



## Virulence Markers and Phylogenetic Analysis of *Escherichia coli* Strains with Hybrid EAEC/UPEC Genotypes Recovered from Sporadic Cases of Extraintestinal Infections

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Jorge Blanco, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain

#### Reviewed by:

Roxane Piazza, Instituto Butantan, Brazil Tânia Aparecida Tardelli Gomes, Universidade Federal de São Paulo, Brazil Ulrich Dobrindt, University of Münster, Germany

> \*Correspondence: Alex L. Pereira alexpereira@unb.br

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 09 November 2016 Accepted: 19 January 2017 Published: 03 February 2017

#### Citation:

Lara FBM, Nery DR, de Oliveira PM, Araujo ML, Carvalho FRQ, Messias-Silva LCF, Ferreira LB, Faria-Junior C and Pereira AL (2017) Virulence Markers and Phylogenetic Analysis of Escherichia coli Strains with Hybrid EAEC/UPEC Genotypes Recovered from Sporadic Cases of Extraintestinal Infections. Front. Microbiol. 8:146. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00146 Flaviane B. M. Lara<sup>1</sup>, Danielly R. Nery<sup>2</sup>, Pâmela M. de Oliveira<sup>2</sup>, Mayana L. Araujo<sup>2</sup>, Fabiana R. Q. Carvalho<sup>2</sup>, Lorena C. F. Messias-Silva<sup>2</sup>, Leonardo B. Ferreira<sup>3</sup>, Celio Faria-Junior<sup>4</sup> and Alex L. Pereira<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Graduate Program in Microbial Biology, Biology Institute, University of Brasília, Brasília, Brasília, Brasilia, Brasília, Brasília,

Virulence genes from different E. coli pathotypes are blended in hybrid strains. E. coli strains with hybrid enteroaggregative/uropathogenic (EAEC/UPEC) genotypes have sporadically emerged causing outbreaks of extraintestinal infections, however their association with routine infections is vet underappreciated. We assessed 258 isolates of E. coli recovered from 86 consecutive cases of extraintestinal infections seeking EAEC and hybrid genotype (EAEC/UPEC) strains. Extensive virulence genotyping was carried out to detect 21 virulence genes, including molecular predictors of EAEC and UPEC strains. Phylogenetic groups and sequence types (STs) were identified, as well as it was performed phylogenetic analyses in order to evaluate whether hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains belonged to intestinal or extraintestinal lineages of E. coli. Adhesion assays were performed to evaluate the biofilm formation by hybrid strains in human urine and cell culture medium (DMEM). Molecular predictors of UPEC were detected in more than 70% of the strains (chuA in 85% and fyuA in 78%). Otherwise, molecular predictors of EAEC (aatA and aggR) were detected in only 3.4% (9/258) of the strains and always along with the UPEC predictor fyuA. Additionally, the pyelonephritis-associated pilus (pap) gene was also detected in all of the hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains. EAEC/UPEC strains were recovered from two cases of community-onset urinary tract infections (UTI) and from a case of bacteremia. Analyses revealed that hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains were phylogenetically positioned in two different clades. Two representative strains, each recovered from UTI and bacteremia, were positioned into a characteristic UPEC clade marked by strains belonging to phylogenetic group D and ST3 (Warwick ST 69). Another hybrid EAEC/UPEC strain was classified as phylogroup A-ST478 and positioned in a

1

commensal clade. Hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains formed biofilms at modest, but perceptible levels either in DMEM or in urine samples. We showed that different lineages of *E. coli*, at least phylogenetic group A and D, can acquire and gather EAEC and UPEC virulence genes promoting the emergence of hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains.

Keywords: enteroaggregative Escherichia coli, uropathogenic Escherichia coli, hybrid strain, genotyping, phylogenetic group, multilocus sequence typing

#### INTRODUCTION

*Escherichia coli* colonizes the human intestine few hours after birth establishing a mutually beneficial relationship with its hosts. While they are restricted to the outer layer of intestinal mucus, these commensal *E. coli* strains rarely cause infections. However, some highly adapted *E. coli* lineages have evolved acquiring a broad range of virulence genes (VGs) that allows *E. coli* strains to adapt to, colonize and invade several anatomic sites (Kaper et al., 2004).

Pathogenic and commensal strains of E. coli are differently sorted into four major phylogenetic groups (phylogroups) named as A, B1, B2, and D (Doumith et al., 2012). Epidemiological studies have shown that extraintestinal pathogenic E. coli (ExPEC) strains are frequently classified as phylogroup B2 or D, while commensal strains are frequently sorted into phylogroup A or B1. Nevertheless, mechanisms of horizontal genetic transfer allows the exchange of VGs among phylogroups, which may promote the sporadic emergence of highly virulent strains belonging to commensal phylogroups A or B1. Additionally, each E. coli phylogroup may enclose heterogeneous groups of strains and different clonal populations, fact which imposes a more complex scenario in an attempt to establish epidemiological links between E. coli phylogroups and human infections (Dias et al., 2009). Thereafter, characterizing clonal structure within each phylogroup seems to be important toward recognizing subsets of clonal groups associated with distinct clinical features. The development of multilocus sequence typing (MLST) methods and the subsequent definition of sequence types (STs) pave the way to the recognition of highly virulent ExPEC clones with worldwide dispersion, such as the clones B2-ST131 and D-ST69 (Blanco et al., 2011; Nicolas-Chanoine et al., 2014; Petty et al., 2014).

In *E. coli*, successful VG combinations have persisted among strains leading to the recognition of *E. coli* pathotypes. Infections with *E. coli* pathotypes can result in three common clinical conditions: diarrhea, urinary tract infection (UTI) and sepsis/meningitis. Diarrheagenic *E. coli* (DEC) strains are sorted into six well-defined pathotypes, each displaying distinctive VG arrangements: enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC), enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC), enterotoxigenic *E. coli* (ETEC), enteroinvasive *E. coli* (EIEC), diffusely adherent *E. coli* (DAEC), and enteroaggregative *E. coli* (EAEC) (Kaper et al., 2004).

