0 0 ES 0.18 1 GD 3.5.5 I R12241 <i>pd/h</i> Pruvate dehydrogenase GI 0 0 ES 0.18 1 GD 3.5.5 I R12242 <i>pd/h</i> Pyruvate dehydrogenase GI 0 0 ES 0.22 1.25 GD 3.5.5 I R12242 <i>pd/h</i> Pyruvate dehydrogenase GI 0 D ES <t< td=""><td>2.8</td><td>RL2239</td><td>eno</td><td>Phosphopyruvate hydratase</td><td>VGI</td><td>0.19</td><td>-</td><td>GD</td><td>0.81</td><td>4.77</td><td>NE</td><td>3.5.5</td><td>Putative enolase</td></t<>	2.8	RL2239	eno	Phosphopyruvate hydratase	VGI	0.19	-	GD	0.81	4.77	NE	3.5.5	Putative enolase
0 RL1086 <i>ppdK</i> Pyruvate phosphate dikinase GN 0.84 21.26 NE 0.91 24.69 NE 35.5 I ICARBOXVLIC ACID CVL CVL CVL CVL CVL CVL 24.69 NE 35.5 I ICARBOXVLIC ACID XVL XVL X <thx< th=""> <thx< th=""> X</thx<></thx<>	2.9	RL4060	pyka	Pyruvate kinase	U	0.23	8.67	ES	0.92	4.83	GD	3.5.5	Putative pyruvate kinase
ICARBOXYLIC ACID CYCLE RL2241 pdh4 Pyruvate dehydrogenase GI 0 D ES 0.18 1 GD 3.5.5 RL2242 pdhB Pyruvate dehydrogenase GI 0 D ES 0.18 1 GD 3.5.5 RL2242 pdhB Pyruvate dehydrogenase GI 0 D ES 0.22 1.25 GD 3.5.5	2.10	RL1086	Abqq	Pyruvate phosphate dikinase	CN	0.84	21.26	NE	0.91	24.69	ШN	3.5.5	Pyruvate, phosphate dikinase (pyruvate, orthophosphate dikinase)
RL2241 pdh4 Pyruvate dehydrogenase GI 0 ES 0.18 1 GD 3.5.5 subunit subunit 0 0 0 ES 0.18 1 GD 3.5.5 RL2242 pdhB Pyruvate dehydrogenase GI 0 0 ES 0.22 1.25 GD 3.5.5 subunit beta subunit beta 0 0 D ES 0.22 1.25 GD 3.5.5	TRICARBO	XYLIC ACI	D CYCLE										
RL2242 <i>pdhB</i> Pyruvate dehydrogenase GI 0 ES 0.22 1.25 GD 3.5.5 subunit beta	3.1	RL2241	PdhA	Pyruvate dehydrogenase subunit	J	0	0	ES	0.18		GD	3.5.5	Putative pyruvate dehydrogenase subunit A
	3.1	RL2242	pdhB	Pyruvate dehydrogenase subunit beta	U	0	0	ES	0.22	1.25	GD	3.5.5	Putative pyruvate dehydrogenase subunit B

Metabolic step	RLV locus	RLV symbol	Putative product	Growth phenotype	Insertion density	Average read depth	d Gene state	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	Riley key	Riley functional information
3.1	RL2243	pdhC	Dihydrolipoamide acetyltransferase component of pyruvate dehydrogenase complex	ত	0	0	ES	0	0	GD	3.5.5	Putative dihydrolipoamide acetyttransferase component of pyruvate dehydrogenase complex (PDC)
3.1	pRL80081	I	Hydrolase	PGN	0.86	9.33	NE	0.86	9.33	NE	3.3.15	Putative hydrolase
3.2	RL2234	gltA	Type II citrate synthase	NGI	0.7	4.32	GD	0.85	14.09	ЫN	3.5.8	Putative citrate synthase
3.2	RL2508	gltA	Citrate synthase II	0N	0.85	14.82	ЫR	-	13.54	ЫN	3.5.8	Putative citrate synthase II
3.2	RL2509	citA	Citrate synthase 2	СN	0.8	19	ЫR	0.8	19.17	NE	3.5.8	Putative citrate synthase I
3.3	RL4536	acnA	Aconitate hydratase	Ū	0.05		ES	0.05	+	ES	3.5.8	Putative aconitate hydratase
3.4	RL2631	icd	Isocitrate dehydrogenase	ō	0.12	10.5	ES	0.12	ω	ES	3.5.8	Putative isocitrate dehydrogenase [NADP]
3.5	RL4435	sucA	2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase E1 component	Ū	0.05	1.5	ES	0.23	1.5	GD	3.5.8	Putative 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase E1 component
3.5	RL4433	citM	Dihydrolipoamide succinyttransferase	Ū	0.2	J	ES	0.3	4.33	GD	3.5.8	Putative dihydrolipoyllysine-residue succinyltransferase component of 2-oxoglutarate dehydrogenase
3.6	RL4436	sucD	Succinyl-CoA synthetase subunit alpha	Ū	0.15	-	GD	0.23	1.33	GD	3.5.8	Putative succinyl-coa synthetase alpha chain
3.6	RL4438	sucC	Succinyl-CoA synthetase subunit beta	Ū	0.09		GD	0.36	.	GD	3.5.8	Putative succinyl-coa synthetase beta chain
3.7	RL4443	sdhB	Succinate dehydrogenase iron-sulfur subunit	Ū	0.13	Ю	GD	0.73	2.82	GD	3.5.8	Putative succinate dehydrogenase iron-sulfur protein
3.7	RL4444	sdhA	Succinate dehydrogenase flavoprotein subunit	Ū	0.53	1.5	GD	0.76	3.77	GD	3.5.8	Putative succinate dehydrogenase flavoprotein subunit
3.7	RL4445	SdhD	Succinate dehydrogenase hydrophobic membrane anchor protein	ত	0.67	1.25	Ð	0.67	4	GD	3.5.8	Putative succinate dehydrogenase hydrophobic membrane anchor protein
3.7	RL4446	sdhC	Succinate dehydrogenase cytochrome b556 subunit	Ū	0.46	1.83	GD	0.77	4.6	GD	3.5.8	Putative succinate dehydrogenase cytochrome b556 subunit
3.8	RL2701	fumC	Fumarate hydratase class II	GN	0.94	18.56	NE	0.88	19.8	NE	3.5.8	Putative fumarate hydratase class II
3.8	RL2703	fumA	Fumarate hydratase class I	ND	0.93	23.36	NE	0.96	20.81	NE	3.5.8	Putative fumarate hydratase class I, aerobic
3.9	RL4439	mdh	Malate dehydrogenase	Ū	0.25	1.33	GD	0.17	+	GD	3.5.8	Putative malate dehydrogenase
3.10	RL0761		Isocitrate lyase	GN	0.91	17.29	NE	0.83	25.79	NE	3.3.5	Putative isocitrate lyase
3.11	RL0054	glcB	Malate synthase G	GN	0.88	20	NE	-	24.06	NE	3.3.5	Putative malate synthase
3.12	RL0407	maeB	Malic enzyme	GN	0.86	18.97	NE	0.89	24.74	NE	3.5.8	Putative NADP-dependent malate dehydrogenase
3.12	RL2671	maeB	Malic enzyme	GN	0.83	31.47	NE	0.96	26.41	NE	3.5.8	Putative NADP-dependent malic

