



Prevalence and Population Genetics Analysis of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in Dairy Cattle in China

Hai-Yan Wang^{1,2*}, Meng Qi³, Ming-Fei Sun⁴, Dong-Fang Li², Rong-Jun Wang², Su-Mei Zhang², Jin-Feng Zhao², Jun-Qiang Li², Zhao-Hui Cui², Yuan-Cai Chen², Fu-Chun Jian², Rui-Ping Xiang¹, Chang-Shen Ning² and Long-Xian Zhang^{2*}

¹ Experimental and Research Center, Henan University of Animal Husbandry and Economy, Zhengzhou, China, ² College of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Henan Agricultural University, Zhengzhou, China, ³ College of Animal Science, Tarim University, Alar, China, ⁴ Institute of Animal Health, Guangdong Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Guangzhou, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Olga Matos, NOVA University Lisbon, Portugal

Reviewed by:

Majid Fasihi Harandi, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Iran Na Li, South China Agricultural University, China

*Correspondence:

Hai-Yan Wang wangheng800220@126.com Long-Xian Zhang zhanglx8999@henau.edu.cn; zhanglx8999@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 07 April 2019 **Accepted:** 04 June 2019 **Published:** 25 June 2019

Citation:

Wang H-Y, Qi M, Sun M-F, Li D-F, Wang R-J, Zhang S-M, Zhao J-F, Li J-Q, Cui Z-H, Chen Y-C, Jian F-C, Xiang R-P, Ning C-S and Zhang L-X (2019) Prevalence and Population Genetics Analysis of Enterocytozoon bieneusi in Dairy Cattle in China. Front. Microbiol. 10:1399. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01399 Enterocytozoon bieneusi, an obligate intracellular pathogen, can infect various hosts. In this study, 3527 dairy cattle fecal specimens were collected from different geographic locations in China (including 673 from Shandong province, 1,440 from Guangdong province and 1,414 from Gansu province) and examined for the presence of E. bieneusi using polymerase chain reactions targeting the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS). The dominant genotypes identified were further subtyped by multilocus sequence typing. The overall prevalence of E. bieneusi was 14.2% (501/3527), with a significant difference in prevalence among the different geographical locations (P < 0.001). Our logistic regression analysis showed that all four variables (farming model, location, age, and clinical manifestations) had strong effects on the risk of contracting E. bieneusi. Sequence analysis revealed 11 genotypes: eight known genotypes (J, I, BEB4, BEB10, D, EbpC, CM19, and CM21) and three novel genotypes (named here as CGC1, CGC2, and CGC3). Genotypes J and I, the commonest, were found on all farms across the three provinces. Our linkage disequilibrium analysis showed a clonal population structure in the E. bieneusi dairy cattle population but the ITS genotypes had different population structures. Phylogenetic and haplotype network analysis showed the absence of geographical segregation in the E. bieneusi dairy cattle populations. Instead, they revealed the presence of host adaptation to the E. bieneusi populations in various animals. Our findings augment the current understanding of E. bieneusi transmission dynamics.

Keywords: Enterocytozoon bieneusi, prevalence, dairy cattle, China, multilocus genotyping, zoonotic infection

INTRODUCTION

Microsporidia, a diverse group of emerging opportunistic pathogens with more than 1,300 named species, are classified as fungi (Mathis et al., 2005). Among approximately 17 human infective microsporidia species, *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* is the most commonly detected (Matos et al., 2012). *E. bieneusi* can cause gastrointestinal illnesses such as wasting syndrome and chronic diarrhea in immunocompromised people (organ transplant recipients, patients with cancer or AIDS), but

remains asymptomatic in the immunocompetent (Didier and Weiss, 2011). *E. bieneusi* has also been detected in livestock, companion animals and wildlife, and even in environmental water samples (Santín and Fayer, 2011; Guo et al., 2014).

The DNA sequence of the ribosomal internal transcribed spacer (ITS) has been frequently used as the standard method for determining the genotypes of E. bieneusi (Santín and Fayer, 2009b), and phylogenetic analysis has revealed that over 300 E. bieneusi ITS genotypes cluster into at least 11 large groups (Li et al., 2018). Group 1 contains most genotypes found in humans (e.g., EbpC, D, EbpD, Peru8, Peru11, and type IV), and with its likely transmission between humans and other animals this group is considered to be zoonotic. Groups 2-11 have a narrow host range and only infect particular animals (e.g., ruminants, non-human primates, horses, and dogs) (Guo et al., 2014). To date, over 40 E. bieneusi genotypes have been identified in cattle worldwide, most of which belong to Group 2 (Fayer et al., 2003, 2007; Sulaiman et al., 2004; Santin et al., 2012; Del Coco et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2015; da Silva Fiuza et al., 2016; Li J. et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2018). However, some genotypes (I, J, BEB4, and BEB6) from Group 2, which were originally regarded as ruminant-specific, are considered to have reduced host specificity because of the sporadic infections they cause in other hosts including humans (Sak et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2015), implying the possibility of them having zoonotic transmission.

Nevertheless, the use of a single-marker typing method has limitations in representing the whole genome of E. bieneusi (\sim 6 Mb total length), and with the possibility of a sexual phase in the E. bieneusi lifecycle (Widmer and Akiyoshi, 2010) such an approach will be inadequate for inferring subgroup-level phylogenies. The multilocus sequence typing (MLST) tool approach, which has higher resolution, has been effectively used to characterize the population genetic structures of Cryptosporidium, E. bieneusi, and Cyclospora cayetanensis (Feng et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012, 2013; 2017; Karim et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2016; Wan et al., 2016). Geographical regions, transmission intensities, genetic variation and adaptive selection within species contribute to shape diverse population structures: clonal, epidemic, and panmictic (Tanriverdi et al., 2006). These evoluting processes have caused the association of the population structures with specific transmission patterns, parasite virulence, the emergence of hostadapted and geographical segregation and hypertransmissible populations with different genetic structures and public health potential (Feng et al., 2018).

