Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Stanley A. Thayer, University of Minnesota, United States

REVIEWED BY Ezequiel Marron Fernandez De Velasco, University of Minnesota Twin Cities, United States Mark Messerli, South Dakota State University, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Futwan A. Al-Mohanna ⊠ futwan@kfshrc.edu.sa

RECEIVED 16 December 2022 ACCEPTED 10 April 2023 PUBLISHED 27 April 2023

CITATION

Alshawaf AJ, Alnassar SA and Al-Mohanna FA (2023) The interplay of intracellular calcium and zinc ions in response to electric field stimulation in primary rat cortical neurons *in vitro*. *Front. Cell. Neurosci.* 17:1118335.

doi: 10.3389/fncel.2023.1118335

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Alshawaf, Alnassar and Al-Mohanna. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

The interplay of intracellular calcium and zinc ions in response to electric field stimulation in primary rat cortical neurons *in vitro*

Abdullah J. Alshawaf^{1,2}, Sarah A. Alnassar² and Futwan A. Al-Mohanna²*

¹Department of Physiological Sciences, College of Medicine, Alfaisal University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, ²Department of Cell Biology, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia

Recent pharmacological studies demonstrate a role for zinc (Zn^{2+}) in shaping intracellular calcium (Ca²⁺) dynamics and vice versa in excitable cells including neurons and cardiomyocytes. Herein, we sought to examine the dynamic of intracellular release of Ca^{2+} and Zn^{2+} upon modifying excitability of primary rat cortical neurons using electric field stimulation (EFS) in vitro. We show that exposure to EFS with an intensity of 7.69 V/cm induces transient membrane hyperpolarization together with transient elevations in the cytosolic levels of Ca²⁺ and Zn²⁺ ions. The EFS-induced hyperpolarization was inhibited by prior treatment of cells with the K⁺ channel opener diazoxide. Chemical hyperpolarization had no apparent effect on either Ca^{2+} or Zn^{2+} . The source of EFS-induced rise in Ca^{2+} and Zn^{2+} seemed to be intracellular, and that the dynamic inferred of an interplay between Ca^{2+} and Zn^{2+} ions, whereby the removal of extracellular Ca²⁺ augmented the release of intracellular Ca²⁺ and Zn²⁺ and caused a stronger and more sustained hyperpolarization. We demonstrate that Zn²⁺ is released from intracellular vesicles located in the soma, with major co-localizations in the lysosomes and endoplasmic reticulum. These studies further support the use of EFS as a tool to interrogate the kinetics of intracellular ions in response to changing membrane potential in vitro.

KEYWORDS

electric field stimulation, calcium, zinc, membrane potential, cortical neurons

Introduction

Calcium (Ca²⁺) and zinc (Zn²⁺) are important cations in neuronal and glial cells and are known to play structural and signaling roles to mediate diverse cellular functions ranging from cell division and differentiation to cell death (Berridge et al., 2000; Andreini et al., 2006; Foskett et al., 2007; Granzotto et al., 2020). The concentration of intracellular Ca²⁺ ([Ca²⁺]_{*i*}) at rest is normally maintained around 100 nM and it is about 10,000fold lower than that of extracellular environment, whereby any slight change in [Ca²⁺]_{*i*} would be perceived as specific signal coupled to a specific response (stimulus-response coupling). In addition, a multitude of molecular components are involved in Ca²⁺ signaling pathways, working in combinations to produce specific Ca²⁺ signals of different spatial and temporal profiles (Berridge et al., 2000). Similarly, the levels of labile Zn²⁺ are maintained considerably low in the cytosol with estimates corresponding to a range that extends to nanomolar ranges (Thambiayya et al., 2012; Granzotto et al., 2020). Unlike $[Ca^{2+}]_i$, a role for intracellular Zn^{2+} ($[Zn^{2+}]_i$) as a second messenger in certain cellular context was realized only recently (Yamasaki et al., 2007). On the other hand, the structural and catalytic functions of intracellular Zn²⁺ has long been realized (Thambiayya et al., 2012; Granzotto et al., 2020). This tight regulation of the intracellular concentrations of both ions is achieved via coordinated actions of various specialized group of proteins including channels, pumps, sensors, and buffers (McCall et al., 2000; Andreini et al., 2006; Thambiayya et al., 2012). In addition, much of the labile Ca^{2+} and Zn^{2+} is stored in the lumen of intracellular organelles such as the mitochondria, lysosomes, and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) or buffered by specialized proteins in the cytosol (Maret, 1994; Frederickson et al., 2000; Li et al., 2001; Outten and O'Halloran, 2001; Colvin et al., 2006; Hwang et al., 2008; Qin et al., 2011; Park et al., 2012; Khan et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2016). Recent studies indicate that Ca^{2+} and Zn^{2+} are intimately linked with each other, whereby Zn²⁺ contribute to shaping intracellular Ca²⁺ dynamics and vice versa specifically in excitable cells such as neurons and cardiac cells (Kiedrowski, 2012; Woodier et al., 2015; Sanford et al., 2019; Sanford and Palmer, 2020; Zhang et al., 2021).

Electric field stimulation (EFS) has been employed extensively in vitro to regulate neuronal behaviors such as excitability, migration, and regeneration (Alexander et al., 2006; Kobelt et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2015; Hayashi et al., 2016; Pakhomov et al., 2017; Latchoumane et al., 2018; Tang-Schomer et al., 2018). It is well-established that EFS induces electrophysiological responses due to the invoked changes in the charged components of the membrane of the stimulated cells (Robinson, 1985; Tung and Borderies, 1992; Ye and Steiger, 2015). The electrophysiological responses may vary according to the cellular orientation and the inherent anisotropic orientation of the electric field (Tung and Borderies, 1992; Ye and Steiger, 2015). In addition, cationic currents at the plasmalemma of the stimulated cells largely depend on the activation and/or deactivation status of voltage gated ion channels (Robinson, 1985; Tung and Borderies, 1992), ultimately leading to intracellular interference with cation and/or anion homeostasis and other membrane bound receptor downstream signaling (Robinson, 1985; Taghian et al., 2015).

Several studies showed that exogenous EFS induces changes to the dynamic of $[Ca^{2+}]_i$, with varying findings regarding the kinetic and source of release (Alexander et al., 2006; Jahanshahi et al., 2014; Kobelt et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2015; Hayashi et al., 2016; Pakhomov et al., 2017; Latchoumane et al., 2018; Tang-Schomer et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2019; Meng et al., 2021). On the other hand, studies utilizing EFS to probe $[Zn^{2+}]_i$ are scarce, and only recently that pharmacological characterizations have been conducted in dissociated brain cultures in vitro to elucidate the kinetics of Zn²⁺ signaling in neurons and the consequential downstream effects (Hwang et al., 2008; Kiedrowski, 2012; Sanford et al., 2019; Sanford and Palmer, 2020). Accordingly, we investigated whether changing the excitability of the membrane by EFS can alter the dynamics of $[Ca^{2+}]i$ and $[Zn^{2+}]i$ signaling and whether an interplay between the two ions can be uncovered. Our data revealed that EFS induced hyperpolarization of the membrane which was concurrently accompanied by a rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and $[Zn^{2+}]_i$. The EFS-induced rise in Ca²⁺ and Zn²⁺ seemed to be due mainly to release from intracellular stores, and that the dynamic profile is indicative of an interplay between the two ions.

