Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Vivek Swarup, University of California, Irvine, United States

REVIEWED BY

Mauro Montalbano, University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, United States Mahaman Yacoubou Abdoul Razak, Shenzhen University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Lene Juel Rasmussen ⊠ lenera@sund.ku.dk Laura Berliocchi ⊠ berliocchi@unicz.it

RECEIVED 17 November 2022 ACCEPTED 18 May 2023 PUBLISHED 09 June 2023

CITATION

Mei T, Li Y, Orduña Dolado A, Li Z, Andersson R, Berliocchi L and Rasmussen LJ (2023) Pooled analysis of frontal lobe transcriptomic data identifies key mitophagy gene changes in Alzheimer's disease brain. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 15:1101216. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2023.1101216

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Mei, Li, Orduña Dolado, Li, Andersson, Berliocchi and Rasmussen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Pooled analysis of frontal lobe transcriptomic data identifies key mitophagy gene changes in Alzheimer's disease brain

Taoyu Mei^{1,2}, Yuan Li¹, Anna Orduña Dolado¹, Zhiquan Li¹, Robin Andersson², Laura Berliocchi^{1,3*} and Lene Juel Rasmussen^{1*}

¹Center for Healthy Aging, Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, ²Bioinformatics Centre, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, ³Department of Health Sciences, University Magna Græcia of Catanzaro, Catanzaro, Italy

Background: The growing prevalence of Alzheimer's disease (AD) is becoming a global health challenge without effective treatments. Defective mitochondrial function and mitophagy have recently been suggested as etiological factors in AD, in association with abnormalities in components of the autophagic machinery like lysosomes and phagosomes. Several large transcriptomic studies have been performed on different brain regions from AD and healthy patients, and their data represent a vast source of important information that can be utilized to understand this condition. However, large integration analyses of these publicly available data, such as AD RNA-Seq data, are still missing. In addition, large-scale focused analysis on mitophagy, which seems to be relevant for the aetiology of the disease, has not yet been performed.

Methods: In this study, publicly available raw RNA-Seq data generated from healthy control and sporadic AD post-mortem human samples of the brain frontal lobe were collected and integrated. Sex-specific differential expression analysis was performed on the combined data set after batch effect correction. From the resulting set of differentially expressed genes, candidate mitophagy-related genes were identified based on their known functional roles in mitophagy, the lysosome, or the phagosome, followed by Protein-Protein Interaction (PPI) and microRNA-mRNA network analysis. The expression changes of candidate genes were further validated in human skin fibroblast and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs)-derived cortical neurons from AD patients and matching healthy controls.

Results: From a large dataset (AD: 589; control: 246) based on three different datasets (i.e., ROSMAP, MSBB, & GSE110731), we identified 299 candidate mitophagy-related differentially expressed genes (DEG) in sporadic AD patients (male: 195, female: 188). Among these, the AAA ATPase VCP, the GTPase ARF1, the autophagic vesicle forming protein GABARAPL1 and the cytoskeleton protein actin beta ACTB were selected based on network degrees and existing literature. Changes in their expression were further validated in AD-relevant human *in vitro* models, which confirmed their down-regulation in AD conditions.

Conclusion: Through the joint analysis of multiple publicly available data sets, we identify four differentially expressed key mitophagy-related genes potentially relevant for the pathogenesis of sporadic AD. Changes in expression of these

four genes were validated using two AD-relevant human *in vitro* models, primary human fibroblasts and iPSC-derived neurons. Our results provide foundation for further investigation of these genes as potential biomarkers or disease-modifying pharmacological targets.

KEYWORDS

Alzheimer's disease, mitochondria, mitophagy, lysosome, transcriptomics, VCP, ARF1, GABARAPL1

1. Introduction

Recent years have seen a growing trend in the number of Alzheimer's Disease (AD) patients, which reached 44 million in 2014 and 47 million in 2016 (Prince et al., 2014, 2016). This number is expected to increase to over 74 million by 2030 and 131 million by 2050 (Prince et al., 2015), causing significant burden on medical systems due to patients' need of long-term care (Prince et al., 2016). Characterized by brain atrophy and the accumulation of amyloid β plaques and neurofibrillary tangles in brain (Alzheimer, 1906), the aetiology of AD remains largely unknown. Effective treatments for AD are still lacking after decades of extensive research and investments.

Recent studies indicated that some AD pathological features may stem from defective disposal of dysfunctional mitochondria by mitophagy. For instance, inhibition of amyloid β aggregates and tau tangles, as well as restoration of cognitive ability, can be achieved through mitophagy inducers in C. elegans and AD mice models (Fang et al., 2019). Evidence suggests that compromised mitophagy in AD may be attributed to abnormalities in lysosomal activity and failure to incorporate dysfunctional mitochondria into lysosomes for the correct formation of autophagosomes (Kerr et al., 2017). Thus, lysosome and phagosome abnormality may be contributing factors to mitophagy dysfunction in AD. However, the molecular mechanisms underlying the disposal of defective mitochondria by the autophagic machinery and mitophagy malfunction in AD patients are yet to be systematically examined. Therefore, an investigation of mitochondria-centered mechanisms holds the promise to identify important molecular steps in the initiation and progression of the disease, as well as potential novel biomarkers and targets for intervention.

Meta-analysis of AD brain transcriptomic data (Patel et al., 2019) has shown that differential gene expression in the frontal, temporal, parietal lobe, and the cerebellum parallels the AD histopathological changes that differentially affect these brain regions in respect to both staging (Braak and Braak, 1991) and severity. Considerable differences in AD pathology and prevalence have also been observed between men and women (Grimm et al., 2016; Podcasy and Epperson, 2016). These important observations provided the scientific rationale for our investigation of AD gene changes separately in different brain regions and in both sexes.

Transcriptomic assays such as microarray and RNA-Seq have been widely used to investigate the gene expression profile behind the molecular mechanisms of AD in different brain regions. These have generated a considerable amount of data that have been made available through both general and AD-dedicated databases such as Accelerating Medicines Partnership-Alzheimer's Disease (AMP-AD) (Hodes and Buckholtz, 2016) and many genes and pathways that may play crucial roles in AD have been identified [e.g., YAP1 (Xu et al., 2018) & SPCS1 (Patel et al., 2019)]. Although these data have been thoroughly analysed, only few analyses focused on the role of mitochondria-related genes and pathways in AD. Moreover, RNA-Seq data provide chances to evaluate more genes that are not included or difficult to be detected by microarray analysis.

In fact, the results of many transcriptomic studies (especially microarray) suffer from lack of reproducibility and high variability (Zhang et al., 2009; Perng and Aslibekyan, 2020), partially due to limitations in sample sizes. Integrative analysis of publicly available datasets can be a solution to this problem while avoiding the expense of new costly high-throughput experiments. Possible methods to integrate datasets include: (1) merging of expression matrices, (2) meta-analysis of Differentially Expressed Gene (DEG) lists and (3) pooling together the raw data. While merging of expression matrices followed by cross-platform normalisation could be the only choice for microarray data from various platforms, to avoid batch effect and high false discover rate of meta-analysis, pooling of raw data at the beginning of analysis is more suitable and reliable for RNA-Seq data when the datasets are generated from similar samples and experimental settings. Though more popular in clinical research, pooled analysis has also been used for omics data analysis (Sonnenblick et al., 2018; Matikas et al., 2019; Traylor et al., 2021).

Network-based approaches are useful for identifying potential core genes among the DEGs. For instance, in Protein-Protein Interaction (PPI) networks, a gene whose product interacts with many other gene products (i.e., with higher degree in the graph) is in general more likely to be a key gene. At the same time, a gene targeted by more microRNAs that are regulated in the opposite direction to this gene, could be regarded to have more reliable differential expression, because a microRNA can bind to the mRNAs of its target gene and degrade the latter. Many unbiased screenings have been published, utilising module detection algorithms to these networks followed by enrichment analysis based on gene sets, or key genes determination for each module (Hu et al., 2020; Wan et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2021). In our opinion, it is more reliable to combine algorithms and the existing knowledge of a disease to avoid the ignorance about important key genes (especially those with smaller fold changes) that are related to particular biological processes, which are not necessarily enriched.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no integration study using the pooling method on RNA-Seq datasets from post-mortem brain samples of AD patients. Only two microarray-based integration studies have been published, using meta-analysis (Patel et al., 2019), and merging of expression matrices (crossplatform normalisation) (Xu et al., 2018), respectively. Other similar bioinformatics studies lack experimental validation or did not focus on mitophagy (Hu et al., 2020; Yu et al., 2021).