As one of the largest animal husbandry countries, China raises very large numbers of dairy cattle annually. Although many studies have reported the prevalence and genotypes of *E. bieneusi* in dairy cattle from different Chinese provinces or cities, including Henan, Hebei, Tianjin, Ningxia, and Xinjiang (Li J. et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2017; Qi et al., 2017), these observations on *E. bieneusi* in China would benefit from substantiation by studies conducted in other geographic locations to fully determine the overall picture. Therefore, in this study, we investigated the prevalence of *E. bieneusi* in dairy cattle from other geographic locations in China and assessed the

population structure of the common *E. bieneusi* ITS genotypes by MLST analyses.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics Statement

This study was performed strictly according to the recommendations of the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the Ministry of Health, China. Our protocol was reviewed and approved by the Research Ethics Committee (Approval No. LVRIAEC 2016-011) of Henan Agricultural University (Zhengzhou city, China). The locations where we sampled did not involve endangered or protected species and no specific permits were required. All fecal specimens were collected based on the accessibility of the animals for sampling and each owner's willingness to participate in the study.

Collection of Fecal Samples and DNA Extraction

In total, 3527 feces samples from dairy cattle under 1 year of age from 24 farms in Shandong (673 samples from 5 farms), Guangdong (1,440 samples from 10 farms), and Gansu province (1,414 samples from 9 farms) were collected and examined. Each dairy farm was sampled on one occasion between November 2017 and September 2018, and 15–20% of the each herd was sampled. The fecal samples were collected from the rectum or immediately picked up using sterile gloves after defecation, and then stored on ice. Genomic DNA was extracted from each sample using the E.Z.N.A.[®] Stool DNA Kit (D4015-02, Omega Bio-Tek, Inc., Norcross, GA, United States) according to the manufacturer's instructions and then stored at -20° C until used for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) analyses. All samples were processed within 24 h of collection.

PCR Amplification

Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotypes from the dairy cattle residing in different geographical regions were determined by nested PCR amplification of an ~390 bp fragment of the ribosomal ITS spacer, and using primers whose sequences have been described previously (Buckholt et al., 2002) (**Table 1**). Each PCR was conducted in a 25 μ L volume, containing 0.3 μ M of each primer, 12.5 μ L 2 × EasyTaq PCR SuperMix (TransGen Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China), 1 μ L of genomic DNA for the primary PCR and 1 μ L of the primary amplification product for the secondary PCR, and 10.9 μ L of deionized water. Positive (dairy cattle-derived genotype J DNA) and negative controls (sterile water) were included in each test.

MLST PCR and Sequencing

Together with the ITS, one minisatellite (MS4) and three microsatellite markers (MS1, MS3, and MS7) were used in the MLST analysis. The PCR primers and amplification conditions

Gene locus	Primer	Sequence (5′–3′)	Amplicon length (bp) (GenBank accession number)	Annealing temperature (°C
ITS	EBITS3	GGTCATAGGGATGAAGAG	435	57
	BITS4	TTCGAGTTCTTTCGCGCTC		
	BITS1	GCTCTGAATATCTATGGCT	390 (MK559494–MK559496)	55
	EBITS2.4	ATCGCCGACGGATCCAAGTG		
VIS1	F1	CAAGTTGCAAGTTCAGTGTTTGAA	843	58
	R1	GATGAATATGCATCCATTGATGTT		
	F2	TTGTAAATCGACCAAATGTGCTAT	676 (MH560534–MH560563)	58
	R2	GGACATAAACCACTAATTAATGTAAC		
/IS3	F1	CAAGCACTGTGGTTACTGTT	702	55
	R1	AAGTTAGGGCATTTAATAAAATTA		
	F2	GTTCAAGTA ATTGATACCAGTCT	537 (MH560519–MH560526)	55
	R2	CTCATTGAATCTAAATGTGTATAA		
NS4	F1	GCATATCGTCTCATAGGAACA	965	55
	R1	GTTCATGGTTATTAATTCCAGAA		
	F2	CGAAGTGTACTACATGTCTCT	885 (MH560527–MH560533)	55
	R2	GGACTTTAATAAGTTACCTATAGT		
MS7	F1	GTTGATCGTCCAGATGGAATT	684	55
	R1	GACTATCAGTATTACTGATTATAT		
	F2	CAATAGTAAAGGAAGATGGTCA	471 (MH560564–MH560566)	55
	R2	CGTCGCTTTGTTTCATAATCTT		

TABLE 1 | PCR primers used in this study.

used for the four markers were the same as those previously described (Feng et al., 2011) (Table 1). Considering E. bieneusi ITS genotypes J, I, and BEB4 as being the dominant genotypes found in different geographic locations in China and their recently certified potential zoonotic properties, specimens that belonged to these genotypes were selected for further MLST analysis. Specifically, 2-3 isolates were chosen from each E. bieneusi-positive farm in the different geographical locations (Figure 1). With these selected samples, we tried to incorporate representatives of the different dominant pathogen genotypes, and representatives of the different clinical signs and age groups for the dairy cattle. A total of 155 E. bieneusi specimens were used in this study. The number of isolates and their ITS genotype designations by geographic location are shown in Table 2. Most of the specimens were genotyped in this study, whereas the remaining specimens from Shaanxi and Shanghai were included and genotyped by the same technique in previous studies (Wang et al., 2016; Tang et al., 2018).

Secondary PCR products were agarose gel electrophoresed, and then visualized and examined after GelRedTM (Biotium Inc., Hayward, CA, United States) staining. The secondary PCR products were bidirectionally sequenced on an ABI PRISMTM 3730 XL DNA Analyzer using the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, United States). Raw sequences were assembled and edited with Chromas Pro version 2.1.3 (Technelysium Pty., Ltd., Helensvale, QLD, Australia). The sequences obtained were compared with the reference sequences downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information¹ using Clustal X 2.0².

