

## Kinetic properties of "dual" or exin receptor antagonists at $OX_1R$ and $OX_2R$ or exin receptors

Gabrielle E. Callander<sup>1,2</sup>, Morenike Olorunda<sup>3</sup>, Dominique Monna<sup>3</sup>, Edi Schuepbach<sup>3</sup>, Daniel Langenegger<sup>3</sup>, Claudia Betschart<sup>4</sup>, Samuel Hintermann<sup>4</sup>, Dirk Behnke<sup>4</sup>, Simona Cotesta<sup>4</sup>, Markus Fendt<sup>3†</sup>, Grit Laue<sup>5</sup>, Silvio Ofner<sup>4</sup>, Emmanuelle Briard<sup>4</sup>, Christine E. Gee<sup>3,6</sup>, Laura H. Jacobson<sup>3†</sup> and Daniel Hoyer<sup>1,2,3</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, School of Medicine, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia

<sup>2</sup> The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC, Australia

<sup>3</sup> Department of Neuroscience, Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Basel, Switzerland

<sup>4</sup> Global Discovery Chemistry, Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Basel, Switzerland

<sup>5</sup> Metabolism and Pharmacokinetics, Novartis Institutes for Biomedical Research, Basel, Switzerland

<sup>6</sup> Centre for Neurobiology Hamburg, Institute for Synaptic Physiology, Hamburg, Germany

#### Edited by:

Christopher J. Winrow, Merck, USA

#### Reviewed by:

Anthony J. Hannan, University of Melbourne, Australia Zoë Bichler, National Neuroscience Institute, Singapore

#### \*Correspondence:

Daniel Hoyer, Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, Faculty of Medicine, Dentistry and Health Sciences, School of Medicine, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia e-mail: d.hoyer@unimelb.edu.au

#### <sup>†</sup>Present address:

Markus Fendt, Institute for Pharmacology and Toxicology, Otto-von-Guericke University Magdeburg, Magdeburg, Germany; Laura H. Jacobson, The Florey Institute of Neuroscience and Mental Health, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Australia

Orexin receptor antagonists represent attractive targets for the development of drugs for the treatment of insomnia. Both efficacy and safety are crucial in clinical settings and thorough investigations of pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics can predict contributing factors such as duration of action and undesirable effects. To this end, we studied the interactions between various "dual" orexin receptor antagonists and the orexin receptors,  $OX_1R$  and  $OX_2R$ , over time using saturation and competition radioligand binding with [<sup>3</sup>H]-BBAC ((S)-N-([1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-1-(2-((1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2yl)thio)acetyl)pyrrolidine-2-carboxamide). In addition, the kinetics of these compounds were investigated in cells expressing human, mouse and rat OX<sub>1</sub>R and OX<sub>2</sub>R using FLIPR<sup>®</sup> assays for calcium accumulation. We demonstrate that almorexant reaches equilibrium very slowly at OX<sub>2</sub>R, whereas SB-649868, suvorexant, and filorexant may take hours to reach steady state at both orexin receptors. By contrast, compounds such as BBAC or the selective OX<sub>2</sub>R antagonist IPSU ((2-((1*H*-Indol-3-yl)methyl)-9-(4-methoxypyrimidin-2-yl)-2,9diazaspiro[5.5]undecan-1-one) bind rapidly and reach equilibrium very quickly in binding and/or functional assays. Overall, the "dual" antagonists tested here tend to be rather unselective under non-equilibrium conditions and reach equilibrium very slowly. Once equilibrium is reached, each ligand demonstrates a selectivity profile that is however, distinct from the non-equilibrium condition. The slow kinetics of the "dual" antagonists tested suggest that in vitro receptor occupancy may be longer lasting than would be predicted. This raises questions as to whether pharmacokinetic studies measuring plasma or brain levels of these antagonists are accurate reflections of receptor occupancy in vivo.

Keywords: orexin receptor antagonists, dual orexin receptor antagonists, kinetics, radioligands

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The orexin receptors,  $OX_1R$  and  $OX_2R$ , were deorphanised in 1998, when two independent teams identified the peptides orexin A and orexin B (de Lecea et al., 1998; Sakurai et al., 1998).  $OX_1R$  and  $OX_2R$  are G protein-coupled receptors that share 64% amino acid sequence identity in humans and are highly conserved between species (de Lecea et al., 1998; Sakurai et al., 1998). Both receptors can couple to  $G_q$  and mobilize intracellular  $Ca^{2+}$  via activation of phospholipase C (Sakurai et al., 1998), whilst  $OX_2R$  can also couple  $G_i/G_o$  and inhibit cAMP production via inhibition of adenylate cyclase (Zhu et al., 2003). In non-neuronal cells  $OX_2R$  is capable of extracellular signal-regulated kinase activation via  $G_s$ ,  $G_q$ , and  $G_i$  (Tang et al., 2008). In competition radioligand binding  $OX_1R$  has a 10–100 fold higher affinity for orexin A (20 nM) than for orexin B (250 nM), whereas  $OX_2R$  binds both orexin peptides with similar affinity (Sakurai et al., 1998).