(Continued)

15

RIV Putative Growth symbol product phenotype pc/dd Phosphoenolpyruvate GN - Pyruvate carboxylase VGI - Pyruvate carboxylase VGI - Pyruvate carboxylase VGI MTE PATHWAY Carboxylase CI MTE PATHWAY Carboxylase CI MTE PATHWAY Carboxylase CI MTP Fibose-5-phosphate CI MTP Ribose-5-phosphate CI MTP							VMM INSeq			TY INSeq			
RL0037 pc/d Phosphoenolpyruvate GN RL4638 - Pyruvate carboxylase VGI TOSE PHOSPHATE PATHWAY VCI VCI TOSE PHOSPHATE PATHWAY VCI VCI RL2807 gnd 6-phosphogluconate CI RL2807 gnd 6-phosphogluconate CI RL2647 prol 6-phosphogluconate CI RL2547 pila Ribose-5-phosphate CI RL2598 rpila Ribose-5-phosphate CI RL2598 rpe Ribose-5-phosphate CI RL2598 rpe Ribose-5-phosphate CI RL2598 rpe Ribolose-6-phosphate CI RL2518 - Transketolase CI Li RL2719 - Transketolase CI Li RL2719 - Transketolase CI Li RL4203	fletabolic tep		RLV symbol	Putative product	Growth phenotype	Insertion density	Average read depth	d Gene state	Insertion density	Average read depth	Gene state	Riley key	Riley functional information
IRL4633-Pyruvate carboxylaseVGINORSEFIANTIANINTSEFIANTIANPyruvate carboxylaseVGIRL2807 gnd 6-phosphogluconateGNRL2807 gnd 6-phosphogluconateGNRL2808 $gntZ$ 6-phosphogluconateGNRL2698 $gntZ$ 6-phosphogluconateGNRL2698 $rpiB$ Ribose-5-phosphateGNRL2698 $rpiA$ Ribose-5-phosphateGNRL2698 $rpiA$ Ribose-5-phosphateCIRL2698 $rpiA$ Ribose-5-phosphateCIPRL120210Ribose-5-phosphateCIPRL120233-2-pillulose-6-phosphateVGIA.6RL2718-TransfetolaseGN4.6RL2719-TransfetolaseGN4.6PL4203-TransfetolaseGN4.6PL406 $cbbT$ TransfetolaseGNA.6PRL100453TansfetolaseGN	13	RL0037	pckA	Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase	N	-	16.09	Ш	0.91	21.55	Щ	3.3.4	Putative phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase
VTOSE PHOSPHATE PATHWAY RL2807 gnd 6-phosphogluconate GN RL2807 gnd 6-phosphogluconate GN RL2547 rp/B 6-phosphogluconate GN RL2547 rp/B Ribose-5-phosphate GN RL2547 rp/B Ribose-5-phosphate GN RL2698 rp/A Ribose-5-phosphate GI PRL120210 Ribose-5-phosphate GI Somerase B PRL120231 Ribose-6-phosphate CI AL6 RL2598 rpe Ribulose-phosphate PRL120033 - D-allulose-6-phosphate VGI 3-epimerase O - PMCI AL6 RL2718 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL2713 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL2713 - Transketolase GN	14	RL4638	I	Pyruvate carboxylase	NGI	0	0	ES	0.94	29.35	NE	3.5.8	Putative pyruvate carboxylase
RL2807 gnd 6-phosphogluconate GN RL2547 gntZ 6-phosphogluconate GN RL2547 rp/B 6-phosphogluconate GN RL2547 rp/B Ribose-5-phosphate GN RL2547 rp/B Ribose-5-phosphate GN RL2547 rp/B Ribose-5-phosphate GN RL2598 rp/A Ribose-5-phosphate GI PRL120210 Ribose-5-phosphate CI PRL120231 Ribose-5-phosphate CI PRL2598 rpe Ribose-6-phosphate VGI A.6 RL2510 Somerase VGI A.6 RL2718 - Transketolase GN A.6 RL2719 - Transketolase GN A.6 PRL100453 Transketolase GN A.6 PRL100453 Transketolase GN	ENTOSE	PHOSPHA	TE PATHWA	Y.									
RL3998 gntZ 6-phosphogluconate GN RL2547 rplB Ribose-5-phosphate GN RL2698 rplA Ribose-5-phosphate GN RL2698 rplA Ribose-5-phosphate GN RL2698 rplA Ribose-5-phosphate GN RL2698 rpa Ribose-5-phosphate GN RL2598 rpe Ribose-5-phosphate CI RL2598 rpe Ribulose-phosphate VGI RL2598 rpe Ribulose-phosphate VGI RL2598 rpe Ribulose-forosphate VGI A.6 RL20033 - D-allulose-6-phosphate VGI A.6 RL2718 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL4006 <i>cbbT</i> Transketolase GN A.6 PRL100453 Tansketolase GN A.6 PRL100453 Transketolase GN		RL2807	gnd	6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase	N	0.92	10.27	NE	0.92	12.27	NE	3.5.6	Putative phosphogluconate dehydrogenase
