Neural synchrony within the motor system: what have we learned so far?

Bernadette C. M. van Wijk*, Peter J. Beek and Andreas Daffertshofer

MOVE Research Institute, Faculty of Human Movement Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, Netherlands

Edited by:

Sven Bestmann, University College London, UK

Reviewed by:

Joachim Gross, University of Glasgow, UK Petra Ritter, Charité University Medicine Berlin, Germany

*Correspondence:

Bernadette C. M. van Wijk, Faculty of Human Movement Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, Van der Boechorststraat 9, 1081BT Amsterdam, Netherlands. e-mail: b.c.m.van.wijk@vu.nl Synchronization of neural activity is considered essential for information processing in the nervous system. Both local and inter-regional synchronization are omnipresent in different frequency regimes and relate to a variety of behavioral and cognitive functions. Over the years, many studies have sought to elucidate the question how alpha/mu, beta, and gamma synchronization contribute to motor control. Here, we review these studies with the purpose to delineate what they have added to our understanding of the neural control of movement. We highlight important findings regarding oscillations in primary motor cortex, synchronization between cortex and spinal cord, synchronization between cortical regions, as well as abnormal synchronization patterns in a selection of motor dysfunctions. The interpretation of synchronization patterns benefits from combining results of invasive and non-invasive recordings, different data analysis tools, and modeling work. Importantly, although synchronization. Spike timing and rate coding act together during motor control and should therefore both be accounted for when interpreting movement-related activity.

Keywords: neural synchronization, oscillations, information processing, motor control, movement, motor cortex, corticospinal coherence, movement disorders

INTRODUCTION

Oscillatory activity in the human cortex has been a focus of research ever since Hans Berger observed a strong alpha rhythm that modulates with opening and closing of the eyes or, more general, with visual attention (Berger, 1929). It soon was discovered that this rhythm originates from the occipital lobes (Adrian and Matthews, 1934a) and can be dissociated from another alpha rhythm close to the central sulcus, where also strong beta oscillations can be found that show suppression upon tactile stimulation (Jasper and Andrews, 1938). Later studies would reveal that these Rolandic rhythms also modulate with passive, voluntary and imagined movements (Jasper and Penfield, 1949; Gastaut, 1952; Chatrian et al., 1959). These observations prompted the by now traditional classification of frequency bands and the search for a relation with human functioning.

Oscillations picked up via EEG or MEG represent synchronous activity of many thousands of anatomically aligned neurons. Much work has been done to reveal the mechanisms underlying this synchronized, oscillatory firing (Adrian and Yamagiwa, 1935; Freeman, 1975; Hogan and Fitzpatrick, 1988; Lopes Da Silva, 1991; Basar, 1998). Cortico-thalamic loops (Lopes Da Silva et al., 1974; Steriade et al., 1990; Lumer et al., 1997a,b; Hughes and Crunelli, 2005), as well as the interplay between inhibitory interneurons and pyramidal cells (Lytton and Sejnowski, 1991; Cobb et al., 1995; Whittington et al., 1995; Buzsáki, 2006), proved to be crucial factors in this regard. In fact the mere anatomical architecture can yield self-sustaining rhythmic activity, i.e., neural oscillations in the absence of any (non-constant) input, which triggered the idea that alpha and beta oscillations act as an "idling" rhythm (Adrian and Matthews, 1934b; Pfurtscheller et al., 1996). It is currently believed, however, that the amplitude of the alpha rhythm signifies the degree of cortical inhibition (Klimesch et al., 2007), and there are indications for a similar role for the beta rhythm (Engel and Fries, 2010).

Oscillations may not only change locally due to an altered amplitude of (de-)synchronization within a population, but also display distinct patterns of synchronization with distant populations. It has been suggested that these long-range synchronization patterns contribute to the solution of the so-called "binding problem": neural activity must be synchronized or "bound" so that their integration can represent a "gestalt" or pattern (Eckhorn et al., 1988; Gray et al., 1989; see also Singer and Gray, 1995 for an overview). For example, neural populations in visual cortex distinctively respond to features like color, shape, and motion. These features need to be integrated in order to form a compound percept, which, supported by various invasive recordings, is achieved through synchronization between neurons that encode different features of the same percept (Eckhorn et al., 1988; Engel et al., 1991; Kreiter and Singer, 1996; Fries et al., 1997). Precise timing of action potentials ensures maximal impact on a target population when its fluctuations in membrane potential reach a depolarized state. Input outside this time window is less effective. It may therefore yield a selection mechanism for competing oscillatory input (Roelfsema et al., 1996; Womelsdorf et al., 2007). For inter-areal distances longer than 2 mm, oscillations may have an important role in establishing in-phase synchronization (Konig et al., 1995).

While the relevance of synchronized oscillations for feature binding can be readily extended from visual processing to other sensory modalities like the olfactory (Freeman, 1978) or the auditory system (Aertsen et al., 1991; Vaadia et al., 1991), for the motor system this seems less obvious. One may view temporal binding as a more gestalt-like definition of motor programs, represented through cell assemblies (Wickens et al., 1994; Hommel, 2004). Alternatively, oscillations in the motor cortex may just modulate with attention to fine-tune sensorimotor control (Murthy and Fetz, 1992; Farmer, 1998). In either case, successful motor functioning depends on the interactions between multiple regions, including frontal, parietal, cerebellar, and subcortical areas, as well as the spinal cord. Proper timing of these interactions may well be critical. If synchronization is indeed an effective means for neural communication (Varela et al., 2001), then one can expect that also the motor system capitalizes on this capacity (Singer, 1994). In fact, alpha/mu, beta, and gamma oscillations do show strong movement-related modulations in large parts of the motor system (see, e.g., Ohara et al., 2001; Gross et al., 2005; Pollok et al., 2005; Chevne et al., 2008; Houweling et al., 2008; van Wijk et al., 2012).

What do these modulations tell us about motor control? How do they lead to coordinated movements? How is information transferred to the spinal cord? The aim of this review is to answer these questions by offering a comprehensive but not necessarily complete overview of experimental results and to discuss what we believe are current key findings. Previous reviews focused primarily on more isolated aspects of synchronization in the motor system, for instance, the communication between sensorimotor cortex and spinal cord (Brown, 2000; Salenius and Hari, 2003; Baker, 2007), the role of beta oscillations (Engel and Fries, 2010; Jenkinson and Brown, 2011), physiological and pathological tremor (Schnitzler et al., 2006), and other disease states (Brown, 2007). By contrast, we here intend to cover the entire functional spectrum of synchronization in motor control. For this we integrate findings from multiple recording techniques, frequency bands, and (sub-)cortical regions of the motor system. We sketch these studies in light of current debates and methodological challenges regarding the interpretation of movement-related synchronization patterns.

M1 OSCILLATIONS

The threshold for evoking movements by electrical stimulation is lowest in the primary motor cortex (Brodmann's area 4 or simply M1) (Fritsch and Hitzig, 1870; Penfield and Boldrey, 1937). Mapping studies have revealed a somatotopical organization in M1 with projections to either a single motor unit pool or a group of muscles, and functionally related pyramidal tract neurons (PTNs) that appear to be organized in small clusters (Asanuma et al., 1979). The encoding of movement patterns occurs via intricate connections within and between cortical modules, of which PTNs only form a minority of cells (Keller, 1993). Here, we focus in particular on empirical evidence for a possible relation between oscillatory activity observed in M/EEG and the activity of PTNs (targeting the spinal cord). Can oscillations encode movement parameters like force and velocity and bind them in the generation of movement trajectories?

TASK-DEPENDENT MODULATIONS OF ERD AND ERS

The amplitude of M1 oscillations decreases in mu (8-13 Hz) and beta (13-30 Hz) bands prior to and during movement, followed by a post-movement rebound that exceeds the resting state level (Pfurtscheller and Lopes Da Silva, 1999). The time course of this event-related desynchronization (ERD) and synchronization (ERS) relates to several movement parameters. More forceful movements are accompanied by stronger mu and beta desynchronization (Stancak and Pfurtscheller, 1996; Stancak et al., 1997; Mima et al., 1999) and longer ERS (Stancak et al., 1997). The type of movement seems not to influence beta ERD but increases ERS when more muscle mass is involved, as demonstrated by stronger ERS for wrist compared to finger movement (Pfurtscheller et al., 1998) and shoulder compared to finger movement (Stancak et al., 2000). Movement duration has little to no effect on both ERD and ERS (Stancak and Pfurtscheller, 1996; Cassim et al., 2000). However, with increasing movement frequency the beta ERD becomes stronger and the ERS becomes less pronounced until the ERD and ERS peaks become almost indistinguishable (Toma et al., 2002; Houweling et al., 2010a). Also, ERD increases with complexity of sequential finger movements (Manganotti et al., 1998; Hummel et al., 2003).

Differences in mu and beta activation patterns imply that the two rhythms are independent, at least to a certain degree, and may thus have distinct functional relevance. During the early stages of movement execution especially mu desynchronization is spatially diffuse and only becomes somatotopically more focused when movements are sustained (Crone et al., 1998b). Compared to mu activation, beta suppression is more spatially focused (Crone et al., 1998b) and starts a little earlier, usually ending in a quicker and more noticeable ERS (Salmelin and Hari, 1994; Pfurtscheller et al., 1996; Alegre et al., 2003; Erbil and Ungan, 2007). Mu and beta modulations are also likely to originate from distinct sources located in postcentral (somatosensory) and precentral (motor) cortex, respectively (Salmelin and Hari, 1994; Salmelin et al., 1995; Cheyne et al., 2003; Ritter et al., 2009). Furthermore, mu synchronization and beta synchronization with motoneurons (MNs) in the spinal cord are clearly separate, as will be outlined below.

Event-related beta desynchronization already starts 2 s before movement in M1 contralateral to the active hand, and becomes bilateral during movement. As the pre-movement ERD is relatively unrelated to movement parameters, it has often been ascribed to an unspecific, general state of movement preparation (Neuper and Pfurtscheller, 2001). A lateralized ERD occurs when information is available which hand to move (Doyle et al., 2005; van Wijk et al., 2009). This is expressed by stronger power suppression over the hemisphere contralateral to the response hand, which is also accompanied by faster reaction times compared to a non-lateralized ERD. However, only in the final 100 ms the pre-movement preparation appears accompanied by increased corticospinal excitability (Chen et al., 1998; Leocani et al., 2000). Using transcranial magnetic stimulation, Chen et al. (1998) found a decrease in corticospinal excitability around the time of ERS. This is in keeping with the proposed movement inactivation function of ERS to recover from previous activation (Pfurtscheller et al., 1996).

Elevated beta activity is also present during tonic muscle contractions and disappears during movement. This led to the hypothesis that beta synchrony plays a role in stabilizing current motor output and, by the same token, in preventing initiation of new movements. A causal relation between increased beta oscillations and movement suppression was shown by Pogosyan et al. (2009) who entrained cortical beta oscillations with a transcranial alternating-current stimulation at 20 Hz. During episodes of stimulation, fast goal-directed movements were executed slower, while reaction time remained unaffected. This effect is specific to the beta band and does not occur for entrainment with 5 Hz oscillations. A similar slowing occurs when movements are initiated during spontaneous bursts of beta-frequency oscillations in the ongoing resting-state activity (Gilbertson et al., 2005). An upregulation of beta synchrony is also used as an active mechanism to suppress unwanted motor output when subjects need to anticipate an upcoming perturbation of finger position (Androulidakis et al., 2007). Another example is the increased beta synchrony in a go/no-go task when movement needs to be withdrawn (Alegre et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2008; Swann et al., 2009). These studies indicate that also an up-regulation of beta synchrony can be exploited in a behaviorally relevant way.

NEURONAL ACTIVITY UNDERLYING POPULATION OSCILLATIONS

In view of the binding-by-synchrony hypothesis, the justdiscussed M1 power modulations in mu and beta bands may appear somewhat counterintuitive since synchronized firing in M1 disappears as soon as movements are executed. One may indeed expect a larger impact on MNs in the spinal cord when corticospinal inputs arrive simultaneously. Invasive recordings in the macaque motor cortex have been very helpful in tackling this issue because they highlight the spiking behavior of individual PTNs during oscillations of the M1 population.