These studies are important toward building an understanding of the kinetic of Ca^{2+} and Zn^{2+} signaling in response to changing excitability of neurons by EFS *in vitro*.

Materials and methods

Preparation of primary cortical cultures

The rat brain tissue dissociation protocols were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre (RAC#: 2190006). Primary cortical cultures were obtained from brains of 6-8 weeks rats (white, Wistar Rat). Cortices were isolated and enzymatically digested in HBSS media (Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ free, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) containing 2 mg/ml Papain, followed by adding 1:1 HBSS media and centrifugation. The palleted tissue was resuspended in HBSS media, dissociated mechanically by pipetting and passed through 70 µM strainer, centrifuged and plated onto Poly-d-lysine coated coverslips in Neurobasal media (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) supplemented with 2% B27 (Life Technologies, South San Francisco, CA, United States), 1% GlutaMax (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States), 200 µM Glucose solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) and 1% Pen/strep (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States). Cultures were maintained at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Typically, approximately 2.7×10^6 cells were seeded on 25 mm glass coverslips in 35 mm cell culture dishes. Medium was changed after 24 h to remove unattached cells. Experiments were conducted after 5 days post plating of the cortical cultures in order to avoid cultures being taken over by glial cells.

Immunofluorescence

Cells were fixed in 3.7% Formaldehyde for 20 min at 4°C and then washed briefly in PBS. Cultures were permeabilized with 0.1% Triton-X- 100 in PBS (PBT) for 5 min and then blocked in 5% BSA in PBT (block buffer) for 60 min at room temperature. Samples were then incubated with primary antibody (diluted in the block buffer) overnight at 4°C. The following primary antibodies were used: mouse anti-MAP2ab (cat# MA5-12823, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States), and rabbit anti-GFAP (cat# ab7260, Abcam, Cambridge, United Kingdom). Following three 5 min washes in PBT, secondary antibodies (1:1000 diluted in the block buffer) were applied for 1 h at room temperature. All samples were counterstained with 49,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; 1 µg/ml, Sigma Aldrich, Burlington, MA, United States). Samples were then mounted onto glass slides with 5 µl of moviol aqueous mountant followed by viewing and image capturing under Zeiss florescence microscope using ZEN imaging software.

Electric field stimulation

Primary cultures on coverslips were transferred into an EFS chamber (Warner Instrument, Holliston, MA, United States). The chamber has two electrodes, separated by distance of 6.5 mm;

each electrode has two ends, one end is immersed in the media and the other end extends outside of chamber (Figure 1A). The experimental chamber volume was 700 µl. To apply EFS, the electrodes were connected to a function generator (Tektronix, Beaverton, OR, United States) (Figure 1A). The function generator delivered ± 5 mV to ± 10 V, biphasic rectangular pulse wave that has a duration of 200 ms with a frequency of 5 Hz (Figure 1A) which produced different electric field potentials. EFS was applied for a total duration of 20 s in situ while recording a time lapse using Zeiss confocal imaging microscopy to monitor changes in the intensities of Ca²⁺ indicator Fluo-4 acetoxymethyl (AM) ester (Fluo-4 AM, cat# F14201, lot# 1524820, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States), Zn2+ indicator, Fluozin-1 acetoxymethyl (AM) ester (Fluozin-1 AM, cat# F24181, MW 701.59, lot# 165983848, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States), or membrane potential sensitive dye, bis-(1,3-dibutylbarbituric acid)-trimethine oxonol [DiBAC4(3), cat# B438, MW 516.64, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States]. The EFS parameters used in this study did not cause cell death as evaluated using trypan blue exclusion test, propidium iodide staining and absence of membrane blebbing.

Live cell microscopy

Cells were loaded with either Ca²⁺ indicator Fluo-4 AM (1 µM, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States), Zn²⁺ indicator Fluozin-1 AM (1 µM, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) or membrane potential sensitive dye DiBAC4(3) (5 µM, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) in freshly prepared regular Krebs-HEPES buffer (pH 7.4) for 30 min at 37°C as previously described (Al-Saif et al., 2011; Shamseldin et al., 2017; Al-Mutairy et al., 2019). Krebs-HEPES buffer contained 120 mM NaCl, 1.3 mM CaCl₂, 1.2 mM MgSO₄, 4.8 mM KCl, 1.2 mM KH₂PO₄, 25 mM HEPES, and 0.1% BSA. All salts, HEPES and BSA were prepared from respective powders dissolved in Ultrapure (deionized-distilled) water (Resistivity is 15 M\Omega.cm at room temp) produced by the Milli-Q[®] Integral Water Purification Systems using M Quantum TIX filter (cat# QTUMOT1 \times 1, Merck KGaA, Germany). The system removes all trace ions including Zn²⁺). For imaging of organelles, cells were loaded in regular Krebs-HEPES buffer with ER-Tracker Blue-White DPX (1 µM, 20 min at 37°C, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States), LysoTracker Red DND-99 (1 µM, 10 min at 37°C, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States), or MitoTracker Red CMXRos (1 µM, 1 min *in situ*, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States). For experiments with the zinc chelator Tetrakis-(2-pyridylmethyl)ethylenediamine (TPEN), cells were loaded in regular Krebs-HEPES buffer containing 50 μM TPEN. For experiments in Ca²⁺ free medium, cells were loaded with specific indicator in Ca²⁺ free Krebs-HEPES buffer in which the addition of CaCl₂ was replaced with supplementation of 1 mM ethylene glycol-bis(β-aminoethyl ether)-N,N,N',N'-tetraacetic acid (EGTA). Image acquisition and analyses was performed using CLSM software (Zeiss META 510) or ZEN imaging software under Zeiss spinning wheel confocal microscope. Serial images were acquired at approximately 1 s intervals. A baseline recording was first taken then the stimulus was delivered at second 20, whereby cells were either stimulated with 25 mM KCl, 10 µM diazoxide or EFS as described in EFS method section for duration of 20 s. Imaging of cells exposed to EFS was performed in room temperature. For analysis, we performed background subtraction then we calculated ratio changes by normalizing intensity changes to the averaged intensity of the first three images before delivering the stimulus. Although our cultures contained many other cell types including glia, we targeted neurons only. This was made easier by using high resolution confocal fluorescence imaging which allowed us to identify neurons from other cells present in the isolated cultures.

Statistical analysis

Paired samples *t*-test was used for baseline comparative data and two-tailed student *t*-test was used for inter-group comparisons. A $p \le 0.05$ was considered significant.