In this study, raw RNA-Seq data of brain AD samples and agematched controls were acquired from publicly available sources. DEGs were identified after pooling together samples from the frontal lobe (FL) separately for each sex and correcting covariates and batch effects. Gene sets, whose descriptions include one of the three keywords (i.e., mitophagy, phagosome & lysosome), were collected from different databases (e.g., KEGG, GO, Mizushima, & Reactome). Their member DEGs were extracted for following Protein-Protein Interaction (PPI) network analysis via STRING. Four candidate genes (VCP, ARF1, GABARAPL1, and ACTB) were selected from highly connected genes in the PPI network from the STRING database (Szklarczyk et al., 2019) and the microRNAmRNA interaction network for experimental verification. These genes were validated by literature search and experiments in stateof-the-art human AD models (skin fibroblast and iPSC-derived neurons). This research highlights four novel genes whose products and functions might be relevant for mitophagy and mitochondria alterations described in AD.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Data collection

The workflow of this study is shown in Figure 1. At the beginning, nine possibly eligible AD RNA-Seq datasets were identified: six GSE datasets downloaded from Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gds) and three AMP-AD datasets from several brain regions.

For the six GSE datasets (i.e., GSE125583, GSE125050, GSE110731, GSE104704, GSE95587, & GSE53697) (Scheckel et al., 2016; Friedman et al., 2018; Nativio et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019; Srinivasan et al., 2020), metadata describing patients' phenotype (e.g. age, sex, & Braak score) were collected from the GEO using R package GEOquery (v 2.48.0) (Davis and Meltzer, 2007). The raw RNA-Seq data were downloaded from the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/) and converted to fastq format using fasterq-dump in SRAtoolkit (v 2.10.2).

For the three AMP-AD datasets (i.e., MayoRNAseq, MSBB, & ROSMAP) (Allen et al., 2016; De Jager et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018), the metadata and RNA-Seq data in fastq format were both requested and downloaded from the Synapse (https://www.synapse.org/) via the Python package synapseclient and synapseutils. Instead of the BAM files stored in each study, fastq files generated by the RNAseq Reprocessing Study carried out by the AMP-AD consortium were used.

2.2. Inclusion criteria for datasets and patients

Datasets were only included in the analysis if they were generated by a study that (1) utilised bulk RNA-Seq; (2) included at least 5 AD patients and 5 age-matched controls; and (3) examined post-mortem samples of human brain. Eligibility criteria further required patients to be aged above 65 to ensure only late-onset AD patients were considered. The Braak score (Braak et al., 2006) was recorded in the metadata of most datasets, and was used as the diagnosis criteria of AD. Patients with Braak score 0, I, or II were classified into control groups, while IV, V, VI were classified into AD groups. Patients with a Braak score of III were excluded due to ambiguity in AD diagnosis.

2.3. Quality control and preprocessing of RNA-Seq data

An overview of the sequencing quality was visualised for each sample by FASTQC (v 0.11.9) (Andrews, 2010) and summarised by each dataset by using R package ngsReports (v 1.2.0) (Ward et al., 2020). Trimmomatic (v 0.39) (Bolger et al., 2014) was utilised to trim low-quality bases from reads and discard low quality reads from samples in the "sliding-windows" mode. Sequences originating from adaptors were also removed with Trimmomatic "palindrome" mode. Values of parameters were chosen partially based on observation and recommendations (MacManes, 2014).

Salmon (Patro et al., 2017) was adopted to quantify the transcript expression for all samples, using human reference transcriptome and annotations from GENCODE (Frankish et al., 2019) (release 33). A shell script "shuffle.sh" in BBmap (Bushnell, 2014) was applied to randomise the reads in these fastq files while keeping each pair of reads for paired-end (PE) data at the same place in the pair of fastq files. The expression values at transcript level were then summarised to gene level by applying R package tximport (v 1.14.0) (Soneson et al., 2016).

To include samples without sex information in the metadata for the following analyses and to avoid mislabeling, prediction of sex was performed on all samples. The prediction was based on a ratio between total read counts on chromosome Y and total read counts on chromosome X as well as Principal Component Analysis (PCA).

2.4. Integrating datasets and differential expression analysis

For the three datasets (i.e., ROSMAP, MSBB, & GSE110731) of Frontal Lobe (FL) shown in Table 1, age and Post-Mortem Interval (PMI) were included as covariates. Surrogate Variables (SVs) were then estimated for variance in gene expression that cannot be explained by the two known covariates using R package SVA (v 3.28.0) (Leek, 2014).

To visualise the effectiveness of batch effect correction, PCA was applied before and after batch correction. Variance stabilising transformation was employed using R package DESeq2 (v 1.22.2) (Love et al., 2014). The "removeBatchEffect" function in R package limma (v 3.36.5) (Ritchie et al., 2015) was then utilised to adjust the gene expression matrices by the covariates including SVs. Adjusted gene expression matrices were only used for visualisation, not DE analysis.



TABLE 1 Three selected AD frontal lobe RNA-Seq datasets.

Database	Dataset	Published year	Brain region	Sample size	
				AD (M / F)	Control (M / F)
AMP-AD	MSBB	2015	Frontal lobe	415 (132 / 283)	137 (63 / 74)
AMP-AD	ROSMAP	2015	Frontal lobe	505 (147 / 358)	153 (74 / 79)
GEO	GSE110731	2019	Frontal lobe	10 (6 / 4)	10 (5 / 5)
/	Total (before filtering)	/	1	930 (285 / 645)	300 (142 / 158)
/	Total (after filtering)	/	/	589 (177 / 412)	246 (120 / 126)

M, male; F, female.

Mapping of detailed brain regions to broader brain regions was carried out partially according to the criteria used by two previous studies (Xu et al., 2018; Patel et al., 2019). For example, frontal cortex, superior frontal gyrus, and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex were all mapped to frontal lobe.

DE analysis was performed using R package DESeq2 (v 1.22.2) (Love et al., 2014). Briefly, raw count matrices, metadata (including covariates & disease status) and average transcript lengths were directly passed to DESeq2 which calculated normalisation factors for each sample (Anders and Huber, 2010) based on

the average transcript length estimated by the R package tximport (v 1.14.0) (Soneson et al., 2016). Covariates were also included in the modelling process to correct for batch effects. The resulting p-values were adjusted using Benjamini-Hochberg method (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) for multiple testing to control for False Discovery Rate. Genes with an adjusted *p*-value <0.05 and an absolute value of log2 fold change >0.1 were considered significant DEGs for downstream analysis. Known AD-related genes from a systematic review (Hu et al., 2017) were used to validate the DE analysis results.

2.5. Selection of genes related to mitophagy

To extract DEGs between healthy control and sporadic AD patients related to mitophagy, several geneset databases were utilised, including wikiPathways (Slenter et al., 2018) (v 20200510), GO (Ashburner et al., 2000; The Gene Ontology Consortium, 2019), KEGG pathway (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000), KEGG module, Reactome (Jassal et al., 2019), and MSigDb (Liberzon et al., 2011, 2015). Although MSigDb includes GO, KEGG and Reactome, the latter 3 databases were still included separately to secure the latest versions. Redundant genes were removed. Genesets whose names contained at least one of the three keywords (i.e., mitophagy, lysosome & phagosome) were collected. Their member genes were extracted using R package clusterProfiler (v 3.8.1) (Yu et al., 2012) and combined into a union of non-redundant mitophagy-related genes. The intersection between mitophagy genes and DEGs were passed on to downstream analyses.

2.6. Network analysis and candidate key gene selection

To construct PPI networks, the STRING database (v 11) (Szklarczyk et al., 2019) was adopted to extract known interactions between mitophagy-related DEGs. For each sex, the above selected DEGs (refer to 2.5) were uploaded to STRING via its API using R (v 3.6.1). Among DEGs that were not selected (i.e., differentially expressed but not a member gene of the above genesets), those interacting with at least 20 selected DEGs were also uploaded, because they might also be closely related to mitophagy. The degree and log 2 fold change of genes were visualised by the sizes and colours of nodes in the PPI plot respectively.

microRNA-mRNA pairs were downloaded from 2 databases: mirTarBase (Chou et al., 2018) and miRSponge (Wang et al., 2015), with the ID being unified according to miRBase, while differentially expressed microRNA in AD frontal lobe were obtained from a systematic review (Takousis et al., 2019) which combined RNA-Seq and microarray results regardless of male or female. A microRNAmRNA interaction network was built based on these data.