Orexin is exclusively expressed by orexin producing neurons within the perifornical nucleus, the dorsomedial hypothalamic nucleus, and the dorsal and lateral hypothalamic areas (Peyron et al., 1998). Orexin producing neurons are limited to a few thousand in rodents, whereas in humans there are approximately 30,000–70,000. These neurons have both ascending and descending projections with dense projections to key

Abbreviations: 5-HT, serotonin; BBAC, (S)-N-([1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-1-(2-((1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)thio)acetyl)pyrrolidine-2-carboxamide; BSA, Bovine Serum Albumin; cAMP, cyclic AMP; CHO, Chinese Hamster Ovary; DMEM, Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium; F12, Ham's F12 nutrients mixture; FDA, Federal Drug Administration of the United States Department of Health and Human Services; FLIPR®, FLuorescent Imaging Plate Reader; GABA,  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid; HEK, Human Embryonic Kidney; IPSU, 2-((1H-Indol-3-yl))methyl)-9-(4-methoxypyrimidin-2-yl)-2,9-diazaspiro[5.5]undecan-1-one; KO, Knock Out; NSB, Non-specific Binding; OX1R, orexin receptor 1; OX2R, orexin receptor 2; REM, Rapid Eye Movement (sleep state).

nuclei of the ascending arousal system such as the adrenergic locus coeruleus, the serotonergic dorsal raphe, and the histaminergic tuberomammillary nucleus. These same regions also receive inhibitory projections from the ventrolateral preoptic area, which promote sleep (Sherin et al., 1998).

The orexin receptors are widely distributed in the brain in a pattern consistent with orexin neuron projections (Trivedi et al., 1998; Marcus et al., 2001). Although the expression patterns of the receptors are largely overlapping,  $OX_1R$  is selectively expressed in the locus coeruleus and  $OX_2R$  is expressed in the tuberomammillary nucleus. The broad distribution of the orexin system throughout the cortex, hippocampus, thalamic, and hypothalamic nuclei suggests it may modulate a variety of functions including arousal, appetite, metabolism, reward, stress, and autonomic function (Scammell and Winrow, 2011; Gotter et al., 2012).

Although orexin was originally named for its role in feeding behavior (Sakurai et al., 1998), the link between energy homeostasis and sleep/wakefulness is increasingly recognized (Yamanaka et al., 2003) and it is clear that the orexin system is crucial for the stability of wake and sleep states (Sakurai, 2007). The orexin system was first linked to the sleep disorder narcolepsy: a mutation in the OX<sub>2</sub>R gene was found to cause canine narcolepsy (Lin et al., 1999) and the knockout (KO) of orexin peptides in mice also resulted in narcolepsy with cataplexy (Chemelli et al., 1999). Indeed, several orexin system KO and transgenic models exhibit sleep abnormalities reminiscent of narcolepsy (Chemelli et al., 1999; Hara et al., 2001a,b; Willie et al., 2003; Beuckmann et al., 2004). The absence of orexin neurons or peptides and the double receptor KO mouse models recapitulate the human narcoleptic symptoms, with narcoleptic and cataplectic phenotypes, whereas single orexin receptor KO mice have only a moderate  $(OX_2R)$  or no sleep phenotype  $(OX_1R)$ (Chemelli et al., 1999; Scammell et al., 2000; Hara et al., 2001a,b; Beuckmann et al., 2002; Willie et al., 2003; Kalogiannis et al., 2011).

Narcolepsy with cataplexy is associated with severe daytime sleepiness (Tafti et al., 2005) due to the complete disorganization of the sleep/wake cycle, with sudden onset of Rapid Eye Movement (REM) sleep and cataplexy (loss of skeletal muscle tone without the loss of consciousness triggered by emotions). Patients with narcolepsy have undetectable levels of orexin in cerebral spinal fluid (Nishino et al., 2000) and a marked decrease in orexin producing cells in the hypothalamus (Thannickal et al., 2000). The cause of human narcolepsy is neurodegeneration of orexin-containing neurons, possibly due to an autoimmune disease (Tafti, 2007), although the precise mechanism is not established.

Not surprisingly, the orexin system has attracted substantial attention for the development of drugs for the treatment of insomnia. Dual orexin receptor antagonists or possibly selective  $OX_2R$  antagonists are likely to be effective without some of the undesirable side effects of currently available treatments. Benzodiazepines and sedative hypnotics are commonly prescribed and inhibit arousal through activation or positive allosteric modulation of the GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor. However, reported side effects include morning sedation, anxiety, anterograde

amnesia, impaired balance and sleep behaviors such as sleep walking and eating (Buysse, 2013).