Results

Electric field stimulation alters the membrane potential

Immunofluorescence of isolated cortical cell cultures from rat brains revealed a mixture of cell types expressing MAP2ab and GFAP consistent with the anticipated mixed population of neuronal and glial cells (Figures 1B, C). DiBAC4(3) loaded cultures were stimulated with different voltages including ± 5 mV, $\pm 100\,$ mV, $\pm 500\,$ mV, $\pm 1\,$ V, $\pm 2.5\,$ V, $\pm 5\,$ V, and $\pm 10\,$ V at frequency of 5 Hz for 20 s starting at second 20 of the time lapse recording. EFS of cells loaded with DiBAC4(3) exhibited voltage dependent fluorescence changes consistent with changes in membrane potential (Figures 1D, E). Results showed that there were no significant changes in the intensity of DiBAC4(3) in cells stimulated ± 5 mV, ± 100 mV, ± 500 mV, ± 1 V, ± 2.5 V, and ± 10 V compared to unstimulated control (Figure 1D). A significant reduction in the intensity of DiBAC4(3) was observed in cultures stimulated with ± 5 V, suggesting that an EFS with an intensity of 7.69 V/cm induces hyperpolarization rather than depolarization of the membrane (Figures 1D, E). In order to ascertain that the decrease in fluorescence intensity was indeed due to hyperpolarization, we challenged our cells with the ATP-sensitive potassium channel (KATP) activator diazoxide [a hyperpolarizing agent that opens potassium channels and allows potassium efflux in a variety of cells (Langheinrich and Daut, 1997)]. We found that treatment of cells with diazoxide (10 μ M) caused a significant decrease in DiBAC4(3) fluorescence which is consistent with hyperpolarization. Challenging the same cells with 25 mM KCl (after washing with fresh Krebs medium) invoked a clear increase in fluorescence intensity which is consistent with depolarization (Figures 2A-C). We next investigated the effect of the K_{ATP} activator on the EFS induced hyperpolarization. We found that prior treatment of cortical culture cells with diazoxide (10 μ M) abolished the EFS-induced hyperpolarization (Figures 2D-G). The transient nature of the EFS-induced hyperpolarization is clearly demonstrated in Figure 2D. It is noteworthy that almost all of the cells tested exhibited EFS-induced hyperpolarization.



FIGURE 1

Electric field stimulation (EFS) protocol and cell cultures used in this study. (A) The experimental set up for delivery of EFS to the rat cortical cultures. Cells on coverslips were transferred into EFS chamber (on the right) which has two electrodes, separated by distance of 6.5 mm. Each electrode has two ends, one end is immersed in the media (700 μ l) and the other end extends outside of the chamber. To apply EFS, the electrodes extending outside of the chamber were connected to a function generator (on the left). The function generator delivered rectangular pulse waves with a width of 200 ms at frequency of 5 Hz. (B) A phase contrast image of rat cortical cultures 5 days post plating. (C) Cortical cultures showed expression of neuronal marker MAP2ab (red) and glial marker GFAP (green). Scale bar = 20 μ m. (D) The graph shows average intensity changes of the membrane potential dye DiBAC4(3) in response to EFS with different voltages, namel ±5 mV, ±100 mV, ±500 mV, ±1 V, ±2.5 V, ±5 V, and ±10 V. The EFS was applied at second 20 of the experiment for a duration of 20 s. Experiments were conducted in three independent brain preparations, each with three technical repeats. Data shown in (D) represent the average of one independent experiment and is expressed as normalized fluorescence intensity ratio relative to the averaged three images obtained prior to EFS. (E) Sequential confocal images of DiBAC4(3) labeled cortical neurons at the indicated time intervals corresponding to before, during and after exposure to EFS with ±5 V (calculated EFS intensity of 7.69 V/cm). Scale bar = 20 μ m. Intensity changes in (E) are color-coded whereby high levels are red and low levels are blue.

Electric field stimulation induces a rise in intracellular calcium

To investigate changes in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$, cortical culture cells were loaded with Ca^{2+} indicator Fluo-4 AM and imaged by time lapse confocal microscopy. Fluo-4 AM loaded culture cells then were stimulated with an electric field of 7.69 V/cm at frequency of 5 Hz for 20 s (**Figures 3A, B**). Cortical cultures exhibited a heterogeneous Ca^{2+} response. 33% of the cells doubled their intracellular Ca^{2+} levels reaching a maximum intensity of 2.22 \pm 0.04 in response to EFS (*p*-value \leq 0.001, n = 3). The response was slow with a lag time between the EFS and the Ca^{2+} rise (end of EFS stimulation to the initial Ca^{2+} rise) being 70.90 \pm 5.19 s with the intracellular Ca^{2+} slowly decaying to the minimum intensity at an average rate of 0.36% \pm 0.024 per second (**Figures 3A, B**). The contribution of extracellular and intracellular calcium pools to the EFS-induced Ca²⁺ rise was investigated by performing parallel experiments in the absence of extracellular Ca²⁺ by bathing the cells in Ca²⁺ free Krebs-HEPES buffer containing 1 mM EGTA. Under such conditions, around 90% of cells doubled their intracellular Ca²⁺ levels in response to EFS with an average maximum intensity of 3.12 ± 0.09 (*p*-value ≤ 0.001 , n = 3) (**Figures 3A, C**). The response was relatively faster with an average lag time between the EFS and the initial Ca²⁺ rise corresponding to 27.45 ± 1.71 s with the intracellular Ca²⁺ decaying to stimulatory levels at the rate of $0.79\% \pm 0.066$ per second (**Figures 3A, C** and **Supplementary Figure 4**). These results suggest that the source of EFS-induced Ca²⁺ rise is most likely intracellular rather than from extracellular sources. In contrast, depolarization with extracellular KCl (25 mM)



EFS was applied at second 20 of the experiment for a duration of 20 s. Data shown in **(F)** represent the average of one independent experiment and is expressed as normalized fluorescence intensity ratio relative to the averaged three images obtained prior to EFS. **(G)** Sequential confocal images of DiBAC4(3) labeled cortical neurons at the indicated time intervals corresponding to before, during and after exposure to EFS with \pm 5 V. Scale bar = 20 μ m. Intensity changes in **(E,G)** are color-coded whereby high levels are red and low levels are blue. Experiments were conducted in three independent brain preparations, each with three technical repeats. EFS, electric field stimulation.

evoked an instant change in the intensity of Fluo-4 AM with a maximum corresponding to around 1-fold increase, suggesting Ca^{2+} influx upon activation of voltage gated calcium channels following depolarization of neurons (n = 3, data is not shown).

Electric field stimulation induces a rise in intracellular zinc

Since Zn^{2+} is intimately linked to Ca^{2+} homeostasis in many cell types, we investigated the effect of EFS on intracellular

zinc using the Zn^{2+} indicator Fluozin-1 AM. We found that 96% of cells showed an average maximum increase of Fluozin-1 intensity of 4.94 \pm 0.18 relative to baseline (*p*-value \leq 0.001, n = 5) (Figures 4A-C). The EFS-induced Zn^{2+} was inhibited by treatment of cells with the Zn^{2+} chelator TPEN prior to EFS stimulation (Supplementary Figure 1). Whether this EFSinduced rise in $[Zn^{2+}]_i$ was dependent on extracellular Ca²⁺ was investigated by applying EFS protocol to Fluozin-1 AM loaded cells in Ca²⁺ free-Krebs-HEPES buffer containing 1 mM EGTA. Under such conditions nearly all the cells within the randomly chosen fields of view responded to EFS with an average maximum



Calcium (Ca²⁺) changes in response to EFS. (A) The graph shows average intensity changes of Ca²⁺ indicator Fluo-4 AM in response to EFS in regular Krebs buffer (blue) and in Krebs buffer depleted from Ca²⁺ (red). The EFS was applied at second 20 of the experiment for a duration of 20 s. Experiments were conducted in three independent brain preparations, each with three technical repeats. Data shown in (A) represents the average of three independent experiments and is expressed as normalized fluorescence intensity ratio relative to the averaged three images obtained prior to the addition of stimulus. (B,C) Sequential confocal images of Fluo-4 AM labeled cortical cells at the indicated time intervals corresponding to before, during and after exposure to EFS with intensity of 7.69 V/cm (B) in regular Krebs buffer and (C) in Krebs depleted from Ca²⁺. Scale bar = 20 μ m. Intensity changes in (B,C) are color-coded whereby high levels are red and low levels are blue. EFS, electric field stimulation.

