



The Use of a Chimeric Rhodopsin Vector for the Detection of New Proteorhodopsins Based on Color

Alina Pushkarev¹, Gur Hevroni¹, Sheila Roitman¹, Jin-gon Shim², Ahreum Choi², Kwang-Hwan Jung² and Oded Béjà^{1*}

¹ Faculty of Biology, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel, ² Department of Life Science and Institute of Biological Interfaces, Sogang University, Seoul, South Korea

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Marcelino T. Suzuki,
Université Pierre et Marie Curie,
France

Reviewed by:

Laura Gomez-Consarnau,
University of Southern California,
United States
Michal Koblizek,
Centre Algatech, Czechia

*Correspondence:

Oded Béjà
beja@tx.technion.ac.il

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Aquatic Microbiology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 16 November 2017

Accepted: 26 February 2018

Published: 13 March 2018

Citation:

Pushkarev A, Hevroni G, Roitman S,
Shim J-g, Choi A, Jung K-H and
Béjà O (2018) The Use of a Chimeric
Rhodopsin Vector for the Detection
of New Proteorhodopsins Based on
Color. *Front. Microbiol.* 9:439.
doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2018.00439

Student microbial ecology laboratory courses are often conducted as condensed courses in which theory and wet lab work are combined in a very intensive short time period. In last decades, the study of marine microbial ecology is increasingly reliant on molecular-based methods, and as a result many of the research projects conducted in such courses require sequencing that is often not available on site and may take more time than a typical course allows. In this work, we describe a protocol combining molecular and functional methods for analyzing proteorhodopsins (PRs), with visible results in only 4–5 days that do not rely on sequencing. PRs were discovered in oceanic surface waters two decades ago, and have since been observed in different marine environments and diverse taxa, including the abundant alphaproteobacterial SAR11 group. PR subgroups are currently known to absorb green and blue light, and their distribution was previously explained by prevailing light conditions – green pigments at the surface and blue pigments in deeper waters, as blue light travels deeper in the water column. To detect PR in environmental samples, we created a chimeric plasmid suitable for direct expression of PRs using PCR amplification and functional analysis in *Escherichia coli* cells. Using this assay, we discovered several exceptional cases of PRs whose phenotypes differed from those predicted based on sequence only, including a previously undescribed yellow-light absorbing PRs. We applied this assay in two 10-days marine microbiology courses and found it to greatly enhance students' laboratory experience, enabling them to gain rapid visual feedback and colorful reward for their work. Furthermore we expect this assay to promote the use of functional assays for the discovery of new rhodopsin variants.

Keywords: proteorhodopsin, spectral tuning, niche adaptation, student laboratory courses, marine microbiology

INTRODUCTION

Microbial retinal-based ion pumps were first discovered in the hypersaline dwelling archaea *Halobacterium salinarum* (Oesterhelt and Stoeckenius, 1971). Since then, rhodopsins have been found in various microorganisms, spanning the three domains of the tree of life (Béjà et al., 2013; Pinhassi et al., 2016), and were even detected in viruses (Yutin and Koonin, 2012; Philosof and Béjà, 2013).

The first bacterial rhodopsin was discovered in the abundant uncultured proteobacterial SAR86 group, and was therefore named proteorhodopsin (PR) (Béjà et al., 2000). PRs are light-driven proton pumps that absorb light in the blue or green regions of the visible light spectrum according to the light available at the depth from which they are isolated (Béjà et al., 2001). The dominant residue responsible for spectral tuning in PRs resides in the retinal-binding pocket at position 105, with leucine or methionine in green-absorbing PRs (GPRs) and glutamine in blue-absorbing PRs (BPRs) (Man et al., 2003; Gómez-Consarnau et al., 2007). PRs are abundant in the marine environment, and a recent metagenomics survey estimated that on average, over 60% of small microbial cells in the photic zone carry rhodopsin genes (Finkel et al., 2012).

The search for novel rhodopsins is based mostly on sequence homology screens utilizing metagenomics data collected from various environments (Venter et al., 2004; Sabehehi et al., 2005; Rusch et al., 2007), or PCR performed on environmental DNA samples using degenerate primers designed for conserved regions in microbial rhodopsin proteins (Atamna-Ismaeel et al., 2008; Sharma et al., 2009; Koh et al., 2010). Currently, there are only two functional screens to search for new rhodopsins, (i) colony color by plating fosmid libraries on retinal containing plates (Martínez et al., 2007); and (ii) pH changes of fosmid clones in response to illumination (Pushkarev and Béjà, 2016).

