#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY Yamina Berchiche, Dr. GPCR, United States

#### REVIEWED BY

David Sibley, National Institutes of Health (NIH), United States Michael H. Baumann, National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIH), United States Luca Posa, Cornell University, United States

\*CORRESPONDENCE William C. Wetsel, ⊠ william.wetsel@duke.edu

RECEIVED 02 June 2023 ACCEPTED 19 September 2023 PUBLISHED 10 October 2023

#### CITATION

Pogorelov VM, Rodriguiz RM, Roth BL and Wetsel WC (2023), The G protein biased serotonin 5-HT2A receptor agonist lisuride exerts anti-depressant drug-like activities in mice. *Front. Mol. Biosci.* 10:1233743. doi: 10.3389/fmolb.2023.1233743

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Pogorelov, Rodriguiz, Roth and Wetsel. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is

permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# The G protein biased serotonin 5-HT2A receptor agonist lisuride exerts anti-depressant drug-like activities in mice

Vladimir M. Pogorelov<sup>1</sup>, Ramona M. Rodriguiz<sup>1,2</sup>, Bryan L. Roth<sup>3,4</sup> and William C. Wetsel<sup>1,2,5</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, United States, <sup>2</sup>Mouse Behavioral and Neuroendocrine Analysis Core Facility, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, United States, <sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacology, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, United States, <sup>4</sup>Center for Integrative Chemical Biology and Drug Discovery, Division of Chemical Biology and Medicinal Chemistry, Eshelman School of Pharmacy, National Institute of Mental Health Psychoactive Drug Screening Program, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill School of Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, United States, <sup>5</sup>Departments of Cell Biology and Neurobiology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, United States

There is now evidence from multiple Phase II clinical trials that psychedelic drugs can exert long-lasting anxiolytic, anti-depressant, and anti-drug abuse (nicotine and ethanol) effects in patients. Despite these benefits, the hallucinogenic actions of these drugs at the serotonin 2A receptor (5-HT2AR) limit their clinical use in diverse settings. Activation of the 5-HT2AR can stimulate both G protein and  $\beta$ arrestin (βArr) -mediated signaling. Lisuride is a G protein biased agonist at the 5-HT2AR and, unlike the structurally-related lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD), the drug does not typically produce hallucinations in normal subjects at routine doses. Here, we examined behavioral responses to lisuride, in wild-type (WT), ßArr1knockout (KO), and βArr2-KO mice. In the open field, lisuride reduced locomotor and rearing activities, but produced a U-shaped function for stereotypies in both βArr lines of mice. Locomotion was decreased overall in βArr1-KOs and βArr2-KOs relative to wild-type controls. Incidences of head twitches and retrograde walking to lisuride were low in all genotypes. Grooming was decreased in  $\beta$ Arr1 mice, but was increased then decreased in βArr2 animals with lisuride. Serotonin syndromeassociated responses were present at all lisuride doses in WTs, but they were reduced especially in ßArr2-KO mice. Prepulse inhibition (PPI) was unaffected in  $\beta$ Arr2 mice, whereas 0.5 mg/kg lisuride disrupted PPI in  $\beta$ Arr1 animals. The 5-HT2AR antagonist MDL100907 failed to restore PPI in ßArr1 mice, whereas the dopamine D2/D3 antagonist raclopride normalized PPI in WTs but not in ßArr1-KOs. Clozapine, SCH23390, and GR127935 restored PPI in both βArr1 genotypes. Using vesicular monoamine transporter 2 mice, lisuride reduced immobility times in tail suspension and promoted a preference for sucrose that lasted up to 2 days. Together, it appears  $\beta$ Arr1 and  $\beta$ Arr2 play minor roles in lisuride's actions on many behaviors, while this drug exerts anti-depressant drug-like responses without hallucinogenic-like activities.

#### KEYWORDS

lisuride,  $\beta$ -arrestin, serotonin 2A receptor, mice, prepulse inhibition, head twitch, serotonin-syndrome

# 1 Introduction

Lisuride was first synthesized in 1960 as an analog of methysergide (Zikán and Semonský, 1960) and, as an ergoline derivative, it has a chemical structure similar D-lysergic acid diethylamide (LSD). Both lisuride and LSD bind with high affinities to serotonin (5-HT) 2A receptors (5-HT2AR) and signal through Gaq by activation of phospholipase C leading to production of inositol phosphates and diacylglycerol with release of intracellular Ca<sup>2+</sup> in vitro (Hoyer et al., 1994; Egan et al., 1998; Kurrasch-Orbaugh et al., 2003; Cussac et al., 2008). Additionally, 5-HT2AR agonists can stimulate phospholipase A2 and mediate release of arachidonic acid (Felder et al., 1990; Kurrasch-Orbaugh et al., 2003). While lisuride and LSD are partial 5-HT2AR agonists (Egan et al., 1998; Kurrasch-Orbaugh et al., 2003; Wacker et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2022), they bind also dopaminergic, adrenergic, and other serotonergic receptors (Piercey et al., 1996; Egan et al., 1998; Marona-Lewicka et al., 2002; Millan et al., 2002; Kroeze et al., 2015). Despite these similarities, LSD possesses hallucinogenic activity in humans at doses as low as 20 µg (Greiner et al., 1958), while lisuride is devoid of these psychedelic effects up to 600 µg in normal subjects (Herrmann et al., 1977).

Although lisuride is reported to produce hallucinations in some patients with Parkinson's disease (Schachter et al., 1979; Parkes et al., 1981; LeWitt et al., 1982; Vaamonde et al., 1991), these effects may be attributable to dysregulation of dopaminergic and other neurotransmitter systems in this disease. Nevertheless, the lack of hallucinations with lisuride in normal subjects seems surprising since agonism at the 5-HT2AR mediates the hallucinogenic actions of psychedelics (Glennon et al., 1983; Schreiber et al., 1995; Keiser et al., 2009) and because antagonistic actions by atypical antipsychotic drugs diminish the psychosis associated both with schizophrenia and Parkinson's psychosis (Roth et al., 2004; Meltzer and Roth, 2013). To date, lisuride has been used in humans to treat migraine and cluster headache, parkinsonism, and hyperprolactinemia (Herrmann et al., 1977; Verde et al., 1980; McDonald and Horowski, 1983; Raffaelli et al., 1983). By comparison, LSD has potential efficacy in treating cluster headache, anxiety and depression in life-threatening situations when combined with psychotherapy, and it may be useful in studying consciousness and treating substance abuse (Sewell et al., 2006; Gasser et al., 2015; Bogenschutz and Johnson, 2016; Carhart-Harris et al., 2016; Griffiths et al., 2016; Goodwin et al., 2023; Holze et al., 2023). Hence, the therapeutic profiles of these drugs are different.

In rodents the head twitch response (HTR) to psychedelics is mediated by 5-HT2AR activation (Glennon et al., 1984; González-Maeso et al., 2007; Keiser et al., 2009) and is used frequently to determine the potential psychedelic actions of drugs. However, several non-hallucinogenic drugs including quipazine, 5hydroxytryptophan, ergometrine, cannabinoid CB1 antagonists, rolipram, fenfluramine, and other drugs also induce HTRs (Corne and Pickering, 1967; Malick et al., 1977; Wachtel, 1983; Darmani, 1998; Darmani and Pandya, 2000), although to our knowledge there are no classical psychedelic drugs that do not induce HTRs. Thus, while there are many false positives for the HTR, we are unaware of false negative hallucinogens. In contrast to LSD and other psychedelics, lisuride does not produce HTRs in rats (Gerber et al., 1985) or mice (González-Maeso et al., 2007; Halberstadt and Geyer, 2013). Besides HTRs, another distinction between lisuride and LSD is their differential agonist profile at the 5-HT2AR. While both ligands bind this receptor, the residues in the binding pocket bound by these ligands produce slightly different receptor conformations and may show differential responses through G protein- and  $\beta$ -arrestin ( $\beta$ Arr) -mediated signaling (Kim et al., 2020; Cao et al., 2022). Parenthetically, the ability of ligands to stimulate or inhibit different pathways from the same receptor is termed functional selectivity or biased signaling (Roth and Chuang, 1987; Kenakin, 1995). Recently, LSD has been shown to be  $\beta$ Arr biased at the 5-HT2AR *in vitro* (Wacker et al., 2017; Cao et al., 2022) and *in vivo* (Rodriguiz et al., 2021).

In present study we used  $\beta$ Arr1 and  $\beta$ Arr2 mice to determine if lisuride could exert differential effects on motor performance, PPI, and various other behaviors—including HTRs--in the presence and absence of  $\beta$ Arrs. As there is emerging evidence that psychedelics and 5-HT2AR agonists have anti-depressant actions in humans (Carhart-Harris et al., 2016; Griffiths et al., 2016; McClure-Begley and Roth, 2022; Goodwin et al., 2023), we tested if lisuride had similar actions in the vesicular monoamine transporter 2 (VMAT2) heterozygous (HET) mice (see Fukui et al., 2007). Note, these mutants were selected for study because they phenocopy the hypertensive patients that were treated with the VMAT inhibitor, reserpine, who experienced depression without anxiety (Freis, 1954), and because these findings were used initially as a basis for the monoamine hypothesis of depression (Schildkraut, 1965; Maes et al., 1994).

# 2 Results

# 2.1 Lisuride reduces motor activities in $\beta \text{Arr1}$ and $\beta \text{Arr2}$ mice

When cumulative locomotor activities were examined at baseline in  $\beta$ Arr1 animals, no significant genotype or treatment effects were found (Figure 1A). Following drug administration, overall cumulative locomotor activities were lower in  $\beta$ Arr1-KO than WT mice (p = 0.046). With lisuride, locomotion in both genotypes decreased in a dose-dependent fashion from 0.01 to 0.5 mg/kg lisuride relative to vehicle (p-values $\leq 0.005$ ) and remained flat.

An examination of cumulative rearing found basal responses between  $\beta$ Arr1 genotypes (p = 0.006) and their assigned treatment groups to be different (p < 0.001) (Figure 1B). To control for these conditions, post-administration cumulative rearing activities were subjected to analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). No significant genotype effects were detected; however, the initial suppression in rearing was visually more apparent in  $\beta$ Arr1-KO than WT animals. Rearing activities in both genotypes decreased dose-dependently from vehicle to 0.05 mg/kg lisuride (p-values $\leq$ 0.036) and remained low to the 4 mg/kg dose.

Basal cumulative stereotypies were different among  $\beta$ Arr1 mice prior to treatment (*p* = 0.003) (Figure 1C). While no genotype effects at post-injection were noted with ANCOVA, cumulative stereotypies assumed a U-shaped function for dose with a decline from vehicle through 0.01–0.5 mg/kg lisuride (*p*-values≤0.009) and



Effects of lisuride on cumulative motor activities in  $\beta$ -arrestin 1 mice. Baseline activities were monitored from 0 to 30 min, animals were given vehicle (Veh) or various doses of lisuride, and returned to the open field for 90 min. (A) Cumulative distance traveled. Baseline: no significant effects. Post-injection: two-way ANOVA for genotype [F (1,161) = 4.050, p = 0.046] and treatment [F (7,161) = 33.270, p < 0.001]. (B) Vertical counts (rearing). Baseline: two-way ANOVA for genotype [F (1,161) = 7.636, p = 0.006] and treatment [F (7,161) = 5.384, p < 0.001]. Post-administration: two-way ANCOVA for treatment [F (7,160) = 27.412, p < 0.001]. (C) Stereotypies. Baseline: two-way ANOVA for treatment [F (7,161) = 3.298, p = 0.003]. Following injection: two-way ANCOVA for treatment [F (7,160) = 14.609, p < 0.001]. Data presented as means ±SEMs; n = 8–15 mice/genotype/treatment; \*p < 0.05, WT vs. KO; \*p < 0.05, +\*p < 0.05, vs. Vehicle.

then these activities increased with 4 mg/kg lisuride but were still below that of vehicle (p < 0.008).