RL2547 <i>rpiB</i> Ribose-5-phosphate GN RL2698 <i>rpiA</i> Ribose-5-phosphate GI RL2698 <i>rpiA</i> Ribose-5-phosphate GI PRL120210 Ribose-5-phosphate GI GI PRL120231 Ribose-5-phosphate PVGI RL2598 <i>rpe</i> Ribulose-phosphate PVGI RL25033 - Ribulose-phosphate PGN 4.6 RL2718 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase GN 4.6 PRL100453 Transketolase GN 4.6 PRL100453 Transketolase GN 4.6 PRL100453 Transketolase GN	-	RL3998	gntZ	6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase	ND	0.89	20.68	NE	-	21.89	ШN	3.5.6	Putative 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase,decarboxylating
RL2698 <i>rpiA</i> Ribose-5-phosphate Cl pRL120210 - Ribose-5-phosphate PVCI isomerase B RU2598 <i>rpe</i> Ribulose-phosphate RL2503 - 3-epimerase B VGI 9RL120033 - 3-epimerase VGI 4.6 RL2718 - Transketolase 4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase 4.6 RL4006 <i>cbbT</i> Transketolase 4.6 PRL100453 - Transketolase GN A.100453 - Transketolase GN	N	RL2547	rpiB	Ribose-5-phosphate isomerase B	ND	0.57	25.75	NE	0.71	16	ЫR	3.3.9	Putative ribose-5-phosphate isomerase B
pRL120210 - Ribose-5-phosphate PVGI isomerase B isomerase B VGI RL2598 rpe Ribulose-phosphate VGI PRL120033 - 3-epimerase VGI 3-epimerase 3-epimerase VGI 4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase 4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase 4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase 4.6 RL4006 <i>cbbT</i> Transketolase 4.6 PRL100453 - Transketolase GN A.6 PRL100453 - Transketolase GN	N	RL2698	rpiA	Ribose-5-phosphate isomerase A	0	0	0	ES	0.33	2	ES	3.3.9	Putative ribose-5-phosphate isomerase A
RL2598 rpe Ribulose-phosphate VGI 3-epimerase 3-epimerase PGN A.6 RL2718 - Transketolase 4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase 6.0 RL2719 - Transketolase 6.6 RL2719 - Transketolase 6.6 RL200453 - Transketolase 7.6 RL4006 <i>cbbT</i> Transketolase	N	pRL1202 ⁻	10 -	Ribose-5-phosphate isomerase B	PVGI	0.83	5.2	GD	0.83	21.8	ШN	3.3.9	Putative ribose-5-phosphate isomerase B
pRL120033 - D-allulose-6-phosphate PGN 4.6 RL2718 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL4006 <i>cbbT</i> Transketolase GN 4.6 PL100453 Transketolase GN A.6 PRL100453 Transketolase GN	e	RL2598	edu	Ribulose-phosphate 3-epimerase	VGI	0.38	2.33	GD	0.63	9.2	ШN	3.3.9	Putative ribulose phosphate 3-epimerase
4.6 RL2718 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL4006 <i>cbbT</i> Transketolase GN 4.6 PRL100453 Transketolase GN A.6 PRL100453 Transketolase GN A.6 PRL100453 Transketolase GN	m	pRL12006	33 -	D-allulose-6-phosphate 3-epimerase	PGN		20.6	NE		21	ШN	3.3.9	Putative D-allulose-6-phosphate 3-epimerase
4.6 RL2719 - Transketolase GN 4.6 RL4006 <i>cbbT</i> Transketolase GN 4.6 pRL100453 - Transketolase PGN RL4203 <i>talB</i> Transketolase GN	4, 4.6	RL2718	I	Transketolase	ND		16.23	NE	0.92	14.83	ШN	3.3.15	Putative transketolase, alpha subunit, terpenoid biosynth?
 4.6 RL4006 <i>cbbT</i> Transketolase GN 4.6 pRL100453 - Transketolase PGN RL4203 <i>talB</i> Transaldolase B GN 	4, 4.6	RL2719	I	Transketolase	ND	0.93	17.31	NE	0.93	24.38	Ш Z	3.3.15	Putative transketolase, beta subunit, terpenoid biosynth?
4.6 pRL100453 - Transketolase PGN RL4203 <i>talB</i> Transatolase B GN	4, 4.6	RL4006	cbbT	Transketolase	GN	0.67	8.38	NE	0.92	18.95	NE	3.5.1	Putative transketolase
RL4203 talB Transaldolase B GN	4, 4.6	pRL1004{	53 -	Transketolase	PGN	0.86	17.16	NE	0.9	22.46	NE	3.5.6	Putative transketolase
	D	RL4203	talB	Transaldolase B	GN	0.56	4.2	ШN	0.89	32.13	NE	3.3.9	Putative transaldolase B



