



Characterization of *Pseudomonas* spp. and Associated Proteolytic Properties in Raw Milk Stored at Low Temperatures

Lu Meng^{1,2†}, Yangdong Zhang^{1,2†}, Huimin Liu^{1,2†}, Shengguo Zhao^{1,2}, Jiaqi Wang^{1,2} and Nan Zheng^{1,2*}

¹ Ministry of Agriculture Laboratory of Quality and Safety Risk Assessment for Dairy Products, Institute of Animal Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China, ² Ministry of Agriculture Milk and Dairy Product Inspection Center, Beijing, China

Milk spoilage is caused by the presence of proteolytic enzymes produced by *Pseudomonas* spp. during storage at low temperatures. The aim of this study was to identify *Pseudomonas* spp. in raw milk and investigate their associated proteolytic properties at low temperatures. Raw milk samples (n = 87) were collected from 87 bulk tanks in Shaanxi Province in China. *Pseudomonas* spp. were identified using *Pseudomonas* specific 16S, universal *16S rRNA* sequencing, and *rpoB* gene sequencing. The proteolytic properties of *Pseudomonas* spp. were examined using milk agar, quantitative trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid assay, and by the presence of alkaline metallopeptidase gene (*aprX*). A total 143 isolates from all 87 samples were confirmed as *Pseudomonas*, and were identified as belonging to 14 *Pseudomonas* species. Of these, 40 (28.0%) isolates revealed proteolysis on milk agar at 2°C, 74 (51.8%) at 4°C, 104 (72.7%) at 7°C, and 102 (71.3%) at 10°C. However, proteolytic activity of 45 (31.5%) isolates exceeded 2 µmol of glycine equivalents per mL at 7°C, followed by 43 (30.1%) at 10°C, 18 (12.6%) at 4°C, and 7 (4.9%) at 2°C. The results reveal proteolytic activity of *Pseudomonas* spp. present in milk and their spoilage potential at different temperatures.

Keywords: milk, Pseudomonas spp., proteolytic activities, aprX gene, spoilage

INTRODUCTION

Spoilage of milk resulting from the contamination of dairy products with psychrotrophic microorganisms results in significant losses for the food industry and is a particular concern of the dairy industry (Dogan and Boor, 2003). Milk is usually stored at low temperatures for 2 to 5 days prior to heat treatment (De Jonghe et al., 2011; Baur et al., 2015). During storage, the microbiota shifts toward psychrotrophic microorganisms, which can reduce the quality of raw milk (Lafarge et al., 2004; Xin et al., 2017).

Pseudomonas has been identified as predominant milk-associated psychrotrophic bacteria, making it one of the most important bacterial groups in the dairy industry (Wiedmann et al., 2000; Marchand et al., 2009a). The most commonly detected *Pseudomonas* species in milk and milk products are *P. fluorescens*, *P. gessardii*, *P. fragi*, and *P. lundensis* (Mallet et al., 2012). *Pseudomonas* species of 4–42°C, with an optimal growth temperature above 20°C (Chakravarty and Gregory, 2015). They are present in different environments and are frequently linked to food spoilage, especially, that of raw milk (Quigley et al., 2013; Chakravarty and Gregory, 2015). *Pseudomonas* can outgrow other bacteria at low temperatures, accounting for at

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Juan Aguirre, Universidad de Chile, Chile

Reviewed by:

Stella Maris Reginensi Rivera, University of the Republic, Uruguay Julio Parra-Flores, University of the Bío Bío, Chile

*Correspondence:

Nan Zheng zhengnan_1980@126.com [†]These authors have contributed equally to this work.

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Food Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 14 June 2017 Accepted: 20 October 2017 Published: 08 November 2017

Citation:

Meng L, Zhang Y, Liu H, Zhao S, Wang J and Zheng N (2017) Characterization of Pseudomonas spp. and Associated Proteolytic Properties in Raw Milk Stored at Low Temperatures. Front. Microbiol. 8:2158. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02158

1

least 50% of all bacteria in milk (Munsch-Alatossava and Alatossava, 2006; Fricker et al., 2011; von Neubeck et al., 2015). The growth of the *Pseudomonas* is often associated with the production of extracellular enzymes (e.g., peptidases and lipases).