In order to combine sequence homology and function-based methods, we devised a protocol based on a previously designed chimeric PR construct (Supplementary Figure S1). This chimeric construct was used to express individual partial PR sequences recovered from the environment via PCR amplification, cloning, and sequencing (Choi et al., 2013). Here, we improved the chimeric PR construct to enable the screening of diverse partial PR sequences directly from the environment, enabling rapid visualization of PR activity. In this manner, we developed a simple way to demonstrate the concept of niche adaptation and spectral tuning to undergraduate and graduate students. Student labs in marine microbial ecology or marine microbiology are usually offered as condensed courses ranging in length from between 10 and 30 days. Hence, there is a need for short experiments that can demonstrate some proofs of concept within the course's timeframe.

In this work, we present a protocol (Figure 1) for analyzing microbial samples using functional and molecular methods in short time spans, enabling students to perform high-level molecular work while receiving immediate visual results.

MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT

Environmental Sampling, DNA Extraction and PCR

Sampling was performed in March 2014 in the Gulf of Aqaba, Station A (29°28'N, 34°55'E) (CTD data could be found in Supplementary Data Sheet S1). Twenty liters of water from 0, 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 meters were filtered through a GFD




FIGURE 1 | A schematic representation of the suggested protocol for the use of chimeric rhodopsin. DNA samples are obtained by various methods from the desired niches, then a PCR reaction is carried out using degenerate primers listed in Table 1. The PCR product is then digested and cloned directly onto the expression vector. The resulting colonies are harvested into individual cells in a 96-well format for storage, expression, and further study.


TABLE 1 | Degenerate primers designed to amplify diverse proteorhodopsins (PRs) using PCR reactions.

Primer name	Nucleotide sequence
<i>KpnI</i> -LRYLDWIL	5'-AAGAATTC GGTACC TNGAYTGG-3' ^a
<i>GWAIYP-ngoMIV</i>	5'-GCGCGCAAGCTT GCCGGC NGGRTADATNVHCCANCC-3'

^aRestriction site sequences are shown in bold letters.

filter (Whatman) and collected on two 0.22 μm Durapore filters (Millipore). DNA was extracted from both filters using a phenol-chloroform protocol (Wright et al., 2009).

Degenerate primers were designed based on a multiple sequence alignment of known PRs for maximum diversity coverage using the conserved regions in helices C and F [based on a set of primers previously reported by us, (Atamna-Ismaeel et al., 2008, 2010) and modified to include *KpnI* and *ngoMIV* sites]. Each PCR reaction was performed using TaKaRa Ex TaqTM polymerase (Takara-bio, Korea). Polymerase chain reaction amplification was carried out in a total volume of 50 μl containing 1 μl DNA template, 0.2 mM dNTPs, 1 \times Ex Taq buffer



(Mg²⁺ plus), 0.6 μM primers (each) and 1.25 U TaKaRa Ex TaqTM DNA polymerase. The amplification conditions included steps at 98°C for 5 s, 40 cycles of 98°C for 10 s, 50°C for 1.5 min and 72°C for 2 min.

Cloning and Expression

PCR products were double-digested with *KpnI* and *NgoMIV* in NEBufferTM 1.1 buffer (New England BioLabs) for 2 h at 37°C, cleaned using 1/2 volume of phenol and 1/2 volume of chloroform then 1 volume of chloroform, and heated to 70°C for 10 min to remove residual chloroform by evaporation.

