



Sex Differences in Brain and Cognition in *de novo* Parkinson's Disease

Javier Oltra^{1,2}, Carme Uribe^{1,2,3}, Anna Campabadal^{1,2}, Anna Inguanzo^{1,2}, Gemma C. Monté-Rubio¹, Maria J. Martí^{2,4,5}, Yaroslau Compta^{2,4,5}, Francesc Valldeoriola^{2,4,5}, Carme Junque^{1,2,4} and Barbara Segura^{1,2,4*}

¹ Medical Psychology Unit, Department of Medicine, Institute of Neurosciences, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, ² Institute of Biomedical Research August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS), Barcelona, Spain, ³ Research Imaging Centre, Centre for Addiction and Mental Health, Campbell Family Mental Health Research Institute, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, ⁴ Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red sobre Enfermedades Neurodegenerativas, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, ⁵ Parkinson's Disease and Movement Disorders Unit, Neurology Service, Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Institute of Neurosciences, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Ana I. Duarte, University of Coimbra, Portugal

Reviewed by:

Saul Martinez-Hora, Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Spain Gabriel Gonzalez-Escamilla, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany Richard Camicioli, University of Alberta, Canada

> *Correspondence: Barbara Segura bsegura@ub.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Parkinson's Disease and Aging-related Movement Disorders, a section of the journal Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience

> Received: 08 October 2021 Accepted: 13 December 2021 Published: 06 January 2022

Citation:

Oltra J, Uribe C, Campabadal A, Inguanzo A, Monté-Rubio GC, Martí MJ, Compta Y, Valldeoriola F, Junque C and Segura B (2022) Sex Differences in Brain and Cognition in de novo Parkinson's Disease. Front. Aging Neurosci. 13:791532. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2021.791532 **Background and Objective:** Brain atrophy and cognitive impairment in neurodegenerative diseases are influenced by sex. We aimed to investigate sex differences in brain atrophy and cognition in *de novo* Parkinson's disease (PD) patients.

Methods: Clinical, neuropsychological and T1-weighted MRI data from 205 PD patients (127 males: 78 females) and 69 healthy controls (40 males: 29 females) were obtained from the PPMI dataset.

Results: PD males had a greater motor and rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder symptomatology than PD females. They also showed cortical thinning in postcentral and precentral regions, greater global cortical and subcortical atrophy and smaller volumes in thalamus, caudate, putamen, pallidum, hippocampus, and brainstem, compared with PD females. Healthy controls only showed reduced hippocampal volume in males compared to females. PD males performed worse than PD females in global cognition, immediate verbal recall, and mental processing speed. In both groups males performed worse than females in semantic verbal fluency and delayed verbal recall; as well as females performed worse than males in visuospatial function.

Conclusions: Sex effect in brain and cognition is already evident in *de novo* PD not explained by age *per se*, being a relevant factor to consider in clinical and translational research in PD.

Keywords: Parkinson's disease, sex differences, magnetic resonance imaging, gray matter atrophy, cognitive impairment

INTRODUCTION

Parkinson's disease (PD) has a 2-fold higher incidence in males reported in early population-based studies (Baldereschi et al., 2000). Consistent with previous meta-analytic studies (Wooten et al., 2004; Taylor et al., 2007), the most recent data revealed that the male-female ratio is around 1.50 for prevalence and incidence (Moisan et al., 2016). Moreover, the male sex in PD is associated with earlier disease onset, more severe motor symptoms and progression, and more frequent cognitive

1

decline compared with the female sex (Meoni et al., 2020). Previous literature suggested that the neuroprotective effect of estrogens could be one of the key factors to explain such differences (Meoni et al., 2020).

Neuropsychological studies show that PD males had worse performance than PD females in global cognition (Szewczyk-Krolikowski et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2018; Bakeberg et al., 2021), memory (Liu et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2018; Bakeberg et al., 2021), verbal fluency (Szewczyk-Krolikowski et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2018; Reekes et al., 2020; Bakeberg et al., 2021), processing speed (Lin et al., 2018; Reekes et al., 2020), and inhibition (Reekes et al., 2020) tasks. By contrast, females have increased impairment in visuospatial functions (Liu et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2018; Bakeberg et al., 2021). A recent metaanalysis highlights that twenty-two studies reported segregated results for males and females regarding executive functions, ten for visuospatial skills, and nine for memory. In this context, significant effect sizes showed more impairment in males for executive functions (Curtis et al., 2019). Moreover, a longitudinal study involving a large sample of PD concluded that females had a lower risk of developing cognitive impairment (Iwaki et al., 2021). Cognitive decline is more pronounced in males (Liu et al., 2017; Bakeberg et al., 2021), and there is an increased rate of progression to mild cognitive impairment (Cholerton et al., 2018; Bakeberg et al., 2021) and dementia in males (Cholerton et al., 2018).

