



# RNA Binding by the *Campylobacter jejuni* Post-transcriptional Regulator CsrA

Faiha M. El Abbar, Jiaqi Li, Harry C. Owen, C. Luke Daugherty, Claudia A. Fulmer, Marek Bogacz and Stuart A. Thompson\*

Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Augusta University, Augusta, GA, United States

Campylobacter jejuni is a Gram-negative rod-shaped bacterium that commensally inhabits the intestinal tracts of livestock and birds, and which also persists in surface waters. C. jejuni is a leading cause of foodborne gastroenteritis, and these infections are sometimes associated with the development of post-infection sequelae such as Guillain-Barré Syndrome. Flagella are considered a primary virulence factor in C. jejuni, as these organelles are required for pathogenicity-related phenotypes including motility, biofilm formation, host cell interactions, and host colonization. The post-transcriptional regulator CsrA regulates the expression of the major flagellin FlaA by binding to flaA mRNA and repressing its translation. Additionally, CsrA has previously been shown to regulate 120–150 proteins involved in diverse cellular processes. The amino acid sequence of C. jejuni CsrA is significantly different from that of Escherichia coli CsrA, and no previous research has defined the amino acids of C. jejuni CsrA that are critical for RNA binding. In this study, we used in vitro SELEX to identify the consensus RNA sequence mAwGGAs to which C. jejuni CsrA binds with high affinity. We performed saturating site-directed mutagenesis on C. jejuni CsrA and assessed the regulatory activity of these mutant proteins, using a reporter system encoding the 5' untranslated region (5' UTR) upstream of flaA linked translationally to the C. jejuni astA gene. These assays allowed us to identify 19 amino acids that were involved in RNA binding by CsrA, with many but not all of these amino acids clustered in predicted beta strands that are involved in RNA binding by E. coli CsrA. Decreased flaA mRNA binding by mutant CsrA proteins L2A and A36V was confirmed by electrophoretic mobility shift assays. The majority of the amino acids implicated in RNA binding were conserved among diverse Campylobacter species.

Keywords: motility, flagella, biofilm, regulation, flagellin

# INTRODUCTION

*Campylobacter jejuni* is a leading bacterial cause of foodborne gastroenteritis throughout the world (WHO, 2015), with 1.3 million cases of *Campylobacter* infections in the US (Tack et al., 2019) and 96 million cases globally each year (WHO, 2013). Symptoms typically consist of 4–7 days of severe watery to bloody diarrhea, abdominal cramping, fever, vomiting, and dehydration (Kaakoush et al., 2015). *C. jejuni* infection is generally acute and

### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Xihui Shen, Northwest A&F University, China

### Reviewed by:

Marguerite Clyne, University College Dublin, Ireland Paul Dean, Teesside University, United Kingdom Astrid Petra Heikema, Erasmus Medical Center, Netherlands

\*Correspondence:

Stuart A. Thompson stthomps@augusta.edu

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Infectious Diseases, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

**Received:** 13 June 2019 **Accepted:** 18 July 2019 **Published:** 07 August 2019

### Citation:

El Abbar FM, Li J, Owen HC, Daugherty CL, Fulmer CA, Bogacz M and Thompson SA (2019) RNA Binding by the Campylobacter jejuni Post-transcriptional Regulator CsrA. Front. Microbiol. 10:1776. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.01776

1

self-limiting, but in some patients it is associated with the development of post-infection sequelae such as autoimmunemediated Guillain-Barré Syndrome, the leading cause of acute paralysis (Nachamkin et al., 2000). C. jejuni commensally colonizes the gastrointestinal tract of animals including poultry, cattle, swine, and sheep (Kaakoush et al., 2015). Therefore, the source of infection is often the consumption of contaminated meat (especially poultry) or drinking of contaminated raw milk (Kaakoush et al., 2015). However, exposure to environmental sources such as surface waters is suggested to cause a large proportion of Campylobacter infections (Champion et al., 2005). To survive in diverse hosts and environmental niches, C. jejuni must accommodate a range of stresses such as changes in temperature, pH, oxygen level, and exposure to host bile, digestive enzymes, and inflammatory responses. Flagella are well-characterized virulence factors in C. jejuni as they are required for pathogenicity-related phenotypes including colonization (Wassenaar et al., 1993), interactions with host cells (Guerry, 2007; Freitag et al., 2017), biofilm formation (Svensson et al., 2014), and the secretion of virulence-associated proteins such as Cia invasion antigens (Konkel et al., 1999). Mutants lacking flagella are highly attenuated in animal models (Guerry, 2007). Flagellar filaments are composed primarily of the major flagellin FlaA, the expression of which is regulated transcriptionally by FlgSR,  $\sigma^{54}$ , and  $\sigma^{28}$  (Lertsethtakarn et al., 2011), as well as post-transcriptionally by the RNA-binding protein CsrA (carbon storage regulator A) (Fields and Thompson, 2008; Dugar et al., 2016; Fields et al., 2016). A C. jejuni csrA mutant shows significant reduction in epithelial cell adherence, resistance to oxidative stress, motility, biofilm formation, and ability to colonize mice, as well as a paradoxically increased ability to invade host cells (Fields and Thompson, 2008; Fields et al., 2016). Consistent with these phenotypes, a C. jejuni csrA mutant exhibited dysregulation of 120-150 proteins involved in motility, chemotaxis, host cell adherence and invasion, oxidative stress resistance, TCA cycle, respiration, and amino acid and acetate metabolism (Fields et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018). This suggests the importance of CsrA as a major global regulatory protein in C. jejuni.

In Escherichia coli and other studied bacteria, CsrA is a homodimeric protein, with each subunit composed of five beta ( $\beta$ ) strands ( $\beta_1$ - $\beta_5$ ). Two identical RNA-binding pockets are formed by  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_5$  of opposite subunits (Mercante et al., 2006, 2009; Romeo et al., 2013; Altegoer et al., 2016). CsrA typically binds the 5' untranslated region (5' UTR) at one or more sites of its target mRNAs, often at or near the ribosomebinding site (RBS), and usually at a stem-loop containing a conserved AnGGA sequence motif within the hairpin (Romeo and Babitzke, 2018). Binding of CsrA to mRNA blocks ribosome access and represses the initiation of translation, but it can also influence mRNA stability (Romeo and Babitzke, 2018). Regulation of CsrA activity is mediated in E. coli and other bacteria by competitive binding to small RNAs (e.g., csrB, csrC). These sRNAs contain many CsrA-binding sites which sequester CsrA and titrate its binding to target mRNAs (Romeo and Babitzke, 2018). However, *C. jejuni* lacks these antagonizing sRNAs, and CsrA activity is instead regulated by a mechanism similar to that of *Bacillus subtilis* where upon secretion of the major flagellin (FlaA), the flagellar chaperone FliW is released and binds its alternate partner CsrA (Mukherjee et al., 2011, 2016; Dugar et al., 2016; Radomska et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018). Binding to FliW modulates CsrA binding to target mRNAs and alleviates CsrA repression of flagellin expression, a regulatory mechanism required for proper flagellar morphogenesis (Mukherjee et al., 2011; Dugar et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018).