Criteria for selecting key genes from down-regulated genes were: (1) degree \geq 20 in male or female PPIs; (2) targeted by at least 10 up-regulated microRNAs; (3) the number of down-regulated microRNA targeting the gene should not exceed 1/3 of that of upregulated microRNA; (4) has at least some implication in AD or mitophagy or mitochondria in literature.

2.7. Primary cells and culture condition

Primary skin fibroblasts [AG02261 (Ctrl1), AG16086 (Ctrl2), AG07377 (AD1), and AG06263 (AD2)] were obtained from the Coriell Institute for Medical Research (NJ, USA). Two fibroblast cell lines from sporadic AD (sAD) patients and two correspondent healthy sex- and age-matched samples have been used (see Supplementary Table 1 for details about age, sex, and disease status). Human fibroblasts were cultured in AmnioMAXTM-II

Complete Medium (Gibco, #11269016) in 5% CO_2 in a humid incubator at $37^{\circ}C$.

2.8. iPSCs information and culture condition

iPSCs were obtained from Zameel Cader at Nuffield department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Oxford. Three iPSCs from sAD patients and three corresponding healthy sex- and age-matched samples were used (see Supplementary Table 2 for details about age and sex of the patients). iPSCs were cultured in Matrigel-coated plates and cultured with mTesR1 medium.

2.9. iPSCs-derived neurons

iPSCs were differentiated into neurons following a previously validated and published protocol (Zhang et al., 2013). Briefly, iPSCs were cultured in mTesR1 medium added with Ngn2 and rtTA lentivirus on day -1, and then the next day (Day 0) the culture medium was replaced with DMEM/F12 medium containing N2 (Thermo Fisher), NEAA (Invitrogen), human BDNF (10 mg/l, PeproTech), and human NT-3 (10 mg/l, PeproTech). Doxycycline (2 g/l, Clontech) was added on day 0 to induce TetO gene expression. On day 2, a 24 hr puromycin (1 mg/l) selection period was started. On day 3, medium was changed to Neurobasal medium containing B27(Thermo Fisher), Glutamax (Invitrogen), BDNF and NT3; After day 7, 50% of the medium in each well was exchanged every 2 days. On day 14, iPSCs-derived neurons (iN) were collected and processed for RNA extraction and gene expression analysis.

2.10. RNA extraction and gene expression analysis

Total RNA was isolated from both fibroblast and induced neurons (iN), using RNeasy MiniKit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer instruction. RNA was analysed both for purity and concentration with an Agilent BioTek spectrometer (CHECK). Samples with OD260/280 ratio around 2.0 were included in the gene expression analysis. cDNA was synthesized using FIREScript RT cDNA synthesis MIX with oligo (dT) primer (Solis BioDyne) according to the manufacturer instructions. Real-time (RT)-PCR was performed using HOT FIREPol EvaGreen qPCR mix from Solis BioDyne following instructions from manufacturer using an Applied Biosystems QuantStudio 6Flex Real-Time PCR system. Three housekeeping genes, HPRT1, RPL13, and TBP were tested for their suitability as control genes.HPRT1 and RPL13a have already been shown to have a stable expression in several brain diseases (Penna et al., 2011; Rydbirk et al., 2016; Panina et al., 2018; González-Bermúdez et al., 2019). Also in our models, they showed ubiquitous gene expression both across brain regions and reprogrammed iPSCs and were therefore chosen as reference genes for normalisation in the gene expression analysis. The relative



mRNA expression was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method. Expression analysis of the following genes was undertaken: ARF1, ACTB, GABARAPL1, GAPDH, and VCP. All oligonucleotide primers were designed using PrimerBlast (NCBI-NIH) and analyzed with NetPrimer (BioSoft) (Supplementary Table 3).

2.11. Statistics

Statistical analysis was performed with Prism 6.0 (GraphPad Software, https://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/). One-way multiple comparisons Student's *t*-test with Bonferroni's multiple comparison *post hoc* test was used for mean comparisons between conditions as required. The number of samples used for statistical analyses (n) refers to either the number of different individuals per group or total samples in the study, being always four independent biological replicates. Differences were considered significant when p < 0.05. Data in bar graphs are reported as mean \pm SD.

3. Results

3.1. Datasets selection

Three bulk RNA-Seq datasets of post-mortem frontal lobe samples from AD patients and age-matched controls were selected (Table 1). Datasets for other brain regions were excluded for incomplete metadata and low data quality. After combining the 3 datasets and filtering the samples by the inclusion criteria (refer to 2.2), a total of 589 AD (M: 177; F: 412) and 246 control (M: 120; F: 126) frontal lobe (FL) samples were analysed, following the workflow in Figure 1.

3.2. DE analyses based on integrated data

For the combined FL datasets, the expression levels of 37,787 genes (incl. protein-coding genes and non-coding RNAs) were quantified. The Volcano plots of the combined dataset show that most of the significant DEGs have small absolute values of log2 fold change which may be due to variation in gene expression between samples and datasets (Figure 2). It is noted that smaller effect sizes are common phenomena for integrative studies (Xu et al., 2018; Patel et al., 2019) compared to what is generally observed for DE analysis of single datasets.

In total, 4,457 male specific, 3,103 female specific, and 1758 shared AD DEGs were identified in the frontal lobe. Many genes have been previously reported as AD-associated (Hu et al., 2017), whose gene symbols are shown in Figure 2. For instance, brainderived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) was down-regulated in both sexes, consistent with previous findings that a reduction in BDNF is associated with cognitive impairment in AD (Amidfar et al., 2020), whereas elevated BDNF levels can improve cognitive function in an AD mouse model (Choi et al., 2018). Another example is the transcription factor SP1 (specificity protein 1) whose up-regulation was observed in both sexes, in line with previous studies in an AD mouse model (Citron et al., 2015), as well as in human AD cortex and hippocampus (Villa et al., 2013). Such reference genes served as a validation of the DE analysis.

3.3. Genesets related to keywords and their member DEGs

Fifty-three genesets containing the key words mitophagy, lysosome or phagosome were identified in 4 databases (Table 2).

10.3389/fnagi.2023.1101216

Database	Keyword	Number of gene set		
KEGG	Mitophagy	KEGG mitophagy animal		
	Lysosome	KEGG lysosome		
	Phagosome	KEGG phagosome		
GO	Mitophagy	5, e.g., GO mitophagy, GO negative regulation of mitophagy		
	Lysosome	25, e.g., GO endolysosome, GO golgi to lysosome transport		
	Phagosome	15, e.g., GO phagosome acidification, GO phagosome maturation		
Reactome	Mitophagy	3, e.g., REACTOME receptor mediated mitophagy, REACTOME pink parkin mediated mitophagy		
	Lysosome	REACTOME lysosome vesicle biogenesis, REACTOME prevention of phagosomal lysosomal fusion		
	Phagosome	REACTOME cross presentation of particulate exogenous antigens phagosomes, REACTOME suppression of phagosomal maturation		
Mizushima	Phagosome	MIZUSHIMA autophagosome formation		

TABLE 2 Number of genesets potentially related to mitophagy in each database.

No relevant genesets were found in the wikiPathways database, which is thus not shown in the table. The Mizushima database is a part of MSigDb. Some well-studied mitophagy pathways are included in the above genesets, such as receptor-mediated mitophagy (Yamaguchi et al., 2016) and PINK1/PARKIN-mediated mitophagy (Eiyama and Okamoto, 2015). Both functional and morphological genesets were included in the analysis. Taking the union of their member genes, 716 non-redundant genes were regarded as mitophagy-related, among which 195 were differentially expressed for males, 188 for females, and were further used for network analysis.

3.4. Network analysis: PPI and microRNA-mRNA network

In order to identify potential key genes among numerous relevant DEGs, 2 PPI networks were obtained from the STRING database for male and female, respectively. In the networks, each node represents a DEGs. DEGs that were not member of relevant genesets but interacted with at least 20 members of the network were also added to the network (shown in rectangles instead of circles) and defined as candidate new members of these genesets.

The size of each node is proportional to its degree (the number of genes that a certain gene interacts with). The direction of gene regulation is indicated by the gene nodes' colour, with downregulated genes in green while up-regulated genes in red. The depth of a node's colour is in proportion to the absolute value of the log2 fold change of a gene, which ranges from 0 to 0.4 (Figure 3).

The PPI network construction began with 195 genes for male and 188 genes for female. After adding candidate new members, the total number of nodes were 311 for male and 298 for female. In total of 3,641 and 3,677 protein interactions for male and female were retrieved from the STRING database respectively, forming the edges of the PPI network.