Linkage Disequilibrium (LD) Analysis

The values for the standardized index of association (I^{S}_{A}) were calculated using the LIAN 3.5 program³ on the fivelocus haplotypes. Moreover, the variance of pair-wise differences $(V_{\rm D})$ and 95% confidence limits (L) were also calculated as another test of LD.

Phylogenetic and Sub-Population Analysis

An analysis of the phylogenetic relationships among the E. bieneusi isolates was performed by ITS sequencing and the resultant sequences were concatenated for all 5 polymorphic markers by a neighbor-joining (NJ) analysis in MEGA 7.01, as based on the Kimura 2-parameter model using 1000 bootstrap replicates. Additionally, median-joining phylogenies were generated using Network software version 5.04 under the default parameters. Networks were then arranged by hand and nodes colored using Network Publisher version 5.0.0.05. E. bieneusi isolates from different hosts and geographic origins, including the E. bieneusi isolates belonging to ITS zoonotic Group 1 (genotypes D, EbpC, type IV, horse1, Nig2, EBITS3, Henan-1, WL11, CM1, and CM2), Group 6 (genotypes horse2 and Nig3), Group 10 (genotypes CHB1, CSK1, and ABB1) and an outlier genotype (Nig5) were also included in the multilocus phylogeny and haplotype network analysis (Karim et al., 2014; Wan et al., 2016; Li et al., 2019).

³http://guanine.evolbio.mpg.de/cgi-bin/lian/lian.cgi.pl

⁴www.fluxus-engineering.com/sharenet.htm

⁵http://fluxus-engineering.com/nwpub.htm

¹https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ ²http://www.clustal.org



Statistical Analysis

Comparisons of *E. bieneusi* prevalence (δ) in dairy cattle between the different geographical locations (x1), ages (x2), clinical signs in the animals (x3), and farming model (x4) were performed using the chi-squared test. All results were considered statistically significant at P < 0.01. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) were calculated to explore the strength of the association between *E. bieneusi* positivity and the variables tested. The impacts of the multiple variables were also evaluated by multivariable regression analysis using SPSS 22.0 version (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States).

RESULTS

Prevalence of E. bieneusi

Of the 3,527 dairy cattle fecal specimens we tested, 501 (14.2%) were found to be *E. bieneusi*-positive by nested PCR amplification of the ITS region. *E. bieneusi* was detected in 21 of the 24 farms surveyed, with infection rates ranging between 0 and 42.4% (**Table 3**). The results of the univariate and multiple analyses are summarized in **Table 4**. In the final model, all four variables had strong effects on *E. bieneusi* prevalence, as described by the equation

TABLE 2 The E. bieneusi isolates used for further intra-genotypic variation
analysis in this study and their associated ITS genotypes.

Location	Number	ITS genotype (number)	References		
Beijing	14	J (5); I (4); BEB4 (5)	Hu et al., 2017		
Tianjin	15	J (11); BEB4 (4)	Hu et al., 2017		
Henan	20	J (11); I (5), BEB4 (4)	Li J. et al., 2016		
Guangdong	23	J (12); I (8); BEB4 (3)	This study		
Shandong	21	J (18); I (1); BEB4 (2)	This study		
Gansu	21	J (8); I (8); BEB4 (5)	This study		
Xinjiang	21	J (4); I (9); BEB4 (8)	Qi et al., 2017		
Shaanxi	10	J (5); I (5)	Wang et al., 2016		
Shanghai	10	J (8); BEB4 (2)	Tang et al., 2018		
Total	155	J (82); I (40); BEB4 (33)			

 $y = -0.455 \times x4 + 2.069 \times x1 + 0.929 \times x2 + 0.970 \times x3 - 4.124$. Farming model had a negative effect on the risk of *E. bieneusi*, for which the OR was 0.65 (95% CI 0.52–0.82). Dairy cattle managed by outdoor-free practices (18.6% positive) showed a significantly higher *E. bieneusi* prevalence compared with those managed by intensive-closed practices (13.1% positive). Notably, clinical manifestations in the cattle, age and the geographical region also had strong effects on the risk of contracting *E. bieneusi*. Dairy cattle in Gansu Province (22.6% positive) were considered to have higher positivity rates compared with those from the two other

Location	Farm ID	Farming model	No. tested	No. positive (%)	Genotype (n)
Shandong Province	1	Intensive-closed	141	5 (3.54%)	J (5)
Shandong Province	2	Intensive-closed	136	0	-
	3	Intensive-closed	121	6 (4.41%)	– BEB4 (1), J (5)
	4	Intensive-closed	135	3 (2.22)	J (3)
	5	Outdoor-free	140	7 (5.0%)	J (5), I (1), BEB4 (1)
Subtotal	5	Outdool-liee	673	21 (3.12)	J (18), BEB4 (2), I (1)
Guangdong Province	1	Intensive-closed	82	6 (7.3%)	J (6)
Cluariguong r Tovince	2	Outdoor-free	67	9 (13.4%)	l (9)
	2	Outdoor-free	40	9 (13.4 <i>%</i>) 0	1 (9)
	4	Intensive-closed	111	25 (22.5%)	– I (7), J (14), D (4)
	4 5	Outdoor-free	138	23 (16.7%)	I (9), J (13), BEB4 (1)
	6	Intensive-closed	138	· · · · ·	
	6 7	Intensive-closed	374	17 (14.4%)	J (13), BEB4 (2), EbpC (2)
			12	28 (7.4%) 0	l (18), J (10) –
	8	Outdoor-free			
	9	Intensive-closed	319	27 (8.4%)	l (23), J (4)
O. detetel	10	Intensive-closed	179	25 (13.9%)	
Subtotal		laterative allocated	1440	160 (11.1%)	J (60), I (91), BEB4 (3), EbpC (2), D (4)
Gansu Province	1	Intensive-closed	125	30 (24)	J (20), I (10)
	2	Intensive-closed	55	8 (14.5%)	
	3	Intensive-closed	94	22 (23.4%)	J (18), I (4)
	4	Outdoor-free	125	53 (42.4%)	J (30), I (6), CGC 3 ^a (11), BEB4 (5), CM21 (1)
	5	Outdoor-free	137	31 (22.6%)	J (15), I (7), CGC 1 ^a (6), BEB10 (3)
	6	Outdoor-free	57	10 (17.5%)	J (9), I (1)
	7	Intensive-closed	226	8 (3.5%)	J (8)
	8	Intensive-closed	200	4 (2%)	J (4)
	9	Intensive-closed	395	154 (40%)	J (43), I (98), CGC 2 ^a (8), CM19 (5)
Subtotal			1414	320 (22.6%)	J (155), I (126), BEB4 (5), BEB10 (3), CM19 (5), CM21 (1), CGC1 (6) ^a , CGC2 (8) ^a , CGC3 (11) ^a
Total	24		3527	01 (14.2)	J (225), I (226), BEB4 (10), BEB10 (3), D (4), EbpC (2), CM19 (5), CM21 (1), CGC1 (6) ^a , CGC2 (8) ^a , CGC3 (11) ^a