On a molecular basis, an EAEC strain is classified as the isolate carrying the virulence plasmid pAA, which is marked by the presence of the predictor genes *aatA* (previously named as CVD432 probe) and aggR (the master virulence regulator in EAEC). Additionally, the pAA may harbor other EAECspecific VGs, including alleles of aggregative adherence fimbriae (aggA, aafA agg-3A, hdaA, agg-5A), dispersin gene (aap), and the plasmid-encoded toxin gene (pet). EAEC prototype strain 042 has an archetypal pAA plasmid displaying a complete set of virulence genes: aatA, aggR, aafA, aap, and pet. However, epidemiological studies have shown that wild type strains maintain pAA plasmids with a virulence load quite different from the one from archetypal EAEC plasmids. As an example, wildtype EAEC strains collected in epidemiological approaches may show a low frequency for the detection of aggregative fimbriae genes and pet (Pereira et al., 2007). Given their genetic plasticity, a minimum set of predictor genes (the occurrence of *aatA* along with aggR) was proposed to define typical EAEC strains (Kaper et al., 2004).

Molecular analyses of *E. coli* strains isolated from UTIs, bacteremia and neonatal meningitis lead to the recognition that these strains are phylogenetically distinct from commensal strains. Contrary to commensal strains, those pathogenic strains frequently belong to the phylogoup B2 or D (Russo and Johnson, 2000). Thereafter, the term extraintestinal pathogenic (ExPEC) was coined to classify strains recovered from extraintestinal infections but that appear to be incapable of causing diarrhea (Russo and Johnson, 2000). Differently from DEC infections, ExPEC infections rely on the bacterial translocation from intestinal lumen to an extraintestinal site, which frequently is the urinary tract.

E. coli strains recovered from UTI (uropathogenic E. coli-UPEC) are the most common cause of bacterial infections in humans, mainly among women, and account for around 100 million cases a year (Foxman, 2010). UPEC strains are a heterogeneous category of E. coli displaying a considerable number of well-recognized VGs (Table 2) which can be combined into different genotypes. Despite that diversity, a set of four VGs has been reported as a predictor of UPEC strains. Any two of the genes chuA (heme receptor), yfcV (YfC fimbria) or vat (vacuolating autotransporter protein), when detected along with the gene fyuA (yersiniabactin siderophore receptor), can be used to differentiate UPEC strains from commensal and DEC strains (Spurbeck et al., 2012). Besides those VGs, the genes encoding fimbria P (pap, standing for pyelonephritis-associated pilus) and fimbria F1C (focA) play a pivotal role in ascending UTIs since they promote the colonization of proximal and distal tubular cells from human kidney (Korhonen et al., 1986; Marre et al., 1990).

**Abbreviations:** AEAC, enteroaggregative *E. coli*; UPEC, uropathogenic *E. coli*; ExPEC, extraintestinal pathogenic *E. coli*; UTI, urinary tract infection; ST, sequence type; VG, virulence gene.

Bacterial biofilms play an important role in medicine and is a serious issue mainly in urology. Bacteria that adhere to uroepithelial cells and form biofilms are more prone to cause pyelonephritis and even chronic or recurrent infections (Soto, 2014). Several studies reported that most of isolates collected from patients with recurrent infections were biofilm producers *in vitro*. Aside UPEC strains, the expression of biofilms has been considered a consensual virulence factor among EAEC isolates. In EAEC strains, biofilm formation is a complex event that may involve multiples adhesins and factors not devoted to adhesion (Pereira et al., 2010).

The remarkable genome plasticity displayed by E. coli strains has allowed the emergence of virulent strains displaying unusual arrangement of VGs, including arrays of VGs from different pathotypes detected in a single isolate (Gomes et al., 2016). Considering molecular markers from all diarrheagenic E. coli (DEC) pathotypes, EAEC virulence genes are among the most frequent markers of DEC strains reported in ExPEC strains isolated from sporadic cases of extraintestinal infections and outbreaks (Abe et al., 2008; Aurass et al., 2011; Olesen et al., 2012; Prager et al., 2014; Toval et al., 2014; Ang et al., 2016). The term "heteropathogenic E. coli" is now adopted to designate pathogenic E. coli strains that maintain phenotypic and genetic determinants from different E. coli pathotypes (Bielaszewska et al., 2014; Toval et al., 2014; Ang et al., 2016). This intriguing epidemiological scenario has shown how artificial and limited is the current genetic classification of strains into classical pathotypes of E. coli (Robins-Browne et al., 2016).

This study aimed to search for hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains recovered from sporadic extraintestinal infections in order to identify their genotypes and phylogenetic position among UPEC strains.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Ethics Statement**

This study was approved by the FEPECS research ethics committee, which is linked to the Secretary of State for Health (Brasília-DF), under the registry number 782.067.

#### **Samples and Strains**

During a period of 5 months, microbiological samples were recovered from patients with *E. coli*-associated extraintestinal infections attended to in a tertiary hospital in Brasília-DF, Brazil. Two hundred fifty eight isolates of *E. coli* (3 isolates for each sample) were isolated from 86 consecutive cases of extraintestinal infections including urinary tract infection (79 cases); bacteremia (1 case); pneumonia (1 case); surgical site infection (2 cases); peritoneal cavity infection (1 case); and mucosa infections (2 cases) (**Table 1**).

#### Genotyping

The detection of virulence genes was carried out by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Supernatants derived from bacterial suspension treated by boiling were used as the source of DNA template. All strains were tested for the presence of 8 virulence markers of UPEC (*fyuA*, *yfcV*, *chuA*, *vat*, *focA*,

			Patient type							
			Outpatient	Inpatient	Not					
			(%)	(%)	specified					
			64 (74.4)	21 (24.4)	1 (1.2)					
Gender	F		47	11	-					
	М		17	10	1					
Age		$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$	31.6 ± 28.4	48.7 ± 28.1	3					
		Median	27	56	3					
		Minimum	0	0	3					
		Maximum	91	88	3					
Infections	;	Urinary tract infection	62 (96.8)	16 (76.1)						
		Bacteremia	0	1 (4.7)						
		Pneumonia	0	1 (4.7)						
		Surgical site infection	0	2 (8.2)						
		Peritoneal cavity infection	0	1 (4.1)						
		Mucosa infections	2 (3.1)	0						