Peptidases secreted by Pseudomonas during cold storage are heat-stable extracellular peptidases that can retain their activity after pasteurization or ultrahigh temperature (UHT) treatment (Marchand et al., 2009b; Glück et al., 2016). The residual enzyme activities can cause coagulation and degradation of milk and dairy products over time. They mainly belong to the class of metallopeptidase (EC 3.4.24.) (Dufour et al., 2008; Scatamburlo et al., 2015; Caldera et al., 2016). The most important peptidase, a heat-resistant peptidase alkaline metallopeptidase (AprX), belongs to the serralysin family, and has been characterized in several strains of Pseudomonas spp. It is responsible for the spoilage of milk with activity on casein (Dufour et al., 2008). The spoilage ability of Pseudomonas spp. varies dramatically depending on the strain and growth conditions (Chabeaud et al., 2001; Nicodeme et al., 2005). Many studies have quantified the proteolytic activity of Pseudomonas spp. within the temperature range 4-7°C (Kumaresan et al., 2007; Baur et al., 2015; Caldera et al., 2016). However, the assay conditions used in these studies did not simulate conditions during transport and at dairy plants (Machado et al., 2017). Furthermore, a low temperature (1-4°C) is recommended for the long-term storage of raw milk before further processing (De Jonghe et al., 2011).

The aims of the present work were (i) to isolate and identify *Pseudomonas* spp. from the raw cows' milk samples; and (ii) to investigate the associated proteolytic properties stored at low temperatures (2, 4, 7, and 10°C, respectively).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling of Raw Milk and the Identification of *Pseudomonas* spp.

Raw milk samples (n = 87; 25 mL each) were collected directly from 87 bulk tanks of 87 farms in Shaanxi Province in China in spring (average daily temperature > 20°C), when *Pseudomonas* spp. have high prevalence. The 87 farms were randomly chosen and were mainly located in Xi'an, Xianyang, Weinan, and Tongchuan, where the main milk producing areas are found (herd size \leq 300, milking frequency 2–3 times per day, no clinical mastitis cow). All samples were transferred to sterile plastic bottles (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, United States), stored at 4°C, and transported to the laboratory within 4 h.

For the isolation and detection of *Pseudomonas* spp., all raw milk samples were first processed as described by Scatamburlo et al. (2015). Briefly, all raw milk samples were diluted 10-fold in 0.85% NaCl (wt/vol) and homogenized. Aliquots (1 mL) of selected dilutions were placed onto *Pseudomonas* agar (Oxoid Ltd., Basingstoke, United Kingdom) to selectively isolate *Pseudomonas* spp. The plates were incubated at 25°C for 48 h. Colonies (5–8 per plate) were then streaked onto new *Pseudomonas* agar plates (Oxoid), and incubated at 25°C for 48 h.

Single colonies were chosen from *Pseudomonas* agar and incubated in LB broth (Beijing Land Bridge Technology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China) overnight at 25°C. DNA was extracted using the InstaGene Matrix DNA extraction kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, United States) according the manufacturer's instructions. *Pseudomonas* spp. were then identified by PCR (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The primers were synthesized by GeneCreate Biological Engineering Co., Ltd. (Wuhan, China). A negative control (a sample without genomic DNA) and a positive control (DNA of *P. fluorescens* CICC 21620; China Center of Industrial Culture Collection, Beijing, China) were included in all PCR assays.

Pseudomonas spp. were initially identified by PCR amplification of the 16S DNA region. The following primers were used: PA-GS-F (5'-GACGGGTGAGTAATGCCTA-3') and PA-GS-R (5'-CACTGGTGTTCCTTCCTATA-3') (Spilker et al., 2004). The PCR reaction conditions were as described in Scatamburlo et al. (2015). Amplicons (618 bp) were considered indicative of *Pseudomonas* spp.

Isolates identified as *Pseudomonas* spp. (n = 143) were further analyzed by amplification of the *16S rRNA* fragment and *rpoB* sequences using universal primers 27F (5'-AGAGTTTGAT CCTGGCTCAG-3') and 1492R (5'-CTACGGCTACCTTGTTAC GA-3'), and PSF (5'-AGTTCATGGACCAGAACAACC-3') and PTR (5'-CCTTGACGGTGAACTCGTTTC-3') (Lane et al., 1985; Sajben et al., 2011). The amplification programs were performed according to Sajben et al. (2011) and Caldera et al. (2016).