MicroRNAs' suppression of their target mRNAs was regarded as evidence for genes' differential expression at mRNA level. From literature and databases, 559 unique DE-microRNA in FL (395 up, 128 down), and 380,639 microRNA-mRNA pairs were retrieved to create the microRNA-mRNA interaction network. MicroRNAs that were reported in some papers as up-regulated in AD FL but also reported to be down-regulated in the same number of studies were excluded from the analysis.

3.5. Genes selection for experimental validation

Based on the aforementioned criteria (refer to 2.6) and existing knowledge, four genes were finally selected: VCP, ARF1, GABARAPL1, and ACTB (Table 3), which are highly connected in both PPI (Figures 3A, B) and microRNA-mRNA network (Figure 3C). For brevity, only the first three genes and genes/microRNAs that interact with them are shown in the figure. The network visualisation was done in Cytoscape (v 3.8) (Shannon, 2003).

As expected, a number of known mitophagy genes interact with the selected genes. For example, FUN14 domain containing 1 (FUNDC1), which interacts with GABARAPL1 and is downregulated in both sexes, assists the phagophore to engulf dysfunctional mitochondria for mitophagy (Cai and Jeong, 2020). VDAC1 and OPTN are known mitophagy mediators as well (Bakula and Scheibye-Knudsen, 2020). Interestingly, β -Synuclein (SNCA), which is not a member of any canonical mitophagy genesets/pathways, is shown to interact with many mitochondrial outer membrane components and play a role in mitochondrial dysfunction (Malpartida et al., 2021) and mitophagy (Shaltouki et al., 2018) in Parkinson's disease. It also interacts with VCP and GABARAPL1 in the PPI network in this study. Glyceraldehyde 3phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH), also not a member of any canonical mitophagy genesets/pathways, promotes the fusion of damaged mitochondria with lysosome, thus promoting mitophagy in Huntington's disease (Hwang et al., 2015). It interacts with the three selected genes. In summary, the above evidence supports the reliability of the PPI networks in this research.

In the microRNA-mRNA interaction network (Figure 3C), most of the microRNAs targeting the first three selected down-regulated genes are up-regulated, supporting the differential expression of these genes. For microRNAs targeting ACTB, there are still more up-regulated than down-regulated microRNAs, though the numbers are close.

3.6. Experimental validation of VCP, ARF1, GABARAPL1, and ACTB

The average expression levels of the four selected genes in the combined AD FL transcriptomics dataset is shown in Figure 4A. To



of node colours are in proportion to log2 fold change

validate the bioinformatic results, the expression of the four downregulated genes related to mitophagy in Alzheimer's disease, which had been selected (i.e., ARF1, GABARAPL1, VCP, and ACTB) were analysed by qPCR in AD-relevant cellular models. In iPSCsinduced neurons (iN), the expression of all the four genes was significantly decreased in sAD patients when compared to age and sex matched healthy controls. This was observed in both males and females but was more pronounced in females (Figure 4B). In the case of human fibroblasts, significant changes in the relative gene expression between AD patients and age/sex-matched healthy individuals was detected only in males but not in females. In particular, VCP, ARF1, and ACTB showed a significant reduction of expression, whereas GABARAPL1 was slightly increased in human fibroblast from male AD patients compared to healthy controls (Figure 4C). In the case of the female samples no differences in gene expression were detected between AD fibroblasts and matching controls in the case of VCP, ARF1, ACTB, or a slightly increased

expression in the case of GABARAPL1 (Figure 4C), although not statistically significant.

4. Discussion

Prior studies have noted the essential role of mitochondria and mitophagy in AD, but the molecular mechanisms and relevance are still to be further investigated and understood (Kerr et al., 2017). Despite the large number of AD transcriptomics studies, performing sex- and brain region-specific integrative analysis on existing data, thus exploiting large sample sizes, and using genesetsand network-based approaches with a selective focus on specific biological processes such as mitophagy can lead to the identification of important key genes, which might have been previously ignored. Once experimentally validated, these genes could turn to be promising core genes in AD pathogenesis.

TABLE 3 Genes selected for experimental validation.

Gene	Description	Log2 fold change		Degree in PPI		Number of targeting microRNA	
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Up	Down
VCP	Valosin containing protein	-0.11	-0.10	56	61	10	1
ARF1	ADP ribosylation factor 1	-0.21	-0.10	33	46	17	4
GABARAPL1	GABA type A receptor associated protein like 1	-0.23	-0.28	37	45	17	2
АСТВ	Actin beta	-0.17	-0.05 (n.s.)	29	/	22	12



Experimental validation of differential expression gene analysis in preclinical AD models. (A) Bioinformatic analysis of differential gene expression; (B) Individual gene expression in iN samples paired samples by age and gender (total n = 6; Multiple pairwise *t*-test); (C) Individual gene expression in human fibroblast samples paired by age and gender (total n = 4; Multiple pairwise *t*-test). Solid graph bars represent control groups and striped bars represent AD groups; blue and red bars represent male and female individuals, respectively. Data in graph bars are reported as mean with their corresponding standard deviation. Statistical significance is reported as *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.005 and ****p < 0.0001.

With the idea of optimizing the use of publicly available data, we pooled together three RNA-Seq datasets generated from frontal lobe samples of AD patients and healthy controls. We used them for DE analysis with batch effects correction (Figures 1, 2). Several DEGs were identified through geneset analysis, PPI, and microRNA-mRNA network analysis (Figure 3), which could be critical players in mitochondria-centered AD mechanisms. All these genes were related to at least one of the keywords: mitophagy, lysosome, and phagosome.

The prevalence of AD, as well as its incidence in the individual over 80, is significantly higher in women compared to men (Mielke et al., 2014). Recent epidemiological data suggest that women present faster cognitive decline than men (Levine et al., 2021), thus suggesting the existence of sex difference in AD risk factors, presentation, and progression. However, the molecular mechanisms of sex-biased differences in AD and the sex-specific effects of some genes in AD are still elusive (Dumitrescu et al., 2019; Guo et al., 2022). The use of publicly available datasets allows for large sample size and the possibility of comparing differences between sexes.

Here, we started from datasets containing complete metadata for each sample as well as large enough sample sizes, and patients that were unambiguously diagnosed with late onset AD.

As to quality control, in each sequencing read, bases with high probability of being sequencing errors, which are referred to as low quality bases, were removed. This also applies to technical sequences (e.g., adaptors) that were used to complete the sequencing process and did not contain biological information from the original RNA sequence (Bolger et al., 2014). After this, high quality sequencing reads from each sample were assigned to each transcript in the reference transcriptome, generally based on sequence similarity, in order to quantify the expression level of each gene (Patro et al., 2017).

The main brain area affected in AD, the cerebral cortex, is anatomically divided into four lobes: frontal, parietal, occipital, and temporal lobe. Each of the lobes is further organised in complex structural subregions, i.e., *gyri* (bumps) and *sulci* (groves or fissures), with specific functions. Since RNA-Seq data from small sub-regions of the cerebral cortex are not abundant, we considered sub-regions belonging to the same lobe as equivalent with regard to how their RNA-Seq data reflect AD pathology, as also previously reported (Xu et al., 2018; Patel et al., 2019). By integrating data at lobe level, much larger sample size can be achieved to enable novel discovery.

Even for the same brain region, RNA-Seq datasets generated by different laboratories usually show varying gene expression levels, possibly due to different tissue-sampling methods, sequencing operations or other technical factors specific to each laboratory (Lazar et al., 2013). These un-wanted non-biological variations (i.e., batch effect), which also occur between batches within the same dataset, can even conceal the differences between AD and control, necessitating batch effect correction when integrating datasets. Therefore, batch effect correction was performed on the selected datasets before conducting differential expression analysis (Figure 1).

Differential expression analysis is a statistical test with a null hypothesis that the log2 fold change between AD and control for a gene's expression level is zero. Since RNA-Seq's read counts do not follow normal distribution but negative binomial distribution instead, and there is an obvious dependency between mean and variance, *t*-test is not applicable here. We thus adopted Wald test and other necessary operations via the R package DESeq2 (Love et al., 2014).