In C. jejuni, CsrA binds flaA mRNA and directly represses its translation (Dugar et al., 2016; Fields et al., 2016; Radomska et al., 2016). Although a C. jejuni csrA mutant shows normal flagellar structure (Fields et al., 2016), the decreased motility of the csrA mutant (Fields and Thompson, 2008) suggests that regulation of FlaA expression by CsrA is required for proper motility. The E. coli and C. jejuni CsrA proteins have significant divergence in amino acid sequence (Fields and Thompson, 2012), raising the question of whether features of RNA binding that were determined for E. coli also apply to C. jejuni. C. jejuni CsrA complements an E. coli csrA mutant for some, but not all, phenotypes (Fields and Thompson, 2012), suggesting some divergence of its RNA-binding characteristics. In contrast to E. coli CsrA, there have been no previous studies defining the amino acids of C. jejuni CsrA that are critical for RNA binding. Understanding the mechanism by which CsrA interacts with *flaA* mRNA may help in future development of strategies to overcome the impact of C. jejuni infection. In addition, the mechanism of *flaA* mRNA-CsrA interaction could serve as a model for C. jejuni CsrA interaction with other important target mRNAs (Fields et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018). In this study, we identified the consensus RNA sequence to which CsrA binds with high affinity, and determined the amino acid residues of CsrA that are critical for *flaA* mRNA binding.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Bacterial Strains, Growth Conditions, Plasmids, and PCR Primers

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in **Table 1**. All *E. coli* strains were grown at 37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth or plates. When appropriate, growth media were supplemented with ampicillin (amp; 100  $\mu$ g/ml) or chloramphenicol (cm; 30  $\mu$ g/ml). *C. jejuni* strain 81–176 was used as a source of chromosomal DNA and was grown on Mueller-Hinton (MH) agar at 42°C in a tri-gas incubator (85% N<sub>2</sub>, 10% CO<sub>2</sub>, 5% O<sub>2</sub>). PCR primers are listed in **Supplementary Table S1**.

# *In vitro* Systematic Evolution of Ligands by Exponential Enrichment

We performed *in vitro* systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment (SELEX) (Tuerk and Gold, 1990)

<i>C. jejuni</i> CsrA	RNA	Binding
-----------------------	-----	---------

TABLE 1	Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.

Strain or plasmid	Description	Resistance	Source or reference
Strain			
Campylobacter jejuni			
81–176	Wild type		(Black et al., 1988)
Escherichia coli			
JM109	Cloning strain		Promega
One shot top 10	Cloning strain		Thermo
DH5a	Cloning strain		Thermo
BL21(DE3)	Protein		Promega
	expression strain		
BL21(DE3)pLysS	Protein expression strain	cm	Promega
Plasmids			
pCRII-TOPO	Cloning vector	amp, km	Invitrogen
pCR2.1-TOPO	Cloning vectr	amp, km	Invitrogen
pET-20b(+)	Cloning vector	amp	Novagen
pET-20b-CsrA	<i>csrA</i> cloned into pET-20b(+)	amp	(Fields et al., 2016)
pACYC184	Cloning vector	cm	NEB
pFE101	flaA 5' UTR cloned into pCR2.1-TOPO	amp, km	This work
pFE102	<i>astA</i> cloned into pFE101	amp, km	This work
pJOFE	flaA-astA translational reporter in pACYC184	cm	This work

as modified by (Dubey et al., 2005), using purified C. jejuni CsrA-His<sub>6</sub> (see below). Briefly, we first created a DNA template by synthesizing an 81-base oligonucleotide (SELEX15) consisting of a randomized 15-mer (N<sub>15</sub>, where N = any nucleotide) flanked by two constant regions (Supplementary Table S1). PCR on the SELEX15 template using primers P1 and P2 (Supplementary Table S1) yielded a complex mixture of 81-bp DNA fragments (a total of  $\sim 1 \times 10^5$  molecules containing every possible sequence of the random central region), which was used for in vitro transcription. Template DNA was removed by DNase I treatment, and transcribed RNA was mixed with C. jejuni CsrA-His<sub>6</sub>. CsrA-His<sub>6</sub>-RNA complexes were affinity purified using Ni-NTA slurry. Bound RNA was purified via phenol:chloroform extraction and converted to cDNA. The selected templates were then subjected to a total of 10 rounds of PCR amplification and selection as described above. The progress of the selection process was monitored by using gel mobility shift analysis, observing an increasing ability of C. jejuni CsrA-His<sub>6</sub> to retard the mobility of the affinity-selected RNA pools. A total of 57 RT-PCR products from rounds nine and ten were cloned and sequenced; 51 unique sequences were used to generate a consensus C. jejuni CsrA-binding sequence following alignment using Clustal Omega at EMBL-EBI (Sievers et al., 2011; Li et al., 2015). The predicted secondary structure for each sequence was also assessed using MFOLD (Zuker, 2003).

# Site-Directed Mutagenesis of csrA

Site-directed mutagenesis (SDM) was performed with a Q5 SDM kit (NEB, Ipswich, MA) using the primers listed in **Supplementary Table S1**. Plasmid pET-20b-CsrA (Fields et al., 2016) was used as PCR template. Each CsrA amino acid was changed individually to alanine, except for two native alanine residues (A30 and A36) that were changed to valine. The first methionine was also substituted with alanine, but an additional methionine was added upstream of the M1A mutation to initiate protein translation. The pET-20b plasmids containing *csrA* point mutations were all verified by DNA sequencing.

# Construction of a Translational Reporter System

For assessing *flaA* mRNA binding by CsrA, we designed a translational reporter by cloning DNA encoding the flaA 5' UTR upstream of the assayable C. jejuni gene astA encoding arylsulfatase (Yao and Guerry, 1996; Hendrixson and DiRita, 2003). DNA encoding the flaA 5' UTR was synthesized and cloned downstream of the lac promoter in pCR2.1-TOPO by a commercial vendor (IDT, Coralville, IA), yielding plasmid pFE101 (Table 1). Inverse PCR was performed on pFE101 to introduce an NdeI site downstream of the flaA 5' UTR DNA using primers FME01 and FME02 (Supplementary Table S1). The astA reporter gene was amplified from C. jejuni 81–176 chromosomal DNA using the primers FME03 and FME04 (Supplementary Table S1), and cloned downstream of the flaA 5' UTR DNA using the restriction enzymes NdeI and NotI, resulting in plasmid pFE102 (Table 1). Inverse PCR using primers JO-4 and JO-5 (Supplementary Table S1) was performed on pFE102 (containing the flaA 5' UTR translationally linked to astA, under control of the lac promoter) to introduce a SalI site upstream of the *lac* promoter for subcloning purposes. The SalI fragment of pFE102 was then ligated with SalI-digested pACYC184 to yield pJOFE (Table 1). E. coli BL21(DE3) cells were transformed with pJOFE and pET-20b expressing WT CsrA, CsrA with the aforementioned point mutations, or pET-20b alone (negative control). Expression of AstA from the translational reporter was assessed in two ways. Plates used to recover transformed cells contained 50 µg/ml of arylsulfatase substrate (5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl sulfate potassium salt; Millipore-Sigma, St. Louis, MO). The intensity of blue color of colonies on these plates reflected the degree of AstA expression. To quantify AstA activity, we used an arylsulfatase assay (Hendrixson and DiRita, 2003). Briefly, this assay quantifies the AstAmediated conversion of the substrate nitrophenylsulfate to nitrophenol, which is measured by absorbance at 410 nm. Results were analyzed using one-way ANOVA in GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, Inc.), with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test, using p < 0.05 to indicate significance. To verify expression of CsrA in E. coli, the samples used in the arylsulfatase assay were tested in western blots using CsrA-specific polyclonal antiserum (antibody dilution 1:1,000) (Fields et al., 2016). Experiments were done a minimum of three times, using triplicate samples.