Finally, the obtained amplicons were sequenced at Beijing Genomics Institute (Beijing, China). Sequence data of the isolated *Pseudomonas* species were analyzed using the Basic Local Alignments Search Tool (BLAST) program available from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)¹. During universal *16S rRNA* fragment and *rpoB* sequence analysis, the cut-off level for pairwise comparisons was 99%.

Plate Assays of Proteolytic Activity

Pseudomonas single colonies were streaked onto *Pseudomonas* agar (Oxoid Ltd.) supplemented with penicillin (100,000 IU/L, Dr. Ehrenstorfer GmbH, Augsburg, Germany), pimaricin (0.01 g/L, Dr. Ehrenstorfer GmbH), and UHT milk [10%, vol/vol, Modern Farming (Group) Co., Ltd., Hebei, China] (henceforth referred to as "milk agar") (Scatamburlo et al., 2015). The plates were incubated at 2, 4, 7, and 10°C for 5 days. The temperature of 25°C was set as a control. The plates were monitored daily. Proteolytic halos in the inoculated areas were indicative of proteolytic activity (Scatamburlo et al., 2015). The plate assays were performed twice.

Proteolytic Activity Quantification

To induce peptidases production at 2, 4, 7, and 10°C, *Pseudomonas* spp. isolates were first incubated in 5 mL of UHT milk [Modern Farming (Group) Co., Ltd.] for 5 days. Then the proteolytic activity was determined according to the protocol described by Caldera et al. (2016) to quantify the native proteolytic activity at different temperatures.

¹https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi

The trinitrobenzenesulfonic acid (TNBS) method was used to monitor the presence of free α -amino groups, indicators of protein hydrolysis (Polychroniadou, 1988; Marchand et al., 2009a). The TNBS reagent (Sigma–Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany) was reacted with the released α -amino groups at pH 9.2 in the dark for 100 min. The intensity of the yellow-orange color of the reaction products was measured by absorption values at 420 nm (VarioskanTM Flash Multimode Reader, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States). Native proteolytic enzyme levels in raw milk were determined from the absorption ratios. Three independent replicate measurements were performed. *Pseudomonas* spp. isolates were considered peptidase active if the measured absorption exceeded 2 μ mol glycine equivalents per mL. Standard curve was generated using glycine (Sigma–Aldrich).

Identification of aprX Gene

The amplification of the *aprX* gene from *Pseudomonas* spp. isolates was performed using SM2F (5'-AAATCGATAGCTTC AGCCAT-3') and SM3R (5'-TTGAGGTTGATCTTCTGGTT-3') primers according to Caldera et al. (2016). Amplicons of ca. 850 bp were considered typical for *aprX*.

RESULTS

Molecular Classification and Identification of *Pseudomonas* spp.

Genus-specific PCR of 16S DNA fragments (618 bp) was used for preliminary characterization of their assignment at the *Pseudomonas* genus level. In total 143 *Pseudomonas* isolates were confirmed. In Supplementary Table S1 identifications are given for each isolate based on universal 16S *rRNA* and *rpoB* gene sequencing analyses. The 14 different *Pseudomonas* species (**Table 1**) were mainly based on the *rpoB* gene sequence data, since universal 16S *rRNA* gene sequences are less discriminative (Caldera et al., 2016).

In the current study, the 14 *Pseudomonas* species are divided into 4 different groups, according to Anzai et al. (2000), namely, *P. fluorescens* group, *P. chlororaphis* group, *P. putida* group, and unknown group. The amount of *P. fluorescens* group (n = 84) was dominant, followed by *P. chlororaphis* group (n = 39) (**Table 1**).

Proteolytic Activity of the Identified *Pseudomonas* spp. Isolates

The detailed phenotypic results of *Pseudomonas* isolates were shown in **Table 2** and Supplementary Table S1. We evaluated the proteolytic activity of the isolates at all tested temperatures. Extracellular peptidase activity on milk agar was determined by the appearance of halo in the inoculated area. Following a 5 days incubation on milk agar, extracellular peptidase activity was detected in 74.1% (106/143) of the isolates at 25°C, around 72% of the isolates at 7°C (104/143) and 10°C (102/143), 51.8% (74/143) at 4°C and 28.0% (40/143) at 2°C. No directly correlations were observed between *Pseudomonas* groups and the degree of proteolysis (Supplementary Table S1). TABLE 1 | Identification of Pseudomonas spp. in raw milk sampled from 87 farms.