Motor activities were evaluated also in  $\beta$ Arr2 mice. No genotype or treatment effects were discerned for baseline cumulative locomotion (Figure 2A). Nonetheless, post-administration a lisuride-induced depression in activity was more robust in  $\beta$ Arr2-KO than WT mice (p < 0.001). All doses of lisuride suppressed locomotion compared to vehicle (p-values<0.001).

An assessment of cumulative rearing in  $\beta$ Arr2 animals revealed basal responses to be different across treatment assignments (p < 0.001) (Figure 2B). The ANCOVA determined post-administration cumulative rearing was depressed from vehicle though all lisuride doses (p-values $\leq 0.028$ ).

Basal cumulative stereotypies were differentiated by genotype (p = 0.042) and treatment assignment (p < 0.001) (Figure 2C). Following injection of vehicle or different doses of lisuride, ANCOVA discerned a trend for a genotype effect (p = 0.056) where responses were lower overall in  $\beta$ Arr2-KO than WT mice. Treatment effects were more dramatic and were U-shaped. Here, the numbers of cumulative stereotypies declined from vehicle to 0.01 lisuride (p < 0.001), responses were low to 2 mg/kg (p-values $\leq 0.001$ ), then ascended with 4 mg/kg lisuride to the vehicle control.



Effects of lisuride on cumulative motor activities in  $\beta$ -arrestin 2 mice. The procedure is identical to Figure 1. (A) Cumulative distance traveled. Baseline: no significant effects. Post-injection: two-way ANOVA for genotype [F (1,157) = 11.710, p < 0.001] and treatment [F (7,157) = 25.825, p < 0.001]. (B) Vertical counts (rearing). Baseline: two-way ANOVA for treatment [F (7,157) = 8.162, p < 0.001]. Post-administration: two-way ANOVA for treatment [F (7,156) = 18.319, p < 0.001]. (C) Stereotypical activities. Baseline: two-way ANOVA for genotype [F (1,157) = 4.205, p = 0.042] and treatment [F (7,157) = 10.009, p < 0.001]. Following injection: two-way ANOVA for treatment [F (7,156) = 8.485, p < 0.001]. Data presented as means  $\pm$ SEMs; n = 10–15 mice/genotype/treatment. \*\*\*p < 0.001, WT vs. KO;  $^+p < 0.05$ ,  $^{+++}p < 0.001$ , vs. Vehicle.

Taken together, these findings show that in both  $\beta$ Arr1 and  $\beta$ Arr2 mice lisuride reduces cumulative locomotor, rearing, and stereotypical activities; however, these latter activities assume a biphasic U-shaped function with lisuride.

# 2.2 Lisuride produces serotonin syndromeassociated behaviors

To determine which behaviors were expressed as stereotypies, responses were scored over 30 min immediately following lisuride administration. We identified many behaviors associated with 5-HT syndrome and they consisted of hunched posture, repetitive paw kneading, rigid posture, flat posture, Staub tail, and tremor or body shaking (see Haberzettl et al., 2013). HTRs and retrograde walking may be included also and these are presented later. When cumulative responses listed initially above were tabulated across doses, 4 mg/kg lisuride elicited more responses in WT than  $\beta$ Arr1-KO mice (p < 0.001) (Figure 3A; Supplementary Figure S1). Within WT mice 5-HT syndrome-associated responses were expressed at higher levels with 0.05, 0.1, 1, 2 and 4 mg/kg lisuride than vehicle (p-values $\leq 0.034$ ). Importantly, the numbers of responses with 4 mg/kg lisuride were enhanced over all other lisuride doses (p-values< 0.001). In  $\beta$ Arr1-KO mice the incidences of 5-HT syndrome-associated responses were highest also with 4 mg/kg relative to vehicle and 0.01, 0.05, 0.5, and 1 mg/kg lisuride



Serotonin syndrome-associated behaviors and head twitch responses with lisuride in  $\beta$ -arrestin 1 and  $\beta$ -arrestin 2 mice. Responses were scored over the initial 30-min post-injection period. (A) Lisuride dose-response induced 5-HT syndrome-associated behaviors in  $\beta$ -arrestin 1 mice. Two-way ANOVA for treatment [F (7,161) = 26.264, p < 0.001] and genotype by treatment interaction [F (7,161) = 3.918, p < 0.001]; n = 8-15 mice/genotype/treatment. (B) Lisuride dose-response induced 5-HT syndrome-associated behaviors in  $\beta$ -arrestin 2 mice. Two-way ANOVA for genotype [F (1,157) = 153.263, p < 0.001], treatment [F (7,157) = 22.422, p < 0.001], and genotype by treatment interaction [F (7,157) = 7.193, p < 0.001]; n = 10-15 mice/genotype/treatment. (C) Head twitch responses in  $\beta$ Arr1 mice given different doses of lisuride and 0.3 mg/kg LSD. Two-way ANOVA for treatment [F (8,177) = 89.256, p < 0.001]; n = 8-15  $\beta$ Arr1 mice/genotype/treatment. (D) Head twitch responses in  $\beta$ Arr2 mice receiving the same treatments as panel C. Two-way ANOVA for genotype [F (1,175) = 23.388, p < 0.001], treatment [F (8,175) = 57.323, p < 0.001], and genotype by treatment interaction [F (8,175) = 13.667, p < 0.001]; n = 10-15  $\beta$ Arr1 mice/genotype/treatment. (B) Head twitch responses in  $\beta$ Arr2 mice receiving the same treatments as panel C. Two-way ANOVA for genotype [F (1,175) = 23.388, p < 0.001], treatment [F (8,175) = 57.323, p < 0.001], and genotype by treatment interaction [F (8,175) = 13.667, p < 0.001]; n = 10-15  $\beta$ Arr2 mice/genotype/treatment. The data are presented as means  $\pm$  SEMs. \*\*\*p < 0.001, WT vs. KO for Lisuride is "p < 0.05, "p < 0.05, "p < 0.05, "p < 0.05, "p < 0.001, vs. Vehicle; "p < 0.001, vs. 0.01 mg/kg Lisuride; "p < 0.05, vs. 1 mg/kg Lisuride; "p < 0.05, vs. 2 mg/kg Lisuride; "p < 0.05, "p < 0.01, " $n^{-n} < 0.001$ , vs. 4 mg/kg Lisuride; " $a^{-n} < 0.001$ , vs. LSD.

(*p*-values $\leq$ 0.041); responses to 2 mg/kg lisuride were increased over vehicle and the 0.5 mg/kg dose (*p*-values $\leq$ 0.013).

Genotype differences were robust in βArr2 mice, where all doses but 0.01 mg/kg lisuride stimulated the expression of more 5-HT syndrome-associated responses in WT than BArr2-KO animals (p-values≤0.001) (Figure 3B; Supplementary Figure S2). Within WT mice, 0.05-4 mg/kg lisuride stimulated more responses than vehicle (p-values<0.001) and 0.1-4 mg/kg lisuride produced more responses than the 0.01 mg/kg dose (p-values<0.001). In addition, responses to 0.1 and 4 mg/kg lisuride were higher than for the 0.05 mg/kg dose (*p*-values≤0.009). In βArr2-KO animals, responses to the 1 and 4 mg/kg doses were enhanced over vehicle and 0.01 mg/kg lisuride (p-values≤0.033). The 4 mg/kg lisurideinduced responses were higher also than to 0.01 and 0.1 mg/kg lisuride (*p*-values $\leq$ 0.028). Collectively, these results show that 5-HT syndrome-associated responses are elicited at much higher rates in WT than  $\beta$ Arr2-KO mice to 0.05–4 mg/kg lisuride, while only the highest lisuride dose augments more responses in WTs than βArr1-KO animals.

# 2.3 Lisuride effects on additional stereotyped behaviors in $\beta Arr1$ and $\beta Arr2$ mice

When HTRs were examined, both WT and  $\beta$ Arr1-KO mice responded similarly to lisuride (Figure 3C). Here 4 mg/kg lisuride stimulated more HTRs than all other doses except 2 mg/kg (*p*-values≤0.004). Even with this high 4 mg/kg dose less than 7 HTRs were identified over the 30-min post-injection period, whereas LSD stimulated high levels of HTRs relative to all other treatments (*p*-values<0.001). In  $\beta$ Arr2 animals, the numbers of HTRs barely exceeded 3 with 4 mg/kg lisuride and this number was not significantly different from vehicle (Figure 3D). By contrast, LSD stimulated more HTRs in WT relative to  $\beta$ Arr2-KO animals (*p* < 0.001). Thus, with lisuride HTRs are exceedingly low in both  $\beta$ Arr lines, while LSD stimulates more HTRs in  $\beta$ Arr1-KO and WTs than  $\beta$ Arr2-KO mice.

For self-grooming, no genotype effects were detected in either  $\beta$ Arr strain (Supplementary Figure S3A,B). Compared to vehicle,



Effects of lisuride on prepulse inhibition in  $\beta$ -arrestin 1 mice. Animals were administered vehicle, 0.5 mg/kg MDL100907, 3 mg/kg raclopride, or 0.5 mg/kg clozapine before giving vehicle or lisuride and tested. **(A)** PPI in  $\beta$ Arr 1 mice receiving vehicle or different lisuride doses. RMANOVA for PPI [F (2,164) = 406.059, *p* < 0.001], PPI by treatment interaction [F (6,164) = 7.644, *p* < 0.001], and treatment [F (3,82) = 8.814, *p* < 0.001]; n = 10–16  $\beta$ Arr1 mice/ genotype/treatment. **(B)** PPI in  $\beta$ Arr1 mice treated with vehicle, 0.5 mg/kg MDL100907, 0.5 mg/kg lisuride, or MDL100907 plus lisuride. RMANOVA for PPI [F (2,158) = 368.350, *p* < 0.001], PPI by treatment interaction [F (6,158) = 6.158, *p* < 0.001], and treatment [F (3,79) = 14.448, *p* < 0.001]; n = 9–16  $\beta$ Arr1 mice/genotype/treatment. **(C)** PPI in  $\beta$ Arr1 mice given vehicle, 3 mg/kg raclopride, 0.5 mg/kg lisuride, or raclopride plus lisuride. RMANOVA for PPI [F (2,152) = 450.960, *p* < 0.001], PPI by treatment interaction [F (6,152) = 8.922, *p* < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment interaction [F (6,152) = 8.922, *p* < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment interaction [F (6,152) = 8.922, *p* < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment interaction [F (6,152) = 8.922, *p* < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment interaction [F (6,152) = 8.922, *p* < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment interaction [F (6,152) = 8.922, *p* < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment interaction [F (6,152) = 8.922, *p* < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment interaction [F (6,152) = 8.922, *p* < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment interaction [F (6,152) = 8.922, *p* < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment interaction [F (6,152) = 8.922, *p* < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment interaction [F (6,152) = 8.922, *p* < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment interaction [F (6,152) = 8.922, *p* < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment interaction [F (6,152) = 8.922, *p* < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment interaction [F (6,152) = 8.922, *p* < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment in

#### FIGURE 4 (Continued)

0.001], and treatment [F (3,76) = 22.609, p < 0.001]. Deconstruction of the 3-way interaction with univariate tests for WT [F (3,76) = 9.933, p < 0.001] and  $\beta$ Arr1-KO mice [F (3,76) = 14.798, p < 0.001]; n = 8–16  $\beta$ Arr1 mice/genotype/treatment. (**D**) PPI in  $\beta$ Arr1 mice administered vehicle, 0.5 mg/kg clozapine, 0.5 mg/kg lisuride, or clozapine plus lisuride. RMANOVA for PPI [F (2,160) = 339.837, p < 0.001], PPI by treatment interaction [F (6,160) = 5.079, p < 0.001], PPI by genotype by treatment interaction [F (6,160) = 2.191, p = 0.046], and treatment [F (3,80) = 13.641, p < 0.001]; n = 8–16  $\beta$ Arr1 mice/genotype/treatment. **\*** p < 0.05, **\*\***p < 0.001, we vehicle + Lisuride; **\***p < 0.05, **\*\***p < 0.001, Antagonist + Lisuride.

time spent grooming in  $\beta$ Arr1 mice decreased with 0.05–1 and 4 mg/kg lisuride (*p*-values  $\leq$ 0.030) (Supplementary Figure S3A). By contrast, in  $\beta$ Arr2 animals lisuride produced an inverted U-shaped function (Supplementary Figure S3B). From a low at 0.01 mg/kg lisuride, grooming increased with the 0.05 mg/kg dose (*p* = 0.021) and it remained high to 0.5 mg/kg lisuride, then declined with the highest dose (*p* = 0.006).

