



Corrigendum: Cinnamaldehyde Inhibits *Staphylococcus aureus* Virulence Factors and Protects against Infection in a *Galleria mellonella* Model

Thiago A. F. Ferro¹, Jéssica M. M. Araújo¹, Bruna L. dos Santos Pinto¹, Jéssica S. dos Santos¹, Eliene B. Souza¹, Bruna L. R. da Silva¹, Valderlane L. P. Colares¹, Tânia M. G. Novais¹, Clovis M. B. Filho², Carsten Struve³, João B. Calixto⁴, Valério Monteiro-Neto^{1,5}, Luís C. N. da Silva¹ and Elizabeth S. Fernandes^{1*}

¹ Programa de Pós-graduação, Universidade CEUMA, São Luís, Brazil, ² Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Pernambuco, Brazil, ³ Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark, ⁴ Centro de Inovação e Estudos Pré-clínicos, Florianópolis, Brazil, ⁵ Universidade Federal Do Maranhão, São Luís, Brazil

Keywords: essential oil, cinnamaldehyde, infection, bacterial virulence, S. aureus

OPEN ACCESS A Corrigendum on

Approved by:

Frontiers Editorial Office, Frontiers Media SA, Switzerland

*Correspondence:

Elizabeth S. Fernandes elizabeth.soares@ceuma.br; elizabeth.fernandes@ pelepequenoprincipe.org.br

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Antimicrobials, Resistance and Chemotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 11 November 2020 Accepted: 04 December 2020 Published: 15 January 2021

Citation:

Ferro TAF, Araújo JMM, dos Santos Pinto BL, dos Santos JS, Souza EB, da Silva BLR, Colares VLP, Novais TMG, Filho CMB, Struve C, Calixto JB, Monteiro-Neto V, da Silva LCN and Fernandes ES (2021) Corrigendum: Cinnamaldehyde Inhibits Staphylococcus aureus Virulence Factors and Protects against Infection in a Galleria mellonella Model. Front. Microbiol. 11:628074. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.628074

Cinnamaldehyde Inhibits *Staphylococcus aureus* Virulence Factors and Protects against Infection in a *Galleria mellonella* Model

by Ferro, T. A. F., Araújo, J. M. M., dos Santos Pinto, B. L., dos Santos, J. S., Souza, E. B., da Silva, B. L. R., et al. (2016). Front. Microbiol. 7:2052. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.02052

In the original article, there were mistakes in **Tables 1** and **2**, and **Figure 2** as published. Instead of the accession ATCC 19443 for the type strain of *E. faecalis*, it should read ATCC 19433 in **Tables 1** and **2**, and **Figure 2**, as well as throughout the text (in *MATERIALS AND METHODS*, section *Bacterial Strains*, *1st paragraph and section Analysis of Bacterial Tolerance to Drug*, *1st paragraph; in RESULTS*, section *Cinnamaldehyde Inhibits the Growth of S. aureus and E. faecalis without Inducing an Adaptive Phenotype*, *3rd paragraph, section Cinnamaldehyde Sub-inhibitory Concentrations Decrease the Ability of S. aureus to Adhere to Latex, 1st paragraph; and in the DISCUSSION, section Cinnamaldehyde Inhibits the Growth of S. aureus and E. faecalis without Inducing an Adaptive Phenotype, 1st paragraph, section Cinnamaldehyde Sub-inhibitory Concentrations Decrease the Ability of S. aureus to Adhere to Latex, 1st paragraph).*

In the original article, there was an error. All instances of *E. faecalis* ATCC 19443 should read ATCC 19433.

Corrections has been made to the following sections:

MATERIALS AND METHODS, Bacterial Strains, 1st paragraph:

All tested bacteria were kindly provided by the bacterial collection sector of the Universidade CEUMA and included: six strains of *S. aureus* (standard strains ATCC 25923 and ATCC 6538; clinical isolates SA01, SA02, SA03, SA04); four strains of *E. faecalis* (standard strain ATCC 19433; clinical isolates EF01, EF02, EF03). Susceptibility to antimicrobials was determined in an automated VITEK[®] 2 system (BioMérieux Clinical Diagnostics, USA) and data interpretation was performed as recommended by the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (2015). The multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) index was calculated using the formula MAR = x/y, where "x" was the number of antibiotics to which the isolate demonstrated resistance; and "y" was the total number of antibiotics tested. The antibiotic susceptibility profile of each strain is shown at **Table 1**.