Spikes of single neurons are phase-locked to the beta oscillations in the local field potentials (LFP) during periods in which beta oscillations are well pronounced (Murthy and Fetz, 1996b; Baker et al., 1997; Denker et al., 2007), though more variable relations have also been observed (Donoghue et al., 1998). While the spiking of individual PTNs may be only weakly coherent with the local LFP, summing over a population of PTNs strongly increases the phase locking to the LFP oscillations (Baker et al., 2003). More subtle synchronization between PTNs occurs between cell pairs that project to the same muscle fields as opposed to cell pairs with non-overlapping fields (Jackson et al., 2003). In particular the latter indicates that coordinated activity patterns are functionally organized and that synchronization may be important for individual neurons to cooperate.

The spiking activity of PTNs during movement execution, when both LFP beta power and synchrony with individual spiking neurons drop, strongly increases and shows a distinct inverse relation with LFP beta power (Baker et al., 2001; Spinks et al., 2008). The increase in firing rate reaches towards or into the gamma range (>30 Hz) (Baker et al., 2001; Grammont and Riehle, 2003). Although the inverse relation with LFP beta power emerges on a broad time span and does hence not reflect an instantaneous coupling (Spinks et al., 2008), it still suggests that an increased firing rate constitutes a prime mechanism to initiate changes in muscle

activity. Interestingly, this may link to visual attention for which Bressler (1990) suggested that the attentional decrease in alpha and increase in gamma oscillations might both be distinct aspects of a shift in firing rate towards the gamma range, which might be a generic information-carrier across the cortex.

INCREASED GAMMA BAND OSCILLATIONS AROUND MOVEMENT ONSET

Invasive, single unit recordings are not the only means to measure an increase in firing rate of PTNs into the gamma range during movement execution. ECoG, EEG, and MEG have revealed bursts of gamma activity, peaking just before movement onset (Pfurtscheller et al., 1993), around movement onset (Pfurtscheller and Neuper, 1992), or slightly after it (Ohara et al., 2001; Pfurtscheller et al., 2003; Cheyne et al., 2008; Muthukumaraswamy, 2010), and they re-appear around movement offset (Szurhaj et al., 2005; Ball et al., 2008). Gamma bursts are only present in the hemisphere contralateral to movement where they have a focal somatotopic representation (Crone et al., 1998a; Szurhaj et al., 2005; Miller et al., 2007). Crone et al. (1998a) made a distinction between low (35-50 Hz) and high (75-100 Hz) gamma synchronization. Low-gamma ERS starts after movement onset and is sustained during almost the entire movement, whereas high-gamma ERS starts slightly earlier but is much more transient. Interestingly, the amplitude of the movement-related gamma increase may vary with movement direction, as observed in LFP (Rickert et al., 2005) and ECoG (Leuthardt et al., 2004; Ball et al., 2009) recordings. These studies provide support for a positive correlation between cortical gamma activity and movement execution. While tonic muscle contractions are promoted by beta oscillations, changes in motor output require gamma activity to arise.

CORTICO-SPINAL SYNCHRONIZATION

Synchronized activity in M1 is modulated in the mu, beta, and gamma frequency bands during various stages of movement planning and execution. Are these oscillations also used to transfer motor commands to the spinal cord? Or framed differently, does synchronization occur between M1 and MN activity? And, if so, does it follow the same modulations as seen in the cortex or is it more than just a consequence of cortical drive?

RHYTHMIC ACTIVITY OF MOTONEURONS

A prerequisite for corticospinal synchronization is the presence of oscillatory MN activity. The firing of a single MN leads to a motor unit action potential (MUAP) that induces muscle fiber contraction. For an effective muscle contraction sequential stimulation is necessary to build up force. As a consequence, the firing rate of MNs determines motor unit output and ranges from 6 Hz in rest to 35 Hz during forceful isometric contractions. During faster contractions the firing rate may increase up to transient high-frequency bursts (80–120 Hz) as in the case of very rapid, ballistic movements (Freund, 1983). We note that single MUAPs can be recorded intramuscularly using wire-EMG, whereas surface-EMG captures summed MUAPs. The EMG signal is often rectified prior to estimating its spectral density to augment power related to MN firing rate (Myers et al., 2003). This information is contained primarily in frequencies below 40 Hz and the spectrum approaches the MUAP spectrum for higher frequencies. For these low frequencies, invasive recordings revealed synchronized spiking activity between pairs of motor units, which are likely caused by a common, central input (Farmer et al., 1993; Marsden et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2001; Kilner et al., 2002). This synchronization is not confined to motor units within the same muscle, but can also be observed between different muscles of the same limb (Kilner et al., 1999; Boonstra et al., 2008), as well as homologous muscles of the other limb (Boonstra et al., 2007b, 2008, 2009). With MN firing rate being such an important modulator of muscle activity, it might be entrained by cortical oscillations.

TASK-DEPENDENT MODULATIONS IN CORTICOSPINAL SYNCHRONIZATION

M1 and contralateral muscle activity are weakly but significantly synchronized. This is most prominent in the beta frequency range during weak tonic muscle contractions (Conway et al., 1995; Salenius et al., 1997; Halliday et al., 1998; Gross et al., 2000) and disappears during movement (Baker et al., 1997; Kilner et al., 2000). Occasionally, significant mu synchronization can be detected but is less consistent over subjects (Salenius et al., 1997; Mima et al., 1999). Beta band corticospinal coherence is increased for isometric muscle contractions at moderate force levels (Witte et al., 2007; Chakarov et al., 2009). During (nearly) maximal force production the peak frequency shifts into the gamma range (Brown et al., 1998; Mima et al., 1999). Likewise, gamma corticospinal synchronization arises when rapid adjustments in force output in response to visual information are needed (Schoffelen et al., 2005; Andrykiewicz et al., 2007; Omlor et al., 2007). Furthermore, without visual input, gamma synchronization during phasic muscle contractions has been observed between ECoG and EMG (Marsden et al., 2000).

Both cortical power and corticospinal coherence in the beta band are enhanced when generated muscle force is kept constant around a certain target level with high precision (Kristeva et al., 2007; Witte et al., 2007). By contrast, coherence drops when attention is divided between the motor task and a simultaneously performed mental arithmetic task (Kristeva-Feige et al., 2002; Safri et al., 2007; Johnson et al., 2011). An up-regulation of corticospinal beta synchronization can be used to facilitate action selection by inhibiting the non-selected response hand (van Wijk et al., 2009). In sum, these results show that the role of beta oscillations in stabilizing motor output is not limited to the cortical level but extends to the spinal cord.

Remarkably, the strength of corticospinal synchronization depends on recent motor engagement. Larger digit displacement during a hold-ramp-hold task significantly increases beta corticospinal coherence (Riddle and Baker, 2006). Likewise, Omlor et al. (2011) showed that beta corticospinal coherence is strongly increased during isometric muscle contractions following a period of dynamic force production compared to after rest. Producing dynamic force that is unpredictable even further enhances coherence afterwards. The increase in coherence and the preceding cortical beta desynchronization were negatively correlated, while differences in accuracy of task performance were absent (Omlor et al., 2011). This suggests that after larger motor-related neural processing a stronger corticospinal synchronization is required to establish stable force production.

IS CORTICOSPINAL SYNCHRONIZATION INDEPENDENT FROM MODULATIONS IN CORTICAL POWER?

The task-dependent modulations of corticospinal synchronization often coincide with similar changes in spectral power in motor cortex and in muscle activity. The question arises whether corticospinal synchronization is an independent, functional mechanism or merely a consequence (or by-product) of local synchronization. We note that if corticospinal synchronization is estimated using measures that explicitly depend on spectral power (e.g., coherence), the changes in power will certainly reflect on corticospinal synchronization estimates. Despite such technical caveats, there seems to be experimental support for the independence of power and corticospinal synchrony as they can be modulated separately.

In a study by Baker and Baker (2003), subjects performed a simple hold-ramp-hold task after administration of diazepam, a benzodiazepine that enhances inhibitory post-synaptic potentials via GABA_A receptors. While the amplitude of EEG beta power doubled in size, corticospinal coherence remained unaffected and even showed a slight decrease. In a subsequent study it was shown that the antiepileptic drug carbamazepine has an opposite effect: corticospinal synchronization is boosted while cortical power remains unaffected (Riddle et al., 2004). However, in this case the EMG power was also increased, which according to the authors might have occurred due to altered properties of muscle spindle afferents.

Whether or not corticospinal synchronization can be modulated independently of spectral power under natural conditions remains to be seen (see **Figure 1**). Given the time-locked firing of PTNs during episodes of increased beta oscillations, one may expect a strong dependence of corticospinal synchronization on cortical power. Then, synchronization readily occurs when MNs respond to the oscillatory input they receive. As outlined in more detail below, however, we consider this view an oversimplification because the contribution of afferent pathways is ignored.

MERE ENTRAINMENT OR INTERACTION VIA AFFERENTS?

Corticospinal synchronization can emerge through a unidirectional coupling from cortex to spinal cord. Such synchronization implies that MN activity is entrained by efferent cortical activity. On the other hand, cortical motor areas do receive strong input from the periphery either via the somatosensory cortex or projections from thalamic nuclei (Lemon and Vanderburg, 1979). This information may be used to adjust the cortical drive depending on the current motor state. Hints at a more involved mechanism of corticospinal synchronization can be obtained by estimating the according phase delay. In the case of a sole efferent drive, a fixed delay should be present that corresponds to the time it takes for an action potential to travel from the cortex to its target MN. This means that the phase delay would increase linearly with frequency. Although some experimental findings favor this view (Gross et al., 2000), the phase delay in question has been found to be too short compared to physiological conduction



and stimulus, which required static force production, significant beta band coherence between the EMG and sensors overlying the contralateral motor cortex was observed (**left panels**). The same electrodes showed a brief cue-related drop in spectral power (**middle panels**). Looking at the different time courses for power, corticospinal coherence, and corticospinal relative phase uniformity [also referred to as "phase-locking index" (Mardia, 1972;

times (Salenius et al., 1997; Brown et al., 1998; Mima et al., 2000b; Riddle and Baker, 2005; Houweling et al., 2010b), to contain both leading and lagging features relative to cortical activity (Muthuraman et al., 2008; Williams et al., 2009), or to be constant (Halliday et al., 1998; Riddle and Baker, 2005).

This mishmash is not the only reason that to date there is no real consensus about the contribution of afferent pathways to corticospinal synchronization. Support for a role of afferent feedback comes from a study by Riddle and Baker (2005), which showed that increasing corticospinal conduction times induced via arm cooling resulted in a decrease in corticospinal coherence that cannot be explained by a change in efferent drive only. The reported additional time delay between cortex and spinal cord due to arm cooling was twice the conduction time in one direction, suggesting that bi-directional pathways contributed to the generation of coherence. In contrast, Gerloff et al. (2006) ruled out any contribution from sensory pathways in a patient population with interhemispheric reorganization of motor areas due to pyramidal tract lesions. In these patients, the other hemisphere had substituted the function of M1 in the affected hemisphere, but not that of S1. Due to the clear spatial separation between S1 and M1, the origin of corticospinal coherence could be pinpointed to M1.

Lachaux et al., 1999)], the modulations in the pre-cue–stimulus interval could be decomposed into a slow, sustained modulation and the linearly superimposed brief cue-related drop (**right panels**). Remarkably, corticospinal phase uniformity only showed a sustained modulation, suggesting that the cue-related drop was not transferred to the spinal cord. On the other hand, corticospinal coherence explicitly depends on spectral power and was hence unable to discriminate between the different modulations in cortical and corticospinal synchronization. Alternatively, the cue-related drop might originate from nearby cortical sources that do not have projections to the spinal cord. For more details, see van Wijk et al. (2008).

