



Inhibition of Microglial TGFβ Signaling Increases Expression of *Mrc1*

Alexander von Ehr¹, Abdelraheim Attaai^{1,2}, Nicolas Neidert¹, Phani Sankar Potru³, Tamara Ruß³, Tanja Zöller³ and Björn Spittau^{3,4*}

¹ Department of Molecular Embryology, Faculty of Medicine, Institute for Anatomy and Cell Biology, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany, ² Department of Anatomy and Histology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Assiut University, Assiut, Egypt, ³ Institute of Anatomy, University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany, ⁴ Centre for Translational Neurosciences Rostock, Rostock, Germany

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Kyoungho Suk, Kyungpook National University, South Korea

Reviewed by:

Jiawei Zhou, Institute of Neuroscience (CAS), China Akira Monji, Saga University, Japan Rommy Von Bernhardi, Pontifical Catholic University of Chile, Chile

*Correspondence: Björn Spittau bjoern.spittau@med.uni-rostock.de

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Non-Neuronal Cells, a section of the journal Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience

Received: 27 November 2019 Accepted: 04 March 2020 Published: 31 March 2020

Citation:

von Ehr A, Attaai A, Neidert N, Potru PS, Ruß T, Zöller T and Spittau B (2020) Inhibition of Microglial TGFβ Signaling Increases Expression of Mrc1. Front. Cell. Neurosci. 14:66. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2020.00066 Microglia are constantly surveying their microenvironment and rapidly react to impairments by changing their morphology, migrating toward stimuli and adopting gene expression profiles characterizing their activated state. The increased expression of the M2-like marker Mannose receptor 1 (Mrc1), which is also referred to as CD206, in microglia has been reported after M2-like activation in vitro and in vivo. Mrc1 is a 175kDa transmembrane pattern recognition receptor which binds a variety of carbohydrates and is involved in the pinocytosis and the phagocytosis of immune cells, including microglia, and thought to contribute to a neuroprotective microglial phenotype. Here we analyzed the effects of TGF^β signaling on *Mrc1* expression in microglia *in vivo* and in vitro. Using C57BL/6 wild type and Cx3cr1^{CreERT2}:R26-YFP:Tgfbr2^{fl/fl} mice-derived microglia, we show that the silencing of TGFB signaling results in the upregulation of Mrc1, whereas recombinant TGF β 1 induced the delayed downregulation of Mrc1. Furthermore, chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments provided evidence that Mrc1 is not a direct Smad2/Smad4 target gene in microglia. Altogether our data indicate that the changes in Mrc1 expression after the activation or the silencing of microglial TGFβ signaling are likely to be mediated by modifications of the secondary intracellular signaling events influenced by TGF β signaling.

Keywords: microglia, Mrc1, CD206, TGF_β1, TGF_β signaling

INTRODUCTION

The central nervous system (CNS) is colonized by primitive macrophage precursors from the yolk sac (Ginhoux et al., 2010) during mid- and late-embryonic development, which further give rise to adult microglia involving PU.1- as well as IRF8-dependent signaling pathways (Kierdorf et al., 2013). The CSFR1/IL-34 receptor/ligand pair controls the homing of microglia toward the CNS parenchyma (Ginhoux et al., 2010; Greter et al., 2012), and perinatal microglia maturation is characterized by the establishment of a microglia-specific gene expression pattern involving genes such as *Olfml3*, *Tmem119*, *Hexb*, *Fcrls*, *Tgfbr1*, *P2ry12*, and *Gpr34*, which allows a clear discrimination between the microglia and the other macrophage populations (Gautier et al., 2012; Beutner et al., 2013; Chiu et al., 2013; Hickman et al., 2013). This molecular signature is dependent

1

on the presence of TGFB1 (Butovsky et al., 2014), which is expressed by neurons located close to the microglia and activates microglial TGF β signaling at postnatal day 7 (Attaai et al., 2018). Cellular TGFB1 effects are mediated after binding to TGF^β receptor type 2 (Tgfbr2), followed by the formation of a heteromeric complex including two TGF^β receptor type 2 and two TGF^β receptor type 1 (Tgfbr1) serine/threonine kinases (Yamashita et al., 1994). The proximity of the receptors induces the Tgfbr2-mediated phosphorylation of Tgfbr1, resulting in Tgfbr1-triggered recruitment and the activation of receptorassociated downstream mediators and transcription factors Smad2 and Smad3 (Wrana et al., 1994; Abdollah et al., 1997). Phosphorylated Smad2/Smad3 form a heterotrimeric complex with Smad4, which translocates to the nucleus where Smads interact with the GTCTG/CAGAC palindromic sequences of Smad-binding elements (SBE) located in the gene promoters, to control the expression of TGF_{β1} target genes (Massagué and Wotton, 2000).

Microglia represent the CNS-specific immune cell population, are involved in essential physiological CNS functions, and further participate in the development, the progression, and the resolution of pathological conditions (Prinz et al., 2011; Prinz and Priller, 2014). Microglia are constantly surveying their microenvironment and rapidly react to impairments or stimuli by changing their morphology, migrating toward the stimuli and adopting a gene expression profile characterizing their activated state (Butovsky and Weiner, 2018). In analogy to macrophages (Mosser and Edwards, 2008), microglia reactivity has been classified as M1-like and M2-like activation states (Prinz and Priller, 2014). Although these different microglia activation states can be induced in vitro by using M1-inducing cytokines such as IFNy (Zhou et al., 2015) and M2-inducing cytokines such as IL4 (Zhou et al., 2012), these distinct activation patterns do not seem to be applicable in vivo (Ransohoff, 2016). However, sophisticated phenotypic microglia characterizations have revealed that distinct temporal and spatial microglia activation states can be observed (Colton and Wilcock, 2010). Homeostatic, developmental white matter-associated, diseaseassociated, and ageing-associated gene expression patterns can be distinguished from each other, and considerable regional heterogeneity of microglia has been further revealed (Böttcher et al., 2019; Lloyd and Miron, 2019). We have recently reported that microglial TGF β signaling is essential to maintain a homeostatic microglia phenotype in vitro and in vivo (Spittau et al., 2013; Zöller et al., 2018b). Using RNAseqbased transcriptomic profiling of Tgfbr2-deficient microglia from $Cx3cr1^{CreERT2}$:R26-YFP: $Tgfbr2^{fl/fl}$ mice, we observed an upregulation of microglia activation and priming markers indicating an M1-like activation. Interestingly, we further demonstrated the increased expression of the M2-like marker Mannose receptor 1 (Mrc1), which is also referred to as CD206 in microglia with deficient TGF β signaling (Zöller et al., 2018b). Mrc1 is a 175-kDa transmembrane pattern recognition receptor which binds a variety of carbohydrates and is involved in the pinocytosis and the phagocytosis of immune cells including microglia (Stahl and Ezekowitz, 1998; Martinez-Pomares, 2012). The upregulation of Mrc1 in microglia has been reported after