MATERIALS AND METHODS, Analysis of Bacterial Tolerance to Drug, 1st paragraph:

In order to investigate whether cinnamaldehyde is able to induce bacterial tolerance to drug, we performed serial passage experiments, using the standard strains of *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923) and *E. faecalis* (ATCC 19433). For this, bacterial suspensions (1 ml, \sim 1.5 × 10⁸ CFU/ml) were added to six-well tissue culture plates containing MH broth and sub-inhibitory concentrations (MIC/2) of cinnamaldehyde or ciprofloxacin (positive control). After 24 h at 37°C, the culture growing at one dilution below the MIC was used to inoculate the subsequent passage, and this process was repeated for a total of 10 passages. The compound concentration range of each new passage was based on the MIC calculated for the previous passage. Vehicle-treated bacteria (2% DMSO in PBS) were used as negative controls.

RESULTS, Cinnamaldehyde Inhibits the Growth of S. aureus and E. faecalis without Inducing an Adaptive Phenotype, 3rd paragraph:

Additionally, when incubated *in vitro* with cinnamaldehyde, neither *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923) nor *E. faecalis* (ATCC 19433) developed adaptive phenotypes even after 10 sequential passages. In contrast, both strains became tolerant to the clinically used antibiotic ciprofloxacin as MIC values increased from $0.0625 \,\mu$ g/ml to $0.5 \,\mu$ g/ml for *S. aureus*, and from $0.125 \,\mu$ g/ml to $0.5 \,\mu$ g/ml for *E. faecalis*.

RESULTS, Cinnamaldehyde Sub-inhibitory Concentrations Decrease the Ability of S. aureus to Adhere to Latex, 1st paragraph:

We also evaluated whether the sub-inhibitory concentrations of cinnamaldehvde were able to affect bacterial adhesion to latex, using a catheter model. As expected, all tested S. aureus and E. faecalis strains were able to adhere to latex. The sub-inhibitory concentrations of cinnamaldehyde were able to reduce the adherence to latex by all tested S. aureus strains (Figure 1D). When tested at MIC/2, cinnamaldehyde maximum inhibitory effects were observed for S. aureus ATCC 25923 (94.2%), and the clinical isolates SA03 (93.1%) and SA01 (91.3%). Also importantly, the same concentration of cinnamaldehyde diminished latex adhesion by S. aureus ATCC 6538 (67.4%), SA04 (59.6%), and SA02 (48.7%). The adhesion of S. aureus ATCC 25923 was also the most reduced by cinnamaldehyde at MIC/4 (93.0%), followed by SA01 (79.6%), SA03 (69.0%), SA02 (58.6%), SA04 (46.7%), and SA01 (44.7%). On the other hand, this compound only affected the adherence to latex of E. faecalis ATCC 19433 with reductions of 79.7 and 69.8% by cinnamaldehyde at MIC/2 and MIC/4, respectively (Figure 2).

DISCUSSION, Cinnamaldehyde Inhibits the Growth of S. aureus and E. faecalis without Inducing an Adaptive Phenotype, 1st paragraph:

Cinnamaldehyde presented with antimicrobial actions on clinical isolates of *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis*, in addition to ATCC standard strains. This compound was effective on all strains of *E. faecalis* and *S. aureus*, including those with a multidrug resistance phenotype. The antimicrobial properties of cinnamaldehyde have been demonstrated against a range of Gram-positive and Gram-negative pathogens including *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis*

TABLE 1 | Antibiotic susceptibility profiles of *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* strains.

Strain	Antibiotic						MAR	
	PEN	VAN	ΟΧΑ	GEN	CLI	CIP	SUT	
E. faecalis ATCC 19433	S	S	_	S	_	S	_	0
E. faecalis 1	S	S	-	S	-	S	-	0
E. faecalis 2	R	S	-	S	-	S	-	0.25
E. faecalis 3	R	S	-	R	-	R	-	0.75
S. aureus ATCC 25923	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	0
S. aureus ATCC 6538	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	0
S. aureus 1	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	0
S. aureus 2	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	0.50
S. aureus 3	R	S	R	S	R	S	R	0.50
S. aureus 4	R	S	R	S	R	S	S	0.43

Experiments were performed three times in duplicate.

PEN, Penicillin; VAN, vancomycin; OXA, oxacillin; GEN, gentamicin; CLI, clindamycin, CIP, ciprofloxacin; SUT, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim. Multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) index.

TABLE 2 Antimicrobial activity of cinnamaldehyde against *Staphylococcus* aureus and *Enterococcus faecalis*.

