

Comparative gene expression analysis among vocal learners (Bengalese finch and budgerigar) and non-learners (quail and ring dove) reveals variable cadherin expressions in the vocal system

Eiji Matsunaga^{1,2}* and Kazuo Okanoya^{2,3}

¹ Laboratory for Symbolic Cognitive Development, RIKEN Brain Science Institute, Wako, Saitama, Japan

² Laboratory for Biolinguistics, RIKEN Brain Science Institute, Wako, Saitama, Japan

³ Department of Life Sciences, Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, the University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

Edited by:

Fernando Martinez-Garcia, Universidad de Valencia, Spain

Reviewed by:

Manfred Gahr, Max Planck Society, Germany Chris Kirk Thompson, Freie Universität-Berlin. Germany

*Correspondence:

Eiji Matsunaga, Laboratory for Symbolic Cognitive Development, RIKEN Brain Science Institute, Hirosawa 2-1, Wako, 351-0198, Japan. e-mail: eiji.matsunaga@brain.riken.jp Birds use various vocalizations to communicate with one another, and some are acquired through learning. So far, three families of birds (songbirds, parrots, and hummingbirds) have been identified as having vocal learning ability. Previously, we found that cadherins, a large family of cell-adhesion molecules, show vocal control-area-related expression in a songbird, the Bengalese finch. To investigate the molecular basis of evolution in avian species, we conducted comparative analysis of cadherin expressions in the vocal and other neural systems among vocal learners (Bengalese finch and budgerigar) and a non-learner (quail and ring dove). The gene expression analysis revealed that cadherin expressions were more variable in vocal and auditory areas compared to vocally unrelated areas such as the visual areas among these species. Thus, it appears that such diverse cadherin expressions might have been related to generating species diversity in vocal behavior during the evolution of avian vocal learning.

Keywords: cadherin, evolution, gene expression, parrot, quail, ring dove, songbird, vocal learning

INTRODUCTION

Vocal learning is the ability to acquire a new sound through imitation, and three families of birds (songbirds, parrots, and hummingbirds) have this ability (Jarvis, 2004). Because these birds are taxonomically distantly related, it has been suggested that they acquired this ability independently. In the brain of a vocal learner, a series of nuclei and a neural circuit exist called the "song system," which is specialized for vocal learning and production (**Figure 1**; Nottebohm et al., 1976, 1982; Brauth et al., 1994; Striedter, 1994; Durand et al., 1997; Brainard and Doupe, 2000; Gahr, 2000; Jarvis and Mello, 2000; Jarvis et al., 2000; Jarvis, 2004; Bolhuis and Gahr, 2006; Bolhuis et al., 2010). In contrast, non-learners such as chickens and pigeons do not have such a system and only produce innate sounds (Bolhuis and Gahr, 2006). Because of these structure-related behavioral differences, the avian vocal system is a good model for studying brain evolution from a morphological and functional perspective (Matsunaga and Okanoya, 2009b).

We previously performed in situ hybridization screening in a songbird, the Bengalese finch, to explore the molecular basis of vocal system development and identified cadherins, neuropilin, and plexins as molecules whose expression is vocal-area related (Matsunaga et al., 2008). Among them, we particularly focused on cadherins, since cadherins show neural circuit-related expressions (each cadherins is expressed in some restricted population of neurons that are connected with each other; Suzuki et al., 1997; Takeichi, 2007). Actually, in the songbird brain, cadherins show vocal-system-related expressions (Matsunaga and Okanoya, 2008a). Cadherin-6B (cad6B) is broadly expressed in vocal control nuclei, whereas R-cadherin (Rcad) is expressed in the surrounding area. Cadherin-7 (Cad7) is transiently expressed in vocal control nuclei and its expression is downregulated during the phase from sensory to sensorimotor learning stage. Overexpression of cadherins affected vocal learning and production, suggesting the involvement in vocal development (Matsunaga and Okanoya, 2008c). Thus, it appears that cadherins are one of key regulators for vocal development.

Previously, we examined cadherin expressions in other vocal learner, budgerigar (Parrots) and non-learner quail (Galliformes), and published part of results in a short proceeding paper briefly (Matsunaga et al., 2008). Here, we performed more extensive comparative gene expression analysis of cadherins in vocal learner (Bengalese finch and budgerigar) and non-learner (quail and ring dove), and found that cadherin expressions are highly diverse in

Abbreviations: A, arcopallium; AAC, central nucleus of the anterior arcopallium; Ai, intermediate arcopallium; Aid, dorsal region of the intermediate arcopallium; Aiv, ventral region of the intermediate arcopallium; AN, nucleus angularis; Bas, nucleus basorostralis; Cbl, lateral nucleus of the cerebellum; CMM, caudomedial mesopallium; CN, cochlear nucleus; DLM, dorsal lateral nucleus of the thalamus; DM, dorsal medial nucleus of the midbrain; DMm, magnocellular nucleus of the dorsal thalamus; HVC, high vocal center; GLd, dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus; GP, globus pallidus; LLi, intermediate lateral lemniscal nucleus; LMAN, lateral magnocellular nucleus of the anterior nidopallium; LSt, Lateral striatum; MCC, cochlear magnocellular nucleus; MLd, lateral mesencephalic nucleus; NAO, oval nucleus of the anterior nidopallium; NCL, caudolateral nidopallium; NCM, caudal medial nidopallium; NF, frontal nidopallium; NLC, central nucleus of the lateral nidopallium; nXIIts, tracheosyringeal hypoglossal nucleus; Ov, nucleus ovoidalis; PrV, principal sensory nucleus of the pons; PT, nucleus pretectalis; RA, robust nucleus of the arcopallium; RAm, nucleus retroambigularis; Rt, nucleus rotundus; SGC, stratum griseum central; SO, superior olivary nucleus; Str, striatum; tec, tectum; nTDV, nucleus et tractus descendens nervi trigemini; VeM, nucleus vestibularis medialis.



the vocal system. The auditory system is well connected to the vocal system and related to vocal learning. The visual system is unrelated to the vocal system and functionally conserved between vocal learners and non-learners. By analyzing gene expressions in these regions between vocal learners and non-learners, we can evaluate whether diverse cadherin expressions are related to vocal learning or not. Therefore, in this study, to examine whether such diverse cadherin expressions are vocal area-specific, we examined cadherin expressions not only in the vocal system, but also in other neural systems.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