pap, sfa, cnf), fimbriae curli (csgA gene), antigen 43 adhesin (ag43), plasmid-encoded type IV pilus (pilS), and the EAEC mucinase gene (pic) (Table 2). In order to detect EAEC strains, three EAEC molecular predictors were also tested in all strains: aatA (formerly pCVD432 probe) aggR (EAEC virulon regulator gene) and *aapA* (dispersin gene) (Table 2). The presence of five alleles of aggregative adherence fimbriae (AAF-I to AAF-V) were tested in strains positive for *aatA* or *aggR*. The prototype strains 042 (positive for aatA, aggR, aapA, aafA, astA, pet, and pic) and 17-2 (positive for aatA, aggR, aapA, aggA and astA) were used as positive controls for the detection of EAEC markers. The Pasteur Institute-referenced strains FVL2 (positive for fyuA, yfcV, chuA, vat, sfa, pap, cnf) and FV34 (positive for fyuA, yfcV, chuA, vat, pap, sfa) were used as positive controls for UPEC markers. Primers designed in this study (Table 2) were constructed on based of the following GenBank sequences: FN554767.1 from EAEC 042 (primers *aapA*); EU637023.1 from E. coli C1010-00 (primers hdaB-A); KP202151.1 from E. coli plasmid pAA Agg5A (primers agg-5A); HG941718.1 from E. coli EC958 (primers fyuA); CP000243.1 from E. coli UTI89 (primers yfcV); AF280396.1 from E. coli hemin receptor ChuA (primers chuA); KR094957.1 from E. coli PAB72 (primers vat); AF298200.1 from E. coli AD110 (primers focA); AF483829.1 from E. coli 5383-2 (primers *cnf*); L04979.1 from *E. coli* curli gene (primers *csgA*); and, AF233272.1 from E. coli antigen 43 precursor gene (primers ag43).

# Phylogrouping, MLST, and Phylogenetic Tree

Definition of major *E. coli* phylogroups (A, B1, B2, and D) was performed by PCR as described by Doumith et al. (2012). Multilocus sequence typing (MLST) was performed in accordance with the Institute Pasteur scheme using eight housekeeping genes (*dinB*, *icdA*, *pabB*, *polB*, *putP*, *trpA*,

TABLE 2	List of primers	used in the	virulence	genotyping.
---------	-----------------	-------------	-----------	-------------

Virulence marker	Locus description	Primers	Frag. (bp)	Tm (°C)	References		
EAEC VIRULENCE	GENES						
aatA	Dispersin transporter (earlier pCVD432)	CTGGCGAAAGACTGTATCAT	630	60	Pereira et al., 2007		
		CCATGTATAGAAATCCGCTGTT					
aggR	Transcription activator	ranscription activator CTAATTGTACAATCGATGTA 324					
		CTGAAGTAATTCTTGAAT					
аарА	Dispersin	CTTTTCTGGCATCTTGGGT	328	56	This study		
		TTATTTAACCCATTCGGTTAGAGC					
aggA	Aggregative adherence fimbria (AAF-I)	GCTAACGCTGCGTTAGAAAGACC	421	60	Pereira et al., 2007		
		GGAGTATCATTCTATATTCGCC					
aafA	AAF-II	GACAACCGCAACGCTGCGCTG	233	50	Pereira et al., 2007		
		GATAGCCGGTGTAATTGAGCC					
agg-3A	AAF-III	GTATCATTGCGAGTCTGGTATTCAG	462	56	Bernier et al., 2002		
		GGGCTGTTATAGAGTAACTTCCAG		58			
ndaB-A	AAF-IV	CTGTAGGACGTAGGTAATGAAACTG	993		This study		
		AAACTCCAGGCGTTAACGTCTG					
agg-5A	AAF-V	AGCAGCAACAGCAAATCC	342	56	This study		
		CCGTAACCACTTCCTCGT					
pet	Plasmid-encoded toxin	CCGCAAATGGAGCTGCAAC	1133	60	Pereira et al., 2007		
		CGAGTTTTCCGCCGTTTTC					
oic	Mucinase	TTCAGCGGAAAGACGAA	500	60	Pereira et al., 2007		
		TCTGCGCATTCATACCA					
	GENES						
yuA	Yersiniabactin siderophore receptor	TGAGTGGGAAATACACCACC	715	54	This study		
		TTACCCGCATTGCTTAATGTC					
fcV	YfC fimbria	ATCCGTGTTGGCTGGC	280	54	This study		
		GGTCATGGGCGCAGTT					
chuA	Heme receptor	TAACTGTCATAGCGGGTTCC	439	55	This study		
		AGTCTCTGAGCGGTTTAGTG					
/at	Vacuolating autotransporter toxin	CAGAACATTTGCTCCCTTGT	1102	53	This study		
		ACACGTTCAGGATTCAGT					
oapC	Pyelonephritis-associated pilus	GACGGCTGTACTGCAGGGTGTGGCG	328	60	Daigle et al., 1994		
		ATATCCTTTCTGCAGGGATGCAATA					
focA	Fimbria F1C (focA-sfaD)	GAAAGTAGATGGAGCTAAAAGCAAT	496	54	This study		
		CATGACATGCCAGTGGTTTC					
sfa	Fimbria S	CTCCGGAGAACTGGGTGCATCTTAC	407	60	Daigle et al., 1994		
		CGGAGGAGTAATTACAAACCTGGCA					
cnf	Cytotoxic necrotizing factor	GTGAAGCTCAACGAGACTAT	826	53	This study		
		TCAGTAGCTCCTCTCATCAA					
GENERAL E. COLI	ADHESINS						
csgA	Curli fimbria	CTCTGACTTGACTATTACC	199	50	This study		
		AGATGCAGTCTGGTCAAC					
ag43	Self-recognizing protein (adhesin)	CGATCGATAAGCTAATAATAACC	552	55	This study		
		GAAGACCACCACTGGTGACA					

*trpB*, and *uidA*) (Jaureguy et al., 2008). Procedures, primers and the sequence type (ST) assignment were carried out as described at Pasteur's webpage (http://bigsdb.pasteur.fr/ecoli/ ecoli.html). BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems<sup>TM</sup>) was employed in sequencing reactions and amplicons were analyzed with ABI-Prism 3500 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems<sup>TM</sup>). Clonal complexes (CC) were

defined as groups of two or more independent isolates that shared identical alleles at six *loci*. The correspondence between STs assignment by Pasteur's scheme and Achtman's scheme was based on results published in previous studies (Jaureguy et al., 2008; Clermont et al., 2015). In order to display the phylogenetic relationships among strains, MLST sequences were concatenated into a 2901-base-long super-gene (*dinB-icdA-pabB-polB-putP-trpA*) (Gadagkar et al., 2005). The dendrogram was constructed in MEGA6 applying the Maximum Likelihood method based on the Tamura-Nei model (Tamura et al., 2013). Initial trees for the heuristic search were obtained by applying the Neighbor-Joining method to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the Maximum Composite Likelihood (MCL) approach. In order to test the accuracy of the phylogeny was applied the Bootstrap method with 1500 replications.