M2-like activation in vitro and in vivo (Marzolo et al., 1999; Durafourt et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2012; Kobayashi et al., 2013) and thought to contribute to a neuroprotective microglial phenotype. However, it remains unclear what the functional outcome of Mrc1 expression in microglia is. In the present study, we analyzed the effects of TGF^β signaling on Mrc1 expression in microglia. Using C57BL/6 wild type and Cx3cr1^{CreERT2}:R26-YFP:Tgfbr2^{fl/fl} mice-derived microglia, we demonstrate that silencing of TGF β signaling results in the strong upregulation of Mrc1, whereas treatment with recombinant TGFB1 leads to a delayed downregulation of Mrc1. Furthermore, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments provided evidence that Mrc1 is not a direct Smad2/Smad4 target gene in microglia. Altogether our data indicate that changes in Mrc1 expression after activation or silencing of microglial TGF^β signaling are likely to be mediated by modifications of secondary intracellular signaling events influenced by TGFβ signaling.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

C57BL/6Rj mice, for generation of primary microglia cultures and acute isolation of microglia at different postnatal ages, were obtained from Janvier (Le Genest-Saint-Isle, France). Cx3cr1^{CreERT2}:R26-YFP:Tgfbr2^{fl/fl} mice, for analysis of TGFβ signaling-deficient microglia, were generated as previously reported (Zöller et al., 2018b). All mice were housed at $22 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C under a 12-h light/dark cycle with *ad libitum* access to water and food. The animal procedures were performed following the German Federal Animal Welfare Law and the local ethical guidelines of the University of Freiburg. All experimental steps involving mice have been approved by the animal experimentation committee of the University of Freiburg and the Regierungspräsidium Freiburg [G-13/57 (Tgfbr2-MG-KO), X-15/01A (primary microglia)].

Microglia Cultures

Primary microglia were prepared as previously described (Spittau et al., 2013). Briefly, brains from P0/1 C57BL/6 mice (Janvier) or Cx3cr1^{CreERT2}:R26-YFP:Tgfbr2^{fl/fl} mice were washed with icecold Hank's balanced salt solution (BSS) (PAA, Cölbe, Germany) and the meninges and the vessels were removed. The cleaned brains were collected in ice-cold Hank's BSS and enzymatically dissociated using 1× Trypsin-EDTA (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany) for 10 min at 37°C. An equal amount of ice-cold fetal calf serum (FCS) together with DNase (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) at a final concentration of 0.5 mg/ml was added before dissociation with Pasteur pipettes. The dissociated cells were centrifuged, collected, and resuspended in DMEM/F12 medium containing 10% FCS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (PAA, Cölbe, Germany). Finally, the suspensions were transferred to poly-D-lysine-coated (Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany) tissue culture flasks with a density of two to three brains per 75 cm² or one brain per 25-cm² flask. The cultures were incubated in 5% CO₂/95% humidified air atmosphere at 37°C. At days in vitro 2 and 3, the cultures were washed twice

with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and the fresh culture medium was added. After 7-10 days in culture, the microglia were harvested from adherent astrocytes by shaking at 130 rpm for 1 h. The isolated microglia were seeded into different culture dishes or plates according to the experimental design. Treatment with TGF^{β1} (Peprotech, Hamburg, Germany) was performed (2, 6, and 24 h) with a final concentration of 5 ng/ml. For inhibition of microglial TGF^β signaling, a TGF^β receptor type I inhibitor (TβRI) inhibitor (#616454, Calbiochem, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) at a final concentration of 500 mM was used. Five-day treatments were performed in 75-cm² culture flasks containing mixed glia cultures under serum-containing (10% FCS) conditions. Afterward, microglia were shaken off and used for protein extraction or immunocytochemistry. More than 95% of the isolated cells were microglia as assessed by Iba1 and/or isolectin stainings as previously reported (Spittau et al., 2013). The following densities were used for the in vitro experiments involving primary microglia: 700,000 cells/6-cm dish and 70,000 microglia/10-mm glass coverslip. For western blots, microglia were shaken off from 75-cm² flasks after treatment for 5 days, yielding approximately 1×10^6 cells/flask.

Tgfbr2-Deficient Microglia

 $Cx3cr1^{CreERT2}$:R26-YFP:Tgfbr2^{fl/fl} mice were used to induce microglia-specific silencing of TGF β signaling *in vivo* and *in vitro* as recently reported (Zöller et al., 2018b). Briefly, Cre activation was induced *in vivo* by two intraperitoneal injections of 8 mg tamoxifen (T5648, Sigma-Aldrich) solved in 200 µl corn oil (C8267, Sigma-Aldrich) in 6- to 8-week-old mice at two time points 48 h apart. Recombination *in vitro* was induced after treatment of the 25 cm²-culture flasks (individual brains) with 4-hydroxytamoxifen (H7904, Sigma-Aldrich) at a concentration of 1 µM for 5 days. Ethanol was used as the solvent control in all *in vitro* recombination experiments.