For retrograde walking, no genotype effects were found with lisuride (Supplementary Figure S3C). Retrograde walking assumed a biphasic U-shaped curve across doses. Lisuride decreased this behavior from 0.01 mg/kg lisuride to the 0.05 and 0.5 mg/kg doses (*p*-values<0.001), whereas 2 and 4 mg/kg lisuride augmented the response from the 0.5 and 1 mg/kg doses (*p*-values≤0.021). With  $\beta$ Arr2 mice, a biphasic dose-response curve was found (Supplementary Figure S3D). Declines from vehicle were seen with the 0.1 mg/kg dose (*p* = 0.025), while retrograde events were increased from this nadir with 4 mg/kg lisuride.

Collectively, only treatment effects with lisuride are obtained for HTRs, grooming, and retrograde walking. Overall HTRs are higher in  $\beta$ Arr1 than  $\beta$ Arr2 mice, but they are very low compared to LSD. The duration of grooming is decreased in  $\beta$ Arr1 mice, but is enhanced in  $\beta$ Arr2s except at 4 mg/kg lisuride. By contrast, the incidences of retrograde walking are represented by a U-shaped function in both mouse strains.

# 2.4 Prepulse inhibition is reduced by lisuride in $\beta$ Arr1 but not $\beta$ Arr2 mice

LSD- and certain other psychedelic-induced states share many similarities with early acute phases of psychosis (Geyer and Vollenweider, 2008). PPI is abnormal in schizophrenia (Braff et al., 2001) and LSD disrupts PPI in rats (Ouagazzal et al., 2001; Halberstadt and Geyer, 2010; Páleníček et al., 2010) and mice (Rodriguiz et al., 2021). Since the chemical structure of lisuride is similar to LSD, effects of lisuride on PPI were analyzed in both  $\beta$ Arr strains. No genotype effects were detected with  $\beta$ Arr1 mice (Figure 4A). Nonetheless, 0.5 mg/kg lisuride reduced PPI overall relative to all treatment-groups (*p*-values  $\leq$ 0.007). Hence, subsequent experiments were conducted with 0.5 mg/kg lisuride and different receptor antagonists to determine if they could normalize PPI.

The 5-HTR2A antagonist, MDL100907, was tested first in  $\beta$ Arr1 animals (Figure 4B). No genotype effects were identified. Although lisuride reduced PPI relative to vehicle and 0.5 mg/kg MDL100907 (*p*-values<0.001), the antagonist failed to normalize PPI (*p* < 0.001).

The dopamine D2/D3 (D2/D3) antagonist, raclopride, was evaluated next. Here, the lisuride disruption of PPI in WT mice

was normalized with 3 mg/kg raclopride (p = 0.018) (Figure 4C). In  $\beta$ Arr1-KO mice, raclopride failed to rescue PPI to the vehicle and raclopride control levels (*p*-values ≤ 0.045).

Clozapine--another atypical anti-psychotic drug--with a broader spectrum of receptor targets-was tested (Figure 4D). In WT and βArr1-KO mice clozapine rescued PPI (*p*-values≤0.001). As lisuride binds to dopaminergic, adrenergic, and various serotonergic receptors (Piercey et al., 1996; Egan et al., 1998; Marona-Lewicka et al., 2002; Millan et al., 2002; Kroeze et al., 2015), additional antagonists were tested. Two receptors affecting PPI are D1R and 5-HTR1B/D (Ralph and Caine, 2005; Thompson and Dulawa, 2019). No genotype effects were noted with D1 (SCH23390) or 5-HTR1B/D (GR127935) antagonists (Figures 5A, B). The lisurideinduced disruption of PPI was restored in both \betaArr1 genotypes with 0.1 mg/kg SCH23390 (p < 0.001) (Figure 5A) and 2 mg/kg GR127935 (p = 0.001) (Figure 5B). In contrast to  $\beta$ Arr1 mice, no genotype or treatment effects in PPI were observed when WT and βArr2-KO animals were given 0.05, 0.1, or 0.5 mg/kg lisuride (Figure 5C).

Besides PPI, null and startle activities were examined in both  $\beta$ Arr1 and  $\beta$ Arr2 mice where some genotype and treatment effects were noted (Supplementary Figures S4, S5). Nevertheless, most null responses were less than 10% of startle activities with all treatments.

In summary, PPI was disrupted in  $\beta$ Arr1 mice given 0.5 mg/kg lisuride and MDL100907 was unable to reverse this effect. Raclopride normalized PPI but only in WT mice; PPI in  $\beta$ Arr1-KOs remained abnormal. Clozapine, SCH23390, and GR127935 restored PPI in both WT and  $\beta$ Arr1-KO mice. In  $\beta$ Arr2 mice, PPI was retained with all lisuride doses.

# 2.5 Lisuride exerts anti-depressant drug-like actions in VMAT2 heterozygotes

WT and VMAT2-HET mice were used to assess anti-depressant drug-like actions of lisuride. Parenthetically Fukui and colleagues (2007) reported adult VMAT2-HETs were hypoactive, displayed anhedonia-like responses, and showed enhanced immobility in tail suspension and forced swim that were normalized with tricyclic anti-depressants, selective 5-HT and norepinephrine transporter inhibitors, and bupropion. These mutants were more responsive to stress and displayed enhanced learned helplessness compared to WTs.

WT and VMAT2-HET mice were tested on day 1 with waterwater (W-W) pairings where they showed no bottle or sidepreference (Figure 6A). On day 2 mice were given vehicle and presented with a 0.5% sucrose-water (S-W) pairing. WTs had a strong preference for sucrose compared to mutants (p < 0.001), whose preference was similar to day 1 W-W. Following acute



Effects of lisuride on prepulse inhibition in  $\beta$ -arrestin 1 and  $\beta$ -arrestin 2 mice.  $\beta$ -Arrestin 1 animals were administered vehicle, SCH23390, or GR127935 before giving vehicle or lisuride and tested.  $\beta$ -Arrestin 2 mice were injected with vehicle or different doses of lisuride and tested. (A) PPI in  $\beta$ Arr1 animals given vehicle, 0.1 mg/kg SCH23390, 0.5 mg/kg lisuride, or SCH23390 plus lisuride. RMANOVA for PPI [F (2,150) = 334.367, p < 0.001], PPI by treatment interaction [F (6,150) = 5.705, p < 0.001], and treatment [F (3,75) = 19.741, p < 0.001];  $n = 8-16 \beta$ Arr1 mice/genotype/treatment. (B) PPI in  $\beta$ Arr1 animals injected with vehicle, 2 mg/kg GR127935, 0.5 mg/kg lisuride, or GR127935 plus lisuride. RMANOVA for PPI [F (2,146) = 345,361, p < 0.001], and treatment [F (3,75) = 9.015, p < 0.001];  $n = 8-16 \beta$ Arr1 mice/genotype/treatment. (C) PPI in  $\beta$ Arr2 animals given vehicle or different doses of lisuride. RMANOVA for PPI [F (2,146) = 4.542, p < 0.001], and treatment [F (3,75) = 9.015, p < 0.001];  $n = 8-16 \beta$ Arr1 mice/genotype/treatment. (C) PPI in  $\beta$ Arr2 animals given vehicle or different doses of lisuride. RMANOVA for PPI [F (2,150) = 132.746, p < 0.001] and PPI by treatment. (C) PPI in  $\beta$ Arr2 animals given vehicle or different doses of lisuride. RMANOVA for PPI [F (2,150) = 132.746, p < 0.001] and PPI by treatment. (C) PPI in  $\beta$ Arr2 animals given vehicle or different doses of lisuride. RMANOVA for PPI [F (2,150) = 132.746, p < 0.001] and PPI by treatment. Thereation [F (6,150) = 2.485, p = 0.025];  $n = 9-12 \beta$ Arr2 mice/treatment. Data presented as means  $\pm$ SEMs. <sup>##</sup>p < 0.001, vs. Antagonist + Vehicle; <sup>##</sup>p < 0.01, <sup>###}p < 0.001, vs. Vehicle + Lisuride.</sup>

administration of 0.5 mg/kg lisuride on day 3, the VMAT2-HETs' sucrose preference exceeded WTs (p = 0.003) and it was significantly higher from their selections on days 1 and 2 (p-values<0.001). On day 4, the mutants' preference was similar to WT mice. However, by days 5 and 6 sucrose preference in VMAT2-HET mice fell from WT levels (p-values<0.010) where their preference was similar to day 1 W-W. One reason why sucrose preference could vary across days in mutants might be

due to differences in intake. However, no genotype differences were found across days (Figure 6B). Nonetheless, total intake significantly increased in all mice from days 1–3 to days 4–6 (*p*-values $\leq$ 0.002). Collectively, VMAT2-HET mice fail to show a sucrose preference until they are given lisuride. This preference persists for 2 days and declines thereafter, whereas sucrose preference is maintained in WTs regardless of lisuride treatment. Importantly, these differences in sucrose preference



cannot be attributed to differential fluid consumption between genotypes.

In tail suspension (6 min), VMAT2 mice were treated acutely with vehicle, 20 mg/kg fluoxetine, or 0.5 or 1 mg/kg lisuride and tested 30 min later. With 0.5 mg/kg LSD, mice were tested acutely 2 h after administration to ensure the motor-activity stimulating effects of LSD were no longer evident (see Rodriguiz et al., 2021). Subsequently, responses were assessed in all groups over postinjection days 1, 3, and 5. Immobility was higher in vehicle-WT animals treated VMAT2-HET than across days (Figure 6C)--indicating repeated testing was stable. In WTs, immobility times were similar following fluoxetine or 0.5 mg/kg lisuride over days. By contrast, 1 day after LSD and 1 mg/kg lisuride administration immobility in WTs increased relative to vehicle (p-values≤0.006). Additionally, immobility with LSD was higher than in fluoxetine and 0.5 mg/kg lisuride groups (*p*-values $\leq$ 0.003). On days 3 and 5 no treatment effects were present. In VMAT2-HETs acute administration of fluoxetine, LSD, or 0.5 mg/kg lisuride reduced immobility times compared to vehicle (*p*-values $\leq 0.043$ ) (Figure 6C). Effects of 0.5 mg/kg lisuride and LSD were lost by day 1 post-injection, whereas immobility times for fluoxetine were lower than both lisuride doses (*p*-values<0.001) and those for LSD were decreased relative to 1 mg/kg lisuride (p = 0.014). By days 3 and 5, all treatment effects had vanished. Hence, 0.5 mg/kg LSD and lisuride were efficacious acutely, while fluoxetine reduced immobility in VMAT2-HETs up to 24 h after administration.