### **Samples of Human Urine**

Healthy women with no history of consumption of antibiotics or anti-inflammatoreis within the last 15 days and with no clinical urinary symptoms were selected to donate urine samples. Donators were instructed, informed about the absence of health risk associated with the urine collection, and signed an informed consent term allowing the urine collection. Morning samples of urine (volume of 50 mL) were collected by spontaneous urination, centrifuged (3000 g/3 min), sterilized by ultrafiltration (0.22  $\mu$ m) and preserved at  $-20^{\circ}$ . Samples of sterile urine were pooled (n = 3), supplemented with 0.5% of casamino acid and used as culture medium in biofilm assays.

#### **Biofilm Assays**

In order to test the biofilm formation, 96-well flat-bottom polystyrene plates were used as described by Wakimoto et al. (2004). Seventy seven strains (one strain per case including 3 hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains) were assayed for biofilm formation (Supplementary Table 1). Briefly, 200 µL of Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) or sterile samples of pooled human urine were set into each plate well and inoculated with 5 µL of overnight bacterial culture. The plates were incubated overnight at 37°C without shaking. Afterwards, planktonic culture were discarded and formed biofilms were stained with crystal violet (CV) dye (15 min), washed once with 200 µL of phosphate-buffered saline and air-dried for 3 h. The absorbance (OD at 630 nm) reached by CV adsorbed on the well bottom was determined, and afterwards the bacterium-bound dye was released by the addition of ethanol (200 µL/well). The mean of the absorbances was used as a measure of the formed biofilms. Data were displayed as means obtained from three independent assays.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis were performed on the software IBM<sup>®</sup> SPSS<sup>®</sup> Statistics (version 20). Results with  $p \le 0.05$  were considered to be statistically significant.

### RESULTS

# Phylogroups and Virulence Genes among ExPEC Strains

Cases of extraintestinal infections were predominantly associated with phylogroup B2 (37/86–43%) and D (33/86–38%), followed by the phylogroups B1 (6/86–6.9%) and A (5/86–5.8%) (Data not shown). In three cases of UTIs, different phylogroups were simultaneously recovered: A/B2; B1/D and B2/D.

The molecular predictors of UPEC *chuA* (85%) and *fyuA* (78%) were predominantly detected among ExPEC strains. Additionally, the genes for UPEC-specific fimbriae *pap* and *yfcV* were detected in 47 and 41% of the strains, respectively (**Table 3**). UPEC VGs displayed an uneven distribution among phylogroups. The genes *fyuA*, *pap* e *yfcV* were more frequently detected in the extraintestinal phylogroups (B2 and D) than in the intestinal groups (A and B1) (p < 0.001—Fisher's exact test) (**Table 3**). Moreover, the genes *sfa*, *cnf* and *pic* were exclusively detected in the phylogroup B2.

The molecular predictors of EAEC *aatA* and *aggR* were codetected in 3.4% (9/258) of the ExPEC strains recovered from 3 out of 86 studied cases (3.4%). Besides aatA and aggR, the UPEC genes fyuA and pap were always found forming the genotypes of these strains, which highlighted their hybrid nature: hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains (Table 4). Hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains were recovered from two cases of UTIs (cases 63 and 85) and from one case of bacteremia (case 17) (Table 4). Additionally, three strains were classified as phylogroup A (associated with the UTI case 63) and the six remaining strains were classified as phylogroup D (with each of the three strains associated with the UTI case 85 and the bacteremia case 17). Genes for the EAEC fimbria AAF-I (aggA) were also detected in the EAEC/UPEC strains isolated from the UTI case 63 (Table 4). Aside from these data, an interesting fact was that three strains recovered from an UTI case (case 55) tested positive for the EAEC dispersin gene (aapA), although they did not harbor any of the EAEC-predictor markers aatA or aggR (Table 4).

## Allelic Profile and Sequence Type (ST) in Hybrid EAEC/UPEC Strains

Three hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains (each of them isolated from the cases 17, 63, and 85) had their allelic profiles and STs determined and compared with 19 contemporaneous UPEC strains, each from a different case chosen randomly (Table 5). Additionally, the equivalent Warwick ST was assessed in accordance with a previous report (Clermont et al., 2015) (Table 5). Twelve allelic profiles were detected among the strains. The predominant allelic profile matched exactly with ST3 (Warwick ST69) (n = 8) and was followed by ST43 (n =3) (Warwick ST 131). Five STs were of single occurrence (ST8, ST34, ST44, ST478, and ST479), and two STs were single-locus variants of ST43 and ST4 (Warwick ST73) (Table 5). Concerning the hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains, two strains (17.1 and 85.1) were classified as ST3, (Warwick ST69) while the strain 63.1 was addressed to the ST478, which had a single occurrence. These findings uncover the heterogeneity of our collection and show that a considerable subset of strains (n = 11) belong to genetic lineages recognized by the epidemic potential (ST3 and ST43), including two of the hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains.

## Phylogenetic Positioning of Hybrid EAEC/UPEC Strains

The phylogenetic relationship of hybrid EAEC/UPEC with typical UPEC strains, prototype EAEC 042, and genomic reference sequences were visualized in a dendrogram constructed

#### TABLE 3 | Distribution of virulence genes among phylogenetic groups of *E. coli*.

			Phy	logenetic groups			
	General positiv	ity	Inte	stinal	Extrain	Sig. (Kruskal-Wallis)	
	N = 258	NT* <i>N</i> = 42	A <i>N</i> = 16	B1 <i>N</i> = 16	B2 <i>N</i> = 96	D <i>N</i> = 88	
	Count (%)	Count (%)	Count (%)	Count (%)	Count (%)	Count (%)	
GENES							
chuA <sup>a</sup>	219 (85)	35 (83)	0	0	96 (100)	88 (100)	_a
csgA	213 (83)	27 (64)	10 (63)	10 (63)	84 (88)	82 (93)	0.000
fyuA <sup>b</sup>	200 (78)	31 (74)	4 (25)	0	93 (97)	72 (82)	0.000
pap <sup>b</sup>	120 (47)	22 (52)	3 (19)	2 (13)	46 (48)	47 (53)	0.003
yfcV <sup>b</sup>	106 (41)	14 (33)	0	0	73 (76)	19 (22)	0.000
vat	102 (40)	12 (29)	10 (63)	3 (19)	62 (65)	15 (17)	0.000
cnf <sup>C</sup>	60 (23)	8 (19)	0	0	52 (54)	0	0.000
focA	45 (17)	6 (14)	12 (75)	6 (38)	10 (10)	11 (13)	0.000
sfa <sup>c</sup>	39 (15)	5 (12)	0	0	34 (35)	0	0.000
ag43	21 (8)	1 (2)	3 (19)	0	9 (9)	8 (9)	0.343
aapA	12 (5)	3 (7)	3 (19)	0	0	6 (7)	0.002
pic <sup>c</sup>	11 (4)	0	0	0	11 (11)	0	0.002
aatA	9 (3)	0	3 (19)	0	0	6 (7)	0.001
aggR	9 (3)	0	3 (19)	0	0	6 (7)	0.001
pilS	9 (3)	2 (5)	0	0	3 (3)	4 (5)	0.672
aggA	3 (1)	0	3 (19)	0	0	0	0.000
pet	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
aafA	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
agg3A	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
hdaA	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

\* Not tested for phylogenetic groups.