| Group | Species | No. of isolates |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| Pseudomonas fluorescens group | Pseudomonas azotoformans | 6 |
| | Pseudomonas brenneri | 2 |
| | Pseudomonas cedrina | 5 |
| | Pseudomonas fluorescens | 61 |
| | Pseudomonas gessardii | 5 |
| | Pseudomonas poae | 4 |
| | Pseudomonas proteolytica | 1 |
| Pseudomonas chlororaphis group | Pseudomonas fragi | 37 |
| | Pseudomonas lundensis | 2 |
| Pseudomonas putida group | Pseudomonas putida | 2 |
| Unknown group | Pseudomonas baetica | 2 |
| | Pseudomonas deceptionensis | 1 |
| | Pseudomonas lurida | 2 |
| | Pseudomonas psychrophila | 13 |
| Total | | 143 |

TABLE 2 | *Pseudomonas* spp. isolates¹ with observable proteolytic activity² at different temperatures.

| Day | Incubation temperature (°C) | | | | | |
|-------|-----------------------------|----|-----|-----|-----|--|
| | 2 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 25 | |
| 1 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 16 | 54 | |
| 2 | 6 | 12 | 26 | 33 | 15 | |
| 3 | 12 | 27 | 39 | 31 | 12 | |
| 4 | 4 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 17 | |
| 5 | 16 | 19 | 17 | 9 | 8 | |
| Total | 40 | 74 | 104 | 102 | 106 | |

¹The total number of Pseudomonas spp. isolates obtained from raw milk was 143. ²As determined during growth on milk agar. The number of new isolates exhibiting extracellular proteolytic activity on a given day of incubation on milk agar is shown.

Quantification of the Proteolytic Activity of *Pseudomonas* spp. Isolates

The peptidase activity was quantified using UHT milk, and the results are shown in **Figure 1** and Supplementary Table S1. In the standard curve, relating glycine concentration to absorbance in the TNBS assay, the R^2 value was greater than 0.99 (data not shown). Strains were considered proteolytic active when the measured proteolytic activity exceeded 2 μ mol of glycine equivalents per mL.

The highest percentage of proteolytic activity isolates was obtained at 7°C (31.5%, n = 45) and 10°C (30.1%, n = 43). And 4.9% (7/143) isolates were proteolytic active at 2°C, and 12.6% (18/143) at 4°C. Using the TNBS method, peptidase activity was detected in 17.5% (7/40) of the isolates exhibiting extracellular peptidase activity on milk agar at 2°C and in 24.3% (18/74) of the isolates that were active at 4°C. At 7 and 10°C, the number of peptidase activity isolates was much larger than that at 2 and 4°C, reached 43.3% (45/104) and 42.2% (43/102), respectively (**Table 2**).



Analysis of the aprX Gene

We amplified the *aprX* gene from all isolates using PCR. An amplicon of the expected size (± 850 bp) was obtained from reactions with genomic DNA of 133/143 isolates. Moreover, all the isolates, which displayed extracellular peptidase activity on milk agar, could detect the presence of *aprX* gene.

DISCUSSION

After molecular confirmation at the genus level, the 143 isolates were assigned at species level. In general, we found a large diversity of *Pseudomonas* species. The frequencies of the *Pseudomonas* spp. confirm the findings of Jayarao and Wang (1999), who reported 98 isolates belonging to nine *Pseudomonas* species. Moreover, the *Pseudomonas* isolates were found to be randomly distributed among the different farms. These results demonstrate the ubiquitousness of *Pseudomonas* spp. in dairy farms, highlighting their relevance as natural contaminants (Scatamburlo et al., 2015).

PCR sequencing confirmed that 42.7% (n = 61) of the isolates were *P. fluorescens*, the dominant *Pseudomonas* specie. Our results are in agreement with those of Chambers (2003), who reported that *P. fluorescens* was the dominant *Pseudomonas* spp. in milk and those of Dogan and Boor (2003), who identified 51% of *Pseudomonas* isolates as *P. fluorescens* in fluid milk products and dairy processing plants using API20 NE. *P. putida* is another species of *Pseudomonas* found in milk (Senel and Gürsoy, 2014); however, we found only 2 *P. putida* isolates in the *P. putida* group in the current study (**Table 1**). *P. psychrophila* was the third most common strain identified here. By contrast, Vithanage et al. (2014) found only a few *P. psychrophila* isolates among psychrotrophic bacteria in raw milk samples from a commercial UHT milk processor. Although *Pseudomonas* spp. are ubiquitous in raw milk, our findings indicate that *Pseudomonas* spp. in milk differ considerably across studies. These differences could stem from regional and environmental differences.