F6P, along with glucose-6-phosphate isomerase (pgi) required for conversion of F6P into glucose-6P (Keele et al., 1969; Arias et al., 1979), resulted in a GI phenotype. Genes required for conversion of G6P into gluconate-6P (GN6P) through the ED pathway (overlapping the oxidative branch of the pentose phosphate pathway) resulted in a GI phenotype when mutated on both TY and VMM (Figure 4: reaction 1.1-2). The essential nature of these reactions may be due to several factors: (1) the NADPH generated during conversion (Spaans et al., 2015), (2) the possibly toxic accumulation of phosphorylated intermediates (Cerveñanský and Arias, 1984; Kadner et al., 1992), (3) the role of G6P in the biosynthesis of osmoprotectants (Barra et al., 2003), and (4) the need for carbon flux into the ED pathway for glycolytic growth (Arias et al., 1979; Glenn et al., 1984; Stowers, 1985). Conversion of GN6P into pyruvate through the ED pathway was determined to be VGI (Figure 4: reaction 1.3-4). Mutations in the upper EMP pathway, aside from pgi, were observed to be neutral, which is in agreement with previous work (Glenn et al., 1984). The lower EMP pathway (sometimes considered shared by the ED pathway), converts glyceraldehyde-3P (GA3P) into pyruvate, with mutants at all enzymatic steps appearing GI on mannitol, and at some steps on TY as well (Figure 4: reaction 2.5-9). The VGI nature of the lower EMP when grown on mannitol is possibly due to mutants being unable to metabolize GA3P produced from the ED pathway into the amino acid precursors glycerate-3P (G3P) or phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP), and as well catabolize GA3P into pyruvate (Finan et al., 1988) for use in the TCA cycle. The overall GI phenotype of mutants in the entire ED pathway, in contrast to the EMP pathway, suggests that it is the central pathway for glycolytic conversion of carbon into the central carbon intermediate pyruvate. This is in agreement with previous research indicating the ED pathway to be the preferred route of carbon metabolism for glycolytic growth of rhizobia and closely related genera (Stowers, 1985; Fuhrer et al., 2005; Geddes and Oresnik, 2014).

Conversion of pyruvate into the TCA cycle was observed to have 2 unique GI pathways (**Figure 4**: reaction 3.1-14). Conversion of pyruvate into the TCA through acetyl-CoA as an intermediate is a less direct route than the direct conversion of pyruvate into oxaloacetate, and mutants in pyruvate carboxylase (**Figure 4**: reaction 3.14) were observed to be more severally GI than in citrate synthase (**Figure 4**: reaction 3.2). Additionally, on TY media mutants in pyruvate dehydrogenase appeared GI, while mutants in pyruvate carboxylase were not. These findings are in agreement with anaplerotic production of oxaloacetate (OAA), via *pyc*-mediated fixation of CO₂, being important for replenishing OAA pools under minimal growth conditions (Gokarn et al., 2001; Sirithanakorn et al., 2014).

When grown on mannitol as a sole carbon source, mutants in TCA cycle genes were all observed to be GI, aside from the conversion from fumarate to malate (**Figure 4**: reaction 3.2–3.9). Mutants in *fumC* and *fumA* (RL2701 and RL2703) were observed to be growth neutral, possibly due to functional redundancy between the two isozymes, which has been previously reported in *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* (Acuña et al., 1991). Future INSeq

studies with prolonged exposure to selective pressure may identify which isoenzyme is dominant. Several TCA steps were observed to be GI on both VMM-mannitol and TY media, confirming that the TCA cycle is an important component of the CFG in RLV3841. Mutants in isocitrate dehydrogenase (icd) were both VGI and TGI (Figure 4: reaction 3.4). It has been previously shown that mutants in *icd* develop glutamate auxotrophy (McDermott and Kahn, 1992), which may explain the impaired growth phenotype on minimal medium, but does not explain growth impairment on peptide rich TY medium. Mutants in *sucB* and *citM* (*sucA*) were also observed to be growth impaired on both media (Figure 4: reaction 3.5). For growth on VMM-mannitol, the GI nature of these mutants is possibly due to increased α -ketoglutarate concentration due to the inability to metabolize into succinyl-CoA, and possibly perturbation of the GOGAT cycle via shunting of excess α-ketoglutarate (Bravo and Mora, 1988; Dunn, 1998).

The genes encoding enzymes for the glyoxalate by-pass, phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase and fructose bisphosphate aldolase, were observed to be GN on VMM-mannitol and TY media; suggesting that gluconeogenesis is not be required for growth in either condition (Kornberg, 1966; McKay et al., 1985; Stowers, 1985). This seems reasonable, as growth on mannitol is presumably glycolytic, therefore sugar conversion can be performed on metabolic intermediates generated during the breakdown of mannitol. And on TY media, many carbohydrates and polyols are likely already present in trace amounts, mitigating the need for gluconeogenesis.

Almost every gene involved in the non-oxidative branch of the PP pathways was observed to have a neutral impact on growth when mutated. The only two reactions in the PP pathway that were GI on mannitol were for the conversion of ribulose-5P into ribose-5P, or alternatively xylulose-5P (Figure 4: reaction 4.2 and 4.3). The mutation of ribose-5-phosphate isomerase A appearing GI is logical as ribose-5P is a precursor for 5-phosphoriboosyl- α -1-pyrophosphate, which is the branching point for flux of carbon in nucleotide, histidine, nicotinamide, and tryptophan biosynthesis (Kilstrup et al., 2005; Switzer, 2009). The conversion of GN6P into ribulose-5P however was observed to be GN. There are two possible explanations for mutants in this step appearing GN: (1) functional redundancy in isozymes Gnt and GntZ compensates for mutation of either (Figure 4: reaction 4.1), or (2) ribulose-5P can be replenished from xylulose-5P derived from either F6P and G3P being shunted into the PP pathway (Figure 4: reaction 4.4-6) or the phosphoketolase pathway (EC 4.1.2.9). In general, the interconnectedness of the PP pathway makes it difficult to study single gene knockouts, as mutants may adapt to interrupted pathways by using alternative metabolic routes or isozymes (Geddes and Oresnik, 2014).