"a" - the presence of gene chuA is mandatory for the classification into phylogroups B2 or D.

"b" indicates virulence genes more statistically detected in extraintestinal strains (B2+D) in comparison with intestinal ones (A+B1) (p < 0.001).

"c" indicates virulence genes exclusively detected in the phylogroup B2.

#### TABLE 4 | Cases of extraintestinal infections associated with strains harboring virulence genes of EAEC.

		EAEC markers					'S	UPEC markers									
Case (Identification number)	ln or Outpatient	Gender	Age (year)	N of strain*	Pathotype	aatA	aggR	aapA	Agga	fyuA	chuA	vat	ded	focA	csgA	ag43	Phyloaroup
Bacteremia (17)	In	F	62	3	EAEC/UPEC												D
UTI (63)	Out	Μ	74	3	EAEC/UPEC					_							A
UTI (85)	Out	F	1	3	EAEC/UPEC						_						- D
UTI (55)	Out	F	-	3	UPEC											•	D

\*All strains isolated from each case displayed the same genotype.

by alignments of concatenated housekeeping gene sequences (dinB-icdA-pabB-polB-putP-trpA) (Figure 1). Genotypes, phylogroups and STs were also displayed in order to highlight shared features detected among strains. Two of out three tested EAEC/UPEC strains shared with UPEC strains a well-defined clade (bootstrap value equals 94) featured by gathering strains (n = 8) with positive results for molecular predictors of UPEC (*fyuA*)

and *chuA*) and UPEC-specific fimbria Pap as well (**Figure 1**). Additionally, strains in this clade were addressed as phylogroup D and ST3 (Warwick ST 69). Outside the clade D-ST3, the strains showed a reduced positivity for *pap* (40% - 6/15). The prototype EAEC strain 042 was positioned in a separate clade, although it also belongs to phylogroup D. A different phylogenetic position was displayed by the EAEC/UPEC strain 63.1, which was

TABLE 5	Allelic	profile of h	vbrid EAE	C/UPEC and	UPEC strains.

Strain	Strain Pathotype	Sample			Allelic	profile — F	Pasteur sc	heme				Equivalent Warwick <sup>a</sup>	
			dinB	icdA	pabB	polB	putP	trpA	trpB	uidA	ST	ST	
17.1	EAEC/UPEC	Blood	3	8	5	11	8	3	5	3	3	69	
85.1	EAEC/UPEC	Urine	3	8	5	11	8	3	5	3	3	69	
9.1	UPEC	Urine	3	9	5	11	8	3	5	3	3	69	
16.1	UPEC	Urine	3	8	5	11	8	3	5	3	3	69	
19.1	UPEC	Urine	3	8	5	11	8	3	5	3	3	69	
28.1	UPEC	Urine	3	8	5	11	8	3	5	3	3	69	
38.1	UPEC	Urine	3	8	5	11	8	3	5	3	3	69	
76.1	UPEC	Urine	3	8	5	11	8	3	9	ND <sup>b</sup>	3	69	
12.1	UPEC	Urine	9	1	15	7	4	9	6	9	43	131	
8.1	UPEC	Urine	9	1	15	7	4	9	145	9	SLV <sup>d</sup> 43	131	
21.1	UPEC	Urine	9	1	15	7	4	9	6	9	43	131	
58.1	UPEC	Urine	9	1	15	7	4	9	6	9	43	131	
81.1	UPEC	Urine	2	4	6	4	1	6	1	25	SLV <sup>d</sup> 4	73	
63.1	EAEC/UPEC	Urine	8	2	7	84	7	1	ND <sup>b</sup>	2	478	-	
23.1	UPEC	Secretion	12	45	24	19	13	24	8	29	34	-	
50.1	UPEC	Urine	23	9	8	12	8	11	7	13	8	-	
73.1	UPEC	Urine	17	9	28	12	9	13	5	11	44	-	
84.1	UPEC	Urine	9	37	4	146	78	8	2	30	479	-	
6.1	UPEC	Urine	30	45	33	37	27	34	24	NAC	-	-	
11.1	UPEC	Urine	30	45	33	37	27	34	24	NAC	-	-	
57.1	UPEC	Urine	30	45	33	37	27	34	24	NAC	-	-	
27.1	UPEC	Urine	30	45	24	37	27	34	24	NAC	-	-	

<sup>a</sup>Defined in accordance with Clermont et al. (2015).

<sup>b</sup>Allele did not define.

<sup>c</sup>Allele did not amplify.

<sup>d</sup>SLV – Single-locus variant.

positioned in a different major branch sharing a clade with the reference sequence of commensal strain K12. Endorsing that phylogenetic positioning is the fact that both strains belong to phylogroup A (**Figure 1**).

## Biofilm Formation by Hybrid EAEC/UPEC Stains

In order to assess if hybrid genotypes improve the ability to form biofilms, the adherence to abiotic surface (in 96-well culture plates) of EAEC/UPEC strains was tested and compared to the adherence of 77 UPEC strains (Figure 2). In general, biofilm formation was higher in DMEM (median = 0.536 with third quartile [Q3] = 0.853) than in human urine (median = 0.391 with Q3 = 0.475) (p < 0.001—Kruskal-Wallis test). Hybrid EAEC/UPEC formed biofilms at modest, but perceptible levels either in DMEM (median = 0.535 with Q3 = 0.731) or in urine (median = 0.270 with Q3 = 0.411). Just six strains showed an invariable ability to form biofilm despite the tested conditions (DMEM or human urine), including the hybrid EAEC/UPEC strain 63.1 (mean of 0.535  $\pm$  0.76 in DMEM and 0.553  $\pm$  0.026 in urine) (Figure 2). When compared with the EAEC/UPEC strains 17.1 (genotype: *aatA aggR aapA fyuA chuA pap csgA ag43*) and 85.1 (genotype: aatA aggR aapA fyuA chuA pap csgA), the EAEC/UPEC strain 63.1 (genotype: aatA aggR aggA aapA fyuA

*vat focA pap csgA ag43*) distinguishes from them for harboring the EAEC-specific fimbria AAF-I (*aggA*) and *focA*. In comparison to the prototype EAEC strains 17-2 (also positive for AAF/I) and 042 (positive for AAF/II), the strain 63.1 formed biofilms at similar levels when tested in human urine (**Figure 2**).