All the isolates showed growth over a wide range of temperatures (2–25°C), although many studies have demonstrated that the growth of *Pseudomonas* isolates is temperature-dependent (Munsch-Alatossava and Alatossava, 2006; Caldera et al., 2016). By contrast, Munsch-Alatossava and Alatossava (2006) reported that *Pseudomonas* strains isolated from farms do not grow at 4 or 7°C. This could be because of differences in the growth characterization of the isolates.

The results showed that most isolates produced peptidase at 25°C, followed by 7, 10, 4, and 2°C. Similarly, peptidase production by *P. fluorescens* was reported previously to be highest at 22°C, followed by 7 and 32°C (Wang and Jayarao, 2001). Therefore, our results confirm those of other studies and demonstrate the spoilage capability of *Pseudomonas* spp. during storage and transport at low temperatures. In addition, we demonstrated that a number of *Pseudomonas* spp. isolates exhibit extracellular peptidase activity at low temperature after a short period of time, stressing the importance of controlling contamination during the early steps of milk storage.

Proteolytic activity was then confirmed by TNBS quantitative analysis and expressed as glycine equivalents per mL. The number of peptidase active isolates increased with temperature, most likely reflecting the fact that proteolytic activity is temperature regulated (Woods et al., 2001; McCarthy et al., 2004). Taken together, the extracellular peptidase activity and proteolytic activity data showed that the isolates could produce peptidases at different temperatures. This is a pertinent observation as it confirms the ability of *Pseudomonas* species to produce proteolytic enzymes under psychrotrophic conditions. More isolates produced peptidases with an increase in temperature; however, different peptidases were active at different temperatures (Supplementary Table S1).

According to current laws and standards in many countries, raw milk must be stored below 7°C (FDA, 2013; Scatamburlo et al., 2015; Zheng, 2015). Many studies have found that Pseudomonas spp. can produce peptidases under storage temperatures. Caldera et al. (2016) reported that 46.8% (15/32) of Pseudomonas isolates from milk and dairy products after incubation at 5°C for 5 days were peptidase active using a quantitative assay. Moreover, when Kumaresan et al. (2007) assessed the native proteolytic activity of psychrotrophs at 2, 4, and 7°C using the Kjeldahl method, they found that the storage of raw milk at these temperatures resulted in peptidase production by Pseudomonas spp. In this study, we confirmed these findings by showing that a number of *Pseudomonas* isolates could produce peptidases and exhibit proteolytic activity under different storage temperatures. Proteolytic activity was lower at 2°C than at 4°C, 7°C, or 10°C, as assessed by the TNBS method. However, we also identified many Pseudomonas isolates that acquired higher proteolytic activity after storage at 2°C than at 4°C, 7°C, and 10°C, indicating that spoilage ability is strain-dependent.

In some countries, the majority of raw milk is not processed after milking until delivery to a dairy, which might last up to 3 or 4 days. Additional storage before processing may also take place in the dairy (Fricker et al., 2011; von Neubeck et al., 2015). Therefore, 5 days was chosen to study the peptidase activities of the isolates in this study. Kumaresan et al. (2007) demonstrated that proteolytic activity increased with storage time. Stoeckel et al. (2016) also found a linear correlation between onset of product defects and enzyme activity in UHT milk samples. Our results showed that peptidases could be produced by a number of Pseudomonas isolates between 2°C and 10°C and that proteolytic activity increased with storage time. The peptidases secreted by Pseudomonas spp. in raw milk stored at low temperature are stable during heat treatment, which might explain the persistent proteolytic activity observed during storage of processed milk (Marchand et al., 2009a; Glück et al., 2016). Therefore, in order to prolong the storage time of milk products, raw milk should be preferentially stored at low temperature and processed within 48 h after milking to prevent the release of thermostable spoilage peptidases by Pseudomonas spp.