Plasmid Growth Impaired Genes

There are unique opportunities and challenges for exploring plasmid biology when conducting INSeq experiments on bacterial species with genomes containing multiple large plasmids. Mutations that result in the loss of a plasmid from the accessory genome, due to impaired plasmid stability, will appear phenotypically identical to a GI mutant lost from the mutant pool due to a decreased growth rate. Therefore, it cannot be concluded directly from the INSeq data if a particular transposon insertion within a plasmid resulted in a GI phenotype, or instead compromised plasmid stability or replication. All plasmids contained a three gene cluster of *rep* genes that were observed to be essential (PGI) when mutated (**Figure 5**; Supplementary File 1), which in conjunction with the annotated function of these genes suggests the loss of these Tn insertion tags from the mutant population was due to impaired plasmid replication.

Beyond identifying putative rep genes, INSeq can be useful in identifying plasmid genes that provide the host cell with growth benefits under specific conditions. For example, pRL11 contains a putative 8 gene operon (pRL110625-32) predicted to be involved in cobalamin biosynthesis, that was severely PGI on VMM-mannitol, and moderately PGI on TY when mutated. Previous studies in R. etli identified similar growth phenotypes when a homologous cobalamin biosynthetic cluster on p42e was deleted (Landeta et al., 2011). Additionally, pRL120209 (putative tpiA) and pRL120210 (putative rpiB), which encode enzymes predicted to function in central carbon metabolism, were found to be PGI when grown on mannitol as a sole carbon source. Their chromosomal homologs RL2513 (tpiA) and RL2547 (rpiB) were both observed to be GN (Table 2). In a closely related R. leguminosarum by. viciae strain VF39, the homologs of pRL120209 and pRL120210 are required for growth on erythritol as a sole carbon source (Yost et al., 2006). This suggests that pRL12 may carry genes important for the normal growth of RLV3841 under specific conditions, which is in agreement with previous studies that showed pRL12 cured strains of R. leguminosarum were unable to grow on minimal media (Hynes et al., 1989).

Technical Considerations of INSeq in RLV3841

INSeq, like all high-throughput molecular techniques, is not without limitations. Genes with large regions of sequence duplication, or no mariner "TA" insertion sites, cannot be assayed using INSeq. These genes represent only 1.9% of the genome. However, the targeted library preparation method and robust statistical analysis afforded by the use of MmeI-adapted mariner transposon appears to outweigh its disadvantages. A sufficient saturation of neutral mariner insertion sites within the mutant community allows for confident identification of regions that lack insertions due to the negative selection resulting from a GI phenotype. In this and previous, work a sufficient level of neutral "TA" site saturation has been recovered to allow Bayesian methods of analysis, using a relatively modest amount of sequencing data when compared to other mariner INSeq studies. Considerations in inoculation density, the number of generations of growth during negative selection, and the ability to recover mutant populations needs to be carefully considered in order to ensure enough complexity is retained in the mutant pools post-selection to allow for statistical analysis.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BP and CY conceived and designed the research; BP and MA conducted the experiments; BP conducted the data analysis; BP and CY prepared and finalized the manuscript.

FUNDING

The presented research was conducted with support from a Discovery Grant awarded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Council of Canada.

REFERENCES

- Acuña, G., Ebeling, S., and Hennecke, H. (1991). Cloning, sequencing, and mutational analysis of the *Bradyrhizobium japonium fumC*-like gene: evidence for the exsistence of two different fumarases. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 137, 991–1000. doi: 10.1099/00221287-137-4-991
- Arias, A., Cervenansky, C., Gardiol, A., and Martinez-Drets, G. (1979). Phosphoglucose isomerase mutants of *Rhizobium meliloti*. J. Bacteriol. 137, 409–414.
- Barquist, L., Boinett, C. J., and Cain, A. K. (2013). Approaches to querying bacterial genomes with transposon-insertion sequencing. *RNA Biol.* 10, 1161–1169. doi: 10.4161/rna.24765
- Barra, L., Pica, N., Gouffi, K., Walker, G. C., Blanco, C., and Trautwetter, A. (2003). Glucose 6-phosphate dehydrogenase is required for sucrose and trehalose to be efficient osmoprotectants in *Sinorhizobium meliloti. FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 229, 183–188. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1097(03)00819-X
- Bishop, A. H., Rachwal, P. A., and Vaid, A. (2014). Identification of genes required by *Bacillus thuringiensis* for survival in soil by transposon-directed insertion site sequencing. *Curr. Microbiol.* 68, 477–485. doi: 10.1007/s00284-013-0502-7
- Bravo, A., and Mora, J. (1988). Ammonium assimilation in *Rhizobium phaseoli* by the glutamine synthetase-glutamate synthase pathway. *J. Bacteriol.* 170, 980–984. doi: 10.1128/jb.170.2.980-984.1988
- Brutinel, E. D., and Gralnick, J. A. (2012). Anomalies of the anaerobic tricarboxylic acid cycle in *Shewanella oneidensis* revealed by Tn-seq. *Mol. Microbiol.* 86, 273–283. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08196.x
- Byrne, R. T., Chen, S. H., Wood, E. A., Cabot, E. L., and Cox, M. M. (2014). *Escherichia coli* genes and pathways involved in surviving extreme exposure to ionizing radiation. *J. Bacteriol.* 196, 3534–3545. doi: 10.1128/JB.01589-14
- Capel, E., Zomer, A. L., Nussbaumer, T., Bole, C., Izac, B., Frapy, E., et al. (2016). Comprehensive identification of *Meningococcal* genes and small noncoding RNAs required for host cell colonization. *MBio* 7, e01173-e01116. doi: 10.1128/ mbio.01173-16
- Cerveñanský, C., and Arias, A. (1984). Glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase deficiency in pleiotropic carbohydrate-negative mutant strains of *Rhizobium meliloti. J. Bacteriol.* 160, 1027–1030.
- DeJesus, M. A., and Ioerger, T. R. (2013). A hidden Markov model for identifying essential and growth-defect regions in bacterial genomes from transposon insertion sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 14:303. doi: 10.1186/1471-2105-14-303
- Dong, T. G., Ho, B. T., Yoder-Himes, D. R., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2013). Identification of T6SS-dependent effector and immunity proteins by Tn-seq in *Vibrio cholerae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 2623–2628. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1222783110
- Doyle, J. J., and Luckow, M. A. (2003). The rest of the iceberg. Legume diversity and evolution in a phylogenetic context. *Plant Physiol.* 131, 900–910. doi: 10. 1104/pp.102.018150
- Dunn, M. F. (1998). Tricarboxylic acid cycle and anaplerotic enzymes in rhizobia. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 22, 105–123. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.1998.tb00363.x
- Finan, T. M., Oresnik, I., and Bottacin, A. (1988). Mutants of *Rhizobium meliloti* defective in succinate metabolism. *J. Bacteriol.* 170, 3396–3403. doi: 10.1128/jb. 170.8.3396-3403.1988