Anti-depressant like activities were evaluated also in the reserpinized mouse model as VMAT2 is a target for this drug (Erickson et al., 1996). C57BL/6 J mice received 1 mg/kg reserpine and after 3.5 h were given vehicle or 0.5 mg/kg lisuride and tested acutely in tail suspension 30-min later and on days 1, 3, and 5. Groups of VMAT2-HETs were used as comparisons. Immobility times for vehicle-vehicle C57BL/6 J mice were lowest, whereas those for reserpine-vehicle animals were among the highest across all test days (Supplementary Figure S6). Acutely, immobility in vehicle-vehicle controls was reduced relative to all groups (p-values ≤0.020) and immobility in 0.5 mg/kg lisuride VMAT2-HETs was lower than its vehicle (p = 0.042). Twenty-four hr postinjection (day 1) immobility was reduced in vehicle-vehicle versus reserpine-vehicle mice and in both VMAT2-HET groups (*p*-values ≤0.002). Additionally on day 1, immobility in reserpinized animals was higher than in reserpine plus lisuride

mice and both VMAT2-HET groups (*p*-values  $\leq 0.040$ ). On days 3 and 5, immobility times were decreased in vehicle-vehicle mice compared to all other groups (*p*-values  $\leq 0.005$ ). In summary, immobility times in tail suspension were lowest in C57BL/6 J vehicle-vehicle controls across days, whereas 0.5 mg/kg lisuride showed anti-depressant like activities in VMAT2-HET and reserpinized C57BL/6 J mice, respectively, acutely and 24 h post-injection.

To determine if lisuride affected motor activities that could confound the tail suspension results, VMAT2 mice were tested in the open field. In both VMAT2 genotypes, 0.5 mg/kg lisuride depressed locomotor activities to similar extents *versus* vehicle (p < 0.001) (Supplementary Figure S7). A similar result has been reported with reserpine (Starr and Starr, 1994). Thus, the antidepressant drug-like actions of lisuride cannot be attributed to increased struggle activity in tail suspension.

In summary, the tail suspension and sucrose preference results reveal that 0.5 mg/kg lisuride possesses anti-depressant drug-like actions that last acutely or at least over 24 h.

# **3** Discussion

# 3.1 Motor performance

Locomotor, rearing, and stereotypical activities have been studied in various strains of rats—primarily males—and different strains of male and female mice. Lisuride most commonly produced a biphasic locomotor response where low doses decreased and higher doses were stimulatory (Carruba et al., 1980; 1985; Hara et al., 1982; Martin and Bendesky, 1984; Adams and Geyer, 1985; Fink and Morgenstern, 1985; Paulus and Geyer, 1991). Other researchers observed decreases (Carruba et al., 1985; Nisoli et al., 1992; Chen et al., 2023) or increases (Hlinak et al., 1983). In mice biphasic responses have been reported in the open field with lisuride (Martin and Bendesky, 1984). By contrast, in the behavioral pattern monitor (BPM) (Chen et al., 2023) locomotion was decreased and in our studies activities were reduced in both  $\beta$ Arr strains with all lisuride doses in both genotypes of  $\beta$ Arr mice. Thus, if  $\beta$ Arr1 and  $\beta$ Arr2 play any roles in the lisuride-induced suppression of locomotor activities in these mice, it is exceedingly minor.

In rats and mice, lisuride decreased rearing in the open field and BPM (Hlinak et al., 1983; Adams and Geyer, 1985; Chen et al., 2023) and our studies with  $\beta$ Arr mice replicated these results. With respect to stereotypies, in rats lisuride elicited stereotyped sniffing (Hlinak et al., 1983; Carruba et al., 1985; Gerber et al., 1985), and licking or biting (Carruba et al., 1985). In the BPM with rats, hole-pokes were enhanced and the numbers of pokes within the same hole were augmented with increased lisuride doses (Adams and Geyer, 1985). In mice, licking, chewing, and gnawing were stimulated by lisuride (Horowski and Wachtel, 1976), while hole-poking in the BPM was suppressed (Chen et al., 2023). In our studies, both  $\beta$ Arr strains displayed a biphasic U-shaped function to the different doses of lisuride.

# 3.2 Serotonin-syndrome like behaviors

To identify behaviors represented in stereotypy, responses were scored over the first 30 min post-injection interval. Behaviors associated with the 5-HT syndrome appeared in the  $\beta$ Arr lines with the lowest lisuride dose and they consisted primarily of hunched posture, paw kneading, rigid posture, flat posture, Staub tail, and tremor or body shaking (see Habertzettl et al., 2013). Some of these behaviors had been observed previously in rats (Silbergeld and Hruska, 1979; Gerber et al., 1985; Marona-Lewicka et al., 2002). In our studies when cumulative responses were tabulated across doses, lisuride produced more of these responses in WT than in βArr1-KO mice but only with 4 mg/kg lisuride. Genotype differences were even greater in  $\beta$ Arr2 mice, where the 0.1-4 mg/kg doses stimulated more 5-HT syndrome associated responses in WT than  $\beta$ Arr2-KO animals. These results are potentially important for treating depression especially if the patient is currently taking tricyclic antidepressants or selective 5-HT reuptake inhibitors. In this case, anti-depressant treatments given in concert with psychedelics or, possibly with G protein HTR2A biased agonists, could facilitate expression of 5-HT syndrome in patients due to 5-HT toxicity (Haberzettl et al., 2013).

## 3.3 Other stereotyped behaviors

Psychedelics are reported to stimulate HTRs, grooming, and retrograde walking in rats and mice (Corne and Pickering, 1967; Kyzar et al., 2016; Rodriguiz et al., 2021), and HTRs and retrograde events are stereotyped and appear in 5-HT syndrome (Haberzettl et al., 2013). In rodents, HTRs have been taken as a proxy for hallucinogenic-like activities in humans (Corne and Pickering, 1967) and this substitution of behaviors is strengthened as deletion of Htr2a in mice abrogates this response (González-Maeso et al., 2007; Keiser et al., 2009). Moreover, the potency of psychedelics in the mouse HTR are correlated with the dose to elicit hallucinations in humans (Glennon et al., 1984; Halberstadt et al., 2020). In humans, lisuride has been reported to produce hallucinogenic activities in a single patient with prolactinoma (Turner et al., 1984), in a patient treated for migraine headache (Somerville and Herrmann, 1978), in five patients with Shy-Drager syndrome (Lees and Bannister, 1981), and occasionally in some patients with Parkinson's disease (Schachter et al., 1979; Parkes et al., 1981; LeWitt et al., 1982; Vaamonde et al., 1991). However, in normal subjects lisuride is devoid of psychedelic effects up to 600 µg (Herrmann et al., 1977). In rats and mice, lisuride does not produce HTRs (Gerber et al., 1985; Halberstadt and Geyer, 2013) and in the present investigations, the numbers of HTRs were undifferentiated by genotype and they were excessively low in the BArr1 and  $\beta$ Arr2 mice even up to the high 4 mg/kg dose.

With grooming, lisuride has been observed to suppress this response in rats (Ferrari et al., 1992). While no genotype effects were detected in our studies, lisuride decreased grooming in  $\beta$ Arr1 mice but produced an inverted U-shaped response in  $\beta$ Arr2 animals. The response differences between  $\beta$ Arr strains in this and other tests may be due to different DNA libraries and strategies used to produce the *Arrb1* and *Arrb2* mutations, different embryonic stem (ES) cells used for DNA injection, different strains of mice used to implant the ES cells, and the mouse strains used for outcrossing the mutations (Bohn et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2018), Retrograde walking also was analyzed. In both  $\beta$ Arr lines, lisuride produced a biphasic U-shaped dose-response curve. In summary, no genotype differences emerged

for HTRs and retrograde walking, whereas grooming was reduced with lisuride in  $\beta$ Arr1 animals while adopting an inverted U-shaped function in  $\beta$ Arr2 mice.

# 3.4 Prepulse inhibition

Psychedelics disrupt PPI in rats (Sipes and Geyer, 1994; Johansson et al., 1995; Ouagazzal et al., 2001; Halberstadt and Geyer, 2010; Páleníček et al., 2010), mice (Rodriguiz et al., 2021), and humans (Vollenweider et al., 2007; Schmid et al., 2015). PPI is abnormal also in individuals with schizophrenia (Braff et al., 2001). Intriguingly, LSD-induced states are reported by some investigators to bear similarities to early acute phases of psychosis (Geyer and Vollenweider, 2008). In rats, lisuride was found to disrupt PPI (Halberstadt and Geyer, 2010). However, while the 5-HT2AR antagonist MDL 11,939 was unable to counteract lisuride's effects, PPI was normalized with the D2/D3 antagonist raclopride (Halberstadt and Geyer, 2010). In the present studies, lisuride exerted no effect on PPI in ßArr2 mice. By contrast, in βArr1 animals 0.5 mg/kg lisuride disrupted PPI. As with rats, the 5-HT2AR antagonist MDL100907 failed to rescue PPI in either βArr1 genotype. However, raclopride was efficacious in restoring PPI, but only in WTs; βArr1-KOs remained deficient. By comparison, the broad spectrum atypical antipsychotic clozapine, the D1 antagonist SCH23390, and the 5-HTR1B/D antagonist GR127935 normalized PPI in both ßArr1 genotypes. Together, these results emphasize several points. First, it is surprising that βArr1 played a prominent role in the lisuride-induced PPI impairment through D2 receptors because much of the focus on this receptor in brain has been on βArr2 (Beaulieu et al., 2005; Allen et al., 2011; Urs et al., 2016). Second, since D1 and 5-HTR1B/D antagonists restored PPI in both ßArr1 genotypes, these results suggest that G protein signaling through these receptors is critical to maintain sensory gating which could be important in certain psychiatric conditions.

## 3.5 Lisuride as an anti-depressant

Several small-scale clinical studies have indicated psilocybin and LSD can alleviate depression (Bonson and Murphy, 1996; Carhart-Harris et al., 2016; Griffiths et al., 2016; Ross et al., 2016; Reiff et al., 2020; McClure-Begley and Roth, 2022; Goodwin et al., 2023; Holze et al., 2023). Currently there are approximately 50 ongoing clinical trials with psilocybin, 2 trials with LSD, and additional trials with other psychedelics (see ClinicalTrials.gov). With respect to lisuride, there is evidence it may have anti-depressant actions in post-stroke patients experiencing depression (Hougaku et al., 1994). In C57BL/ 6 J mice, acute restraint-stress for 6 h is reported to produce increased immobility in forced swim and tail suspension with lisuride showing efficacy in both assays (Cao et al., 2022). However, these responses were tested acutely and immediately following a single session of restraint-stress. In the present investigation, a mouse genetic model of depressive-like behaviors was used to evaluate lisuride effects in sucrose preference and tail suspension (see Fukui et al., 2007). In the S-W pairing before lisuride treatment, WT mice had a strong preference for sucrose; VMAT2HETs showed no preference. Hence, the mutants presented with anhedonia-like behavior. Following lisuride administration, sucrose preference in VMAT2-HET animals was robustly increased and remained high for 2 days, but declined thereafter. By contrast, preference was maintained in WTs across test-days. Thus, lisuride alleviated the anhedonia-like behavior in VMAT2-HET mice at least for 2 days, while sucrose preference in WTs was unaffected by lisuride.