There was a good correlation between extracellular peptidase activity on milk agar and the presence of the *aprX* as determined

by PCR, confirming the role of AprX in milk degradation as reported previously (Dufour et al., 2008; Caldera et al., 2016). However, some *aprX*-positive isolates do not show proteolytic activity at any storage temperature, indicating that AprX might be inhibited during milk protein degradation. In the genus *Pseudomonas*, the *aprX* gene is usually involved in nutrient utilization; the product of this gene degrades extracellular proteins, and therefore *Pseudomonas* spp. are usually associated with the spoilage of milk and dairy products (Dufour et al., 2008; Marchand et al., 2009b; Zhang et al., 2009). The production of this enzyme highlights the controlling of *Pseudomonas* spp. contamination in raw milk and dairy products.

CONCLUSION

This study revealed a diverse Pseudomonas spp. population in raw milk. In the dairy, milk is usually stored at temperatures below 7°C before processing. This temperature does not prevent the growth and proteolytic activity of Pseudomonas spp. in raw milk. Although all the Pseudomonas isolates could grow between 2°C and 10°C, proteolytic activity decreased when the milk storage temperature was reduced from 10°C to 2°C. There was no relation between specific Pseudomonas spp. and proteolytic activity. Low storage temperature and short periods before processing (within 48 h) could reduce peptidase production of Pseudomonas spp., but milking hygiene should also be properly controlled. It is necessary to acquire more information on Pseudomonas spp. with proteolytic activity and to develop sensitive and efficient tools to monitor for the presence of peptidases in raw milk.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LM performed the major experiments and wrote the manuscript. YZ did many work in experiments and helped in writing. HL helped in the research and writing. SZ and JW gave the help in research plans. NZ is the corresponding author.

FUNDING

The research was supported by Project of Risk Assessment on Raw Milk [grant no. GJFP2017008], Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Non-profit Research Institution [grant no. 2015ywf-zd-3], Special Fund for Agro-scientific Research in the Public Interest [grant no. 201403071], the Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Program [grant no. ASTIP-IAS12], China, and the Modern Agro-Industry Technology Research System of the China [CARS-37].

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2017.02158/full#supplementary-material