The direction of information flow between cortex and spinal cord can also be inferred using directionality measures like Granger causality and the directed transfer function (for alternative measures see, e.g., Nolte et al., 2008; Muskulus et al., 2009). Mima et al. (2001) found the efferent coherence from cortex to spinal cord to be larger than in the opposite direction, although afferent coherence was still significant (Tsujimoto et al., 2009). Witham et al. (2011) even reported a stronger coherence in the afferent direction in a subpopulation of subjects. Gross et al. (2002) looked at the directionality of synchronization between sensorimotor cortex and the EMG for the 6-9 Hz discontinuities that can be observed during smooth movements. Using source analysis, they showed that the sign of directionality reversed around the central sulcus, with predominantly efferent coupling for the motor cortex and afferent coupling for the somatosensory cortex. Oscillatory activity also appears to be present in the firing of group Ia afferents that carry information about changes in muscle fiber length (Baker et al., 2006). Brovelli et al. (2004) reported directional beta band coherence from somatosensory cortex to primary motor cortex. These mechanisms could in fact serve to close the feedback loop to the motor cortex and allow for sensorimotor integration. If so, corticospinal synchronization

constitutes an intricate mechanism for improving motor performance by means of a bi-directional coupling between cortex and spinal cord rather than a mere reflection of an efferent drive.

CORTICO-CORTICAL SYNCHRONIZATION

Obviously, contralateral primary motor cortex is not the only brain region that is involved in motor control. Depending on the task at hand, premotor, parietal, subcortical, and cerebellar areas are active in both contra- and ipsilateral hemispheres. Given the abundant evidence for synchronization between motor cortex and spinal cord, it can be expected that similar mechanisms also mediate communication between these areas. Studying these mechanisms is a challenge: whereas the distance between motor cortex and limb muscles is large enough to avoid interference of neural activity in the recorded signals, the activity of different brain regions is more difficult to discriminate with non-invasive techniques.

NON-INVASIVE STUDIES

Several EEG and MEG studies have shown cortico-cortical synchronization patterns indicating distinct forms of neural communication. Beta band coherence between motor and visual cortex is increased when subjects perform a visuomotor tracking task and decreased when the visual stimulus is a mere distractor (Classen et al., 1998). Interhemispheric beta band coherence between motor areas arises during both unimanual and bimanual rhythmic movements (Mima et al., 2000a; Gross et al., 2005), and increases with task complexity (Gerloff et al., 1998; Manganotti et al., 1998; Gross et al., 2005). Conflicting results have been found regarding the effect of movement rate on interhemispheric coherence (Serrien and Brown, 2002; Toma et al., 2002). In line with the involvement of SMA in self-initiated movements, coherence between sensorimotor cortex and mesial premotor areas is larger for internally compared to externally paced movements (Gerloff et al., 1998; Serrien, 2008). During auditory-cued finger tapping, Pollok et al. (2005) found an extensive network of activated brain regions including M1, SMA, premotor cortex, posterior parietal cortex, auditory cortex, thalamus, and cerebellum. Across these areas significant coherence could be observed, mainly in the alpha band. In a similar study, inter-cerebellar coherence was found to be increased for bimanual synchronous finger tapping (Pollok et al., 2007).

Learning a complex motor task can alter cortico-cortical synchronization. Andres et al. (1999) trained subjects to integrate two unimanual tapping sequences into a new, bimanual sequence. Initially, interhemispheric mu and beta band coherence between sensorimotor areas increased during the training period. After successful learning of the bimanual sequence the interhemispheric coherence was decreased again. Hence, when a bimanual skill has been acquired, less interhemispheric interaction is needed to perform the task. Similar decreases in interhemispheric coherence were obtained by Serrien and Brown (2003), along with a strong initial increase in interhemispheric gamma coherence between pre-frontal areas. The latter may reflect an increased cognitive demand needed to learn a novel motor task. Also perceptual learning of a tactile discrimination task may alter functional connectivity between sensorimotor areas (Freyer et al., 2012). In addition to cortical interregional synchronization, motor learning is also associated with changes in spectral power (Boonstra et al., 2007a; Houweling et al., 2008) and synchronization between motor cortex and spinal cord (Houweling et al., 2010b). These findings suggest that neural activation patterns do not only relate to movement execution but also to one's familiarity with or expertise in the motor task. That is, movement-related synchronization patterns are not permanently configured but can be "reshaped" dynamically with experience.

SYNCHRONIZATION OR VOLUME CONDUCTION?

A major obstacle in studying cortico-cortical synchronization using non-invasive recording techniques is the presence of volume conduction. Because of the distance between the recording sites and the cortical tissue, activity of a single dipole source will be picked up by multiple electrodes/sensors. Furthermore, the conductivity properties of different tissues between cortex and recording sites lead to a blurring of electric potentials on the scalp. The latter affects EEG, whereas the distance between sensors and cortex is larger for MEG. As a result, the activity of neighboring recording sites is highly coherent with a zero-lag phase difference. Due to this effect, Srinivasan et al. (2007) estimated that the coherence between EEG electrodes separated by less than 10 cm is considerably elevated, as well as a small effect for widely separated electrodes (>20 cm). For MEG, substantial field-spread effects on the coherence estimates occur for sensors separated by less than 15 cm. As two underlying sources may show genuine in-phase locking, it becomes very difficult if not impossible to distinguish true in-phase synchronization from volume conduction artifacts (see Figure 2).

Another consequence of volume conduction is a bias in both amplitude and relative phase estimates of two sources, as demonstrated by Tognoli and Kelso (2009). Due to the superposition of activity from multiple sources at the sensor level, the amplitude of anti-phase coupled oscillators is diminished and amplified for in-phase coupled oscillators. Moreover, the relative phase between oscillators at the source level coupled with a phase lag other than zero will appear more in-phase synchronized at the sensor level. This implies that the synchronization patterns observed in EEG/MEG recordings might not reflect the amplitude and phase coupling between sources. The authors further show that a "waxing and waning" pattern, as can be observed in occipital alpha activity, can result from two non-interacting oscillators that periodically approach an inor anti-phase phase relation. On the whole, the superposition of source activity complicates interpretation of non-invasively observed synchronization patterns to say the least.

Attempts to circumvent the volume conduction problem involve the use of different measures of functional connectivity that either look at phase synchronization that is not centered around zero or $\pm 180^{\circ}$ (Nolte et al., 2004; Stam et al., 2007), or try to decompose the sensor signal into separate sources while preserving the interactions among them (Meinecke et al., 2005; Gomez-Herrero et al., 2008; Marzetti et al., 2008). Although these methods are effective in diminishing volume conduction effects (the first two are in fact fully invariant against zero-lag volume conduction), they are also very conservative as all true in- or



anti-phase synchronization is ignored. Applying inverse methods to estimate synchronization on the source level does not solve the problem (Schoffelen and Gross, 2009). Probably the best way to avoid spurious synchronization from volume conduction effects is to record brain activity invasively.

INTRACRANIAL STUDIES

LFP recordings in the macaque revealed episodes of synchronous beta oscillations. Murthy and Fetz (1996a) reported synchronous oscillations between sites within motor areas, between pre- and post-central sites and occasionally also between bilateral motor areas. Oscillations occurred more often and were stronger during exploratory movements compared to rest but seemed unrelated to specific movement phases. More systematic modulations in relation to movement have also been found for intra- and inter-hemispheric synchronization. Synchronization within and between primary motor cortex and premotor areas is present in the time period before movement and ceases prior to movement initiation (Sanes and Donoghue, 1993). The activity of bilateral M1s shows a larger correlation around movement onset of synchronous bimanual movements (de Oliveira et al., 2001). In contrast, the period during movement execution is characterized by a decrease in interhemispheric correlation. In addition, an in-phase synchronization in the 10–40 Hz range can be observed during isometric contractions between deep cerebellar nuclei and bilateral M1s (Soteropoulos and Baker, 2006).

In humans, Ohara et al. (2001) found an increased coherence for frequencies <50 Hz around movement onset between S1 and M1 within the same hemisphere. They also observed increases in predominantly mu band coherence between S1/M1 and SMA that started almost 1 s before movement onset and peaked after movement. The time course of coherence, however, did not fully agree with changes in spectral power, which started earlier and were maximal for higher frequencies. The data were obtained from ECoG recordings in epilepsy patients during self-paced brisk finger extension. For the majority of subjects, synchronized regions showed a phase lag close to zero.

One is tempted to conclude that true in-phase synchrony is present across the cortex and is modulated in service of motor function. If so, the synchrony should have the capacity to carry information relevant for motor performance and/or its control. In fact, first evidence for information carried in synchronized neural activity comes from the study of Stark et al. (2008), who recorded single- and multi-unit activity in premotor areas of two monkeys. The monkeys performed a prehension task with a short delay period within which information about reach direction and grip type (precision or opposition) had to be memorized. During this period, most single and multi-unit activity recorded showed a preference for reach direction and, to a lesser extent, grip type but not so much for their combination. However, pair-wise cross-correlation between multi-unit activity revealed specificity to the combination of reach direction and grip type. This information was not apparent from singleunit recordings but only in the averaged activity of small groups of neurons and the synchronization did not have an oscillatory nature.

ABNORMAL SYNCHRONIZATION PATTERNS IN MOTOR DYSFUNCTION

Altered synchronization patterns due to disease states that give rise to motor impairment can provide important insight into activation patterns underlying healthy motor functioning. We here sketch some seminal examples.

PARKINSON'S DISEASE

The bradykinesia seen in Parkinson's disease is intimately related to excessive beta oscillations in the basal ganglia (for a review, see Brown, 2007; Hammond et al., 2007). Both treatment with dopaminergic drugs and high frequency deep brain stimulation (DBS) in the subthalamic nucleus (STN) or globus pallidus leads to suppression of the excessive beta activity (Brown et al., 2001, 2004; Priori et al., 2004; Wingeier et al., 2006). Moreover, the degree of suppression in STN correlates with clinical improvement (Kuhn et al., 2006, 2008, 2009; Weinberger et al., 2006; Ray et al., 2008). The effect of DBS depends on the frequency of stimulation. In contrast to high frequency stimulation (100–180 Hz), stimulation of the STN with 20 Hz slows movement execution (Chen et al., 2007), suggesting a causal relation between the excessive oscillations and bradykinesia. Interestingly, the phase pattern of stimulation also modifies effects of DBS as phase resetting is known for its capacity to desynchronize oscillatory neural populations (Tass, 1999, 2002; Hauptmann et al., 2009). Although resting state oscillatory activity in M1 seems hardly affected in Parkinson's disease (Brown, 2007; but see Goldberg et al., 2002; Vardy et al., 2011), movement-related ERD is delayed and lower in amplitude compared to healthy controls while ERS is diminished (Defebvre et al., 1998; Wang et al., 1999; Magnani et al., 2002; Devos et al., 2003a,b). In addition, corticospinal beta synchronization levels are reduced in untreated state, while corticospinal synchronization for lower frequencies associated with tremor is enhanced (Salenius et al., 2002). Cortico-cortical beta synchronization is elevated in untreated state as well (Silberstein et al., 2005). Levodopa administration and DBS also restores these patterns towards normal levels.

ESSENTIAL TREMOR

One of the most prevalent movement disorders is essential tremor (Lorenz and Deuschl, 2007). It is characterized by a bilateral action tremor in arms and hands of around 6–12 Hz. Its origin lies in the central nervous system but cannot be pinpointed

to a single area. Instead, a network of regions is believed to be involved in its generation, including the inferior olive, cerebellum, thalamus, and motor cortex (Raethjen and Deuschl, 2012). Schnitzler et al. (2009) reported coherent activity among these regions at the tremor frequency and its harmonics. This activity is propagated to the spinal cord as revealed by significant corticospinal coherence at the tremor frequency (Hellwig et al., 2001). However, despite the tremor being quite steady over time, the corticospinal coherence appears intermittently (Raethjen et al., 2007). This may hint at the involvement of other (sub)cortical regions within the identified network in the propagation of oscillatory activity to the spinal cord. It has been submitted that abnormal synchronization patterns within similar networks might also underlie other types of tremors (Schnitzler et al., 2009). In healthy subjects, one can observe a physiological tremor in the same frequency range as the essential tremor but it typically has much smaller EMG amplitude. Physiological tremor occurs during smooth continuous movements and appears coherent with contralateral M1 (Gross et al., 2002). A reason that these oscillations are only small under normal circumstances might be that circuits in the spinal cord counteract the descending 10 Hz drive from the brain through phase cancellation (Williams et al., 2010).