The cloning vector was extracted from cells using only QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen) since other methods do not enable efficient restriction, digested with *KpnI* and *NgoMIV* in 1.1 buffer (New England BioLabs), and separated from the 114 bp insert on 1% agarose gel. Cut vector was extracted from gel using NucleoSpin[®] Gel and PCR Clean-up (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany). Cloning vector and insert were ligated overnight using T4 DNA ligase (Thermo Scientific, Lithuania) at 4°C. The next day, ligation was inactivated using 70°C for 10 min and dialyzed for 1 h on VSWP-25 filters (Millipore) against DDW. Ligations were transformed into electro-competent DH10B *E. coli* cells (4.5 μl into 30 μl cells), and shaken in 0.5 ml SOC medium at 37°C and 200 rpm. 0.25 ml was plated on LB-agar ampicillin (Amp) plates and incubated overnight. Colonies were transferred to 96-well plates with 230 μl LB-10% glycerol Amp for long-term storage. For initial color expression, plates were duplicated to U-shaped bottom 96-well plates (Thermo Scientific, Denmark) with 150 μl LB, 50 μg/ml Amp, 1.2 mM IPTG and 15 μM all-*trans* retinal, shaken at 37°C and 250 rpm, and covered overnight with AeraSealTM air permeable sheet (Excel Scientific, Victorville, CA, United States). Plates were centrifuged at 3,000× RCF (Sigma 4-16KS centrifuge) at room temperature for the detection of cell color.

Absorption Assay of Intact Cells and Purified Protein

A fresh colony was used to inoculate 50 ml LB 50 μg/ml Amp, 1.2 mM IPTG and 15 μM all-*trans* retinal, and shaken at 37°C and 200 rpm in a 125 ml Erlenmeyer. Cells were collected by centrifugation, washed twice with buffer A (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8 and 5 mM MgCl₂), then resuspended in 1 ml of the same solution. The absorbance of the supernatant was recorded between 400 and 800 nm using a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1800, Japan) against buffer A blank. In addition, absorbance spectra of purified protein were measured as described previously (Choi et al., 2013). Absorbance spectrums and proton pumping activities of the different chimeras are summarized in **Supplementary Data Sheet S2** with the subtraction of the negative control signal and purified protein spectra.


Sequencing and Phylogenetic Analysis

All clones were extracted using the standard alkaline lysis miniprep protocol and sequenced using standard M13R primer (GCGGATAACAATTTTCACACAGG, Macrogen, South Korea). The unique middle parts of each clone, excluding any primer sequences, were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers KY963379–KY963416, eliminating redundant sequences at each depth. Full detailed chimeric sequences of all clones are available in Supplementary Data Sheet S4.

A maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree was constructed using the phylogeny.fr pipeline (Dereeper et al., 2008), which included PhyML v3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010), the WAG substitution model for amino acids (Whelan and Goldman, 2001) and 100 bootstrap replicates.

Proton Pumping Activity Assay

Cells were inoculated from a fully thawed plate into two 96-well 2.2 ml plates (ABgene, United Kingdom, Cat. No. AB-0932)



filled with 1 ml LB (50 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ampicillin, 1.2 mM IPTG and 15 μM all-*trans* retinal) in each well and grown at 30°C, shaken at 700 rpm for 17 h. Cells were collected by centrifugation at 3,000 \times RCF (Sigma 4-16KS centrifuge) and washed twice with 0.5 ml minimal salt solution (10 mM NaCl, 10 mM MgSO₄, and 10 mM CaCl₂). Finally, cells from both plates were re-suspended in a 150 μl (final volume) minimal salt solution in dark well plates with a transparent bottom (Greiner Bio-One, Cat. No. 655096). Cells were allowed to settle for 10 min in the dark at RT, after which a functional screening was performed using a customized robotic system (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) as follows: pH from eight pH electrodes (Sentek, United Kingdom, Cat. No. P13/2.5M/BNC) was recorded simultaneously by a multi-parameter analyzer (Consort, Belgium, model 3060) with readings logged every 1 s for 3 min of dark, followed by 2 min of illumination by two LED lights, warm white light (2,600–3,700 CCT spanning 420–700 nm, intensity 12 lm, Cree Inc.) and blue light (485 nm peak, flux 10.7 lm, Cree Inc.) constituting all visible spectra under each well. The dark/light cycles were measured twice to confirm consistency. Eight wells


were measured simultaneously, each illuminated by two LED lights at a distance of 17 mm between the LEDs and the cells, a setup constructed by Neotec Scientific Instrumentation Ltd. (Kfar Saba, Israel). Each well received a light intensity of 450 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$.

ABBREVIATED PROTOCOL

See Supplementary Data Sheet S3.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We used a GPR-containing vector with designed restriction sites (Choi et al., 2013) and replaced the middle part of the PR with a shorter random DNA sequence that is incompatible with the open reading frame (ORF) of the third part of the chimera (**Supplementary Figure S1**), thereby introducing a premature stop codon. This new vector (pKa00X) was created



to avoid the high background (reddish colonies) observed with the original chimeric GPR vector (pKa001), which does not allow distinguishing newly found GPR from an uncut vector. The chimeric rhodopsin also contains a C-terminal 6-His tag, allowing the purification of positive proteins for further characterization.