TABLE 3 | Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotypes identified in dairy cattle from different farms in three Chinese geographic regions.

^aNovel types from this study.

TABLE 4 | Factors associated with the prevalence of *E. bieneusi* in dairy cattle in three Chinese geographic regions.

Factor	Category	No. tested	No. positive	% (95% CI)	OR (95% CI) ^a	P-value ^a	OR (95% CI) ^b	P-value ^b
Location	Shandong Province	673	21	3.1 (1.8–4.4)	Reference	P < 0.01	Reference	P < 0.01
	Guangdong Province	1440	160	11.1 (9.5–12.7)	3.88 (2.44–6.18)		4.77 (2.97–7.65)	
	Gansu Province	1441	320	22.6 (20.4–24.8)	9.08 (5.78–14.27)		13.20 (8.28–21.04)	
Age	Pre-weaned	897	82	9.1 (7.3–11.0)	Reference	P < 0.01	Reference	P < 0.01
	Post-weaned	2630	419	15.9 (14.5–17.3)	1.88 (1.47–2.42)		2.98 (2.28–3.89)	
Clinical symptom	Non-diarrhea	3196	431	13.5 (12.3–14.7)	Reference	P < 0.01	Reference	P < 0.01
	Diarrhea	331	70	21.1 (16.7–25.6)	1.72 (1.30–2.28)		3.19 (2.32–4.38)	
Farming model	Outdoor-free	716	133	18.6 (18.0–19.2)	Reference	P < 0.01	Reference	P < 0.01
	Intensive-closed	2811	368	13.1 (12.9–13.3)	0.66 (0.53–0.82)		0.65 (0.52–0.82)	
Total		3527	501	14.2 (13.1–15.4)				

^aUnivariate analysis; ^bMultivariate analysis.

provinces (Guangdong, 11.1%; Shandong, 3.1%). Furthermore, post-weaned (15.9% positive) dairy cattles and diarrheal animals (21.1% positive) were more susceptible to *E. bieneusi* than

pre-weaned cattles (9.1% positive) and animals with clinical signs (13.5% positive), respectively, for which the ORs were 2.98 (95% CI 2.28–3.89) and 3.19 (95% CI 2.32–4.38), respectively.

Genotype Distribution

A total of 11 *E. bieneusi* ITS genotypes were identified from the 501 successfully sequenced specimens, including eight known genotypes (J, I, BEB4, BEB10, D, EbpC, CM19, and CM21) and three novel genotypes (CGC1, CGC2, and CGC3). Among them, *E. bieneusi* ITS genotypes J (n = 225, 44.9%) and I (n = 226, 45.1%) were the dominant ones in our study (**Table 3**). The remaining genotypes were seen in only 0–10 *E. bieneusi*-positive calves. Two genotypes (D and EbpC) clustered into zoonotic Group 1, while the remaining genotypes clustered into Group 2 (**Figure 2**). Among the three geographic locations, Gansu province showed the highest genetic diversity in its sampled cattle (nine *E. bieneusi* ITS genotypes) compared with Guangdong province (with five genotypes) and Shandong province (with three genotypes).

Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST) and Analysis

Altogether, 106 specimens were successfully amplified at all five loci, generating 30, 8, 7, and 3 genotypes at MS1, MS3, MS4, and MS7 loci, respectively. A total of 71 multilocus genotypes (MLGs) were formed (Supplementary Table S1). The I^{S}_{A} values for the overall dairy cattle population and ITS genotype subpopulations are shown in Table 5. When all the isolates were used in the analysis, I_{A}^{S} was >0 and V_{D} was greater than L, indicating the presence of LD and a clonal population structure for E. bieneusi in the overall dairy cattle population of China. Considering each group of isolates with the same MLST subtype as one individual, the ISA value obtained was still above zero for the overall dairy cattle population ($I^{S}_{A} = 0.0513, V_{D} > L$) and ITS genotype J ($I^{S}_{A} = 0.0917$, $V_{D} > L$), suggesting a clonal population structure. In contrast, evidence for linkage equilibrium (LE) was obtained for ITS genotype I and BEB4 (genotypes I: $I_A^S = 0.0394$, $P_{MC} = 0.0134$, and $V_D < L$; genotypes BEB4: I^{S}_{A} = 0.0484, P_{MC} = 0.0079, and V_{D} < L), finally indicating that the two subpopulations under comparison had epidemic population structures.