A number of orexin receptor antagonists have been developed that are expected to have advantages over classic sleep promoting drugs (see Uslaner et al., 2013). These have been reported as "dual" antagonists as they have apparently similar affinities for both OX<sub>1</sub>R and OX<sub>2</sub>R (Roecker and Coleman, 2008; Scammell and Winrow, 2011). Almorexant was the first compound for which clinical data was reported in volunteers and patients (Brisbare-Roch et al., 2007; Malherbe et al., 2009; Owen et al., 2009) followed closely by SB-649868 (also known as GW 649868) (Bettica et al., 2009a,b, 2012a,b,c), suvorexant, the most advanced antagonist that has successfully completed phase III clinical trials (Cox et al., 2010; Winrow et al., 2011; Connor et al., 2012; Herring et al., 2012b; Ivgy-May et al., 2012) and filorexant (Coleman et al., 2012; Winrow et al., 2012). Also in this issue, we present our characterization of IPSU (Hoyer et al., 2013), an orally bioavailable, brain penetrant OX<sub>2</sub>R antagonist, on sleep architecture in mice.

During the characterization of orexin receptor antagonists, we and others (Malherbe et al., 2010; Mang et al., 2012; Morairty et al., 2012) have noticed that almorexant has peculiar kinetic features, in particular a very slow dissociation rate constant especially at  $OX_2R$ . Such features may be clinically relevant as they influence duration of action and potential for side effects. Therefore, we performed kinetic studies on the dual orexin receptor antagonists listed above in comparison with BBAC (**Figure 1**) and/or IPSU in radioligand binding and signaling studies at both  $OX_1R$  and  $OX_2R$ .

## MATERIALS AND METHODS CHEMICALS AND REAGENTS

[<sup>3</sup>H]-BBAC ((S)-N-([1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-1-(2-((1-methyl-1Hbenzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)thio)acetyl)pyrrolidine-2-carboxamide, Specific activity 73.76Ci/mmol) was synthesized at Novartis Pharma AG Basel (Isotope Laboratories). BBAC, SB-649868, suvorexant, filorexant, and IPSU (2-((1*H*-Indol-3-yl)methyl)-9-(4-methoxypyrimidin-2-yl)-2,9-diazaspiro[5.5]undecan-1-one) were synthesized at Novartis Pharma AG. Almorexant was synthesized by Anthem Biosciences (Bangalore, India).



FIGURE 1 | Chemical structure of BBAC ((S)-N-([1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-1-(2-((1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)thio)acetyl)pyrrolidine-2carboxamide).

#### **CELL CULTURE AND CELL MEMBRANE PREPARATION**

Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells stably transfected with the cDNA encoding the human OX<sub>1</sub>R (CHO-hOX<sub>1</sub>) or OX<sub>2</sub>R (CHO-hOX<sub>2</sub>) were used (kindly provided by T. Cremer and Dr. S. Geisse, NIBR Basel, Switzerland). For measurements of calcium accumulation using FLIPR® (Fluorescent Imaging Plate Reader) assay, CHO or Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK) cells stably expressing mouse, rat or human OX1R or OX2R (kindly provided by Dr. A. Chen, GNF, San Diego, CA, USA) were used. All cells were cultured in 1:1 Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM)/Ham's F12 Nutrients Mixture (F12) supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 µ/ml (100 g/ml)/streptomycin (100 µg/ml), Fungizone (250 µg/ml), and Geneticin (G418, 50 mg/ml). Cells were maintained in a humidified incubator at 37°C in 5% CO2. For crude cell membrane preparations, cells were washed and harvested in 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), and centrifuged at 4°C for 5 min at 2500 g. The cell pellet was either stored at  $-80^{\circ}$  C or used directly.

#### **RADIOLIGAND BINDING ASSAYS**

Cell membranes were resuspended in binding assay buffer at 4°C (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 0.5% (w/v) bovine serum albumin (BSA), 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and 0.05% Tween 20) and homogenized with a Polytron homogenizer at 50 Hz for 20 s. Cells were incubated with [<sup>3</sup>H]-BBAC in binding assay buffer in 96-deep well plates (Fisher Scientific). Aliquots of [<sup>3</sup>H]-BBAC were measured using liquid scintillation spectrometry on a LS 6500 scintillation counter (Beckman Coulter) to determine the amount radioactivity added to each well. Non-specific Binding (NSB) was determined in the presence of 1 µM almorexant. After the indicated incubation time, bound and free radioligand were separated by vacuum filtration using a Filtermate<sup>™</sup> Cell Harvester (Perkin Elmer) and filtered onto 96-well deep GF/b filter plates (Millipore) which had been pre-treated with 0.5% (w/v) polyethyleneimine. Filter plates were rapidly washed three times with wash buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 154 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) at 4°C, dried and 25 µl of Microscint<sup>™</sup> (Perkin Elmer) was added to each well. Radioactivity was quantified using a TopCount<sup>™</sup> microplate counter (Perkin Elmer).