In tail suspension, VMAT2 mice were treated with vehicle, fluoxetine, 0.3 mg/kg LSD, 0.5 or 1 mg/kg lisuride, and tested acutely and on days 1, 3, and 5 post-injection. Immobility times in vehicle-treated VMAT2-HETs were prolonged relative to WTs. In WT mice, immobility times were stable over days with fluoxetine and 0.5 mg/kg lisuride, while 1 mg/kg lisuride and LSD increased immobility acutely and on day 1. By comparison, in VMAT2-HETs administration of 0.5 mg/kg lisuride or LSD were efficacious acutely, while fluoxetine's effects persisted through the next day. One mg/kg lisuride was ineffective. A limitation to our studies with VMAT2-HET mice lies in ascribing the specificity of lisuride's anti-depressant actions to the 5-HTR2A. Unfortunately, the 5-HTR2A antagonist MDL100907 has anti-depressant-like activity in VMAT2-HETs (Kaplan et al., 2022) and its use to block lisuride's effect would confound our experiment.

Since the VMAT2 is a target for reserpine (Erickson et al., 1996) and because patients treated with this drug frequently developed depression (Freis, 1954), we tested if reserpine treatment could mimic responses of VMAT2-HET mice. Reserpine produced high immobility in tail suspension with C57BL/6 J mice over all test days. Lisuride reduced the immobility in these reserpinized mice, but only 24 h after administration. By comparison, immobility in VMAT2-HETs was reduced acutely with lisuride.

To determine whether the lisuride-induced struggle activity in tail suspension was due to a lisuride stimulation of motor activity, mice were tested in the open field. Here, locomotion was reduced with 0.5 mg/kg lisuride in βArr1, βArr2, and in WT and VMAT2-HET mice-indicating lisuride effects on tail suspension were not coincident with this drug's effects on motor activity. Collectively, lisuride relieved behavioral despair acutely and the anhedonia-like behavior at least for 24 h in VMAT2-HET mice. Despite these results, while parkinsonian patients are administered lisuride at least daily (Schachter et al., 1979; Parkes et al., 1981; LeWitt et al., 1982; Vaamonde et al., 1991), we could find no published reports of its efficacy in treating comorbid depression. Assuming bioavailability, pharmacokinetics, and other parameters are comparable between mice and humans, our experiments with VMAT2 mice suggest the efficacy of lisuride's anti-depressant effects will not be maintained beyond 24 h in patients. Thus, lisuride as an antidepressant treatment would require at least daily administration to be effective.

# 3.6 Biased signaling and behavior

Lisuride and LSD bind with high affinities to 5-HT2ARs (Hoyer et al., 1994) and both ligands are partial 5-HT2AR agonists (Egan et al., 1998; Kurrasch-Orbaugh et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2022). Despite similarities, LSD is hallucinogenic (Greiner et al., 1958), while lisuride is typically devoid of these effects in normal subjects (Herrmann et al., 1977). An interesting feature of LSD is its longlasting psychedelic effects. This result may be attributed to the ability of the 5-HT2AR to "trap" LSD by forming a "lid" over the binding pocket with LSD and, thereby, slow the dissociation rate of this ligand from the receptor (Wacker et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2020). A similar result has been observed with lisuride (personal communication with Dr. Bryan Roth); however, its antidepressant-like effects in mice appear transient. Thus, the differences between duration of LSD's and lisuride's effects may be due to their somewhat different receptor targets.

Recently, the receptor structures of the 5-HT2 family have been reported with LSD, psilocin, and lisuride (Gumpper et al., 1922; Kim et al., 2020; Cao et al., 2022). Both ergolines and psilocin bind at the bottom of the orthosteric binding-pocket of receptors in similar manners. However, these ligands bind also within the extended binding-pocket in subtly different ways that can produce slightly different receptor poses. For instance, the differential ergoline engagement with residue Y370 in the extended binding-pocket may affect transmembrane 7 positioning, thereby modulating βArr-mediated-signaling through the 5-HT2AR. Besides  $\beta$ Arr, LSD activates G<sub>q</sub>, G<sub>11</sub>, and G15 proteins, with some activity at Gz, and minimal activities at  $G_{\rm i},~G_{12/13}\text{,}$  and  $G_{s}$  proteins (Kim et al., 2020). Similarly, lisuride stimulates Gq/11 activation with calcium release (Cussac et al., 2008). Both  $\beta$ Arr1 and  $\beta$ Arr2 can be recruited to the 5-HT2AR in vitro (Bhatnagar et al., 2001) and  $\beta$ Arrs are complexed with the receptor in cortical neurons *in* vivo (Gelber et al., 1999). Recently, it has been demonstrated that LSD is βArr biased at the 5-HT2AR in vitro (Wacker et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2020) and ßArr2 biased in vivo (Rodriguiz et al., 2021). By comparison, our studies with βArr1-KO and βArr2-KO mice indicate lisuride is G protein biased at the 5-HT2AR since deletion of Arrb1 or Arrb2 results in very low incidences of HTRs coincident with WTs--even with the high 4 mg/kg dose. By comparison, LSD robustly stimulates HTRs in  $\beta$ Arr1-KO and WT animals; however, this effect is lost in  $\beta$ Arr2-KOs (Rodriguiz et al., 2021). Despite the lack of a differential effect of lisuride in ßArr1-KO and ßArr2-KO mice versus their respective WT controls, both  $\beta$ Arr1 and  $\beta$ Arr2 are expressed ubiquitously, with few exceptions, throughout the adult rodent brain (Gurevich et al., 2002). βArrs are present also within some of the same cells (Arriza et al., 1992; Bychkov et al., 2012). Importantly, both transfected *βArr1* and *βArr2* are recruited to HEK-293 transfected rat 5-HTR2A cells (Bhatnagar et al., 2001) and the 5-HTR2A is reported to be complexed with these ßArrs in cortical neurons in vivo (Gelber et al., 1999). Hence, while our studies indicate lisuride is G protein biased, the loss of one  $\beta$ Arr in given cells could have been compensated by the other  $\beta$ Arr in our studies.

Since LSD exerts anti-depressant actions in humans (Gasser et al., 2015), we tested whether LSD, a 5-HTR2A  $\beta$ Arr2 biased ligand (Wacker et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2020; Rodriguiz et al., 2021), and lisuride, a 5-HTR2A G-protein biased ligand, would have antidepressant drug-like actions. These actions were confirmed in VMAT2-HET mice; however, they were short-lived in both cases. A possible limitation of our experiments is that LSD and lisuride bind many dopaminergic, adrenergic, and serotonergic receptors (Piercey et al., 1996; Egan et al., 1998; Marona-Lewicka et al., 2002; Millan et al., 2002; Kroeze et al., 2015). Hence, lisuride's effects could be attributed to actions at other receptors or at their combinations of actions as in our present PPI published studies (Rodriguiz et al., 2021). A recent publication addresses this conundrum where a novel G protein biased 5-HT2AR compound was tested in VMAT2 and learned helplessness models with sucrose preference and/or tail suspension (Kaplan et al., 2022). The biased compound stimulated very low HTRs and was not reinforcing in conditioned place preference. Importantly, in VMAT2-HETs it showed anti-depressant drug-like actions in tail suspension that lasted for 2 days--the maximum tested. By comparison, in learned helplessness a single administration of the G protein biased compound lasted at least 3 days in sucrose preference--similar to psilocin. Immobility in tail suspension was reduced with the compound for 14 days, while psilocin was efficacious for 9 days. Results from this and the present investigation with lisuride suggest that G protein biased signaling at the 5-HT2AR may represent a novel way to separate the hallucinogenic-like potential of psychedelics from their anti-depressant drug-like actions.

# 4 Materials and methods

## 4.1 Animals

Adult male and female 3–8 month-old WT and global  $\beta$ Arr1-KO (Kim et al., 2018), WT and global  $\beta$ Arr2-KO (Bohn et al., 1999), WT and VMAT2-HET mice (Wang et al., 1997; Fukui et al., 2007), and C57BL/6 J mice (#000664; Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME) served as subjects. All mouse strains had been backcrossed onto a C57BL/6 J genetic background for multiple generations. Mice were housed 3-5 per cage according to sex and genotype on a 12:12 h light:dark cycle (lights on 0700 h) in a humidity- and temperature-controlled room, with food and water provided *ad libitum*. Behavioral experiments were conducted between 0900–1700 h. All experiments were conducted with a protocol approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Duke University and according to ARRIVE guidelines.

# 4.2 Drugs

The drugs consisted of lisuride maleate (#4052), clozapine (#0444), SCH23390 (#0925), GR127935 (#1477; Bio-Techne Corporation, Minneapolis, MN), LSD-tartrate (NIDA Drug Supply Program, Bethesda, MD), fluoxetine HCl (#F132) and reserpine (#R0875; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). The vehicle for lisuride, LSD, MDL, reserpine, SCH23390, and GR127935 consisted of *N*,*N*-dimethyllacetamide (final volume 0.5%; Sigma-Aldrich) and it was brought to volume with 5% 2-hydroxypropoyl- $\beta$ -cyclodextrin (Sigma-Aldrich) in sterile water (Mediatech Inc., Manassas, VA). Clozapine was solubilized with glacial acetic acid (0.05% final) and was brought to volume with 5% 2-hydroxypropyl- $\beta$ -cyclodextrin in sterile water. Fluoxetine was solubilized in sterile water. All drugs were injected (i.p.) in a 5 ml/kg volume.

# 4.3 Open field activity

The open field consisted of a clear Plexiglas arena  $21 \times 21 \times 30$  cm (Omnitech Electronics, Columbus, OH) illuminated at 180 lux (Rodriguiz et al., 2021). Overhead cameras recorded behaviors using Media Player software (Noldus Information Technologies, Leesburg, VA). Mice were placed into the open field, 30 min later they were injected with the vehicle or different doses of lisuride, and immediately returned to the open field for 90 min. Fusion Integra software (Omnitech) recorded locomotor activity (distance traveled), rearing (vertical beam-breaks), and stereotypical activities (repetitive beam-breaks less than 1 s) in 5-min blocks.

# 4.4 Head twitch, grooming, retrograde walking, and serotonin syndromeassociated behaviors

These behaviors were filmed during the test for motor activity (Rodriguiz et al., 2021). All responses were scored over the first 30 min following administration of vehicle, 0.3 mg/kg LSD, or various doses of lisuride. HTRs and 5-HT syndrome-associated behaviors were scored by observers blinded to sex, genotype, and treatments using Observer XT 16 software (Noldus Information Technologies). Grooming and retrograde walking were scored by TopScan (Clever Sys Incorporated, Reston, VA). Behaviors that are analogues of 5-HT syndrome in rodents (see Haberzettl et al., 2013) included hunched postures, rigid postures while remaining standing, flat postures with limbs spread from the trunk, repetitive fore-paw kneading, Straub tail, and tremor, The data are presented as numbers of head twitches, time spent grooming, and numbers of retrograde walking and 5-HT syndrome associated events.

