



Effect of Sunflower and Marine Oils on Ruminal Microbiota, *In vitro* Fermentation and Digesta Fatty Acid Profile

Julio E. Vargas^{1,2}, Sonia Andrés¹, Timothy J. Snelling^{1,3}, Lorena López-Ferreras^{1,4}, David R. Yáñez-Ruíz⁵, Carlos García-Estrada⁶ and Secundino López^{1*}

¹ Instituto de Ganadería de Montaña (CSIC-Universidad de León), Departamento de Producción Animal, Universidad de León, León, Spain, ² Grupo CIENVET, Facultad de Ciencias Agropecuarias, Universidad de Caldas, Manizales, Colombia, ³ Rowett Institute of Nutrition and Health, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, United Kingdom, ⁴ Department of Physiology/Metabolic Physiology, Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, The Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden, ⁵ Estación Experimental del Zaidín, CSIC, Granada, Spain, ⁶ INBIOTEC, Instituto de Biotecnología de León, León, Spain

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Antonio Faciola, University of Nevada, Reno, United States

Reviewed by:

Timothy Hackmann, University of Florida, United States Gwinyai Chibisa, University of Idaho, United States

> *Correspondence: Secundino López s.lopez@unileon.es

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Systems Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 03 February 2017 Accepted: 01 June 2017 Published: 20 June 2017

Citation:

Vargas JE, Andrés S, Snelling TJ, López-Ferreras L, Yáñez-Ruíz DR, García-Estrada C and López S (2017) Effect of Sunflower and Marine Oils on Ruminal Microbiota, In vitro Fermentation and Digesta Fatty Acid Profile. Front. Microbiol. 8:1124. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01124 This study using the rumen simulation technique (RUSITEC) investigated the changes in the ruminal microbiota and anaerobic fermentation in response to the addition of different lipid supplements to a ruminant diet. A basal diet with no oil added was the control, and the treatment diets were supplemented with sunflower oil (2%) only, or sunflower oil (2%) in combination with fish oil (1%) or algae oil (1%). Four fermentation units were used per treatment. RUSITEC fermenters were inoculated with rumen digesta. Substrate degradation, fermentation end-products (volatile fatty acids, lactate, gas, methane, and ammonia), and microbial protein synthesis were determined. Fatty acid profiles and microbial community composition were evaluated in digesta samples. Numbers of representative bacterial species and microbial groups were determined using qPCR. Microbial composition and diversity were based on T-RFLP spectra. The addition of oils had no effect on substrate degradation or microbial protein synthesis. Differences among diets in neutral detergent fiber degradation were not significant (P = 0.132), but the contrast comparing oil-supplemented diets with the control was significant (P = 0.039). Methane production was reduced (P < 0.05) with all oil supplements. Propionate production was increased when diets containing oil were fermented. Compared with the control, the addition of algae oil decreased the percentage C18:3 c9c12c15 in rumen digesta, and that of C18:2 c9t11 was increased when the control diet was supplemented with any oil. Marine oils decreased the hydrogenation of C18 unsaturated fatty acids. Microbial diversity was not affected by oil supplementation. Cluster analysis showed that diets with additional fish or algae oils formed a group separated from the sunflower oil diet. Supplementation with marine oils decreased the numbers of Butyrivibrio producers of stearic acid, and affected the numbers of protozoa, methanogens, Selenomonas ruminantium and Streptococcus bovis, but not total bacteria. In conclusion, there is a potential to manipulate the rumen fermentation

1

and microbiota with the addition of sunflower, fish or algae oils to ruminant diets at appropriate concentrations. Specifically, supplementation of ruminant mixed rations with marine oils will reduce methane production, the acetate to propionate ratio and the fatty acid hydrogenation in the rumen.

Keywords: microbial community composition, dietary fats, rumen microbiota, Rusitec fermenters, TRFLP, qPCR

INTRODUCTION

Fats are added to the diets of ruminant livestock to increase energy density of the ration. Dietary oil supplements can also be used to manipulate the microbial community and fermentation processes in the rumen (Huws et al., 2010). Specific microbial groups and their interactions play a key role in several aspects of livestock production including environmental impact (Steinfeld et al., 2006), meat and milk quality (Shingfield et al., 2013), efficiency of feed utilization (Myer et al., 2015), health and welfare (Nagaraja and Titgemeyer, 2007). Therefore, the use of oil supplements to manage the microbiota and thereby promote measureable benefits in these aspects is of great interest.

Fats and oils impair ruminal CH₄ production, and their inclusion in ruminant diets is considered by international agencies as one of the most feasible nutritional greenhouse gas (GHG) mitigation strategies (Bodas et al., 2012; Hristov et al., 2013). One of the global impacts on the environment from livestock agriculture is the release into the atmosphere of methane (CH₄). Methane is a GHG with 21 times the global warming potential of CO₂ (IPCC, 2007), and production from agricultural activity represents 10-12% of the total anthropogenic GHG equivalents per year (Gt CO2 Eq/yr) (Smith et al., 2014). Methane is produced in the rumen by the Archaea and these microbes are often found in close association with species of bacteria and ciliate protozoa, which produce H₂ (Morgavi et al., 2010). However, beside the effects on methane emissions or methanogenic microbes, there is a need to demonstrate substrate degradability is not impaired (Hristov et al., 2013).

Oils from different sources may affect lipid metabolism in the rumen, and consequently the type of fatty acids absorbed in the intestine and subsequently present in the animal products. The fate of dietary oil supplements has been studied in depth and biohydrogenation pathways have been described in the reviews by Kim et al. (2009) and Lourenço et al. (2010). Milk and adipose fat obtained from ruminant livestock are sources of rumenic acid (C18:2 c9t11), an isomer of conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) that has been found to provide a number of benefits to human health (Bauman et al., 2005). Butyrivibrio spp. and related bacteria seem to be involved in producing CLA via the isomerization and biohydrogenation of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) in the rumen (Wallace et al., 2006; Lourenço et al., 2010). Recently, other reports have shown that other bacterial groups may be involved in biohydrogenation processes, although their contribution depends upon the lipid source since the toxic nature of the double bond may differ among different fatty acids (Huws et al., 2015). Rumenic acid is synthesized as an intermediate of the conversion of unsaturated linoleic acid to the saturated stearic acid. Therefore, the inclusion of supplements

rich in PUFA in dietary rations, for example sunflower oil (w-6-PUFA) or fish oil (ω -3-PUFA), could be used as an effective management practice to increase CLA in ruminant livestock products (Varadyova et al., 2008). Sunflower oil is rich in linoleic acid, the main precursor for the formation of CLA in the rumen. Fish and algae lipids are rich in long chain ω-3 PUFA (C20:5 ω -3 and C22:6 ω -3), and both have been considered suitable dietary supplements to provide essential long chain ω-3 PUFA and to increase their concentration in milk and meat (Korczyński et al., 2015). Fish oils or lipids contained in algae lessen the hydrogenation of fatty acids in the rumen then leading to the accumulation of unsaturated intermediates such as CLA (Wasowska et al., 2006). Thus, when diets are supplemented with fish or algae oils, dairy cows or sheep yield milk with higher contents in CLA (Shingfield et al., 2003). Supplementation of fattening lamb diets with algae oil increased C20:5 w-3 and C22:6 ω -3 in meat to a greater extent than when the same diets were supplemented with fish oil (Cooper et al., 2004). Algae are increasingly used as a replacement for the commonly used fish oil (Stamey et al., 2012), reducing the problem of undesirable fishy smell and taste. In addition, algae are a valuable source of biological active, antioxidant and antimicrobial compounds (Korczyński et al., 2015). Technology for the cultivation of algae is rapidly developing given its productive (high yield of biomass), economic (production of food and feed, lipids, or biodiesel) and environmental (pollution prevention, wastewater treatment, detoxification of contaminants) benefits (Kouřimská et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016).

When effects of dietary supplements have been investigated with the aim of manipulating the rumen microbiota to reduce environmental impact or improve the quality of the product, treatments should not adversely affect key species involved in the essential task of the breakdown of dietary fiber. Butyrivibrio spp. are important fiber-degrading bacteria (Maia et al., 2010). Prominent fiber-degraders (e.g., Fibrobacter and Ruminococcus spp.), and other dominant, nutritionally versatile groups (e.g., Prevotella spp.) should also be considered when a dietary supplement can potentially affect the composition of the rumen microbial community (Denman and McSweeney, 2006; Stevenson and Weimer, 2007). The balance of bacteria species is also responsible for the maintenance of a healthy ruminal pH. Therefore, it is of interest to examine if the addition of oils to the diet may affect lactate and volatile fatty acid (VFA) production and utilization.

There is a paucity of data measuring the effects of dietary oil supplements on other microbial species, particularly those with known roles in each of the several important aspects of rumen livestock agriculture described previously. Often, studies assessing the effects of lipid supplementation on rumen digestion do not address simultaneously impact on

Oils, Rumen Microbiota and Fermentation

diet degradation, anaerobic fermentation, including methane production, microbial protein synthesis, lipid metabolism, and shifts in key microbial species and the whole community structure. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of different types of marine oils used in combination with sunflower oil on rumen fermentation and the key microbial groups identified here. The experiment reported herein was carried out using an artificial rumen simulation technique (RUSITEC) (Czerkawski and Breckenridge, 1977), in which there is a precise control of the fermentation conditions and the aforementioned parameters can be measured in a single study.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cultures of mixed ruminal microorganisms were maintained in semi-continuous flow fermenters (RUSITEC) to simulate rumen conditions. Sixteen fermentation vessels were set up using two RUSITEC systems. The addition of sunflower oil combined with either algae or fish oils to the basal diet was examined. Control (CTR) treatment was the basal diet (**Table 1**) with no addition of oil. The supplemented oil treatments were prepared by adding to the control diet either 20 g sunflower oil/kg diet alone (SFL) or combined with 10 g/kg of fish oil (FSH) or algae oil (ALG) to a total oil concentration of 30 g/kg (3%; **Table 1**). Diets used as fermentation substrate were prepared every 3 days and stored at 4°C until needed. Four vessels (two in each RUSITEC system) were used for each experimental treatment.