BV2 Cell Culture

The murine microglia cell line BV2 was preserved in DMEM/F12 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FCS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (PAA, Cölbe, Germany), and the cells were incubated at 37°C in a 5%-CO₂-containing 95% humidified air atmosphere. Before the treatments with 5 ng/ml TGF β 1 (Peprotech, Hamburg, Germany) for ChIP experiments, 1 × 10⁶ cells/10 cm dish were washed twice with PBS and kept under serum-free conditions for at least 2 h.

RNA Isolation and Reverse Transcription

Total RNA was extracted from primary microglia cultures using TRizol Reagent (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA from acutely isolated microglia was isolated using the RNeasy kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quality and concentration were determined using the NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific, Germany). One microgram of total RNA per sample was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the Protoscript[®] II First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (#E6560S, New England Biolabs, Frankfurt, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using the CFX ConnectTM System (Bio-Rad, München, Germany) in combination with the SYBR Green GoTag® gPCR Kit (A6002, Promega, Madison, WI, United States). Five microliters of cDNA template was used in the 25-µl reaction mixture. The results were analyzed using the CFX ConnectTM System (Bio-Rad, München, Germany) Software and the comparative CT method. All data are presented as $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ for the gene of interest normalized to the housekeeping gene Gapdh and presented as fold change relative to the control groups. The following primers have been used throughout this study: Mrc1for 5'-TCTTTGCCTTTCCCAGTCTCC-3', Mrc1rev 5'-TGACACCCAGCGGAATTTC-3' (NM_008625.2), Gapdhfor 5'-GGCATTGCTCTCAATGACAA-3', Gapdhrev 5'-ATGTAGGCC ATGAGGTCCAC-3' (NM_001289726), Mrc1-SBE1for 5'-CTA GTGCTTGGAAAGCTGATGC-3', Mrc1-SBE1rev 5'-CTCCCC TTATCTCCAACACTACA-3' (NC 000068.7; Chr. 2; 14226266-14226244), Mrc1-SBE2for 5'-AACGGTGGGTCCCTTCT CA-3', Mrc1-SBE2rev 5'-GGCAGGTACACACTCATTTCC-3' (NC_000068.7; Chr. 2; 14228374-14228354), Mrc1-SBE3for 5'-CTTCTGATGCTTTCCAGCGAG-3' and Mrc1-SBE3rev 5'-GTAACCAAACGGAGGCCATT-3' (NC_000068.7; Chr. 2; 14229128-14229109).

Immunocytochemistry

Primary mouse microglia were shaken off from mixed glial cultures plated on glass coverslips and incubated for 24 h at 37°C to adhere. Subsequently, the cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min. After washing with PBS $(3 \times 5 \text{ min})$, the cells were blocked with PBS containing 10% normal goat serum and 0.1% Triton-X 100 (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) for 1 h. The microglia were incubated with anti-Mrc1 (sc-58987, Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) at 4°C overnight. After washing three times with PBS, the cells were incubated with Alexa Fluor-568-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:200, Cell Signaling Technology) for 2 h. fluorescein isothiocyanatecoupled tomatolectin (Sigma-Aldrich, Schnelldorf, Germany) was used as a microglial marker, and the nuclei were counterstained with 4'-6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). After final washing $(3 \times)$, the coverslips were mounted on objective slides using Fluoromount G mounting medium (SouthernBiotech). Fluorescence images were captured using the AxioPlan-2 microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). The fluorescence intensities of Mrc1 after immunocytochemistry were analyzed using the intensity measurement function of the ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States).

Protein Isolation and Immunoblotting

Proteins were isolated from primary microglia using RIPA Buffer (Cell Signaling Technology) and the concentrations were measured using PierceTM BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fischer Scientific) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Lysates (10 μ g total protein/lane) were loaded on Mini-PROTEAN Precast gels (Bio-Rad, München, Germany) for electrophoresis (~90 min at 80 V). The proteins were blotted for 10 min onto a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane using the Trans-Blot® TurboTM RTA Midi PVDF Transfer Kit for the Trans-Blot® TurboTM Transfer System. The membranes were washed with Tris-buffered saline and blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) in TBST for 2 h at room temperature. Subsequently, the membranes were incubated with primary antibody anti-Mrc1 [R&D, Wiesbaden, Germany (FAB2535C), 1:200] or anti-GAPDH [Cell Signaling Technology (#2118), 1:1,000] at 4°C overnight. Finally, the membranes were washed with TBST and incubated with horseraddish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated donkey anti-goat antibody (Abcam, 1:3,000) and/or HRP-conjugated goat antirabbit antibody (Cell Signaling, 1:10,000) for 2 h. The labeled proteins were detected using SignalFireTM ECL Reagent (Cell Signaling, #6883). All blots were captured using the ImageQuant LAS 4000 (GE Healthcare Life Sciences). Densitometric analysis of protein bands were performed using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States).

Microglia Isolation and Flow Cytometry

Deeply anesthetized (i.p., injections of ketamin/rompun) C57BL/6 mice were transcardially perfused with ice-cold PBS. After brain dissection and removal of meninges on absorbent paper, the brains were collected in cold buffer ($1 \times$ Hank's BSS, 1% BSA, and 1 mM EDTA), homogenized using a glass homogenizer and filtered through a 75-µm cell strainer (Falcon). The cells were centrifuged for 12 min at 300 \times g and 10°C, and the pellet was resuspended in 5 ml 37% Percoll (P1644, Sigma-Aldrich) in PBS, underlaid with 4 ml 70% Percoll and overlayed with 4 ml 30% Percoll in a 15-ml tube. Percoll gradients were centrifuged for 40 min at $600 \times g$ and 10° C without breaks. Finally, the microglia cell layer was collected from the 70 and 37% Percoll interface and transferred to PBS containing 1% FCS and centrifuged for 5 min at 200 g and 4°C. Microglia were stained with primary antibodies directed against F4/80 (5 µl, MCA497A488, AbD Serotech) and CD206 (5 µl, FAB2535C, R&D Systems) at 4°C for 15 min. Fc receptor blocking using TrueStain fcX (101319, Biolegend) was used to avoid unspecific antibody binding. The cells were washed and analyzed using the BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer (BD Biosciences).