REFERENCES

- Anzai, Y., Kim, H., Park, J. Y., Wakabayashi, H., and Oyaizu, H. (2000). Phylogenetic affiliation of the pseudomonads based on 16S rRNA sequence. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 50, 1563–1589. doi: 10.1099/00207713-50-4-1563
- Baur, C., Krewinkel, M., Kranz, B., von Neubeck, M., Wenning, M., Scherer, S., et al. (2015). Quantification of the proteolytic and lipolytic activity of microorganisms isolated from raw milk. *Int. Dairy J.* 49, 23–29. doi: 10.1016/ j.idairyj.2015.04.005
- Caldera, L., Franzetti, L., Van Coillie, E., De Vos, P., Stragier, P., De Block, J., et al. (2016). Identification, enzymatic spoilage characterization and proteolytic activity quantification of *Pseudomonas* spp. isolated from different foods. *Food Microbiol.* 54, 142–153. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2015.10.004
- Chabeaud, P., de Groot, A., Bitter, W., Tommassen, J., Heulin, T., and Achouak, W. (2001). Phase-variable expression of an operon encoding extracellular alkaline protease, a serine protease homolog, and lipase in *Pseudomonas brassicacearum*. *J. Bacteriol.* 183, 2117–2120. doi: 10.1128/JB.183.6.2117-2120.2001
- Chakravarty, S., and Gregory, G. (2015). "The genus *Pseudomonas*," in *Practical Handbook of Microbiology*, eds E. Goldman and L. H. Green (New York, NY: CRC Press), 321–344.
- Chambers, J. V. (2003). "The microbiology of raw milk," in *Dairy Microbiology Handbook: The Microbiology of Milk and Milk Products*, 3rd Edn, ed. R. K. Robinson (New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.), 39–90.
- De Jonghe, V., Coorevits, A., Van Hoorde, K., Messens, W., Van Landschoot, A., De Vos, P., et al. (2011). Influence of storage conditions on the growth of *Pseudomonas* species in refrigerated raw milk. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 77, 460–470. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00521-10
- Dogan, B., and Boor, K. J. (2003). Genetic diversity and spoilage potentials among *Pseudomonas* spp. isolated from fluid milk products and dairy processing plants. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 69, 130–138. doi: 10.1128/AEM.69.1.130-138. 2003
- Dufour, D., Nicodeme, M., Perrin, C., Driou, A., Brusseaux, E., Humbert, G., et al. (2008). Molecular typing of industrial strains of *Pseudomonas* spp. isolated from milk and genetical and biochemical characterization of an extracellular protease produced by one of them. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 125, 188–196. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2008.04.004
- FDA (2013). Grade "A" Pasteurized Milk Ordinance. Silver Spring, MD: U.S. Food and Drug Administration.
- Fricker, M., Skanseng, B., Rudi, K., Stessl, B., and Ehling-Schulz, M. (2011). Shift from farm to dairy tank milk microbiota revealed by a polyphasic approach is independent from geographical origin. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 145(Suppl. 1), S24–S30. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.08.025
- Glück, C., Rentschler, E., Krewinkel, M., Merz, M., von Neubeck, M., Wenning, M., et al. (2016). Thermostability of peptidases secreted by microorganisms associated with raw milk. *Int. Dairy J.* 56, 186–197. doi: 10.1016/j.idairyj.2016. 01.025
- Jayarao, B. M., and Wang, L. (1999). A study on the prevalence of gram-negative bacteria in bulk tank milk. J. Dairy Sci. 82, 2620–2624. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(99)75518-9
- Kumaresan, G., Annalvilli, R., and Sivakumar, K. (2007). Psychrotrophic spoilage of raw milk at different temperatures of storage. J. Appl. Sci. Res. 3, 1383–1387.
- Lafarge, V., Ogier, J. C., Girard, V., Maladen, V., Leveau, J. Y., Gruss, A., et al. (2004). Raw cow milk bacterial population shifts attributable to refrigeration. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 70, 5644–5650. doi: 10.1128/AEM.70.9.5644-5650. 2004
- Lane, D. J., Pace, B., Olsen, G. J., Stahl, D. A., Sogin, M. L., and Pace, N. R. (1985). Rapid determination of 16S ribosomal RNA sequences for phylogenetic analyses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 82, 6955–6959. doi: 10.1073/pnas.82.20. 6955
- Machado, S. G., Baglinière, F., Marchand, S., Van Coillie, E., Vanetti, M. C. D., De Block, J., et al. (2017). The biodiversity of the microbiota producing heatresistant enzymes responsible for spoilage in processed bovine milk and dairy products. *Front. Microbiol.* 8:302. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.00302
- Mallet, A., Guéguen, M., Kauffmann, F., Chesneau, C., Sesboué, A., and Desmasures, N. (2012). Quantitative and qualitative microbial analysis of raw

milk reveals substantial diversity influenced by herd management practices. *Int. Dairy J.* 27, 13–21. doi: 10.1016/j.idairyj.2012.07.009