# 4.5 Prepulse inhibition (PPI)

PPI of the acoustic startle response was monitored in SR-LAB chambers (San Diego Instruments, San Diego, CA) (Rodriguiz et al., 2021). Mice were administered vehicle or different doses of lisuride (dose-response studies) and returned to their home cages. For antagonist experiments, the vehicle, MDL100907, raclopride, SCH223390, or GR127935 were given 15 min prior to injection with vehicle or lisuride. Clozapine was administered 10 min before vehicle or lisuride. Following drug administration, the animals were placed into the PPI apparatus. After 10 min of habituation to a white-noise background (64 dB) a series of 42 trials was given. Trials were composed of 6 null, 18 pulse-alone, or 18 prepulse-pulse trials. Null trials consisted of white-noise, pulse trials were composed of 40 msec bursts of 120 dB white-noise, and prepulse-pulse trials consisted of 20 msec prepulse stimuli that were 4, 8, or 12 dB above the white-noise background (6 trials/dB), followed 80 msec later with the 120 dB pulse stimulus. Testing commenced with 10 pulse-alone trials followed by combinations of the prepulsepulse and null trials, and was terminated with 10 pulse-alone trials. PPI responses were calculated as %PPI = [1-(pre-pulse trial amplitude/startle-only trial amplitude)]\*100.

## 4.6 Sucrose preference

VMAT2 mice were housed individually for 7 days prior to and throughout the study (Fukui et al., 2007; Kaplan et al., 2022). Food was available ad libitum, while the water bottle was removed 2.5 h from the home-cage before the beginning of the dark cycle. A pair of metering bottles (Animal Care Systems, Centennial, CO) was filled with RO/Milli-Q water and the procedure was repeated until water consumption was stable and no side-bias evident. Subsequently, each pair of bottles was filled with water (W-W) or 0.5% sucrose (S-W). Note, the solution was prepared just prior to testing, the bottles were weighed before and immediately after testing, and they were cleaned and drained thoroughly each day after testing. The pair of bottles was presented to mice 1.5 h into their dark cycle (4 h total fluid deprivation) and they were allowed to drink for 2 h. Subsequently, bottles were removed and the original water bottle was returned to the home-cage at least 1 h later. The final W-W pairing occurred on day 1. The next day, animals were presented with the S-W pairing. On day 3 they were administered 1 mg/kg lisuride (i.p.) and 5 min later were given S-W. Subsequent S-W pairings were presented over days 4-6. The total volume of liquid consumed in 2 h was determined each day. Sucrose preference was calculated by dividing the volume of sucrose consumed by the total volume of liquid consumption.

# 4.7 Tail suspension

VMAT2 mice were administered vehicle, 20 mg/kg fluoxetine, or 0.5 or 1 mg/kg lisuride and tested 30 min later (see Fukui et al., 2007). When mice were given 0.5 mg/kg LSD, they were tested 2 h later since LSD significantly increases motor activity that lasts <2 h (Rodriguiz et al., 2021) that could confound this test. When reserpine was used, mice were administered a 1 mg/kg dose and 3.5 h later were given vehicle or 0.5 mg/kg lisuride and tested 30 min and 1, 3, or 5 days later. Mice were suspended by the tail for 6 min using medical tape attached to a Med Associates (St. Albans, VT) tail suspension hook connected to a load cell. The signal from the load cell processed with Med-Associates Tail Suspension Software that determined immobility times over the test session. All load cells were calibrated prior to testing each day according to the manufacturer's instructions.

# 4.8 Statistics

Results are presented as means ±SEMs; all statistics were performed with SPSS 27 and 28 programs (IBM-SPSS, Chicago, IL). Univariate ANOVAs analyzed treatment effects. If cumulative baseline motor activities were significantly different for genotypes or treatment assignments, the cumulative post-administration results were analyzed by analysis of covariance. Repeated measures ANOVA were used for analysis of open field, PPI, sucrose preference, and tail suspension studies and, if significant, were followed by Bonferroni-corrected pair-wise comparisons. A p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The results displayed in all figures were plotted using GraphPad Prism 10.0.2 (GraphPad Software, Boston, MA).

# Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Materials, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# Ethics statement

The animal study was approved by Duke University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The study was conducted in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

# Author contributions

VP conducted the behavioral experiments and graphed the data with RR and WW. VP and RR statistically analyzed the data. WW and BR conceived the experiments, proposed the experimental designs, and wrote the manuscript with VP. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

The work was supported by NIDA grant R37-DA045657 and DARPA [Grant DARPA-5822 (HR00112020029)]. The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this manuscript are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing official views, policies, or endorsement of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.

# Acknowledgments

We wish to thank Dr. Robert J. Lefkowitz (Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, United States) for providing us with the new  $\beta$ Arr1 strain and Dr. Laura M. Bohn (Scripps Research

# References

Adams, L. M., and Geyer, M. A. (1985). A proposed animal model for hallucinogens based on LSD's effects on patterns of exploration in rats. *Behav. Neurosci.* 99, 881–900. doi:10.1037//0735-7044.99.5.881

Allen, J. A., Yost, J. M., Setola, V., Chen, X., Sassano, M. F., Chen, M., et al. (2011). Discovery of  $\beta$ -arrestin-biased dopamine D2 ligands for probing signal transduction pathways essential for antipsychotic efficacy. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* 108, 18488–18493. doi:10.1073/pnas.1104807108

Arriza, J. L., Dawson, T. M., Simerly, R. B., Martin, L. J., Caron, M. G., Snyder, S. H., et al. (1992). The G-protein-coupled receptor kinases  $\beta$ ARK1 and  $\beta$ ARK2 are widely distributed at synapses in rat brain. *J. Neurosci.* 12, 4045–4055. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.12-10-04045.1992

Beaulieu, J.-M., Sotnikova Marion, T. D. S., Lefkowitz, R. J., Gainetdinov, R. R., and Caron, M. G. (2005). An Akt/ $\beta$ -arrestin 2/PP2A signaling complex mediates dopaminergic neurotransmission and behavior. *Cell* 122, 261–273. doi:10.1016/j.cell. 2005.05.012

Bhatnagar, A., Willins, D. L., Gray, J. A., Woods, J., Benovic, J. L., and Roth, B. L. (2001). The dynamin-dependent, arrestin-independent internalization of 5hydroxytryptamine 2A (5-HT2A) serotonin receptors reveals differential sorting of arrestins and 5-HT2A receptors during endocytosis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 8269–8277. doi:10.1074/jbc.M006968200 Institute, Jupiter, FL, United States) for sending us ßArr2 mice. We thank the NIDA Drug Supply Program (Bethesda, MD) for supplying us with LSD-tartrate. We thank Mitchell Huffstickler, Christopher Means, Julia Hoyt, Sarah Page Steffens, Ann Njoroge, and Nathan Franklin for scoring the videos for HTRs and 5-HT syndrome-associated behaviors, as well as helping, with some behavioral experiments. We thank also Jiechun Zhou for breeding, genotyping, and maintaining the  $\beta Arr1,\ \beta Arr2,\ and$ VMAT2 mice. Some experiments were conducted with equipment and software purchased with a North Carolina Biotechnology Center grant. The work was supported by NIDA grant R37-DA045657 and DARPA [Grant DARPA-5822 (HR00112020029)]. The views, opinions, and/or findings contained in this manuscript are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing official views, policies, or endorsement of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmolb.2023.1233743/ full#supplementary-material

Bogenschutz, M. P., and Johnson, M. W. (2016). Classic hallucinogens in the treatment of addictions. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmacol. Biol. Psychiatry* 64, 250–258. doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp.2015.03.002

Bohn, L. M., Lefkowitz, R. J., Gainetdinov, R. R., Peppel, K., Caron, M. G., Lin, F. T., et al. (1999). Enhanced morphine analgesia in mice lacking  $\beta$ -arrestin 2. Science 286, 2495–2498. doi:10.1126/science.286.5449.2495

Bonson, K. R., and Murphy, D. L. (1996). Alterations in responses to LSD in humans associated with chronic administration of tricyclic antidepressants, monoamine oxidase inhibitors or lithium. *Behav. Brain Res.* 73, 229–233. doi:10.1016/0166-4328(96)00102-7

Braff, D. L., Geyer, M. A., and Swerdlow, N. R. (2001). Human studies of prepulse inhibition of startle: normal subjects, patient groups, and pharmacological studies. *Psychopharmacol. Berl.* 156, 234–258. doi:10.1007/s002130100810

Bychkov, E., Zurkovsky, L., Garret, M. B., Ahmed, M. R., and Gurevich, E. (2012). Distinct cellular and subcellular distributions of G protein-coupled receptor kinase and arrestin isoforms in the striatum. *PLoS One* 7, e48912. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048912

Cao, D., Yu, J., Wang, H., Luo, Z., Liu, X., He, L., et al. (2022). Structure-based discovery of nonhallucinogenic psychedelic analogs. *Science* 375, 403–411. doi:10.1126/science.abl8615

Carhart-Harris, R. L., Bolstridge, M., Rucker, J., Day, C. M., Erritzoe, D., Kaelen, M., et al. (2016). Psilocybin with psychological support for treatment-resistant depression:

an open-label feasibility study. Lancet Psychiatry 3, 619–627. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(16)30065-7

Carruba, M. O., Ricciardi, S., Chiesara, E., Spano, P. F., and Mantegazza, P. (1985). Tolerance to some behavioural effects of lisuride, a dopamine receptor agonist, and reverse tolerance to others, after repeated administration. *Neuropharmacology* 24, 199–206. doi:10.1016/0028-3908(85)90074-7

Carruba, M. O., Ricciardi, S., Müller, E. E., and Mantegazza, P. (1980). Anorectic effect of lisuride and other ergot derivatives in the rat. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 64, 133–141. doi:10. 1016/0014-2999(80)90036-9

Chen, Y., Liu, J., Yao, Y., Yan, H., and Su, R. (2023). Rearing behaviour in the mouse behavioural pattern monitor distinguishes the effects of psychedelics from those of lisuride and TBG. *Front. Pharmacol.* 14, 1021729. doi:10.3389/fphar.2023.1021729

Corne, S. J., and Pickering, R. W. (1967). A possible correlation between drug-induced hallucinations in man and a behavioural response in mice. *Psychopharmacologia* 11, 65–78. doi:10.1007/BF00401509

Cussac, D., Boutet-Robinet, E., Ailhaud, M. C., Newman-Tancredi, A., Martel, J. C., Danty, N., et al. (2008). Agonist-directed trafficking of signaling at serotonin 5-HT2A, 5-HT2B and 5-HT2CVSV receptors mediated Gq/11 activation and calcium mobilization in CHO cells. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 594, 32–38. doi:10.1016/j.ejphar.2008. 07.040

Darmani, N. A. (1998). Cocaine and selective monoamine uptake blockers (sertraline, nisoxetine, and GBR 12935) prevent the d-fenfluramine-induced head-twitch response in mice. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 60, 83–90. doi:10.1016/s0091-3057(97)00548-0

Darmani, N. A., and Pandya, D. K. (2000). Involvement of other neurotransmitters in behaviors induced by the cannabinoid CB1 receptor antagonist SR 141716A in naive mice. J. Neural Transm. 107, 931–945. doi:10.1007/s007020070043

Egan, C. T., Herrick-Davis, K., Miller, K., Glennon, R. A., and Teitler, M. (1998). Agonist activity of LSD and lisuride at cloned 5HT2A and 5HT2C receptors. *Psychopharmacol. Berl.* 136, 409-414. doi:10.1007/s002130050585