A recent review highlighted the lack of neuroimaging studies centered on sex differences in PD, despite the clinical and epidemiological evidence (Salminen et al., 2021). To our knowledge, there are only two structural magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies testing sex differences in gray matter brain atrophy. Yadav et al. reported significant thinning in several cortical regions in males compared to females in treated PD using cortical thickness (CTh) (Yadav et al., 2016). In de novo PD patients, Tremblay et al. did not find sex differences in CTh (Tremblay et al., 2020). However, deformed-based morphometry (DBM) analyses showed sex differences in cortical regions in both directions. Males had more atrophy than females in eleven regions whereas females had more atrophy than males in only six regions. Regarding subcortical gray matter atrophy by DBM, they found more atrophy in males than females in the left thalamus. Thus, the authors concluded that males with de novo PD overall had more regional atrophy than females, mainly in cortical regions. In addition, both mentioned works found malespecific structural connectivity disruptions in PD (Yadav et al., 2016; Tremblay et al., 2020).

In this study, our main objective is to analyze sex differences in brain atrophy in a large sample of newly diagnosed drug-naïve PD patients, *de novo* PD patients. We used, for the first time, with that purpose global and subcortical volumetry, as well as cortical thickness analyses. We also analyzed sex differences in neuropsychological performance.

METHODS

Participants

Sex Differences in *de novo* PD

database (PPMI, for up-to-date information of the study visit http://www.ppmi-info.org) (Marek et al., 2011), classified by sex: 127 *de novo* PD males, 78 *de novo* PD females, 40 control males, and 29 control females. All participating PPMI sites received approval from an ethical standards committee and obtained written informed consent from all participants in the study.

Inclusion criteria for PD were: (a) recent PD diagnosis with asymmetric resting tremor or asymmetric bradykinesia, or two from among bradykinesia, resting tremor, and rigidity; (b) absence of levodopa intake; (c) DaTSCAN evidence of significant dopamine transporter deficit consistent with PD diagnosis. Inclusion criteria for both groups were: (d) T1-weighted images available; and (e) age older than 50 and younger than 85 years old. Exclusion criteria were: (a) diagnosis of dementia; (b) significant psychiatric, neurologic, or systemic comorbidity; (c) a first-degree family member with PD; and (d) presence of MRI motion artifacts, field distortions, intensity inhomogeneities, or detectable structural brain lesions. The flow diagram of sample selection is shown in **Supplementary Figure 1**, see **Supplementary Methods 1** to comorbidity exclusion reasons after MRI preprocessing.

Clinical and Neuropsychological Assessments

Clinical assessment included disease severity measured by the Movement Disorders Society Unified PD Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS) and motor severity by the MDS-UPDRS motor section (MDS-UPDRS Part III), disease stage by Hoehn and Yahr scale (H&Y), general cognition by Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA), and rapid eye movement sleep behavior disorder (RBD) symptomatology by the REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire (RBDSQ) (Marek et al., 2011). Neuropsychological battery included: phonemic (letter "f") and semantic (animals, fruits and vegetables) verbal fluency; Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT); Letter-Number Sequencing (LNS); Benton Judgment of Line Orientation 15-item short form (JLO); and Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-Revised (HVLT-R) (Marek et al., 2011). Neuropsychological measures were z-scored calculated based on the control group's means and standard deviations.

MRI Images

T1-weighted scans were acquired using 1.5 or 3-Tesla scanners using magnetization prepared rapid gradient-echo imaging (MPRAGE) sequences. Typical parameters were repetition time = 5-11 ms; echo time = 2-6 ms; slice thickness 1-1.5 mm; inter-slice gap 0 mm; voxel size $1 \times 1 \times 1.2$ mm; matrix 256×160 minimum. There were no differences in the distribution of 1.5 and 3-Tesla images across groups (**Supplementary Table 1**).

CTh, subcortical and cortical volumes were estimated using the automated processing stream and specific segmentation tools of FreeSurfer (version 6.0, https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu). The main preprocessing procedures are removal of non-brain data, intensity normalization (Fischl et al., 2001), tessellation of the gray matter (GM)/white matter (WM) boundary, automated topology correction (Dale et al., 1999; Ségonne et al., 2007), accurate surface deformation to identify tissue borders (Dale and Sereno, 1993; Fischl and Dale, 2000; Fischl et al., 2002), cortical

Two hundred and five *de novo* PD patients and 69 healthy controls from the Parkinson's Progression Markers Initiative

thickness calculation as the distance between the WM and GM surfaces at each vertex of the reconstructed cortical mantle (Fischl et al., 2002). After preprocessing and quality control (check the accuracy of registration, skull stripping, segmentation, and cortical surface reconstruction), errors were fixed by automated and manual interventions following standard procedures and were discarded when correction was not possible. The smoothing of the maps of CTh was fixed at full width half maximum (FWHM) of 15 mm of a circularly symmetric Gaussian kernel across the surface. Global average thickness for both hemispheres was calculated ([Ih thickness*lh surface area] + [rh thickness*rh surface area]/[Ih surface area + rh surface area]).

The used atlas for volumetry corresponds to the Automatic Subcortical Segmentation Atlas (Aseg Atlas) (Fischl et al., 2002). Deep gray GM mean volumes, estimated total intracranial volume (eTIV), total cortical and subcortical GM were also estimated (Fischl et al., 2002). GM volumes were bilateralized [(left volume + right volume)/2] and transformed to ratios in percentages [(volume/eTIV)*100].