# Purification of Wild Type and Mutant CsrA-His<sub>6</sub> Proteins

Wild type and mutants of CsrA (L2A) and (A36V) with C-terminal His<sub>6</sub>-tag were overexpressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3) pLysS cells. Cells were grown in LB broth at 37°C until they reached an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.6, and protein expression was subsequently induced with 0.5 mM IPTG and carried out at 20°C overnight. Cells were disrupted in extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.5, 1 M NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol) with a French press (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The lysate was cleared by centrifugation  $(15,000 \times g)$  and mixed with Ni-NTA chromatography resin (Ni-NTA Agarose, Qiagen). After protein binding (1 h in 4°C), the resin was washed three times with 10 resin volumes of extraction buffer. The protein was eluted with 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, 1 M NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, 10% glycerol, and dialyzed into 20 mM sodium phosphate pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl. The final CsrA protein sample was obtained by gel filtration on Superdex 75 10/300 column (GE Healthcare) in the same buffer.

# **Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay**

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) experiments were performed as described previously (Yakhnin et al., 2012; Fields et al., 2016), using purified C. jejuni CsrA(WT)-His60 CsrA(L2A)-His<sub>6</sub>, and CsrA(V36A)-His<sub>6</sub>. PCR using 81-176 chromosomal DNA and primers containing a T7 promoter sequence (Fields et al., 2016) was performed to generate flaA 5' UTR DNA templates to be used for in vitro transcription. An E. coli phoB 5' UTR DNA template was generated to be used as a CsrA-non-binding control, as described (Patterson-Fortin et al., 2013; Fields et al., 2016). RNA was synthesized using a MEGAscript<sup>™</sup> T7 Transcription kit (Ambion), and purified via phenol:chloroform extraction. Purified RNAs were end-labeled with <sup>32</sup>P using a KinaseMax<sup>™</sup> 5′ End-Labeling kit (Ambion). Radiolabeled RNA at a concentration of 1 nM was then incubated with different concentrations  $(0-4 \mu M)$  of purified CsrA-His<sub>6</sub> (WT, L2A or A36V) in binding reactions. Samples were resolved on 12% native polyacrylamide gels and visualized on a phosphorimager.

# RESULTS

### *In vitro* Systematic Evolution of Ligands by Exponential Enrichment Defines High-Affinity RNA Ligands Recognized by *C. jejuni* CsrA

The consensus binding sequence of E. coli CsrA was determined previously and shown to be RUACARGGAUGU (Dubey et al., 2005). However, the RNA-binding regions of C. jejuni CsrA homologous to those of E. coli CsrA (Mercante et al., 2006) differ somewhat in primary amino acid sequence (Fields and Thompson, 2012), suggesting the possibility that the RNA sequence to which C. jejuni CsrA binds is also somewhat divergent. Consequently, we employed in vitro SELEX to identify high-affinity RNA ligands to which C. jejuni CsrA binds. A total of 10 rounds of amplification and affinity purification were used to generate enriched RNA molecules that bound CsrA with increasing affinity, which was measured by gel shift assays (Figure 1). At nine and ten rounds, bound RNAs were converted to cDNA, cloned, and sequenced. Alignment of the sequences (Figure 2) revealed the following features. The deduced binding site was mAwGGAs, in which the nucleotides A and GGA were present in every selected ligand. The first nucleotide in this consensus sequence was either C (67%) or A (33%) (ambiguity code "m"). The nucleotide immediately preceding the conserved GGA motif was A or U (ambiguity code "w") in 43/51 ligands (84%). Following the GGA trinucleotide, G or C (ambiguity code "s") occurred in 37/51 ligands (73%). In each of the CsrA-binding sequences that were enriched in these experiments, the sites were present in the 3' half of the randomized nucleotide region. Using MFOLD secondary structure predictions, in 49 of the 51 unique sequences the A\_GGA motif was present within hairpins of long stemloops (Figure 3, Supplementary Figure S1). Because the A\_GGA



10-1	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GUAGUGCC <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> UG	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-11	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GCUGUACC <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> GG	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-17	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UGUUUACC <b>A</b> U <b>GGA</b> UG	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-8	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UGUUGUAUC <b>A</b> U <b>GGA</b> C	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-6	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc		guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-27	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GUGUACCAC <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> G	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-32	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GGGUAGAAC <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> U	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-3	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GCUUCGUAC <b>A</b> C <b>GGA</b> U	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-10	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GCUUCGCAC <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> U	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GCUUCGUAC <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> U	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-7	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GCUUCGUAC <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> G	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-17	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GCAGUGUAC <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> G	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-38	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GCUUUGUAC <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> G	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-34	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GCUCUGUAC <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> U	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-36	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GCUGCGUAC <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> C	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-19	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GCUCAGUAC <b>A</b> G <b>GGA</b> G	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-30	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GCUUAGUAC <mark>A</mark> U <b>GGA</b> G	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-25	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GCACUGUAC <b>A</b> U <b>GGA</b> G	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-32	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GCACUGUAC <mark>A</mark> G <b>GGA</b> G	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-15	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GGCUGGUAC <mark>A</mark> G <b>GGA</b> G	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-39	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	CGGUAGUAA <b>AA<b>GGA</b>U</b>	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-12	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UGUAUGUAC <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> G	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-35	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UGGAAGUAC <b>A</b> G <b>GGA</b> C	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-18	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGAUGUAC <mark>A</mark> C <b>GGA</b> U	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-13	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	AUUGAUGUUC <b>A</b> U <b>GGA</b> U	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-9	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGAUGUAC <b>AU<b>GGA</b>G</b>	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-7	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGAUGUAC <mark>A</mark> U <b>GGA</b> G	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-40	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGCUGUAC <b>A</b> G <b>GGA</b> G	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-13	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUCUAGUAC <b>AU<b>GGA</b>G</b>	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-33	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UCGUAGUAC <b>AU<b>GGA</b>G</b>	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-4	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGUAAUGC <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> G	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-27	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGUUGUGC <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> G	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-37	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	GCGUAGUGC <mark>A</mark> A <b>GGA</b> C	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-26	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGUAAUGC <mark>A</mark> U <b>GGA</b> C	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-29	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGUAACAC <mark>A</mark> U <b>GGA</b> C	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-31	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UGGUAGUGA <b>AU<b>GGA</b>C</b>	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-39	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UGGUAGUGA <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> U	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-2	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGUGGUGA <b>A</b> G <b>GGA</b> U	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-37	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGUGGUAA <b>AAGGA</b> U	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-5	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGUGGUGA <b>A</b> A <b>GGA</b> C	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-31	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGUGGUGA <b>AU<b>GGA</b>C</b>	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-2	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGCUGUAA <b>AU<b>GGA</b>C</b>	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-19	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGUUGUAA <mark>A</mark> U <b>GGA</b> C	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-20	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	CUGUGGUAA <b>AUGGA</b> C	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-3	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGAGGUGA <b>AU<b>GGA</b>C</b>	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-24	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUAAGGUGA <b>AU<b>GGA</b>C</b>	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-26	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGUGGUGA <b>AU<b>GGA</b>C</b>	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-23	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGUUGUGA <b>AU<b>GGA</b>C</b>	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-16	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	AUGUAGUGA <b>AU<b>GGA</b>C</b>	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
10-24	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUUUAGUGA <b>AU<b>GGA</b>C</b>	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
9-33	gggagaauucaacuccaucuaggc	UUGGAGUGA <b>AU<b>GGA</b>C</b>	guacuacaagcuucuggacucggu
Conser		mAwGGAs	*