## SATURATION BINDING

Binding was performed with eight concentrations of  $[{}^{3}H]$ -BBAC (50 µl, 1–20 nM) to construct saturation curves. CHO-hOX<sub>1</sub> or CHO-hOX<sub>2</sub> cell membranes (150 µl/well) were incubated for 60 min in 96-deep well plates at room temperature with radioligand in binding assay buffer (50 µl) in the presence or absence of almorexant (1 µM, 50 µl), in a final volume of 250 µl.  $[{}^{3}H]$ -BBAC binding was measured in triplicate in at least three independent experiments. Data in the figures is representative of the mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. of a single experiment.

## **COMPETITION BINDING**

Competition experiments were performed with a single concentration of radioligand and six concentrations of competitor (unlabeled ligands; BBAC, almorexant, SB-649868, suvorexant, filorexant or IPSU). 4.6 nM [<sup>3</sup>H]-BBAC (chosen from saturation experiments to provide 80–90% specific binding, 50  $\mu$ l) was

added simultaneously with various concentrations of unlabeled ligand (0.1 nM–10  $\mu$ M) to membranes (150  $\mu$ l/well) in 50  $\mu$ l/well of assay buffer with a total volume of 250  $\mu$ l/well. The amount of [<sup>3</sup>H]-BBAC bound to receptors was determined at room temperature at different time points (ranging from 15 min to 4 h) and terminated by rapid vacuum filtration and liquid scintillation counting. Binding at a given concentration of competitor at a given time was measured in triplicate in at least three independent experiments. Data in figures is representative of the mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. of a single experiment.

## DATA ANALYSIS

All data was analyzed using GraphPad Prism 4.0 (GraphPad, San Diego, USA). The saturation data was fit to a non-linear regression model for saturation binding with consideration for one site binding. In addition, saturation binding data was also analyzed according to Scatchard (Scatchard, 1949; plots not shown). Competition binding data was fit to a non-linear regression model for competition binding with consideration for variable one site binding with a non-fixed Hill slope. The method of Cheng and Prusoff (1973) was used to convert IC<sub>50</sub> values from competition binding curves to Ki (equilibrium dissociation constant) values.

# FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF DUAL ANTAGONISTS ON HUMAN OREXIN RECEPTORS

Determination of orexin A-stimulated calcium accumulation was performed over 2 days using FLIPR® (Fluorescent imaging plate reader from Molecular Devices-FLIPR384). Cells expressing either human, rat or mouse OX1R or OX2R were seeded at 8,000 cells/well in black 384 well clear bottom plates and incubated overnight at 37°C. The following day, medium was discarded and cells loaded with 50 µl of 1 mM Fluo-4 AM (Invitrogen F14202) in dimethyl sulfoxide in working buffer (Hanks' balanced salt solution, 10 mM HEPES) and incubated for 60 min at 37°C. The loading buffer was removed and cells were washed with 100 µl working buffer containing 200 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.1% BSA, and 2.5 mM Probenecid (pH 7.4) to remove the excess Fluo-4 AM. Working buffer was added and plates were incubated 10-15 min at room temperature. The assay plate was then transferred to the Molecular Devices-FLIPR384. The baseline calcium signal was recorded for 10s, then the antagonist of interest was injected  $(10 \,\mu l \text{ at } 3 \text{ times the final concentration})$  and the calcium signal recorded every second for 1 min, then every 2 s 40 times. Plates were then incubated at room temperature for 30 min, 1, 2, or 4 h. Calcium signals were again measured as above, this time orexin A  $(15 \,\mu l)$  was injected at 3 times the final concentration. For each experiment, full orexin A concentration response curves were generated on each plate: they served to calculate the EC<sub>50</sub> for that plate and to adapt the EC<sub>80</sub> values in the subsequent experiments, which vary according to cell line and passage number.

The concentration response curves were analyzed according to the law of mass action, for both orexin A (EC<sub>50</sub>), and antagonists (IC<sub>50</sub>) with slope factors and maximal/minimal effects; the antagonist data was transformed according to Cheng and Prusoff (1973) (K<sub>i</sub> = IC<sub>50</sub>/1 = (L/EC<sub>50</sub>)) where L is the agonist concentration used in the assay and EC<sub>50</sub> its concentration for half maximal activation and the antagonist data was finally expressed as K<sub>i</sub> (nM) and pK<sub>i</sub> values (-log M).