ANIMALS

We used three 14-day postnatal (P14) and three P30 male Bengalese finches (*Lonchura striata* var. *domestica*), two P14, one P16, and three P30 male budgerigars (*Melopsittacus undulatus*), and three P14 and three P30 male quails (*Coturnix japonica*), two P24 and one P45 male ring doves (*Streptopelia risoria*), all of which were bred at our lab facilities. All birds were deeply anesthetized with an intramuscular injection of sodium pentobarbital (50 mg/kg) and then sacrificed. After decapitation, their brains were embedded in OCT compound (Tissue-Tek) and frozen on dry ice for cryosectioning. Frozen sections for *in situ* hybridization or thionine staining for neuroanatomical reference were cut serially in 20-µm thicknesses using a cryostat (Leica, Bannockburn, IL, USA). We made 16 slide sets from one brain to use *in situ* hybridization studies of various genes (the length between each neighboring sections on the same

slide was 320 µm). To extract total RNA, brain tissues were dissected and placed in Qiazol Lysis reagent (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA), and the RNA was purified using an RNeasy Lipid Tissue Mini kit (Qiagen). The sex of the birds was determined by extracting genomic DNA from a portion of a digit with a DNeasy tissue kit (Qiagen) and performing a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) with primers that amplify the chromo-helicase-DNA binding gene (Ellegren, 1996), or verified by inspection of sex organs. Research protocols were approved by the animal care and use committee of RIKEN (#H18-2B002, #H20-2-231), and conformed to the National Institutes of Health (NIH, Bethesda) Guidelines.

ISOLATION AND CLONING OF cDNA

The budgerigar cDNA fragments for *Rcad* (Genbank accession no. AB329583), *cad6B* (AB329584), and *cad7* (AB329582), ring dove cDNA fragments for *Rcad* (AB610760), *cad6B* (AB610761), and *cad7* (AB610762) were isolated from the adult brain by the reverse transcription-PCR. The same primer sets used for Bengalese finch were used to isolate budgerigar and ring dove cDNAs, as previously described (Matsunaga and Okanoya, 2008a), except for ring dove *cad6B*. For ring dove *cad6B*, the primers were used as follows: 5'-CTCTTGTTGCCGTGATGAGA-3' and 5'-GTTTATAGCCTGGGCACGAA-3'. Each cDNA fragment was inserted into the pGEM-T Easy Vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). We used the same plasmids for probe preparation that were used for Bengalese finch brain, as previously described (Matsunaga and

Okanoya, 2008a). We used plasmids encoding chicken cadherin cDNAs for quail brain, kindly gifted by Dr. Masatoshi Takeichi (Inuzuka et al., 1991; Nakagawa and Takeichi, 1995). The plasmids were digested with enzymes to release the fragments, and probes were synthesized using SP6, T3, or T7 RNA polymerase (Roche, Indianapolis, IN, USA) with digoxigenin (DIG)-labeling mix (Roche).

IN SITU HYBRIDIZATION

Tissue sections were post-fixed for 10 min and then washed three times in PBS for 3 min. The slides were delipidated with acetone, acetylated, and washed in PBS with 1% Triton-X100 (Wako Pure Chemical, Osaka, Japan). The slides were incubated at room temperature with hybridization buffer containing 50% formamide (Wako), 5× SSC, 5× Denhardt's solution (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), 250 yeast tRNA (Roche), and 500 µg/ml DNA (Roche). The sections were then hybridized at 72°C overnight in hybridization buffer with RNA probes. The sections were rinsed in 0.2× SSC for 2 h and then blocked for 2 h in a solution of 0.1 M Tris (pH 7.5) and 0.15 M NaCl with 10% sheep serum. The slides were incubated overnight with alkaline phosphatase (AP)-conjugated anti-DIG antibody (Roche). After washing, AP activity was detected by adding 337.5 mg/ml nitroblue tetrazolium chloride and 175 mg/ ml 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (Roche). All sections were visualized with an Eclipse E600 microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan), and all images were scanned with a computer-based image processing system (Neurolucida; Microbrightfield, Inc., Williston, VT, USA). Images were processed with Photoshop CS2 software (Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA, USA).

RESULTS

In this study, we analyzed *Rcad*, *cad6B*, and *cad7* expression in two vocal learners (Bengalese finch and budgerigar) and nonlearner (quail) at two different developmental stages (postnatal 2 and 4 weeks). Since gene expression patterns were similar in most regions between these different developmental stages, we only shows expression patterns of P30 brains in figures. Furthermore, we analyzed these cadherin expressions in the second non-learner, ring dove. All comparative gene expression results are summarized in **Table 1** (we used the terminology of Reiner et al., 2004).

CADHERIN EXPRESSION IN THE VOCAL SYSTEM

We first examined cadherin expression in the vocal pathways among Bengalese finch, budgerigar, and quail.

Cad6B was widely expressed in the vocal system of the Bengalese finch brain including in the HVC, robust nucleus of the arcopallium (RA), lateral magnocellular nucleus of the anterior nidopallium (LMAN), dorsal lateral nucleus of the thalamus (DLM), dorsal medial nucleus of the midbrain (DM), and nucleus retroambigularis (RAm) and it was weakly expressed in the tracheosyringeal hypoglossal nucleus (nXIIts; **Figures 2B,K, 3B,E, and 4K**), as previously described (Matsunaga and Okanoya, 2008a). In contrast, *Rcad* was not expressed in these vocal control nuclei (**Figures 2A, 3A,D, and 4J**), except for DM (**Figure 2J**). At P30, the LMAN had not been clearly formed yet, but *cad6B* expression and weak *Rcad* expression were already seen in the corresponding LMAN region (**Figures 4A,B**). In the juvenile stage, *cad7* was also expressed in

		Rcad Cad6B							Cad7																
		Bengalese finch budgerigar			ring dove		quail		Bengalese finch		budgerigar		ring dove		quail		Bengalese finch		budgerigar		ring dove		quail		
		P14	P30	P14	P30	P24	P45	P14	P30	P14	P30	P14	P30	P24	P45	P14	P30	P14	P30	P14	P30	P24	P45	P14	P30
vocal system	HVC (NLC)		-	+	+					+	+	+	+					+	+	+	+				ĺ.
R	NCL or NLs	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	RA (AAC)	-	-	-	-					+	+	-	-					+	+	-	-				
	Ai	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	DM	+	+	+	+	-	Ξ.	+	+	+	+		-		-	-	-		-	-	=		-		-
	nXIIts	-	-	+	+		-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	+
	RAm	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	+
	AreaX (MSt)		-	-	-						-	-	+						-	-	+				
	Str	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+
	DLM , DMm	-	-	-	-		-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	LMAN (NAO)		-	+	+					_	+	-	-					_	-	-	+				
	NF	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+
visual system	Wulst	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
	Entpallium *	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	n Rotundus	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	GLd	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+		-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	n pretectalis	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	tectum	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
auditory system	AN	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
	MCC	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+
	SO	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	LLi	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	MLd	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	*	+	+	+	+
	Ov	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+
	Field L	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+
	NCM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	=	-	-	+	-	-	+		-	+	+
	CMM	+	+	.+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-		-	(=)	-	.=.	-	+	-		+	-	-	+	+
trigeminal system	n nTDV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	PrV	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	Bas	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	-	-
motorr system	GP	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	LSt	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-		-		-		-
vestibular system	n VeM	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Cbl	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

Table 1 | Summary of comparative gene expression among vocal learners (Bengalese finch and budgerigar) and non-learners (quail and ring dove).