MIRROR MOVEMENTS

Unilateral movements may evoke unintended muscle activity of the passive limb. In healthy subjects, mirror movements are more frequently seen in young children, whose corpus callosum is not yet fully myelinated, and in elderly, who undergo atrophy of white matter pathways. In both cases they are expressed more when fatigued (Duque et al., 2005). The cause for the emergence of mirror movements might be either uncrossed ipsilateral corticospinal pathways or improper suppression of interhemispheric crosstalk (Shibasaki and Nagae, 1984; Britton et al., 1991; Daffertshofer et al., 1999, 2005). Still, the contribution of ipsilateral and bilateral activation pathways is under debate (for review see Addamo et al., 2007). In line with the bilateral activation theory, Huo et al. (2011) showed ipsilateral gamma synchronization around unimanual movement onset in children, the incidence of which declined with age. Such ipsilateral activity is absent in adults. Mirror movements may also occur under pathological conditions and they are particularly pronounced in the case of callosal damage (Dennis, 1976; Bonzano et al., 2008). A case study of a patient suffering from congenital mirror movements showed significant corticospinal coherence for the passive hand with the ipsilateral but not with the contralateral motor cortex (Pohja et al., 2002). Equivalent results were found for two patients with X-linked Kallmann's syndrome, a third patient showed coherence with bilateral motor cortices (Farmer et al., 2004). In these cases, mirror movements are likely to arise from uncrossed pathways. These examples demonstrate that the origin of mirror movements could be inferred by looking at synchronization patterns.

DISCUSSION

Causal relations between encephalographic signals and motor behavior are difficult to demonstrate and synchronization can be

epiphenomenal to power changes for a variety of reasons. There are, however, several findings that refute the latter possibility. For instance, the aforementioned study by Pogosvan et al. (2009) showed a slowing of movements with experimentally entrained beta oscillations. Also, DBS studies in patients with Parkinson's disease revealed that stimulation at 20 Hz deteriorates motor function (Chen et al., 2007), whereas higher stimulation frequencies yield a break-down of abnormal synchronization patterns and, in consequence, result in clinical improvement (Kuhn et al., 2006, 2008, 2009; Weinberger et al., 2006; Ray et al., 2008). Admittedly, corticospinal synchronization values are typically low albeit significant and therefore seem to be of little functional relevance. Modeling work suggests that the impact of corticospinal synchronization might be masked by the nonlinear properties of MNs (Williams and Baker, 2009; Negro and Farina, 2011). Also, a true effect of synchronization often becomes only apparent on a population level as the activity of single neurons might be only weakly correlated to the ensemble average (Baker et al., 2003). Oscillatory activity in single neurons can be difficult to detect but it is apparent when summing over multiple neurons (Donoghue et al., 1998). We note, however, that the neurons of isolated pair-wise interactions are always embedded in a network of numerous interacting neurons, i.e., a neural population. It is therefore fair to assume that a functional role of synchronization lies in its capacity for *population coding* rather than its impact on single neuron activity.

We further note that the aforementioned representation of motor programs via (temporally bound) cell assemblies (Wickens et al., 1994; Hommel, 2004) is in line with the concept of population coding. Assemblies may be formed across spatially distributed sites that functionally contribute to the same movement feature. They form small networks that show coordinated (sequential) activity when their movement feature is expressed. Interestingly, Brown and Marsden (2001) reported coherence between the cortical representations of wrist flexion and extension when they act in unison, compared to no such binding when the muscle contractions were executed separately. Neurons may be part of multiple assemblies encoding different movement features and may shape new assemblies during motor learning.

Despite the omnipresence of synchronized neural activity across the brain, some skepticism about its role as information carrier persists (Shadlen and Movshon, 1999). In many cases, it is difficult to distinguish synchronization from rate coding, in which the discharge frequency of neuronal populations conveys taskrelated information. An example of rate coding is the so-called population vector for movement direction in M1 (Georgopoulos et al., 1986). In fact, synchronization and rate coding often correlate. The reason for this might be trivial as a higher spike rate increases the probability of detecting coinciding spikes that will be labeled as synchronized. This confounder should be taken into account in determining significance of synchronization though temporal variations in spike rate do complicate a clear-cut separation of true synchronization and spike rate modulations. There is even experimental evidence in favor of a role of rate covariation, rather than synchronization, as a binding mechanism in

the visual system (Nirenberg et al., 2001; Golledge et al., 2003; Roelfsema et al., 2004). Also in the motor cortex synchronization has been found to provide no additional information on movement direction compared to spike frequency (Oram et al., 2001). Evidently, synchronization between neuronal populations might not be the only way in which brain regions communicate.

Importantly, rate coding and synchronization are not mutually exclusive mechanisms but may operate cooperatively. Synchronous discharges between cell pairs in M1 may show directional specificity different from their directional tuning curves based on firing rate (Hatsopoulos et al., 1998). Also, synchronization may arise both with and without alterations in neural firing rate. Grammont and Riehle (2003) showed that the time course of spike coincidence and firing rate display different modulations during movement preparation and execution. Episodes of increased spike coincidence mainly occur at the end of the preparation period, without an obvious relation to firing rate. During movement execution however, synchronization drops while firing rate strongly increases. Moreover, synchronization that is not accompanied by alterations in firing rate is associated with the processing of internal cognitive events and the concurrent modulation of synchronization and firing rate with external events (Riehle et al., 1997). Hence spike timing might not act alone in transferring information but might have an ally in firing rate modulations.

Inter-regional synchronization is usually studied using linear measures of interdependencies like cross-correlation, coherence, or iso-frequency (1:1) phase locking. However, given the complex behavior of small neural circuits, additional information might be contained in non-linear coupling, also on larger scales. On the single neuron level, more complex types of correlations than spike coincidence, like long-range correlations and common patterns of spikes might occur that are ignored with commonly used synchronization measures (Latham and Nirenberg, 2005). On a population level, coupling between frequency bands might occur. Measures of non-linear-interdependencies are more adequate in capturing these types of interactions. This includes crossfrequency (n:m) phase locking (Tass et al., 1998), bi-coherence, synchronization in state-space and information theoretic measures like mutual information (for an overview of commonly used linear and non-linear measures see Pereda et al., 2005). Only few studies have investigated non-linear interactions in the motor system (Calmels et al., 2008; Darvas et al., 2009; Muskulus et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2010; Jin et al., 2010). Interestingly, Chen et al. (2008) were able to detect interactions between bilateral motor areas and between muscle activity and ipsilateral motor cortex using cross mutual information but not with standard coherence analysis. By looking at non-linear brain interactions, future studies will certainly advance our understanding of neural activity accompanying motor control.

CONCLUSION

Synchronization seems to be an integral part of the neural control of movement. Throughout the motor system, regional and inter-regional synchronization patterns display task-related modulations. In order to understand how these activity patterns may possibly yield motor behavior, it is necessary to employ multiple recording techniques, data analysis tools, and modeling approaches. The paradoxical beta power decrease in M1 during movement can only be explained by the increased firing rates of the PTNs, hence boosting output to the spinal cord. This also underscores that neural communication is not solely achieved by synchronization. Rate coding and spike timing act conjointly

REFERENCES

- Addamo, P. K., Farrow, M., Hoy, K. E., Bradshaw, J. L., and Georgiou-Karistianis, N. (2007). The effects of age and attention on motor overflow production - a review. *Brain Res. Rev.* 54, 189–204.
- Adrian, E. D., and Matthews, B. H. C. (1934a). The berger rhythm potential changes from the occipital lobes in man. *Brain* 57, 355–385.
- Adrian, E. D., and Matthews, B. H. C. (1934b). The interpretation of potential waves in the cortex. *J. Physiol.* 81, 440–471.
- Adrian, E. D., and Yamagiwa, K. (1935). The origin of the berger rhythm. *Brain* 58, 317–351.
- Aertsen, A., Vaadia, E., Abeles, M., Ahissar, E., Bergman, H., Karmon, B., Lavner, Y., Margalit, E., Nelken, I., and Rotter, S. (1991). Neural interactions in the frontal cortex of a behaving monkey: signs of dependence on stimulus context and behavioural state. *J. Hirnforsch.* 32, 735–743.
- Alegre, M., Gurtubay, I. G., Labarga, A., Iriarte, J., Valencia, M., and Artieda, J. (2004). Frontal and central oscillatory changes related to different aspects of the motor process: a study in go/no-go paradigms. *Exp. Brain Res.* 159, 14–22.
- Alegre, M., Labarga, A., Gurtubay, I. G., Iriarte, J., Malanda, A., and Artieda, J. (2003). Movement-related changes in cortical oscillatory activity in ballistic, sustained and negative movements. *Exp. Brain Res.*148, 17–25.
- Andres, F. G., Mima, T., Schulman, A. E., Dichgans, J., Hallett, M., and Gerloff, C. (1999). Functional coupling of human cortical sensorimotor areas during bimanual skill acquisition. *Brain* 122, 855–870.
- Androulidakis, A. G., Doyle, L. M. F., Yarrow, K., Litvak, V., Gilbertson, T. P., and Brown, P. (2007). Anticipatory changes in beta synchrony in the human corticospinal system and associated improvements in task performance. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 25, 3758–3765.
- Andrykiewicz, A., Patino, L., Naranjo, J. R., Witte, M., Hepp-Reymond, M. C., and Kristeva, R. (2007).

Corticomuscular synchronization with small and large dynamic force output. *BMC Neurosci.* 8, 101.

- Asanuma, H., Zarzecki, P., Jankowska, E., Hongo, T., and Marcus, S. (1979). Projection of individual pyramidal tract neurons to lumbar motor nuclei of the monkey. *Exp. Brain Res.* 34, 73–89.
- Baker, M. R., and Baker, S. N. (2003). The effect of diazepam on motor cortical oscillations and corticomuscular coherence studied in man. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 546, 931–942.
- Baker, S. N. (2007). Oscillatory interactions between sensorimotor cortex and the periphery. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 17, 649–655.
- Baker, S. N., Chiu, M., and Fetz, E. E. (2006). Afferent encoding of central oscillations in the monkey arm. J. *Neurophysiol.* 95, 3904–3910.
- Baker, S. N., Olivier, E., and Lemon, R. N. (1997). Coherent oscillations in monkey motor cortex and hand muscle EMG show task-dependent modulation. J. Physiol. (Lond.) 501, 225–241.
- Baker, S. N., Pinches, E. M., and Lemon, R. N. (2003). Synchronization in monkey motor cortex during a precision grip task. II. Effect of oscillatory activity on corticospinal output. *J. Neurophysiol.* 89, 1941–1953.
- Baker, S. N., Spinks, R., Jackson, A., and Lemon, R. N. (2001). Synchronization in monkey motor cortex during a precision grip task. I. Task-dependent modulation in single-unit synchrony. J. Neurophysiol. 85, 869–885.
- Ball, T., Demandt, E., Mutschler, I., Neitzel, E., Mehring, C., Vogt, K., Aertsen, A., and Schulze-Bonhage, A. (2008). Movement related activity in the high gamma range of the human EEG. *Neuroimage* 41, 302–310.
- Ball, T., Schulze-Bonhage, A., Aertsen, A., and Mehring, C. (2009). Differential representation of arm movement direction in relation to cortical anatomy and function. *J. Neural Eng.* 6, 016006.
- Basar, E. (1998). "Brain functions and oscillations," in *Brain Oscillations*, *Principles and Approaches*, Vol. 1. Berlin: Springer.

during motor control. It is this combination that is pivotal for interpreting synchronization patterns.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research for financial support (NWO grant # 021-002-047).