From nine DEGs shortlisted by their PPI degrees and by the regulation directions of microRNAs targeting them, four genes were selected based on bibliographic research on their involvement in mitochondrial function and autophagy: ARF1 (ADP ribosylation factor 1), GABARAPL1 (GABA type A receptor associated protein like 1), VCP (valosin containing protein), and ACTB (actin beta). Among them, the ACTB product is a cytoskeletal protein with typically housekeeping functions and considered having a stable expression. However, actin and actinbinding proteins have also been recognised as histopathological structures, which may contribute to AD pathogenesis and to primary behavioural symptoms of the disease (Bamburg and Bloom, 2009). Indeed, dysregulation of actin cytoskeleton observed in mouse AD models has been related to synaptic impairment and dendritic spine loss associated to AD (Rush et al., 2018). and recent genomic convergence and network analysis have found ACTB to be a significant AD risk gene (Talwar et al., 2014). In our study, the bioinformatic analysis on human transcriptomic data highlighted a statistically significant decrease on ACTB expression in AD individuals compared to matching controls in males, but not in women (Figure 4A). In both human iN and fibroblasts, this trend was further confirmed in male samples, whereas a statistically significant ACTB downregulation was detected also in AD iN in females (Figures 4B, C).

Of the other three genes, the ATPase VCP has been previously described for its role in Parkin-dependent mitophagy by extraction of ubiquitinated proteins from the outer mitochondrial membrane and selective degradation of damaged mitochondria through autophagosome fusion (Tanaka et al., 2010; Xu et al., 2011; Papadopoulos and Meyer, 2017; Bento et al., 2018). Also in neuronal cells, mitochondrial function seems to depend on VCP-mediated quality control (Fang et al., 2015) and VCP has been shown to bind the ER-associated protein UBXD2, which accumulates in neurons of the AD brain at early stages (Liang et al., 2006). Furthermore, it has been recently reported that VCP mutations can be associated with tau pathology, thus supporting an important role of VCP in AD pathogenesis (Darwich et al., 2020).

Our results show in the bioinformatic analysis a significant decrease both in males and females (Figure 4A). Similarly, this reduced expression of VCP in male samples was also significant in iN and fibroblast whereas for females samples it only reach statistical significance in iN but not fibroblast (Figures 4B, C).

ARF1 is a small GTPase classically studied for its involvement in the trans-golgi vesicle transport (Donaldson and Jackson, 2011) and seems to play a role in the post-Golgi trafficking and recycling of BACE1, suggesting that alterations in ARF1 may perturb BACE1 traffic and increase the processing of Amyloid β precursor protein (APP) which generates more A β 40 and A β 42,

the main pathogenic β -amyloid peptides and precursors of the amyloid plaques (Tan et al., 2020). Recent studies in cancer cell lines highlighted the important role of Arf-1 at the contact sites between ER and mitochondria, and how Arf-1 contributes to maintain mitochondrial morphology and function (Andersen et al., 2020). Interestingly, in yeast and C. elegans, Arf-1 has also been shown to regulate mitofusin/Fzo1 homeostasis and the removal of its toxic mitochondrial clusters (Ackema et al., 2014). In the present study the bioinformatic transcriptomics analysis highlighted a significant decrease in AD individuals compared to matching controls both in males and females (Figure 4A). This observation was further confirmed in iN from both male and female AD samples (Figure 4B), whereas in fibroblasts a statistically significant downregulation of ARF-1 was detected only in male AD patients (Figure 4C). Previous expression profiling studies indicate that Arf-1 is likely to act in most if not all tissues, but given the different membrane trafficking requirements of different cell types it seems possible that its gene expression varies between cell types (Chintapalli et al., 2007).

GABARAPL1 is a member of the LC3/GABARAP protein family and ortholog of ATG8. It mediates receptors trafficking to the plasma membrane (Schaaf et al., 2016) and participates to autophagosome formation by interacting with Nix and regulating the pool of healthy mitochondria (Novak et al., 2010). Under mitochondrial stress conditions, GABARAPL1 has been shown to promote the clearance of damaged mitochondria (Novak et al., 2010), whereas its decreased expression has been associated to accumulation of the damaged organelles (Boyer-Guittaut et al., 2014; Li et al., 2020). Interestingly, GABARAPL1 appears to be more highly expressed in the CNS as compared to other family members (Grand et al., 2013), however its role so far has been mainly investigated in antimicrobial responses (Sasai et al., 2017) and cancer (Boyer-Guittaut et al., 2014). Only very recently, a bioinformatic analysis reported GABARAPL1 as one of the genes differentially expressed in peripheral blood of AD patients (Wang et al., 2022), whereas proteome-wide analysis of brain extracellular vesicles seems to suggest that GABARAP proteins can be actively incorporated in these vesicles and this mechanism may be disrupted with AD progression (Gallart-Palau et al., 2020). Here, we describe for the first time a decreased GABARAPL1 expression in iPSCs-derived neurons from AD patients compared to healthy individuals, both in males and females (Figure 4B). On the contrary, a slight increase was observed in primary fibroblasts, which was statistically significant only in the male samples (Figure 4C). While GABARAPL1 is present at comparable mRNA levels in all fetal tissues, it seems to be differentially expressed in adult tissues with the highest expression levels observed in the brain, heart, liver, skeletal muscle, kidney, spleen, ovary, small intestine, placenta, and peripheral blood leukocytes (Le Grand et al., 2011). The different trend observed in GABARAPL1 gene expression between the two cell types highlights the importance of comparing different cellular models when investigating potential disease biomarkers. In this study, the results obtained in iN, a cellular system in line with the human brain samples, validated the bioinformatics data for all the four selected genes and could be used as a reference system.

Changes in expression of the four genes (ACTB, VCP, ARF1 GABARAPL1) here identified by the bioinformatic analysis were further validated in two state-of-the-art models in the field of AD research, and in translational research aimed to target discovery and drug development for this neurodegenerative disease (de Leeuw and Tackenberg, 2019). Neurons derived by IPSC of patients are widely used as they have been shown to recapitulate key aspects of AD, both for the sporadic and familial form. Furthermore, the directed differentiation into a single cell type culture allows to study a cell type-specific phenotype. However, one general concern is lack of maturity and aging signatures of iPSC-derived neural cells, due their reprogramming (de Leeuw and Tackenberg, 2019). For this reason, in this work gene expression was validated also in human primary skin fibroblast from patients. In fact, the use of skin fibroblasts from patients represents an exceptional complementary tool for in vitro investigations as they appear to recapitulate early pathological events shown in the AD brain (Olesen et al., 2022). Finally, it has been shown that AD may affect systemic processes like glucose metabolism and cardiometabolic health and not only the central nervous system (Morris et al., 2014), thus prompting the search for an early biomarker of the disease in easily accessible tissues. The identification of such a diagnostic and therapeutic tool would meet the currently unmet and urgent need of early diagnosis of AD and easy implementation in the clinical practice.

The decreases expression of these four genes in both human iPSC-derived neurons and fibroblasts from AD patients suggest that they could play a role in different tissues and affect AD progression through regulating mitophagy and mitochondria homeostasis. Interestingly, in the case of fibroblasts these systematic changes in gene expression were more consistent in male individuals, suggesting the possibility of sex difference in their regulations and highlighting the importance of identifying the best model to mimic the changes observed in human samples.

5. Conclusion

Altogether, by optimising the use of public available resources from human AD brain studies, by combining different bioinformatic approaches and by narrowing the large-scale RNA-Seq data analysis to genes associated to mitophagy, this study highlights four genes (VCP, ARF1, GABARAPL1, and ACTB), as promising relevant genes in AD pathology. Although their changes were also further validated here in biological *in vitro* systems relevant for sporadic AD, such as human fibroblasts and iPSC-derived neurons, more functional experiments and *in vivo* studies are needed to confirm their value as potential novel biomarkers and targets for intervention.

Data availability statement

The data presented in the study are deposited in the AD Knowledge Portal (https://adknowledgeportal.org), accession number syn9702085, and the GEO (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.g ov/gds), accession number GSE110731. All data are previously published and publicly available.

Author contributions

LJR, ZL, YL, TM, LB, and RA designed the study. TM and RA collected the datasets and performed the bioinformatic analysis. AO and YL performed the experiments and analysed the results. All authors contributed to writing the manuscript, reviewed the manuscript, and approved the submission for publication.

Funding

LJR receives financial support from the Nordea-fonden, Olav Thon Foundation, Sven Wewers fond, and Novo Nordisk Foundation (NNF17OC0027812). LJR is a member of the Clinical Academic Group: Recovery Capacity After Acute Illness in an Aging Population (RECAP).

Acknowledgments

The results published here are in part based on data obtained from the AD Knowledge Portal (https://adknowledgeportal.org).