FIGURE 2 | Alignment of SELEX-derived RNA templates. Following nine and ten cycles of enrichment, cDNAs corresponding to high-affinity *C. jejuni* CsrA RNA ligands were sequenced and aligned using Clustal Omega (Li et al., 2015) to generate the consensus binding sequence mAwGGAs. Gray shading indicates nucleotides present in every SELEX ligand.

motif was generally at positions 9-13 of the randomized nucleotide region, nucleotides 1-8 typically were complementary to PCR primer P2 so as to form stable stems flanking the GGA-containing loops. However, in sequences 10-6 and 9-13, the A\_GGA motifs were predicted to be present in stems rather than in loops (**Figure 3**).

# Multiple Amino Acids Are Involved in the Interaction of CsrA With *flaA* 5<sup>7</sup> UTR

To determine the amino acids of CsrA involved in RNA binding, we constructed a translational reporter system. In this system, we cloned DNA encoding the 5' UTR of *flaA* mRNA upstream of the *C. jejuni* reporter gene *astA*,







**FIGURE 5** | Repression of AstA translational fusion by WT and mutant CsrA proteins. *E. coli* BL21(DE3) was co-transformed with the translational reporter pJOFE (encoding the *flaA* 5' UTR translationally linked to *astA*, under control of the *lac* promoter) and either: pET-20b ("No CsrA", top left panel), pET-20b-CsrA ("WT CsrA", top right panel), pET-20b-CsrA-("L2A", bottom left panel), or pET-20b-CsrA-A36V ("A36V", bottom right panel), and plated on LB plates containing 50 µg/ml of arylsulfatase substrate. The intensity of the blue color of the colonies indicates AstA enzyme activity and lack of CsrA regulatory activity. Experiments were done a minimum of three times, using triplicate samples.



**FIGURE 6** | Quantification of regulatory activity by WT and mutant CsrA proteins. *E. coli* BL21(DE3) was co-transformed with the translational reporter pJOFE and either: pET-20b (negative control, labeled "--"), pET-20b-CsrA (positive control, labeled "WT"), or each of the 75 pET-20b-CsrA point mutants. AstA activity in these cells was quantified by arylsulfatase assay (Y axis). The positions of CsrA mutations are indicated below the X axis. Experiments were done a minimum of three times, using triplicate samples. Results were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparisons test, using p < 0.05 to indicate significance.



**FIGURE 7** | RNA-binding amino acids and expression of CsrA. (A) Sequence alignment of *C. jejuni* and *E. coli* CsrA proteins. Proteins were aligned using Clustal Omega (Li et al., 2015), and the location of  $\beta$  strands  $\beta_1$ - $\beta_5$  were predicted using BETApro (Cheng and Baldi, 2005). Asterisks indicate amino acids involved in RNA binding by the adjacent protein. *E. coli* data were taken from Mercante et al. (2006). (B) Expression of *C. jejuni* CsrA proteins in *E. coli* containing pJOFE. *E. coli* cells transformed with the translational reporter pJOFE and pET-20b ("-"), pET-20b-CsrA ("WT"), or the 19 CsrA point mutants that showed significantly higher AstA activity were tested in western blots using CsrA-specific polyclonal antiserum (Fields et al., 2016). All CsrA mutant proteins were expressed at levels equivalent to or higher than WT.

under the control of the *E. coli lac* promoter (**Figure 4**). This translational reporter plasmid (pJOFE, **Table 1**) was co-expressed with the pET-20b alone (negative control), or containing either WT CsrA, or CsrA with 75 individual point mutations. In the absence of CsrA binding to the *flaA* 5' UTR, AstA activity was high and generated blue colonies (**Figure 5**, top left, and **Figure 6**). However, when WT CsrA bound the *flaA* 5' UTR it greatly repressed AstA expression, resulting

in white colonies and low AstA activity (**Figure 5**, top right, and **Figure 6**). The colors of colonies expressing CsrA mutants with individual point mutations ranged from light blue to dark blue, indicating qualitatively varying degrees of CsrA activity in binding the *flaA* 5' UTR (**Figure 5**, bottom panels, and **Supplementary Figure S2**).

To quantify the degree of CsrA repression of AstA, arylsulfatase assays (Hendrixson and DiRita, 2003) were performed on

colonies collected from agar plates (Figure 5). Consistent with plate results, 56 of the 75 site-directed mutants of CsrA exhibited no significant difference in reporter activity compared to WT CsrA (Figure 6). However, CsrA proteins with mutations in 19 amino acids (M1A, L2A, I3A, L4A, R6A, K7A, E10A, I12A, I14A, I18A, I20A, V22A, K31A, I32A, I34A, A36V, I42A, R44A, and E46A) showed significant increases in pJOFE reporter activity, reflecting a decrease in CsrA RNA binding to the flaA 5' UTR (p < 0.05) (Figure 6). The amino acid mutations that showed the highest AstA activity were (in decreasing order) L2A, A36V, R44A, E46A, R6A, L4A and I42A (p < 0.0001). Most of the detected 19 amino acids were clustered in the five  $\beta$  strands of CsrA predicted by BETApro (Figure 7A; Cheng and Baldi, 2005). We note that some of these CsrA mutations could result in altered CsrA protein structure or potentially non-specific effects on the E. coli cells that might affect reporter activity. It was important to exclude the possibility that the site-directed mutants that showed high AstA activity had simply lost CsrA expression, thus we tested the expression of CsrA in the samples used in the arylsulfatase assay by western blot. The expression level of WT CsrA (Figure 7B) was sufficient to give near complete repression of AstA (Figure 6). Although the expression levels of mutant CsrA proteins varied, each of the 19 mutants with high AstA activity had CsrA expression at levels similar to or higher than that of WT (Figure 7B).