- Berger, H. (1929). Über das elektroenkephalogramm des menschen. Arch. Psychiatr. Nervenkr. 87, 527–570.
- Bonzano, L., Tacchino, A., Roccatagliata, L., Abbruzzese, G., Mancardi, G. L., and Bove, M. (2008). Callosal contributions to simultaneous bimanual finger movements. J. Neurosci. 28, 3227–3233.
- Boonstra, T. W., Daffertshofer, A., Breakspear, M., and Beek, P. J. (2007a). Multivariate time-frequency analysis of electromagnetic brain activity during bimanual motor learning. *Neuroimage* 36, 370–377.
- Boonstra, T. W., Daffertshofer, A., van As, E., van der Vlugt, S., and Beek, P. J. (2007b). Bilateral motor unit synchronization is functionally organized. *Exp. Brain Res.* 178, 79–88.
- Boonstra, T. W., Daffertshofer, A., van Ditshuizen, J. C., van den Heuvel, M. R. C., Hofman, C., Willigenburg, N. W., and Beek, P. J. (2008).
 Fatigue-related changes in motorunit synchronization of quadriceps muscles within and across legs. J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol. 18, 717–731.
- Boonstra, T. W., van Wijk, B. C. M., Praamstra, P., and Daffertshofer, A. (2009). Corticomuscular and bilateral EMG coherence reflect distinct aspects of neural synchronization. *Neurosci. Lett.* 463, 17–21.
- Bressler, S. L. (1990). The gamma wave: a cortical information carrier? *Trends Neurosci.* 13, 161–162.
- Britton, T. C., Meyer, B. U., and Benecke, R. (1991). Central motor pathways in patients with mirror movements. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 54, 505–510.
- Brovelli, A., Ding, M. Z., Ledberg, A., Chen, Y. H., Nakamura, R., and Bressler, S. L. (2004). Beta oscillations in a large-scale sensorimotor cortical network: directional influences revealed by Granger causality. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101, 9849–9854.
- Brown, P. (2000). Cortical drives to human muscle: the Piper and related rhythms. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 60, 97–108.

- Brown, P. (2007). Abnormal oscillatory synchronisation in the motor system leads to impaired movement. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 17, 656–664.
- Brown, P., and Marsden, J. F. (2001). Cortical network resonance and motor activity in humans. *Neuroscientist* 7, 518–527.
- Brown, P., Mazzone, P., Oliviero, A., Altibrandi, M. G., Pilato, F., Tonali, P. A., and Di Lazzaro, V. (2004). Effects of stimulation of the subthalamic area on oscillatory pallidal activity in Parkinson's disease. *Exp. Neurol.* 188, 480–490.
- Brown, P., Oliviero, A., Mazzone, P., Insola, A., Tonali, P., and Di Lazzaro, V. (2001). Dopamine dependency of oscillations between subthalamic nucleus and pallidum in Parkinson's disease. J. Neurosci. 21, 1033–1038.
- Brown, P., Salenius, S., Rothwell, J. C., and Hari, R. (1998). Cortical correlate of the Piper rhythm in humans. *J. Neurophysiol.* 80, 2911–2917.
- Buzsáki, G. (2006). *Rhythms of the Brain*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Calmels, C., Hars, M., Holmes, P., Jarry, G., and Stam, C. J. (2008). Nonlinear EEG synchronization during observation and execution of simple and complex sequential finger movements. *Exp. Brain Res.* 190, 389–400.
- Cassim, F., Szurhaj, W., Sediri, H., Devos, D., Bourriez, J. L., Poirot, I., Derambure, P., Defebvre, L., and Guieu, J. D. (2000). Brief and sustained movements: differences in event-related (de)synchronization (ERD/ERS) patterns. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 111, 2032–2039.
- Chakarov, V., Naranjo, J. R., Schulte-Monting, J., Omlor, W., Huethe, F., and Kristeva, R. (2009). Betarange EEG-EMG coherence with isometric compensation for increasing modulated low-level forces. J. Neurophysiol. 102, 1115–1120.
- Chatrian, G. E., Petersen, M. C., and Lazarte, J. A. (1959). The blocking of the rolandic wicket rhythm and some central changes related to movement. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 11, 497–510.
- Chen, C. C., Hsieh, J. C., Wu, Y. Z., Lee, P. L., Chen, S. S., Niddam, D. M., Yeh, T. C., and Wu, Y. T.

(2008). Mutual-information-based approach for neural connectivity during self-paced finger lifting task. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 29, 265–280.

- Chen, C. C., Kilner, J. M., Friston, K. J., Kiebel, S. J., Jolly, R. K., and Ward, N. S. (2010). Nonlinear coupling in the human motor system. *J. Neurosci.* 30, 8393–8399.
- Chen, C. C., Litvak, V., Gilbertson, T., Kuhn, A., Lu, C. S., Lee, S. T., Tsai, C. H., Tisch, S., Limousin, P., Hariz, M., and Brown, P. (2007). Excessive synchronization of basal ganglia neurons at 20 Hz slows movement in Parkinson's disease. *Exp. Neurol.* 205, 214–221.
- Chen, R., Yaseen, Z., Cohen, L. G., and Hallett, M. (1998). Time course of corticospinal excitability in reaction time and self-paced movements. *Ann. Neurol.* 44, 317–325.
- Cheyne, D., Bells, S., Ferrari, P., Gaetz, W., and Bostan, A. C. (2008). Self-paced movements induce highfrequency gamma oscillations in primary motor cortex. *Neuroimage* 42, 332–342.
- Cheyne, D. L., Gaetz, W., Garnero, L., Lachaux, J. P., Ducorps, A., Schwartz, D., and Varela, F. J. (2003). Neuromagnetic imaging of cortical oscillations accompanying tactile stimulation. *Cogn. Brain Res.* 17, 599–611.
- Classen, J., Gerloff, C., Honda, M., and Hallett, M. (1998). Integrative visuomotor behavior is associated with interregionally coherent oscillations in the human brain. *J. Neurophysiol.* 79, 1567–1573.
- Cobb, S. R., Buhl, E. H., Halasy, K., Paulsen, O., and Somogyi, P. (1995). Synchronization of neuronalactivity in hippocampus by individual gabaergic interneurons. *Nature* 378, 75–78.
- Conway, B. A., Halliday, D. M., Farmer, S. F., Shahani, U., Maas, P., Weir, A. I., and Rosenberg, J. R. (1995). Synchronization between motor cortex and spinal motoneuronal pool during the performance of a maintained motor task in man. J. Physiol. (Lond.) 489, 917–924.
- Crone, N. E., Miglioretti, D. L., Gordon, B., and Lesser, R. P. (1998a). Functional mapping of human sensorimotor cortex with electrocorticographic spectral analysis—II. Event-related synchronization in the gamma band. *Brain* 121, 2301–2315.
- Crone, N. E., Miglioretti, D. L., Gordon, B., Sieracki, J. M., Wilson, M. T., Uematsu, S., and Lesser, R. P. (1998b). Functional mapping of human sensorimotor cortex with electrocorticographic spectral

analysis—I. Alpha and beta eventrelated desynchronization. *Brain* 121, 2271–2299.

- Daffertshofer, A., Peper, C. E., and Beek, P. J. (2005). Stabilization of bimanual coordination due to active interhemispheric inhibition: a dynamical account. *Biol. Cybern.* 92, 101–109.
- Daffertshofer, A., van den Berg, C., and Beek, P. J. (1999). A dynamical model for mirror movements. *Physica D.* 132, 243–266.
- Darvas, F., Miller, K. J., Rao, R. P. N., and Ojemann, J. G. (2009). Nonlinear phase-phase crossfrequency coupling mediates communication between distant sites in human neocortex. J. Neurosci. 29, 426–435.
- de Oliveira, S. C., Gribova, A., Donchin, O., Bergman, H., and Vaadia, E. (2001). Neural interactions between motor cortical hemispheres during bimanual and unimanual arm movements. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 14, 1881–1896.
- Defebvre, L., Bourriez, J. L., Derambure, P., Duhamel, A., Guieu, J. D., and Destee, A. (1998). Influence of chronic administration of L-DOPA on event-related desynchronization of mu rhythm preceding voluntary movement in Parkinson's disease. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 109, 161–167.
- Denker, M., Roux, S., Timme, M., Riehle, A., and Grun, S. (2007). Phase synchronization between LFP and spiking activity in motor cortex during movement preparation. *Neurocomputing* 70, 2096–2101.
- Dennis, M. (1976). Impaired sensory and motor differentiation with corpus-callosum agenesis—lack of callosal inhibition during ontogeny. *Neuropsychologia* 14, 455–469.
- Devos, D., Labyt, E., Cassim, F., Bourriez, J. L., Reyns, N., Touzet, G., Blond, S., Guieu, J. D., Derambure, P., Destee, A., and Defebvre, L. (2003a). Subthalamic stimulation influences postmovement cortical somatosensory processing in Parkinson's disease. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 18, 1884–1888.
- Devos, D., Labyt, E., Derambure, P., Bourriez, J. L., Cassim, F., Guieu, J. D., Destee, A., and Defebvre, L. (2003b). Effect of L-Dopa on the pattern of movementrelated (de)synchronisation in advanced Parkinson's disease. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 33, 203–212.
- Donoghue, J. P., Sanes, J. N., Hatsopoulos, N. G., and Gaal, G. (1998). Neural discharge and local field potential oscillations in

primate motor cortex during voluntary movements. *J. Neurophysiol.* 79, 159–173.

- Doyle, L. M. F., Yarrow, K., and Brown, P. (2005). Lateralization of eventrelated beta desynchronization in the EEG during pre-cued reaction time tasks. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 116, 1879–1888.
- Duque, J., Mazzocchio, R., Dambrosia, J., Murase, N., Olivier, E., and Cohen, L. G. (2005). Kinematically specific interhemispheric inhibition operating in the process of generation of a voluntary movement. *Cereb. Cortex* 15, 588–593.
- Eckhorn, R., Bauer, R., Jordan, W., Brosch, M., Kruse, W., Munk, M., and Reitboeck, H. J. (1988). Coherent oscillations—a mechanism of feature linking in the visual-cortex—multiple electrode and correlation analyses in the cat. *Biol. Cybern.* 60, 121–130.
- Engel, A. K., and Fries, P. (2010). Betaband oscillations—signalling the status quo? *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 20, 156–165.
- Engel, A. K., Konig, P., and Singer, W. (1991). Direct physiological evidence for scene segmentation by temporal coding. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 88, 9136–9140.
- Erbil, N., and Ungan, P. (2007). Changes in the alpha and beta amplitudes of the central EEG during the onset, continuation, and offset of long-duration repetitive hand movements. *Brain Res.* 1169, 44–56.
- Farmer, S. F. (1998). Rhythmicity, synchronization and binding in human and primate motor systems. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 509, 3–14.
- Farmer, S. F., Bremner, F. D., Halliday, D. M., Rosenberg, J. R., and Stephens, J. A. (1993). The frequency content of common synaptic inputs to mononeurones studied during voluntary isometric contraction in man. J. Physiol. (Lond.) 470, 127–155.
- Farmer, S. F., Harrison, L. M., Mayston, M. J., Parekh, A., James, L. M., and Stephens, J. A. (2004). Abnormal cortex-muscle interactions in subjects with X-linked Kallmann's syndrome and mirror movements. *Brain* 127, 385–397.
- Freeman, W. J. (1975). *Mass Action in the Nervous System*. New York, NY: Academic Press.
- Freeman, W. J. (1978). Spatial properties of an EEG event in olfactorybulb and cortex. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 44, 586–605.
- Freund, H. J. (1983). Motor unit and muscle-activity in voluntary

motor control. Physiol. Rev. 63, 387-436.