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Barney Geddes for his helpful discussions and comments concerning RLV3841 metabolism, and Dr. Stephen Fitzgerald for his helpful criticisms of the draft manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb. 2016.01873/full#supplementary-material

- Foreman, D. L., Vanderlinde, E. M., Bay, D. C., and Yost, C. K. (2010). Characterization of a gene family of outer membrane proteins (*ropB*) in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *viciae* VF39SM and the role of the sensor kinase ChvG in their regulation. J. Bacteriol. 192, 975–983. doi: 10.1128/JB.01140-09
- Fuhrer, T., Fischer, E., and Sauer, U. (2005). Experimental identification and quantification of glucose metabolism in seven bacterial species. *Society* 187, 1581–1590. doi: 10.1128/jb.187.5.1581-1590.2005
- Gallagher, L. A., Shendure, J., and Manoil, C. (2011). Genome-scale identification of resistance functions in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* using Tn-seq. *MBio* 2:e00315. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00315-10
- Gawronski, J. D., Wong, S. M. S., Giannoukos, G., Ward, D. V., and Akerley, B. J. (2009). Tracking insertion mutants within libraries by deep sequencing and a genome-wide screen for *Haemophilus* genes required in the lung. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 16422–16427. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0906627106
- Geddes, B. A., and Oresnik, I. J. (2014). Physiology, genetics, and biochemistry of carbon metabolism in the alphaproteobacterium Sinorhizobium meliloti. Can. J. Microbiol. 60, 491–507. doi: 10.1139/cjm-2014-0306
- Gilbert, K. B., Vanderlinde, E. M., and Yost, C. K. (2007). Mutagenesis of the carboxy terminal protease CtpA decreases desiccation tolerance in *Rhizobium leguminosarum. FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 272, 65–74. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968. 2007.00735.x
- Glenn, A. R., McKay, I. A., Arwas, R., and Dilworth, M. J. (1984). Sugar metabolism and the symbiotic properties of carbohydrate mutants of *Rhizobium leguminosarum. Microbiology* 130, 239–245. doi: 10.1099/00221287-130-2-239
- Gokarn, R. R., Evans, J. D., Walker, J. R., Martin, S. A., Eiteman, M. A., and Altman, E. (2001). The physiological effects and metabolic alterations caused by expression of *Rhizobium etli* pyruvate carboxylase in *Escherichia coli. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 56, 188–195. doi: 10.1007/s002530100661
- Goodman, A. L., McNulty, N. P., Zhao, Y., Leip, D., Mitra, R. D., Lozupone, C. A., et al. (2009). Identifying genetic determinants needed to establish a human gut symbiont in its habitat. *Cell Host Microbe* 6, 279–289. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2009. 08.003
- Goodman, A. L., Wu, M., and Gordon, J. I. (2011). Identifying microbial fitness determinants by insertion sequencing using genome-wide transposon mutant libraries. *Nat. Protoc.* 6, 1969–1980. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2011.417
- Griffin, J. E., Gawronski, J. D., Dejesus, M. A., Ioerger, T. R., Akerley, B. J., and Sassetti, C. M. (2011). High-resolution phenotypic profiling defines genes essential for mycobacterial growth and cholesterol catabolism. *PLoS Pathog.* 7:e1002251. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002251
- Gutierrez, M. G., Yoder-Himes, D. R., and Warawa, J. M. (2015). Comprehensive identification of virulence factors required for respiratory melioidosis using Tnseq mutagenesis. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 5:78. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2015. 00078
- Hooven, T. A., Catomeris, A. J., Akabas, L. H., Randis, T. M., Maskell, D. J., Peters, S. E., et al. (2016). The essential genome of *Streptococcus agalactiae*. *BMC Genomics* 17:406. doi: 10.1186/s12864-016-2741-z
- Hynes, M. F., Quandt, J., O'Connell, M. P., and Pühler, A. (1989). Direct selection for curing and deletion of *Rhizobium* plasmids using transposons carrying the *Bacillus subtilis sacB* gene. *Gene* 78, 111–120. doi: 10.1016/0378-1119(89)90319-3