This indicates that higher reporter activity was not due to poor expression of mutant CsrA proteins.

## Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay Shows Decreased RNA Binding by CsrA L2A and A36V

CsrA mutations L2A and A36V showed the most significant loss of CsrA regulatory activity on *flaA* 5' UTR. To confirm that these CsrA mutants had lost their ability to bind *flaA* mRNA, EMSA was performed using labeled *flaA* 5' UTR mRNA and different concentrations (0–4  $\mu$ M) of purified CsrA-His<sub>6</sub> (WT, L2A or A36V). Labeled *E. coli phoB* 5' UTR mRNA was used as a CsrA-non-binding control (Patterson-Fortin et al., 2013; Fields et al., 2016). As seen previously (Fields et al., 2016), CsrA WT bound the *flaA* 5' UTR with shifted species seen at a CsrA concentration as low as of 0.25  $\mu$ M (**Figure 8**). Shifts with L2A and A36V occurred only at higher concentrations of the protein, 1 and 0.5  $\mu$ M, respectively (**Figure 8**).

### Amino Acids Involved in RNA Binding by CsrA Are Conserved Among *Campylobacter* Species

To determine whether the amino acids that were identified as important for the binding of *C. jejuni* CsrA to *flaA* mRNA





were conserved among members of the *Campylobacter* genus, we used Clustal Omega (Sievers et al., 2011) to align CsrA proteins from 11 different *Campylobacter* species (**Figure 9**). Of the 19 CsrA amino acids that had a role in binding *flaA* RNA, 13 were identical among all *Campylobacter* species examined (M1, L2, I3, L4, R6, K7, I18, K31, I34, A36, I42, R44, and E46), with an additional five showing conservative substitutions among the different species (I12, I14, I20, V22, and I32) (**Figure 9**).

# DISCUSSION

Post-transcriptional control of protein expression by the RNA-binding regulator CsrA is reported in many bacterial species including the gastrointestinal pathogen C. jejuni (Fields et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018; Romeo and Babitzke, 2018). CsrA binds target mRNAs and alters their translation or stability (Romeo and Babitzke, 2018). The flagellar protein FlaA is a well-established target of C. jejuni CsrA regulation (Dugar et al., 2016; Fields et al., 2016; Radomska et al., 2016). Flagella are considered a major virulence factor in C. jejuni, and the extensive transcriptional and post-transcriptional regulation of C. jejuni flagellar synthesis ensures proper biosynthesis of flagella (Lertsethtakarn et al., 2011). Furthermore, FlaA is one the most abundant proteins in the cell and flagellar synthesis is energetically costly, so tight regulation of its synthesis is necessary from a metabolic standpoint. The growth-phase dependent regulation of flagellin synthesis (Fields et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018) also suggests that the timing of flagellar assembly could be critical for colonization and pathogenesis. In this study, we used purified C. jejuni CsrA to examine CsrA-RNA interactions, using both affinity-selected RNA ligands and C. jejuni flaA mRNA as targets.

To begin to understand the mechanisms underlying CsrA-RNA interactions, we first determined high-affinity RNA ligands that are recognized by CsrA. A previous RIP-Seq study identified *C. jejuni* CsrA-binding sites by affinity purification of CsrA-binding RNAs from *C. jejuni* cell lysates, with a consensus sequence of (C/A)A(A/U)GGA found in the loops of stem-loops (Dugar et al., 2016). However, in that study, the presumptive CsrA regulon was composed primarily of FlaA and other flagellar proteins.

Because the mRNA encoding FlaA is one of the most abundant transcripts in C. jejuni (Dugar et al., 2013), the possibility existed that the CsrA-binding site in that study was heavily influenced by enrichment of transcripts encoding *flaA* and related motility proteins. Since our previous results indicated a much more extensive presumptive CsrA regulon, we chose to use the independent in vitro SELEX method for defining the CsrA-binding site. Using SELEX, from a pool of randomized RNA oligonucleotides, we selected an enriched pool of RNA ligands that bind C. jejuni CsrA with high affinity (Figure 1). The consensus RNA sequence to which C. jejuni CsrA binds is mAwGGAs (Figure 2), and in most cases, the AwGGA motif (Figure 2) was present within the hairpins of stem-loops predicted using MFOLD (Figure 3, Supplementary Figure S1). Importantly, however, our SELEX data also identified atypical CsrA binding sites in which the AwGGA motif is present in the stems of the stem-loops rather than in the loops (Figure 3, Supplementary Figure S1). The importance of binding sites located within stems remains to be determined experimentally, but such sites also occur in mRNAs implicated as CsrA targets in proteome studies of C. jejuni csrA and *fliW* mutants (unpublished observations) (Fields et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018). Using both MFOLD analysis and our pJOFE translational reporter, we have performed initial testing of some of the 5' UTRs upstream of genes encoding putative CsrA targets (Fields et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018) and identified lower affinity targets of CsrA with regulatory sequences resembling the atypical sites identified in our SELEX data (not shown).

The *C. jejuni* CsrA-binding site is similar, but not identical, to the consensus high-affinity RNA-binding site for *E. coli* CsrA, which is RUAC<u>ARGGA</u>UGU (Dubey et al., 2005). While the nucleotides A\_GGA are highly conserved in both species, there is some diversity in the nucleotides surrounding the A\_GGA-binding site. SELEX experiments show that the nucleotide immediately preceding the first A in the *C. jejuni* consensus sequence is either C (67%) or A (33%) (ambiguity code "m"). This is somewhat surprising given the low % GC of the *C. jejuni* genome (~30%). Likewise, in 36/37 (97%) of the instances where the AnGGA motif was followed by a C or G (ambiguity code "s"), the C/G nucleotides were present in the predicted loops and not in the adjacent stems. This suggests that these nucleotides were not enriched simply

for their abilities to stabilize the stem-loops, but instead may provide specificity to CsrA binding of target mRNAs. The nucleotide immediately preceding the GGA nucleotides is generally A or U (ambiguity code "w") (84%). The differences in the *C. jejuni* CsrA target sequence compared to that of *E. coli* could in part explain the observation that *C. jejuni* CsrA complements some but not all phenotypes of an *E. coli csrA* mutant (Fields and Thompson, 2012).