of Fluozin-1 intensity corresponding to 8.25 ± 0.88 relative to baseline (*p*-value ≤ 0.001 , n = 4) (Figures 4A–C). Further analyses revealed a significant statistical difference between the Fluozin-1 average maximum responses in the presence of extracellular Ca²⁺ compared with its absence, whereby the average maximum intensity was higher under extracellular Ca²⁺ free conditions

(*p*-value = 0.002). Stimulation of Fluozin-1 AM loaded cells with 25 mM KCl, on the other hand, had no apparent effect on the intensity of the Fluozin-1 AM (n = 3, data is not shown), suggesting no release of Zn²⁺ in response to depolarization of the membrane. Given that the medium bathing the cells, Krebs-HEPES buffer, did not contain Zn²⁺, the source of the EFS-induced rise in Zn²⁺

observed in our experiments must be intracellular. In a series of experiments using high resolution imaging of Fluozin-1 AM loaded cells, we identified vesicular cytoplasmic organelles in our isolated cortical neurons displaying high intensity of Fluozin-1 AM fluorescence (**Figure 5A**). This apparent accumulation of Fluozin-1 AM in membrane bound cytoplasmic organelles dissipated after EFS stimulation with concomitant increase of fluorescence in the cytoplasm surrounding the organelles (**Figure 5A**). These Zn^{2+} containing vesicles showed major co-localizations with the ER, and lysosomes and minimal co-localizations with the mitochondria (**Figure 5B-D**).

The interplay between EFS-induced hyperpolarization, calcium transients and zinc transients

Since the absence of extracellular calcium caused a significantly higher EFS-induced intracellular Ca²⁺ and intracellular Zn²⁺ transients we investigated the effect of EFS on membrane hyperpolarization in the absence of extracellular Ca²⁺. In a series of experiments, we found that EFS invoked faster and stronger hyperpolarization in calcium free Krebs-HEPES containing 1 mM EGTA than normal Krebs-HEPES medium (Supplementary Figure 2). In contrast to the transient hyperpolarization observed in normal Krebs-HEPES medium, the hyperpolarization observed under calcium free Krebs-HEPES medium was not transient. The possibility existed that the membrane hyperpolarization was responsible for the changes in Ca²⁺ and Zn²⁺. We used the chemical hyperpolarizer diazoxide to test this possibility. We found that diazoxide had no apparent effect on intracellular Ca²⁺ and Zn²⁺ (Supplementary Figures 3A-C). This data suggests that hyperpolarization per se was not responsible for the changes in Ca^{2+} and Zn^{2+} .

Discussion

In this study, we investigated the dynamic of $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and $[Zn^{2+}]_i$ in response to altering excitability of the membrane using EFS in dissociated rat cortical cultures. Our results demonstrate that an EFS with an intensity of 7.69 V/cm induces membrane hyperpolarization with concomitant transient rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and in $[Zn^{2+}]_i$. Our findings suggest that: (i) the source of this EFS-induced Ca^{2+} and Zn^{2+} rise is intracellular, and (ii) the dynamic of the release may involve an interplay between the two ions. Evidence for the latter is drawn from the finding that removal of extracellular Ca^{2+} significantly augmented the release of intracellular Ca^{2+} and Zn^{2+} . Further characterizations of the source of Zn^{2+} identified membrane bound vesicular organelles with high Fluozin-1 intensity. These organelles were found to be localized in the cell body and exhibited dispersed co-localization with the ER, and lysosomes.

Electric field stimulation -induced alteration to the $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and $[Zn^{2+}]_i$ is unlikely to be due to the effect of transmembrane potential which itself is dependent on multiple factors including cellular orientation, direction and orientation of the electric field and activation or deactivation of voltage gated ion channels (Ye and Steiger, 2015). It is also well-understood that the EFS interferes with charged components of the membrane including membrane bound receptors and ionic distribution and ultimately affects cation and/or anion homeostasis (Robinson, 1985; Taghian et al., 2015; Ye and Steiger, 2015). It has been shown that the membrane exhibits high resistance to the EFS and, as a result, induces clustering of membrane proteins and receptors, leading to subsequent activation of various transmembrane signaling pathways (Cho et al., 1999; Leppik et al., 2020). The fact that chemical hyperpolarization had no apparent effect on [Ca²⁺]_i and [Zn²⁺]_i suggests that hyperpolarization per se was not responsible for the $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and [Zn²⁺]_I transient reported in this study. Our finding that cortical culture cells exhibited stronger and more sustained hyperpolarization in the absence of extracellular calcium may suggest a link between extracellular calcium and hyperpolarization. However, while changes in calcium can influence the activity of ion channels and contribute to changes in the membrane potential, they are not typically the primary cause of hyperpolarization. Rather, they are often involved in downstream signaling pathways that are triggered by changes in the membrane potential.

Many studies employed EFS in vitro to investigate the longterm effects on neuronal behavior and function for therapeutic purposes (Alexander et al., 2006; Jahanshahi et al., 2014; Kobelt et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2015; Hayashi et al., 2016; Pakhomov et al., 2017; Latchoumane et al., 2018; Tang-Schomer et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2019; Meng et al., 2021). Several of these studies examined the role of Ca²⁺ signaling in mediating some of the observed cellular responses induced by EFS (Alexander et al., 2006; Jahanshahi et al., 2014; Kobelt et al., 2014; Yan et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2015; Hayashi et al., 2016; Pakhomov et al., 2017; Latchoumane et al., 2018; Tang-Schomer et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2019; Meng et al., 2021). An EFS with an intensity of 5 V and frequency of 10 Hz for a duration of 30 min have been shown to induce a rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and consequently promote neurite outgrowth in cultured dorsal root ganglia neurons (Yan et al., 2014). Further pharmacological characterizations suggested that the source of this EFS-induced $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ rise was both intracellular release from internal stores and extracellular through voltage gated Ca²⁺ channels (Yan et al., 2014). In another study, EFS of high intensity (20-25 V/cm) and high frequency was found to induce a rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ in cultured hippocampal neurons (Riquelme et al., 2011). Consequently, this rise in $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ was found to induce generation of hydrogen peroxide and stimulate activity of NF_{-k}B, a transcription factor known for its important role in dendritic arborization and plasticity (Riquelme et al., 2011). Further characterizations revealed that the source of this [Ca²⁺]_i rise was intracellular via activation of ryanodine receptors (Riquelme et al., 2011). These studies showed an instant increase in [Ca²⁺]_i following application of EFS. Whilst we acknowledge that pharmacological characterizations are lacking in our study, the kinetic of Ca^{2+} release reported by Riquelme et al. (2011) was different from our study. Our data indicated that only one third of the cells, under "regular" conditions, responded to EFS with a Ca²⁺ rise and that the rise was slow with a considerable lag between the EFS and the Ca²⁺ rise. This may be attributed to differences in EFS parameters applied, differences in cell types or different functional context.