Statistical Analyses

The main effects of group and sex were computed for sociodemographic variables by two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) applying Bonferroni correction for quantitative measures to *post-hoc* tests. The main effect of sex, the withingroup effect of sex and the group-by-sex interaction were computed for clinical, neuropsychological, and MRI volumetry measures by two-way analyses of covariance (ANCOVA), Bonferroni correction was applied to *post-hoc* tests and partial eta squared was computed. Pearson's chi-squared tests were used to compute differences in categorical measures. Differences in age of onset and disease duration were computed by *t*-test. Analyses were performed with IBM SPSS Statistics 27.0.0 (2020; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

Inter-group whole-brain CTh comparisons were performed in FreeSurfer v6.0 using a vertex-by-vertex general linear model; including CTh as a dependent factor, group as an independent factor, and demeaned age and years of education as covariates. All results were corrected for multiple comparisons using a pre-cached cluster-wise Monte Carlo simulation with 10,000 iterations.

For all analyses, the statistical significance threshold was set at p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Clinical Characteristics

Males were significantly older than females in the PD and healthy control groups, as well that the control group had more years of education than the PD group (**Table 1**). Subsequent analyses included age and years of education as covariates as required.

A significant sex effect was found in motor severity (MDS-UPDRS Part III) in the PD group. Despite similar disease duration, males had more severe motor symptoms than females. Moreover, *post-hoc* tests showed that in the PD group, males had more RBD symptoms (RBDSQ) than females (**Table 1**).

Neuropsychological Performance

There was a significant sex effect in semantic fluency, JLO, and HVLT-R delayed recall. A significant group-by-sex interaction was found in MoCA (F = 4.215, p = 0.041, $\eta p2 = 0.015$). In the PD group, *post-hoc* tests revealed that males performed worse than females in MoCA, semantic fluency, SDMT, and HVLT-R immediate and delayed recall. As well, in the healthy control group, males performed lower than females in semantic fluency and HVLT-R immediate recall. In both groups, females had lower scores than males in the JLO (Figure 1; Table 2; Supplementary Table 2).

MRI-Derived Measures

There was a significant effect of sex in global GM volumes, *post-hoc* tests revealed that in the PD group males had smaller total cortical and subcortical GM volumes than females. Regarding subcortical volumetry, a significant main effect of sex was found in the bilateral thalamus, caudate, putamen, and hippocampus. *Post-hoc* tests showed that in the PD group, males had smaller volumes than females in the bilateral thalamus, caudate, putamen, pallidum, hippocampus, and brainstem. Within the healthy control group, males had smaller bilateral volume than females in the hippocampus (**Table 3**; **Supplementary Table 3**).

Vertex-wise analyses revealed sex effects in cortical thickness in the PD group, males had thinning in left postcentral (MNI coordinates: x, y, z = -43, -30, 62; cluster size = 3,485.90 mm²; *t*-stat = 5.007, *p* < 0.001) and right precentral (MNI coordinates: x, y, z = 12, -26, 68; cluster size = 2,499.75 mm²; *t*-stat = 4.0728, *p* = 0.006) compared with females (**Figure 2**).

DISCUSSION

Our results point to a more severe clinical, cognitive, and neurodegenerative profile in *de novo* PD males compared with *de novo* PD females, despite similar disease duration and adjusting the results by age and education. Clinically, PD males had increased motor severity (MDS-UPSRS Part III) than PD females. This result is in keeping with increased cortical thinning in cortical motor region, as well as increased volume reductions in the bilateral thalamus and basal ganglia structures such as putamen, pallidum, and caudate after controlling by eTIV.

There is only one similar study performed with a de novo PD sample investigating the brain differences between sexes (Tremblay et al., 2020). In that study, the authors did not find sex-related differences in CTh and found larger volume in PD females than PD males in the left thalamus by DBM means. In the PD group, our results showed larger subcortical grav matter volume in females than in males in the bilateral thalamus. This result partially agrees with the mentioned result that showed reduced left thalamus volume in males compared with females. Remarkably, different atlases were used to define subcortical structures. Furthermore, the differences in the CTh results between both studies could be explained by differences in the estimation pipelines (Masouleh et al., 2020), and the statistical analysis software employed, as well as the MRI analytical approaches based on CTh atlas-based parcellations or wholebrain vertex-wise CTh maps.

TABLE 1 | Demographic and clinical characteristics of PD and HC females and males.

		PD	HC	Sex main effect test stat (P-value)	Group main effect F stat (P-value)
Age, y	F	61.76 (7.50)	60.55 (5.86)	7.471 (0.007) ^{a,b}	0.223 (0.637)
	М	63.80 (7.24)	64.05 (7.11)		
Education, y	F	15.36 (2.96)	16.24 (2.86)	2.588 (0.109)	5.820 (0.017)
	М	15.91 (2.96)	17.00 (2.48)		
Age of onset, y	F	60.81 (7.52)		1.947 (0.053)	
	М	62.84 (7.10)			
Disease duration, m	F	10.50 (7.93)		0.310 (0.757)	
	М	10.82 (6.64)			
MDS-UPDRS	F	29.58 (10.69)		3.369 (0.068)	
	М	33.17 (13.04)			
Part III	F	18.62 (7.56)		5.510 (0.020)	
	М	21.62 (8.86)			
H&Y, n, 1/2/3	F	32/45/1		0.284 (0.867)	
	М	56/70/1			
RBDSQ	F	3.73 (1.99)	1.52 (1.21)	2.557 (0.111) ^a	58.211 (<0.001)
	М	4.53 (2.73)	1.88 (1.40)		

Data are presented by groups as mean (SD), except for H&Y. Two-way analyses of variance (ANOVA) with post-hoc tests corrected by Bonferroni were used for all demographic variables. Two-way analyses of covariance (ANCOVA) with age as a covariate with post-hoc tests corrected by Bonferroni were used for all clinical variables. Except for age of onset and PD duration, by two-sample t-test and H&Y, by Pearson's chi-squared.