## DISCUSSION

EAEC is a heterogeneous category that has been recognized for gathering versatile pathogens since the late 1990's, when their epidemiological association with diarrhea involving children, adults, HIV-infected patients and travelers became more and more evident (Kaper et al., 2004). Besides that, EAEC strains has been recently reported as a sporadic etiological agent in extraintestinal infections, mainly in urinary tract infections (UTI). In Brazil, a previous epidemiological study on UTI showed that 3.5% (8/225) of the strains were classified as EAEC based on the presence of the virulence marker aatA (Abe et al., 2008). Along with others classical UPEC markers, 5 of out 8 aatA-positive EAEC strains also carried UPEC gene pap (pyelonephritis-associated pilus) along with EAEC gene aggA (aggregative adherence fimbriae I - AAF/I), which highlighted the hybrid aspect of these strains (Abe et al., 2008). This epidemiological scenario is similar to the results found now in



typical UPEC strains (Clade D-ST3).

our study. In our collection, 3.5% (9/258) of the strains were positive for *aatA* as well as for *pap*. Moreover, three of these hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains harbored *aggA* (EAEC fimbria AAF-I) along with *pap* (UPEC pilus).

The gene *pic* (standing for protein-involved in colonization) was initially identified in the EAEC prototype strain 042 as a mucinase that displayed a pivotal role in the colonization of the intestinal mucus layer during diarrhea (Harrington et al., 2009). However, further experimental and epidemiological data broadened the role of *pic* endorsing its importance in extraintestinal infections such as UTIs, pyelonephritis and sepsis (Boll et al., 2013; Abreu et al., 2015). The gene *pic* was the most frequent EAEC marker detected among UPEC strains, reaching 13% of positivity in the Abe's study (Abe et al., 2008). Here, *pic*-positive strains accounted for 4.3% of the strains. Despite the low overall prevalence, here *pic* was exclusively detected in strains belonging to the extraintestinal phylogroup B2 and, in this group, *pic*-positive strains accounted for 11.5% of the isolates.

Studies on the distribution of plasmid and chromosomal genes of EAEC proposed that some EAEC strains belonging to lineages diverse from typical EAEC strains can acquire and maintain the pAA plasmid, the major virulence element in EAEC strains

(Jenkins et al., 2005). Therefore, the Group 2 of EAEC, as defined by Jenkins et al., comprises a more heterogeneous group of EAEC strains that emerges from acquisition of pAA by strains that does not retain chromosomal markers of typical EAEC strains (Jenkins et al., 2005). In order to explain the emergence of hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains, some authors have fomented similar discussions. Here, we showed that different alternatives for the emergence of hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains isolated from UTI could exist. Based on the phylogenetic analyses carried out with concatenated chromosomal genes, we showed that two hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains share a chromosomal backbone of UPEC strains. Therefore, this finding suggest that mobile EAEC genetic markers posed on pAA plasmid (aatA, aggR, and *aapA*) can be acquired by UPEC strains. Concerning the EAEC/UPEC strains 17.1 and 85.1 (both classified as phylogroup D-ST3[ST69]), our findings conflict with the paradigm stablished in the literature that poses that diarrheagenic virulence markers are rarely detected in UPEC strains from phylogenetic group D-ST3[ST69] (Blanco et al., 2011; Olesen et al., 2012; Skjøt-Rasmussen et al., 2013). However, a Wallace-Gadsden's report endorses our findings, showing that the ST69 complex has a propensity to acquire EAEC virulence genes and so it may have



evolved as a progenitor lineage from which UPEC and EAEC

strains belonging to ST69 emerged (Wallace-Gadsden et al., 2007). On the other hand, *E. coli* lineages that frequently gather

commensal strains (phylogroups A and B1) may also include highly virulent UPEC strains. The hybrid EAEC/UPEC strain 63.1, characterized for having the most complete set of tested virulence genes for EAEC (aatA, aggR, aapA, and aggA) and UPEC (fyuA, vat, focA, and pap), besides being positive for csgA and ag43, was classified as phylogroup A. E. coli strains with similar genetic arrangements have already been associated with UTI outbreaks. In 1991, it was detected in Copenhagen an UTI outbreak involving a hybrid EAEC/UPEC strain (Olesen et al., 2012). Further molecular characterization showed that 18 out of the 19 outbreak strains were positive for the EAEC specific genes aatA, aggR, aagA, and aap along with the UPEC genes sat and fyuA. Additionally, phylogenetic analyses showed that the hybrid EAEC/UPEC outbreak strains belonged to intestinal phylogroup A, which is known to gather commensal strains (Olesen et al., 2012).

The formation of biofilms is considered to be a pivotal step during infection processes developed in human mucosas. EAEC strains are renowned for their prolific ability to form biofilms, which are in general supported by the aggregative adherence fimbriae (AAFs) coded on the plasmid pAA. Cases and outbreaks of UTI involving heteropathogenic EAEC/UPEC strains have endorsed the suspicion that EAEC virulence genes add uropathogenic properties to *E. coli* strains. Concerning EAEC/UPEC strains involved in the Copenhagen UTI outbreak (genotype: *aatA aggR* aap *aggA fyuA pic*; phylogroup A) (Olesen

et al., 2012), it was shown that the expression of AAF/I (gene *aggA*) allowed a pronounced increase of bacterial adherence to human bladder epithelial cells as well as it allowed biofilm formation at levels significantly higher than those of UPEC prototype strains (Boll et al., 2013). In our study, the AAF/I-positive EAEC/UPEC strain 63.1 (genotype: *aatA aggR aggA aapA fyuA vat focA pap csgA ag43*; phylogroup A) showed an ability to produce invariable and perceptible biofilms in both DMEM and human urine. Although the biofilm levels had not been higher than those produced by the prototype EAEC strain 17-2 in DMEM, EAEC/UPEC strain 63.1 formed biofilms at higher levels than those formed by the strain 17-2 and at similar levels to those formed by prototype UPEC strains when tested with human urine.