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation

ChIP was performed as described by Attaai et al. (2018). The SimpleChIP Enzymatic Chromatin IP kit (Cell Signaling Technology, #9003) was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Moreover, 1×10^6 BV2 cells/10 cm cell culture dish were treated with TGF β 1 (5 ng/ml) for 2 h, and proteins were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 min. Chromatin digestion was performed with 0.25 μ l Micrococcal Nuclease (#10011, Cell Signaling Technology) for 20 min at 37°C. The nuclei were lysed with three sets of 20-s pulses using a Bioruptor sonicator (Diagenode, Liège, Belgium). Normal rabbit IgG (#2729, Cell Signaling Technology) as a negative control, anti-Histone H3 (#4620, Cell Signaling Technology) as a positive control as well as anti-Smad2 (#5339, Cell Signaling Technology) and anti-Smad4 (#38454, Cell Signaling Technology) were used

for immunoprecipitation. Chromatin was incubated overnight at 4°C and, after incubating with protein G magnetic beads for 2 h and washing in magnetic separation racks (Cell Signaling, #7017), elution of chromatin was achieved. Cross-linking was reversed using 2 µl Proteinase K (Cell Signaling, #10012) for 2 h at 65°C. Finally, DNA purification in spin columns was performed, and promoter fragments were amplified using qPCR. Data are expressed as $2^{-\Delta CT}$ for the SBE of interest normalized to the rabbit IgG isotype control.

Statistics

All data are given as means \pm SEM. Two-group analysis was performed using Students *t*-test. Multiple group analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple-comparison test. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software Inc.) and *P*-values <0.05 were considered as being statistically significant.

RESULTS

Number of Mrc1⁺ Microglia Decreases During Postnatal Central Nervous System Maturation

Using transcriptomic profiling of postnatal microglia, we have recently observed a decreased expression of Mrc1 from P0 to P28 (Attaai et al., 2018). To validate these observations on functional protein levels, flow cytometry was used to detect the numbers of Mrc1⁺ microglia at different postnatal stages. As shown in Figure 1A, microglia were acutely isolated from mice at postnatal day (P) 0, 7, 14, 21, and 28 using a Percoll gradient and subsequently stained with F4/80 and Mrc1 for flow cytometry analysis. As depicted in Figures 1B,C, we observed that 6.2% ($\pm 0.8\%$) of all F4/80⁺ microglia were positive for *Mrc1* at P0 and that the numbers of Mrc1⁺ microglia were similar at P7 $(5.12 \pm 0.74\%)$. Interestingly, a significant decrease in Mrc1⁺ microglia was detected at P14 ($3.1 \pm 0.39\%$), P21 ($2.14 \pm 0.11\%$), and P28 (2.04 \pm 0.25%) compared to the number of Mrc1⁺ cells at P0. Altogether these data indicate that the event which induces the downregulation of Mrc1 expression in microglia in vivo takes place between P7 and P14. It is noteworthy that we have recently determined P7 as the postnatal time point with active microglial TGF^β signaling in vivo (Attaai et al., 2018), and thus Mrc1 downregulation might be the result of Smad2/Smad4-mediated transcriptional regulation.

TGF β 1 Downregulates the Expression of Mrc1

In order to address whether TGF β 1 is directly able to regulate the transcription of *Mrc1*, primary microglia cultures from C57BL/6 mice were treated with recombinant human TGF β 1 (5 ng/ml) for the indicated time points (**Figure 2A**). Whereas treatment with TGF β 1 for 2 and 6 h did not result in significant changes of *Mrc1* transcription, a significant downregulation of *Mrc1* was observed after treatment with TGF β 1 induces a robust downregulation of *Mrc1* expression



in primary microglia. Moreover, the late time point of TGF β 1induced *Mrc1* transcriptional inhibition indicates that the *Mrc1* promoter sequences might not be directly regulated by the downstream mediators of TGF β signaling.

Inhibition of Microglial TGFβ Signaling Results in Upregulation of Mrc1 Expression

In the next step, we aimed to address the effect of silencing TGFB signaling on microglial Mrc1 expression in vivo and in vitro. Therefore, microglia from Cx3cr1^{CreERT2}:R26-YFP:Tgfbr2^{fl/fl} mice, after tamoxifen-induced recombination in vivo and in vitro, were used for the analysis of Mrc1 expression (Figure 3A). As shown in Figure 3B, microglia with conditional knockout of Tgfbr2 showed a significantly increased expression of Mrc1 in vivo. Similar results were observed after tamoxifeninduced knockout of Tgfbr2 in primary microglia from *Cx3cr1^{CreERT2}:R26-YFP:Tgfbr2^{fl/fl}* mice. 4-Hydroxytamoxifen treatment for 5 days resulted in a 67.15-fold (\pm 18.76) increase of *Mrc1* expression in recombined primary microglia (Figure 3C). In order to verify the observed transcriptional changes on protein levels, mixed glial cultures were treated with TBRI, recombinant TGF β 1, or DMSO as the solvent control for 5 days. Afterwards, the microglia were shaken off and used for protein isolation or plated on glass coverslips for immunocytochemistry (Figure 3D). As demonstrated in Figure 3E, immunoblotting revealed a significant increase in Mrc1 protein levels after TBRImediated inhibition of TGF β signaling and a significant decrease in Mrc1 protein levels after treatment with recombinant TGF^β1. These observations were confirmed using immunocytochemistry

against *Mrc1* and FITC-coupled tomatolectin staining of primary microglia. Whereas weak immunoreactivity for *Mrc1* was detectable in control microglia (**Figure 3F**), strong cytoplasmic and membrane staining was observed for *Mrc1* after T β RIinduced silencing of TGF β signaling (**Figure 3G**). Moreover, immunoreactivity for *Mrc1* was hardly visible after 5 days of incubation with recombinant TGF β 1. Taken together, these data clearly show that silencing of TGF β signaling either by transgenic (*Tgfbr2* knockout) or pharmacological (T β RI) approaches resulted in the strong upregulation of *Mrc1* in microglia.