- Freyer, F., Reinacher, M., Nolte, G., Dinse, H. R., and Ritter, P. (2012). Repetitive tactile stimulation changes resting-state functional connectivity-implications for treatment of sensorimotor decline. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 6:144. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2012.00144
- Fries, P., Roelfsema, P. R., Engel, A. K., Konig, P., and Singer, W. (1997). Synchronization of oscillatory responses in visual cortex correlates with perception in interocular rivalry. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 94, 12699–12704.
- Fritsch, G., and Hitzig, E. (1870). Über die elektrische erregbarkeit des grosshirns. Arch. Anat. Physiol. Wiss. Med. 37, 300–332.
- Gastaut, H. (1952). Etude electrocorticographique de la reactivite des rythmes rolandiques. *Rev. Neurol.* (*Paris*) 87, 176–182.
- Georgopoulos, A. P., Schwartz, A. B., and Kettner, R. E. (1986). Neuronal population coding of movement direction. *Science* 233, 1416–1419.
- Gerloff, C., Braun, C., Staudt, M., Hegner, Y. L., Dichgans, J., and Krageloh-Mann, I. (2006). Coherent corticomuscular oscillations originate from primary motor cortex: evidence from patients with early brain lesions. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 27, 789–798.
- Gerloff, C., Richard, J., Hadley, J., Schulman, A. E., Honda, M., and Hallett, M. (1998). Functional coupling and regional activation of human cortical motor areas during simple, internally paced and externally paced finger movements. *Brain* 121, 1513–1531.
- Gilbertson, T., Lalo, E., Doyle, L., Di Lazzaro, V., Cioni, B., and Brown, P. (2005). Existing motor state is favored at the expense of new movement during 13–35 Hz oscillatory synchrony in the human corticospinal system. *J. Neurosci.* 25, 7771–7779.
- Goldberg, J. A., Boraud, T., Maraton, S., Haber, S. N., Vaadia, E., and Bergman, H. (2002). Enhanced synchrony among primary motor cortex neurons in the 1-methyl-4phenyl-1 2 3, 6-tetrahydropyridine primate model of Parkinson's disease. J. Neurosci. 22, 4639–4653.
- Golledge, H. D. R., Panzeri, S., Zheng, F. S., Pola, G., Scannell, J. W., Giannikopoulos, D. V., Mason, R. J., Tovee, M. J., and Young, M. P. (2003). Correlations, featurebinding and population coding in primary visual cortex. *Neuroreport* 14, 1045–1050.

- Gomez-Herrero, G., Atienza, M., Egiazarian, K., and Cantero, J. L. (2008). Measuring directional coupling between EEG sources. *Neuroimage* 43, 497–508.
- Grammont, F., and Riehle, A. (2003). Spike synchronization and firing rate in a population of motor cortical neurons in relation to movement direction and reaction time. *Biol. Cybern.* 88, 360–373.
- Gray, C. M., Konig, P., Engel, A. K., and Singer, W. (1989). Oscillatory responses in cat visual-cortex exhibit intercolumnar synchronization which reflects global stimulus properties. *Nature* 338, 334–337.
- Gross, J., Pollok, B., Dirks, M., Timmermann, L., Butz, M., and Schnitzler, A. (2005). Taskdependent oscillations during unimanual and bimanual movements in the human primary motor cortex and SMA studied with magnetoencephalography. *Neuroimage* 26, 91–98.
- Gross, J., Tass, P. A., Salenius, S., Hari, R., Freund, H. J., and Schnitzler, A. (2000). Cortico-muscular synchronization during isometric muscle contraction in humans as revealed by magnetoencephalography. J. Physiol. (Lond.) 527, 623–631.
- Gross, J., Timmermann, J., Kujala, J., Dirks, M., Schmitz, F., Salmelin, R., and Schnitzler, A. (2002). The neural basis of intermittent motor control in humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 2299–2302.
- Halliday, D. M., Conway, B. A., Farmer, S. F., and Rosenberg, J. R. (1998). Using electroencephalography to study functional coupling between cortical activity and electromyograms during voluntary contractions in humans. *Neurosci. Lett.* 241, 5–8.
- Hammond, C., Bergman, H., and Brown, P. (2007). Pathological synchronization in Parkinson's disease: networks, models and treatments. *Trends Neurosci.* 30, 357–364.
- Hatsopoulos, N. G., Ojakangas, C. L., Paninski, L., and Donoghue, J. P. (1998). Information about movement direction obtained from synchronous activity of motor cortical neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 95, 15706–15711.
- Hauptmann, C., Roulet, J. C., Niederhauser, J. J., Doll, W., Kirlangic, M. E., Lysyansky, B., Krachkovskyi, V., Bhatti, M. A., Barnikol, U. B., Sasse, L., Buhrle, C. P., Speckmann, E. J., Gotz, M., Sturm, V., Freund, H. J., Schnell, U.,

and Tass, P. A. (2009). External trial deep brain stimulation device for the application of desynchronizing stimulation techniques. *J. Neural Eng.* 6, 066003.

- Hellwig, B., Haussler, S., Schelter, B., Lauk, M., Guschlbauer, B., Timmer, J., and Lucking, C. H. (2001). Tremor-correlated cortical activity in essential tremor. *Lancet* 357, 519–523.
- Hogan, K., and Fitzpatrick, J. (1988). The cerebral origin of the alpharhythm. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 69, 79–81.
- Hommel, B. (2004). Event files: feature binding in and across perception and action. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 8, 494–500.
- Houweling, S., Beek, P. J., and Daffertshofer, A. (2010a). Spectral changes of interhemispheric crosstalk during movement instabilities. *Cereb. Cortex* 20, 2605–2613.
- Houweling, S., van Dijk, B. W., Beek, P. J., and Daffertshofer, A. (2010b). Cortico-spinal synchronization reflects changes in performance when learning a complex bimanual task. *Neuroimage* 49, 3269–3275.
- Houweling, S., Daffertshofer, A., van Dijk, B. W., and Beek, P. J. (2008). Neural changes induced by learning a challenging perceptualmotor task. *Neuroimage* 41, 1395–1407.
- Hughes, S. W., and Crunelli, V. (2005). Thalamic mechanisms of EEG alpha rhythms and their pathological implications. *Neuroscientist* 11, 357–372.
- Hummel, F., Kirsammer, R., and Gerloff, C. (2003). Ipsilateral cortical activation during finger sequences of increasing complexity: representation of movement difficulty or memory load? *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 114, 605–613.
- Huo, X. L., Wang, Y. Y., Kotecha, R., Kirtman, E. G., Fujiwara, H., Hemasilpin, N., Degrauw, T., Rose, D. F., and Xiang, J. (2011). High gamma oscillations of sensorimotor cortex during unilateral movement in the developing brain: a MEG study. *Brain Topogr.* 23, 375–384.
- Jackson, A., Gee, V. J., Baker, S. N., and Lemon, R. N. (2003). Synchrony between neurons with similar muscle fields in monkey motor cortex. *Neuron* 38, 115–125.
- Jasper, H., and Penfield, W. (1949). Electrocorticograms in man: effect of voluntary movement upon the electrical activity of the precentral gyrus. Arch. Psychiat. Z. Neurol. 183, 163–174.

- Jasper, H. H., and Andrews, H. L. (1938). Electro-encephalography. III. Normal differentiation of occipital and precentral regions in man. Arch. Neurol. Psychiatry 39, 96–115.
- Jenkinson, N., and Brown, P. (2011). New insights into the relationship between dopamine, beta oscillations and motor function. *Trends Neurosci.* 34, 611–618.
- Jin, S. H., Lin, P., and Hallett, M. (2010). Linear and nonlinear information flow based on time-delayed mutual information method and its application to corticomuscular interaction. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 121, 392–401.
- Johnson, A. N., Wheaton, L. A., and Shinohara, M. (2011). Attenuation of corticomuscular coherence with additional motor or non-motor task. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 122, 356–363.
- Keller, A. (1993). Intrinsic synaptic organization of the motor cortex. *Cereb. Cortex* 3, 430–441.
- Kilner, J. M., Alonso-Alonso, M., Fisher, R., and Lemon, R. N. (2002). Modulation of synchrony between single motor units during precision grip tasks in humans. J. Physiol. (Lond.) 541, 937–948.
- Kilner, J. M., Baker, S. N., Salenius, S., Hari, R., and Lemon, R. N. (2000). Human cortical muscle coherence is directly related to specific motor parameters. *J. Neurosci.* 20, 8838–8845.
- Kilner, J. M., Baker, S. N., Salenius, S., Jousmaki, V., Hari, R., and Lemon, R. N. (1999). Task-dependent modulation of 15–30 Hz coherence between rectified EMGs from human hand and forearm muscles. J. Physiol. (Lond.) 516, 559–570.
- Kim, M. S., Masakado, Y., Tomita, Y., Chino, N., Pae, Y. S., and Lee, K. (2001). Synchronization of single motor units during voluntary contractions in the upper and lower extremities. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 112, 1243–1249.
- Klimesch, W., Sauseng, P., and Hanslmayr, S. (2007). EEG alpha oscillations: the inhibition-timing hypothesis. *Brain Res. Rev.* 53, 63–88.
- Konig, P., Engel, A. K., and Singer, W. (1995). Relation between oscillatory activity and long-range synchronization in cat visual-cortex. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 92, 290–294.
- Kreiter, A. K., and Singer, W. (1996). Stimulus-dependent synchronization of neuronal responses in the visual cortex of the awake macaque monkey. J. Neurosci. 16, 2381–2396.

- Kristeva, R., Patino, L., and Omlor, W. (2007). Beta-range cortical motor spectral power and corticomuscular coherence as a mechanism for effective corticospinal interaction during steady-state motor output. *Neuroimage* 36, 785–792.
- Kristeva-Feige, R., Fritsch, C., Timmer, J., and Lucking, C. H. (2002). Effects of attention and precision of exerted force on beta range EEG-EMG synchronization during a maintained motor contraction task. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 113, 124–131.
- Kuhn, A. A., Kempf, F., Brucke, C., Doyle, L. G., Martinez-Torres, I., Pogosyan, A., Trottenberg, T., Kupsch, A., Schneider, G. H., Hariz, M. I., Vandenberghe, W., Nuttin, B., and Brown, P. (2008). High-frequency stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus suppresses oscillatory beta activity in patients with Parkinson's disease in parallel with improvement in motor performance. J. Neurosci. 28, 6165–6173.
- Kuhn, A. A., Kupsch, A., Schneider, G. H., and Brown, P. (2006). Reduction in subthalamic 8–35 Hz oscillatory activity correlates with clinical improvement in Parkinson's disease. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 23, 1956–1960.
- Kuhn, A. A., Tsui, A., Aziz, T., Ray, N., Brucke, C., Kupsch, A., Schneider, G. H., and Brown, P. (2009). Pathological synchronisation in the subthalamic nucleus of patients with Parkinson's disease relates to both bradykinesia and rigidity. *Exp. Neurol.* 215, 380–387.
- Lachaux, J. P., Rodriguez, E., Martinerie, J., and Varela, F. J. (1999). Measuring phase synchrony in brain signals. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 8, 194–208.
- Latham, P. E., and Nirenberg, S. (2005). Synergy, redundancy, and independence in population codes, revisited. *J. Neurosci.* 25, 5195–5206.
- Lemon, R. N., and Vanderburg, J. (1979). Short-latency peripheral inputs to thalamic neurons projecting to the motor cortex in the monkey. *Exp. Brain Res.* 36, 445–462.
- Leocani, L., Cohen, L. G., Wassermann, E. M., Ikoma, K., and Hallett, M. (2000). Human corticospinal excitability evaluated with transcranial magnetic stimulation during different reaction time paradigms. *Brain* 123, 1161–1173.
- Leuthardt, E. C., Schalk, G., Wolpaw, J. R., Ojemann, J. G., and Moran, D.