Vessels were inoculated and operated daily following the general incubation procedure of Czerkawski and Breckenridge (1977) and described in detail by García-González et al. (2010). To inoculate the fermentation units of RUSITEC, rumen digesta was obtained from four ruminally fistulated Merino sheep fed a diet consisting (per kg) of 700 g grass hay and 300 g concentrate. Sheep care and handling followed the recommendations of the Directive 2003/65 for protection of animals used for experimental and other specific purposes. The Animal Ethics Committees of CSIC and University of Leon (Spain) had approved procedures for digesta sampling from sheep. Inoculum was prepared from 400 ml of strained rumen fluid diluted with 250 ml of McDougall artificial saliva (McDougall, 1948). In addition, particulate rumen contents (80 g) were sealed inside a nylon bag (100 μ m pore size), which was placed into the feed container of each fermentation vessel.

The dietary fermentation substrate was weighed (15 g dry matter) into a nylon bag, which was sealed and placed into the vessel feed container with the bag of strained rumen digesta. Incubation was started with temperature maintained at 37° C and a continuous infusion of McDougall artificial saliva (pH 8.4) at a rate of 556 ml/day (dilution rate of 3.6%/h) per vessel.

After the first 24 h of incubation, the bags with rumen contents were replaced by others with 15 g fermentation substrate. After 48 h the bag containing the original fermentation substrate was replaced. This procedure was repeated every 24 h replacing the bag containing the 48-h fermentation residue. Thus, every day in the feed container there was one bag with undigested residue

 TABLE 1 | Ingredients and chemical composition of control and oil supplemented diets.

Treatment	Control	Sunflower oil	Sunflower and fish oil	d Sunflower and marine algae		
INGREDIENTS (g/kg	DRY MAT	TER)				
Cracked corn grain	250	245	243	243		
Barley grain	150	147	146	146		
Soybean meal	200	196	194	194		
Lucerne hay	200	196	194	194		
Beet pulp	100	98	97	97		
Molasses	55	54	53	53		
Sodium bicarbonate	15	15	15	15		
Calcium carbonate	14	14	14	14		
Dicalcium phosphate	6	6	6	6		
Mineral vitamin premix	5	5	5	5		
Marine salt	5	5	5	5		
Sunflower oil	-	20	20	20		
Fish oil	-	-	10	-		
Algae marine oil	-	-	-	10		
COMPOSITION (g/kg	DRY MAT	TER)				
Organic matter	896	895	894	894		
Crude protein	191	189	184	185		
Neutral detergent fiber	354	390	364	368		
Acid detergent fiber	139	145	141	142		
Ether extract	16.0	37.2	47.3	48.9		
DIETARY FATTY ACIE) (FA) PRC	OFILE (AS %	OF TOTAL FA)			
C14:0	1.09	0.60	1.30	1.78		
C16:0	20.9	14.7	15.1	15.4		
Total C18	76.3	82.8	72.2	66.7		
C20:5ω3			1.35	0.27		
C22:5ω6			0.28	3.73		
C22:6ω3			4.05	8.85		
C24:0				0.34		
Otros	1.20	1.33	5.04	2.44		
DIETARY C18 FA (AS	% OF TO	TAL C18 FA)				
C18:0	3.8	5.0	6.5	5.2		
C18:1 <i>c</i> 9	31.8	36.4	38.2	36.6		
C18:2 c9c12	50.1	52.0	48.2	51.6		
18:3 c9c12c15	14.3	6.7	7.2	6.6		

Fatty acid profile of oils (as % of total fatty acids).

Sunflower oil: 8.7% C16:0, 5.3% C18:0, 35.6% C18:1ω9 c9, 47.3% C18:2ω6 c9c12, 0.3% C18:3ω3 c9c12c15.

Fish oil: 4.1% C14:0, 16.6% C16:0, 6.8% C18:0, 17.5% C18:1ω9 c9, 2.3% C18:2ω6 c9c12, 3.8% C18:3ω3 c9c12c15, 6.6% C20:5ω3 c5c8c11c14c17, 1.4% C22:5ω6 c4c7c10c13c16, 19.9% C22:6ω3 c4c7c10c13c16c19.

Algae oil: 6.4% C14:0, 18.4% C16:0, 1.0% C18:0, 1.8% C18:1ω9 c9, 0.5% C18:2ω6 c9c12, 0.1% C18:3ω3 c9c12c15, 1.7% C24:0, 1.3% C20:5ω3 c5c8c11c14c17, 18.3% C22:5ω6 c4c7c10c13c16, 43.5% C22:6ω3 c4c7c10c13c16c19.

after 48-h of incubation and another bag with a 24-h incubation residue.

Experimental Protocol: Sampling and Measurements

Sampling procedures were as described in detail by García-González et al. (2010). After a preliminary period of 6 days to reach steady state conditions, a measurement period started and lasted for 11 days (days 7–17). Samples to determine digestibility (as substrate disappearance from the bag) and fermentation end-products (VFA, lactate, gas, methane, and ammonia production) were collected on days 7, 8, 9, 14, 15, and 16 of the experiment.

Liquid effluent was collected in flasks to which 20 mL of 75 mM sulfuric acid had been added. Volume of effluent was measured daily and a sample (about 50 mL) was directly frozen $(-18^{\circ}C)$ for later ammonia, lactate and VFA analysis. Gas was collected in Tecator gas sampling bags (Tecobag, Tesseraux Container, Germany) and volume was measured by empting the bags with a vacuum pump connected to a flow meter (Ritter Apparatebau, Germany). A rubber septum was incorporated into the tubing for taking a sample using a syringe, and samples were kept in 10 mL vacuum tubes (Venoject[®], Terumo Europe, Belgium).

On day 9, (¹⁵NH₄)SO₄ (95% enriched, Sigma, Madrid, Spain) was added into each vessel to dose 2.18 mg ¹⁵N to instantly label the NH₃-N pool, and a solution of (¹⁵NH₄)SO₄ was added to the artificial saliva to reach a daily infusion rate of 4 μ g/mg dietary N. The total effluent and the contents of the nylon bag collected from each vessel on day 12 were thoroughly mixed and homogenized in a blender and used to obtain a representative sample of total digesta and to isolate a microbial pellet following the procedures of Carro and Miller (1999). Non-ammonia N and ¹⁵N enrichment were determined in finely ground samples of total digesta and microbial pellets to estimate microbial N output.

On day 17, samples of digesta were collected from each vessel, and consisted of 30 mL of liquid content of the fermentation vessel, 1.5 g of fermentation residue from the 48-h bag, and 1.5 g of fermentation residue from the 24-h bag. The samples were mixed thoroughly, immediately frozen at -80°C and freeze-dried. Then, each sample was divided in two fractions. One portion was used for lipid extraction and fatty acid analysis. The other portion was homogenized by bead beating for 1 min (BioSpec Products) and used for DNA extraction using the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer's protocol with the exception of using a higher temperature (95°C) at the lysis step, required for cells that are difficult to lyse (such as some ruminal bacteria). DNA samples were used as templates for quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) amplification and terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) analysis.

At the end of the experiment, the vessel liquid contents were used to inoculate batch cultures to determine fermentation gas production kinetics as indicator of the fermentative activity in each vessel.

Chemical Analyses

Proximate composition (moisture, ash, crude protein, ether extract, neutral, and acid detergent fiber) was determined using the analytical methods described by García-González et al. (2010). Methane in fermentation gas and VFA in effluent were determined by gas chromatography, ammonia nitrogen and lactate in effluent samples by colorimetry, and non-ammonia N and ¹⁵N enrichment in digesta and microbial pellets by isotope ratio mass spectrometry according to the methods described in detail by García-González et al. (2010). Fatty acid profile in samples of rumen digesta were determined according to Morán et al. (2013).

T-RFLP and qPCR Analysis

Total bacterial DNA was amplified by PCR using universal bacterial fluorescein-labeled oligonucleotide probes, forward primer 12f (5'-AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG -3') and reverse primer 1492r (5'-GGT TAC CTT GTT ACG ACT T-3'; Hongoh et al., 2003). The labeled PCR products were purified using GFX PCR DNA and Gel Band Purification kits (GE Healthcare, Madrid, Spain) and quantified. Each DNA sample (100 ng) was digested for 12 h at 37°C with the restriction enzymes *HhaI, HaeIII,* and *MspI.* The terminal restriction fragments (TRFs) were analyzed by capillary electrophoresis on an automatic sequence analyzer (MegaBACE 500, GE Healthcare) with internal Et-ROX labeled DNA size standards (Amersham Biosciences, GE Healthcare).

Finally, the allele report table generated by the Gene Marker program was used to calculate the relative height of each peak for each sample, then these data were analyzed by principal component analysis (PCA). The matrix of relative height of peaks detected from TRFs was used to calculate richness and diversity indices as described by Hill et al. (2003) and Lemos et al. (2011).

Quantitative real-time PCR was carried out using the Applied Biosystems StepOne PlusTM Real Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) using SYBR Green Supermix (Takara Bio Inc.) as described in Maeda et al. (2003). All reactions were performed in triplicate, using 10 μ L of qPCR mix, 2 μ L of DNA template, a variable volume (ranging between 0.5 and 2 μ L, depending on the species or microbial group) of 10 μ M solutions of each primer, and then adding PCR water up to a total volume of 20 μ L per single reaction.