References

Ackema, K. B., Hench, J., Böckler, S., Wang, S. C., Sauder, U., Mergentaler, H., et al. (2014). The small GTP ase Arf1 modulates mitochondrial morphology and function. *EMBO J.* 33, 2659–2675. doi: 10.15252/embj.201489039

Allen, M., Carrasquillo, M. M., Funk, C., Heavner, B. D., Zou, F., Younkin, C. S., et al. (2016). Human whole genome genotype and transcriptome data for Alzheimer's and other neurodegenerative diseases. *Sci. Data* 3, 160089. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2016.89

Alzheimer, A. (1906). Über einen eigenartigen schweren Erkrankungsproze β der Hirnrincle. *Neurol. Central* 25, 1134.

Amidfar, M., de Oliveira, J., Kucharska, E., Budni, J., and Kim, Y.-K. (2020). The role of CREB and BDNF in neurobiology and treatment of Alzheimer's disease. *Life Sci.* 257, 118020. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2020.118020

Anders, S., and Huber, W. (2010). Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Nat. Prec.* 12, 4282. doi: 10.1038/npre.2010.4282.2

Andersen, J.-P., Zhang, J., Sun, H., Liu, X., Liu, J., Nie, J., et al. (2020). Aster-B coordinates with Arf1 to regulate mitochondrial cholesterol transport. *Molec. Metab.* 42, 101055. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2020.101055

Andrews, S. (2010). FastQC: A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data. Available online at: http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/ (accessed August 3, 2020).

Ashburner, M., Ball, C. A., Blake, J. A., Botstein, D., Butler, H., Cherry, J. M., et al. (2000). Gene Ontology: Tool for the unification of biology. *Nat. Genet.* 25, 25–29. doi: 10.1038/75556

Bakula, D., and Scheibye-Knudsen, M. (2020). MitophAging: Mitophagy in Aging and Disease. Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 8, 239. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2020.00239

Bamburg, J. R., and Bloom, G. S. (2009). Cytoskeletal pathologies of Alzheimer disease. *Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton* 66, 635–649. doi: 10.1002/cm.20388

Benjamini, Y., and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. Royal Stat. Soc.* 57, 289–300. doi: 10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x

Bento, A. C., Bippes, C. C., Kohler, C., Hemion, C., Frank, S., and Neutzner, A. (2018). UBXD1 is a mitochondrial recruitment factor for p97/VCP and promotes mitophagy. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 12415. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-30963-z

Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M., and Usadel, B. (2014). Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 30, 2114–2120. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170

Boyer-Guittaut, M., Poillet, L., Liang, Q., Bôle-Richard, E., Ouyang, X., Benavides, G. A., et al. (2014). The role of GABARAPL1/GEC1

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnagi.2023. 1101216/full#supplementary-material

in autophagic flux and mitochondrial quality control in MDA-MB-436 breast cancer cells. *Autophagy* 10, 986–1003. doi: 10.4161/auto. 28390

Braak, H., Alafuzoff, I., Arzberger, T., Kretzschmar, H., and Del Tredici, K. (2006). Staging of Alzheimer disease-associated neurofibrillary pathology using paraffin sections and immunocytochemistry. *Acta Neuropathol.* 112, 389–404. doi: 10.1007/s00401-006-0127-z

Braak, H., and Braak, E. (1991). Neuropathological stageing of Alzheimer-related changes. *Acta Neuropathol.* 82, 239–259. doi: 10.1007/BF00308809

Bushnell, B. (2014). *BBMap: A Fast, Accurate, Splice-Aware Aligner*. Available online at: https://www.osti.gov/biblio/1241166 (accessed August 3, 2020).

Cai, Q., and Jeong, Y. Y. (2020). Mitophagy in Alzheimer's disease and other age-related neurodegenerative diseases. *Cells* 9, 150. doi: 10.3390/cells90 10150

Chintapalli, V. R., Wang, J., and Dow, J. A. T. (2007). Using FlyAtlas to identify better Drosophila melanogaster models of human disease. *Nat. Genet.* 39, 715–720. doi: 10.1038/ng2049

Choi, S. H., Bylykbashi, E., Chatila, Z. K., Lee, S. W., Pulli, B., Clemenson, G. D., et al. (2018). Combined adult neurogenesis and BDNF mimic exercise effects on cognition in an Alzheimer's mouse model. *Science* 361, eaan8821. doi: 10.1126/science.aan8821

Chou, C.-H., Shrestha, S., Yang, C.-D., Chang, N.-W., Lin, Y.-L., Liao, K.-W., et al. (2018). miRTarBase update 2018: A resource for experimentally validated microRNA-target interactions. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 46, D296–D302. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx1067

Citron, B. A., Saykally, J. N., Cao, C., Dennis, J. S., and Runfeldt, M. (2015). Transcription factor Sp1 inhibition, memory, and cytokines in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Am. J. Neurodegener. Dis.* 4(2), 40–8.

Darwich, N. F., Phan, J. M., Kim, B., Suh, E., Papatriantafyllou, J. D., Changolkar, L., et al. (2020). Autosomal dominant VCP hypomorph mutation impairs disaggregation of PHF-tau. *Science* 370, eaay8826. doi: 10.1126/science.aay8826

Davis, S., and Meltzer, P. S. (2007). GEOquery: A bridge between the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) and BioConductor. *Bioinformatics* 23, 1846-1847. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btm254

De Jager, P. L., Ma, Y., McCabe, C., Xu, J., Vardarajan, B. N., Felsky, D., et al. (2018). A multi-omic atlas of the human frontal cortex for aging and Alzheimer's disease research. *Sci. Data* 5, 142. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2018.142

de Leeuw, S., and Tackenberg, C. (2019). Alzheimer's in a dish-induced pluripotent stem cell-based disease modeling. *Transl. Neurodegener.* 8, 21. doi: 10.1186/s40035-019-0161-0

Donaldson, J. G., and Jackson, C. L. (2011). ARF family G proteins and their regulators: Roles in membrane transport, development and disease. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 12, 362–375. doi: 10.1038/nrm3117

Dumitrescu, L., Mayeda, E. R., Sharman, K., Moore, A. M., and Hohman, T. J. (2019). Sex Differences in the Genetic Architecture of Alzheimer's Disease. *Curr. Genet. Med. Rep.* 7, 13–21. doi: 10.1007/s40142-019-0157-1

Eiyama, A., and Okamoto, K. (2015). PINK1/Parkin-mediated mitophagy in mammalian cells. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* 33, 95–101. doi: 10.1016/j.ceb.2015.01.002

Fang, E. F., Hou, Y., Palikaras, K., Adriaanse, B. A., Kerr, J. S., Yang, B., et al. (2019). Mitophagy inhibits amyloid-beta and tau pathology and reverses cognitive deficits in models of Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Neurosci.* 22, 401-412. doi: 10.1038/s41593-018-0332-9

Fang, L., Hemion, C., Pinho Ferreira Bento, A. C., Bippes, C. C., Flammer, J., and Neutzner, A. (2015). Mitochondrial function in neuronal cells depends on p97/VCP/Cdc48-mediated quality control. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 9, 16. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2015.00016

Frankish, A., Diekhans, M., Ferreira, A.-M., Johnson, R., Jungreis, I., Loveland, J., et al. (2019). GENCODE reference annotation for the human and mouse genomes. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 47, D766–D773. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky955

Friedman, B. A., Srinivasan, K., Ayalon, G., Meilandt, W. J., Lin, H., Huntley, M. A., et al. (2018). Diverse brain myeloid expression profiles reveal distinct microglial activation states and aspects of alzheimer's disease not evident in mouse models. *Cell Rep.* 22, 832–847. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2017.12.066

Gallart-Palau, X., Guo, X., Serra, A., and Sze, S. K. (2020). Alzheimer's disease progression characterized by alterations in the molecular profiles and biogenesis of brain extracellular vesicles. *Alzheimer's Res. Ther.* 12, 54. doi: 10.1186/s13195-020-00623-4

González-Bermúdez, L., Anglada, T., Genescà, A., Martín, M., and Terradas, M. (2019). Identification of reference genes for RT-qPCR data normalisation in aging studies. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 13970. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-50035-0

Grand, J. N. L., Bon, K., Fraichard, A., Zhang, J., Jouvenot, M., Risold, P.-Y., et al. (2013). Specific distribution of the autophagic protein GABARAPL1/GEC1 in the developing and adult mouse brain and identification of neuronal populations expressing GABARAPL1/GEC1. *PLoS ONE* 8, e63133. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0063133

Grimm, A., Mensah-Nyagan, A. G., and Eckert, A. (2016). Alzheimer, mitochondria and gender. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 67, 89–101. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.04.012

Guo, L., Zhong, M. B., Zhang, L., Zhang, B., and Cai, D. (2022). Sex Differences in Alzheimer's Disease: Insights From the Multiomics Landscape. *Biol. Psychiat.* 91, 61–71. doi: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2021.02.968