#### RESULTS

### TIME-DEPENDENT CHANGES IN APPARENT AFFINITY AS DETERMINED IN RADIOLIGAND BINDING

 $[^{3}H]$ -BBAC bound both OX<sub>1</sub>R and OX<sub>2</sub>R with high affinity and K<sub>D</sub> values of about 7 nM and 1 nM, respectively (Figure 2). Binding reached equilibrium very quickly, as 15-30 min incubation time was sufficient to reach  $B_{max}$  and  $K_D$  values comparable to those measured after 4 h (data not shown).

Competition experiments were performed with the various antagonists at 15, 30, 45 min, 1, 2, or 4 h and the graphs illustrate the competition curves at the different times. As expected from the saturation experiments described above, as well as in further kinetic experiments to be reported elsewhere, BBAC reached equilibrium quickly at both OX<sub>1</sub>R and OX<sub>2</sub>R (15–30 min), and there was no significant difference in IC<sub>50</sub> values measured between 30 min and 4 h, as illustrated by superimposable competition curves at both orexin receptors (Figure 3).

In contrast to BBAC, the competition curves for almorexant shifted to the left with time moderately at OX1R and substantially at OX<sub>2</sub>R (Figure 4). In other words, almorexant showed similar apparent affinity at OX<sub>1</sub>R between 30 min and 4 h, whereas

the apparent affinity at OX<sub>2</sub>R increased up to 4 h of incubation. The data suggests that equilibrium at OX<sub>2</sub>R can only be reached after prolonged incubation, which also means that under short term conditions, almorexant is a dual orexin receptor antagonist, whereas after several hours of exposure, the compound becomes somewhat OX2R selective.

The SB-649868 competition curves on OX<sub>1</sub>R shifted to the left over time up to 4 h, whereas at OX<sub>2</sub>R binding appeared to be rather stable (Figure 5), suggesting that the compound equilibrated very rapidly at OX<sub>2</sub>R whereas it took hours to equilibrate at OX1R. This means that although acting as a dual antagonist acutely, given sufficient time to equilibrate, SB-649868 will show some OX<sub>1</sub>R selectivity.

Similarly, the suvorexant competition curves for both OX1R and OX<sub>2</sub>R shifted to the left over time, although the effect on OX<sub>2</sub>R was somewhat less pronounced (Figure 6). Thus, suvorexant equilibrates slowly at both orexin receptors and since equilibrium is generally driven by the dissociation rate constant, this means that once steady state binding is reached, receptor occupancy will be long lasting.

The filorexant competition curves at OX1R were rather insensitive to incubation time, whereas OX2R curves shifted to the left over time, even up to 4 h (Figure 7). Thus, similar to the other dual orexin receptor antagonists tested here, filorexant reaches equilibrium only after several hours of incubation, especially at OX<sub>2</sub>R.

OX.R

15min

OX R

Α

45mir

+ 1h

• 2h

-6

Log [BBAC] (M)

4h

125-



50-

25-

FIGURE 3 | Effect of time on BBAC ((S)-N-([1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)

CHO cells expressing human (A) OX1R or (B) OX2R. Data is

-1-(2-((1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-yl)thio)acetyl)pyrrolidine-2-

representative of triplicate determinations and error bars indicate s.e.m.

carboxamide) competition for [<sup>3</sup>H]-BBAC binding to membranes from

0-

-10 -8



в

((S)-N-([1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-1-(2-((1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2yl)thio)acetyl)pyrrolidine-2-carboxamide) binding to membranes from CHO cells expressing human (A) OX1R or (B) OX2R. Data is representative of triplicate determinations and error bars indicate s.e.m.



FIGURE 5 | Effect of time on SB-649868 competition for [<sup>3</sup>H]-BBAC ((S)-N-([1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-1-(2-((1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2vl)thio)acetvl)pyrrolidine-2-carboxamide) binding to membranes from CHO cells expressing human (A) OX1R or (B) OX2R. Data is representative of triplicate determinations and error bars indicate s.e.m.

50-

0

-10

-8 -6

Log [BBAC] (M)

The IPSU competition curves at  $OX_1R$  and  $OX_2R$  do not show time-dependency (**Figure 8**), since maximal inhibition was already achieved following 15 min of incubation. This suggests a very rapid binding and equilibrium and a tendency to a rightward shift, suggesting faster kinetics than for the radioligand.

## TIME-DEPENDENT CHANGES IN APPARENT AFFINITY AS DETERMINED IN CALCIUM ASSAYS

In the calcium accumulation assays performed at mouse, rat and human  $OX_1R$  and  $OX_2R$ , we first confirmed that orexin A produces stable results and that the apparent potency is largely



FIGURE 6 | Effect of time on suvorexant competition for [<sup>3</sup>H]-BBAC ((S)-N-([1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-1-(2-((1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2yl)thio)acetyl)pyrrolidine-2-carboxamide) binding to membranes from CHO cells expressing human (A) OX<sub>1</sub>R or (B) OX<sub>2</sub>R. Data is representative of triplicate determinations and error bars indicate s.e.m.