^aSex differences in PD group (p < 0.05).

 b Sex differences in HC group (p < 0.05).

F, female; H&Y, Hoehn and Yahr scale; HC, healthy control; m, months; M, male; MDS-UPDRS, Movement Disorder Society Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale; PD, Parkinson's disease; RBDSQ, REM Sleep Behavior Disorder Screening Questionnaire; y, years.



We found cortical thinning in *de novo* PD males compared with *de novo* PD females in the left postcentral and right precentral areas. A previous study including treated PD patients with larger disease duration (between 2.13 and 3.69 years) reported cortical thinning in PD males in the left precentral and right postcentral areas compared with PD females. As well,

		PD		нс		Sex main effect	Partial eta
		Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	F stat (P-value)	squared
MoCA	F	-0.559 (1.742)	-0.078 (2.62)	-0.139 (0.900)	-0.078 (1.74)	1.047 (0.307) ^a	0.004
	М	-1.287 (1.884)	-0.950 (2.62)	0.096 (1.067)	-0.078 (1.74)		
Semantic fluency							
Animals	F	-0.278 (0.885)	-0.490 (1.11)	0.141 (0.970)	0.065 (1.11)	1.022 (0.313)	0.004
	М	-0.351 (0.951)	-0.490 (1.29)	-0.102 (1.021)	-0.305 (1.11)		
Vegetables	F	0.271 (1.098)	0.157 (1.36)	0.457 (1.031)	0.700 (1.36)	32.796 (<0.001) ^{a,b}	0.110
	Μ	-0.658 (1.052)	-0.927 (1.63)	-0.331 (0.845)	-0.385 (1.29)		
Fruits	F	-0.018 (0.901)	-0.123 (1.20)	0.592 (0.806)	0.600 (0.96)	37.032 (<0.001) ^{a,b}	0.122
	Μ	-0.624 (0.962)	-0.846 (1.45)	-0.430 (0.910)	-0.605 (1.20)		
Phonetic fluency "f"	F	-0.308 (0.975)	-0.404 (1.10)	-0.134 (0.916)	-0.294 (1.44)	0.002 (0.963)	0.000
	М	-0.440 (1.009)	-0.515 (1.33)	0.098 (1.057)	-0.073 (1.05)		
SDMT	F	-0.403 (0.934)	-0.348 (1.23)	-0.034 (0.857)	-0.292 (1.12)	0.543 (0.462) ^a	0.002
	Μ	-0.799 (1.020)	-0.623 (1.34)	0.024 (1.102)	0.100 (1.37)		
LNS	F	-0.307 (0.922)	-0.124 (1.16)	-0.124 (0.907)	-0.124 (1.55)	0.898 (0.344)	0.003
	М	-0.370 (1.042)	-0.510 (1.16)	0.089 (1.065)	-0.124 (1.45)		
JLO	F	-0.564 (1.176)	-0.187 (1.75)	-0.348 (1.125)	-0.187 (1.17)	12.665 (<0.001) ^{a,b}	0.045
	М	-0.086 (1.164)	0.397 (1.75)	0.251 (0.824)	0.397 (1.17)		
HVLT-R							
Immediate recall	F	0.046 (0.986)	0.027 (1.14)	0.366 (0.897)	0.485 (1.26)	2.916 (0.089) ^{a,b}	0.059
	М	-0.594 (1.148)	-0.430 (1.60)	-0.264 (0.997)	-0.423 (1.54)		
Recognition	F	-0.010 (0.854)	0.340 (0.93)	0.095 (0.879)	0.340 (0.62)	1.632 (0.203)	0.006
	М	-0.230 (0.940)	0.031 (0.62)	-0.070 (1.085)	0.031 (0.85)		
Delayed recall	F	-0.144 (0.935)	-0.078 (1.55)	0.249 (0.768)	0.335 (0.83)	10.240 (0.002) ^a	0.037
	М	-0.596 (1.078)	-0.492 (1.65)	-0.182 (1.114)	-0.078 (1.65)		

Data are presented in z-scores. Two-way analyses of covariance (ANCOVA) with age and education as covariates with post-hoc tests corrected by Bonferroni were used for all variables. ^a Sex differences in PD group (p < 0.05).

^bSex differences in HC group (p < 0.05).

F, female; HC, healthy control; HVLT-R, Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-Revised; JLO, Benton Judgment of Line Orientation; LNS, Letter-Number Sequencing; M, male; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; PD, Parkinson's disease; SDMT, Symbol Digit Modalities Test.

significant thinning in temporal and occipital regions in PD males compared with PD females (Yadav et al., 2016). These results might suggest sex differences in brain atrophy associate with the illness progression. However, longitudinal MRI studies are required.