Erickson, J. D., Schäfer, M. K.-H., Bonner, T. I., Eiden, L. E., and Weithe, E. (1996). Distinct pharmacological properties and distribution in neurons and endocrine cells of two isoforms of the human vesicular monoamine transporter. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.* A. 93, 5166–5171. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.10.5166

Felder, C. C., Kanterman, R. Y., Ma, A. L., and Axelrod, J. (1990). Serotonin stimulates phospholipase A2 and the release of arachidonic acid in hippocampal neurons by a type 2 serotonin receptor that is independent of inositol phospholipid hydrolysis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 87, 2187–2191. doi:10.1073/pnas.87.6.2187

Ferrari, F., Pelloni, F., and Guiliani, D. (1992). Effects of the dopamine D2 agonists lisuride and CQ 32-084 on rat feeding behavior. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 41, 683–688. doi:10.1016/0091-3057(92)90212-x

Fink, H., and Morgenstern, R. (1985). Locomotor effects of lisuride: a consequence of dopaminergic and serotonergic actions. *Psychopharmacol. Berl.* 85, 464–468. doi:10. 1007/BF00429666

Freis, E. D. (1954). Mental depression in hypertensive patients treated for long periods with large doses of reserpine. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 251, 1006–1008. doi:10.1056/ nejm195412162512504

Fukui, M., Rodriguiz, R. M., Zhou, J., Jiang, S. X., Phillips, L. E., Caron, M. G., et al. (2007). Vmat2 heterozygous mutant mice display a depressive-like phenotype. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 10520–10529. doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4388-06.2007

Gasser, P., Kirchner, K., and Passie, T. (2015). LSD-assisted psychotherapy for anxiety associated with a life threatening disease: a qualitative study of acute and sustained subjective effects. *J. Psychopharmacol.* 29, 57–68. doi:10.1177/0269881114555249

Gelber, E. I., Kroeze, W. K., Willins, D. L., Gray, J. A., Sinar, C. A., Hyde, E. G., et al. (1999). Structure and function of the third intracellular loop of the 5-hydroxytryptamine2A receptor: the third intracellular loop is alpha-helical and binds purified arrestins. *J. Neurochem.* 72, 2206–2214. doi:10.1046/j.1471-4159.1999. 0722206-x

Gerber, R., Barbaz, B. J., Martin, L. L., Neale, R., Williams, M., and Liebman, J. M. (1985). Antagonism of L-5-hydroxytryptophan-induced head twitching in rats by lisuride: a mixed 5-hydroxytryptamine agonist-antagonist? *Neurosci. Lett.* 60, 207–213. doi:10.1016/0304-3940(85)90245-9

Geyer, M. A., and Vollenweider, F. X. (2008). Serotonin research: contributions to understanding psychoses. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 29, 445–453. doi:10.1016/j.tips.2008. 06.006

Glennon, R. A., Titeler, M., and McKenney, J. D. (1984). Evidence for 5-HT2 involvement in the mechanism of action of hallucinogenic drugs. *Life Sci.* 35, 2505–2511. doi:10.1016/0024-3205(84)90436-3

Glennon, R. A., Young, R., and Rosecrans, J. A. (1983). Antagonism of the effects of the hallucinogen DOM and the purported 5-HT agonist quipazine by 5-HT2 antagonists. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 91, 189–196. doi:10.1016/0014-2999(83)90464-8

González-Maeso, J., Weisstaub, N. V., Zhou, M., Chan, P., Ivic, L., Ang, R., et al. (2007). Hallucinogens recruit specific cortical 5-HT(2A) receptor-mediated signaling pathways to affect behavior. *Neuron* 53, 439–452. doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2007.01.008

Goodwin, G. M., Aaronson, S. T., Alvarez, O., Atli, M., Bennett, J. C., Croal, M., et al. (2023). Single-dose psilocybin for a treatment-resistant episode of major depression: impact on patient-reported depression severity, anxiety, function, and quality of life. J. Affect Disord. 327, 120–127. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2023.01.108

Greiner, T., Burch, N. R., and Edelberg, R. (1958). Psychopathology and psychophysiology of minimal LSD-25 dosage; a preliminary dosage-response spectrum. *AMA Arch. Neurol. Psychiatry* 79, 208–210. doi:10.1001/archneurpsyc. 1958.02340020088016

Griffiths, R. R., Johnson, M. W., Carducci, M. A., Umbricht, A., Richards, W. A., Richards, B. D., et al. (2016). Psilocybin produces substantial and sustained decreases in depression and anxiety in patients with life-threatening cancer: A randomized double-blind trial. *J. Psychopharmacol.* 30, 1181–1197. doi:10.1177/0269881116675513

Gumpper, R. H., Fay, J. F., and Roth, B. L. (1922). Molecular insights into the regulation of constitutive activity by RNA editing of the 5HT2Cserotonin receptors. *Cell Rep.* 40 (7), 111211. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2022.111211

Gurevich, E. V., Benovic, J. L., and Gurevich, V. V. (2002). Arrestin 2 and arrestin 3 are differentially expressed in the rat brain during postnatal development. *Neuroscience* 109, 421-436. doi:10.1016/s0306-4522(01)00511-5

Habertzettl, R., Bert, B., Fink, H., and Fox, M. A. (2013). Animal models of the serotonin syndrome: A systematic review. *Behav. Brain Res.* 256, 328–345. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2013.08.045

Halberstadt, A. L., Chatha, M., Klein, A. K., Wallach, J., and Brandt, S. D. (2020). Correlation between the potency of hallucinogens in the mouse head-twitch response assay and their behavioral and subjective effects in other species. *Neuropharmacology* 167, 107933. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2019.107933

Halberstadt, A. L., and Geyer, M. A. (2013). Characterization of the head-twitch response induced by hallucinogens in mice: detection of the behavior based on the dynamics of head movement. *Psychopharmacol. Berl.* 227, 727–739. doi:10.1007/s00213-013-3006-z

Halberstadt, A. L., and Geyer, M. A. (2010). LSD but not lisuride disrupts prepulse inhibition in rats by activating the 5-HT2A receptor. *Psychopharmacol. Berl.* 208, 179–189. doi:10.1007/s00213-009-1718-x

Hara, K., Ikoma, Y., and Oshino, N. (1982). Effects of an ergot derivative, lisuride, on the central dopaminergic system – studies of behavioral pharmacology. *Nihon Yakurigaku Zasshi* 80, 1–13.

Herrmann, W. M., Horowski, R., Dannehl, K., Kramer, U., and Lurati, K. (1977). Clinical effectiveness of lisuride hydrogen maleate: a double-blind trial versus methysergide. *Headache* 17, 54–60. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.1977. hed1702054.x

Hlinák, Z., Madlafousek, J., and Krejcí, I. (1983). Effects of lisuride on precopulatory and copulatory behaviour of adult male rats. *Psychopharmacol. Berl.* 79, 231–235. doi:10.1007/BF00427818

Holze, F., Gasser, P., Müller, F., Dolder, P. C., and Liechti, M. E. (2023). Lysergic acid diethylamide-assisted therapy in patients with anxiety with and without a lifethreatening illness: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase II study. *Biol. Psychiatry* 93, 215–223. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2022.08.025

Horowski, R., and Wachtel, H. (1976). Direct dopaminergic action of lisuride hydrogen maleate, an ergot derivative, in mice. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 36, 373–383. doi:10.1016/0014-2999(76)90091-1

Hougaku, H., Matsumoto, M., Hata, R., Handa, N., Imaizumi, M., Sugitani, Y., et al. (1994). [Therapeutic effect of lisuride maleate on post-stroke depression. *Nihon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi* 31, 52–59. doi:10.3143/geriatrics.31.52

Hoyer, D., Clarke, D. E., Fozard, J. R., Hartig, P. R., Martin, G. R., Mylecharane, E. J., et al. (1994). International Union of Pharmacology classification of receptors for 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin). *Pharmacol. Rev.* 46, 157–203.

Johansson, C., Jackson, D. M., Zhang, J., and Svensson, L. (1995). Prepulse inhibition of acoustic startle, a measure of sensorimotor gating: effects of antipsychotics and other agents in rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 52, 649–654. doi:10.1016/0091-3057(95) 00160-x

Kaplan, A. L., Confair, D. N., Kim, K., Barros-Álvarez, X., Rodriguiz, R. M., Yang, Y., et al. (2022). Bespoke library docking for 5-HT2A receptor agonists with antidepressant activity. *Nature* 610, 582–591. doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05258-z

Keiser, M. J., Setola, V., Irwin, J. J., Laggner, C., Abbas, A., Hufeisen, S. J., et al. (2009). Predicting new molecular targets for known drugs. *Nature* 462, 175–181. doi:10.1038/ nature08506

Kenakin, T. (1995). Agonist-receptor efficacy. II. Agonist trafficking of receptor signals. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 16, 232–238. doi:10.1016/s0165-6147(00)89032-x

Kim, J., Grotegut, C. A., Wisler, J. W., Li, T., Mao, L., Chen, M., et al. (2018).  $\beta$ -Arrestin 1 regulates  $\beta$ 2-adrenergic receptor-mediated skeletal muscle hypertrophy and contractility. *Skelet. Muscle* 8, 39. doi:10.1186/s13395-018-0184-8

Kim, K., Che, T., Panova, O., DiBerto, J. F., Lyu, J., Krumm, B. E., et al. (2020). Structure of a hallucinogen-activated Gq-coupled 5-HT2A serotonin receptor. *Cell* 182, 1574–1588. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2020.08.024

Kroeze, W. K., Sassano, M. F., Huang, X. P., Lansu, K., McCorvy, J. D., Giguère, P. M., et al. (2015). PRESTO-Tango as an open source resource for interrogation of the druggable human GPCRome. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 22, 362–369. doi:10.1038/nsmb. 3014 Kurrasch-Orbaugh, D. M., Watts, V. J., Barker, E. L., and Nichols, D. E. (2003). Serotonin 5-hydroxytryptamine2A receptor-coupled phospholipase C and phospholipase A2 signaling pathways have different receptor reserves. *J. Pharrmacol Exp. Ther.* 304, 229–237. doi:10.1124/jpet.102.042184

Kyzar, E. J., Stewart, A. M., and Kalueff, A. V. (2016). Effects of LSD on grooming behavior in serotonin transporter heterozygous (Sert+/-) mice. *Behav. Brain Res.* 296, 47–52. doi:10.1016/j.bbr.2015.08.018

Lees, A. J., and Bannister, R. (1981). The use of lisuride in the treatment of multiple system atrophy with autonomic failure. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 44, 347–351. doi:10.1136/jnnp.44.4.347

LeWitt, P. A., Gopinathan, G., Ward, C. D., Sanes, J. N., Dambrosia, J. M., Durso, R., et al. (1982). Lisuride versus bromocriptine treatment in parkinson diseases: a doubleblind study. *Neurology* 32, 69–72. doi:10.1212/wnl.32.1.69

Maes, M., Meltzer, H. Y., Cosyns, P., and Schotte, C. (1994). Evidence for the existence of major depression with and without anxiety features. *Psychopathology* 27, 1–13. doi:10.1159/000284842

Malick, J. B., Doren, E., and Barnett, A. (1977). Quipazine-induced head-twitch in mice. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* 6, 325–359. doi:10.1016/0091-3057(77)90032-6

Marona-Lewicka, D., Kurrasch-Orbaugh, D. M., Selken, J. R., Cumbay, M. G., Lisnicchia, J. G., and Nichols, D. E. (2002). Re-evaluation of lisuride pharmacology: 5 hydroxytryptamine1A receptor-mediated behavioral effects overlap its other properties in rats. *Psychopharmacol. Berl.* 164, 93–107. doi:10.1007/s00213-002-1141-z

Martin, G. E., and Bendesky, R. J. (1984). Mouse locomotor activity: an *in vivo* test for dopamine autoreceptor activation. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 229, 706–711.