Phylogenetic and Structure Analysis

We performed multilocus phylogenetic and genetic network analyses for the *E. bieneusi* isolates from dairy cattle (n = 71) and other hosts (pigs, horse, humans, non-human primates, bears, and kangaroo, n = 44). All specimens used in the phylogenetic analysis formed three main clusters; one contained zoonotic MLGs from NHPs, humans and pigs, the remaining two contained MLGs with Group 2 and Group 10 that are specific to cattles and bears, respectively. No clear geographically segregated groups were seen among all the dairy cattle specimens (**Figure 3**). Median-joining network analysis showed the zoonotic MLGs were in the central position, while isolates from dairy cattles and bears occupied the peripheral position.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, the overall infection rate for *E. bieneusi* was 14.2% (501/3527). Different infection rates in dairy cattle have been reported for studies from China, including Henan and

Ningxia (29.3%), Hebei and Tianjin (19.4%), Shaanxi (19.5%), Xinjiang (17.7%), and Shanghai (26.5%) (Li J. et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016; Hu et al., 2017; Qi et al., 2017; Tang et al., 2018), and for North America (17.0 and 24.0%) (Faver et al., 2003; Santín and Fayer, 2009b), Brazil (17.5%) (da Silva Fiuza et al., 2016), Argentina (14.3%) (Del Coco et al., 2014), and the Czechia (2.5%) (Juránková et al., 2013). The discrepant values among these studies might result from various factors, such as differences in the animal management systems, sample sizes, climatic and environmental conditions, as well as the health status of the animals. In our analysis of the effects of multiple variables on E. bieneusi, post-weaned dairy cattles were more susceptible to E. bieneusi than pre-weaned animals, which agreed with a previous study in Maryland where preweaned calves (11.7%) showed a significantly lower prevalence than post-weaned calves (44.4%) (Santín and Fayer, 2009a). Moreover, the farming management system showed a strong effect on the risk of contracting an E. bieneusi infection, probably because free-range dairy cattle have more opportunities to come into contact with contaminated food and water than those kept indoors.

Sequence analysis of the ITS sequences from the E. bieneusipositive isolates highlighted the presence of high genetic diversity in the E. bieneusi genotypes. We identified genotypes I and J as the dominant ones in the present study. Similar results to ours have been reported in the Czechia, United States, Brazil, Argentina, and China (Sulaiman et al., 2004; Fayer et al., 2007; Santin et al., 2012; Juránková et al., 2013; Del Coco et al., 2014; Jiang et al., 2015; Ma et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2015; da Silva Fiuza et al., 2016; Li J. et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2016). These dominant genotypes (notably I, J, BEB4, and BEB6), which were previously considered to be adapted to ruminants, can have a broad-host range and are therefore becoming of increasing zoonotic concern. For example, genotype I was detected in monkeys (Karim et al., 2014), and genotype J in deer (Santín and Fayer, 2015), chickens (Reetz et al., 2002), and pigeons (Pirestani et al., 2013), while BEB4 was detected in pigs, and BEB6 in deer (Zhao et al., 2014), horses (Qi et al., 2016), and pet chinchillas (Qi et al., 2015). The common E. bieneusi genotypes have also been reported in children in China and in immunocompetent people in the Czechia (Sak et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2013; Jiang et al., 2015). The occurrence of zoonotic genotypes suggests that dairy cattle may be potential reservoirs of infection and play a role in the epidemiology of E. bieneusi.

In the present study, strong LD has revealed a clonal population structure in the overall population of dairy cattle, further supporting the finding that *E. bieneusi* undergoes predominantly clonal propagation in dairy cattle, which probably reflects the narrow-host range and lower transmission intensity of *E. bieneusi* genotypes. This observation is similar to what has been reported elsewhere; specifically that *E. bieneusi* isolates from AIDS patients in Peru, Nigeria, and India have a clonal population structure (Li et al., 2013). A clonal population structure for *E. bieneusi* was also found in non-human primates, pigs, pandas, and fur animals (Karim et al., 2014; Li W. et al., 2016, 2017; Wan et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the possibility of genetic recombination among some of the genotypes cannot be



Population	No.	Hd	I ^S A	P _{MC}	VD	L	$V_{\rm D} > L$
All (10 locations)	106	0.6386 ± 0.1449	0.1126	<0.001	1.0649	0.7673	Y
All (10 locations) ^a	71	0.9392 ± 0.1505	0.0513	<0.001	0.8442	0.7472	Y
I	32	0.4303 ± 0.1550	0.1154	<0.001	1.0890	0.8916	Y
J	43	0.4901 ± 0.1967	0.1064	<0.001	0.6780	0.5228	Y
BEB4	31	0.4413 ± 0.1562	0.2100	<0.001	1.3711	0.8797	Y
l ^a	22	0.4877 ± 0.1687	0.0394	0.0134	0.7869	0.8583	Ν
Ja	32	0.5015 ± 0.1989	0.0917	<0.001	0.6275	0.5130	Y
BEB4 ^a	17	0.4926 ± 0.1861	0.0484	0.0079	0.6653	0.6801	Ν

Hd, mean genetic diversity; I^{S}_{A} , standardized index of association calculated using the LIAN 3.5 program; P_{MC} , significance of obtaining this value in 1,000 simulations using the Monte Carlo method; V_{D} , variance of pairwise differences; L, 95% critical value for V_{D} ; $V_{D} > L$ indicates linkage disequilibrium. ^aConsidering isolates with the same MLG as one individual.