((S)-N-([1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-1-(2-((1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2yl)thio)acetyl)pyrrolidine-2-carboxamide) binding to membranes from CHO cells expressing human (A) OX<sub>1</sub>R or (B) OX<sub>2</sub>R. Data is representative of triplicate determinations and error bars indicate s.e.m.



FIGURE 8 | Effect of time on IPSU competition for [<sup>3</sup>H]-BBAC ((S)-N-([1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-1-(2-((1-methyl-1H-benzo[d]imidazol-2yl)thio)acetyl)pyrrolidine-2-carboxamide) binding to membranes from CHO cells expressing human (A) OX<sub>1</sub>R or (B) OX<sub>2</sub>R. Data is representative of triplicate determinations and error bars indicate s.e.m.

comparable when the effects of antagonists are measured following incubation times of between 30 min and 4 h. Indeed, pEC<sub>50</sub> values for orexin were largely time-independent at both OX1R and OX2R. This suggests the cells and receptors used were stable and would allow incubation times of up to 4 h in the subsequent experiments (Tables 1, 2). At OX1R, almorexant had an apparent antagonist potency which was constant, irrespective of the incubation time (30 min-4 h, Table 1). By contrast, at OX<sub>2</sub>R, the apparent potency kept increasing with incubation time (Table 2), as suggested by the radioligand binding experiments. These results indicate that across the three species studied here there is an apparent OX<sub>2</sub>R selectivity after longer incubation times. Filorexant showed time-independent potencies at OX1R, whereas at OX<sub>2</sub>R the apparent potencies increased with time. Suvorexant showed a time-dependent shift toward higher potency as time increased at both receptors, although the effects were more pronounced at OX<sub>2</sub>R. For SB-649868, antagonism at both receptors tended to increase with time, although the increase was greater at OX1R.

#### DISCUSSION

A thorough exploration of the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of drug candidates is important in drug development. Ideal sleep-enabling compounds have distinct profiles: rapid absorption and induction of sleep, low blood drug concentrations 8 h after dosing and efficacy in the absence of side effects (Wilson et al., 2010). Understanding the nuances of the kinetics of binding, such as the time taken to reach binding equilibrium, can provide valuable predictive information on duration of action and explain efficacy in patients.

With this in mind we sought to characterize the kinetic features of various "dual" orexin receptor antagonists at  $OX_1R$  and  $OX_2R$ . We selected antagonists that have either been used clinically or are currently under development for the treatment of insomnia and sleep disorders, including almorexant, SB-649868, suvorexant, and filorexant. We compared the kinetic features of these compounds with those of BBAC (a fast binding dual orexin receptor antagonist that was also used as a radioligand in the present studies) and IPSU, an  $OX_2R$  antagonist (see Betschart et al., 2013; Hoyer et al., 2013). Our results show clearly that each of the ligands tested has different properties at both  $OX_1R$  and  $OX_2R$ , especially with respect to kinetics and suggest that at steady state each of these compounds has a pharmacological profile different from that measured under non-equilibrium conditions.

We observed that the radioligand [ ${}^{3}$ H]-BBAC binds with high affinity, rapidly and reversibly to both OX<sub>1</sub>R and OX<sub>2</sub>R. In competition assays, unlabeled BBAC was a fast dual receptor binder, as illustrated by competition curves which are virtually superimposable irrespective of receptor type or incubation time. The slight shift to the right as time increased indicates the concentration dependence of the association rate, since the concentrations of unlabeled ligand used in the competition experiments (**Figure 3**; up to 10  $\mu$ M) are higher than those used for the radioligand (low nM). This suggests unlabeled BBAC reaches apparent equilibrium faster than [ ${}^{3}$ H]-BBAC.

For the dual orexin receptor antagonists tested, timedependent changes in the apparent affinities for the receptors