We used extracted DNA samples collected from multiple depths (0 to 100 m at 20-m intervals). All samples tested positive for PRs, resulting in two PCR amplicons of ~400 and ~330 bp (Supplementary Figure S2). Two 96-well plates of clones were picked for each depth to detect colored colonies for further study (Figure 2). Out of a total of 1,152 colonies, 45 had visible color and were chosen for further characterization. The PRs obtained showed absorption spectra expected from the depth they were collected from, with yellow-absorbing PR (YPR; purple colonies) and GPR (red colonies) found in surface waters, while BPRs (orange colonies) were found mostly in the deeper samples (Figure 3A). The visual results allowed us to selectively choose the different positive phenotypes for further analysis and sequencing. The PRs amplified by our primers were diverse, with some being similar to PRs from different microbial groups ranging from Marine Group II (MGII) Euryarchaeota through the proteobacterial groups SAR11, SAR86, and SAR92, to Flavobacteria (Figure 3B).

Position 105 in PR is believed to be the main determining position for PR wavelength absorption (Man et al., 2003); non-polar methionine (Gómez-Consarnau et al., 2007) or leucine at position 105 results in GPR, while the polar glutamine residue at position 105 results in BPR. Several interesting exceptional cases were observed in our screen: clone 1 and 10 are YPR although they contain leucine at position 105, while clones 36, 37, and 44 appear as GPR although having a glutamine at position 105 (Figure 3). In the case of clones 36 and 37, we can presume that

the cause of the red shift could be attributed to another similar clone (clone 34, with only one amino acid change compared to clone 36; see Figure 4) absorbed in the blue. The change is a cysteine to a tyrosine residue in the loop region between transmembrane domains E and F. This region was previously reported to influence spectral tuning (Yoshitsugu et al., 2008). Further work with clones 1, 10, and 44 should be performed in order to understand their spectral tuning mechanism.

Furthermore, to test the absorption spectra, we compared our whole-cell measurements with purified protein measurement (Choi et al., 2013). A detailed comparison of the two methods is presented in Supplementary Data Sheet S2. The advantages of whole-cell measurement are rapid results acquisition with minimal expenses and equipment, which are specifically relevant to basic laboratory courses. On the other hand, purified protein measurement has a much greater signal-to-noise ratio due to the absence of cell content interference, yet requires a longer protocol with higher costs. Both spectra obtaining methods are influenced by the pH of the medium so the exact pH should be noted at each measurement. An abbreviated and detailed protocol is attached to the supplementary material for use in teaching and research (Supplementary Data Sheet S3).

CONCLUDING REMARKS

To determine if the clones are functional proton pumps, a light-driven proton pumping assay was performed as described in Pushkarev and Béjà, 2016). Proton pumping activity was observed in all chimeric PRs with varying intensities (Supplementary Data Sheet S2). This shows that although the clones generated by this assay are chimeric, they are functional (i.e., able to transport protons across the membrane), and

could therefore be used for teaching and discussing various aspects connected to rhodopsins, such as the use of uncouplers, change of membrane potential, proton pumping under various wavelengths, the conversion of light energy to potential or chemical energy, and more.

Expression of environmental PR fragments depends on primer matching, correct length of PCR product, frame and compatibility to the chimeric construct. Therefore, it would be interesting to compare the chimeric ligation results to standard TA cloning of the PCR fragments. This would allow the estimation of the rhodopsins “left in the dark” in such an experiment, and a comparison of the sequences to the ones that underwent expression in the chimeric vector. This could also be useful for altering the primers to better express rhodopsins from a specific environment. Even though conserved regions between helix C and F are important for color tuning (Man et al., 2003; Choi et al., 2013), it would also be interesting to create chimeric constructs where the constant part is of BPR or YPR origin for the expression of protein and testing the dominance of each part over the spectral tuning residues.