Note that gene expression differed more among species in vocal-related areas than other areas. Dark blue filled region: highly similar expression pattern among species; light blue filled region: similar but developmentally different expression pattern; light red filled region: different expression between vocal learners; white region: different expression between non-learners.



some vocal control nuclei such as the HVC and RA (Figures 3C,F), although their expression is downregulated during development (Matsunaga and Okanoya, 2008a).

cad6B (B,E,H), and cad7 (C,F,I) in the Bengalese finch (A-C), budgerigar (D-F),

Similar to the Bengalese finch, cad6B and cad7 were expressed in the vocal control nuclei of budgerigar; however, the expression pattern differed. Cad6B was expressed in the nXIIts, RAm, magnocellular (J,M,P), cad6B (K,N,Q), and cad7 (L,O,R) in the Bengalese finch (J-L), budgerigar (M–O), and quail (P–R) at the level of the DM. Scale bars are 1 mm.

nucleus of the dorsomedial thalamus (DMm), and central nucleus of the lateral nidopallium (NLC; Figures 2E, 3H, and 4N) as in Bengalese finch, but cad6B expression was not observed in the DM, central nucleus of the anterior arcopallium (AAC) and oval nucleus of the anterior nidopallium (NAO; Figures 2N, 3H, and 4E). As in the Bengalese finch, Cad7 was expressed in the nXIIts, DMm, and



FIGURE 3 | *In situ* hybridization for *Rcad*, *cad6B*, and *cad7* at the telecephalic level. (A–F) Cadherin expression in P30 male Bengalese finch in the RA (A–C) and HVC (D–F). (G–I) Cadherin expression in P30 male budgerigar in the NLC and AAC. (J–O) Cadherin expression in P30 male

quail in the intermediate arcopallium (Ai) and caudolateral nidopallium (NCL). Arrowheads indicate expression boundary between the strong *Rcad* expression domain and the strong *cad6B* and *cad7* expression domain. Scale bars are 1 mm.

NLC, and not expressed in DM (**Figures 2C,F,L,O, 3E,H, and 4L,O**). However, *cad7* was not expressed in the AAC (**Figure 3I**). Sparse *cad7* expression was seen in the NAO (**Figures 4C,F**). Additionally, some of the vocal control nuclei did not express *Rcad* in the budgerigar brain (**Figures 3G and 4M**), but, in contrast to the Bengalese finch, *Rcad* was expressed in some vocal control nuclei such as the nXIIts, RAm, DM, NLC, and NAO (**Figures 2D,M, 3G, and 4D**).

Although no telencephalic vocal control nuclei occur in quail, they have vocal nuclei in the brainstem to produce innate vocalization. *Rcad* was expressed in the RAm (data not shown) and DM, but not expressed in the nXIIts of the quail brainstem (**Figures 2G,P**). As *cad6B*, *cad7* expression was observed in the nXIIts (**Figures 2H,I**), but no *cad7* expression was seen in the DM (**Figures 2Q, R**). Although no vocal nuclei occur in the thalamus



FIGURE 4 | *In situ* hybridization for *Rcad*, *cad6B*, and *cad7* in the frontal nidopallium and dorsal thalamus. (A–C) Cadherin expression in the LMAN of the Bengalese finch. Although the LMAN is not clearly formed at P30, *cad6B* expression in the LMAN and *Rcad* expression in the surrounding region are beginning to show (A,B, arrows). (D–F) Cadherin expression in the NAO of the budgerigar, an area with functional correspondence to the LMAN. In contrast to the LMAN of Bengalese finch, *Rcad* was expressed, but *Cad6B* was not expressed in the NAO (**D**,**E**). (**G**–**I**) Cadherin expression in the frontal nidopallium of quail at the level corresponding to the LMAN and the NAO. (**J**–**L**) Cadherin expression in the DLM of Bengalese finch. (**M**–**O**) Cadherin expression in the DMm of budgerigar. (**P**–**R**) Cadherin expression in the dorsolateral region of the thalamus of quail. Scale bars are 1 mm.

of quail brain, a corresponding area exists. *Rcad* was expressed, but *cad6B* was not expressed, in the dorsolateral area of the thalamus (**Figures 4P,Q**). This expression pattern was different to that in the Bengalese finch and budgerigar (*Rcad* was not expressed but *cad6B* was expressed in both species), though *cad7* expression was similar (**Figures 4L,O,R**).

CADHERIN EXPRESSION IN THE REGION SURROUNDING THE VOCAL SYSTEM

Consistent with the idea that avian vocal learning system might be evolved from neighboring motor learning system (Feenders et al., 2008), cadherin expression patterns in the vocal control nuclei were similar to those in surrounding regions of Bengalese finch, although the expression level and density were different (Matsunaga and Okanoya, 2008a; **Table 1**). The RA was located in the dorsomedial region of the arcopallium (Ai). *Cad6B* was expressed in the dorsal region of the intermediate Ai, whereas *cad7* was expressed in the dorsal and ventral regions of the Ai (**Figures 3B,C**). *Cad6B* and *cad7* were weakly expressed in the nidopallium around the HVC, although *cad6* expression is upregulated and *cad7* expression is downregulated during development (Matsunaga and Okanoya, 2008a; **Figures 3E,F**).

The expression patterns in the vocal control nuclei and their surrounding regions were basically similar in budgerigar brain, as in the Bengalese finch. For example, no clear expression border was found between the NLC vocal control nucleus and the supracentral nucleus of the lateral neostriatum (NLs; data not shown), the surrounding area of NLC (Plummer and Striedter, 2002). However, in contrast to Bengalese finch, the expression pattern in the NAO and AAC was quite different in the budgerigar brain. *Cad7* expression

was detected in the medial region of the Ai, but its expression was absent in the AAC (**Figure 3I**). *Cad6B* was expressed in the frontal nidopallium, but its expression was lacking in the NAO (**Figure 4E**).