- Marchand, S., Heylen, K., Messens, W., Coudijzer, K., De Vos, P., Dewettinck, K., et al. (2009a). Seasonal influence on heat-resistant proteolytic capacity of *Pseudomonas lundensis* and *Pseudomonas fragi*, predominant milk spoilers isolated from Belgian raw milk samples. *Environ. Microbiol.* 11, 467–482. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2008.01785.x
- Marchand, S., Vandriesche, G., Coorevits, A., Coudijzer, K., De Jonghe, V., Dewettinck, K., et al. (2009b). Heterogeneity of heat-resistant proteases from milk *Pseudomonas* species. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 133, 68–77. doi: 10.1016/j. ijfoodmicro.2009.04.027
- McCarthy, C. N., Woods, R. G., and Beacham, I. R. (2004). Regulation of the *aprX-lipA* operon of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* B52: differential regulation of the proximal and distal genes, encoding protease and lipase, by *ompR-envZ. FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 241, 243–248. doi: 10.1016/j.femsle.2004. 10.027
- Munsch-Alatossava, P., and Alatossava, T. (2006). Phenotypic characterization of raw milk-associated psychrotrophic bacteria. *Microbiol. Res.* 161, 334–346. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2005.12.004
- Nicodeme, M., Grill, J. P., Humbert, G., and Gaillard, J. L. (2005). Extracellular protease activity of different *Pseudomonas* strains: dependence of proteolytic activity on culture conditions. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 99, 641–648. doi: 10.1111/j. 1365-2672.2005.02634.x
- Polychroniadou, A. (1988). A simple procedure using trinitrobenzenesulphonic acid for monitoring proteolysis in cheese. J. Dairy Res. 55, 585–596. doi: 10. 1017/S0022029900033379
- Quigley, L., O'Sullivan, O., Stanton, C., Beresford, T. P., Ross, R. P., Fitzgerald, G. F., et al. (2013). The complex microbiota of raw milk. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* 37, 664–698. doi: 10.1111/1574-6976.12030
- Sajben, E., Manczinger, L., Nagy, A., Kredics, L., and Vagvolgyi, C. (2011). Characterization of pseudomonads isolated from decaying sporocarps of oyster mushroom. *Microbiol. Res.* 166, 255–267. doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2010. 05.002
- Scatamburlo, T. M., Yamazi, A. K., Cavicchioli, V. Q., Pieri, F. A., and Nero, L. A. (2015). Spoilage potential of *Pseudomonas* species isolated from goat milk. *J. Dairy Sci.* 98, 759–764. doi: 10.3168/jds.2014-8747
- Senel, E., and Gürsoy, A. (2014). "Microbiology of processed liquid milk," in Dairy Microbiology and Biochemistry: Recent Developments, eds B. Ozer and G. Akdemir-Evrendilek (Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press), 95–112.
- Spilker, T., Coenye, T., Vandamme, P., and LiPuma, J. J. (2004). PCR-based assay for differentiation of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* from other *Pseudomonas* species recovered from cystic fibrosis patients. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 42, 2074–2079. doi: 10.1128/JCM.42.5.2074-2079.2004
- Stoeckel, M., Lidolt, M., Achberger, V., Glück, C., Krewinkel, M., Stressler, T., et al. (2016). Growth of *Pseudomonas weihenstephanensis*, *Pseudomonas proteolytica* and *Pseudomonas* sp. in raw milk: Impact of residual heat-stable enzyme activity on stability of UHT milk during shelf-life. *Int. Dairy J.* 59, 20–28. doi: 10.1016/ j.idairyj.2016.02.045
- Vithanage, N. R., Yeager, T. R., Jadhav, S. R., Palombo, E. A., and Datta, N. (2014). Comparison of identification systems for psychrotrophic bacteria isolated from raw bovine milk. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 189, 26–38. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro. 2014.07.023
- von Neubeck, M., Baur, C., Krewinkel, M., Stoeckel, M., Kranz, B., Stressler, T., et al. (2015). Biodiversity of refrigerated raw milk microbiota and their enzymatic spoilage potential. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* 211, 57–65. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro. 2015.07.001
- Wang, L., and Jayarao, B. M. (2001). Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* isolated from bulk tank milk. *J. Dairy Sci.* 84, 1421–1429. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(01)70174-9
- Wiedmann, M., Weilmeier, D., Dineen, S. S., Ralyea, R., and Boor, K. J. (2000). Molecular and phenotypic characterization of *Pseudomonas* spp. isolated from milk. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 2085–2095. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.5.2085-2095.2000
- Woods, R. G., Burger, M., Beven, C. A., and Beacham, I. R. (2001). The aprX-lipA operon of Pseudomonas fluorescens B52: a molecular analysis of metalloprotease and lipase production. Microbiology 147(Pt 2), 345–354. doi: 10.1099/00221287-147-2-345

- Xin, L., Meng, Z. X., Zhang, L. W., Cui, Y. H., Han, X., and Yi, H. X. (2017). The diversity and proteolytic properties of psychrotrophic bacteria in raw cows' milk from North China. *Int. Dairy J.* 66, 34–41. doi: 10.1016/j.idairyj.2016.10.014
- Zhang, W. W., Hu, Y. H., Wang, H. L., and Sun, L. (2009). Identification and characterization of a virulence-associated protease from a pathogenic *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strain. *Vet. Microbiol.* 139, 183–188. doi: 10.1016/j. vetmic.2009.04.026
- Zheng, W. (2015). Translated English of Chinese Standard, GB12693-2010.:National Food Safety Standard Good Manufacturing Practice for Milk Products. Beijing: National Standards of the People's Republic of China.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Meng, Zhang, Liu, Zhao, Wang and Zheng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.