In terms of environmental implications, the fact that we retrieved two YPRs implies that besides the known GPRs and BPRs (and now YPR), more is yet to be found. Red light penetrates as deep as 25 m in clear oceanic water, therefore the existence of more natural YPR, as well as orange- and red-absorbing PR variants, are expected in future experiments with the new chimeric PR vector. Although we have tested using ocean genomic samples, this will also apply to freshwater, lakes, glaciers, etc.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AP and OB devised the initial idea for the project, and together with GH, SR, and K-HJ conceived the experiments. AP collected the environmental DNA and performed characterization of the chimeric proteorhodopsins. J-gS, AC, and K-HJ performed biophysical measurements. OB, AP, GH, and SR prepared the manuscript with contributions from all of the authors to the data analysis, figure generation, and the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Atamna-Ismaeel, N., Sabehi, G., Sharon, I., Witzel, K.-P., Labrenz, M., Jürgens, K., et al. (2008). Widespread distribution of proteorhodopsins in freshwater and brackish ecosystems. *ISME J.* 2, 656–662. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2008.27
- Atamna-Ismaeel, N., Sabehi, G., Sharon, I., Witzel, K.-P., Labrenz, M., Jürgens, K., et al. (2010). CORRIGENDUM: widespread distribution of proteorhodopsins in freshwater and brackish ecosystems. *ISME J.* 4:462. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2009.130
- Béjà, O., Aravind, L., Koonin, E. V., Suzuki, M. T., Hadd, A., Nguyen, L. P., et al. (2000). Bacterial rhodopsin: evidence for a new type of phototrophy in the sea. *Science* 289, 1902–1906. doi: 10.1126/science.289.5486.1902
- Béjà, O., Pinhassi, J., and Spudich, J. L. (2013). “Proteorhodopsins: widespread microbial light-driven proton pumps,” in *Encyclopedia of Biodiversity*, ed. S. A. Levin (New York, NY: Elsevier), 280–285.
- Béjà, O., Spudich, E. N., Spudich, J. L., Leclerc, M., and DeLong, E. F. (2001). Proteorhodopsin phototrophy in the ocean. *Nature* 411, 786–789. doi: 10.1038/35081051

FUNDING

This work was supported by Israel Science Foundation grant 1769/12, the I-CORE Program of the Planning and Budgeting Committee, the Grand Technion Energy Program (GTEP), The Leona M. and Harry B. Helmsley Charitable Trust reports on Alternative Energy series of the Technion – Israel Institute of Technology and Weizmann Institute of Science, and NRF grants of Korea-2016R1A6A3A11934084 and 2015R1D1A1A01058917.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the captain and crew of the R/V Sam Rothberg of the Inter University Institute in Eilat, Israel, for their expert assistance at sea and for providing their facilities for primary processing of the samples.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00439/full#supplementary-material>

FIGURE S1 | Chimeric construct for the expression of partial rhodopsin genes from the environment. **(A)** pKa001 is the original construct used for the expression of verified proteorhodopsin (PR) sequences in previous studies (GPR phenotype) compared to pKa00x harboring a short ORF disrupting random sequence (no phenotype) for background elimination during screening. **(B)** A schematic representation of the seven transmembranes of PR. The middle part is replaced by partial rhodopsins from the environment. The dominant spectral tuning residue is in the interchangeable region between helices C and F.

FIGURE S2 | PCR resulted in PR amplification at all depths tested on March 3, 2014 at station A, the Red Sea. Primers used in this reaction are listed in **Table 1**. The reaction resulted in two bands of approximately 400 and 330 bp. The marker used in this 1% agarose gel is 100 bp DNA Ladder RTU (GeneDireX®).

DATA SHEET S1 | CTD data.

DATA SHEET S2 | Absorbance spectrums and proton pumping activities of the different proteorhodopsin chimeras.

- Choi, A. R., Kim, S. J., Jung, B. H., and Jung, K. H. (2013). Characterization of the chimeric seven-transmembrane protein containing conserved region of helix C-F of microbial rhodopsin from Ganges River. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 97, 819–828. doi: 10.1007/s00253-012-4452-y
- Dereeper, A., Guignon, V., Blanc, G., Audic, S., Buffet, S., Chevenet, F., et al. (2008). Phylogeny.fr: robust phylogenetic analysis for the non-specialist. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 36, W465–W469. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkn180
- Finkel, O. M., Béjà, O., and Belkin, S. (2012). Global abundance of microbial rhodopsins. *ISME J.* 7, 448–451. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2012.112
- Gómez-Consarnau, L., González, J. M., Coll-Lladó, M., Gourdon, P., Pascher, T., Neutze, R., et al. (2007). Light stimulates growth of proteorhodopsin-containing marine Flavobacteria. *Nature* 445, 210–213. doi: 10.1038/nature05381
- Guindon, S., Dufayard, J. F., Lefort, V., Anisimova, M., Hordijk, W., and Gascuel, O. (2010). New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst. Biol.* 59, 307–321. doi: 10.1093/sysbio/syq010