Primers were selected (**Table 2**) targeting the rRNA gene, specifically the 16S subunit for the bacteria and the 18S subunit for the ciliates and 18S and ITS1 for fungi. The methanogenic archaea were detected using primers targeting the methyl coenzyme-M reductase gene (*mcrA*) (Denman et al., 2007).

Quantitative PCR analysis of the *Butyrivibrio* SA-producing bacteria [or *B. proteoclasticus* group including the species causing a complete hydrogenation of unsaturated 18 C fatty acids to stearic acid (SA)] was carried out using the molecular beacon approach with the primers and probe designed by Paillard et al. (2007) (**Table 2**).

Standards used for copy number calculations were prepared using a plasmid vector containing the respective PCR amplicon sequence of each of the microbial groups. The use of plasmids as PCR standards are described in detail by Andrés et al. (2016). The identity of the standard amplicons were verified by sequencing the plasmid insert and confirming sequence identity using BLAST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/).

Fermentative Activity

On the last experimental day, batch cultures were inoculated with the liquid contents of the vessels for studying the kinetics of gas production in the different inocula upon specific incubation of different substrates. The substrates used were cellulose (Sigmacell[®], Sigma-Aldrich Química SA, Madrid, Spain), corn starch (Calbiochem[®], Merck, Germany), and the same mixed substrate used in the Rusitec vessels (CTR diet, **Table 1**), ground

TABLE 2 | Primers used for qPCR and T-RFLP.

Primer	Sequence (5′–3′)	Target	References
qPCR			
TotBacf	GTGSTGCAYGGYTGTCGTCA	Total Bacteria	Maeda et al., 2003
TotBacr	ACGTCRTCCMCACCTTCCTC		
qmcrA-F	TTCGGTGGATCDCARAGRGC	Methanogens	Denman et al., 2007
qmcra-R	GBARGTCGWAWCCGTAGAATCC		
316f	GCTTTCGWTGGTAGTGTATT	Ciliate protozoa	Sylvester et al., 2004
539r	CTTGCCCTCYAATCGTWCT		
fwd	GAGGAAGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGGTTTC	Anaerobic fungi	Denman and McSweeney, 2006
rev	CAAATTCACAAAGGGTAGGATGATT		
PrF	GGTTCTGAGAGGAAGGTCCCC	Prevotella spp.	Stevenson and Weimer, 2007
PrR	TCCTGCACGCTACTTGGCTG		
FbsF	GGAGCGTAGGCGGAGATTCA	Fibrobacter succinogenes	Khafipour et al., 2009
FbsR	GCCTGCCCCTGAACTATCCA		
SrF	CAATAAGCATTCCGCCTGGG	Selenomonas ruminantium	Stevenson and Weimer, 2007
SrR	TTCACTCAATGTCAAGCCCTGG		
RaF	TGTTAACAGAGGGAAGCAAAGCA	Ruminococcus albus	Stevenson and Weimer, 2007
RaR	TGCAGCCTACAATCCGAACTAA		
MeF	GACCGAAACTGCGATGCTAGA	Megasphaera spp.	Ouwerkerk et al., 2002
MeR	CGCCTCAGCGTCAGTTGTC		
MeProbe	TCCAGAAAGCCGCTTTCGCCACT		Blanch et al., 2009
SbF	GATAGCTAATACCGCATAACAGCATT	Streptococcus bovis	Moya et al., 2009
SbR	AACGCAGGTCCATCTACTAGTGAA		
SbProbe	TGCTCCTTTCAAGCAT		
ScF	TGGGAAGCTACCTGATAGAG	Succinivibrio spp.	Tajima et al., 2001
ScR	CCTTCAGAGAGGTTCTCACT		
VAf	GCCTCAGCGTCAGTAATCG	Butyrivibrio VA (vaccenic acid producers)	Shingfield et al., 2012
VAr	GGAGCGTAGGCGTTTTAC		
SAf	TCCGGTGGTATGAGATGGGC	Butyrivibrio SA (stearic acid producers)	Paillard et al., 2007
Sar	GTCGCTGCATCAGAGTTTCCT		
MBP*	CCGCTTGGCCGTCCGACCTCTCAGTCCGAGCGG		
B395f	GYGAAGAAGTATTTCGGTAT	Butyrivibrio group	Boeckaert et al., 2008
B812r	CCAACACCTAGTA TTCATC		
T-RFLP			
27f	AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG	Universal bacteria	Hongoh et al., 2003
1389r	ACGGGCGGTGT GTACAAG		

*Molecular beacon probe.

to 1 mm. On day 17, bags of substrate were withdrawn and liquid was kept in the vessels, which were closed and left at 39°C for 4 h. Meanwhile, 500 mg of DM of the substrates were weighed into 120 mL serum bottles. Then, the fluid of each RUSITEC vessel was anaerobically dispensed into each serum bottle (50 mL each), inoculating two bottles per substrate and two blank bottles (without any substrate), which were sealed and crimped, and then incubated at 39°C for 92 h. No additional buffer was added to the bottles. Gas production was measured using a pressure transducer (Theodorou et al., 1994) at 3, 6, 9, 12, 16, 20, 24, 29, 34, 44, 56, 68, 80, and 92 h. Nonlinear regression was performed using PROC NLIN of SAS to fit the exponential model of France et al. (2000) to cumulative gas production data:

$$G = A \left[1 - e^{-k_{\rm d}(t-L)} \right],\tag{1}$$

where *G* is cumulative gas production (mL/g) at incubation time *t* (h), *A* is the asymptotic gas production (mL/h), k_d is the fractional fermentation rate (h⁻¹), and *L* is the lag time (h). From this equation, estimations were obtained for gas produced at 24 h (*G*24, mL/g), and average gas production rate (*R*, mL/g h⁻¹) between inoculation and time at which gas production was equal to half of *A* (France et al., 2000).

Statistical Analysis

Measurements taken on several days were averaged for each vessel. Data were analyzed using the SAS program (SAS Institute Inc. 2011. SAS/STAT[®] 9.3 User's Guide. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.) with ANOVA using a randomized complete block design. Within each experiment, treatments were randomly assigned to two vessels of each RUSITEC system, thus giving four

replicates per treatment. Each vessel (fermentation unit) was an experimental unit, and the RUSITEC system was the blocking factor. The statistical model was:

$$y_{ijk} = \mu + B_i + O_j + \varepsilon_{ijk} \tag{2}$$

where y_{ijk} is the value for an individual observation, μ the overall mean, B_i the effect of the blocking factor (i = RUSITEC system 1 or 2), O_j is the fixed effect of oil treatment (j = 1...4; CTR, SFL, FSH, or ALG), and ε_{ijk} is the residual error. The random effect was fermentation vessel k within treatment (j) and block (i). Statistical significance was declared at P < 0.05 and P < 0.10 was considered a tendency. Multiple comparisons of means among oil sources were performed using Tukey's test.

Similarity and grouping of treatments based on T-RFLP spectra were assessed using cluster and principal components analyses.

RESULTS

There were no significant differences (P > 0.05) in ruminal pH between diets, although average pH was significantly decreased (P = 0.027) when the diet was supplemented with an oil. The addition of oils had no apparent effect on substrate degradation (assessed by measuring disappearance from the nylon bags containing the incubated diet), except for fat digestibility, which was higher (P < 0.001) when oil was added to the diet. Furthermore, fat digestibility was increased (P = 0.010) when a marine oil was supplemented compared with the diet containing only sunflower oil. There were no significant differences among the four diets in neutral detergent fiber (NDF) digestibility (P = 0.132), but the contrasting comparison of the CTR diet with average of all treatments supplemented with an oil (SFL, FSH, and ALG diets) reached the level of statistical significance (P =0.039). Methane production was consistently reduced (P < 0.05) when oil supplements were added to the diet (Table 3). Total VFA

Item	Control (CTR)	Sunflower oil (SFL)	SFL + Fish oil	SFL + Marine algae	SEM (n = 4)	P-value	P-value contrast CTR vs. OIL	P-value contrast SFL vs. MARINE
Effluent, mL/d	594	585	628	591	19.9	0.458	0.786	0.346
рН	6.78	6.73	6.74	6.72	0.015	0.100	0.027	0.892
DISAPPEARANCE COEFFICIE	ENTS, g DIG	ESTED/100 g IN	ICUBATED					
Dry matter	73.3	73.0	73.1	73.7	1.05	0.958	0.973	0.768
Organic matter	72.7	72.2	72.3	73.1	1.10	0.926	0.939	0.705
Neutral detergent fiber	53.5	57.2	56.2	55.3	1.00	0.132	0.039	0.340
Acid detergent fiber	27.9	28.6	30.8	28.9	1.51	0.596	0.415	0.526
Crude protein	70.6	72.3	71.2	72.8	1.50	0.754	0.455	0.863
Ether extract	65.4 ^b	81.5 ^a	85.0 ^a	88.9 ^a	2.43	0.002	<0.001	0.010
FERMENTATION GAS PRODU	JCTION							
Total gas, L/d	3.03	2.95	3.05	2.91	0.086	0.658	0.583	0.784
CH ₄ , L/d	0.265 ^a	0.222 ^{ab}	0.207 ^b	0.211 ^b	0.0101	0.008	<0.001	0.355
CH ₄ , mL/100 mL total gas	8.98 ^a	7.86 ^b	7.54 ^b	7.23 ^b	0.226	0.002	<0.001	0.124
CH4, mmol/g FOM	1.20 ^a	1.01 ^{ab}	0.94 ^b	0.94 ^b	0.050	0.011	0.002	0.334
VOLATILE FATTY ACID (VFA,	mmol/d)							
Acetate	25.5	27.4	26.5	26.7	0.49	0.175	0.061	0.257
Propionate	6.94 ^c	7.38 ^{bc}	8.36 ^{ab}	8.46 ^a	0.176	< 0.001	<0.001	0.002
Butyrate	8.30	8.87	8.81	8.51	0.210	0.297	0.144	0.433
Valerate	2.56 ^c	2.88 ^b	3.19 ^a	3.04 ^{ab}	0.053	< 0.001	<0.001	0.006
Isoacids	2.24	2.29	2.46	2.41	0.063	0.075	0.060	0.070
Total VFA	47.8 ^b	51.3 ^a	51.4 ^a	51.3 ^a	0.83	0.055	0.008	0.966
Acetate:propionate ratio	3.72 ^a	3.54 ^{ab}	3.19 ^b	3.18 ^b	0.099	0.012	0.009	0.014
L-lactate, mg/d	9.1 ^c	10.1 ^{bc}	14.0 ^a	13.5 ^{ab}	0.81	0.003	0.004	0.004
Ammonia N, mg/d	171	156	171	165	4.2	0.140	0.211	0.062
Microbial protein, g/d	1.39	1.35	1.41	1.37	0.072	0.924	0.894	0.638
Microbial protein, g/100 g FOM	14.1	13.7	14.3	13.7	0.75	0.919	0.842	0.728