Our study showed a rise in $[Zn^{2+}]i$ in response to EFS in cortical neurons and that the source of the release was intracellular



FIGURE 4

Zinc (Zn^{2+}) changes in response to electric field stimulation (EFS). (A) Average intensity changes of Zn^{2+} indicator Fluozin-1 AM in response to EFS in regular Krebs buffer (blue) and in Krebs buffer depleted from Ca^{2+} (red). The EFS was applied at second 20 of the experiment for a duration of 20 s. Experiments were conducted in three independent brain preparations, each with three technical repeats. Data shown in (A) represent the average of three independent experiments and is expressed as normalized fluorescence intensity ratio relative to the averaged three images obtained prior to the addition of stimulus. (B,C) Sequential confocal images of Fluozin-1 AM labeled cortical cells at the indicated time intervals corresponding to before, during and after exposure to EFS with intensity of 7.69 V/cm (B) in regular Krebs buffer and (C) in Krebs depleted from Ca^{2+} . Scale bar = 20 μ m. Intensity changes in (B,C) are color-coded whereby high levels are red and low levels are blue.



FIGURE 5

labeled cortical cells at the indicated time intervals corresponding to before, during and after exposure to EFS with intensity of 7.69 V/cm. EFS was applied at second 20 of the experiment for a duration of 20 s. (B) Microscopic images of live cortical cells co-loaded with Fluozin-1 AM and ER-Tracker Blue-White DPX to identify co-localization of Zn^{2+} enriched vesicles (green) with the ER (blue). An example for co-localization is indicated by the white arrow. Scale bar = 5 µm. (C) Microscopic image of live cortical cells co-loaded with Fluozin-1 AM, and LysoTracker Red DND-99 to identify co-localization of Zn²⁺ enriched vesicles (green) with lysosomes (red). Examples for co-localizations are indicated by white arrows. Scale bar = 5 µm. (D) Microscopic image of live cortical cells co-loaded with Fluozin-1AM, and MitoTracker Red to identify co-localization of Zn^{2+} enriched vesicles (green) with mitochondria (red). Scale bar = 5 μ m.

stores. The cytosolic sources of labile Zn²⁺ in neurons can be either Zn²⁺ liberated from proteins such as metallothioneins or Zn²⁺ released from various intracellular organelles and compartments (Frederickson et al., 2000; Sensi et al., 2003; Colvin et al., 2006; Hwang et al., 2008; Qin et al., 2011; Park et al., 2012; Lu et al., 2016). Our studies indicate that Zn^{2+} enriched vesicles localized within the soma of cortical cells contributed to EFS-induced rise in [Zn²⁺]_i. Two possibilities exist; (i) the high fluorescence intensity of Fluozin-1 in the vesicles reflects high Zn²⁺ content and/or (ii) the high intensity reflects different intra-vesicular environment that changes the spectral characteristics of the dye independently of Zn²⁺. The latter would seem unlikely since Fluozin-1 AM intensity is highest in pH 7 and decrease at more acidic or basic pH (Martins et al., 2020). Our co-localization experiments showed that most of the zinc enriched vesicles co-localized with the ER, and lysosomes while less co-localizations have been observed in the mitochondria. This is consistent with previous studies showing that Zn²⁺ enriched vesicles in cultured neurons and astrocytes are mainly enriched in secretory vesicles, endosomes, and lysosomes (Sensi et al., 2003; Colvin et al., 2006; Hwang et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2016). Other intracellular sources may also have contributed to the liberated Zn²⁺ such as metallothioneins, but this warrants further investigations.

Accumulating evidence highlights the important roles for Zn²⁺ and Ca²⁺ interactive signaling in several functional contexts that extend from modulating cellular excitability to mediating cellular injury and cell death (Claiborne et al., 1989; Frederickson and Danscher, 1990; Li et al., 2001; Quinta-Ferreira et al., 2004; Huang et al., 2008; Ketterman and Li, 2008; Liu et al., 2008; Hershfinkel et al., 2009; Sindreu et al., 2011; Khan et al., 2014; Vergnano et al., 2014; Woodier et al., 2015; Ha et al., 2018; Levaot and Hershfinkel, 2018; Sanford et al., 2019; Morabito et al., 2022). Ca^{2+} dependent Zn^{2+} release is well-documented in several studies. It has been shown that labile Zn²⁺ is abundant in a subset of glutamatergic neurons, specifically localized in synaptic vesicles and, like conventional neurotransmitters, Zn²⁺ is released in a depolarization and Ca2+ dependent manner (Frederickson et al., 2000; Li et al., 2001; Khan et al., 2014). Additionally, it has been shown that stimulation with KCl or glutamate in dissociated hippocampal neurons induces a rise in $[Zn^{2+}]i$ that is downstream from Ca^{2+} signaling and that the source of Zn^{2+} release is from intracellular stores (Kiedrowski, 2012; Sanford et al., 2019; Sanford and Palmer, 2020). The other way of interaction also exists, whereby Zn^{2+} modulates Ca^{2+} dynamic and signaling in excitable cells. It has been shown that intracellular Zn^{2+} has a higher affinity to bind to the cardiac ryanodine receptor (RYR) than Ca^{2+} and that a millimolar rise in intracellular levels of free Zn^{2+} inhibits the channel opening, thereby regulating Ca^{2+} release (Woodier et al., 2015). In addition, Zn^{2+} dependent Ca^{2+} influx in cortical neurons has been shown to contribute to neuronal cell death during oxidative stress (Park et al., 2019).

Our study revealed a possible interaction between intracellular Ca²⁺ and Zn²⁺ signaling in response to EFS. EFS in the absence of extracellular Ca²⁺ evoked significantly higher maximum release of intracellular Zn²⁺, indicating that extracellular Ca²⁺ may interfere with intracellular release of Zn²⁺. It is therefore tempting to speculate that, similar to the Zn^{2+} modulation of the cardiac RYR, Ca²⁺ entry through voltage sensitive Ca²⁺ channels may be also modulated and/or inhibited by binding of intracellular Zn^{2+} and that in the absence of extracellular Ca²⁺, Zn²⁺ will not bind to these channels leading to higher levels of free Zn²⁺ (Sun et al., 2007; Woodier et al., 2015). This may also explain the reduced release of intracellular Ca²⁺ in response to EFS in the presence of extracellular Ca²⁺. Further investigations are required to decipher details of this interdependence relationship between Ca²⁺ and Zn^{2+} and to elucidate the downstream signaling and determine its consequence.

In this study, we normalized fluorescence intensity to baseline in order to quantify the relative changes in intracellular Ca²⁺ and Zn²⁺. Normalization to baseline assumes that the treatment does not affect baseline levels, which might not be always true. We therefore provided evidence of the effect of the various treatments on baseline values (Supplementary Figure 5). We show that cellular Fluo-4 AM fluorescence intensity of cells bathed in calcium-free Krebs medium exhibited no apparent difference from that of cells bathed in normal calcium medium indicating no effect on baseline level of Fluo-4 AM fluorescence (Supplementary Figure 5A). Manipulation of extracellular calcium would lead to changes in intracellular calcium set-point (al-Mohanna et al., 1992) however, the relatively short time of exposure to calcium free-medium might explain the lack of effect we have noticed. In addition, the possibility that these cultured cortical neurons might be more resistant to changes in extracellular calcium than others (within the time scale of the experiments), could not be excluded. Future research would clear this point. On the other hand, we have found a significant difference in the baseline Fluozin-1 AM intensity levels under the different extracellular calcium levels (Supplementary Figure 5B). As for TPEN treatment, we found no statistical difference in the Fluozin-1 AM intensity levels in cells treated with TPEN compared to untreated cells (Supplementary Figure 5C).