Although quail have no telencephalic vocal control nuclei, it seems that other brain regions share similar features between vocal learners and non-learners. In the quail brain, no clear *Rcad* expression was seen in the arcopallium, and both *cad6B* and *cad7* were expressed in the Ai. However, the expression domains were mostly separated (**Figures 3J–L**); *Cad6B* was expressed in the dorsal region, whereas *cad7* was expressed in the ventral region. Whereas *Rcad* was weakly expressed in the caudolateral nidopallium (NCL) of the quail brain, *cad6B* and *cad7* were expressed in the NCL, although their expression domains were generally separated, with some overlap; *Cad6B* was expressed in the dorsal region, whereas *Cad7* was expressed in the dorsal region of the NCL (**Figures 3M–O**). In addition, no clear *cad6B* expression was observed in the frontal region of the nidpallium

(NF; **Figure 4H**), though *Rcad* expression was similar to vocal learners (**Figure 4G**). Only sparse *Cad7* expression was seen in this region (**Figure 4I**).

CADHERIN EXPRESSION IN THE VISUAL SYSTEM

In avian species, the visual information is transmitted from the retina to the telencephalon via two distinct pathways. One is the tectofugal pathway via the tectum, nucleus rotundus (Rt) and entopallium (Ent; Benowitz and Karten, 1976), and the other is the thalamofugal pathway via dorsal lateral geniculate nucleus (GLd) and visual wulst (Shimizu and Karten, 1993). Since these pathways are seen in various avian species (Mey and Thanos, 2000; Heyers et al., 2007), it appears that the visual system is evolutionally conserved among all avian species. Hence, it was suspected that gene expression in visual nuclei would be tightly constrained. To examine this possibility, we next analyzed cadherin expression in these visual areas.



tectum and pretectum. (A–I) *In situ* hybridization for *Rcad* (A,D,G), *cad6B* (B,E,H), and *cad7* (C,F,I) in the Bengalese finch (A–C), budgerigar (D–F), and quail (G–I) in the caudolateral tectum. Cadherins are strongly expressed in layer c, g and

i of the stratum griseum et fibrosum superficiale (sfgs) and the stratum griseum centrale (sgc). (J–R) *In situ* hybridization for *Rcad* (J,M,P), *Cad6B* (K,N,Q), and *Cad7* (L,O,R) of Bengalese finch (J–L), budgerigar (M–O), and quail (P–R) in the nucleus pretectalis (PT). Scale bars are 250 µm (I) and 500 µm (L,O,R).



The *Rcad, cad6B*, and *cad7* expression patterns were basically similar among the three species. *Rcad* was expressed in the tectum, nucleus pretectalis (PT), GLd, Ent, and visual wulst and was sparsely expressed in the Rt (**Figures 5A,D,G,J,M,P and 6A,D,G,J,M,P**). *Cad7* was expressed in the Rt (**Figures 5C,F,I,L,O,R and 6C,F,I,L,O,R**). *Cad6B* expression patterns were also basically similar, although the expression pattern in the Et was exceptionally different (**Figures 5B,E,H,K,N,Q and 6B,E,H,K,N,Q**).

CADHERIN EXPRESSIONS IN THE AUDITORY SYSTEM

In the avian species, the auditory information is transmitted from the inner ear to telencephalic auditory areas Field L via a series of auditory nuclei such as the cochlear nucleus (CN), superior olivary nucleus (SO), the intermediate lateral lemniscal nucleus (LLi), lateral mesencephalic nucleus (MLd), and nucleus ovoidalis (Ov). These ascending auditory pathway is seen both in vocal learners and non-learners (Correia et al., 1982; Mello et al., 1998). However, in contrast to the visual system, the auditory system is related to the vocal control sys-

tem, particularly in the telencephalon (Bauer et al., 2008). Therefore, it is possible that cadherin expression in the auditory system would differ to some extent among species. As expected, cadherin expression in the auditory system was different in some parts of the brain.

In the CN, though the cadherin expression pattern in the magnocellular sub-nucleus (MCC) varied among the three species (**Figures 7A–I**), *Rcad, cad6B*, and *cad7*-expressed cells were distributed in the angular sub-nucleus (AN) of the three species (data not shown). The cadherin expression pattern was similar in the SO and LLi (**Figures 7J–R and 8J–R**). However, in the MLd and Ov, the cadherin expression patterns differed among species (**Figures 2J–R and 8A–I**). In the telencephalic auditory area, Field L, the caudal medial nidopallium (NCM), and the caudomedial mesopallium (CMM), cadherin expression patterns were diverse (**Table 1**).

CADHERIN EXPRESSION IN THE TRIGEMINAL SYSTEM

Among trigeminal nuclei, we analyzed cadherin expression in the principal sensory nucleus of the pons (PrV), the nucleus et tractus descendens nervi trigemini (nTDV), and the basorostral pallial nucleus (Bas). PrV neurons project to the Bas to regulate tongue and beak movement (Wild et al., 1984, 1997). The Bas receives

trigeminal input from the PrV and auditory input from the LLi (Farabaugh and Wild, 1997). Auditory input from the Bas is connected with vocal control nuclei via the NF in the budgerigar (Farabaugh and Wild, 1997), but is not connected in songbirds (Wild and Farabaugh, 1996). Therefore, the trigeminal system seems to differ among the three species, and in the case of the budgerigar, this system is connected to the vocal control system.

As expected, cadherin expression in the PrV and Bas were different in some extent (**Figures 9A–R**), whereas *Rcad*, *cad6B*, and *cad7* expression patterns were similar among the three species in the nTDv (data not shown). At P30, although *cad6B* expression in the Bas was similar in all species, *Rcad* was expressed in the budgerigar and quail and *cad7* was only expressed in the budgerigar (**Figures 9J–R**).

CADHERIN EXPRESSIONS IN OTHER SYSTEM

In telencephalic motor nuclei such as GP and LSt, cadherin expressions were similar among three species (**Figures 10**). In vestibular system, nucleus vestibularis medialis (VeM) and lateral nucleus of cerebellum (Cbl), cereberum nucleus, cadherin expressions were also similar (data not shown).



(C,F,I) and 500 µm (O,R).