CTR, Control diet; SFL, control diet supplemented with 2% sunflower oil; SFL + fish oil, control diet supplemented with 2% sunflower oil + 1% fish oil; SFL + algae oil, control diet supplemented with 2% sunflower oil + 1% algae oil.

SEM, Standard error of the mean.

Contrast CTR vs. OIL: comparison between CTR and all treatments supplemented with oil.

Contrast SFL vs. MARINE: comparison between SFL and treatments supplemented with marine oils.

FOM, Fermentable organic matter.

^{*a,b*}Within a row, mean values without common superscript letters differ (P < 0.05).

production was increased when diets containing supplementary oil were fermented, mainly as result of the increase in propionate and valerate production (**Table 3**). Propionate production was significantly increased (P < 0.05) when diets supplemented with marine oils were fermented compared with the control, resulting in a reduced acetate to propionate ratio (**Table 3**). Lactate production was also increased in diets with marine oils compared with the control diet. The additions of oils to the diet did not affect ammonia or microbial protein synthesis.

The addition of oil to the diet gave rise to variations in the digesta fatty acid profile, changing the proportions of C14:0 (greater with ALG than with CTR or SFL diets), C16:0 (lower with SFL and FSH than with CTR diet), total C18 (greater with SFL than with ALG diets), and C20 and C22 PUFA (only present in treatments supplemented with marine oils; **Table 4**). Compared with CTR diet, the addition of algae decreased the

percentage of C18:3 *c*9*c*12*c*15 in rumen digesta. The percentage of C18:2 *c*9*t*11 was increased and that of C18:2 *t*10*c*12 was decreased when the control diet was supplemented with an oil (**Table 4**). Ruminal biohydrogenation of C18 fatty acids was calculated according to Wu and Palmquist (1991). Compared to the CTR diet, the addition of sunflower and fish oils (FSH treatment) reduced the hydrogenation of C18 PUFA (C18:2 *c*9*c*12 and C18:3 *c*9*c*12*c*15) and increased the hydrogenation of C18:1 *c*9. Biohydrogenation of C18:2 *c*9*c*12 was higher with FSH than with ALG diets, and that of C18:2 *c*9*c*12 was higher with FSH than with sunflower oil to the CTR diet resulted in a decrease of the overall hydrogenation of C18 unsaturated fatty acids. There were no differences among treatments supplemented with oils (SFL, FSH, or ALG diets) in total C18 fatty acid biohydrogenation.

There were no differences among inocula from RUSITEC vessels fed the different diets in the fermentation of the control

Item	Control (CTR)	Sunflower oil (SFL)	SFL + Fish oil	SFL + Marine algae	SEM (n = 4)	P-value	P-value contrast CTR vs. OIL	P-value contrast SFL vs. MARINE
DIGESTA FATTY ACIDS (AS	6 % of TOTA	L FA)						
C14:0	2.01 ^b	1.92 ^b	2.53 ^{ab}	3.01 ^a	0.160	0.011	0.091	0.008
C14:1	1.41	1.34	1.16	1.35	0.165	0.940	0.622	0.840
C15:0	1.59	1.17	1.50	1.38	0.163	0.350	0.256	0.204
C15:1	0.439	0.300	0.452	0.318	0.059	0.210	0.250	0.288
C16:0	22.8 ^a	17.8 ^b	18.2 ^b	20.1 ^{ab}	0.88	0.012	0.003	0.241
C16:1	4.09 ^a	3.83 ^{ab}	4.59 ^a	3.19 ^b	0.185	0.006	0.281	0.965
Total C18 FA	62.0 ^{ab}	66.5 ^a	64.1 ^{ab}	59.1 ^b	1.53	0.041	0.535	0.029
C20:5n3	0.0 ^b	0.0 ^b	0.50 ^a	0.42 ^a	0.117	0.017	0.045	0.008
C22:6n3	0.0 ^b	0.0 ^b	1.32 ^a	1.59 ^a	0.198	< 0.001	0.002	<0.001
Others	6.29	6.18	7.09	6.48	0.788	0.854	0.733	0.562
C18 FA PROFILE (AS % of	TOTAL C18	FA)						
C18:0	17.5	15.1	13.4	14.4	1.45	0.390	0.126	0.550
C18:1 t11	2.23	2.33	1.87	2.76	0.674	0.874	0.903	0.992
C18:1 c9	29.5	29.6	27.6	31.6	1.47	0.340	0.907	0.975
C18:1 c11	4.63	3.47	4.49	3.04	0.449	0.077	0.088	0.611
C18:2 c9c12	31.2	40.6	40.3	40.8	2.95	0.139	0.028	0.716
C18:3 c6c9c12	0.30	0.25	0.34	0.33	0.040	0.401	0.944	0.102
C18:3 c9c12c15	5.62 ^a	4.36 ^{ab}	5.25 ^{ab}	4.08 ^b	0.328	0.038	0.024	0.513
C18:2 c9t11	0.049 ^b	0.275 ^a	0.337 ^a	0.343 ^a	0.029	< 0.001	<0.001	0.105
C18:2 t10c12	0.391 ^a	0.276 ^b	0.298 ^{ab}	0.291 ^{ab}	0.022	0.043	0.008	0.623
Others	2.18	2.00	1.81	1.74	0.198	0.472	0.205	0.421
BIOHYDROGENATION %								
C18:3 c9c12c15	52.7 ^a	34.4 ^{ab}	27.1 ^b	38.3 ^a	3.91	0.012	0.004	0.733
C18:2 c9c12	34.7 ^a	29.8 ^a	8.5 ^b	24.7 ^a	2.96	0.009	0.019	0.024
C18:1 c9	6.5 ^b	21.2 ^{ab}	27.7 ^a	13.5 ^{ab}	4.17	0.040	0.028	0.917
Total C18 biohydrogenation	27.3 ^a	21.3 ^{ab}	17.3 ^b	18.9 ^b	1.89	0.027	0.006	0.260

CTR, Control diet; SFL, control diet supplemented with 2% sunflower oil; SFL + fish oil, control diet supplemented with 2% sunflower oil + 1% fish oil; SFL + algae oil, control diet supplemented with 2% sunflower oil + 1% algae oil.

SEM, Standard error of the mean.

Contrast CTR vs. OIL: comparison between CTR and all treatments supplemented with oil.

Contrast SFL vs. MARINE: comparison between SFL and treatments supplemented with marine oils.

^{*a,b*}Within a row, mean values without common superscript letters differ (P < 0.05).

diet or cellulose (Table 5). In contrast, fermentation kinetics of starch showed that the addition of fish or algae oils had a slight inhibitory effect on microbial amylolytic activity in the rumen (Table 5).

Both cluster analysis (Figure 1) and PCA (Figure 2) showed clear discrimination between microbial profiles in the vessels fed CTR or SFL diets and those receiving the FSH or ALG diets. Treatments were clearly separated along the factor 1 (explaining up to 19% of the variance), indicating that this principal component would reflect the effects of dietary oil addition on microbial composition. The fragments with a greatest contribution to this principal component were identified and the differences between treatments in these fragments were examined. A theoretical assignment of the more eccentric TRFs to compatible bacterial species was performed using the tap-tRFLP tool of the Ribosomal Data Project (Cole et al., 2003). Based on this analysis it would seem that supplementation with FSH and ALG would increase bacteria belonging to genera Fibrobacter and Prevotella, and would reduce abundance of bacteria of Butyrivibrio, Ruminococcus, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Lachnospira, and Streptococcus genera. The supplementation of the control diet with oils did not significantly affect measurements of species richness or diversity (Table 6) or total bacterial numbers (Figure 3A). The specific microbial groups affected by the addition of oils are shown in Figures 3A-C. The addition of sunflower oil alone had no effect (P > 0.05) on any of the microbial groups examined. This effect on the Butyrivibrio SA numbers was also seen when the diet was further supplemented with fish or algae oils (Figure 3A). The diets supplemented with fish and algae oils increased the numbers of ciliate protozoa and reduced the counts of methanogenic archaea (Figure 3B), although the decreased in methanogens was only significant with the ALG diet. Diets FSH and ALG increased the abundance of Selenomonas ruminantium, and ALG diet also decreased the presence of Streptococcus bovis (Figure 3C). No other significant diet effects were observed on any of the other microbial groups quantified by qPCR.