Because C. jejuni CsrA is rather divergent in amino acid sequence from that of E. coli (24% identical/52% similar), our next goal was to determine the amino acids of C. jejuni CsrA that are important for RNA binding. To achieve this, we constructed a translational reporter system (pJOFE) in which the C. jejuni reporter gene astA was cloned downstream of DNA encoding the *flaA* 5' UTR, under the control of the E. coli lac promoter (Figure 4). In the absence of C. jejuni CsrA expressed from a compatible vector, E. coli cells containing pJOFE appear as large blue colonies (Figure 5, Supplementary Figure S2). When WT CsrA is co-expressed with pJOFE, it binds the flaA 5' UTR and represses the expression of AstA, resulting in small white colonies. It is worth mentioning that E. coli colonies with expression of a functional C. jejuni CsrA protein are consistently smaller than those not expressing a functional protein, suggesting that C. jejuni CsrA is also able to regulate proteins in E. coli BL21(DE3) that affect E. coli colony size (Figure 5 and data not shown). We next constructed site-directed mutants of each of the 75 amino acids of C. jejuni CsrA and tested them for their ability to repress AstA activity from pJOFE, using both qualitative plate and quantitative enzymatic assays. Mutations of CsrA that do not significantly affect CsrA-RNA interaction (56 of 75 mutants in total) give the same results as WT CsrA, appearing on plates as small white colonies, with low AstA enzymatic activity (Figures 5, 6, and data not shown). In contrast, we identified 19 amino acids presumptively involved in CsrA-RNA interaction, yielding large blue colonies similar to the vector control (Figure 5, Supplementary Figure S2). As expected, these mutants all had significantly higher AstA enzymatic activity than WT (Figure 6). Interestingly, the AstA activities of E. coli containing the L2A, A36V, R44A, and E46A mutants are somewhat higher than that of cells not expressing C. jejuni CsrA. It is possible that these mutants have a non-specific effect on E. coli phenotypes related to transcription or translation, as some of these factors are known targets of E. coli CsrA (Edwards et al., 2011) and possibly C. jejuni CsrA (Fields and Thompson, 2012). These mutants may still bind *flaA* mRNA with reduced affinity compared to WT (Figure 8). However, it is possible that they bind with an altered specificity, for example to the upstream of the two CsrA-binding sites of the *flaA* 5' UTR (Figure 4) rather than the downstream site that contains the RBS. This could result in stabilization of the mRNA and increased translation. This mechanism of CsrA activation of expression is reported in other bacteria (Patterson-Fortin et al., 2013; Yakhnin et al., 2013; Ren et al., 2014; Romeo and Babitzke, 2018).

Of the 19 identified amino acids, 11 were at positions previously identified as important for the regulatory activity of *E. coli* CsrA (Mercante et al., 2006). These amino acids tended

to cluster within the five predicted  $\beta$  strands of CsrA, with the most significant amino acids present in or near the  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_5$  strands (Figure 7A). In known structures of CsrA orthologs, these two  $\beta$  strands form an edge of inter-subunit  $\beta$ -sheet (Gutierrez et al., 2005; Rife et al., 2005; Heeb et al., 2006), where CsrA binds its target mRNA (Schubert et al., 2007). In C. jejuni CsrA, L2A shows the greatest loss in regulatory activity based on results from both arylsulfatase assay and EMSA gel shifts, followed by A36V, R44A, E46A, R6A, L4A, and I42A. This is somewhat different than in E. coli, in which the CsrA mutants that had the strongest RNA-binding phenotypes were (in decreasing order) R44A, V42A, L2A, I47A, V40A, L4A, R6A, and R7A (Mercante et al., 2006). While C. jejuni CsrA mutant I42A shows significantly reduced regulatory activity, the phenotype is not as strong as the analogous mutation in E. coli CsrA. Amino acid R44 is a significant residue for CsrA-RNA interaction in Yersinia enterocolitica (Heeb et al., 2006), while in Pseudomonas fluorescens mutation of R44 and L4 causes loss of RsmE (CsrA) ability to repress its target mRNA (Schubert et al., 2007). While the reduced regulatory activity of the C. jejuni CsrA mutants is likely due to the importance of the mutated amino acids in RNA interactions, it is also possible that some of the mutations affect overall CsrA protein structure, although the use of alanine as the substituted amino acid is a standard approach to minimize such disruptions. The secondary structure of the CsrA mutant proteins was predicted using two different programs [BETApro and PredictProtein (not shown)], and  $\beta$  strands were present in all of the mutant proteins. However, the two programs made slightly different predictions, with some subtle variations in  $\beta$  strand locations. Thus, without an experimentally determined structure of CsrA, predicted secondary structures of the mutants cannot be confirmed. Furthermore, we cannot exclude potential non-specific effects of the mutations on E. coli as described above.

The nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) structure of CsrA ortholog from P. fluorescens (RsmE) complexed to a target mRNA indicates that RNA-binding surfaces are highly positively charged and formed by the aforementioned edges of  $\beta$ -sheets composed of the  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_5$  strands of the opposite subunits of the dimer and the regions around the  $\beta_3$ - $\beta_4$  and  $\beta_4$ - $\beta_5$  loops (Schubert et al., 2007). The GG dinucleotide within the consensus RNA-binding sequence (A/U) CANGGANG (U/A) is located toward the hydrophobic core, close to L2 and L4 of  $\beta_1$  of one subunit and V42 of  $\beta_5$  of the opposite subunit. This dinucleotide is specifically recognized via interactions with the protein backbone within the  $\beta_5$  strand and the  $\beta_4$ - $\beta_5$  loop (Schubert et al., 2007). Electrostatic contacts between RNA and CsrA R44 are crucial for the formation of a stable complex (Schubert et al., 2007). The presence of a salt bridge between R6 and E46 is indispensable to maintain structure and biological activity of RsmE (Heeb et al., 2006; Schubert et al., 2007). Interestingly, structural data indicate that the specificity of RNA recognition by CsrA is primarily a product of interactions of target RNA nucleotides with the protein backbone rather than the amino acid side chains (Schubert et al., 2007; Morris et al., 2013). Future structural studies are warranted to determine how mutations in C. jejuni CsrA affect the overall structure of the protein and its RNA-binding

properties. However, our results are consistent with amino acids and regions previously identified in other CsrA orthologs playing a role in RNA binding by *C. jejuni* CsrA.

To exclude the possibility that the mutants with reduced regulatory activity had lost CsrA expression, we performed western blots on the same samples used in the arylsulfatase assays and showed that each of the 19 CsrA mutants has expression levels similar to or higher than that of WT CsrA (Figure 7B). This confirms that the reduced regulatory activity of these mutants was due specifically to loss of protein functionality rather than poor CsrA expression. To confirm that reduced CsrA regulatory activity was due to altered RNA binding, we performed EMSA using purified proteins of the two most significant mutants (L2A and A36V) and radiolabeled flaA mRNA. These experiments showed decreased RNA binding by both mutants relative to WT (Figure 8), as shifts occurred only at higher concentrations of CsrA. The CsrA amino acids of C. *jejuni* detected in this study as being important for CsrA regulatory activity on *flaA* mRNA are highly conserved among 11 selected Campylobacter species, with 13 of the 19 amino acids being identical and five being conservative substitutions (Figure 9). Nine of the 19 identified amino acids (L2, R6, K7, I14, I18, A36, I42, R44, and E46) are also conserved in CsrA proteins from diverse bacterial species (Fields and Thompson, 2012).