FIGURE 8 | Cadherin expression in the nucleus ovoidalis and intermediate nucleus of the lateral lemniscus in the auditory system. (A–I) *In situ* hybridization for *Rcad* (A,D,G), *cad6B* (B,E,H), and *cad7* (C,F,I) in the Bengalese finch (A–C), budgerigar (D–F), and quail (G–I) in the nucleus

ovoidalis (Ov). (J–R) *In situ* hybridization for *Rcad* (J,M,P), *cad6B* (K,N,Q), and *cad7* (L,O,R) in the Bengalese finch (J–L), budgerigar (M–O), and quail (P–R) in the intermediate lateral lemniscal nucleus (LLi). Scale bars are 1 mm (C,F,I) and 500 µm (L,O,R).

DEVELOPMENTAL DIFFERENCE IN CADHERIN EXPRESSIONS

By comparing gene expressions among three species, it seemed that cadherin expressions were diverse particularly in the vocal and auditory system. However, it was suspected that these differences did not reflect phylogenic differences among three species but differences in developmental progress among three species (e.g., budgerigars develop more slowly than Bengalese finches). To examine the possibility, we compared gene expressions between two different developmental stages P14 and P30.

As expected, some cadherin expressions were upregulated or downregulated in some brain areas of the same species between P14 and P30 (**Figure 11**; summarized in **Table 1**). By comparing between these different stages, cadherin expressions were particularly variable in the auditory areas during the development (**Table 1**). Difference in these cadherin expressions among three species may be due to differences in developmental progress rather than phylogenic differences (summarized in **Table 2**).

CADHERIN EXPRESSION IN ANOTHER NON-LEARNER RING DOVE

By comparing among two vocal learners (Bengalese finch and budgerigar) and an non-learner quail, we found diverse cadherin expressions in the vocal system. However, if cadherin expressions differ between two different non-learners, the differences might have been caused by evolutional changes unrelated to vocal learning. To exclude the possibility, we also examined cadherin expressions in another non-learner, ring dove.

Some cadherin expressions were different between quail and ring dove [*Rcad* in nXIIts (Figures 2G and 12A), DM (Figures 2P and 12D), and DLM (Figures 4P and 12M); *cad6B* in NF (Figures 4H and 12Q), DLM (Figures 4Q and 12N), GLd (Figures 6H and 13E),

and PrV (**Figures 9H and 13N**); *cad7* in the second auditory areas]. However, most cadherin expression patters were similar between two species (**Figures 2H,I,Q,R, 3J–O, 4G,I,R, 5P–R, 6G,I, 7G–I, 8G–I, 9G,I, 10G–I, 12B,C,E–L,O,P,R and 13A–D,F–M,O–R**).

DISCUSSION

HIGHLY DIVERSE CADHERIN EXPRESSIONS IN THE VOCAL CONTROL SYSTEM

We performed a comparative gene expression analysis among two vocal learners, the Bengalese finch (oscine songbirds) and budgerigar (parrots), and non-vocal learners, the quail (Galliformes) and ring dove (Columbiformes). Both vocal learners had a similar but not the same series of telencephalic nuclei and neural circuits specialized for vocal learning and production, whereas non-learners had no such structure in the telencephalon (Bolhuis and Gahr, 2006). Consistent with diverse vocal system among avian species, cadherin expressions were highly variable, not only in the vocal telencephalic nuclei, but also in the vocal nuclei at the level of the brainstem. In contrast, the visual system may be more tightly conserved and less variable than the vocal system was almost similar among all species.

Diverse cadherin expressions in the vocal system were also seen between two non-learners quail and dove. The ratio of different cadherin expressions in brainstem vocal areas between these species were almost similar to the ratio of different cadherin expressions between two vocal learners (see **Table 2**), suggesting that diverse cadherin expressions are not vocal learner-specific and change of cadherin expressions may not have been related to the acquisition of vocal learning ability itself, rather, related to diversity in vocal behaviors among avian species.



finch (A-C), budgerigar (D-F), and quail (G-I) in the principal sensory nucleus of

the nucleus basorostralis (Bas). Scale bars are 1 mm.

Some cadherin expression patterns change during development. For example, cadherin expression was not the same between chick embryos (Redies et al., 2001) and postnatal quail in the present study. Cad7 downregulation and Cad6B upregulation are observed in the RA nucleus during the transition from the sensory to sensorimotor learning stage (Matsunaga and Okanoya, 2008a). In this study, to verify the possibility that some gene expression differences were caused by developmental differences among species, we used juvenile birds at two develop-

mental stages to perform the comparative gene expression analysis. We found cadherin expressions were changed in some areas between two different developmental stages of the same species (light blue region, Table 1). However, in the vocal system, cadherin expressions differed in many areas among different species, even their expressions were similar between two different developmental stages of the same species (dark blue region, Table 1), suggesting that these gene expression differences were caused by species difference rather than developmental differences. Thus,





FIGURE 11 | Developmental difference in cadherin expressions between P14 and P30. *Rcad* expression in Ov of Bengalese finch (A,B). *Cad6B* expression in PrV of budgerigar (C,D). *Cad7* expression in MCC of quail (E,F). Scale bars are 1 mm.

it seems to be sure that there is a clear tendency that cadherin expressions are highly diverse particularly in the vocal system (see **Table 1**).

VARIABLE AND CONSERVED CADHERIN EXPRESSIONS IN OTHER SYSTEM

Since the vocal system is closely related to auditory system (Margoliash et al., 1994; Fortune and Margoliash, 1995; Mello et al., 1998; Bauer et al., 2008) and vocal learning is auditorydependent (Konishi, 1965), changes of gene expression in auditory system might have been related to species differences in vocal learning and behavior. Actually, our results showed that cadherin expressions were diverse in auditory nuclei such as MLd, Ov, telencephalic auditory area. In avian species, there are two auditory systems: primary auditory pathway via thalamus and secondary auditory pathway without thalamic relay via Bas. Songbirds only use the former, while parrots use both auditory pathways (Wild and Farabaugh, 1996; Farabaugh and Wild, 1997). Thus, not only the vocal system but also the auditory system are different between songbirds and parrots. In accordance with this, some cadherin expressions were different in the Bas between Bengalese finch and budgerigar. However, comparing between different stages, cadherin expressions were diverse between the early and late developmental stages in Bengalese finch and budgerigar, and it seems that these differences were mainly due to ontogenic differences rather than phylogenic differences (see Table 2). In contrast, comparing between two non-learners quail and dove, expression patterns were similar between two different developmental stages, though their expressions differed between these species. Some phylogenic variations in cadherin expressions may also exist in the non-learner's auditory system.