DISCUSSION

If supplementation of dietary oils in ruminant livestock diets can provide even small improvements in terms of more efficient production, better health and welfare of the animal or reduction of environmental impact, then there are clear benefits to their use. This study measured the changes in rumen fermentation and microbial community composition, in particular in prominent microbial groups associated with key aspects of ruminant livestock production, in response to the supplementation of the diet with different combinations of sunflower and fish oil as well as a marine algae based product. Microbial communities were examined in digesta samples obtained from fermenters in which ruminal fermentation is simulated in vitro, characterized by a precise control of inputs (feed and saliva) to and outputs

Item	Control (CTR)	Sunflower oil (SFL)	SFL + Fish oil	SFL + Marine algae	SEM (n = 4)	P-value	P-value contrast CTR vs. OIL	P-value contrast SFL vs. MARINE
CONTROL DIET								
Asymptotic gas production, mL/g	321	321	312	328	9.3	0.676	0.903	0.914
Fractional fermentation rate, h ⁻¹	0.050	0.049	0.055	0.051	0.0024	0.324	0.695	0.205
Lag time, h	1.3	1.4	1.7	1.6	0.26	0.456	0.179	0.429
Average fermentation rate, mL/h	10.6	10.4	10.9	10.6	0.46	0.820	0.764	0.458
Gas production at 24 h, mL/g	217	215	220	221	7.1	0.936	0.955	0.537
STARCH								
Asymptotic gas production, mL/g	414	419	400	422	15.8	0.780	0.980	0.704
Fractional fermentation rate, h^{-1}	0.111	0.107	0.104	0.096	0.0054	0.270	0.184	0.264
Lag time, h	2.2 ^b	3.0 ^{ab}	3.5 ^{ab}	5.1 ^a	0.51	0.012	0.016	0.061
Average fermentation rate, mL/h	24.7 ^a	22.2 ^{ab}	20.1 ^b	18.1 ^b	1.04	0.006	0.003	0.035
Gas production at 24 h, mL/g	377	374	347	346	11.1	0.132	0.120	0.067
CELLULOSE								
Asymptotic gas production, mL/g	388	384	356	382	23.3	0.760	0.627	0.604
Fractional fermentation rate, h^{-1}	0.046	0.048	0.051	0.047	0.044	0.924	0.661	0.886
Lag time, h	14.9	15.3	16.6	16.0	1.53	0.859	0.534	0.619
Average fermentation rate, mL/h	6.6	6.4	5.9	6.1	0.40	0.603	0.345	0.374
Gas production at 24 h, mL/g	122	122	100	112	16.2	0.753	0.590	0.432

CTR, Control diet; SFL, control diet supplemented with 2% sunflower oil; SFL + fish oil, control diet supplemented with 2% sunflower oil + 1% fish oil; SFL + algae oil, control diet supplemented with 2% sunflower oil + 1% algae oil.

SFM. Standard error of the mean.

Contrast CTR vs. OIL: comparison between CTR and all treatments supplemented with oil.

Contrast SFL vs. MARINE: comparison between SFL and treatments supplemented with marine oils.

^{a,b}Within a row, mean values without common superscript letters differ (P < 0.05).



(fermentation end-products) from the system, and of the fermentation conditions (e.g., pH, temperature). The use of live animals was avoided by maintaining the microbial communities in a simulated rumen environment.

The diversity of the total bacterial community was measured using T-RFLP. Richness and diversity of species were not affected by the supplementation with SFL either with or without the addition of the fish oil and marine algae. In both the clustering and principal component analyses, the RUSITEC vessels formed groups separate from the effects of the treatment. This effect was considered a normal effect of the variability in the microbial community between systems. For instance, in the case of the vessels receiving only SFL (2%), the treatment effect was not as strong as the system effect. However, the samples containing the additional 1% fish oils or algae formed a group separated from the SFL only and control treatments. A similar discrimination of bacteria community composition has been reported in rumen fluid obtained from sheep supplemented with different combinations of 2% sunflower and/or 1% fish oil (Belenguer et al., 2010). Significant effects on the bacterial community have been detected when grass or red clover silage diets were supplemented with 0, 1, 2, or 3% fish oil, but with a minor effect on richness of species (Huws et al., 2010). Diets supplemented only with 2.5% sunflower oil, or with this plant oil plus incremental amounts (0.8, 1.6, or 2.4%) of marine algae were clustered according to the ruminal bacterial composition (Toral et al., 2012) in a comparable grouping as in our study.

Analysis by qPCR of the individual species and microbial groups proved a more sensitive measurement of the effects of the different oils. The addition of 2% SFL with or without additional 1% FSH and ALG caused a non-significant fold reduction in the copy number of total bacteria relative to the control. This result was broadly reflected in the results measuring the total microbial protein synthesis (g/d). An inhibitory effect of oils on rumen bacteria has been reported in a number of studies *in vivo* (Vargas-Bello-Pérez et al., 2016) and *in vitro* pure cultures (Maia et al., 2010) although in these cases, a higher dose may have contributed to a greater effect.

General bacterial diversity and abundance measured by T-RFLP agreed with the rumen fermentation results. Substrate degradation was not affected and total VFA production was increased by the addition of oils to the diet. The addition of fat to ruminant diets has been previously associated with a reduction of feed digestibility, in particular of fiber degradation (Palmquist and Jenkins, 1980). This effect has been attributed to changes in the microbial communities, a reduction in fermentative activity or the limitation of the access of microbes and enzymes to feed particles due to physical coating (Nagaraja et al., 1997). In our study, bacterial groups involved in fiber degradation were not substantially modified. Ruminococcus albus showed a small increase in copy number, and the dominant ruminal fibrolytic bacteria Fibrobacter succinogenes was not significantly affected by oil supplementation. Hence, the ability to breakdown dietary fiber in the rumen was not negatively affected by the addition of oils at the doses used in these experiments (Denman and



TABLE 6 | Diversity indices of the RUSITEC bacteria community provided with control diets, or diets containing sunflower oil only, or sunflower oil with algae or fish oil supplementation.

Index	Control (CTR)	Sunflower oil (SFL)	SFL + Fish oil	SFL + Marine algae	SEM (n = 4)	P-value	P-value contrast CTR vs. OIL
Species richness, S	84.8	80.5	78.3	65.8	8.73	0.418	0.320
Shannon's diversity index, H'	3.78	3.73	3.64	3.49	0.128	0.280	0.250
Shannon's evenness index, E	0.855	0.849	0.836	0.848	0.016	0.834	0.484
Simpson's diversity index, D	0.043	0.050	0.056	0.061	0.0092	0.397	0.222
Index of diversity, 1-D	0.957	0.950	0.944	0.939	0.0092	0.397	0.214
Number of species accounting for 50% total relative peak height	6.3	5.5	5.0	4.0	1.54	0.608	0.375
Number of species accounting for 70% total relative peak height	14.0	13.5	12.0	10.5	2.65	0.596	0.440

CTR, Control diet; SFL, control diet supplemented with 2% sunflower oil; SFL + fish oil, control diet supplemented with 2% sunflower oil + 1% fish oil; SFL + algae oil, control diet supplemented with 2% sunflower oil + 1% algae oil.

SEM, standard error of the mean.

Contrast CTR vs. OIL: comparison between CTR and all treatments supplemented with oil.

McSweeney, 2006). In concordance with these observation, the fermentative activity on pure substrates (cellulose or starch) assessed by gas production kinetics was not affected when the different fat supplements studied were added to the control diet. The amount and type of lipid added (Jenkins, 1993) may explain the discrepancy of the effects of oil addition on bacteria numbers and substrate degradation observed in our study with others reported in the literature. With levels

of added oils similar to that used in our study, Doreau et al. (2009) concluded that organic matter fermentation in the rumen was not affected. In a recent meta-analysis, Weld and Armentano (2017) showed that the inclusion of fats to dairy cow diets did not affect total tract and ruminal fiber digestibility. Patra (2014) concluded that fiber digestibility was only adversely affected when fat was added to sheep diets at high concentrations. Also in agreement with our study, Gao



FIGURE 3 | Relative quantitation compared to control diet of 16S rRNA copy numbers of rumen microbiota groups after supplementation of the control diet with sunflower oil (SFL) or with combinations of SFL and fish oil (FSH) or marine algae (ALG). Fold-changes for specific amplicon groups were calculated as the (log 2) ratio of normalized abundances. (A) Total bacteria and microbial groups involved in biohydrogenation. (B) Major prokaryotic and eukaryotic domains found in the rumen including the Archaeal groups responsible for the production of ruminal methane. (C) Other key bacteria groups including the dominant *Prevotella* spp. and species involved in fiber degradation, and lactate metabolism. *Significant difference in copy number (*P* < 0.05) compared with the control.

et al. (2016) observed an increase in VFA concentration in batch cultures of mixed ruminal microorganisms fed with substrates supplemented with different oil sources. Differences among diets

in NDF degradation were not significant (P = 0.132), but the contrast comparing the control vs. oil-supplemented diets became significant (P = 0.039). This finding was unexpected, as only few studies have observed an effect similar to that seen in our study (e.g., Kim et al., 2008). It is noteworthy that none of the diets supplemented with an oil was significantly different from the control diet, and that whereas the contrast was significant for NDF, it was not so for acid detergent fiber. On the other hand, cellulolytic activity as determined with a highly sensitive method (gas production fermenting cellulose in the incubation medium from Rusitec fermenters), total VFA or acetate production and abundance of fibrolytic bacteria (F. succinogenes and R. albus) were not different among treatments, indicating that effects of oil supplementation on NDF digestibility would be rather subtle. Overall, considering all the data comprehensively it would be sound to assume that regardless the statistical significance for that particular contrast, the effect of oil supplementation on NDF degradation is of little biological relevance.