Strain	MIC ¹	MBC ²	
E. faecalis ATCC 19433	0.25	1	
E. faecalis 1	0.25	1	
E. faecalis 2	0.25	1	
E. faecalis 3	0.25	1	
S. aureus ATCC 25923	0.5	1	
S. aureus ATCC 6538	0.25	1	
S. aureus 1	0.25	1	
S. aureus 2	0.25	1	
S. aureus 3	0.25	1	
S. aureus 4	0.25	1	

Experiments were performed three times in duplicate.

¹Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and ²Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) are expressed in mg/ml.

(Cox and Markham, 2007; Shen et al., 2015; Upadhyay and Venkitanarayanan, 2016). Cinnamaldehyde actions against these pathogens are related to changes in their cell membrane polarity and permeability (Hammer and Heel, 2012). Importantly, we show for the first time that although becoming tolerant to ciprofloxacin, neither *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923) or *E. faecalis* (ATCC 19433) develop an adaptive phenotype when incubated with cinnamaldehyde *in vitro*.

DISCUSSION, Cinnamaldehyde Sub-inhibitory Concentrations Decrease the Ability of S. aureus to Adhere to Latex, 1st paragraph:

Catheterization is a potential risk factor for bacterial colonization and infection (Padmavathy et al., 2015; Tong et al., 2015). *S. aureus* and *E. faecalis* are both capable of adhering to abiotic surfaces (such as catheter) due to the expression of surface proteins (Foster et al., 2014), such as the





S. aureus protein A (SpA) and the enterococcal surface protein (Esp) (Elhadidy and Elsayyad, 2013; Zapotoczna et al., 2016). Cinnamaldehyde strongly inhibited *S. aureus* adherence to latex, an effect that was observed when this compound was tested at MIC/2 and MIC/4 on all strains. When tested on *E. faecalis*

strains, cinnamaldehyde only diminished latex adherence by the standard strain ATCC 19433.

The authors apologize for these errors and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

REFERENCES

- Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute [CLSI] (2015). *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Fifth Informational Supplement.* Wayne PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 1–240.
- Cox, S. D., and Markham, J. L. (2007). Susceptibility and intrinsic tolerance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to selected plant volatile compounds. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 103, 930–936. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2672.2007.03353.x
- Elhadidy, M., and Elsayyad, A. J. (2013). Uncommitted role of enterococcal surface protein, Esp, and origin of isolates on biofilm production by *Enterococcus*

faecalis isolated from bovine mastitis. Microbiol. Immunol. Infect. 46, 80-84. doi: 10.1016/j.jmii.2012.02.002

- Foster, T. J., Geoghegan, J. A., Ganesh, V. K., and Höök, M. (2014). Adhesion, invasion and evasion: the many functions of the surface proteins of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 12, 49–62. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro3161
- Hammer, K. A., and Heel, K. A. (2012). Use of multiparameter flow cytometry to determine the effects of monoterpenoids and phenylpropanoids on membrane polarity and permeability in staphylococci and enterococci. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 40, 239–245. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2012.05.015
- Padmavathy, K., Praveen, S., Madhavan, R., Krithika, N., and Kiruthiga, A. J. (2015). Clinico-microbiological investigation of catheter associated urinary tract infection by *Enterococcus faecalis*: vanA genotype. *Clin. Diagn. Res.* 9, DD05–DD6. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2015/13856.6378
- Shen, S., Zhang, T., Yuan, Y., Lin, S., Xu, J., and Ye, H. (2015). Effects of cinnamaldehyde on *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* membrane. *Food Control.* 47, 196–202. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2014.07.003
- Tong, S. Y., Davis, J. S., Eichenberger, E., Holland, T. L., and Fowler, V. G. (2015). *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: epidemiology, pathophysiology,

clinical manifestations, and management. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 28, 603-661. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00134-14

- Upadhyay, A., and Venkitanarayanan, K. (2016). In vivo efficacy of transcinnamaldehyde, carvacrol, and thymol in attenuating *Listeria monocytogenes* infection in a *Galleria mellonella* model. *J. Nat. Med.* 70, 667–672. doi: 10.1007/s11418-016-0990-4
- Zapotoczna, M., O'Neill, E., and O'Gara, J. P. (2016). Untangling the diverse and redundant mechanisms of *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilm formation. *PLoS Pathog.* 12:e1005671. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.10 05671

Copyright © 2021 Ferro, Araújo, dos Santos Pinto, dos Santos, Souza, da Silva, Colares, Novais, Filho, Struve, Calixto, Monteiro-Neto, da Silva and Fernandes. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.