Identification of the consensus CsrA-binding site and amino acids critical for CsrA binding to *flaA* mRNA serves as a model for studying *C. jejuni* CsrA interaction with other important target mRNAs. The findings of this study are also a precursor to fully understand the mechanism of antagonism of *C. jejuni* CsrA by the flagellar chaperone FliW. In *B. subtilis*, FliW inhibits CsrA RNA binding by a noncompetitive allosteric mechanism where FliW binds CsrA at a surface distinct from its RNA-binding pocket (Mukherjee et al., 2016). Ongoing studies by our group are exploring whether FliW antagonizes CsrA activity toward target mRNAs through direct competition for the CsrA RNA-binding site, by steric hindrance, or by a noncompetitive allosteric mechanism. In addition, understanding the mechanism by which CsrA regulates the expression of a

## REFERENCES

- Altegoer, F., Rensing, S. A., and Bange, G. (2016). Structural basis for the CsrA-dependent modulation of translation initiation by an ancient regulatory protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 113, 10168–10173. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.1602425113
- Black, R. E., Levine, M. M., Clements, M. L., Hughes, T. P., and Blaser, M. J. (1988). Experimental *Campylobacter jejuni* infection in humans. *J. Infect. Dis.* 157, 472–479. doi: 10.1093/infdis/157.3.472
- Champion, O. L., Gaunt, M. W., Gundogdu, O., Elmi, A., Witney, A. A., Hinds, J., et al. (2005). Comparative phylogenomics of the food-borne pathogen *Campylobacter jejuni* reveals genetic markers predictive of infection source. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102, 16043–16048. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0503252102
- Cheng, J., and Baldi, P. (2005). Three-stage prediction of protein beta-sheets by neural networks, alignments and graph algorithms. *Bioinformatics* 21(Suppl. 1), i75–i84. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bti1004
- Dubey, A. K., Baker, C. S., Romeo, T., and Babitzke, P. (2005). RNA sequence and secondary structure participate in high-affinity CsrA-RNA interaction. *RNA* 11, 1579–1587. doi: 10.1261/rna.2990205

major *C. jejuni* virulence factor (flagella) may allow the development of novel strategies to limit *C. jejuni* infection.

# DATA AVAILABILITY

The datasets generated for this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

FE, JL, HO, CD, CF, MB, and ST contributed to the conception and design of the study. FE performed the statistical analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. FE, MB, and ST wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read and approved the submitted version.

## **FUNDING**

The research described in this manuscript was funded by US National Institutes of Health grants 5R01AI103267 and 1R56AI084160, and an Intramural Grant from Augusta University (all to ST).

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the Medical College of Georgia at Augusta University Medical Scholars Program for supporting HO.

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01776/ full#supplementary-material

- Dugar, G., Herbig, A., Forstner, K. U., Heidrich, N., Reinhardt, R., Nieselt, K., et al. (2013). High-resolution transcriptome maps reveal strain-specific regulatory features of multiple *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates. *PLoS Genet.* 9:e1003495. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1003495
- Dugar, G., Svensson, S. L., Bischler, T., Waldchen, S., Reinhardt, R., Sauer, M., et al. (2016). The CsrA-FliW network controls polar localization of the dual-function flagellin mRNA in *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Nat. Commun.* 7:11667. doi: 10.1038/ncomms11667
- Edwards, A. N., Patterson-Fortin, L. M., Vakulskas, C. A., Mercante, J. W., Potrykus, K., Vinella, D., et al. (2011). Circuitry linking the Csr and stringent response global regulatory systems. *Mol. Microbiol.* 80, 1561–1580. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07663.x
- Fields, J. A., Li, J., Gulbronson, C. J., Hendrixson, D. R., and Thompson, S. A. (2016). *Campylobacter jejuni* CsrA regulates metabolic and virulence associated proteins and is necessary for mouse colonization. *PLoS One* 11:e0156932. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0156932
- Fields, J. A., and Thompson, S. A. (2008). *Campylobacter jejuni* CsrA mediates oxidative stress responses, biofilm formation, and host cell invasion. *J. Bacteriol.* 190, 3411–3416. doi: 10.1128/JB.01928-07