Whether or not hybrid EAEC/UPEC strains have potential to cause diarrhea is an issue to be further evaluated. However, studies based on the extensive genetic characterization of typical EAEC strains have shown that phylogenetic patterns similar to those detected here are also found in diarrhea-associated EAEC strains. EAEC strains with phylogroup D and genotype *aatA aggR aapA fyuA chuA* were recovered from diarrhea and included the prototype strain 042 (Czeczulin et al., 1999; Okeke et al., 2010). Additionally, EAEC strains with phylogrup A and genotype *aatA aggR aapA fyuA* were also recovered from cases of diarrhea (Czeczulin et al., 1999; Okeke et al., 2010), including the strain C1192-92 recovered from a diarrhea case around the time of the UTI outbreak that occurred in F Compenhagen in 1991 (Olesen et al., 2012).

*E. coli* has a remarkable adaptation capacity that is mainly relied on its genomic plasticity (Touchon et al., 2009). Having

a pan-genome with around 17,000 genes and 4700 genes per genome in average, no single *E. coli* strain can be assumed as a representative of the species. In this scenario, applying the genetic boundaries stablished for archetypal pathotypes in epidemiological studies involving *E. coli* has shown to be an elusive goal. Events involving hybrid or heteropathogenic *E. coli* strains have endorsed this perspective and blurred the classification of *E. coli* strains into classical pathotypes.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

AP conceived the study and designed the experiments. AP and FL wrote the manuscript and were responsible for concepts, vision and direction of the study. FL, DN, Pd, and MA performed geno- and phylotyping experiments and analyzed the data. AP,

#### REFERENCES

- Abe, C. M., Salvador, F. A., Falsetti, I. N., Vieira, M. A. M., Blanco, J., Blanco, J. E., et al. (2008). Uropathogenic *Escherichia coli* (UPEC) strains may carry virulence properties of diarrhoeagenic *E. coli. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* 52, 397–406. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-695X.2008.00388.x
- Abreu, A. G., Fraga, T. R., Granados Martínez, A. P., Kondo, M. Y., Juliano, M. A., Juliano, L., et al. (2015). The serine protease pic from enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* mediates immune evasion by the direct cleavage of complement proteins. J. Infect. Dis. 212, 106–115. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiv013
- Ang, C. W., Bouts, A. H., Rossen, J. W., Van der Kuip, M., Van Heerde, M., and Bökenkamp, A. (2016). Diarrhea, urosepsis and hemolytic uremic syndrome caused by the same heteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* strain. *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.* 35, 1045–1047. doi: 10.1097/INF.000000000001226
- Aurass, P., Prager, R., and Flieger, A. (2011). EHEC/EAEC O104:H4 strain linked with the 2011 German outbreak of haemolytic uremic syndrome enters into the viable but non-culturable state in response to various stresses and resuscitates upon stress relief. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13, 3139–3148. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2011.02604.x
- Bernier, C., Gounon, P., and Le Bouguénec, C. (2002). Identification of an aggregative adhesion fimbria (AAF) type III-encoding operon in enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* as a sensitive probe for detecting the AAF-encoding operon family. *Infect. Immun.* 70, 4302–4311. doi: 10.1128/IAI.70.8.4302-4311.2002
- Bielaszewska, M., Schiller, R., Lammers, L., Bauwens, A., Fruth, A., Middendorf, B., et al. (2014). Heteropathogenic virulence and phylogeny reveal phased pathogenic metamorphosis in *Escherichia coli* O2:H6. EMBO Mol. *Med.* 6, 347–357. doi: 10.1002/emmm.201303133
- Blanco, J., Mora, A., Mamani, R., López, C., Blanco, M., Dahbi, G., et al. (2011). National survey of *Escherichia coli* causing extraintestinal infections reveals the spread of drug-resistant clonal groups O25b:H4-B2-ST131, O15:H1-D-ST393 and CGA-D-ST69 with high virulence gene content in Spain. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 66, 2011–2021. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkr235
- Boll, E. J., Struve, C., Boisen, N., Olesen, B., Stahlhut, S. G., and Krogfelt, K. A. (2013). Role of enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* virulence factors in uropathogenesis. *Infect. Immun.* 81, 1164–1171. doi: 10.1128/IAI.0 1376-12
- Clermont, O., Gordon, D., and Denamur, E. (2015). Guide to the various phylogenetic classification schemes for *Escherichia coli* and the correspondence among schemes. *Microbiology* 161, 980–988. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.000063
- Czeczulin, J. R., Whittam, T. S., Henderson, I. R., Navarro-Garcia, F., and Nataro, J. P. (1999). Phylogenetic analysis of enteroaggregative and diffusely adherent *Escherichia coli. Infect. Immun.* 67, 2692–2699.
- Daigle, F., Harel, J., Fairbrother, J. M., and Lebel, P. (1994). Expression and detection of pap-, sfa-, and afa-encoded fimbrial adhesin systems

Cd, and DN carried out the genetic sequencing. FC and Ld performed biofilm assays. LF and FL carried out the isolation and identification of *E. coli* strains. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### FUNDING

This work was supported by the Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Distrito Federal (FAP-DF) with the grants No 193.000.019/2012 and 193.001.042/2015.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fmicb. 2017.00146/full#supplementary-material

among uropathogenic Escherichia coli. Can. J. Microbiol. 40, 286–291. doi: 10.1139/m94-046