Adult males have larger volumes than females in some subcortical gray matter structures, such as the nuclei accumbens, according to a study performed in a sample of 5,216 participants with an age range between 44 and 77 years (Ritchie et al., 2018); as well as, the amygdala, hippocampus, and putamen, according to other study performed in a sample of 2,838 participants with and age range between 21 and 90 years (Lotze et al., 2019), both controlling for age and total brain volume. In our study, sex differences in PD could be attributed to the neurodegenerative process rather than normal aging because, in healthy controls, we only found sex differences in the hippocampus. Nevertheless, it is noteworthy that the sample used in our study is modest in comparison to previous populationbased studies reporting subcortical volumetric differences in healthy subjects. The pattern of atrophy in PD that we have found showed that males have reduced volumes of subcortical nuclei compared with females, thus is the reversed pattern seen in general adult population suggesting a more marked degeneration in males or protective effect of female sex. In this regard, dysregulated gene expression and sex hormones might explain sex differences in PD. Vulnerability in the dopaminergic system, neuroinflammatory cells, and oxidative stress has been suggested as mechanisms that influence sex differences in PD (Cerri et al., 2019).

The neuropsychological results are also in agreement with greater global atrophy in males. Cognitive results showed that PD males had worse performance than PD females in general cognition (MoCA), processing speed (SDMT), and verbal memory (HVLT-R delayed recall). These results agree with previous findings in *de novo* PD showing more impairment in males than females in general cognition (Szewczyk-Krolikowski et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2018), verbal memory (Liu et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2018), we obtained sex differences in visuospatial function, in which females performed worse than males in PD and control groups. This result is consistent with previous findings in *de novo* PD (Liu et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2018), and it would reflect

TABLE 3 | MRI-derived measures of between sex comparisons of PD and HC females and males.

		PD		НС		Sex main effect F	Partial eta
		Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	Mean (SD)	Median (IQR)	stat (<i>P</i> -value)	squared
Global atrophy							
Cortical	F	29.598 (2.266)	29.360 (2.07)	30.114 (1.800)	30.091 (2.46)	8.721 (0.003) ^a	0.032
	М	28.149 (2.153)	28.309 (2.29)	29.387 (2.256)	29.246 (2.42)		
Subcortical	F	3.642 (0.282)	3.603 (0.39)	3.686 (0.270)	3.640 (0.38)	12.188 (< 0.001) ^a	0.043
	М	3.467 (0.244)	3.452 (0.33)	3.544 (0.280)	3.496 (0.25)		
Mean CTh	F	2.415 (0.095)	2.426 (0.11)	2.436 (0.102)	2.416 (0.10)	1.051 (0.306)	0.004
	М	2.389 (0.119)	2.415 (0.14)	2.411 (0.124)	2.412 (0.14)		
Deep GM nuclei							
Thalamus	F	0.462 (0.043)	0.468 (0.06)	0.460 (0.030)	0.457 (0.04)	7.874 (0.005) ^a	0.029
	М	0.436 (0.039)	0.434 (0.05)	0.443 (0.046)	0.443 (0.07)		
Caudate	F	0.224 (0.026)	0.219 (0.03)	0.222 (0.028)	0.219 (0.03)	7.948 (0.005) ^a	0.029
	М	0.210 (0.025)	0.208 (0.03)	0.215 (0.024)	0.211 (0.03)		
Putamen	F	0.295 (0.036)	0.291 (0.05)	0.303 (0.038)	0.300 (0.06)	5.690 (0.018) ^a	0.021
	М	0.281 (0.032)	0.282 (0.04)	0.290 (0.034)	0.284 (0.04)		
Pallidum	F	0.128 (0.015)	0.127 (0.02)	0.126 (0.013)	0.126 (0.02)	2.275 (0.133) ^a	0.008
	М	0.124 (0.014)	0.123 (0.02)	0.124 (0.015)	0.121 (0.02)		
Hippocampus	F	0.269 (0.030)	0.268 (0.04)	0.279 (0.028)	0.283 (0.04)	18.927 (<0.001) ^{a,b}	0.066
	М	0.250 (0.027)	0.247 (0.04)	0.257 (0.026)	0.252 (0.03)		
Accumbens	F	0.032 (0.007)	0.031 (0.01)	0.032 (0.006)	0.032 (0.01)	1.601 (0.207)	0.006
	М	0.030 (0.006)	0.029 (0.01)	0.031 (0.004)	0.030 (0.01)		
Amygdala	F	0.105 (0.017)	0.104 (0.02)	0.110 (0.013)	0.111 (0.02)	0.028 (0.868)	0.000
	М	0.104 (0.013)	0.103 (0.02)	0.110 (0.014)	0.108 (0.01)		
Brainstem	F	1.412 (0.122)	1.391 (0.16)	1.382 (0.100)	1.384 (0.13)	0.662 (0.417) ^a	0.002
	М	1.359 (0.120)	1.365 (0.18)	1.390 (0.134)	1.392 (0.20)		

Volumetric variables are presented in ratios as percentages estimated by [(volume/eTIV) * 100]. Two-way analyses of covariance (ANCOVA) with age and education as covariates with post-hoc tests corrected by Bonferroni were used for all variables.

^aSex differences in PD group.

^bSex differences in HC group (p < 0.05).