- Fields, J. A., and Thompson, S. A. (2012). Campylobacter jejuni CsrA complements an Escherichia coli csrA mutation for the regulation of biofilm formation, motility and cellular morphology but not glycogen accumulation. BMC Microbiol. 12:233. doi: 10.1186/1471-2180-12-233
- Freitag, C. M., Strijbis, K., and van Putten, J. P. M. (2017). Host cell binding of the flagellar tip protein of *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Cell. Microbiol.* 19, 1–13. doi: 10.1111/cmi.12714
- Guerry, P. (2007). Campylobacter flagella: not just for motility. Trends Microbiol. 15, 456–461. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2007.09.006
- Gutierrez, P., Li, Y., Osborne, M. J., Pomerantseva, E., Liu, Q., and Gehring, K. (2005). Solution structure of the carbon storage regulator protein CsrA from *Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.* 187, 3496–3501. doi: 10.1128/JB.187.10.3496-3501.2005
- Heeb, S., Kuehne, S. A., Bycroft, M., Crivii, S., Allen, M. D., Haas, D., et al. (2006). Functional analysis of the post-transcriptional regulator RsmA reveals a novel RNA-binding site. *J. Mol. Biol.* 355, 1026–1036. doi: 10.1016/j. jmb.2005.11.045
- Hendrixson, D. R., and DiRita, V. J. (2003). Transcription of  $\sigma^{54}$ -dependent but not  $\sigma^{28}$ -dependent flagellar genes in *Campylobacter jejuni* is associated with formation of the flagellar secretory apparatus. *Mol. Microbiol.* 50, 687–702. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03731.x
- Kaakoush, N. O., Castano-Rodriguez, N., Mitchell, H. M., and Man, S. M. (2015). Global epidemiology of *Campylobacter* infection. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 28, 687–720. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00006-15
- Konkel, M. E., Kim, B. J., Rivera-Amill, V., and Garvis, S. G. (1999). Bacterial secreted proteins are required for the internalization of *Campylobacter jejuni* into cultured mammalian cells. *Mol. Microbiol.* 32, 691–701. doi: 10.1046/j. 1365-2958.1999.01376.x
- Lertsethtakarn, P., Ottemann, K. M., and Hendrixson, D. R. (2011). Motility and chemotaxis in *Campylobacter* and *Helicobacter*. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 65, 389–410. doi: 10.1146/annurev-micro-090110-102908
- Li, W., Cowley, A., Uludag, M., Gur, T., McWilliam, H., Squizzato, S., et al. (2015). The EMBL-EBI bioinformatics web and programmatic tools framework. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, W580–W584. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv279
- Li, J., Gulbronson, C. J., Bogacz, M., Hendrixson, D. R., and Thompson, S. A. (2018). FliW controls growth-phase expression of *Campylobacter jejuni* flagellar and non-flagellar proteins via the post-transcriptional regulator CsrA. *Microbiology* 164, 1308–1319. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.000704
- Mercante, J., Edwards, A. N., Dubey, A. K., Babitzke, P., and Romeo, T. (2009). Molecular geometry of CsrA (RsmA) binding to RNA and its implications for regulated expression. J. Mol. Biol. 392, 511–528. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2009.07.034
- Mercante, J., Suzuki, K., Cheng, X., Babitzke, P., and Romeo, T. (2006). Comprehensive alanine-scanning mutagenesis of *Escherichia coli* CsrA defines two subdomains of critical functional importance. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281, 31832–31842. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M606057200
- Morris, E. R., Hall, G., Li, C., Heeb, S., Kulkarni, R. V., Lovelock, L., et al. (2013). Structural rearrangement in an RsmA/CsrA ortholog of *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa creates a dimeric RNA-binding protein, RsmN. Structure 21, 1659–1671. doi: 10.1016/j.str.2013.07.007
- Mukherjee, S., Oshiro, R. T., Yakhnin, H., Babitzke, P., and Kearns, D. B. (2016). FliW antagonizes CsrA RNA binding by a noncompetitive allosteric mechanism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 113, 9870–9875. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1602455113
- Mukherjee, S., Yakhnin, H., Kysela, D., Sokoloski, J., Babitzke, P., and Kearns, D. B. (2011). CsrA-FliW interaction governs flagellin homeostasis and a checkpoint on flagellar morphogenesis in *Bacillus subtilis*. *Mol. Microbiol*. 82, 447–461. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2958.2011.07822.x
- Nachamkin, I., Allos, B. M., and Ho, T. W. (2000). "Campylobacter jejuni infection and the association with Guillain-Barré syndrome" in Campylobacter. 2nd Edn. eds. I. Nachamkin and M. J. Blaser (Washington, DC: ASM Press), 155–175.
- Patterson-Fortin, L. M., Vakulskas, C. A., Yakhnin, H., Babitzke, P., and Romeo, T. (2013). Dual posttranscriptional regulation via a cofactor-responsive mRNA leader. J. Mol. Biol. 425, 3662–3677. doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2012.12.010
- Radomska, K. A., Ordonez, S. R., Wosten, M. M., Wagenaar, J. A., and van Putten, J. P. (2016). Feedback control of *Campylobacter jejuni* flagellin levels through reciprocal binding of FliW to flagellin and the global regulator CsrA. *Mol. Microbiol.* 102, 207–220. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13455

- Ren, B., Shen, H., Lu, Z. J., Liu, H., and Xu, Y. (2014). The phzA2-G2 transcript exhibits direct RsmA-mediated activation in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* M18. *PLoS One* 9:e89653. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0089653
- Rife, C., Schwarzenbacher, R., McMullan, D., Abdubek, P., Ambing, E., Axelrod, H., et al. (2005). Crystal structure of the global regulatory protein CsrA from pseudomonas putida at 2.05 A resolution reveals a new fold. *Proteins* 61, 449–453. doi: 10.1002/prot.20502
- Romeo, T., and Babitzke, P. (2018). Global regulation by CsrA and its RNA antagonists. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 6, 1–24. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.RWR-0009-2017
- Romeo, T., Vakulskas, C. A., and Babitzke, P. (2013). Post-transcriptional regulation on a global scale: form and function of Csr/Rsm systems. *Environ. Microbiol.* 15, 313–324. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-2920.2012.02794.x
- Schubert, M., Lapouge, K., Duss, O., Oberstrass, F. C., Jelesarov, I., Haas, D., et al. (2007). Molecular basis of messenger RNA recognition by the specific bacterial repressing clamp RsmA/CsrA. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 14, 807–813. doi: 10.1038/nsmb1285
- Sievers, F., Wilm, A., Dineen, D., Gibson, T. J., Karplus, K., Li, W., et al. (2011). Fast, scalable generation of high-quality protein multiple sequence alignments using Clustal Omega. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 7:539. doi: 10.1038/msb.2011.75
- Svensson, S. L., Pryjma, M., and Gaynor, E. C. (2014). Flagella-mediated adhesion and extracellular DNA release contribute to biofilm formation and stress tolerance of *Campylobacter jejuni*. *PLoS One* 9:e106063. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0106063
- Tack, D. M., Marder, E. P., Griffin, P. M., Cieslak, P. R., Dunn, J., Hurd, S., et al. (2019). Preliminary incidence and trends of infections with pathogens transmitted commonly through food - Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network, 10 U.S. Sites, 2015–2018. MMWR Morb. Mortal. Wkly Rep. 68, 369–373. doi: 10.15585/mmwr.mm6816a2
- Tuerk, C., and Gold, L. (1990). Systematic evolution of ligands by exponential enrichment: RNA ligands to bacteriophage T4 DNA polymerase. *Science* 249, 505–510. doi: 10.1126/science.2200121
- Wassenaar, T. M., van der Zeijst, B. A., Ayling, R., and Newell, D. G. (1993). Colonization of chicks by motility mutants of *Campylobacter jejuni* demonstrates the importance of flagellin A expression. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 139, 1171–1175. doi: 10.1099/00221287-139-6-1171
- WHO (2013). The global view of campylobacteriosis. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 1–59. https://www.who.int/foodsafety/publications/ campylobacteriosis/en/
- WHO (2015). WHO estimates of the global burden of foodborne diseases: Foodborne disease burden epidemiology reference group 2007–2015. Geneva, Switzerland: Publications of the World Health Organization.
- Yakhnin, A. V., Baker, C. S., Vakulskas, C. A., Yakhnin, H., Berezin, I., Romeo, T., et al. (2013). CsrA activates *flhDC* expression by protecting *flhDC* mRNA from RNase E-mediated cleavage. *Mol. Microbiol.* 87, 851–866. doi: 10.1111/ mmi.12136
- Yakhnin, A. V., Yakhnin, H., and Babitzke, P. (2012). Gel mobility shift assays to detect protein-RNA interactions. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 905, 201–211. doi: 10.1007/978-1-61779-949-5\_12
- Yao, R., and Guerry, P. (1996). Molecular cloning and site-specific mutagenesis of a gene involved in arylsulfatase production in *Campylobacter jejuni*. J. Bacteriol. 178, 3335–3338. doi: 10.1128/jb.178.11.3335-3338.1996
- Zuker, M. (2003). Mfold web server for nucleic acid folding and hybridization prediction. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 31, 3406–3415. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkg595

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 El Abbar, Li, Owen, Daugherty, Fulmer, Bogacz and Thompson. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.