Hodes, R. J., and Buckholtz, N. (2016). Accelerating medicines partnership: Alzheimer's disease (AMP-AD) knowledge portal aids Alzheimer's drug discovery through open data sharing. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* 20, 389–391. doi: 10.1517/14728222.2016.1135132

Hu, R.-t., Yu, Q., Zhou, S.-d., Yin, Y.-x., Hu, R.-g., Lu, H.-p., et al. (2020). Co-expression network analysis reveals novel genes underlying Alzheimer's disease pathogenesis. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 12, 605961. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2020.605961

Hu, Y.-S., Xin, J., Hu, Y., Zhang, L., and Wang, J. (2017). Analyzing the genes related to Alzheimer's disease via a network and pathway-based approach. *Alz. Res. Therapy* 9, 29. doi: 10.1186/s13195-017-0252-z

Hwang, S., Disatnik, M.-H., and Mochly-Rosen, D. (2015). Impaired GAPDHinduced mitophagy contributes to the pathology of Huntington's disease. *EMBO Molec. Med.* 7, 1307–1326. doi: 10.15252/emmm.201505256

Jassal, B., Matthews, L., Viteri, G., Gong, C., Lorente, P., Fabregat, A., et al. (2019). The reactome pathway knowledgebase. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 48, D498–D503. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkz1031

Kanehisa, M., and Goto, S. (2000). KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucl. Acids Res. 28, 27–30. doi: 10.1093/nar/28.1.27

Kerr, J. S., Adriaanse, B. A., Greig, N. H., Mattson, M. P., Cader, M. Z., Bohr, V. A., et al. (2017). Mitophagy and Alzheimer's disease: cellular and molecular mechanisms. *Trends Neurosci.* 40, 151–166. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2017.01.002

Lazar, C., Meganck, S., Taminau, J., Steenhoff, D., Coletta, A., Molter, C., et al. (2013). Batch effect removal methods for microarray gene expression data integration: A survey. *Brief Bioinform.* 14, 469–490. doi: 10.1093/bib/bbs037

Le Grand, J. N., Chakrama, F. Z., Seguin-Py, S., Fraichard, A., Delage-Mourroux, R., Jouvenot, M., et al. (2011). GABARAPL1 (GEC1): Original or copycat? *Autophagy* 7, 1098–1107. doi: 10.4161/auto.7.10.15904

Leek, J. T. (2014). Svaseq: Removing batch effects and other unwanted noise from sequencing data. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 42, 864. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku864

Levine, S. Z., Weinstein, G., Rotstein, A., Goldberg, Y., Reichenberg, A., Sandin, S., et al. (2021). Late-onset schizophrenia and the competing risks of dementia and death: A national cohort study. *Alzheimer's Demen*. 17, 209. doi: 10.1002/alz.049209

Li, M., Jia, J., Zhang, X., and Dai, H. (2020). Selective binding of mitophagy receptor protein Bcl-rambo to LC3/GABARAP family proteins. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 530, 292–300. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2020.07.039

Li, P., Marshall, L., Oh, G., Jakubowski, J. L., Groot, D., He, Y., et al. (2019). Epigenetic dysregulation of enhancers in neurons is associated with Alzheimer's disease pathology and cognitive symptoms. *Nat. Commun.* 10, 2246. doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-10101-7

Liang, J., Yin, C., Doong, H., Fang, S., Peterhoff, C., Nixon, R. A., et al. (2006). Characterization of erasin (UBXD2): A new ER protein that promotes ER-associated protein degradation. *J. Cell Sci.* 119, 4011–4024. doi: 10.1242/jcs.03163

Liberzon, A., Birger, C., Thorvaldsdóttir, H., Ghandi, M., Mesirov, J. P., and Tamayo, P. (2015). The Molecular Signatures Database (MSigDB) hallmark gene set collection. *Cell Syst.* 1, 417–425. doi: 10.1016/j.cels.2015.12.004

Liberzon, A., Subramanian, A., Pinchback, R., Thorvaldsdottir, H., Tamayo, P., and Mesirov, J. P. (2011). Molecular signatures database (MSigDB) 3.0. *Bioinformatics* 27, 1739–1740. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr260

Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome. Biol.* 15, 50. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

MacManes, M. D. (2014). On the optimal trimming of high-throughput mRNA sequence data. *Front. Genet.* 5, 13. doi: 10.3389/fgene.2014.00013

Malpartida, A. B., Williamson, M., Narendra, D. P., Wade-Martins, R., and Ryan, B. J. (2021). Mitochondrial dysfunction and mitophagy in Parkinson's disease: from mechanism to therapy. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 46, 329–343. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2020.11.007

Matikas, A., Zerdes, I., Lövrot, J., Richard, F., Sotiriou, C., Bergh, J., et al. (2019). Prognostic implications of PD-L1 expression in breast cancer: systematic review and meta-analysis of immunohistochemistry and pooled analysis of transcriptomic data. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 25, 5717–5726. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-19-1131

Mielke, M., Vemuri, P., and Rocca, W. (2014). Clinical epidemiology of Alzheimer's disease: Assessing sex and gender differences. *CLEP.* 6, 37–48. doi: 10.2147/CLEP.S37929

Morris, J. K., Honea, R. A., Vidoni, E. D., Swerdlow, R. H., and Burns, J. M. (2014). Is Alzheimer's disease a systemic disease? *Molec. Basis Dis.* 1842, 1340–1349. doi: 10.1016/j.bbadis.2014.04.012

Nativio, R., Donahue, G., Berson, A., Lan, Y., Amlie-Wolf, A., Tuzer, F., et al. (2018). Dysregulation of the epigenetic landscape of normal aging in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Neurosci.* 21, 497–505. doi: 10.1038/s41593-018-0101-9

Novak, I., Kirkin, V., McEwan, D. G., Zhang, J., Wild, P., Rozenknop, A., et al. (2010). Nix is a selective autophagy receptor for mitochondrial clearance. *EMBO Rep.* 11, 45–51. doi: 10.1038/embor.2009.256

Olesen, M. A., Villavicencio-Tejo, F., and Quintanilla, R. A. (2022). The use of fibroblasts as a valuable strategy for studying mitochondrial impairment in neurological disorders. *Transl. Neurodegen.* 11, 36. doi: 10.1186/s40035-022-00308-v

Panina, Y., Germond, A., Masui, S., and Watanabe, T. M. (2018). Validation of common housekeeping genes as reference for qPCR gene expression analysis during ips reprogramming process. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 8716. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-26707-8

Papadopoulos, C., and Meyer, H. (2017). Detection and clearance of damaged lysosomes by the endo-lysosomal damage response and lysophagy. *Curr. Biol.* 27, R1330–R1341. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2017.11.012

Patel, H., Dobson, R. J. B., and Newhouse, S. J. (2019). A meta-analysis of Alzheimer's disease brain transcriptomic data. *J. Alzheimers Dis.* 68, 1635-1656. doi: 10.3233/JAD-181085

Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A., and Kingsford, C. (2017). Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat. Methods* 14, 417–419. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4197

Penna, I., Vella, S., Gigoni, A., Russo, C., Cancedda, R., and Pagano, A. (2011). Selection of candidate housekeeping genes for normalization in human postmortem brain samples. *IJMS* 12, 5461–5470. doi: 10.3390/ijms12095461

Perng, W., and Aslibekyan, S. (2020). Find the needle in the haystack, then find it again: replication and validation in the 'omics era. *Metabolites* 10, 286. doi: 10.3390/metabo10070286

Podcasy, J. L., and Epperson, C. N. (2016). Considering sex and gender in Alzheimer disease and other dementias. *Dialog. Clin. Neurosci.* 18, 437–446. doi: 10.31887/DCNS.2016.18.4/cepperson

Prince, M., Albanese, E., Guerchet, M., and Prina, M. (2014). World Alzheimer Report 2014: Dementia and Risk Reduction - An Analysis of Protective and Modifiable Factors. Technical report, London: Alzheimer's Disease International.

Prince, M., Comas-Herrera, A., Knapp, M., Guerchet, M., and Karagiannidou, M. (2016). World Alzheimer Report 2016: Improving healthcare for people living with dementia: Coverage, quality and costs now and in the future. Technical report, London: Alzheimer's Disease International.