FIGURE 3 Phylogenetic and haplotype network of *E. bieneusi* isolates from different hosts and geographic origins. All dairy cattle *E. bieneusi* isolates (n = 71) identified in this study were included in the analyses and some of the Group 1 isolates (n = 30) with genotypes being D, EbpC, type IV, horse1, Nig2, EBITS3, Henan-1, WL11, CM1, and CM2, Group 6 isolates (n = 3) with genotypes being horse2 and Nig3, Group 10 isolates (n = 10) with genotypes being CHB1, CSK1, and ABB1, and an outlier isolate genotype Nig5 were selected for comparative analysis. (**A**) NJ phylogenetic analysis of all *E. bieneusi* isolates by the Kimura 2-parameter model, implemented in MEGA version 7.01. The letters B, H, Ho, P, C, N, and K, indicate the isolates were sampled from bear, human, horse, pig, cattle, non-human primates, and kangaroo, respectively. Bootstrap values of N > 50% from 1,000 replicates are displayed. (**B**) Median-joining analysis of the MLST data from all *E. bieneusi* specimens using the Network program. Circles are proportional to the frequency of each genotype and node sizes are proportional to the total haplotype frequencies. The colors within the circles represent the different ITS genotypes.

excluded. Our analysis of the allelic profile data showed that ITS genotypes I and BEB4 are in LE and have epidemic population structures (I_{A}^{S} was >0 and V_{D} < L), which indicates that genetic recombination has occurred among them. This situation is similar to that reported previously where a clonal population was reported for the zoonotic ITS genotypes D and Type IV and an epidemic population in genotype A, which only infects human AIDS patients (Li et al., 2012, 2013). Further information was reported by Karim et al. (2014) who observed that the ITS genotypes CM1, Type IV and D had epidemic population structures, while Li W. et al. (2016) revealed population differentiation in fur animals with ITS genotype D from two known human E. bieneusi populations with ITS genotypes D and IV (a clonal structure) and with ITS genotype A (an epidemic structure) in their study. Collectively, the observations on the overall clonality and epidemic population structures of subpopulation structures in dairy cattle, AIDS patients in Peru, Nigeria, and India, non-human primates, and fur animals further support the probability of sexual recombination occurring in E. bieneusi (Lee et al., 2008). The determination of the population genetic structure of E. bieneusi is undoubtedly vital to the understanding of its transmission patterns.

The high MLG diversity revealed in the present study on *E. bieneusi* is based on only three ITS genotypes (I, J, and BEB4). The *E. bieneusi* MLGs from dairy cattle showed no signs of geographical segregation by phylogenetic and haplotype networks analysis, indicating that they most likely originate from a single clonal type. Although this phenomenon might be related to frequent animal transport, the food and feed trade, and frequent floods, the *E. bieneusi* MLST data from different hosts shows a clear host separation, revealing the potential occurrence of directed genetic differentiation from zoonotic to host-adapted in *E. bieneusi* (Li and Xiao, 2019; Li et al., 2019). More MLST data are patently needed to further assess the level of host specificity for this species.

CONCLUSION

Enterocytozoon bieneusi in dairy cattle in China exhibits a high level of genetic diversity in our study. The detection of *E. bieneusi* zoonotic genotypes suggests that dairy cattle may be reservoir hosts for zoonotic *E. bieneusi* infections and play a role in the epidemiology of this fungal pathogen. MLST analysis revealed a high level of MLG diversity in the same ITS gene sequences. LD analysis revealed a clonal structure within the overall population of dairy cattle. No significant geographic

REFERENCES

- Buckholt, M. A., Lee, J. H., and Tzipori, S. (2002). Prevalence of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in swine: an 18-month survey at a slaughterhouse in Massachusetts. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68, 2595–2599. doi: 10.1128/AEM.68.5.2595-2599. 2002
- da Silva Fiuza, V. R., Lopes, C. W., de Oliveira, F. C., Fayer, R., and Santin, M. (2016). New findings of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in beef and dairy cattle in Brazil. *Vet. Parasitol.* 216, 46–51. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2015.12.008

segregation in *E. bieneusi* MLGs from dairy cattle was observed. Instead, the data have revealed the presence of host adaptation of *E. bieneusi* to different hosts. The findings presented here enhance our current understanding of the transmission dynamics of this pathogen.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data sets supporting the conclusions of this article are included in the article. All ITS, MS1, MS3, MS4, and MS7 nucleotide sequences from dairy cattle-isolated *E. bieneusi* in this study are deposited in the GenBank database under accession numbers MK559494–MK559496, MH560534–MH560563, MH560519–MH560526, MH560527–MH560533, and MH560564–MH560566, respectively.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

L-XZ conceived and designed the research. M-FS, Z-HC, and MQ collected the samples. H-YW, J-FZ, S-MZ, J-QL, Y-CC, and MQ performed the experiments. D-FL, R-JW, F-CJ, R-PX, and C-SN analyzed the data. H-YW wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING

This study was supported, in part, by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31802181), the Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province (162300410129), and the open fund of the Key Laboratory of Livestock Disease Prevention of Guangdong Province (YDWS1704).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Sandra Cheesman, Ph.D. from Liwen Bianji, Edanz Group China (www.liwenbianji.cn/ac), for editing the English text of a draft of this manuscript.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2019.01399/full#supplementary-material

- Del Coco, V. F., Cordoba, M. A., Bilbao, G., de Almeida Castro, P., Basualdo, J. A., and Santin, M. (2014). First report of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* from dairy cattle in Argentina. *Vet. Parasitol.* 199, 112–115. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2013. 09.024
- Didier, E. S., and Weiss, L. M. (2011). Microsporidiosis: not just in AIDS patients. Curr. Opin. Infect. Dis. 24, 490–495. doi: 10.1097/QCO.0b013e32834aa152
- Fayer, R., Santín, M., and Trout, J. M. (2003). First detection of *microsporidia* in dairy calves in North America. *Parasitol. Res.* 90, 383–386. doi: 10.1007/s00436-003-0870-1