CTh, cortical thickness; F, female; GM, gray matter; HC, healthy control; M, male; PD, Parkinson's disease.

premorbid abilities. Greater abilities in line orientation in males were observed in a study performed with 201,000 participants, involving 53 nations (Lippa et al., 2010). This sex differences in visuospatial function also remained in normal aging (Munro et al., 2012; McCarrey et al., 2016).

Our results show modest effect sizes of the main effect of sex in MRI-derived and cognitive measures in the PD group. The interpretation of the data should be made cautiously. Future research needs to consider the role of other co-factors such as environmental and lifestyle variables that could influence brain atrophy and functional outcomes in PD together with biological sex. In this context, diet quality and physical activity have shown a protective effect against the development of PD (Yang et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2021), and MIND and Mediterranean diets has been related to later PD onset, mainly in females (Metcalfe-Roach et al., 2021). Moreover, physical activity interventions have shown improvement in functional outcomes in PD patients (Sharp and Hewitt, 2014). Another relevant factor to consider in further studies is sex differences in modifiable vascular risk factors highly related to lifestyle variables. In this regard, hypertension has been related longitudinally to the development of MCI in PD (Nicoletti et al., 2021).

PPMI study includes multisite data including 1.5 and 3-Tesla MRI acquisitions, therefore field strength differences could be considered a potential confounder in our analyses. In this regard, we checked 1.5 and 3-Tesla acquisitions were equally distributed between our study groups.

Finally, regarding clinical variables, it must be considered that the PD diagnosis in women can be delayed, and age of onset would be biased. However, more evidence is needed concerning the expected time from disease onset to visit with a movement disorder specialist (Saunders-Pullman et al., 2011).

Of interest, other neurodegenerative diseases show relevant differential characteristics between sexes in cognition and brain atrophy. Alzheimer's disease is the most studied among all. Remarkably, females with Alzheimer's disease have higher brain atrophy rates than males (Hua et al., 2010; Ardekani et al., 2016) and have a worse performance in verbal memory tasks compared with males (Chapman et al., 2011; Benke et al., 2013). Thus, consider the effect of sex in neurodegenerative diseases in



translational research and clinical trials is a key point in the era of precession medicine.

In conclusion, PD might aggravate the sex differences in cognition and brain atrophy associated with normal aging. The characterization of phenotypic sex differences in Parkinson's disease could be crucial to develop personalized medicine approaches from the early stages of the disease.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. This data can be found at: http://www.ppmi-info.org.

ETHICS STATEMENT

All participating PPMI sites received approval from an ethical standards committee and obtained written informed consent from all participants in the study. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Research project conception and acquisition of data are explained in Marek et al. (2011). BS and CJ contributed to the design of the study. JO and BS contributed to the analysis of the data. JO, CU, AC, AI, GM-R, CJ, and BS contributed to the interpretation of the data. JO, CU, CJ, and BS contributed to the draft of the article. JO, CU, MJM, YC, FV, CJ, and BS revised the manuscript critically for important intellectual content and approved the final version of the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study was sponsored by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (PSI2017-86930-P), cofinanced by Agencia Estatal de Investigación (AEI), European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) and PID2020-114640GB-I00/MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033, by Generalitat de Catalunya (2017SGR748), and supported by María de Maeztu Unit of Excellence (Institute of Neurosciences, University of Barcelona) MDM-2017-0729, Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities. JO was supported by a 2018 fellowship from the Spanish Ministry of Science, Universities and Research and co-financed by the European Social Fund (PRE2018-086675). CU was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie fellowship (Grant Agreement 888692). AI was supported by APIF predoctoral fellowship from the University of Barcelona (2017–2018). MJM received grants from Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Disease (MJFF): MJF_PPMI_10_001, PI044024.