	OX <sub>1</sub> R											
	30 min			1 h			2 h			4 h		
	рКі	s.e.m.	n	рКі	s.e.m.	n	рКі	s.e.m.	n	рКі	s.e.m.	n
HUMAN												
Orexin A	9.52	0.10	3	9.48	0.01	541	9.51	0.07	3	9.63	0.10	3
Almorexant	7.84	0.05	6	7.73	0.06	26	7.75	0.07	6	7.75	0.07	6
Filorexant	8.65	0.09	6	8.79	0.08	12	8.78	0.11	6	8.55	0.06	6
Suvorexant	8.39	0.09	6	8.74	0.10	8	8.75	0.12	6	8.73	0.15	6
SB-649868	9.03	0.05	6	8.87	0.09	12	9.29	0.08	6	9.38	0.10	6
IPSU	6.32	0.08	6	6.29	0.05	6	6.28	0.09	6	6.14	0.08	6
RAT												
Orexin A	8.92	0.08	3	9.01	0.09	3	9.01	0.05	3	8.86	0.09	3
Almorexant	7.90	0.06	6	7.90	0.07	6	7.95	0.08	6	7.76	0.08	6
Filorexant	9.12	0.13	6	9.21	0.18	6	9.30	0.17	6	8.92	0.18	6
Suvorexant	8.82	0.20	6	9.40	0.36	6	9.37	0.19	6	9.24	0.17	6
SB-649868	9.59	0.11	6	9.90	0.19	6	10.17	0.10	6	10.14	0.06	6
IPSU	6.65	0.05	6	6.62	0.06	6	6.56	0.13	6	6.25	0.06	6
MOUSE												
Orexin A	9.17	0.14	3	9.18	0.04	31	9.36	0.15	3	9.25	0.14	3
Almorexant	7.73	0.05	6	7.63	0.10	10	7.47	0.07	6	7.41	0.11	4
Filorexant	8.28	0.10	6	8.39	0.14	9	8.18	0.08	6	8.23	0.08	6
Suvorexant	8.90	0.14	6	8.98	0.18	4	9.03	0.08	6	8.99	0.08	6
SB-649868	9.80	0.12	6	9.37	0.14	7	10.39	0.16	6	10.33	0.17	6
IPSU	6.48	0.04	6	6.31	0.12	4	6.54	0.06	6	6.49	0.06	6

Table 1   Ca <sup>2+</sup> signaling in cells stably transfected with human (CHO), rat (HEK) or mouse (HEK) OX <sub>1</sub> R in the presence of the endogenous
agonist (orexin A) or putative dual orexin receptor antagonists (almorexant, filorexant, suvorexant, SB-649868, and IPSU).

were found. The affinity of SB-649868 at hOX1R increased markedly between 15 min and 4 h, whilst time had little effect on the affinity at  $hOX_2R$  (Figure 5). The opposite is true for almorexant, which displayed a leftward shift at hOX1R and a very pronounced increase in affinity at hOX<sub>2</sub>R as incubation time increased (Figure 4). Thus, SB-649868 and almorexant are slowly equilibrating antagonists, presumably because their dissociation rates are very slow. The data also suggests that when equilibrium is allowed to be reached, SB-649868 becomes somewhat hOX<sub>1</sub>R selective, whereas almorexant becomes hOX<sub>2</sub>R selective. The suvorexant competition curves demonstrated both hOX1R and hOX<sub>2</sub>R have increasing affinity with time, although the effect on hOX<sub>2</sub>R was somewhat less pronounced (Figure 6). Filorexant shows somewhat different properties, in that equilibrium was slow to be reached at hOX<sub>2</sub>R. By contrast, time had almost no effect on the affinity of both BBAC and IPSU as measured in the binding experiments. That is, the apparent affinity values measured at 15 min of incubation were at least as high as those measured after 4 h, an indication that they reach steady state at either receptor within a few minutes.

The time-dependent binding translated into differences in the more functional FLIPR® calcium assay in whole cells expressing human, rat, or mouse  $OX_1$  and  $OX_2$  receptors. Almorexant acted as a pseudo-irreversible or very slowly equilibrating antagonist at human, rat or mouse  $OX_2R$ , whereas, at  $OX_1R$  for all three species, almorexant behaved as a fast equilibrating antagonist. This data suggests that although originally described as a dual

antagonist with very similar affinity for both receptors, almorexant is in fact a slowly equilibrating and somewhat selective OX2R antagonist, if sufficient time is given for the ligand to reach equilibrium. Similar findings were made in the calcium experiments with suvorexant, SB-649868 and filorexant, indicating that all display slow equilibration at one and/or the other orexin receptor (see Tables 1, 2). By contrast, IPSU (and BBAC) had constant potency values irrespective of the incubation time, again suggesting very fast equilibration at both orexin receptors. On the basis of both the radioligand binding and calcium accumulation data presented here, almorexant is likely to be OX2R selective, a finding that is in agreement with other reports that found almorexant to behave as a dual antagonist only during short incubation times (Malherbe et al., 2009; Mang et al., 2012; Morairty et al., 2012). In addition, we demonstrate in contrast to almorexant, SB-649868, suvorexant, and filorexant have a greater affinity for OX1R with long incubation times.