Mrc1 Is Not a Direct TGFβ1 Target Gene

To figure out whether Mrc1 is a direct TGF_{β1} target gene in microglia, we used ChIP to analyze whether the downstream mediators of TGFB signaling Smad2 and/or Smad4 interact with Mrc1 promoter elements. In silico analysis of the Mrc1 promoter region revealed the presence of three putative SBE upstream of the transcriptional start site. However, these predicted SBEs contain the palindromic sequence CTCTC, which only partially resembles the GTCTG element for the sequence-specific transcription factors Smad2 and Smad4 (Figure 4A). Since very high cell numbers are needed for ChIP, we used the microglia cell line BV2 after TGF\u00b31 treatment to validate Smad2/Smad4 binding to the Mrc1 promoter (Figure 4B). As depicted in Figures 4C-E, qPCR-mediated detections of enrichment of SBEcontaining promoter fragments could only be observed after pulldown of Histone H3, which served as a positive control during all ChIP experiments performed. Neither pull-down with unspecific control IgG nor precipitation of Smad2 or Smad4 using ChIPvalidated antibodies resulted in the enrichment of genomic DNA containing one of the predicted putative SBEs. These data



demonstrate that Smad2 and Smad4 are not interacting with the putative SBEs upstream of the Mrc1 transcriptional start site and indicate that Mrc1 is not a direct TGF β 1 target gene in microglia.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we have demonstrated that TGF β 1 induces the downregulation of *Mrc1* expression, whereas silencing of TGF β signaling results in the strong upregulation of *Mrc1* expression in microglia. Moreover, we verified that neither Smad2 nor Smad4 interacts with predicted putative SBE in the upstream regulatory promoter region of *Mrc1*, indicating that TGF β 1-dependent changes in *Mrc1* expression are likely to be mediated by secondary intracellular events triggered by TGF β 1-Smad signaling.

Transcriptional upregulation of *Mrc1* has been shown in mouse macrophages (Martinez-Pomares et al., 2003), and several independent reports have further demonstrated the increased microglial expression of *Mrc1* in vitro and in vivo to be associated with an M2-like microglial activation phenotype. This activation

phenotype is commonly induced by IL4 or IL13 (Durafourt et al., 2012; Hu et al., 2012; Kobayashi et al., 2013). IL4, as well as IL13 signaling, is mediated by the receptor-induced activation of tyrosine kinases of the Janus family (Jak), resulting in recruitment, phosphorylation, and dimerization of Stat6 transcription factors which finally mediate the transcriptional control of IL4 and IL13 target genes (Parulekar et al., 2018). Interestingly, the in silico promoter analysis for putative SBEs has further revealed the presence of several putative Stat3/Stat5 binding elements (data not shown) which might be involved in the transcriptional upregulation of Mrc1 after silencing of TGF\u00c61Smad signaling. Stat3/Stat5 binding sites referred to as STAT-binding elements are usually located in enhancer/promoter regions and first introns of target genes and characterized by clusters of conserved binding motifs with an interferon gammaactivated site-like core sequence (TTCT/CNA/GGAA) (Hutchins et al., 2013; Wingelhofer et al., 2018). It is noteworthy that the activation of JNK/Stat3 signaling has been demonstrated during scar formation as induced by aspirin and was associated with the upregulation of Mrc1 (Wang et al., 2019). Furthermore, an increased expression of Mrc1 in macrophages was accompanied



FIGURE 3 Inhibition of microglial TGF β signaling results in the upregulation of Mrc1. (A) Schemes illustrating the workflow of tamoxifen-induced recombination and microglia isolation from adult *Cx3cr1^{CreERT2}:R26-YFP:Tgfbr2^{fl/fl}* mice as well as the tamoxifen-induced recombination and analysis of postnatal microglia *in vitro* isolated from P0 *Cx3cr1^{CreERT2}:R26-YFP:Tgfbr2^{fl/fl}* mice. (B) Expression of *Mrc1* in adult microglia with intact (wt) and disrupted TGF β signaling (cKO). (C) Cre/+ microglia showed a significantly increased expression of *Mrc1* after tamoxifen-induced recombination *in vitro*. (D) Scheme depicting TGF β receptor type I inhibitor and TGF β 1 treatment for the evaluation of *Mrc1* proteins *in vitro*. (E) Representative western blot and quantifications showing the significantly increased protein levels of *Mrc1* after inhibition of microglial TGF β signaling as well as significantly oncreased intensity after abrogation of TGF β 1 treatment for 5 days. (F) Quantifications of the *Mrc1* fluorescence intensities after immunocytochemistry reveal a significantly increased intensity after abrogation of TGF β signaling in the microglia and significant downregulation of *Mrc1* fluorescence intensities after TGF β 1 treatment. Data are given as means ± SEM from at least three independent experiments. *P*-values derived from Student's t-test (B) or one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple-comparison test (C), (E), (F) are * *p* < 0.05. Immunocytochemistry showing the expression of *Mrc1* in primary microglia after treatment for 5 days. Whereas the control microglia (G) and the TGF β 1-treated cells (I) show weak immunoreactivity for *Mrc1*, inhibition of TGF β signaling resulted in increased *Mrc1* staining intensity (H). FITC-coupled tomatolectin was used as a microglia marker. Scale bars represent 50 μ m.

by enhanced Jak2–Stat3 pathway activation (Huang et al., 2019), indicating that Stat3 is a potential positive regulator of Mrc1 expression. The activation of Stat3 has been described to negatively regulate IFN type I responses induced by Stat1 and

Stat2 signaling (Wang et al., 2011). IFN-induced Stat3 activation has been reported to inhibit the expression of inflammatory factors *Cxcl9* and *Cxcl10* and further fostering the development of antiviral responses (Ho and Ivashkiv, 2006). We have recently



demonstrated that TGF β 1 can reduce Stat1 signaling in primary microglia (Zhou et al., 2015), and it is possible that the silencing of TGF β signaling results in the over-activation of Stat1 responses which are compensated by increased Stat3 activation in microglia. Interestingly, the inhibition of TGF β signaling in microglia resulted in the increased secretion of CCL2 and CXCL10, and treatment with recombinant TGF β 1 led to a strong downregulation of Cxcl9, Ccl2, and Cxcl10 (Zöller et al., 2018b). It is noteworthy that it has recently been demonstrated that the CCL2–CCR2 axis is triggering the activation of microglial Stat3 signaling in epileptic mice (Tian et al., 2017). According to the abovementioned findings, *Mrc1* upregulation after inhibition of TGF β signaling *in vitro* and *in vivo* might be mediated by increased CCL2 release and CCL2–CCR2-driven Stat3 activation in microglia. However, the underlying moelcular signaling events mediating the regulation of microglial *Mrc1* expression need to be further analyzed.