In summary, we showed that modifying membrane potential of cortical neurons by EFS resulted in hyperpolarization that is concurrently accompanied by release of Ca^{2+} and Zn^{2+} from intracellular sources. Our study highlights an association between EFS-induced modification of membrane excitability and $[Ca^{2+}]_i$ and $[Zn^{2+}]_i$ signaling and supports the use of EFS as a tool to interrogate the dynamics of intracellular ions homeostasis.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre.

Author contributions

AA and FAM: conception and design of the study, data analysis and interpretation, and manuscript writing. AA, SA, and FAM: collection and/or assembly of data. FAM: provision of study material and administrative and financial support. All authors contributed to final approval of the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by Research Centre at King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Centre (RAC#: 2190006) and Alfaisal University internal research grant (grant ID#: 18312).

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Falah Al-Mohanna from the Department of Comparative Medicine at KFSH&RC for his help with providing the animals. We thank Eng. Amr El Sayed, Eng. Amr Hendy, and Eng. Mohammad Abbas Nabi Jan Mansour from the Department of Cyclotron and Radiopharmaceuticals at KFSH&RC for their technical assistance.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fncel.2023. 1118335/full#supplementary-material

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 1

Extended experiment duration for electric field stimulation (EFS) induced zinc (Zn^{2+}) changes in regular Krebs, calcium (Ca^{2+}) free Krebs and TPEN supplemented Krebs media. The graph shows average intensity changes of Zn^{2+} indicator Fluozin-1 AM in response to EFS in regular Krebs buffer (green), in Krebs buffer depleted from Ca^{2+} (blue) and in TPEN supplemented Krebs medium (orange) for an extended duration corresponding to 400 s. The EFS was applied at second 20 of the experiment for a duration of 20 s. Data shown represents the average of two or more independent experiments and is expressed as normalized fluorescence intensity ratio relative to the averaged three images obtained prior to EFS.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 2

Electric field stimulation (EFS) induced membrane potential changes in regular Krebs medium compared to calcium (Ca²⁺) free Krebs medium. The graph shows average intensity changes of the membrane potential dye DiBAC4(3) in response to EFS with \pm 5 V in regular Krebs (orange) and calcium free Krebs (blue). The EFS was applied at second 20 of the experiment for a duration of 20 s. Data shown represent the average of two independent experiments and is expressed as normalized fluorescence intensity ratio relative to the averaged three images obtained prior to EFS.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 3

The effect of Diazoxide on intracellular calcium and zinc. (A) Sequential raw confocal images of Fluo-4 AM labeled cortical cells at the indicated time intervals corresponding to before, during and after exposure to Diazoxide (10 μ M) in regular Krebs buffer. Diazoxide (10 μ M) was added at second 20 of the time lapse recording experiment. Scale bar = 20 μ m. (B) Sequential raw confocal images of Fluozin-1 AM labeled cortical cells at the indicated time intervals corresponding to before, during and after exposure to

Diazoxide (10 μ M) in regular Krebs buffer. Diazoxide (10 μ M) was added at second 20 of the time lapse recording. Scale bar = 20 μ m. **(C)** The graph shows average intensity changes of Ca²⁺ indicator Fluo-4 AM in response to exposure to Diazoxide (10 μ M) in regular Krebs buffer (blue) and in Krebs buffer depleted from Ca²⁺ (red). Diazoxide (10 μ M) was added at second 20, followed by the addition of Ca²⁺ lonophore at second 200 to obtain maximum Ca²⁺ levels as a control, and finally EGTA to chelate Ca²⁺ at second 400. Data shown represents the average of one independent experiment and is expressed as normalized fluorescence intensity ratio relative to the averaged three images obtained prior to the addition of Diazoxide.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 4

Extended experiment duration for electric field stimulation (EFS) induced calcium (Ca²⁺) changes in Ca²⁺ free Krebs medium. The graph shows average intensity changes of Ca²⁺ indicator Fluo-4 AM in response to EFS in in Krebs buffer depleted from Ca²⁺ for an extended duration corresponding to 400 s. The EFS was applied at second 20 of the experiment for a duration of 20 s. Data shown represents the average of three independent experiments and is expressed as normalized fluorescence intensity ratio relative to the average of three images obtained prior to EFS.

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE 5

Effects of calcium free Krebs-HEPES medium and TPEN on baseline fluorescence intensity levels. (A) Mean fluorescence intensity of cells loaded with Fluo-4 AM bathed in Krebs-HEPES buffer containing 1.2 mM Ca^{2+} (Fluo4 in Norm Ca^{2+}) and Krebs-HEPES buffer without Ca^{2+} and in the presence of 1 mM EGTA (Fluo4 No Ca²⁺). Data presented as mean + SEM, n = 27 and 28 cells obtained from three independent experiments, respectively. (B) Mean fluorescence intensity of cells loaded with Fluozin-1 AM bathed in Krebs-HEPES buffer containing 1.2 mM Ca2-(FZ Norm Ca²⁺) and Krebs-HEPES buffer without Ca²⁺ and in the presence of 1mM EGTA (FZ No Ca²⁺). Data presented as mean \pm SEM, n = 41 and 65 cells obtained from three independent experiments, respectively. (C) Mean fluorescence intensity of cells loaded with Fluozin-1 AM bathed in Krebs-HEPES buffer containing 1.2 mM Ca^{2+} treated with 50 μM TPEN (With TPEN) compared to untreated cells (carrier only), (Without TPEN). Data are presented as mean \pm SEM, n = 8 and 41 cells obtained from two and three independent experiments, respectively.

References

Alexander, J. K., Fuss, B., and Colello, R. J. (2006). Electric field-induced astrocyte alignment directs neurite outgrowth. *Neuron Glia Biol.* 2, 93–103. doi: 10.1017/S1740925X0600010X

al-Mohanna, F. A., Cave, J., and Bolsover, S. R. (1992). A narrow window of intracellular calcium concentration is optimal for neurite outgrowth in rat sensory neurones. *Brain Res. Dev. Brain Res.* 70, 287–290. doi: 10.1016/0165-3806(92)90209-F

Al-Mutairy, E. A., Imtiaz, F. A., Khalid, M., Al Qattan, S., Saleh, S., Mahmoud, L. M., et al. (2019). An atypical pulmonary fibrosis is associated with co-inheritance of mutations in the calcium binding protein genes \$100A3 and \$100A13. *Eur. Respir. J.* 54, 1802041. doi: 10.1183/13993003.02041-2018

Al-Saif, A., Al-Mohanna, F., and Bohlega, S. (2011). A mutation in sigma-1 receptor causes juvenile amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Ann. Neurol.* 70, 913–919. doi: 10.1002/ana.22534

Andreini, C., Banci, L., Bertini, I., and Rosato, A. (2006). Counting the zinc-proteins encoded in the human genome. J. Proteome Res. 5, 196–201. doi: 10.1021/pr050361j

Berridge, M. J., Lipp, P., and Bootman, M. D. (2000). The versatility and universality of calcium signalling. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 1, 11–21. doi: 10.1038/35036035