In contrast to vocal and auditory system, cadherin expressions were less variable in the visual, trigeminal, motor, and vestibular system (see **Table 2**). Compared to vocal and auditory system,



Scale bars are 1 mm.

similar anatomical structures are seen in these systems among avian species. Since these systems are mutually seen and directly involved in survival for avian species, their anatomical structure and gene expressions may have been tightly constrained during the evolution. In contrast, the vocal and auditory-vocal systems may have more acceptable range for diverse anatomical structure and gene expressions, resulting in variety of species-specific vocal behaviors.

DIVERSE CADHERIN EXPRESSION AND EVOLUTION IN THE VOCAL LEARNING SYSTEM

Songbirds, parrots, and hummingbirds have neural circuits for vocal learning. Because these birds are taxonomically distantly related, it has been suggested that these families acquired vocal learning ability independently (Jarvis, 2000, 2004). Anatomical and molecular analyses have suggested the possibility that each vocal system evolved from general primordial structures (Margoliash et al., 1994;



Mello et al., 1998; Farries, 2001; Feenders et al., 2008; Matsunaga et al., 2008; Matsunaga and Okanoya, 2009b). Actually, some expressed genes are similar in the vocal systems of songbirds and parrots. The androgen receptor, a transcription factor, is widely expressed in vocal control nuclei of the budgerigar as well as in songbirds (Matsunaga and Okanoya, 2008b). The axon guidance molecules *nueropilin-1* and *plexin-A4* show similar expression patterns between the Bengalese finch and budgerigar (Matsunaga and Okanoya, 2009a). In contrast, cadherin expression in the vocal

system is highly diverse between songbirds and parrots. Cadherins, originally isolated as cell-adhesion molecules, are involved not only in synapse formation, but also in synapse function. For example, *cad8* mutant mice show reductions in miniature excitatory post-synaptic currents in temperature-sensitive neurons (Suzuki et al., 2007). *Cad11* mutant mice show enhanced long-term potentiation in CA1 neurons (Manabe et al., 2000). Cadehrin overexpression by lentiviral vectors affects vocal learning and production (Matsunaga and Okanoya, 2008c). *In vitro* analysis using rat hippocampal

Table 2 | Summary of species difference in cadherin expressing areas.

Species difference in cadherin expressing areas

	Vocal learners	Non-learners
Telencephalic vocal areas	5/12 (42%)	_
	0/12 (0%)	-
Surrounding areas	2/12 (17%)	1/12 (8%)
	1/12 (8%)	4/12 (33%)
Vocal areas in the brainstem	4/12 (33%)	4/12 (33%)
	2/12 (17%)	2/12 (17%)
Visual areas	1/18 (6%)	0/18 (0%)
	1/18 (6%)	2/18 (11%)
Auditory areas	0/27 (0%)	5/27 (19%)
	11/27 (41%)	2/27 (7%)
Other areas	1/21 (5%)	1/21 (5%)
	2/21 (10%)	0/21 (0%)

The value shown in bold indicates the percentage of species difference in cadherin expressions that may be due to phylogenic differences, and the value shown in light letters indicates the percentage of species difference that may be due to developmental stage differences among species. Note that diverse cadherin expressions are prominent in vocal and auditory areas.

culture neurons revealed that cad6B increased but cad7 decreased the number of spines and frequency of miniature excitatory post synaptic current (mEPSC; our unpublished data). Therefore, the diversity of cadherin expression may modulate neuronal activity

REFERENCES

- Bauer, E. E., Coleman, M. J., Roberts, T. F., Roy, A., Prather, J. F., and Mooney, R. (2008). A synaptic basis for auditoryvocal integration in the songbird. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 1509–1522.
- Benowitz, L. I., and Karten, H. J. (1976). Organization of the tectofugal pathway in the pigeon: a retrograde transport study, J. Comp. Neurol. 167, 503–520.
- Bolhuis, J. J., and Gahr, M. (2006). Neural mechanisms of birdsong memory. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 7, 347–357.
- Bolhuis, J. J., Okanoya, K., and Scharff, C. (2010). Twitter evolution: converging mechanisms in birdsong and human speech. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 11, 747–759.
- Brainard, M. S., and Doupe, A. J. (2000). Interruption of a basal ganglia-forebrain circuit prevents plasticity of learned vocalizations. *Nature* 404, 762–766.
- Brauth, S. E., Heaton, J. T., Durand, S. E., Liang, W., and Hall, W. S. (1994). Functional anatomy of forebrain auditory pathways in the budgerigar (*Melopsittacus undulatus*). *Brain Behav. Evol.* 44, 210–233.
- Correia, M. J., Eden, A. R., Westlund, K. N., and Coulter, J. D. (1982). Organization of ascending auditory pathways in the pigeon (*Columba livia*) as determined

by autoradiographic methods. *Brain Res.* 234, 205–212.

- Durand, S. E., Heaton, J. T., Amateau, S. K., and Brauth, S. E. (1997). Vocal control pathways through the anterior forebrain of a parrot (*Melopsittacus undulatus*). J. Comp. Neurol. 13, 179–206.
- Ellegren, H. (1996). First gene on the avian W chromosome (CHD) provides a tag for universal sexing of non-ratite birds. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* 263, 1635–1641.
- Farabaugh, S. M., and Wild, J. M. (1997). Reciprocal connections between primary and secondary auditory pathways in the telencephalon of the budgerigar (*Melopsittacus undulatus*). *Brain Res.* 747, 18–25.
- Farries, M. A. (2001). The oscine song system considered in the context of the avian brain: lessons learned from comparative neurobiology. *Brain Behav. Evol.* 58, 80–100.
- Feenders, G., Liedvogel, M., Rivas, M., Zapka, M., Horita, H., Hara, E., Wada, K., Mouritsen, H., and Jarvis, E. D. (2008). Molecular mapping of movement-associated areas in the avian brain: a motor theory for vocal learning origin. *PLoS ONE* 3, e1768. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0001768
- Fortune, E. S., and Margoliash, D. (1995). Parallel pathways and convergence onto HVc and adjacent neostriatum of

and plasticity to generate diversification in the processes of vocal learning and production. Glutamate receptors also showed diverse expression in the vocal system among three vocal learners (Wada et al., 2004). It appears that transcription factors induce the expression of various genes involved in synapse formation of vocal control nuclei, and subsequently, that the differential expression of synaptic genes such as neurotransmitter receptors and cadherins modulate neuronal activity and plasticity in vocal control nuclei to create species-specific vocal behaviors and evolution.