- Johnson, J. G., Livny, J., and DiRita, V. J. (2014). High-throughput sequencing of *Campylobacter jejuni* insertion mutant libraries reveals *mapA* as a fitness factor for chicken colonization. *J. Bacteriol.* 196, 1958–1967. doi: 10.1128/JB.01395-13
- Johnston, A. W. B., and Beringer, J. E. (1975). Identification of *Rhizobium* strains in pea root nodules using genetic markers. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 87, 343–350. doi: 10. 1099/00221287-87-2-343
- Kadner, R. J., Murphy, G. P., and Stephens, C. M. (1992). Two mechanisms for growth inhibition by elevated transport of sugar phosphates in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 138, 2007–2014. doi: 10.1099/00221287-138-10-2007
- Kamp, H. D., Patimalla-Dipali, B., Lazinski, D. W., Wallace-Gadsden, F., and Camilli, A. (2013). Gene fitness landscapes of *Vibrio cholerae* at important stages of its life cycle. *PLoS Pathog.* 9:e1003800. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat. 1003800
- Keele, B. B. Jr., Hamilton, P. B., and Elkan, G. H. (1969). Glucose catabolism in *Rhizobium japonicum. J. Bacteriol.* 97, 1184–1191.
- Khatiwara, A., Jiang, T., Sung, S. S., and Dawoud, T. (2012). Genome scanning for conditionally essential genes in *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 78, 3098–3107. doi: 10.1128/aem.06865-11
- Kilstrup, M., Hammer, K., Ruhdal Jensen, P., and Martinussen, J. (2005). Nucleotide metabolism and its control in lactic acid bacteria. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 29, 555–590. doi: 10.1016/j.fmrre.2005.04.006
- Kornberg, H. L. (1966). The role and control of the glyoxylate cycle in *Escherichia coli*. *Biochem. J.* 99, 1–11. doi: 10.1042/bj0990001
- Kuehl, J. V., Price, M. N., Ray, J., Wetmore, K. M., Esquivel, Z., Kazakov, A. E., et al. (2014). Functional genomics with a comprehensive library of transposon mutants for the sulfate-reducing bacterium *Desulfovibrio alaskensis* G20. *MBio* 5, 1–13. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01041-14
- Landeta, C., Dávalos, A., Cevallos, M. Á., Geiger, O., Brom, S., and Romero, D. (2011). Plasmids with a chromosome-like role in rhizobia. *J. Bacteriol.* 193, 1317–1326. doi: 10.1128/JB.01184-10
- Langridge, G. C., Phan, M.-D., Turner, D. J., Perkins, T. T., Parts, L., Haase, J., et al. (2009). Simultaneous assay of every *Salmonella* Typhi gene using one million transposon mutants. *Genome Res.* 19, 2308–2316. doi: 10.1101/gr.097097.109
- Le Breton, Y., Belew, A. T., Valdes, K. M., Islam, E., Curry, P., Tettelin, H., et al. (2015). Essential genes in the core genome of the human pathogen *Streptococcus pyogens. Sci. Rep.* 5:9838. doi: 10.1038/srep09838
- Lee, S. A., Gallagher, L. A., Thongdee, M., Staudinger, B. J., Lippman, S., Singh, P. K., et al. (2015). General and condition-specific essential functions of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, 5189–5194. doi: 10. 1073/pnas.1422186112
- McDermott, T. R., and Kahn, M. L. (1992). Cloning and mutagenesis of the *Rhizobium meliloti* isocitrate dehydrogenase gene. J. Bacteriol. 174, 4790–4797. doi: 10.1128/jb.174.14.4790-4797.1992
- McKay, I. A., Glenn, A. R., and Dilworth, M. J. (1985). Gluconeogenesis in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* MNF3841. *Microbiology* 131, 2067–2073. doi: 10. 1099/00221287-131-8-2067
- Meeske, A. J., Rodrigues, C. D. A., Bradly, J., Lim, H. C., Bernhardt, T. G., and Rudner, D. Z. (2015). High-throughput genetic screens identify a large and diverse collection of new sporulation genes in *Bacillus subtilis*. *PLoS Biol*. 14:e1002341. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1002341
- Moule, M. G., Spink, N., Willcocks, S., Lim, J., Guerra-Assunção, J. A., Cia, F., et al. (2015). Characterization of new virulence factors involved in the intracellular growth and survival of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*. *Infect. Immun.* 84, 701–710. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01102-15
- Murray, J. L., Kwon, T., Marcotte, E. M., and Whiteley, M. (2015). Intrinsic antimicrobial resistance determinants in the superbug *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa. MBio 6, e01603–e01615. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01603-15
- Oldroyd, G. E. D., Murray, J. D., Poole, P. S., and Downie, J. A. (2011). The rules of engagement in the legume-rhizobial symbiosis. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 45, 119–144. doi: 10.1146/annurev-genet-110410-132549
- Pechter, K. B., Gallagher, L., Pyles, H., Manoil, C. S., and Harwood, C. S. (2015). Essential genome of the metabolically versatile Alphaproteobacterium *Rhodopseudomonas palestris. J. Bacteriol.* 198, 867–876. doi: 10.1128/JB. 00771-15
- Perry, B. J., and Yost, C. K. (2014). Construction of a mariner-based transposon vector for use in insertion sequence mutagenesis in selected members of the *Rhizobiaceae. BMC Microbiol.* 14:298. doi: 10.1186/s12866-014-0298-z