REFERENCES

- Ardekani, B. A., Convit, A., and Bachman, A. H. (2016). Analysis of the MIRIAD data shows sex differences in hippocampal atrophy progression. J. Alzheimer's Dis. 50, 847–857. doi: 10.3233/JAD-150780
- Bakeberg, M. C., Gorecki, A. M., Kenna, J. E., Jefferson, A., Byrnes, M., Ghosh, S., et al. (2021). Differential effects of sex on longitudinal patterns of cognitive decline in Parkinson's disease. *J. Neurol.* 268, 1903–1912. doi: 10.1007/s00415-020-10367-8
- Baldereschi, M., Di Carlo, A., Rocca, W. A., Vanni, P., Maggi, S., Perissinotto, E., et al. (2000). Parkinson's disease and parkinsonism in a longitudinal study: two-fold higher incidence in men. *Neurology* 55, 1358–1363. doi: 10.1212/WNL.55.9.1358
- Benke, T., Delazer, M., Sanin, G., Schmidt, H., Seiler, S., Ransmayr, G., et al. (2013). Cognition, gender, and functional abilities in Alzheimer's disease: how are they related? *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* 35, 247–252. doi: 10.3233/JAD-122383
- Cerri, S., Mus, L., and Blandini, F. (2019). Parkinson's disease in women and men: what's the difference? *J. Parkinsons Dis.* 9, 501–515. doi: 10.3233/JPD-191683
- Chapman, R. M., Mapstone, M., Gardner, M. N., Sandoval, T. C., McCrary, J. W., Guillily, M. D., et al. (2011). Women have farther to fall: gender differences between normal elderly and Alzheimer's disease in verbal memory engender better detection of Alzheimer's disease in women. J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. 17, 654–662. doi: 10.1017/S1355617711000452
- Cholerton, B., Johnson, C. O., Fish, B., Quinn, J. F., Chung, K. A., Peterson-Hiller, A. L., et al. (2018). Sex differences in progression to mild cognitive impairment and dementia in Parkinson's disease. *Park. Relat. Disord.* 50, 29–36. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2018.02.007
- Curtis, A. F., Masellis, M., Camicioli, R., Davidson, H., and Tierney, M. C. (2019). Cognitive profile of non-demented Parkinson's disease: metaanalysis of domain and sex-specific deficits. *Park. Relat. Disord.* 60, 32–42. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2018.10.014
- Dale, A. M., Fischl, B., and Sereno, M. I. (1999). Cortical surface-based analysis. I. Segmentation and surface reconstruction. *Neuroimage* 9, 179–194. doi: 10.1006/nimg.1998.0395
- Dale, A. M., and Sereno, M. I. (1993). Improved localizadon of cortical activity by combining EEG and MEG with MRI cortical surface reconstruction: a linear approach. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 5, 162–176. doi: 10.1162/jocn.1993.5.2.162
- Fischl, B., and Dale, A. M. (2000). Measuring the thickness of the human cerebral cortex from magnetic resonance images. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 97, 11050–11055. doi: 10.1073/pnas.200033797
- Fischl, B., Liu, A., and Dale, A. M. (2001). Automated manifold surgery: constructing geometrically accurate and topologically correct models of the human cerebral cortex. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 20, 70–80. doi: 10.1109/42.906426
- Fischl, B., Salat, D. H., Busa, E., Albert, M., Dieterich, M., Haselgrove, C., et al. (2002). Whole brain segmentation: automated labeling of neuroanatomical structures in the human brain. *Neuron* 33, 341–355. doi: 10.1016/S0896-6273(02)00569-X
- Hua, X., Hibar, D. P., Lee, S., Toga, A. W., Jack, C. R., Weiner, M. W., et al. (2010). Sex and age differences in atrophic rates: an ADNI

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge the Centres de Recerca de Catalunya (CERCA) Programme/Generalitat de Catalunya, the Institute of Neurosciences, and the Institute of Biomedical Research August Pi i Sunyer (IDIBAPS).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnagi. 2021.791532/full#supplementary-material

study with n=1368 MRI scans. Neurobiol. Aging 31, 1463–1480. doi: 10.1016/j.neurobiolaging.2010.04.033

- Iwaki, H., Blauwendraat, C., Leonard, H. L., Makarious, M. B., Kim, J. J., Liu, G., et al. (2021). Differences in the presentation and progression of Parkinson's disease by sex. *Mov. Disord.* 36, 106–117. doi: 10.1002/mds.28312
- Lin, S. J., Baumeister, T. R., Garg, S., and McKeown, M. J. (2018). Cognitive profiles and hub vulnerability in Parkinson's disease. *Front. Neurol.* 9, 1–13. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2018.00482
- Lippa, R. A., Collaer, M. L., and Peters, M. (2010). Sex differences in mental rotation and line angle judgments are positively associated with gender equality and economic development across 53 nations. *Arch. Sex. Behav.* 39, 990–997. doi: 10.1007/s10508-008-9460-8
- Liu, G., Locascio, J. J., Corvol, J. C., Boot, B., Liao, Z., Page, K., et al. (2017). Prediction of cognition in Parkinson's disease with a clinical-genetic score: a longitudinal analysis of nine cohorts. *Lancet Neurol.* 16, 620–629. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(17)30122-9
- Liu, R., Umbach, D. M., Peddada, S. D., Xu, Z., Tröster, A. I., Huang, X., et al. (2015). Potential sex differences in nonmotor symptoms in early drug-naive Parkinson disease. *Neurology* 84, 2107–2115. doi: 10.1212/WNL.00000000001609
- Liu, Y. H., Jensen, G. L., Na, M., Mitchell, D. C., Craig Wood, G., Still, C. D., et al. (2021). Diet quality and risk of Parkinson's disease: a prospective study and meta-analysis. J. Parkinsons Dis. 11, 337–347. doi: 10.3233/JPD-202290
- Lotze, M., Domin, M., Gerlach, F. H., Gaser, C., Lueders, E., Schmidt, C. O., et al. (2019). Novel findings from 2,838 adult brains on sex differences in gray matter brain volume. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 1–7. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-38239-2
- Marek, K., Jennings, D., Lasch, S., Siderowf, A., Tanner, C., Simuni, T., et al. (2011). The parkinson progression marker initiative (PPMI). *Prog. Neurobiol.* 95, 629–635. doi: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2011.09.005
- Masouleh, S. K., Eickhoff, S. B., Zeighami, Y., Lewis, L. B., Dahnke, R., Gaser, C., et al. (2020). Influence of processing pipeline on cortical thickness measurement. *Cereb. Cortex* 30, 5014–5027. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhaa097
- McCarrey, A. C., An, Y., Kitner-Triolo, M. H., Ferrucci, L., and Resnick, S. M. (2016). Sex differences in cognitive trajectories in clinically normal older adults. *Psychol. Aging* 31, 166–175. doi: 10.1037/pag0000070
- Meoni, S., Macerollo, A., and Moro, E. (2020). Sex differences in movement disorders. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 16, 84–96. doi: 10.1038/s41582-019-0294-x
- Metcalfe-Roach, A., Yu, A. C., Golz, E., Cirstea, M., Sundvick, K., Kliger, D., et al. (2021). MIND and mediterranean diets associated with later onset of Parkinson's disease. *Mov. Disord.* 36, 977–984. doi: 10.1002/mds. 28464
- Moisan, F., Kab, S., Mohamed, F., Canonico, M., Le Guern, M., Quintin, C., et al. (2016). Parkinson disease male-to-female ratios increase with age: French nationwide study and meta-analysis. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 87, 952–957. doi: 10.1136/jnnp-2015-312283
- Munro, C. A., Winicki, J. M., Schretlen, D. J., Gower, E. W., Turano, K. A., Muñoz, B., et al. (2012). Sex differences in cognition in healthy elderly individuals. *Aging Neuropsychol. Cogn.* 19, 759–768. doi: 10.1080/13825585.2012.690366
- Nicoletti, A., Luca, A., Baschi, R., Cicero, C. E., Mostile, G., Dav, M., et al. (2021). Vascular risk factors, white matter lesions and cognitive impairment