Cho, M. R., Thatte, H. S., Silvia, M. T., and Golan, D. E. (1999). Transmembrane calcium influx induced by ac electric fields. *FASEB J.* 13, 677–683. doi: 10.1096/fasebj. 13.6.677

Claiborne, B. J., Rea, M. A., and Terrian, D. M. (1989). Detection of zinc in isolated nerve terminals using a modified Timm's sulfide-silver method. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 30, 17–22. doi: 10.1016/0165-0270(89)90069-1

Colvin, R. A., Laskowski, M., and Fontaine, C. P. (2006). Zinquin identifies subcellular compartmentalization of zinc in cortical neurons. relation to the trafficking of zinc and the mitochondrial compartment. *Brain Res.* 1085, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/j. brainres.2006.02.043

Foskett, J. K., White, C., Cheung, K. H., and Mak, D. O. (2007). Inositol trisphosphate receptor Ca2+ release channels. *Physiol. Rev.* 87, 593–658. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00035.2006

Frederickson, C. J., and Danscher, G. (1990). Zinc-containing neurons in hippocampus and related CNS structures. *Prog. Brain Res.* 83, 71–84. doi: 10.1016/S0079-6123(08)61242-X

Frederickson, C. J., Suh, S. W., Silva, D., Frederickson, C. J., and Thompson, R. B. (2000). Importance of zinc in the central nervous system: the zinc-containing neuron. *J. Nutr.* 130(55 Suppl.), 1471S–1483S. doi: 10.1093/jn/130.5.1471S

Granzotto, A., Canzoniero, L. M. T., and Sensi, S. L. (2020). A neurotoxic menagea-trois: glutamate, calcium, and zinc in the excitotoxic cascade. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 13:600089. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2020.600089

Ha, H. T. T., Leal-Ortiz, S., Lalwani, K., Kiyonaka, S., Hamachi, I., Mysore, S. P., et al. (2018). Shank and zinc mediate an AMPA receptor subunit switch in developing neurons. *Front. Mol. Neurosci.* 11:405. doi: 10.3389/fnmol.2018.0 0405

Hayashi, H., Edin, F., Li, H., Liu, W., and Rask-Andersen, H. (2016). The effect of pulsed electric fields on the electrotactic migration of human neural progenitor cells through the involvement of intracellular calcium signaling. *Brain Res.* 1652, 195–203. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2016.09.043

Hershfinkel, M., Kandler, K., Knoch, M. E., Dagan-Rabin, M., Aras, M. A., Abramovitch-Dahan, C., et al. (2009). Intracellular zinc inhibits KCC2 transporter activity. *Nat. Neurosci.* 12, 725–727. doi: 10.1038/nn.2316

Huang, Y. Z., Pan, E., Xiong, Z. Q., and McNamara, J. O. (2008). Zinc-mediated transactivation of TrkB potentiates the hippocampal mossy fiber-CA3 pyramid synapse. *Neuron* 57, 546–558. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2007.11.026

Hwang, J. J., Lee, S. J., Kim, T. Y., Cho, J. H., Koh, J. Y., et al. (2008). Zinc and 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal mediate lysosomal membrane permeabilization induced by H2O2 in cultured hippocampal neurons. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 3114–3122. doi: 10.1523/ INEUROSCI.0199-08.2008

Jahanshahi, A., Schönfeld, L. M., Lemmens, E., Hendrix, S., and Temel, Y. (2014). In vitro and in vivo neuronal electrotaxis: a potential mechanism for restoration? *Mol. Neurobiol.* 49, 1005–1016. doi: 10.1007/s12035-013-8575-7 Ketterman, J. K., and Li, Y. V. (2008). Presynaptic evidence for zinc release at the mossy fiber synapse of rat hippocampus. *J. Neurosci. Res.* 86, 422–434. doi: 10.1002/jnr.21488

Khan, M., Goldsmith, C. R., Huang, Z., Georgiou, J., Luyben, T. T., Roder, J. C., et al. (2014). Two-photon imaging of Zn2+ dynamics in mossy fiber boutons of adult hippocampal slices. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 111, 6786–6791. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1405154111

Kiedrowski, L. (2012). Cytosolic acidification and intracellular zinc release in hippocampal neurons. *J. Neurochem.* 121, 438–450. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-4159.2012. 07695.x

Kobelt, L. J., ilkinson, A. E., McCormick, A. M., Willits, R. K., and Leipzig, N. D. (2014). Short duration electrical stimulation to enhance neurite outgrowth and maturation of adult neural stem progenitor cells. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 42, 2164–2176. doi: 10.1007/s10439-014-1058-9

Langheinrich, U., and Daut, J. (1997). Hyperpolarization of isolated capillaries from guinea-pig heart induced by K+ channel openers and glucose deprivation. *J. Physiol.* 502, 397–408. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7793.1997.397bk.x

Latchoumane, C. V., Jackson, L., Sendi, M. S. E., Tehrani, K. F., Mortensen, L. J., Stice, S. L., et al. (2018). Chronic electrical stimulation promotes the excitability and plasticity of ESC-derived neurons following glutamate-induced inhibition in vitro. *Sci Rep.* 8:10957. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-29069-3

Leppik, L., Oliveira, K. M. C., Bhavsar, M. B., and Barker, J. H. (2020). Electrical stimulation in bone tissue engineering treatments. *Eur. J. Trauma Emerg. Surg.* 46, 231–244. doi: 10.1007/s00068-020-01324-1

Levaot, N., and Hershfinkel, M. (2018). How cellular Zn(2+) signaling drives physiological functions. *Cell Calcium* 75, 53–63. doi: 10.1016/j.ceca.2018.08.004

Li, Y., Hough, C. J., Suh, S. W., Sarvey, J. M., and Frederickson, C. J. (2001). Rapid translocation of Zn(2+) from presynaptic terminals into postsynaptic hippocampal neurons after physiological stimulation. *J. Neurophysiol.* 86, 2597–2604. doi: 10.1152/jn.2001.86.5.2597

Liu, J., Jiang, Y. G., Huang, C. Y., Fang, H. Y., Fang, H. T., Pang, W., et al. (2008). Depletion of intracellular zinc down-regulates expression of Uch-L1 mRNA and protein, and CREB mRNA in cultured hippocampal neurons. *Nutr. Neurosci.* 11, 96–102.

Lu, Q., Haragopal, H., Slepchenko, K. G., Stork, C., and Li, Y. V. (2016). Intracellular zinc distribution in mitochondria, ER and the golgi apparatus. *Int. J. Physiol. Pathophysiol. Pharmacol.* 8, 35–43.