Copy numbers of *Succinovibrio* spp. as an example of the rumen Proteobacteria group was reduced. However, there were few exceptions with members of the bacteroidetes *Prevotella* spp. and *S. ruminantium* apparently benefitting from the addition of the oil and algae supplements. These nutritionally versatile groups may have been able to expand their niche as a result of the general reduction in numbers of other species.

Ciliate protozoa numbers were significantly increased with the addition of 1% FSH and ALG to the 2% SFL, and those of methanogens significantly reduced, particularly after ALG supplementation. The significant increase of ciliate protozoa in the present study was unexpected. Lipids have been reported as potential defaunating agents, albeit at much higher doses (Newbold and Chamberlain, 1988). Previous in vivo studies reported ciliate numbers were either unaffected (Boeckaert et al., 2008) or reduced (Boeckaert et al., 2007) following the addition of an algae supplement in the diet. However, the treatments excluded the addition of SFL and could merely highlight the differences between ciliate growth in vivo and in vitro. Martínez et al. (2010) reported protozoa numbers in RUSITEC fermenters were orders of magnitude reduced from and not representative of the protozoal population observed in rumen of sheep fed a similar diet.

A reduction of the number of methanogens caused by dietary oil supplementation was associated with the reduced rate of ruminal methane production by the RUSITEC fermenters. Methanogenesis has been reported to be correlated with methanogen numbers (Wallace et al., 2015). In our study, whereas the production of total fermentation gas was not affected by the inclusion of oils in the diet, the methane concentration in the gas was reduced by 16% on average compared with that observed with the CTR diet. As a result, methane production (expressed either as volume per day or as mmol/g fermented OM) was significantly reduced when FSH or ALG were added to the diet. Similar oil based dietary supplements have been found to reduce methane production *in vitro* (Castagnino et al., 2015). The link between dietary fat supplementation and a reduction in ruminal methane production has been also shown by compiling data from different studies (Eugène et al., 2008). Another metaanalysis showed that fats added at low concentration to sheep diets may decrease methane production without affecting rumen fermentation (Patra, 2014), in agreement with our results in RUSITEC fermenters. Methane reduction by oil supplementation concurred with changes in the VFA profile, in particular with an increase in propionate production and a decreased acetate to propionate ratio. The relative increase in propionate production in response to the inclusion of dietary fats has been observed *in vitro* (Potu et al., 2011) and *in vivo* (Shingfield et al., 2012).

Ruminal biohydrogenation of PUFA has been welldocumented (Jenkins et al., 2008). Linoleic acid is converted to CLA (C18:2 *c9t*11), which is then hydrogenated to vaccenic acid (C18:1 *t*11). Linolenic acid is converted to C18:3 *c9t*11*c*15 and C18:2 *t*11*c*15 as intermediates and finally hydrogenated to vaccenic acid. Under the highly reducing conditions prevailing in the rumen, vaccenic acid may be further saturated to stearic acid (C18:0). Less is known about the biohydrogenation of ω -3 PUFA having more than three double bonds (C20 or C22). It has been observed that these fatty acids disappear rapidly when incubated in cultures of mixed ruminal microorganisms (AbuGhazaleh and Jenkins, 2004), probably by isomerization, hydrogenation and chain shortening leading to the formation of *trans*-C18:1, although the intermediate steps have not been elucidated.

Anaerobic fungi have been found to play a role in the biohydrogenation of linoleic and linolenic acids (Nam and Garnsworthy, 2007). The addition of the different oil and algae supplements had a variable non-significant effect of the copy numbers of fungi relative to the control. Therefore, it is possible that other microorganisms had a more relevant contribution to biohydrogenation of fatty acids in our RUSITEC fermenters. The Butyrivibrio bacteria groups include species that are associated with the biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids (Wallace et al., 2006). The toxicity of unsaturated acids on isolates of species within this group of bacteria has already been reported (Maia et al., 2010) as well as the reduction of copy number of Butyrivibrio spp. in vivo following the supplementation of vegetable oils (Kim et al., 2008) or algae (Boeckaert et al., 2008). It is apparent that there is considerable animal-to-animal variation in the response of rumen microbes to dietary oil (Belenguer et al., 2010). In the RUSITEC, oil or algae supplementation consistently reduced copy number of Butyrivibrio spp. relative to control, the stearic acid producing group (SA) being significantly affected by the SFL, FSH, and ALG treatments. In our study, total biohydrogenation of C18 fatty acids was reduced with the addition of fish oil or algae. The addition of dietary fish oil at 1% or 3% of dry matter intake has been found to affect adversely some bacterial species, in particular Butyrivibrio spp., and to reduce the biohydrogenation of fatty acids in the rumen (Kim et al., 2008). Stearic acid in the ruminal digesta was reduced in all the oil supplemented treatments, although the differences with the control diet did not reach statistical significance. Rumenic acid (C18:2 c9t11) was significantly increased in all oil supplemented treatments, probably in response to the sunflower oil, as no further increase was observed when fish oil or algae were added to this vegetable oil. The effects of oil supplementation on fatty acid profile and biohydrogenation in ruminal digesta depend on the type and amount of oil added and on the diet to which the oil is incorporated (Shingfield et al., 2012). The addition of vegetable or marine oils at an appropriate dose could, in theory, contribute to the production of healthier products from ruminant livestock, provided this is not accompanied by an overall decrease in fat synthesis (Shingfield et al., 2006).

Copy numbers of S. bovis were reduced with the addition of the SFL, FSH, and ALG supplements, significantly in the latter. As a prominent lactate producer, S. bovis is associated with acidosis in livestock ruminants often as a response to the feeding of high concentrate diets (Petri et al., 2013). By reducing the proliferation of this microbial group with the addition of oils or algae to the diet it may be possible to remediate such a condition. However, the production of lactate was significantly increased with the addition of FSH or ALG to the diet possibly as a result of a proliferation of other lactate producing species such as the Lactobaccilli not measured here. Despite the increase in lactate, it was not sufficient to significantly reduce the rumen pH. Moreover, the relative copy number of the corresponding lactate consumer Megasphaera elsdenii was not affected by the incorporation of oils to the diet. Studies with lambs (Ferreira et al., 2016) or dairy cows (Shingfield et al., 2003) reported a slight increase in rumen pH when feed was supplemented with fish oil. This effect was not observed in our in vitro study where the incubation medium in the fermenters is strongly buffered, so variations in pH may be not representative of those occurring in vivo. Lipid supplements increase the energy concentration of the diet without increasing the risk of rumen acidosis, but further research on the effects of adding oils to ruminant diets on lactate production and utilization is warranted.

Using the RUSITEC system it was demonstrated that there can be real potential to manipulate the rumen microbiota with the addition of vegetable and fish oils and marine algae supplements. The balance of the various groups of the microbial community can in turn contribute to a number of important aspects of livestock agriculture described previously. The effect on the microbial community of the supplementation was reflected in VFA and methane production activity with a significant shift toward propionate production and lower emissions. Moreover, there were concomitant changes in long chain fatty acid profiles and in biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids without adversely affecting ruminal fermentation. Therefore, our findings support and add to the results of previous studies linking dietary fish oil supplements and the fatty acid composition in milk (Shingfield et al., 2006; Toral et al., 2012) and methane production (Eugène et al., 2008).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SL and SA conceived of and designed the experiments. JV and SL (Rusitec experiments, sampling), SA (TRFLP), LL (qPCR), and CG (DNA analysis) performed the experiments. SL, SA, JV, TS, and DY analyzed the data. The manuscript was written by TS,

SA, and SL, and all authors contributed to refining the text and approved the version to be submitted.

FUNDING

This work has been funded by Consejería de Educación, Junta de Castilla y León (research project LE007A07).