Synchronization within the motor system

W. (2004). A brain-computer interface using electrocorticographic signals in humans. *J. Neural Eng.* 1, 63–71.

- Lopes Da Silva, F. H. (1991). Neural mechanisms underlying brain waves—from neural membranes to networks. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 79, 81–93.
- Lopes Da Silva, F. H., Hoeks, A., Smits, H., and Zetterberg, L. H. (1974). Model of brain rhythmic activity—alpha-rhythm of thalamus. *Kybernetik* 15, 27–37.
- Lorenz, D., and Deuschl, G. (2007). Update on pathogenesis and treatment of essential tremor. *Curr. Opin. Neurol.* 20, 447–452.
- Lumer, E. D., Edelman, G. M., and Tononi, G. (1997a). Neural dynamics in a model of the thalamocortical system. 1. Layers, loops and the emergence of fast synchronous rhythms. *Cereb. Cortex* 7, 207–227.
- Lumer, E. D., Edelman, G. M., and Tononi, G. (1997b). Neural dynamics in a model of the thalamocortical system. 2. The role of neural synchrony tested through perturbations of spike timing. *Cereb. Cortex* 7, 228–236.
- Lytton, W. W., and Sejnowski, T. J. (1991). Simulations of cortical pyramidal neurons synchronized by inhibitory interneurons. *J. Neurophysiol.* 66, 1059–1079.
- Magnani, G., Cursi, M., Leocani, L., Volonte, M. A., and Comi, G. (2002). Acute effects of L-dopa on event-related desynchronization in Parkinson's disease. *Neurol. Sci.* 23, 91–97.
- Manganotti, P., Gerloff, C., Toro, C., Katsuta, H., Sadato, N., Zhuang, P., Leocani, L., and Hallett, M. (1998). Task-related coherence and task-related spectral power changes during sequential finger movements. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 109, 50–62.
- Mardia, K. V. (1972). *Statistics* of Directional Data. London/ New York: Academic Press.
- Marsden, J. F., Farmer, S. F., Halliday, D. M., Rosenberg, J. R., and Brown, P. (1999). The unilateral and bilateral control of motor unit pairs in the first dorsal interosseous and paraspinal muscles in man. *J. Physiol. (Lond.)* 521, 553–564.
- Marsden, J. F., Werhahn, K. J., Ashby, P., Rothwell, J., Noachtar, S., and Brown, P. (2000). Organization of cortical activities related to movement in humans. *J. Neurosci.* 20, 2307–2314.
- Marzetti, L., Del Gratta, C., and Nolte, G. (2008). Understanding brain

connectivity from EEG data by identifying systems composed of interacting sources. *Neuroimage* 42, 87–98.

- Meinecke, F. C., Ziehe, A., Kurths, J., and Muller, K. R. (2005). Measuring phase synchronization of superimposed signals. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94, 084102.
- Miller, K. J., Leuthardt, E. C., Schalk, G., Rao, R. P. N., Anderson, N. R., Moran, D. W., Miller, J. W., and Ojemann, J. G. (2007). Spectral changes in cortical surface potentials during motor movement. *J. Neurosci.* 27, 2424–2432.
- Mima, T., Matsuoka, T., and Hallett, M. (2000a). Functional coupling of human right and left cortical motor areas demonstrated with partial coherence analysis. *Neurosci. Lett.* 287, 93–96.
- Mima, T., Steger, J., Schulman, A. E., Gerloff, C., and Hallett, M. (2000b). Electroencephalographic measurement of motor cortex control of muscle activity in humans. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 111, 326–337.
- Mima, T., Matsuoka, T., and Hallett, M. (2001). Information flow from the sensorimotor cortex to muscle in humans. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 112, 122–126.
- Mima, T., Simpkins, N., Oluwatimilehin, T., and Hallett, M. (1999). Force level modulates human cortical oscillatory activities. *Neurosci. Lett.* 275, 77–80.
- Murthy, V. N., and Fetz, E. E. (1992). Coherent 25-Hz to 35-Hz oscillations in the sensorimotor cortex of awake behaving monkeys. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 89, 5670–5674.
- Murthy, V. N., and Fetz, E. E. (1996a). Oscillatory activity in sensorimotor cortex of awake monkeys: synchronization of local field potentials and relation to behavior. *J. Neurophysiol.* 76, 3949–3967.
- Murthy, V. N., and Fetz, E. E. (1996b). Synchronization of neurons during local field potential oscillations in sensorimotor cortex of awake monkeys. J. Neurophysiol. 76, 3968–3982.
- Muskulus, M., Houweling, S., Verduyn-Lunel, S., and Daffertshofer, A. (2009). Functional similarities and distance properties. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 183, 31–41.
- Muthukumaraswamy, S. D. (2010). Functional properties of human primary motor cortex gamma oscillations. J. Neurophysiol. 104, 2873–2885.
- Muthuraman, M., Govindan, R. B., Deuschl, G., Heute, U., and Raethjen, J. (2008). Differentiating

phase shift and delay in narrow band coherent signals. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 119, 1062–1070.

- Myers, L. J., Lowery, M., O'Malley, M., Vaughan, C. L., Heneghan, C., Gibson, A. S. C., Harley, Y. X. R., and Sreenivasan, R. (2003). Rectification and non-linear pre-processing of EMG signals for cortico-muscular analysis. J. Neurosci. Methods 124, 157–165.
- Negro, F., and Farina, D. (2011). Decorrelation of cortical inputs and motoneuron output. *J. Neurophysiol.* 106, 2688–2697.
- Neuper, C., and Pfurtscheller, G. (2001). Event-related dynamics of cortical rhythms: frequency-specific features and functional correlates. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* 43, 41–58.
- Nirenberg, S., Carcieri, S. M., Jacobs, A. L., and Latham, P. E. (2001). Retinal ganglion cells act largely as independent encoders. *Nature* 411, 698–701.
- Nolte, G., Bai, O., Wheaton, L., Mari, Z., Vorbach, S., and Hallett, M. (2004). Identifying true brain interaction from EEG data using the imaginary part of coherency. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 115, 2292–2307.
- Nolte, G., Ziehe, A., Nikulin, V. V., Schlogl, A., Kramer, N., Brismar, T., and Muller, K. R. (2008). Robustly estimating the flow direction of information in complex physical systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100, 234101.
- Ohara, S., Mima, T., Baba, K., Ikeda, A., Kunieda, T., Matsumoto, R., Yamamoto, J., Matsuhashi, M., Nagamine, T., Hirasawa, K., Hori, T., Mihara, T., Hashimoto, N., Salenius, S., and Shibasaki, H. (2001). Increased synchronization of cortical oscillatory activities between human supplementary motor and primary sensorimotor areas during voluntary movements. J. Neurosci. 21, 9377–9386.
- Omlor, W., Patino, L., Hepp-Reymond, M. C., and Kristeva, R. (2007). Gamma-range corticomuscular coherence during dynamic force output. *Neuroimage* 34, 1191–1198.
- Omlor, W., Patino, L., Mendez-Balbuena, I., Schulte-Monting, J., and Kristeva, R. (2011). Corticospinal beta-range coherence is highly dependent on the prestationary motor state. J. Neurosci. 31, 8037–8045.
- Oram, M. W., Hatsopoulos, N. G., Richmond, B. J., and Donoghue, J. P. (2001). Excess synchrony in motor cortical neurons provides redundant direction information with that from coarse temporal measures. J. Neurophysiol. 86, 1700–1716.

- Penfield, W., and Boldrey, E. (1937). Somatic motor and sensory representation in the cerebral cortex of man as studied by electrical stimulation. *Brain* 60, 389–443.
- Pereda, E., Quiroga, R. Q., and Bhattacharya, J. (2005). Nonlinear multivariate analysis of neurophysiological signals. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 77, 1–37.
- Pfurtscheller, G., Graimann, B., Huggins, J. E., Levine, S. P., and Schuh, L. A. (2003). Spatiotemporal patterns of beta desynchronization and gamma synchronization in corticographic data during self-paced movement. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 114, 1226–1236.
- Pfurtscheller, G., and Lopes Da Silva, F. H. (1999). Event-related EEG/MEG synchronization and desynchronization: basic principles. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 110, 1842–1857.
- Pfurtscheller, G., and Neuper, C. (1992). Simultaneous EEG 10-Hz desynchronization and 40-Hz synchronization during finger movements. *Neuroreport* 3, 1057–1060.
- Pfurtscheller, G., Neuper, C., and Kalcher, J. (1993). 40-Hz oscillations during motor behavior in man. *Neurosci. Lett.* 164, 179–182.
- Pfurtscheller, G., Stancak, A., and Neuper, C. (1996). Postmovement beta synchronization. A correlate of an idling motor area? *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 98, 281–293.
- Pfurtscheller, G., Zalaudek, K., and Neuper, C. (1998). Eventrelated beta synchronization after wrist, finger and thumb movement. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 109, 154–160.
- Pogosyan, A., Gaynor, L. D., Eusebio, A., and Brown, P. (2009). Boosting cortical activity at beta-band frequencies slows movement in humans. *Curr. Biol.* 19, 1637–1641.
- Pohja, M., Salenius, S., and Hari, R. (2002). Cortico-muscular coupling in a human subject with mirror movements—a magnetoencephalographic study. *Neurosci. Lett.* 327, 185–188.
- Pollok, B., Butz, M., Gross, J., and Schnitzler, A. (2007). Intercerebellar coupling contributes to bimanual coordination. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 19, 704–719.
- Pollok, B., Gross, J., Muller, K., Aschersleben, G., and Schnitzler, A. (2005). The cerebral oscillatory network associated with auditorily paced finger movements. *Neuroimage* 24, 646–655.
- Priori, A., Foffani, G., Pesenti, A., Tamma, F., Bianchi, A. M.,

Pellegrini, M., Locatelli, M., Moxon, K. A., and Villani, R. M. (2004). Rhythm-specific pharmacological modulation of subthalamic activity in Parkinson's disease. *Exp. Neurol.* 189, 369–379.

- Raethjen, J., and Deuschl, G. (2012). The oscillating central network of essential tremor. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 123, 61–64.
- Raethjen, J., Govindan, R. B., Kopper, F., Muthuraman, M., and Deuschl, G. (2007). Cortical involvement in the generation of essential tremor. *J. Neurophysiol.* 97, 3219–3228.
- Ray, N. J., Jenkinson, N., Wang, S., Holland, P., Brittain, J. S., Joint, C., Stein, J. F., and Aziz, T. (2008). Local field potential beta activity in the subthalamic nucleus of patients with Parkinson's disease is associated with improvements in bradykinesia after dopamine and deep brain stimulation. *Exp. Neurol.* 213, 108–113.
- Rickert, J., de Oliveira, S. C., Vaadia, E., Aertsen, A., Rotter, S., and Mehring, C. (2005). Encoding of movement direction in different frequency ranges of motor cortical local field potentials. *J. Neurosci.* 25, 8815–8824.
- Riddle, C. N., Baker, M. R., and Baker, S. N. (2004). The effect of carbamazepine on human corticomuscular coherence. *Neuroimage* 22, 333–340.
- Riddle, C. N., and Baker, S. N. (2005). Manipulation of peripheral neural feedback loops alters human corticomuscular coherence. J. Physiol. (Lond.) 566, 625–639.
- Riddle, C. N., and Baker, S. N. (2006). Digit displacement, not object compliance, underlies task dependent modulations in human corticomuscular coherence. *Neuroimage* 33, 618–627.
- Riehle, A., Grun, S., Diesmann, M., and Aertsen, A. (1997). Spike synchronization and rate modulation differentially involved in motor cortical function. *Science* 278, 1950–1953.
- Ritter, P., Moosmann, M., and Villringer, A. (2009). Rolandic alpha and beta EEG rhythms' strengths are inversely related to fMRI-BOLD signal in primary somatosensory and motor cortex. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 30, 1168–1187.
- Roelfsema, P. R., Engel, A. K., Konig, P., and Singer, W. (1996). The role of neuronal synchronization in response selection: a biologically plausible theory of structured representations in the visual cortex. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 8, 603–625.
- Roelfsema, P. R., Lamme, V. A. F., and Spekreijse, H. (2004). Synchrony

and covariation of firing rates in the primary visual cortex during contour grouping. *Nat. Neurosci.* 7, 982–991.