in Parkinson's disease: the PACOS longitudinal study. J. Neurol. 268, 549-558. doi: 10.1007/s00415-020-10189-8

- Reekes, T. H., Higginson, C. I., Ledbetter, C. R., Sathivadivel, N., Zweig, R. M., and Disbrow, E. A. (2020). Sex specific cognitive differences in Parkinson disease. *npj Park. Dis.* 6, 1–6. doi: 10.1038/s41531-020-0109-1
- Ritchie, S. J., Cox, S. R., Shen, X., Lombardo, M. V., Reus, L. M., Alloza, C., et al. (2018). Sex differences in the adult human brain: evidence from 5216 UK biobank participants. *Cereb. Cortex* 28, 2959–2975. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhy109
- Salminen, L. E., Tubi, M. A., Bright, J., Thomopoulos, S. I., Wieand, A., and Thompson, P. M. (2021). Sex is a defining feature of neuroimaging phenotypes in major brain disorders. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 43, 500–542. doi: 10.1002/hbm.25438
- Saunders-Pullman, R., Wang, C., Stanley, K., and Bressman, S. B. (2011). Diagnosis and referral delay in women with Parkinson's disease. *Gend. Med.* 8, 209–217. doi: 10.1016/j.genm.2011.05.002
- Ségonne, F., Pacheco, J., and Fischl, B. (2007). Geometrically accurate topologycorrection of cortical surfaces using nonseparating loops. *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging* 26, 518–529. doi: 10.1109/TMI.2006.887364
- Sharp, K., and Hewitt, J. (2014). Dance as an intervention for people with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 47, 445–456. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2014.09.009
- Szewczyk-Krolikowski, K., Tomlinson, P., Nithi, K., Wade-Martins, R., Talbot, K., Ben-Shlomo, Y., et al. (2014). The influence of age and gender on motor and non-motor features of early Parkinson's disease: initial findings from the Oxford Parkinson Disease Center (OPDC) discovery cohort. *Park. Relat. Disord*. 20, 99–105. doi: 10.1016/j.parkreldis.2013.09.025
- Taylor, K. S. M., Cook, J. A., and Counsell, C. E. (2007). Heterogeneity in male to female risk for Parkinson's disease [1]. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 78, 905–906. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2006.104695
- Tremblay, C., Abbasi, N., Zeighami, Y., Yau, Y., Dadar, M., Rahayel, S., et al. (2020). Sex effects on brain structure in *de novo* Parkinson's disease: a multimodal neuroimaging study. *Brain* 143, 3052–3066. doi: 10.1093/brain/awaa234
- Wooten, G. F., Currie, L. J., Bovbjerg, V. E., Lee, J. K., and Patrie, J. (2004). Are men at greater risk for Parkinson's disease than women? *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry* 75, 637–639. doi: 10.1136/jnnp.2003.020982

- Yadav, S. K., Kathiresan, N., Mohan, S., Vasileiou, G., Singh, A., Kaura, D., et al. (2016). Gender-based analysis of cortical thickness and structural connectivity in Parkinson's disease. *J. Neurol.* 263, 2308–2318. doi: 10.1007/s00415-016-8265-2
- Yang, F., Lagerros, Y. T., Bellocco, R., Adami, H. O., Fang, F., Pedersen, N. L., et al. (2015). Physical activity and risk of Parkinson's disease in the Swedish National March Cohort. *Brain* 138, 269–275. doi: 10.1093/brain/ awu323

Conflict of Interest: MJM received honoraria for advice and lecture from Abbvie, Bial, and Merzt Pharma and grants from Michael J. Fox Foundation for Parkinson's Research (MJFF): MJF_PPMI_10_001, PI044024. YC has received funding in the past 5 years from Union Chimique Belge (UCB pharma), Teva, Medtronic, Abbvie, Novartis, Merz, Piramal Imaging, Esteve, Bial, and Zambon and currently an associate editor for Parkinsonism & Related Disorders.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Oltra, Uribe, Campabadal, Inguanzo, Monté-Rubio, Martí, Compta, Valldeoriola, Junque and Segura. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.