- Dias, R. C. S., Marangoni, D. V, Smith, S. P., Alves, E. M., Pellegrino, F. L., Riley, L. W., et al. (2009). Clonal composition of *Escherichia coli* causing communityacquired urinary tract infections in the State of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Microb. Drug Resist.* 15, 303–308. doi: 10.1089/mdr.2009.0067
- Doumith, M., Day, M. J., Hope, R., Wain, J., and Woodford, N. (2012). Improved multiplex PCR strategy for rapid assignment of the four major *Escherichia coli* phylogenetic groups. J. Clin. Microbiol. 50, 3108–3110. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01468-12
- Dudley, E. G., Abe, C., Ghigo, J.-M., Latour-Lambert, P., Hormazabal, J. C., and Nataro, J. P. (2006). An IncI1 plasmid contributes to the adherence of the atypical enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* strain C1096 to cultured cells and abiotic surfaces. *Infect. Immun.* 74, 2102–2114. doi: 10.1128/IAI.74.4.2102-2114.2006
- Foxman, B. (2010). The epidemiology of urinary tract infection. Nat. Rev. Urol. 7, 653–660. doi: 10.1038/nrurol.2010.190
- Gadagkar, S. R., Rosenberg, M. S., and Kumar, S. (2005). Inferring species phylogenies from multiple genes: concatenated sequence tree versus consensus gene tree. J. Exp. Zool. B Mol. Dev. Evol. 304, 64–74. doi: 10.1002/jez.b.21026
- Gomes, T. A. T., Elias, W. P., Scaletsky, I. C. A., Guth, B. E. C., Rodrigues, J. F., Piazza, R. M. F., et al. (2016). Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli. Brazilian J. Microbiol.* 47, 3–30. doi: 10.1016/j.bjm.2016.10.015
- Harrington, S. M., Sheikh, J., Henderson, I. R., Ruiz-Perez, F., Cohen, P. S., and Nataro, J. P. (2009). The pic protease of enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* promotes intestinal colonization and growth in the presence of mucin. *Infect. Immun.* 77, 2465–2473. doi: 10.1128/IAI.01494-08
- Jaureguy, F., Landraud, L., Passet, V., Diancourt, L., Frapy, E., Guigon, G., et al. (2008). Phylogenetic and genomic diversity of human bacteremic *Escherichia coli* strains. *BMC Genomics* 9:560. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-9-560
- Jenkins, C., van Ijperen, C., Dudley, E. G., Chart, H., Willshaw, G. A., Cheasty, T., et al. (2005). Use of a Microarray to assess the distribution of plasmid and chromosomal virulence genes in strains of enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli*. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 253, 119–124. doi: 10.1016/j.femsle.2005.09.040
- Kaper, J. B., Nataro, J. P., and Mobley, H. L. T. (2004). Pathogenic Escherichia coli. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 2, 123–140. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro818
- Korhonen, T. K., Virkola, R., and Holthöfer, H. (1986). Localization of binding sites for purified *Escherichia coli* P fimbriae in the human kidney. *Infect. Immun.* 54, 328–332.
- Marre, R., Kreft, B., and Hacker, J. (1990). Genetically engineered S and F1C fimbriae differ in their contribution to adherence of *Escherichia coli* to cultured renal tubular cells. *Infect. Immun.* 58, 3434–3437.
- Nicolas-Chanoine, M.-H., Bertrand, X., and Madec, J.-Y. (2014). Escherichia coli ST131, an intriguing clonal group. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 27, 543–574. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00125-13

- Okeke, I. N., Wallace-Gadsden, F., Simons, H. R., Matthews, N., Labar, A. S., Hwang, J., et al. (2010). Multi-locus sequence typing of enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* isolates from Nigerian children uncovers multiple lineages. *PLoS ONE* 5:e14093. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0014093
- Olesen, B., Scheutz, F., Andersen, R. L., Menard, M., Boisen, N., Johnston, B., et al. (2012). Enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* O78:H10, the Cause of an outbreak of urinary tract infection. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 50, 3703–3711. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01909-12
- Pereira, A. L., Ferraz, L. R., Silva, R. S. N., and Giugliano, L. G. (2007). Enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* virulence markers: positive association with distinct clinical characteristics and segregation into 3 enteropathogenic *E*. Coli serogroups. *J. Infect. Dis.* 195, 366–374. doi: 10.1086/510538
- Pereira, A. L., Silva, T. N., Gomes, A. C., Araújo, A. C., Giugliano, L. G., Huang, D., et al. (2010). Diarrhea-associated biofilm formed by enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* and aggregative *Citrobacter freundii*: a consortium mediated by putative F pili. *BMC Microbiol.* 10:57. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-10-57
- Petty, N. K., Zakour, B. N. L., Stanton-Cook, M., Skippington, E., Totsika, M., Forde, B. M., et al. (2014). Global dissemination of a multidrug resistant *Escherichia coli* Clone. PNAS 111, 5694–5699. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1322678111
- Prager, R., Lang, C., Aurass, P., Fruth, A., Tietze, E., and Flieger, A. (2014). Two novel EHEC/EAEC hybrid strains isolated from human infections. *PLoS ONE* 9:e95379. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0095379
- Robins-Browne, R. M., Holt, K. E., Ingle, D. J., Hocking, D. M., Yang, J., and Tauschek, M. (2016). Are *Escherichia coli* pathotypes still relevant in the Era of Whole-genome sequencing? *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* 6:141. 10.3389/fcimb.2016.00141
- Russo, T. A., and Johnson, J. R. (2000). Proposal for a new inclusive designation for extraintestinal pathogenic isolates of *Escherichia coli*: ExPEC. J. Infect. Dis. 181, 1753–1754. doi: 10.1086/315418
- Skjøt-Rasmussen, L., Olsen, S. S., Jakobsen, L., Ejrnaes, K., Scheutz, F., Lundgren, B., et al. (2013). *Escherichia coli* clonal group a causing bacteraemia of urinary tract origin. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 19, 656–661. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-0691.2012.03961.x
- Soto, S. M. (2014). Importance of biofilms in urinary tract infections: new therapeutic approaches. Adv. Biol. 2014, 1–13. doi: 10.1155/2014/543974

- Spurbeck, R. R., Dinh, P. C., Walk, S. T., Stapleton, A. E., Hooton, T. M., Nolan, L. K., et al. (2012). *Escherichia coli* isolates that carry vat, fyuA, chuA, and yfcV efficiently colonize the urinary tract. *Infect. Immun.* 80, 4115–4122. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00752-12
- Tamura, K., Stecher, G., Peterson, D., Filipski, A., and Kumar, S. (2013). MEGA6: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30, 2725–2729. doi: 10.1093/molbev/mst197
- Touchon, M., Hoede, C., Tenaillon, O., Barbe, V., Baeriswyl, S., Bidet, P., et al. (2009). Organised genome dynamics in the *Escherichia coli* species results in highly diverse adaptive paths. *PLoS Genet.* 5:e1000344. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000344
- Toval, F., Köhler, C.-D., Vogel, U., Wagenlehner, F., Mellmann, A., Fruth, A., et al. (2014). Characterization of *Escherichia coli* isolates from hospital inpatients or outpatients with urinary tract infection. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 52, 407–418. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02069-13
- Wakimoto, N., Nishi, J., Sheikh, J., Nataro, J. P., Sarantuya, J., Iwashita, M., et al. (2004). Quantitative biofilm assay using a microtiter plate to screen for enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 71, 687–690.
- Wallace-Gadsden, F., Johnson, J. R., Wain, J., and Okeke, I. N. (2007). Enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli* related to uropathogenic clonal group A. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 13, 757–760. doi: 10.3201/eid1305.0 61057

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Lara, Nery, de Oliveira, Araujo, Carvalho, Messias-Silva, Ferreira, Faria-Junior and Pereira. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.