- Safri, N. M., Murayama, N., Hayashida, Y., and Igasaki, T. (2007). Effects of concurrent visual tasks on cortico-muscular synchronization in humans. *Brain Res.* 1155, 81–92.
- Salenius, S., Avikainen, S., Kaakkola, S., Hari, R., and Brown, P. (2002). Defective cortical drive to muscle in Parkinson's disease and its improvement with levodopa. *Brain* 125, 491–500.
- Salenius, S., and Hari, R. (2003). Synchronous cortical oscillatory activity during motor action. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 13, 678–684.
- Salenius, S., Portin, K., Kajola, M., Salmelin, R., and Hari, R. (1997). Cortical control of human motoneuron firing during isometric contraction. *J. Neurophysiol.* 77, 3401–3405.
- Salmelin, R., Hamalainen, M., Kajola, M., and Hari, R. (1995). Functional segregation of movement-related rhythmic activity in the human brain. *Neuroimage* 2, 237–243.
- Salmelin, R., and Hari, R. (1994). Spatiotemporal characteristics of sensorimotor neuromagnetic rhythms related to thumb movement. *Neuroscience* 60, 537–550.
- Sanes, J. N., and Donoghue, J. P. (1993). Oscillations in local-field potentials of the primate motor cortex during voluntary movement. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 90, 4470–4474.
- Schnitzler, A., Munks, C., Butz, M., Timmermann, L., and Gross, J. (2009). Synchronized brain network associated with essential tremor as revealed by magnetoencephalography. *Mov. Disord.* 24, 1629–1635.
- Schnitzler, A., Timmermann, L., and Gross, J. (2006). Physiological and pathological oscillatory networks in the human motor system. *J. Physiol. Paris* 99, 3–7.
- Schoffelen, J. M., and Gross, J. (2009). Source connectivity analysis with MEG and EEG. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 30, 1857–1865.
- Schoffelen, J. M., Oostenveld, R., and Fries, P. (2005). Neuronal coherence as a mechanism of effective corticospinal interaction. *Science* 308, 111–113.
- Serrien, D. J. (2008). The neural dynamics of timed motor tasks: evidence from a synchronizationcontinuation paradigm. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 27, 1553–1560.
- Serrien, D. J., and Brown, P. (2002). The functional role of interhemispheric synchronization in the control of

bimanual timing tasks. Exp. Brain Res.147, 268–272.

- Serrien, D. J., and Brown, P. (2003). The integration of cortical and behavioural dynamics during initial learning of a motor task. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 17, 1098–1104.
- Shadlen, M. N., and Movshon, J. A. (1999). Synchrony unbound: a critical evaluation of the temporal binding hypothesis. *Neuron* 24, 67–77.
- Shibasaki, H., and Nagae, K. (1984). Mirror movement—application of movement-related cortical potentials. Ann. Neurol. 15, 299–302.
- Silberstein, P., Pogosyan, A., Kuhn, A. A., Hotton, G., Tisch, S., Kupsch, A., Dowsey-Limousin, P., Hariz, M. I., and Brown, P. (2005). Cortico-cortical coupling in Parkinson's disease and its modulation by therapy. *Brain* 128, 1277–1291.
- Singer, W. (1994). "The organization of sensory motor representations in the neocortex: a hypothesis based on temporal coding," in Attention and Performance XV: Conscious and Nonconscious Information Processing, eds C. Umiltà, and M. Moscovitch (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press), 77–107.
- Singer, W., and Gray, C. M. (1995). Visual feature integration and the temporal correlation hypothesis. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 18, 555–586.
- Soteropoulos, D. S., and Baker, S. N. (2006). Cortico-cerebellar coherence during a precision grip task in the monkey. *J. Neurophysiol.* 95, 1194–1206.
- Spinks, R. L., Kraskov, A., Brochier, T., Umilta, M. A., and Lemon, R. N. (2008). Selectivity for grasp in local field potential and single neuron activity recorded simultaneously from M1 and F5 in the awake macaque monkey. J. Neurosci. 28, 10961–10971.
- Srinivasan, R., Winter, W. R., Ding, J., and Nunez, P. L. (2007). EEG and MEG coherence: measures of functional connectivity at distinct spatial scales of neocortical dynamics. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 166, 41–52.
- Stam, C. J., Nolte, G., and Daffertshofer, A. (2007). Phase lag index: assessment of functional connectivity from multi channel EEG and MEG with diminished bias from common sources. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 28, 1178–1193.
- Stancak, A., Feige, B., Lucking, C. H., and Kristeva-Feige, R. (2000). Oscillatory cortical activity and movement-related potentials in proximal and distal movements. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 111, 636–650.

- Stancak, A., and Pfurtscheller, G. (1996). Mu-rhythm changes in brisk and slow self-paced finger movements. *Neuroreport* 7, 1161–1164.
- Stancak, A., Riml, A., and Pfurtscheller, G. (1997). The effects of external load on movement-related changes of the sensorimotor EEG rhythms. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 102, 495–504.
- Stark, E., Globerson, A., Asher, I., and Abeles, M. (2008). Correlations between groups of premotor neurons carry information about prehension. *J. Neurosci.* 28, 10618–10630.
- Steriade, M., Gloor, P., Llinas, R. R., Dasilva, F. H. L., and Mesulam, M. M. (1990). Basic mechanisms of cerebral rhythmic activities. *Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 76, 481–508.
- Swann, N., Tandon, N., Canolty, R., Ellmore, T. M., McEvoy, L. K., Dreyer, S., DiSano, M., and Aron, A. R. (2009). Intracranial EEG reveals a time- and frequencyspecific role for the right inferior frontal gyrus and primary motor cortex in stopping initiated responses. J. Neurosci. 29, 12675–12685.
- Szurhaj, W., Bourriez, J. L., Kahane, P., Chauvel, P., Mauguiere, F., and Derambure, P. (2005). Intracerebral study of gamma rhythm reactivity in the sensorimotor cortex. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 21, 1223–1235.
- Tass, P., Rosenblum, M. G., Weule, J., Kurths, J., Pikovsky, A., Volkmann, J., Schnitzler, A., and Freund, H. J. (1998). Detection of n:m phase locking from noisy data: application to magnetoencephalography. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81, 3291–3294.
- Tass, P. A. (1999). Phase Resetting in Medicine and Biology—Stochastic Modelling and Data Analysis. Berlin: Springer.
- Tass, P. A. (2002). Desynchronization of brain rhythms with soft phaseresetting techniques. *Biol. Cybern.* 87, 102–115.
- Tognoli, E., and Kelso, J. A. S. (2009). Brain coordination dynamics: true and false faces of phase synchrony and metastability. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 87, 31–40.
- Toma, K., Mima, T., Matsuoka, T., Gerloff, C., Ohnishi, T., Koshy, B., Andres, F., and Hallett, M. (2002). Movement rate effect on activation and functional coupling of motor cortical areas. *J. Neurophysiol.* 88, 3377–3385.
- Tsujimoto, T., Mima, T., Shimazu, H., and Isomura, Y. (2009). Directional

organization of sensorimotor oscillatory activity related to the electromyogram in the monkey. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 120, 1168–1173.

- Vaadia, E., Ahissar, E., Bergman, H., and Lavner, Y. (1991). "Correlated activity of neurons: a neural code for higher brain functions?" in *Neuronal Cooperativity*, ed J. Krüger (Berlin/Heidelberg/New York: Springer Verlag), 249–279.
- van Wijk, B. C. M., Beek, P. J., and Daffertshofer, A. (2012). Differential modulations of ipsilateral and contralateral beta (de)synchronization during unimanual force production. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 36, 2088–2097.
- van Wijk, B. C. M., Daffertshofer, A., and Praamstra, P. (2008). "Local and long-range beta synchrony in motor control," in *Biomagnetism: Interdisciplinary Research and Exploration*, eds R. Kakigi, K. Yokosawa, and S. Kuriki (Sapporo/Japan: Hokkaido University Press), 1642–1648.
- van Wijk, B. C. M., Daffertshofer, A., Roach, N., and Praamstra, P. (2009). A role of beta oscillatory synchrony in biasing response competition? *Cereb. Cortex* 19, 1294–1302.
- Vardy, A. N., van Wegen, E. E. H., Kwakkel, G., Berendse, H. W., Beek, P. J., and Daffertshofer, A. (2011). Slowing of M1 activity in Parkinson's disease during rest and

movement—an MEG study. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 122, 789–795.

- Varela, F., Lachaux, J. P., Rodriguez, E., and Martinerie, J. (2001). The brainweb: phase synchronization and large-scale integration. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2, 229–239.
- Wang, H. C., Lees, A. J., and Brown, P. (1999). Impairment of EEG desynchronisation before and during movement and its relation to bradykinesia in Parkinson's disease. J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry 66, 442–446.
- Weinberger, M., Mahant, N., Hutchison, W. D., Lozano, A. M., Moro, E., Hodaie, M., Lang, A. E., and Dostrovsky, J. O. (2006). Beta oscillatory activity in the subthalamic nucleus and its relation to dopaminergic response in Parkinson's disease. J. Neurophysiol. 96, 3248–3256.
- Whittington, M. A., Traub, R. D., and Jefferys, J. G. R. (1995). Synchronized oscillations in interneuron networks driven by metabotropic glutamate-receptor activation. *Nature* 373, 612–615.
- Wickens, J., Hyland, B., and Anson, G. (1994). Cortical cell assemblies—a possible mechanism for motor programs. J. Mot. Behav. 26, 66–82.
- Williams, E. R., and Baker, S. N. (2009). Circuits generating corticomuscular coherence investigated using a biophysically based computational

model. I. Descending systems. J. Neurophysiol. 101, 31-41.

- Williams, E. R., Soteropoulos, D. S., and Baker, S. N. (2009). Coherence between motor cortical activity and peripheral discontinuities during slow finger movements. J. Neurophysiol. 102, 1296–1309.
- Williams, E. R., Soteropoulos, D. S., and Baker, S. N. (2010). Spinal interneuron circuits reduce approximately 10-Hz movement discontinuities by phase cancellation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 11098–11103.
- Wingeier, B., Tcheng, T., Koop, M. M., Hill, B. C., Heit, G., and Bronte-Stewart, H. M. (2006). Intra-operative STN DBS attenuates the prominent beta rhythm in the STN in Parkinson's disease. *Exp. Neurol.* 197, 244–251.
- Witham, C. L., Riddle, C. N., Baker, M. R., and Baker, S. N. (2011). Contributions of descending and ascending pathways to corticomuscular coherence in humans. J. Physiol. (Lond.) 589, 3789–3800.
- Witte, M., Patino, L., Andrykiewicz, A., Hepp-Reymond, M. C., and Kristeva, R. (2007). Modulation of human corticomuscular betarange coherence with low-level static forces. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 26, 3564–3570.
- Womelsdorf, T., Schoffelen, J. M., Oostenveld, R., Singer, W.,

Desimone, R., Engel, A. K., and Fries, P. (2007). Modulation of neuronal interactions through neuronal synchronization. *Science* 316, 1609–1612.

Zhang, Y., Chen, Y., Bressler, S. L., and Ding, M. (2008). Response preparation and inhibition: the role of the cortical sensorimotor beta rhythm. *Neuroscience* 156, 238–246.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 13 June 2012; paper pending published: 25 July 2012; accepted: 17 August 2012; published online: 04 September 2012.

Citation: van Wijk BCM, Beek PJ and Daffertshofer A (2012) Neural synchrony within the motor system: what have we learned so far? Front. Hum. Neurosci. 6:252. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2012.00252

Copyright © 2012 van Wijk, Beek and Daffertshofer. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any third-party graphics etc.