REFERENCES

- AbuGhazaleh, A. A., and Jenkins, T. C. (2004). Short communication: Docosahexaenoic acid promotes vaccenic acid accumulation in mixed ruminal cultures when incubated with linoleic acid. *J. Dairy Sci.* 87, 1047–1050. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(04)73250-6
- Andrés, S., Bodas, R., Tejido, M. L., Giraldez, F. J., Valdés, C., and López, S. (2016). Effects of the inclusion of flaxseed and quercetin in the diet of fattening lambs on ruminal microbiota, *in vitro* fermentation and biohydrogenation of fatty acids. J. Agric. Sci. 154, 542–552. doi: 10.1017/s0021859615001094
- Bauman, D. E., Lock, A. L., Corl, B. A., Salter, A. M., and Parodi, P. W. (2005). "Milk fatty acids and human health: potential role of conjugated linoleic acid and trans fatty acids," in *Ruminant Physiology: Digestion, Metabolism* and Impact of Nutrition on Gene Expression, Immunology and Stress, eds K. Sejrsen, T. Hvelplund, and M. O. Nielson (Wageningen: Wageningen Academic Publishers), 523–555.
- Belenguer, A., Toral, P. G., Frutos, P., and Hervás, G. (2010). Changes in the rumen bacterial community in response to sunflower oil and fish oil supplements in the diet of dairy sheep. J. Dairy Sci. 93, 3275–3286. doi: 10.3168/jds.2010-3101
- Blanch, M., Calsamiglia, S., DiLorenzo, N., DiCostanzo, A., Muetzel, S., and Wallace, R. J. (2009). Physiological changes in rumen fermentation during acidosis induction and its control using a multivalent polyclonal antibody preparation in heifers. J. Anim. Sci. 87, 1722–1730. doi: 10.2527/jas.2008-1184
- Bodas, R., Prieto, N., Garcia-Gonzalez, R., Andres, S., Giráldez, F. J., and López, S. (2012). Manipulation of rumen fermentation and methane production with plant secondary metabolites. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 176, 78–93. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2012.07.010
- Boeckaert, C., Fievez, V., Van Hecke, D., Verstraete, W., and Boon, N. (2007). Changes in rumen biohydrogenation intermediates and ciliate protozoa diversity after algae supplementation to dairy cattle. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 109, 767–777. doi: 10.1002/ejlt.200700052
- Boeckaert, C., Vlaeminck, B., Fievez, V., Maignien, L., Dijkstra, J., and Boon, N. (2008). Accumulation of trans C_{18:1} fatty acids in the rumen after dietary algal supplementation is associated with changes in the *Butyrivibrio* community. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 74, 6923–6930. doi: 10.1128/aem. 01473-08
- Carro, M. D., and Miller, E. L. (1999). Effect of supplementing a fiber basal diet with different nitrogen forms on ruminal fermentation and microbial growth in an *in vitro* semicontinuous culture system (Rusitec). *Br. J. Nutr.* 82, 149–157. doi: 10.1017/S0007114599001300
- Castagnino, P. S., Messana, J. D., Fiorentini, G., De Jesus, R. B., Vito, E. S., Carvalho, I. P., et al. (2015). Glycerol combined with oils did not limit biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acid but reduced methane production *in vitro. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 201, 14–24. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2014. 12.004
- Cole, J. R., Chai, B., Marsh, T. L., Farris, R. J., Wang, Q., Kulam, S. A., et al. (2003). The Ribosomal Database Project (RDP-II): previewing a new autoaligner that allows regular updates and the new prokaryotic taxonomy. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31, 442–443. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkg039
- Cooper, S. L., Sinclair, L. A., Wilkinson, R. G., Hallett, K. G., Enser, M., and Wood, J. D. (2004). Manipulation of the n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid content of muscle and adipose tissue in lambs. J. Anim. Sci. 82, 1461–1470. doi: 10.2527/2004.8251461x
- Czerkawski, J. W., and Breckenridge, G. (1977). Design and development of a long-term rumen simulation technique (Rusitec). Br. J. Nutr. 38, 371–384. doi: 10.1079/BJN19770102

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge support of the publication fee by the CSIC Open Access Publication Support Initiative through its Unit of Information Resources for Research (URICI). Support received from CICYT project AGL2005-04760-C02-02 is gratefully acknowledged.

- Denman, S. E., and McSweeney, C. S. (2006). Development of a real-time PCR assay for monitoring anaerobic fungal and cellulolytic bacterial populations within the rumen. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 58, 572–582. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2006.00190.x
- Denman, S. E., Tomkins, N. W., and McSweeney, C. S. (2007). Quantitation and diversity analysis of ruminal methanogenic populations in response to the antimethanogenic compound bromochloromethane. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 62, 313–322. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2007.00394.x
- Doreau, M., Aurousseau, E., and Martin, C. (2009). Effects of linseed lipids fed as rolled seeds, extruded seeds or oil on organic matter and crude protein digestion in cows. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 150, 187–196. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2008.09.004
- Eugène, M., Massé, D., Chiquette, J., and Benchaar, C. (2008). Meta-analysis on the effects of lipid supplementation on methane production in lactating dairy cows. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 88, 331–334. doi: 10.4141/CJAS07112
- Ferreira, E. M., Pires, A. V., Susin, I., Biehl, M. V., Gentil, R. S., Parente, M. D. M., et al. (2016). Nutrient digestibility and ruminal fatty acid metabolism in lambs supplemented with soybean oil partially replaced by fish oil blend. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 216, 30–39. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2015.09.007
- France, J., Dijkstra, J., Dhanoa, M. S., López, S., and Bannink, A. (2000). Estimating the extent of degradation of ruminant feeds from a description of their gas production profiles observed *in vitro*: derivation of models and other mathematical considerations. *Br. J. Nutr.* 83, 143–150. doi: 10.1017/S0007114500000180
- Gao, J., Wang, M., Jing, Y., Sun, X., and Wu, T. (2016). Impacts of the unsaturation degree of long-chain fatty acids on the volatile fatty acid profiles of rumen microbial fermentation in goats *in vitro*. J. Integr. Agric. 15, 2827–2833. doi: 10.1016/s2095-3119(16)61418-1
- García-González, R., González, J. S., and López, S. (2010). Decrease of ruminal methane production in Rusitec fermenters through the addition of plant material from rhubarb (*Rheum* spp.) and alder buckthorn (*Frangula alnus*). J. Dairy Sci. 93, 3755–3763. doi: 10.3168/jds.2010-3107
- Hill, T. C. J., Walsh, K. A., Harris, J. A., and Moffett, B. F. (2003). Using ecological diversity measures with bacterial communities. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 43, 1–11. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2003.tb01040.x
- Hongoh, Y., Yuzawa, H., Ohkuma, M., and Kudo, T. (2003). Evaluation of primers and PCR conditions for the analysis of 16S rRNA genes from a natural environment. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 221, 299–304. doi: 10.1016/S0378-1097(03)00218-0
- Hristov, A. N., Oh, J., Lee, C., Meinen, R., Montes, F., Ott, T., et al. (2013). Mitigation of Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Livestock Production – A Review of Technical Options for Non-CO₂ Emissions (FAO Animal Production and Health Paper No. 177). Rome: FAO.
- Huws, S. A., Kim, E. J., Cameron, S. J. S., Girdwood, S. E., Davies, L., Tweed, J., et al. (2015). Characterization of the rumen lipidome and microbiome of steers fed a diet supplemented with flax and echium oil. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 8, 331–341. doi: 10.1111/1751-7915.12164
- Huws, S. A., Lee, M. R. F., Muetzel, S., Scott, M., Wallace, R. J., and Scollan, N. D. (2010). Forage type and fish oil cause shifts in rumen bacterial diversity. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 73, 396–407. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00892.x
- IPCC (2007). Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, eds S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K. B. Averyt et al. (New York, NY: Cambridge University Press).
- Jenkins, T. C. (1993). Lipid metabolism in the rumen. J. Dairy Sci. 76, 3851–3863. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(93)77727-9

- Jenkins, T. C., Wallace, R. J., Moate, P. J., and Mosley, E. E. (2008). Boardinvited review: recent advances in biohydrogenation of unsaturated fatty acids within the rumen microbial ecosystem. J. Anim. Sci. 86, 397–412. doi: 10.2527/jas.2007-0588
- Khafipour, E., Li, S., Plaizier, J. C., and Krause, D. O. (2009). Rumen microbiome composition determined using two nutritional models of subacute ruminal acidosis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 7115–7124. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00739-09
- Kim, E. J., Huws, S. A., Lee, M. R. F., and Scollan, N. D. (2009). Dietary transformation of lipid in the rumen microbial ecosystem. Asian-Austr. J. Anim. Sci. 22, 1341–1350. doi: 10.5713/ajas.2009.r.11
- Kim, E. J., Huws, S. A., Lee, M. R. F., Wood, J. D., Muetzel, S., and Scollan, N. D. (2008). Fish oil increases the duodenal flow of long chain polyunsaturated fatty acids and trans-11 18:1 and decreases 18:0 in steers via changes in the rumen bacterial community. J. Nutr. 138, 889–896.
- Korczyński, M., Witkowska, Z., Opaliński, S., Świniarska, M., and Dobrzański, Z. (2015). "Algae extract as a potential feed additive," in *Marine Algae Extracts: Processes, Products, and Applications*, eds S.-K. Kim and K. Chojnacka (Weinheim: Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA), 605–625.
- Kouřímská, L., Vondráčkov,á, E., Fantov,á, M., Nov,ý, P., Nohejlov,á, L., and Michnov,á, K. (2014). Effect of feeding with algae on fatty acid profile of goat's milk. Sci. Agric. Bohem. 45, 162–169. doi: 10.2478/sab-2014-0103
- Lemos, L. N., Fulthorpe, R. R., Triplett, E. W., and Roesch, L. F. W. (2011). Rethinking microbial diversity analysis in the high throughput sequencing era. *J. Microbiol. Methods* 86, 42–51. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2011.03.014
- Lourenço, M., Ramos-Morales, E., and Wallace, R. J. (2010). The role of microbes in rumen lipolysis and biohydrogenation and their manipulation. *Animal* 4, 1008–1023. doi: 10.1017/S175173111000042X
- Maeda, H., Fujimoto, C., Haruki, Y., Maeda, T., Kokeguchi, S., Petelin, M., et al. (2003). Quantitative real-time PCR using TaqMan and SYBR Green for *Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans, Porphyromonas gingivalis, Prevotella intermedia*, tetQ gene and total bacteria. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* 39, 81–86. doi: 10.1016/S0928-8244(03)00224-4
- Maia, M. R. G., Chaudhary, L. C., Bestwick, C. S., Richardson, A. J., McKain, N., Larson, T. R., et al. (2010). Toxicity of unsaturated fatty acids to the biohydrogenating ruminal bacterium, *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens. BMC Microbiol.* 10:52. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-10-52
- Martínez, M. E., Ranilla, M. J., Tejido, M. L., Saro, C., and Carro, M. D. (2010). Comparison of fermentation of diets of variable composition and microbial populations in the rumen of sheep and Rusitec fermenters. II. Protozoa population and diversity of bacterial communities. *J. Dairy Sci.* 93, 3699–3712. doi: 10.3168/jds.2009-2934
- McDougall, E. I. (1948). Studies on ruminant saliva. 1. The composition and output of sheep's saliva. *Biochem. J.* 43, 99–109. doi: 10.1042/bj0430099
- Morán, L., Giráldez, F. J., Panseri, S., Aldai, N., Jordán, M. J., Chiesa, L. M., et al. (2013). Effect of dietary carnosic acid on the fatty acid profile and flavour stability of meat from fattening lambs. *Food Chem.* 138, 2407–2414. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.12.033
- Morgavi, D. P., Forano, E., Martin, C., and Newbold, C. J. (2010). Microbial ecosystem and methanogenesis in ruminants. *Animal* 4, 1024–1036. doi: 10.1017/S1751731110000546
- Moya, D., Calsamiglia, S., Ferret, A., Blanch, M., Fandiño, J. I., Castillejos, L., et al. (2009). Effects of dietary changes and yeast culture (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) on rumen microbial fermentation of Holstein heifers. *J. Anim. Sci.* 87, 2874–2881. doi: 10.2527/jas.2008-1446
- Myer, P. R., Smith, T. P. L., Wells, J. E., Kuehn, L. A., and Freetly, H. C. (2015). Rumen microbiome from steers differing in feed efficiency. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0129174. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0129174
- Nagaraja, T. G., Newbold, C. J., van Nevel, C. J., and Demeyer, D. I. (1997). "Manipulation of ruminal fermentation," in *The Rumen Microbial Ecosystem*, eds P. N. Hobson and C. S. Stewart (Dordrecht: Springer), 523–632.
- Nagaraja, T. G., and Titgemeyer, E. C. (2007). Ruminal acidosis in beef cattle: the current microbiological and nutritional outlook. *J. Dairy. Sci.* 90, E17–E38. doi: 10.3168/jds.2006-478
- Nam, I. S., and Garnsworthy, P. C. (2007). Biohydrogenation pathways for linoleic and linolenic acids by *Orpinomyces* rumen fungus. *Asian-Aust. J. Anim. Sci.* 20, 1694–1698. doi: 10.5713/ajas.2007.1694
- Newbold, C. J., and Chamberlain, D. G. (1988). Lipids as rumen defaunating agents. Proc. Nutr. Soc. 47, 154A. doi: 10.1079/PNS19880062