- Phan, M. D., Peters, K. M., Sarkar, S., Lukowski, S. W., Allsopp, L. P., Gomes Moriel, D., et al. (2013). The serum resistome of a globally disseminated multidrug resistant uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* clone. *PLoS Genet.* 9:e1003834. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003834
- Quandt, J., and Hynes, M. F. (1993). Versatile suicide vector which allows direct selection for gene replacement in gram-negative bacteria. *Gene* 127, 15–21. doi: 10.1016/0378-1119(93)90611-6
- Rubin, B. E., Wetmore, K. M., Price, M. N., Diamon, S., Shultzaberger, R. K., Lowe, L. C., et al. (2015)., The essential gene set of a photosynthetic organism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, E6634–E6643. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1519220112
- Shan, Y., Lazinski, D., Rowe, S., Camilli, A., and Lewis, K. (2015). Genetic basis of persister tolerance to aminoglycosides in *Escherichia coli*. *MBio* 6, e00078e00015. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00078-15
- Sirithanakorn, C., Adina-Zada, A., Wallace, J. C., Jitrapakdee, S., and Attwood, P. V. (2014). Mechanisms of inhibition of *Rhizobium etli* pyruvate carboxylase by L-aspartate. *Biochemistry* 54, 7100–7106. doi: 10.1021/bi501113u
- Skurnik, D., Roux, D., Aschard, H., Cattoir, V., Yoder-Himes, D., Lory, S., et al. (2013). A comprehensive analysis of *in vitro* and *in vivo* genetic fitness of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* using high-throughput sequencing of transposon libraries. *PLoS Pathog*, 9:e1003582. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003582
- Spaans, S. K., Weusthuis, R. A., van der Oost, J., and Kengen, S. W. M. (2015). NADPH-generating systems in bacteria and archaea. *Front. Microbiol.* 6:742. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2015.00742
- Stowers, M. D. (1985). Carbon metabolism in *Rhizobium* species. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 39, 89–108. doi: 10.1146/annurev.mi.39.100185.000513
- Switzer, R. L. (2009). Discoveries in bacterial nucleotide metabolism. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 6585–6594. doi: 10.1074/jbc.X800012200
- Tran, T., Ran, Q., Ostrer, L., and Khodursky, A. (2016). De novo characterization of genes that contribute to high-level ciprofloxacin resistance in Echerichia coli. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 60, 6353–6355. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00889-16
- Troy, E. B., Lin, T., Gao, L., Lazinski, D. W., Lundt, M., Camilli, A., et al. (2016). Global Tn-seq analysis of carbohydrate utilization and vertebrate infectivity of *Borrelia burgdorferi. Mol. Microbiol.* 101, 1003–1023. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13437
- Turner, K. H., Wessel, A. K., Palmer, G. C., Murray, J. L., and Whiteley, M. (2015). Essential genome of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis sputum. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, 4110–4115. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1419677112
- Udvardi, M., and Poole, P. S. (2013). Transport and metabolism in legume-rhizobia symbioses. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 64, 781–805. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-050312-120235
- van Opijnen, T., Bodi, K. L., and Camilli, A. (2009). Tn-seq: highthroughput parallel sequencing for fitness and genetic interaction studies in microorganisms. *Nat. Methods* 6, 767–775. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1377
- van Opijnen, T., and Camilli, A. (2013). Transposon insertion sequencing: a new tool for systems-level analysis of microorganisms. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 11, 435–442. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3033
- Vanderlinde, E. M., Harrison, J. J., Muszynsji, A., Carlson, R. W., Turner, R. J., and Yost, C. K. (2010). Identification of a novel ABC transporter required for desiccation tolerance, and biofilm formation in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. viciae 3841. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 71, 327–40. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2009. 00824.x
- Vanderlinde, E. M., Magnus, S. A., Tambalo, D. D., Koval, S. F., and Yost, C. K. (2011). Mutation of a broadly conserved operon (RL3499-RL3502) from *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar viciae causes defects in cell morphology and envelope integrity. *J. Bacteriol.* 193, 2684–2694. doi: 10.1128/JB.01456-10
- Vanderlinde, E. M., Muszynski, A., Harrison, J. J., Koval, S. F., Foreman, D. L., Ceri, H., et al. (2009). *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar viciae 3841, deficient in 27hydroxyoctacosanoate-modified lipopolysaccharide, is impaired in desiccation tolerance, biofilm formation and motility. *Microbiology* 155, 3055–3069. doi: 10. 1099/mic.0.025031-0
- Vanderlinde, E. M., and Yost, C. K. (2012a). Genetic analysis reveals links between lipid A structure and expression of the outer membrane protein gene, ropB, in *Rhizobium leguminosarum. FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 335, 130–139. doi: 10.1111/j. 1574-6968.2012.02645.x
- Vanderlinde, E. M., and Yost, C. K. (2012b). Mutation of the sensor kinase chvG in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* negatively impacts cellular metabolism, outer membrane stability, and symbiosis. *J. Bacteriol.* 194, 768–777. doi: 10.1128/JB. 06357-11

- Verhagen, L. M., de Jonge, M. I., Burghout, P., Schraa, K., Spagnuolo, L., Mennens, S., et al. (2014). Genome-wide identification of genes essential for the survival of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in human saliva. *PLoS ONE* 9:e89541. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0089541
- Vincent, J. M. (1970). A Manual for the Practical Study of Root-nodule Bacteria. IBP Handbook. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific.
- Wang, N., Ozer, E. A., Mandel, M. J., Hauser, A. R., and Hauser, R. (2014). Genomewide identification of *Acinetobacter baumannii* genes necessary for persistence in the lung. *MBio* 5, e01163-e01114. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01163-14
- Wielbo, J. (2012). Rhizobial communities in symbiosis with legumes: genetic diversity, competition and interactions with host plants. *Cent. Eur. J. Biol.* 7, 363–372. doi: 10.2478/s11535-012-0032-5
- Yang, H., Krumholz, E. W., Brutinel, E. D., Palani, N. P., Sadowsky, M. J., Odlyzko, A. M., et al. (2014). Genome-scale metabolic network validation of *Shewanella oneidensis* using transposon insertion frequency analysis. PLoS Comput. Biol. 10:e1003848. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003848
- Yost, C. K., Rath, A. M., Noel, T. C., and Hynes, M. F. (2006). Characterization of genes involved in erythritol catabolism in *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. viciae. *Microbiology* 152, 2061–2074. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.28938-0

- Young, J. P., Crossman, L. C., and Johnston, A. W. (2006). The genome of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* has recognizable core and accessory components. *Genome* 7, R34. doi: 10.1186/gb-2006-7-4-r34
- Yung, M. C., Park, D. M., Overton, K. W., Blow, M. J., Hoover, C. A., Smit, J., et al. (2015). Transposon mutagenesis paired with deep sequencing of *Caulobacter crescentus* under uranium stress reveals genes essential for detoxification and stress tolerance. *J. Bacteriol.* 197, 3160–3172. doi: 10.1128/JB.00 382-15

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Perry, Akter and Yost. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.