The functional features of Mrc1 involve the binding of glycans and glycoproteins with various configurations of mannose, fucose, and N-acetylglucosamine (Ezekowitz et al., 1991; Kruskal et al., 1992) as well as molecules with the sulfated carbohydrate structure *SO4-4*-GalNAc β 1,4GlcNAc β 1,2Man α (Fiete et al., 1998). These capacities allow the interaction of *Mrc1* with glycosylated lysosomal hydrolases (Young et al., 1991), neutrophil granulocyte-derived myeloperoxidase (Shepherd and Hoidal, 1990), and the tissue plasminogen activator (Noorman et al., 1995), suggesting a crucial role of *Mrc1* during the resolution of inflammatory responses. Moreover, *Mrc1* can further interact with the complex surface polysaccharides of microorganisms including bacteria (Pacheco-Soares et al., 1992;

Schlesinger, 1993) and viruses (Reading et al., 2000; Lai et al., 2009), thus resulting in their phagocytosis. The ability of Mrc1 to bind to apoptotic cells and trigger their phagocytic engulfment might be one of the most important functions of microglial Mrc1 in the CNS (Martinez-Pomares, 2012). This might explain why we have observed a higher microglial Mrc1 expression at early postnatal time points which are associated with developmental ontogenetic cell death. Interestingly, we have previously shown that aged cortical microglia also express higher levels of Mrc1 (Zöller et al., 2018a), which might also be part of a microglial response on programmed cell death during aging (Tower, 2015). Human cortical microglia have been demonstrated to express higher levels of Mrc1 compared to other brain regions (Böttcher et al., 2019). However, it remains unclear what microglia functions Mrc1 is mediating in the CNS. Recent reports have described a role for Mrc1 during IL4-induced inhibition of neuroinflammation (Casella et al., 2016) and Mrc1 upregulation during endotoxin tolerance of BV2 cells after lipopolysaccharide preconditioning (Qin et al., 2016). Taken together, the anti-inflammatory properties have been described for Mrc1 and the upregulation of microglial Mrc1 after inhibition of TGFB signaling might be the result of a compensatory mechanism to prevent the over-activation of the microglia. Our data demonstrate that Smad2/Smad4 are not directly regulating the microglial expression of Mrc1 and suggest that secondary signaling events guide the transcriptional control of Mrc1. Finally, further studies are necessary to elucidate the functional features of microglial Mrc1 expression under physiological and pathological conditions.

REFERENCES

- Abdollah, S., Macías-Silva, M., Tsukazaki, T., Hayashi, H., Attisano, L., and Wrana, J. L. (1997). TbetaRI phosphorylation of Smad2 on Ser465 and Ser467 is required for Smad2-Smad4 complex formation and signaling. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272, 27678–27685. doi: 10.1074/jbc.272.44.27678
- Attaai, A., Neidert, N., von Ehr, A., Potru, P. S., Zöller, T., and Spittau, B. (2018). Postnatal maturation of microglia is associated with alternative activation and activated TGF β signaling. *Glia* 66, 1695–1708. doi: 10.1002/glia.23332
- Beutner, C., Linnartz-Gerlach, B., Schmidt, S. V., Beyer, M., Mallmann, M. R., Staratschek-Jox, A., et al. (2013). Unique transcriptome signature of mouse microglia. *Glia* 61, 1429–1442. doi: 10.1002/glia.22524
- Böttcher, C., Schlickeiser, S., Sneeboer, M. A. M., Kunkel, D., Knop, A., Paza, E., et al. (2019). Human microglia regional heterogeneity and phenotypes determined by multiplexed single-cell mass cytometry. *Nat. Neurosci.* 22, 78–90. doi: 10.1038/s41593-018-0290-2
- Butovsky, O., Jedrychowski, M. P., Moore, C. S., Cialic, R., Lanser, A. J., Gabriely, G., et al. (2014). Identification of a unique TGF-β-dependent molecular and functional signature in microglia. *Nat. Neurosci.* 17, 131–143. doi: 10.1038/nn. 3599
- Butovsky, O., and Weiner, H. L. (2018). Microglial signatures and their role in health and disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 19, 622–635. doi: 10.1038/s41583-018-0057-5
- Casella, G., Garzetti, L., Gatta, A. T., Finardi, A., Maiorino, C., Ruffini, F., et al. (2016). IL4 induces IL6-producing M2 macrophages associated to inhibition of neuroinflammation in vitro and in vivo. *J. Neuroinflammation* 13:139. doi: 10.1186/s12974-016-0596-5
- Chiu, I. M., Morimoto, E. T. A., Goodarzi, H., Liao, J. T., O'Keeffe, S., Phatnani, H. P., et al. (2013). A neurodegeneration-specific gene-expression signature of acutely isolated microglia from an amyotrophic lateral sclerosis mouse model. *Cell Rep.* 4, 385–401. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2013.06.018

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets generated for this study are included in the article/supplementary material.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Animal experimentation committee of the University of Freiburg and the Regierungspräsidium Freiburg (G-13/57, X-15/01A).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

BS conceived and designed the study. AE, AA, NN, PP, TR, and TZ performed the experiments. AE and BS wrote the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was funded by grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, SP 1555/2-1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors further thank Ludmila Butenko for her excellent technical assistance.