McClure-Begley, T. D., and Roth, B. L. (2022). The promises and perils of psychedelic pharmacology for psychiatry. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 21, 463–473. doi:10.1038/s41573-022-00421-7

McDonald, R. J., and Horowski, R. (1983). Lisuride in the treatment of parkinsonism. *Eur. Neurol.* 22, 240–255. doi:10.1159/000115567

Meltzer, H. Y., and Roth, B. L. (2013). Lorcaserin and pimavanserin: emerging selectivity of serotonin receptor subtype-targeted drugs. *J. Clin. Invest.* 123, 4986–4991. doi:10.1172/JCI70678

Millan, M. J., Maiofiss, L., Cussac, D., Audinot, V., Boutin, J. A., and Newman-Tancredi, A. (2002). Differential actions of antiparkinson agents at multiple classes of monoaminergic receptor. I. A multivariate analysis of the binding properties of 14 drugs at 21 native and cloned human receptor subtypes. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 303, 791–804. doi:10.1124/jpet.102.039867

Nisoli, E., Tonello, C., Memo, M., Pizzi, M., Spano, P., Reina, G., et al. (1992). Tolerance to hypoactivity and sensitization to hyperactivity after chronic treatment with a presynaptic dose of lisuride in rats. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 216, 81–86. doi:10.1016/0014-2999(92)90212-m

Ouagazzal, A., Grottick, A. J., Moreau, J., and Higgins, G. A. (2001). Effect of LSD on prepulse inhibition and spontaneous behavior in the rat. A pharmacological analysis and comparison between two rat strains. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 25, 565–575. doi:10.1016/S0893-133X(01)00282-2

Páleníček, T., Hlinák, Z., Bubeníková-Valesová, V., Novák, T., and Horácek, J. (2010). Sex differences in the effects of N,N-diethyllysergamide (LSD) on behavioural activity and prepulse inhibition. *Prog. Neuropsychopharmaco. Biol. Psychiatry* 34, 588–596. doi:10.1016/j.pnpbp.2010.02.008

Parkes, J. D., Schachter, M., Marsden, C. D., Smith, B., and Wilson, A. (1981). Lisuride in parkinsonism. Ann. Neurol. 9, 48–52. doi:10.1002/ana.410090109

Paulus, M. P., and Geyer, M. A. (1991). A temporal and spatial scaling hypothesis for the behavioral effects of psychostimulants. *Psychopharmacol. Berl.* 104, 6–16. doi:10. 1007/BF02244547

Piercey, M. F., Hoffmann, W. E., Smith, M. W., and Hyslop, D. K. (1996). Inhibition of dopamine neuron firing by pramipexole, a dopamine D3 receptor-preferring agonist: comparison to other dopamine receptor agonists. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 312, 35–44. doi:10. 1016/0014-2999(96)00454-2

Raffaelli, E., Jr., Martins, O. J., and dos Santos P Dāgua Filho, A. (1983). Lisuride in cluster headaches. *Headache* 23, 117–121. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.1983.hed2303117.x

Ralph, R. J., and Caine, S. B. (2005). Dopamine D1 and D2 agonist effects on prepulse inhibition and locomotion: comparison of sprague-dawley rats to Swiss-webster, 129x1/ SvJ, C57b1/6J, and DBA/2J mice. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 312, 733–741. doi:10.1124/ jpet.104.074468

Reiff, C. M., Richman, E. E., Nemeroff, C. B., Carpenter, L. L., Widge, A. S., Rodriguiz, C. I., et al. (2020). Psychedelics and psychedelic-assisted psychotherapy. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 177, 391–410. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2019.19010035

Rodriguiz, R. M., Nadkarni, V., Means, C. R., Pogorelov, V. M., Chui, Y.-T., Roth, B. L., et al. (2021). LSD-stimulated behaviors in mice require  $\beta$ -arrestin 2 but not  $\beta$ -arrestin 1. *Sci. Rep.* 11 (1), 17690. doi:10.1038/s41598-021-96736-3

Ross, S., Bossis, A., Guss, J., Agin-Liebes, G., Malone, T., and Cohen, B. (2016). Rapid and sustained symptom reduction following psilocybin treatment for anxiety and depression in patients with life-threatening cancer: a randomized controlled trial. *J. Psychopharmacol.* 30, 1165–1180. doi:10.1177/0269881116675512 Roth, B. L., and Chuang, D. M. (1987). Multiple mechanisms of serotonin signal transduction. *Life Sci.* 41, 1051–1064. doi:10.1016/0024-3205(87)90621-7

Roth, B. L., Sheffler, D. J., and Kroeze, W. K. (2004). Magic shotguns versus magic bullets: selectively non-selective drugs for mood disorders and schizophrenia. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 3, 353–359. doi:10.1038/nrd1346

Schachter, M., Blackstock, J., Dick, J. P. R., George, R. J. D., Marsden, C. D., and Parkes, J. D. (1979). Lisuride in Parkinson's disease. *Lancet* 2 (8152), 1129. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(79)92523-6

Schildkraut, J. J. (1965). The catecholamine hypothesis of affective disorders: a review of supporting evidence. Am. J. Psychiatry 122, 509–522. doi:10.1176/ajp.122.5.509

Schmid, Y., Enzler, F., Gasser, P., Grouzmann, E., Preller, K. H., Vollenweider, F. X., et al. (2015). Acute effects of lysergic acid diethylamide in healthy subjects. *Biol. Psychiatry* 78, 544–553. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2014.11.015

Schreiber, R., Brocco, M., Audinot, V., Gobert, A., Veiga, S., and Millan, M. J. (1995). (1-(2,5-dimethoxy-4 iodophenyl)-2-aminopropane)-induced head-twitches in the rat are mediated by 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) 2A receptors: modulation by novel 5-ht2a/2C antagonists, D1 antagonists and 5-ht1a agonists. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 273, 101–112.

Sewell, R. A., Halpern, J. H., and Pope, H. G., Jr. (2006). Response to cluster headache to psilocybin and LSD. *Neurology* 66, 1920–1922. doi:10.1212/01.wnl.0000219761. 05466.43

Silbergeld, E. K., and Hruska, R. E. (1979). Lisuride and LSD: dopaminergic and serotonergic interactions in the serotonin syndrome. *Psychopharmacol. Berl.* 65, 233–237. doi:10.1007/BF00492209

Sipes, T. A., and Geyer, M. A. (1994). Multiple serotonin receptor subtypes modulate prepulse inhibition of the startle response in rats. *Neuropharmacology* 33, 441–448. doi:10.1016/0028-3908(94)90074-4

Somerville, B. W., and Herrmann, W. M. (1978). Migraine prophylaxis with lisuride hydrogen maleate - a double blind study of lisuride versus placebo. *Headache* 18, 75–79. doi:10.1111/j.1526-4610.1978.hed1802075.x

Starr, M. S., and Starr, B. S. (1994). Potentiation of dopamine-dependent locomotion by clonidine in reserpine-treated mice is restricyed to D2 agonists. *J. Neural Transm. Park Dis. Dement. Sect.* 7, 133–142. doi:10.1007/BF02260968

Thompson, S. L., and Dulawa, S. C. (2019). Dissecting the roles of  $\beta$ -arrestin 2 and GSK-3 signaling in 5-HT1BR-mediated perseverative behavior and prepulse inhibition deficits in mice. *PLoS One* 14 (2), e0211239. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0211239

Turner, T. H., Cookson, J. C., Wass, J. A., Drury, P. L., Price, P. A., and Besser, G. M. (1984). Psychotic reactions during treatment of pituitary tumours with dopamine agonists. *Br. Med. J. Clin. Res. Ed.* 289, 1101–1103. doi:10.1136/bmj.289.6452.1101

Urs, N. M., Gee, S. M., Pack, T. R., McCorvy, J. D., Evron, T., Snyder, J. C., et al. (2016). Distinct cortical and striatal actions of a β-arrestin-biased dopamine D2 receptor ligand reveal novel antipsychotic-like properties. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A. 113, E8178–E8186. doi:10.1073/pnas.1614347113

Vaamonde, J., Luquin, M. R., and Obeso, J. A. (1991). Subcutaneous lisuride infusion in Parkinson's disease. Response to chronic administration in 34 patients. *Brain* 114, 601–617. doi:10.1093/brain/114.1.601

Verde, G., Chiodini, P. G., Liuzzi, A., Cozzi, R., Favales, F., Botalla, L., et al. (1980). Effectiveness of the dopamine agonist lisuride in the treatment of acromegaly and pathological hyperprolactinemic states. J. Endocrinol. Invest. 3, 405–414. doi:10.1007/BF03349379

Vollenweider, F. X., Csomor, P. A., Knappe, B., Geyer, M. A., and Quednow, B. B. (2007). The effects of the preferential 5-HT2A agonist psilocybin on prepulse inhibition of startle in healthy human volunteers depend on interstimulus interval. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 32, 1876–1887. doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1301324

Wachtel, H. (1983). Neurotropic effects of the optical isomers of the selective adenosine cyclic 3',5'-monophosphate phosphodiesterase inhibitor rolipram in rats *in-vivo. J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* 35, 440–444. doi:10.1111/j.2042-7158.1983.tb04318.x

Wacker, D., Wang, C., Katritch, V., Han, G. W., Huang, X. P., Vardy, E., et al. (2013). Structural features for functional selectivity at serotonin receptors. *Science* 340, 615–619. doi:10.1126/science.1232808

Wacker, D., Wang, S., McCorvy, J. D., Betz, R. M., Venkatakrishnan, A. J., Levit, A., et al. (2017). Crystal structure of an LSD-bound human serotonin receptor. *Cell* 168, 377–389. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2016.12.033

Wang, C., Jiang, Y., Ma, J., Wu, H., Wacker, D., Katritch, V., et al. (2013). Structural basis for molecular recognition at serotonin receptors. *Science* 340, 610–614. doi:10. 1126/science.1232807

Wang, Y. M., Gainetdinov, R. R., Fumagalli, F., Xu, F., Jones, S. R., Bock, C. B., et al. (1997). Knockout of the vesicular monoamine transporter 2 gene results in neonatal death and supersensitivity to cocaine and amphetamine. *Neuron* 19, 1285–1296. doi:10. 1016/s0896-6273(00)80419-5

Zhang, S., Chen, H., Zhang, C., Yang, Y., Popov, P., Liu, J., et al. (2022). Inactive and active state structures template selective tools for the human 5-HT5A receptor. Nat. *Struct. Mol. Biol.* 29, 677–687. doi:10.1038/s41594-022-00796-6

Zikán, V., and Semonský, M. (1960). Mutterkornalkaloide XVI. Einige N-(D-6methylisoergolenyl-8)-,N-(D-6-methylergolenyl-8)- und N-(D-6-methylergolin(I)-yl-8)-N'-substituierte harnstoffe. *Collect. Czechoslov. Chem. Commun.* 25, 1922–1928. doi:10.1135/cccc19601922