Prince, M., Wimo, A., Guerchet, M., Ali, G.-C., Wu, Y.-T., and Prina, M. (2015). World Alzheimer Report 2015: The Global Impact of Dementia: An Analysis of Prevalence, Incidence, Cost and Trends. Technical report, London: Alzheimer's Disease International. Ritchie, M. E., Phipson, B., Wu, D., Hu, Y., Law, C. W., Shi, W., et al. (2015). Limma powers differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 43, e47–e47. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkv007

Rush, T., Martinez-Hernandez, J., Dollmeyer, M., Frandemiche, M. L., Borel, E., Boisseau, S., et al. (2018). Synaptotoxicity in Alzheimer's disease involved a dysregulation of actin cytoskeleton dynamics through cofilin 1 phosphorylation. *J. Neurosci.* 38, 10349–10361. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1409-18.2018

Rydbirk, R., Folke, J., Winge, K., Aznar, S., Pakkenberg, B., and Brudek, T. (2016). Assessment of brain reference genes for RT-qPCR studies in neurodegenerative diseases. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 37116. doi: 10.1038/srep37116

Sasai, M., Sakaguchi, N., Ma, J. S., Nakamura, S., Kawabata, T., Bando, H., et al. (2017). Essential role for GABARAP autophagy proteins in interferon-inducible GTPase-mediated host defense. *Nat. Immunol.* 18, 899–910. doi: 10.1038/ni.3767

Schaaf, M. B. E., Keulers, T. G., Vooijs, M. A., and Rouschop, K. M. A. (2016). LC3/GABARAP family proteins: Autophagy-(un)related functions. *The FASEB J.* 30, 3961–3978. doi: 10.1096/fj.201600698R

Scheckel, C., Drapeau, E., Frias, M. A., Park, C. Y., Fak, J., Zucker-Scharff, I., et al. (2016). Regulatory consequences of neuronal ELAV-like protein binding to coding and non-coding RNAs in human brain. *Elife* 5, e10421. doi: 10.7554/eLife.10421

Shaltouki, A., Hsieh, C.-H., Kim, M. J., and Wang, X. (2018). Alpha-synuclein delays mitophagy and targeting Miro rescues neuron loss in Parkinson's models. *Acta Neuropathol.* 136, 607–620. doi: 10.1007/s00401-018-1873-4

Shannon, P. (2003). Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Gen. Res.* 13, 2498–2504. doi: 10.1101/gr.1239303

Slenter, D. N., Kutmon, M., Hanspers, K., Riutta, A., Windsor, J., Nunes, N., et al. (2018). WikiPathways: A multifaceted pathway database bridging metabolomics to other omics research. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 46, D661–D667. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx1064

Soneson, C., Love, M. I., and Robinson, M. D. (2016). Differential analyses for RNA-seq: Transcript-level estimates improve gene-level inferences. *F1000Res*, 4, 1521. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7563.2

Sonnenblick, A., Salgado, R., Brohée, S., Zahavi, T., Peretz, T., Van den Eynden, G., et al. (2018). P-STAT3 in luminal breast cancer: Integrated RNA-protein pooled analysis and results from the BIG 2-98 phase III trial. *Int. J. Oncol.* 52, 424–432. doi: 10.3892/ijo.2017.4212

Srinivasan, K., Friedman, B. A., Etxeberria, A., Huntley, M. A., van der Brug, M. P., Foreman, O., et al. (2020). Alzheimer's patient microglia exhibit enhanced aging and unique transcriptional activation. *Cell Rep.* 31, 107843. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107843

Szklarczyk, D., Gable, A. L., Lyon, D., Junge, A., Wyder, S., Huerta-Cepas, J., et al. (2019). STRING v11: Protein–protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 47, D607–D613. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1131

Takousis, P., Sadlon, A., Schulz, J., Wohlers, I., Dobricic, V., Middleton, L., et al. (2019). Differential expression of microRNAs in Alzheimer's disease brain, blood, and cerebrospinal fluid. *Alzheimers Dement.* 15, 1468–1477. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2019.06.4952

Talwar, P., Silla, Y., Grover, S., Gupta, M., Agarwal, R., Kushwaha, S., et al. (2014). Genomic convergence and network analysis approach to identify candidate genes in Alzheimer's disease. *BMC Genom.* 15, 199. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-15-199

Tan, J. Z. A., Fourriere, L., Wang, J., Perez, F., Boncompain, G., and Gleeson, P. A. (2020). Distinct anterograde trafficking pathways of BACE1 and amyloid precursor protein from the TGN and the regulation of amyloid- β production. *MBoC* 31, 27–44. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E19-09-0487

Tanaka, A., Cleland, M. M., Xu, S., Narendra, D. P., Suen, D.-F., Karbowski, M., et al. (2010). Proteasome and p97 mediate mitophagy and degradation of mitofusins induced by Parkin. *J. Cell Biol.* 191, 1367–1380. doi: 10.1083/jcb.2010 07013

The Gene Ontology Consortium (2019). The Gene Ontology Resource: 20 years and still GOing strong. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 47, D330–D338. doi: 10.1093/nar/gky1055

Traylor, M., Persyn, E., Tomppo, L., Klasson, S., Abedi, V., Bakker, M. K., et al. (2021). Genetic basis of lacunar stroke: A pooled analysis of individual patient data and genome-wide association studies. *Lancet Neurol.* 20, 351–361. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(21)00031-4

Villa, C., Ridolfi, E., Fenoglio, C., Ghezzi, L., Vimercati, R., Clerici, F., et al. (2013). Expression of the transcription factor sp1 and its regulatory HSA-MIR-29b in peripheral blood mononuclear cells from patients with Alzheimer's disease. *JAD* 35, 487–494. doi: 10.3233/JAD-122263

Wan, Y.-W., Al-Ouran, R., Mangleburg, C. G., Perumal, T. M., Lee, T. V., Allison, K., et al. (2020). Meta-analysis of the Alzheimer's disease human brain transcriptome and functional dissection in mouse models. *Cell Rep.* 32, 107908. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2020.107908

Wang, M., Beckmann, N. D., Roussos, P., Wang, E., Zhou, X., Wang, Q., et al. (2018). The Mount Sinai cohort of large-scale genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic data in Alzheimer's disease. *Sci. Data* 5, 180185. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2018.185

Wang, P., Zhi, H., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., Zhang, J., Gao, Y., et al. (2015). miRSponge: A manually curated database for experimentally supported miRNA sponges and ceRNAs. *Database*. 2015, bav098. doi: 10.1093/database/bav098

Wang, X., Tian, Y., Li, C., and Chen, M. (2022). Exploring the key ferroptosisrelated gene in the peripheral blood of patients with Alzheimer's disease and its clinical significance. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 14, 970796. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2022.970796

Ward, C. M., To, T.-H., and Pederson, S. M. (2020). ngsReports: A Bioconductor package for managing FastQC reports and other NGS related log files. *Bioinformatics* 36, 2587–2588. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btz937

Xu, M., Zhang, D. F., Luo, R., Wu, Y., Zhou, H., Kong, L. L., et al. (2018). A systematic integrated analysis of brain expression profiles reveals YAP1 and other prioritized hub genes as important upstream regulators in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 14, 215–229. doi: 10.1016/j.jalz.2017.08.012

Xu, S., Peng, G., Wang, Y., Fang, S., and Karbowski, M. (2011). The AAA-ATPase p97 is essential for outer mitochondrial membrane protein turnover. *MBoC* 22, 291–300. doi: 10.1091/mbc.e10-09-0748

Yamaguchi, O., Murakawa, T., Nishida, K., and Otsu, K. (2016). Receptor-mediated mitophagy. J. Molec. Cell. Cardiol. 95, 50–56. doi: 10.1016/j.yjmcc.2016.03.010

Yu, G., Wang, L.-G., Han, Y., and He, Q.-Y. (2012). clusterProfiler: An R Package for Comparing Biological Themes Among Gene Clusters. *OMICS* 16, 284–287. doi: 10.1089/omi.2011.0118

Yu, W., Yu, W., Yang, Y., and Lü, Y. (2021). Exploring the key genes and identification of potential diagnosis biomarkers in Alzheimer's disease using bioinformatics analysis. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 13, 602781. doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2021.602781

Zhang, M., Zhang, L., Zou, J., Yao, C., Xiao, H., Liu, Q., et al. (2009). Evaluating reproducibility of differential expression discoveries in microarray studies by considering correlated molecular changes. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1662–1668. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp295

Zhang, Y., Pak, C., Han, Y., Ahlenius, H., Zhang, Z., Chanda, S., et al. (2013). Rapid single-step induction of functional neurons from human pluripotent stem cells. *Neuron* 78, 785–798. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2013.05.029