- Colton, C. A., and Wilcock, D. M. (2010). Assessing activation states in microglia. CNS Neurol. Disord. Drug Targets 9, 174–191. doi: 10.2174/ 187152710791012053
- Durafourt, B. A., Moore, C. S., Zammit, D. A., Johnson, T. A., Zaguia, F., Guiot, M.-C., et al. (2012). Comparison of polarization properties of human adult microglia and blood-derived macrophages. *Glia* 60, 717–727. doi: 10.1002/glia. 22298
- Ezekowitz, R. A., Williams, D. J., Koziel, H., Armstrong, M. Y., Warner, A., Richards, F. F., et al. (1991). Uptake of Pneumocystis carinii mediated by the macrophage mannose receptor. *Nature* 351, 155–158. doi: 10.1038/351155a0
- Fiete, D. J., Beranek, M. C., and Baenziger, J. U. (1998). A cysteine-rich domain of the "mannose" receptor mediates GalNAc-4-SO4 binding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 95, 2089–2093. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.5.2089
- Gautier, E. L., Shay, T., Miller, J., Greter, M., Jakubzick, C., Ivanov, S., et al. (2012). Gene-expression profiles and transcriptional regulatory pathways that underlie the identity and diversity of mouse tissue macrophages. *Nat. Immunol.* 13, 1118–1128. doi: 10.1038/ni.2419
- Ginhoux, F., Greter, M., Leboeuf, M., Nandi, S., See, P., Gokhan, S., et al. (2010). Fate mapping analysis reveals that adult microglia derive from primitive macrophages. *Science* 330, 841–845. doi: 10.1126/science.119 4637
- Greter, M., Lelios, I., Pelczar, P., Hoeffel, G., Price, J., Leboeuf, M., et al. (2012). Stroma-derived interleukin-34 controls the development and maintenance of langerhans cells and the maintenance of microglia. *Immunity* 37, 1050–1060. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2012.11.001
- Hickman, S. E., Kingery, N. D., Ohsumi, T. K., Borowsky, M. L., Wang, L.-C., Means, T. K., et al. (2013). The microglial sensome revealed by direct RNA sequencing. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 1896–1905. doi: 10.1038/nn.3554
- Ho, H. H., and Ivashkiv, L. B. (2006). Role of STAT3 in type I interferon responses. Negative regulation of STAT1-dependent inflammatory gene activation. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 14111–14118. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M511797200

- Hu, X., Li, P., Guo, Y., Wang, H., Leak, R. K., Chen, S., et al. (2012). Microglia/macrophage polarization dynamics reveal novel mechanism of injury expansion after focal cerebral ischemia. *Stroke* 43, 3063–3070. doi: 10.1161/ STROKEAHA.112.659656
- Huang, S.-P., Guan, X., Kai, G.-Y., Xu, Y.-Z., Xu, Y., Wang, H.-J., et al. (2019). Broussonin E suppresses LPS-induced inflammatory response in macrophages via inhibiting MAPK pathway and enhancing JAK2-STAT3 pathway. *Chin. J. Nat. Med.* 17, 372–380. doi: 10.1016/S1875-5364(19)30043-3
- Hutchins, A. P., Diez, D., Takahashi, Y., Ahmad, S., Jauch, R., Tremblay, M. L., et al. (2013). Distinct transcriptional regulatory modules underlie STAT3's cell typeindependent and cell type-specific functions. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, 2155–2170. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks1300
- Kierdorf, K., Erny, D., Goldmann, T., Sander, V., Schulz, C., Perdiguero, E. G., et al. (2013). Microglia emerge from erythromyeloid precursors via Pu.1and Irf8-dependent pathways. *Nat. Neurosci.* 16, 273–280. doi: 10.1038/nn. 3318
- Kobayashi, K., Imagama, S., Ohgomori, T., Hirano, K., Uchimura, K., Sakamoto, K., et al. (2013). Minocycline selectively inhibits MH polarization of microglia. *Cell Death Dis.* 4:e525. doi: 10.1038/cddis.2013.54
- Kruskal, B. A., Sastry, K., Warner, A. B., Mathieu, C. E., and Ezekowitz, R. A. (1992). Phagocytic chimeric receptors require both transmembrane and cytoplasmic domains from the mannose receptor. *J. Exp. Med.* 176, 1673–1680. doi: 10.1084/ jem.176.6.1673
- Lai, J., Bernhard, O. K., Turville, S. G., Harman, A. N., Wilkinson, J., and Cunningham, A. L. (2009). Oligomerization of the macrophage mannose receptor enhances gp120-mediated binding of HIV-1. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 11027– 11038. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M809698200
- Lloyd, A. F., and Miron, V. E. (2019). The pro-remyelination properties of microglia in the central nervous system. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 15, 447–458. doi: 10.1038/s41582-019-0184-2
- Martinez-Pomares, L. (2012). The mannose receptor. J. Leukoc. Biol. 92, 1177–1186. doi: 10.1189/jlb.0512231
- Martinez-Pomares, L., Reid, D. M., Brown, G. D., Taylor, P. R., Stillion, R. J., Linehan, S. A., et al. (2003). Analysis of mannose receptor regulation by IL-4, IL-10, and proteolytic processing using novel monoclonal antibodies. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 73, 604–613. doi: 10.1189/jlb.0902450
- Marzolo, M. P., von Bernhardi, R., and Inestrosa, N. C. (1999). Mannose receptor is present in a functional state in rat microglial cells. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 58, 387–395. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1097-4547(19991101)58:3<387::aid-jnr4>3.0.co;2-l
- Massagué, J., and Wotton, D. (2000). Transcriptional control by the TGFbeta/Smad signaling system. EMBO J. 19, 1745–1754. doi: 10.1093/emboj/19. 8.1745
- Mosser, D. M., and Edwards, J. P. (2008). Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 8, 958–969. doi: 10.1038/nri 2448
- Noorman, F., Braat, E. A., and Rijken, D. C. (1995). Degradation of tissuetype plasminogen activator by human monocyte-derived macrophages is mediated by the mannose receptor and by the low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein. *Blood* 86, 3421–3427. doi: 10.1182/blood.v86.9.3421. bloodjournal8693421
- Pacheco-Soares, C., Gaziri, L. C., Loyola, W., and Felipe, I. (1992). Phagocytosis of enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* and Candida albicans by lectin-like receptors. *Braz. J. Med. Biol. Res.* 25, 1015–1024.
- Parulekar, A. D., Kao, C. C., Diamant, Z., and Hanania, N. A. (2018). Targeting the interleukin-4 and interleukin-13 pathways in severe asthma: current knowledge and future needs. *Curr. Opin. Pulm. Med.* 24, 50–55. doi: 10.1097/MCP. 000000000000436
- Prinz, M., and Priller, J. (2014). Microglia and brain macrophages in the molecular age: from origin to neuropsychiatric disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 15, 300–312. doi: 10.1038/nrn3722
- Prinz, M., Priller, J., Sisodia, S., and Ransohoff, R. (2011). Heterogeneity of CNS myeloid cells and their roles in neurodegeneration. *Nat. Neurosci.* 14, 1227– 1235. doi: 10.1038/nn.2923
- Qin, Y., Sun, X., Shao, X., Hu, M. X., Feng, J., Chen, Z., et al. (2016). Lipopolysaccharide preconditioning Induces an anti-inflammatory phenotype in BV2 microglia. *Cell. Mol. Neurobiol.* 36, 1269–1277. doi: 10.1007/s10571-015-0324-1