- Koh, E. Y., Atamna-Ismaeel, N., Martin, A., Cowie, R. O., Bèjà, O., Davy, S. K., et al. (2010). Proteorhodopsin-bearing bacteria in Antarctic sea ice. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 76, 5918–5925. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00562-10
- Man, D., Wang, W., Sabehi, G., Aravind, L., Post, A. F., Massana, R., et al. (2003). Diversification and spectral tuning in marine proteorhodopsins. *EMBO J.* 22, 1725–1731. doi: 10.1093/emboj/cdg183
- Martínez, A., Bradley, A. S., Waldbauer, J., Summons, R. E., and DeLong, E. F. (2007). Proteorhodopsin photosystem gene expression enables photophosphorylation in heterologous host. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 5590–5595. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0611470104
- Oesterhelt, D., and Stoekenius, W. (1971). Rhodopsin-like protein from the purple membrane of *Halobacterium halobium*. *Nat. New Biol.* 233, 149–152. doi: 10.1038/newbio233149a0
- Philosof, A., and Bèjà, O. (2013). Bacterial, archaeal and viral-like rhodopsins from the Red Sea. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 5, 475–482. doi: 10.1111/1758-2229.12037
- Pinhassi, J., DeLong, E. F., Bèjà, O., Gonzalez, J. M., and Pedros-Alio, C. (2016). Marine bacterial and archaeal ion-pumping rhodopsins: genetic diversity, physiology and ecology. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 80, 929–954. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00003-16
- Pushkarev, A., and Bèjà, O. (2016). Functional metagenomic screen reveals new and diverse microbial rhodopsins. *ISME J.* 10, 2331–2335. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2016.7
- Rusch, D. B., Halpern, A. L., Heidelberg, K. B., Sutton, G., Williamson, S. J., Yoosheph, S., et al. (2007). The sorcerer II global ocean sampling expedition: I, The northwest Atlantic through the eastern tropical Pacific. *PLoS Biol.* 5:e77. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0050077
- Sabehi, G., Loy, A., Jung, K. H., Partha, R., Spudich, J. L., Isaacson, T., et al. (2005). New insights into metabolic properties of marine bacteria encoding proteorhodopsins. *PLoS Biol.* 3:e173. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030273
- Sharma, A. K., Sommerfeld, K., Bullerjahn, G. S., Matteson, A. R., Wilhelm, S. W., Jezbera, J., et al. (2009). Actinorhodopsin genes discovered in diverse freshwater habitats and among cultivated freshwater Actinobacteria. *ISME J.* 3, 726–737. doi: 10.1038/ismej.2009.13
- Venter, J. C., Remington, K., Heidelberg, J., Halpern, A. L., Rusch, D., Eisen, J. A., et al. (2004). Environmental genome shotgun sequencing of the Sargasso Sea. *Science* 304, 66–74. doi: 10.1126/science.1093857
- Whelan, S., and Goldman, N. (2001). A general empirical model of protein evolution derived from multiple protein families using a maximum-likelihood approach. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 18, 691–699. doi: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a003851
- Wright, J. J., Lee, S., Zaikova, E., Walsh, D. A., and Hallam, S. J. (2009). DNA extraction from 0.22 μ M sterivex filters and cesium chloride density gradient centrifugation. *J. Vis. Exp.* 31:1352. doi: 10.3791/1352
- Yoshitsugu, M., Shibata, M., Ikeda, D., Furutani, Y., and Kandori, H. (2008). Color change of proteorhodopsin by a single amino acid replacement at a distant cytoplasmic loop. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 47, 3923–3926. doi: 10.1002/anie.200705989
- Yutin, N., and Koonin, E. V. (2012). Proteorhodopsin genes in giant viruses. *Biol. Direct* 7:34. doi: 10.1186/1745-6150-7-34

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Pushkarev, Hevroni, Roitman, Shim, Choi, Jung and Bèjà. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.