- Ouwerkerk, D., Klieve, A. V., and Forster, R. J. (2002). Enumeration of *Megasphaera elsdenii* in rumen contents by real-time *Taq* nuclease assay. J. Appl. Microbiol. 92, 753–758. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2672.2002. 01580.x
- Paillard, D., McKain, N., Rincon, M. T., Shingfield, K. J., Givens, D. I., and Wallace, R. J. (2007). Quantification of ruminal *Clostridium proteoclasticum* by real-time PCR using a molecular beacon approach. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 103, 1251–1261. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03349.x
- Palmquist, D. L., and Jenkins, T. C. (1980). Fat in lactation rations: review. J. Dairy Sci. 63, 1–14. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(80)82881-5
- Patra, A. K. (2014). A meta-analysis of the effect of dietary fat on enteric methane production, digestibility and rumen fermentation in sheep, and a comparison of these responses between cattle and sheep. *Livest. Sci.* 162, 97–103. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2014.01.007
- Petri, R. M., Schwaiger, T., Penner, G. B., Beauchemin, K. A., Forster, R. J., McKinnon, J. J., et al. (2013). Characterization of the core rumen microbiome in cattle during transition from forage to concentrate as well as during and after an acidotic challenge. *PLoS ONE* 8:e83424. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083424
- Potu, R. B., AbuGhazaleh, A. A., Hastings, D., Jones, K., and Ibrahim, S. A. (2011). The effect of lipid supplements on ruminal bacteria in continuous culture fermenters varies with the fatty acid composition. *J. Microbiol.* 49, 216–223. doi: 10.1007/s12275-011-0365-1
- Shingfield, K. J., Ahvenjarvi, S., Toivonen, V., Arola, A., Nurmela, K. V. V., Huhtanen, P., et al. (2003). Effect of dietary fish oil on biohydrogenation of fatty acids and milk fatty acid content in cows. *Anim. Sci.* 77, 165–179. doi: 10.1017/S1357729800053765
- Shingfield, K. J., Bonnet, M., and Scollan, N. D. (2013). Recent developments in altering the fatty acid composition of ruminant-derived foods. *Animal* 7, 132–162. doi: 10.1017/S1751731112001681
- Shingfield, K. J., Kairenius, P., Aröl,ä, A., Paillard, D., Muetzel, S., Ahvenjärvi, S., et al. (2012). Dietary fish oil supplements modify ruminal biohydrogenation, alter the flow of fatty acids at the omasum, and induce changes in the ruminal *Butyrivibrio* population in lactating cows. *J. Nutr.* 142, 1437–1448. doi: 10.3945/jn.112.158576
- Shingfield, K. J., Reynolds, C. K., Hervás, G., Griinari, J. M., Grandison, A. S., and Beever, D. E. (2006). Examination of the persistency of milk fatty acid composition responses to fish oil and sunflower oil in the diet of dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 89, 714–732. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72134-8
- Smith, P., Bustamante, M., Ahammad, H., Clark, H., Dong, H., Elsiddig, E. A., et al. (2014). "Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU)," in Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, eds O. Edenhofer, R. Pichs-Madruga, Y. Sokona, E. Farahani, S. Kadner, K. Seyboth et al. (New York, NY: Cambridge University Press), 811–922.
- Stamey, J. A., Shepherd, D. M., de Veth, M. J., and Corl, B. A. (2012). Use of algae or algal oil rich in n-3 fatty acids as a feed supplement for dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. 95, 5269–5275. doi: 10.3168/jds.2012-5412
- Steinfeld, H., Gerber, P., Wassenaar, T., Castel, V., Rosales, M., and de Haan, C. (2006). *Livestock's Long Shadow: Environmental Issues and Options*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- Stevenson, D. M., and Weimer, P. J. (2007). Dominance of *Prevotella* and low abundance of classical ruminal bacterial species in the bovine rumen revealed by relative quantification real-time PCR. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 75, 165–174. doi: 10.1007/s00253-006-0802-y
- Sylvester, J. T., Karnati, S. K. R., Yu, Z. T., Morrison, M., and Firkins, J. L. (2004). Development of an assay to quantify rumen ciliate protozoal biomass in cows using real-time PCR. J. Nutr. 134, 3378–3384.
- Tajima, K., Aminov, R. I., Nagamine, T., Matsui, H., Nakamura, M., and Benno, Y. (2001). Diet-dependent shifts in the bacterial population of the rumen revealed with real-time PCR. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 67, 2766–2774. doi: 10.1128/AEM.67.6.2766-2774.2001
- Theodorou, M. K., Williams, B. A., Dhanoa, M. S., McAllan, A. B., and France, J. (1994). A simple gas production method using a pressure transducer to determine the fermentation kinetics of ruminant feeds. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 48, 185–197. doi: 10.1016/0377-8401(94)90171-6
- Toral, P. G., Belenguer, A., Shingfield, K. J., Hervás, G., Toivonen, V., and Frutos, P. (2012). Fatty acid composition and bacterial community changes in the rumen

fluid of lactating sheep fed sunflower oil plus incremental levels of marine algae. J. Dairy Sci. 95, 794–806. doi: 10.3168/jds.2011-4561

- Varadyova, Z., Kisidayova, S., Siroka, P., and Jalc, D. (2008). Comparison of fatty acid composition of bacterial and protozoal fractions in rumen fluid of sheep fed diet supplemented with sunflower, rapeseed and linseed oils. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 144, 44–54. doi: 10.1016/j.anifeedsci.2007. 09.033
- Vargas-Bello-Pérez, E., Cancino-Padilla, N., Romero, J., and Garnsworthy, P. C. (2016). Quantitative analysis of ruminal bacterial populations involved in lipid metabolism in dairy cows fed different vegetable oils. *Animal* 10, 1821–1828. doi: 10.1017/S1751731116000756
- Wallace, R. J., Chaudhary, L. C., McKain, N., McEwan, N. R., Richardson, A. J., Vercoe, P. E., et al. (2006). *Clostridium proteoclasticum*: a ruminal bacterium that forms stearic acid from linoleic acid. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 265, 195–201. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2006.00487.x
- Wallace, R. J., Rooke, J. A., McKain, N., Duthie, C. A., Hyslop, J. J., Ross, D. W., et al. (2015). The rumen microbial metagenome associated with high methane production in cattle. *BMC Genomics* 16:839. doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-2032-0
- Wang, Y., Ho, S. H., Cheng, C. L., Guo, W. Q., Nagarajan, D., Ren, N. Q., et al. (2016). Perspectives on the feasibility of using microalgae for industrial wastewater treatment. *Bioresour. Technol.* 222, 485–497. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2016.09.106

- Wasowska, I., Maia, M. R. G., Niedźwiedzka, K. M., Czauderna, M., Ramalho Ribeiro, J. M. C., Devillard, E., et al. (2006). Influence of fish oil on ruminal biohydrogenation of C18 unsaturated fatty acids. *Br. J. Nutr.* 95, 1199–1211. doi: 10.1079/BJN20061783
- Weld, K. A., and Armentano, L. E. (2017). The effects of adding fat to diets of lactating dairy cows on total-tract neutral detergent fiber digestibility: a meta-analysis. J. Dairy Sci. 100, 1766–1779. doi: 10.3168/jds.2016-11500
- Wu, Z., and Palmquist, D. L. (1991). Synthesis and biohydrogenation of fatty acids by ruminal microorganisms in vitro. J. Dairy Sci. 74, 3035–3046. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(91)78489-0

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Vargas, Andrés, Snelling, López-Ferreras, Yáñez-Ruíz, García-Estrada and López. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.