- Ransohoff, R. M. (2016). A polarizing question: do M1 and M2 microglia exist? Nat. Neurosci. 19, 987–991. doi: 10.1038/nn.4338
- Reading, P. C., Miller, J. L., and Anders, E. M. (2000). Involvement of the mannose receptor in infection of macrophages by influenza virus. J. Virol. 74, 5190–5197. doi: 10.1128/jvi.74.11.5190-5197.2000
- Schlesinger, L. S. (1993). Macrophage phagocytosis of virulent but not attenuated strains of Mycobacterium tuberculosis is mediated by mannose receptors in addition to complement receptors. J. Immunol. 150, 2920–2930.
- Shepherd, V. L., and Hoidal, J. R. (1990). Clearance of neutrophil-derived myeloperoxidase by the macrophage mannose receptor. Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol. 2, 335–340. doi: 10.1165/ajrcmb/2.4.335
- Spittau, B., Wullkopf, L., Zhou, X., Rilka, J., Pfeifer, D., and Krieglstein, K. (2013). Endogenous transforming growth factor-beta promotes quiescence of primary microglia in vitro. *Glia* 61, 287–300. doi: 10.1002/glia.22435
- Stahl, P. D., and Ezekowitz, R. A. (1998). The mannose receptor is a pattern recognition receptor involved in host defense. *Curr. Opin. Immunol.* 10, 50–55. doi: 10.1016/s0952-7915(98)80031-9
- Tian, D. S., Peng, J., Murugan, M., Feng, L. J., Eyo, U. B., Zhou, L. J., et al. (2017). Chemokine CCL2-CCR2 signaling induces neuronal cell death via STAT3 activation and IL1β production after status epilepticus. *J. Neurosci.* 37, 7878–7892. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0315-17.2017
- Tower, J. (2015). Programmed cell death in aging. Ageing Res. Rev. 23, 90-100. doi: 10.1016/j.arr.2015.04.002
- Wang, W.-B., Levy, D. E., and Lee, C.-K. (2011). STAT3 negatively regulates type I IFN-mediated antiviral response. J. Immunol. 187, 2578–2585. doi: 10.4049/ jimmunol.1004128
- Wang, Y., He, G., Tang, H., Shi, Y., Kang, X., Lyu, J., et al. (2019). Aspirin inhibits inflammation and scar formation in the injury tendon healing through regulating JNK/STAT-3 signalling pathway. *Cell Prolif.* 52:e12650. doi: 10.1111/ cpr.12650
- Wingelhofer, B., Neubauer, H. A., Valent, P., Han, X., Constantinescu, S. N., Gunning, P. T., et al. (2018). Implications of STAT3 and STAT5 signaling on gene regulation and chromatin remodeling in hematopoietic cancer. *Leukemia* 32, 1713–1726. doi: 10.1038/s41375-018-0117-x
- Wrana, J. L., Attisano, L., Wieser, R., Ventura, F., and Massagué, J. (1994). Mechanism of activation of the TGF-beta receptor. *Nature* 370, 341–347. doi: 10.1038/370341a0
- Yamashita, H., ten Dijke, P., Franzén, P., Miyazono, K., and Heldin, C. H. (1994). Formation of hetero-oligomeric complexes of type I and type II receptors for transforming growth factor-beta. J. Biol. Chem. 269, 20172–20178.
- Young, P. R., Karanutilake, C., and Zygas, A. P. (1991). Binding of cathepsin D to the mannose receptor on rat peritoneal macrophages. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1095, 1–4. doi: 10.1016/0167-4889(91)90037-x
- Zhou, X., Spittau, B., and Krieglstein, K. (2012). TGFβ signalling plays an important role in IL4-induced alternative activation of microglia. *J Neuroinflammation* 9:210. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-9-210
- Zhou, X., Zöller, T., Krieglstein, K., and Spittau, B. (2015). TGFβ1 inhibits IFNγmediated microglia activation and protects mDA neurons from IFNγ-driven neurotoxicity. *J. Neurochem*. 134, 125–134. doi: 10.1111/jnc.13111
- Zöller, T., Attaai, A., Potru, P. S., Ruß, T., and Spittau, B. (2018a). Aged mouse cortical microglia display an activation profile suggesting immunotolerogenic functions. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 19:706. doi: 10.3390/ijms19030706
- Zöller, T., Schneider, A., Kleimeyer, C., Masuda, T., Potru, P. S., Pfeifer, D., et al. (2018b). Silencing of TGFβ signalling in microglia results in impaired homeostasis. *Nat. Commun.* 9:4011. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-06224-y

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 von Ehr, Attaai, Neidert, Potru, Ruß, Zöller and Spittau. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.