Many vocal control-area-related genes have been recently identified in the zebra finch and Bengalese finch using comprehensive cDNA chip gene expression analysis (Wade et al., 2004; Lombardino et al., 2005; Wada et al., 2006; Li et al., 2007; Lovell et al., 2008; Replogle et al., 2008; Kato and Okanoya, 2010), and the genomic sequence of the zebra finch has been recently published (Warrant et al., 2010). Using this new technology and knowledge, similarities and differences among vocal learners and non-learners will become clear, and the molecular mechanisms of avian vocal system evolution will be elucidated.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Drs. Masatoshi Takeichi for reagent, and the Support Unit for Bio-material Analysis, RIKEN BSI Research Resources Center, for DNA sequence analysis. Eiji Matsunaga was supported by RIKEN Special Postdoctoral researcher program, Grant-in-aid for young scientist (B) 21700365 from Japan Society of promotion of Science, and Takeda Science Foundation.

adult zebra finches (*Taeniopygia gut-tata*). J. Comp. Neurol. 360, 413–441.

- Gahr, M. (2000). Neural song control system of hummingbirds: comparison to swifts, vocal learning (Songbirds) and nonlearning (Suboscines) passerines, and vocal learning (Budgerigars) and nonlearning (Dove, owl, gull, quail, chicken) nonpasserines. J. Comp. Neurol. 426,182–196.
- Hackett, S. J., Kimball, R. T., Reddy, S., Bowie, R. C., Braun, E. L., Braun, M. J., Chojnowski, J. L., Cox, W. A., Han, K. L., Harshman, J., Huddleston, C. J., Marks, B. D., Miglia, K. J., Moore, W. S., Sheldon, F. H., Steadman, D. W., Witt, C. C., and Yuri, T. (2008). A phylogenomic study of birds reveals their evolutionary history. *Science* 320, 1763–1768.
- Heyers, D., Manns, M., Luksch, H., Güntürkün, O., and Mouritsen, H. (2007). A visual pathway links brain structures active during magnetic compass orientation in migratory birds. *PLoS ONE* 2, e937. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0000937
- Inuzuka, H., Miyatani, S., and Takeichi, M. (1991). R-cadherin: a novel Ca²⁺dependent cell-cell adhesion molecule expressed in the retina. *Neuron* 7, 1–20.
- Jarvis, E. D. (2004). Learned birdsong and the neurobiology of human language. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 1016, 749–777.

- Jarvis, E. D., and Mello, C.V. (2000). Molecular mapping of brain areas involved in parrot vocal communication. J. Comp. Neurol. 419, 1–31.
- Jarvis, E. D., Ribeiro, S., da Silva, M. L., Ventura, D., Vielliard, J., and Mello, C. V. (2000). Behaviourally driven gene expression reveals song nuclei in hummingbird brain. *Nature* 406, 628–632.
- Kato, M., and Okanoya, K. (2010). Molecular characterization of the song control nucleus HVC in Bengalese finch brain. *Brain Res.* 1360, 56–76.
- Konishi, M. (1965). The role of auditory feedback in the control of vocalization in the white-crowned sparrow. Z. *Tierpsychol.* 22, 770–783.
- Li, X., Wang, X. J., Tannenhauser, J., Podell, S., Mukherjee, P., Hertel, M., Biane, J., Masuda, S., Nottebohm, F., and Gaasterland, T. (2007). Genomic resources for songbird research and their use in characterizing gene expression during brain development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 6834–6839.
- Lombardino, A. J., Li, X. C., Hertel, M., and Nottebohm, F. (2005). Replaceable neurons and neurodegenerative disease share depressed UCHL1 levels. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 8036–8041.
- Lovell, P. V., Clayton, D. F., Replogle, K. L., and Mello, C. V. (2008). Birdsong

"Transcriptomics": neurochemical specializations of the Oscine song system. *PLoS ONE* 3, e3440. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0003440

- Manabe, T., Togashi, H., Uchida, N., Suzuki, S. C., Hayakawa, Y., Yamamoto, M., Yoda, H., Miyakawa, T., Takeichi, M., and Chisaka, O. (2000). Loss of cadherin-11 adhesion receptor enhances plastic changes in hippocampal synapses and modifies behavioral responses. *Mol. Cell Neurosci.* 15, 534–546.
- Margoliash, D., Fortune, E. S., Sutter, M. L., Yu, A. C., Wren-Hardin, B. D., and Dave, A. (1994). Distributed representation in the song system of oscines: evolutionary implications and functional consequences. *Brain Behav Evol* 44, 247–264
- Matsunaga, E., Kato, M., and Okanoya, K. (2008). Comparative analysis of gene expressions among avian brains: a molecular approach to the evolution of vocal learning. *Brain Res. Bull.* 75, 474–479.
- Matsunaga, E., and Okanoya, K. (2008a). Expression analysis of cadherins in the songbird brain: relationship to vocal system evolution. J. Comp. Neurol. 508, 329–342.
- Matsunaga, E., and Okanoya, K. (2008b). Vocal area-related expression of the androgen receptor in the budgerigar (*Melopsittacus undulatus*) brain. *Brain Res.* 1208, 87–94.
- Matsunaga, E., and Okanoya, K. (2008c). Cadherins and vocal learning in avian species. *Soc. Neurosci. Abstr.* 38, 80, 87.
- Matsunaga, E., and Okanoya, K. (2009a). Vocal control area-related expression of neuropilin-1, plexin-A4, and the ligand semaphorin-3A has implications for the evolution of the avian vocal system. *Dev. Growth Differ.* 51, 45–54.
- Matsunaga, E., and Okanoya, K. (2009b). Evolution and diversity in avian vocal system: an Evo-Devo model from the morphological and behavioral perspectives. *Dev. Growth Differ*. 51, 355–367.
- Mello, C. V., Vates, G. E., Okuhata, S., and Nottebohm, F. (1998). Descending auditory pathways in the adult male zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). J. Comp. Neurol. 395, 137–160.
- Mey, J., and Thanos, S. (2000). Development of the visual system of the chick. I. Cell differentiation and histogenesis. *Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev.* 32, 343–379.