- Fayer, R., Santin, M., and Trout, J. M. (2007). Enterocytozoon bieneusi in mature dairy cattle on farms in the eastern United States. Parasitol. Res. 102, 15–20. doi: 10.1007/s00436-007-0746-x
- Feng, Y., Li, N., Dearen, T., Lobo, M. L., Matos, O., Cama, V., et al. (2011). Development of a multilocus sequence typing tool for highresolution genotyping of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77, 4822–4828. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02803-10
- Feng, Y., Ryan, U. M., and Xiao, L. (2018). Genetic diversity and population structure of *Cryptosporidium*. *Trends Parasitol.* 34, 997–1011. doi: 10.1016/j.pt. 2018.07.009
- Guo, Y., Roellig, D. M., Li, N., Tang, K., Frace, M., Ortega, Y., et al. (2016). Multilocus sequence typing tool for *Cyclospora cayetanensis. Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 22, 1464–1467. doi: 10.3201/eid2208.150696
- Guo, Y. Q., Alderisio, K. A., Yang, W. L., Cama, V., Feng, Y. Y., and Xiao, L. H. (2014). Host specificity and source of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* genotypes in a drinking source watershed. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 80, 218–225. doi: 10.1128/ AEM.02997-13
- Hu, S., Liu, Z., Yan, F., Zhang, Z., Zhang, G., Zhang, L., et al. (2017). Zoonotic and host-adapted genotypes of *Cryptosporidium* spp., *Giardia duodenalis* and *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in dairy cattle in Hebei and Tianjin, China. Vet. Parasitol. 248, 68–73. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2017.10.024
- Jiang, Y., Tao, W., Wan, Q., Li, Q., Yang, Y., Lin, Y., et al. (2015). Zoonotic and potentially host-adapted *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* genotypes in sheep and cattle in northeast China and an increasing concern about the zoonotic importance of previously considered ruminant-adapted genotypes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 81, 3326–3335. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00328-15
- Juránková, J., Kamler, M., Kovařčí, K., and Koudela, B. (2013). Enterocytozoon bieneusi in bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV) infected and noninfected cattle herds. Res. Vet. Sci. 94, 100–104. doi: 10.1016/j.rvsc.2012.07.016
- Karim, M. R., Wang, R., He, X., Zhang, L., Li, J., Rume, F. I., et al. (2014). Multilocus sequence typing of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in nonhuman primates in China. *Vet. Parasitol.* 200, 13–23. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2013.12.004
- Lee, S. C., Corradi, N., Byrnes, E. J. III, Torres-Martinez, S., Dietrich, F. S., Keeling, P. J., et al. (2008). *Microsporidia* evolved from ancestral sexual fungi. *Curr. Biol.* 18, 1675–1679. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2008.09.030
- Li, D., Zheng, S., Zhou, C., Karim, M. R., Wang, L., Wang, H., et al. (2019). Multilocus typing of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in pig reveals the high prevalence, zoonotic potential, host adaptation and geographical segregation in China. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.* doi: 10.1111/jeu.12715 [Epub ahead of print].
- Li, J., Luo, N., Wang, C., Meng, Q., Cao, J., Cui, Z., et al. (2016). Occurrence, molecular characterization and predominant genotypes of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in dairy cattle in Henan and Ningxia, China. *Parasit. Vectors* 9:142. doi: 10.1186/s13071-016-1425-5
- Li, N., Ayinmode, A. B., Zhang, H., Feng, Y., and Xiao, L. (2018). Host-adapted cryptosporidium and *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* genotypes in straw-colored fruit bats in Nigeria. *Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl.* 8, 19–24. doi: 10.1016/j.ijppaw. 2018.12.001
- Li, W., Cama, V., Akinbo, F. O., Ganguly, S., Kiulia, N. M., Zhang, X., et al. (2013). Multilocus sequence typing of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi*: lack of geographic segregation and existence of genetically isolated sub-populations. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 14, 111–119. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2012.11.021
- Li, W., Cama, V., Feng, Y., Gilman, R. H., Bern, C., Zhang, X., et al. (2012). Population genetic analysis of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in humans. *Int. J. Parasitol.* 42, 287–293. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpara.2012.01.003
- Li, W., Song, Y., Zhong, Z., Huang, X., Wang, C., Li, C., et al. (2017). Population genetics of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in captive giant pandas of China. *Parasit. Vectors* 10:499. doi: 10.1186/s13071-017-2459-z
- Li, W., Wan, Q., Yu, Q., Yang, Y., Tao, W., Jiang, Y., et al. (2016). Genetic variation of mini- and microsatellites and a clonal structure in *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* population in foxes and raccoon dogs and population differentiation of the parasite between fur animals and humans. *Parasitol. Res.* 115, 2899–2904. doi: 10.1007/s00436-016-5069-3
- Li, W., and Xiao, L. (2019). Multilocus sequence typing and population genetic analysis of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi*: host specificity and its impacts on public health. *Front. Genet.* 10:307. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2019.00307
- Ma, J., Li, P., Zhao, X., Xu, H., Wu, W., Wang, Y., et al. (2015). Occurrence and molecular characterization of *Cryptosporidium* spp. and *Enterocytozoon*

bieneusi in dairy cattle, beef cattle and water buffaloes in China. *Vet. Parasitol.* 207, 220–227. doi: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2014.10.011