Maret, W. (1994). Oxidative metal release from metallothionein via zincthiol/disulfide interchange. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 91, 237–241. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.91.1.237

Martins, R. C., Pereira, A. M., Matos, E., Barreiros, L. A., da Fonseca, A. J., Cabrita, A. R. J., et al. (2020). Miniaturized fluorimetric method for quantification of zinc in dry dog food. *J. Anal. Methods Chem.* 2020:8821809. doi: 10.1155/2020/882 1809

McCall, K. A., Huang, C., and Fierke, C. A. (2000). Function and mechanism of zinc metalloenzymes. J. Nutr. 130(5S Suppl.), 1437S–1446S. doi: 10.1093/jn/130.5.1437S

Meng, S., Rouabhia, M., and Zhang, Z. (2021). Electrical stimulation and cellular behaviors in electric field in biomedical research. *Materials* 15:165. doi: 10.3390/ma15010165

Morabito, A., Zerlaut, Y., Serraz, B., Sala, R., Paoletti, P., Rebola, N., et al. (2022). Activity-dependent modulation of NMDA receptors by endogenous zinc shapes dendritic function in cortical neurons. *Cell Rep.* 38:110415. doi: 10.1016/j.celrep.2022. 110415

Outten, C. E., and O'Halloran, T. V. (2001). Femtomolar sensitivity of metalloregulatory proteins controlling zinc homeostasis. *Science* 292, 2488–2492. doi: 10.1126/science.1060331

Pakhomov, A. G., Semenov, I., Casciola, M., and Xiao, S. (2017). Neuronal excitation and permeabilization by 200-ns pulsed electric field: an optical membrane potential study with FluoVolt dye. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Biomembr.* 1859, 1273–1281. doi: 10.1016/j.bbamem.2017.04.016

Park, J. G., Qin, Y., Galati, D. F., and Palmer, A. E. (2012). New sensors for quantitative measurement of mitochondrial Zn(2+). ACS Chem. Biol. 7, 1636–1640. doi: 10.1021/cb300171p

Park, S. E., Song, J. H., Hong, C., Kim, D. E., Sul, J. W., Kim, T. Y., et al. (2019). Contribution of zinc-dependent delayed calcium influx via TRPC5 in oxidative neuronal death and its prevention by Novel TRPC antagonist. *Mol. Neurobiol.* 56, 2822–2835. doi: 10.1007/s12035-018-1258-7

Qin, Y., Dittmer, P. J., Park, J. G., Jansen, K. B., and Palmer, A. E. (2011). Measuring steady-state and dynamic endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi Zn2+ with genetically

encoded sensors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A. 108, 7351-7356. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1015686108

Quinta-Ferreira, M. E., Matias, C. M., Arif, M., and Dionísio, J. C. (2004). Measurement of presynaptic zinc changes in hippocampal mossy fibers. *Brain Res.* 1026, 1–10. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2004.07.054

Riquelme, D., Alvarez, A., Leal, N., Adasme, T., Espinoza, I., Valdés, J. A., et al. (2011). High-frequency field stimulation of primary neurons enhances ryanodine receptor-mediated Ca2+ release and generates hydrogen peroxide, which jointly stimulate NF-kappaB activity. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 14, 1245–1259. doi: 10.1089/ars.2010.3238

Robinson, K. R. (1985). The responses of cells to electrical fields: a review. J. Cell Biol. 101, 2023–2027. doi: 10.1083/jcb.101.6.2023

Sanford, L., Carpenter, M. C., and Palmer, A. E. (2019). Intracellular Zn(2+) transients modulate global gene expression in dissociated rat hippocampal neurons. *Sci. Rep.* 9:9411. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-45844-2

Sanford, L., and Palmer, A. E. (2020). Dissociated hippocampal neurons exhibit distinct Zn(2+) dynamics in a stimulation-method-dependent manner. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 11, 508–514. doi: 10.1021/acschemneuro.0c00006

Sensi, S. L., Ton-That, D., Weiss, J. H., Rothe, A., and Gee, K. R. (2003). A new mitochondrial fluorescent zinc sensor. *Cell Calcium* 34, 281–284. doi: 10.1016/S0143-4160(03)00122-2

Shamseldin, H. E., Alasmari, A., Salih, M. A., Samman, M. M., Mian, S. A., Alshidi, T., et al. (2017). A null mutation in MICU2 causes abnormal mitochondrial calcium homeostasis and a severe neurodevelopmental disorder. *Brain* 140, 2806–2813. doi: 10.1093/brain/awx237

Sindreu, C., Palmiter, R. D., and Storm, D. R. (2011). Zinc transporter ZnT-3 regulates presynaptic Erk1/2 signaling and hippocampus-dependent memory. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* 108, 3366–3370. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1019166108

Sun, H. S., Hui, K., Lee, D. W., and Feng, Z. P. (2007). Zn2+ sensitivity of highand low-voltage activated calcium channels. *Biophys. J.* 93, 1175–1183. doi: 10.1529/ biophysj.106.103333

Taghian, T., Narmoneva, D. A., and Kogan, A. B. (2015). Modulation of cell function by electric field: a high-resolution analysis. *J. R. Soc. Interface* 12:20150153. doi: 10.1098/rsif.2015.0153

Tang-Schomer, M. D., Jackvony, T., and Santaniello, S. (2018). Cortical network synchrony under applied electrical field in vitro. *Front. Neurosci.* 12:630. doi: 10.3389/ fnins.2018.00630

Thambiayya, K., Kaynar, A. M., St Croix, C. M., and Pitt, B. R. (2012). Functional role of intracellular labile zinc in pulmonary endothelium. *Pulm Circ.* 2, 443–451. doi: 10.4103/2045-8932.105032

Tung, L., and Borderies, J. R. (1992). Analysis of electric field stimulation of single cardiac muscle cells. *Biophys. J.* 63, 371–386. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(92)81632-6

Vergnano, A. M., Rebola, N., Savtchenko, L. P., Pinheiro, P. S., Casado, M., Kieffer, B. L., et al. (2014). Zinc dynamics and action at excitatory synapses. *Neuron* 82, 1101–1114. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.04.034

Woodier, J., Rainbow, R. D., Stewart, A. J., and Pitt, S. J. (2015). Intracellular zinc modulates cardiac ryanodine receptor-mediated calcium release. *J. Biol. Chem.* 290, 17599–17610. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M115.661280

Yamasaki, S., Sakata-Sogawa, K., Hasegawa, A., Suzuki, T., Kabu, K., Sato, E., et al. (2007). Zinc is a novel intracellular second messenger. *J. Cell Biol.* 177, 637–645. doi: 10.1083/jcb.200702081

Yan, X., Liu, J., Huang, J., Huang, M., He, F., Ye, Z., et al. (2014). Electrical stimulation induces calcium-dependent neurite outgrowth and immediate early genes expressions of dorsal root ganglion neurons. *Neurochem. Res.* 39, 129–141. doi: 10.1007/s11064-013-1197-7

Ye, H., and Steiger, A. (2015). Neuron matters: electric activation of neuronal tissue is dependent on the interaction between the neuron and the electric field. *J. Neuroeng. Rehabil.* 12:65. doi: 10.1186/s12984-015-0061-1

Zhang, C., Maslar, D., Minckley, T. F., LeJeune, K. D., and Qin, Y. (2021). Spontaneous, synchronous zinc spikes oscillate with neural excitability and calcium spikes in primary hippocampal neuron culture. *J. Neurochem.* 157, 1838–1849. doi: 10.1111/jnc.15334

Zhao, H., Steiger, A., Nohner, M., and Ye, H. (2015). Specific intensity direct current (DC) electric field improves neural stem cell migration and enhances differentiation towards betaiii-tubulin+ neurons. *PLoS One* 10:e0129625. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0129625

Zhu, R., Sun, Z., Li, C., Ramakrishna, S., Chiu, K., He, L., et al. (2019). Electrical stimulation affects neural stem cell fate and function in vitro. *Exp. Neurol.* 319:112963. doi: 10.1016/j.expneurol.2019.112963