- Nakagawa, S., and Takeichi, M. (1995). Neural crest cell-cell adhesion controlled by sequential and subpopulationspecific expression of novel cadherins. *Development* 121, 1321–1332.
- Nottebohm, F., Kelley, D. B., and Paton, J. A. (1982). Connections of vocal control nuclei in the canary telencephalon. J. Comp. Neurol. 207, 344–357.
- Nottebohm, F., Stokes, T. M., and Leonard, C. M. (1976). Central control of song in the canary, *Serinus canarius. J. Comp. Neurol.* 165, 457–486.
- Plummer, T. K., and Striedter, G. F. (2002). Brain lesions that impair vocal imitation in adult budgerigars. *J. Neurobiol.* 53, 413–428.
- Replogle, K., Arnold, A. P., Ball, G. F., Band, M., Bensch, S., Brenowitz, E. A., Dong, S., Drnevich, J., Ferris, M., George, J. M., Gong, G., Hasselquist, D., Hernandez, A. G., Kim, R., Lewin, H. A., Liu, L., Lovell, P. V., Mello, C. V., Naurin, S., Rodriguez-Zas, S., Thimmapuram, J., Wade, J., and Clayton, D. F. (2008). The songbird neurogenomics (SoNG) initiative: community-based tools and strategies for study of brain gene function and evolution. *BMC Genomics* 9, 131. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-9-131
- Redies, C., Medina, L., and Puelles, L. (2001). Cadherin expression by embryonic divisions and derived gray matter structures in the telencephalon of the chicken. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 438, 253–285.
- Reiner, A., Perkel, D. J., Bruce, L. L., Butler, A. B., Csillag, A., Kuenzel, W., Medina, L., Paxinos, G., Shimizu, T., Striedter, G., Wild, M., Ball, G. F., Durand, S., Gunturkun, O., Lee, D. W., Mello, C. V., Powers, A., White, S. A., Hough, G., Kubikova, L., Smulders, T. V., Wada, K., Dugas-Ford, J., Husband, S., Yamamoto, K., Yu, J., Siang, C., Jarvis, E. D., and Avian Brain Nomenclature Forum. (2004). Revised nomenclature for avian telencephalon and some related brainstem nuclei. J. Comp. Neurol. 473, 377–414.
- Shimizu, T., and Karten, H. J. (1993). The Avian Visual System and the Evolution of the Neocortex. Vision, Brain, and Behavior in Birds. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Striedter, G. F. (1994). The vocal control pathways in budgerigars differ from

those in songbirds. J. Comp. Neurol. 343, 35–56.

- Suzuki, S. C., Furue, H., Koga, K., Jiang, N., Nohmi, M., Shimazaki, Y., Katoh-Fukui, Y., Yokoyama, M., Yoshimura, M., and Takeichi, M. (2007). Cadherin-8 is required for the first relay synapses to receive functional inputs from primary sensory afferents for cold sensation. J. Neurosci. 27, 3466–3476.
- Suzuki, S. C., Inoue, T., Kimura, Y., Tanaka, T., and Takeichi, M. (1997). Neuronal circuits are subdivided by differential expression of type-II classic cadherins in postnatal mouse brains. *Mol. Cell Neurosci.* 9, 433–447.
- Takeichi, M. (2007). The cadherin superfamily in neuronal connections and interactions. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 8, 11–20.
- Wada, K., Howard, J. T., McConnell, P., Whitney, O., Lints, T., Rivas, M. V., Horita, H., Patterson, M. A., White, S. A., Scharff, C., Haesler, S., Zhao, S., Sakaguchi, H., Hagiwara, M., Shiraki, T., Hirozane-Kishikawa, T., Skene, P., Hayashizaki, Y., Carninci, P., and Jarvis, E. D. (2006). A molecular neuroethological approach for identifying and characterizing a cascade of behaviorally regulated genes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 15212–15217.
- Wada, K., Sakaguchi, H., Jarvis, E. D., and Hagiwara, M. (2004). Differential expression of glutamate receptors in avian neural pathways for learned vocalization. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 476, 44–64.
- Wade, J., Peabody, C., Coussens, P., Tempelman, R. J., Clayton, D. F., Liu, L., Arnold, A. P., and Agate, R. (2004). A cDNA microarray from the telencephalon of juvenile male and female zebra finches. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 138, 199–206.
- Warrant, W. C., Clayton, D. F., Ellegren, H., Arnold, A. P., Hillier, L. W., Künstner, A., Searle, S., White, S., Vilella, A. J., Fairley, S., Heger, A., Kong, L., Ponting, C. P., Jarvis, E. D., Mello, C. V., Minx, P., Lovell, P., Velho, T. A., Ferris, M., Balakrishnan, C. N., Sinha, S., Blatti, C., London, S. E., Li, Y., Lin, Y. C., George, J., Sweedler, J., Southey, B., Gunaratne, P., Watson, M., Nam, K., Backström, N., Smeds, L., Nabholz, B., Itoh, Y., Whitney, O., Pfenning, A. R., Howard, J., Völker, M., Skinner, B.M.,

Griffin, D. K., Ye, L., McLaren, W.M., Flicek, P., Quesada, V., Velasco, G., Lopez-Otin, C., Puente, X. S., Olender, T., Lancet, D., Smit, A. F., Hubley, R., Konkel, M. K., Walker, J.A., Batzer, M.A., Gu, W., Pollock, D. D., Chen, L., Cheng, Z., Eichler, E. E., Stapley, J., Slate, J., Ekblom, R., Birkhead, T., Burke, T., Burt, D., Scharff, C., Adam, I., Richard, H., Sultan, M., Soldatov, A., Lehrach, H., Edwards, S. V., Yang, S. P., Li, X., Graves, T., Fulton, L., Nelson, J., Chinwalla, A., Hou, S., Mardis, E. R., and Wilson, R. K. (2010). The genome of a songbird. *Nature* 464, 757–762.

- Wild, J. M., Arends, J. J., and Zeigler, H. P. (1984). A trigeminal sensorimotor circuit for pecking, grasping and feeding in the pigeon (Columba livia). *Brain Res.* 300, 146–151.
- Wild, J. M., and Farabaugh, S. M. (1996). Organization of afferent and efferent projections of the nucleus basalis prosencephali in a passerine, *Taeniopygia* guttata. J. Comp. Neurol. 365, 306–328.
- Wild, J. M., Reinke, H., and Farabaugh, S. M. (1997). A non-thalamic pathway contributes to a whole body map in the brain of the budgerigar. *Brain Res.* 755, 137–141.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 30 October 2010; paper pending published: 29 December 2010; accepted: 07 April 2011; published online: 20 April 2011.

Citation: Matsunaga E and Okanoya K (2011) Comparative gene expression analysis among vocal learners (Bengalese finch and budgerigar) and non-learners (quail and ring dove) reveals variable cadherin expressions in the vocal system. Front. Neuroanat. 5:28. doi: 10.3389/ fnana.2011.00028

Copyright © 2011 Matsunaga and Okanoya. This is an open-access article subject to a non-exclusive license between the authors and Frontiers Media SA, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and other Frontiers conditions are complied with.