- Mathis, A., Weber, R., and Deplazes, P. (2005). Zoonotic potential of the microsporidia. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 18, 423–445. doi: 10.1128/cmr.18.3.423-445.2005
- Matos, O., Lobo, M. L., and Xiao, L. (2012). Epidemiology of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* infection in humans. J. Parasitol. Res. 2012:981424. doi: 10.1155/2012/981424
- Pirestani, M., Sadraei, J., and Forouzandeh, M. (2013). Molecular characterization and genotyping of human related *microsporidia* in free-ranging and captive pigeons of Tehran, Iran. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 20, 495–499. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid. 2013.10.007
- Qi, M., Jing, B., Jian, F., Wang, R., Zhang, S., Wang, H., et al. (2017). Dominance of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* genotype J in dairy calves in Xinjiang. Northwest China. *Parasitol. Int.* 66, 960–963. doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2016.10.019
- Qi, M., Luo, N., Wang, H., Yu, F., Wang, R., Huang, J., et al. (2015).
 Zoonotic *Cryptosporidium* spp. and Enterocytozoon bieneusi in pet chinchillas (Chinchilla lanigera) in China. *Parasitol. Int.* 64, 339–341. doi: 10.1016/j.parint. 2015.05.007
- Qi, M., Wang, R., Wang, H., Jian, F., Li, J., Zhao, J., et al. (2016). Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotypes in grazing horses in China and their zoonotic transmission potential. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 63, 591–597. doi: 10.1111/jeu.12308
- Reetz, J., Rinder, H., Thomschke, A., Manke, H., Schwebs, M., and Bruderek, A. (2002). First detection of the *microsporidium Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in nonmammalian hosts (chickens). *Int. J. Parasitol.* 32, 785–787. doi: 10.1016/s0020-7519(02)00045-0
- Sak, B., Brady, D., Pelikanova, M., Kvetonova, D., Rost, M., Kostka, M., et al. (2011). Unapparent microsporidial infection among immunocompetent humans in the Czech Republic. J. Clin. Microbiol. 49, 1064–1070. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01147-10
- Santin, M., Dargatz, D., and Fayer, R. (2012). Prevalence and genotypes of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in weaned beef calves on cow-calf operations in the USA. *Parasitol. Res.* 110, 2033–2041. doi: 10.1007/s00436-011-2732-6
- Santín, M., and Fayer, R. (2009a). A longitudinal study of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in dairy cattle. *Parasitol. Res.* 105, 141–144. doi: 10.1007/s00436-009-1374-4
- Santín, M., and Fayer, R. (2009b). Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotype nomenclature based on the internal transcribed spacer sequence: a consensus. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 56, 34–38. doi: 10.1111/j.1550-7408.2008.00380.x
- Santín, M., and Fayer, R. (2011). Microsporidiosis: Enterocytozoon bieneusi in domesticated and wild animals. Res. Vet. Sci. 90, 363–371. doi: 10.1016/j.rvsc. 2010.07.014
- Santín, M., and Fayer, R. (2015). Enterocytozoon bieneus i, giardia, and Cryptosporidium infecting white-tailed deer. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 62, 34–43. doi: 10.1111/jeu.12155
- Sulaiman, I. M., Fayer, R., Yang, C., Santin, M., Matos, O., and Xiao, L. (2004). Molecular characterization of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in cattle indicates that only some isolates have zoonotic potential. *Parasitol. Res.* 92, 328–334. doi: 10.1007/s00436-003-1049-5
- Tang, C., Cai, M., Wang, L., Guo, Y., Li, N., Feng, Y., et al. (2018). Genetic diversity within dominant *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* genotypes in pre-weaned calves. *Parasit. Vectors* 11:170. doi: 10.1186/s13071-018-2768-x
- Tanriverdi, S., Markovics, A., Arslan, M. O., Itik, A., Shkap, V., and Widmer, G. (2006). Emergence of distinct genotypes of *Cryptosporidium parvum* in structured host populations. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 2507–2513. doi: 10.1128/AEM.72.4.2507-2513.2006
- Wan, Q., Xiao, L., Zhang, X., Li, Y., Lu, Y., Song, M., et al. (2016). Clonal evolution of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* populations in swine and genetic differentiation in subpopulations between isolates from swine and humans. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 10:e0004966. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0004966
- Wang, L., Xiao, L., Duan, L., Ye, J., Guo, Y., Guo, M., et al. (2013). Concurrent infections of *Giardia duodenalis*, *Enterocytozoon bieneusi*, and *Clostridium* difficile in children during a cryptosporidiosis outbreak in a pediatric hospital in China. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* 7:e2437. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0002437
- Wang, X. T., Wang, R. J., Ren, G. J., Yu, Z. Q., Zhang, L. X., Zhang, S. Y., et al. (2016). Multilocus genotyping of *Giardia duodenalis* and *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in dairy and native beef (Qinchuan) calves in Shaanxi province,

northwestern China. Parasitol. Res. 115, 1355–1361. doi: 10.1007/s00436-016-4908-6

- Widmer, G., and Akiyoshi, D. E. (2010). Host-specific segregation of ribosomal nucleotide sequence diversity in the microsporidian *Enterocytozoon bieneusi*. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* 10, 122–128. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2009.11.009
- Zhang, Q., Cai, J., Li, P., Wang, L., Guo, Y., Li, C., et al. (2018). Enterocytozoon bieneusi genotypes in Tibetan sheep and yaks. Parasitol. Res. 117, 721–727. doi: 10.1007/s00436-017-5742-1
- Zhang, X., Wang, Z., Su, Y., Liang, X., Sun, X., Peng, S., et al. (2011). Identification and genotyping of *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in China. J. Clin. Microbiol. 49, 2006–2008. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00372-11
- Zhao, W., Zhang, W., Wang, R., Liu, W., Liu, A., Yang, D., et al. (2014). Enterocytozoon bieneusi in sika deer (Cervus nippon) and red deer (*Cervus elaphus*): deer specificity and zoonotic potential of ITS genotypes. *Parasitol. Res.* 113, 4243–4250. doi: 10.1007/s00436-014-4100-9
- Zhao, W., Zhang, W., Yang, F., Zhang, L., Wang, R., Cao, J., et al. (2015). *Enterocytozoon bieneusi* in dairy cattle in the northeast of China: genetic diversity of ITS gene and evaluation of zoonotic transmission potential. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.* 62, 553–560. doi: 10.1111/jeu.12210

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Wang, Qi, Sun, Li, Wang, Zhang, Zhao, Li, Cui, Chen, Jian, Xiang, Ning and Zhang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.