The differences in binding kinetics between the orexin receptor antagonists demonstrated here are likely to have implications for pharmacodynamics. Suvorexant is a pertinent example: studies of pharmacokinetics revealed a long dose-dependent apparent terminal half-life (between 9 and 12 h, Merck Sharp and Dohme Corporation, 2013a) and next morning residual effects (Sun et al., 2013). It is possible that these residual effects are not only related to half-life, but also longer than expected target/exposure engagement. In addition, the suggestion that suvorexant has a tendency to accumulate after 4 weeks of consecutive treatment is

	OX <sub>2</sub> R											
	30 min			1 h			2 h			4 h		
	pKi	s.e.m.	n	рКі	s.e.m.	n	рКі	s.e.m.	n	рКі	s.e.m.	n
HUMAN												
Orexin A	8.76	0.05	3	8.79	0.01	548	8.61	0.07	3	8.70	0.02	3
Almorexant	8.33	0.05	6	8.82	0.06	29	8.80	0.15	6	9.09	0.22	6
Filorexant	9.45	0.09	6	9.65	0.06	11	9.73	0.10	6	9.77	0.09	6
Suvorexant	9.00	0.14	6	9.48	0.14	8	9.46	0.19	6	9.53	0.20	6
SB-649868	9.52	0.05	6	9.43	0.09	15	9.77	0.03	6	9.82	0.05	6
IPSU	8.00	0.10	6	7.97	0.07	6	7.82	0.08	6	7.68	0.11	6
RAT												
Orexin A	8.40	0.01	3	8.34	0.07	6	8.48	0.06	3	8.58	0.03	3
Almorexant	8.25	0.08	6	8.65	0.06	6	8.99	0.19	6	9.18	0.10	6
Filorexant	9.13	0.11	6	9.38	0.08	6	9.60	0.11	6	9.75	0.10	6
Suvorexant	8.71	0.19	6	9.06	0.18	6	9.22	0.20	6	9.37	0.22	6
SB-649868	9.34	0.06	6	9.50	0.05	6	9.81	0.07	6	9.85	0.05	6
IPSU	7.63	0.14	6	7.55	0.11	6	7.62	0.12	6	7.55	0.11	6
MOUSE												
Orexin A	8.78	0.07	3	9.05	0.02	53	8.94	0.01	3	9.18	0.02	3
Almorexant	7.72	0.06	5	8.03	0.05	14	8.09	0.05	6	8.38	0.05	6
Filorexant	8.67	0.13	6	8.68	0.06	9	8.84	0.10	6	8.89	0.11	6
Suvorexant	7.99	0.11	6	8.17	0.14	4	8.24	0.11	6	8.35	0.08	6
SB-649868	8.74	0.07	6	8.55	0.08	7	8.93	0.06	6	9.04	0.04	6
IPSU	7.15	0.04	6	7.10	0.09	4	7.26	0.06	6	7.22	0.07	6

Table 2 |  $Ca^{2+}$  signaling in cells stably transfected with human (CHO), rat (HEK) or mouse (HEK) OX<sub>2</sub>R in the presence of the endogenous agonist (orexin A) or putative dual orexin receptor antagonists (almorexant, filorexant, suvorexant, SB-649868, and IPSU).

not surprising (Farkas, 2013) given 24 h following administration of a single dose, mean plasma levels of suvorexant remain between 0.1 and 0.6  $\mu$ M (Sun et al., 2013). These results may be explained by a combination of pharmacokinetic effects (slow elimination or metabolism) and pharmacodynamic effects (slow equilibration and off rates), as shown in the present studies.

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA, USA) have concluded that although suvorexant is efficacious, it is not considered safe at doses higher than 20 mg (Farkas, 2013). The key safety concerns raised were rapid onset daytime somnolence, motor impairment, driving impairment, unconscious night time activity such as sleep walking, suicidal ideation, hypnogogic hallucinations and effects resembling mild cataplexy (Farkas, 2013; Radl, 2013; Sun et al., 2013). All of these appeared to be dose and plasma-exposure dependent. In addition, the FDA suggested an effort to find the lowest effective dose may be warranted (Farkas, 2013). Merck has determined that additional clinical studies are not necessary for the 10 mg dose, however, may be required to support a 5 mg dose (Farkas, 2013; Merck Sharp and Dohme Corporation, 2013b).

The individual contribution of orexin receptors to sleep architecture is a matter of debate since, to our knowledge, no selective  $OX_1R$  or  $OX_2R$  antagonist has been tested in patients with insomnia. However, rodent models are rather good predictors of the effects of orexin receptor antagonists on sleep. In rodents,  $OX_2R$ antagonism appears sufficient to induce sleep: almorexant is effective in the  $OX_1R$  KO whereas it has no effect on the sleep wake cycle in  $OX_2R$  or in double receptor KO mice (Mang et al., 2012). Further, in rodents with targeted destruction of the orexin neurons of the lateral hypothalamus, treatment with almorexant tends to induce cataplexy (Black et al., 2013). Nevertheless, there are major differences relating to pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics between species. One should also keep in mind that whilst narcoleptic/cataplectic dogs have a defect in  $OX_2R$ , this has never been observed in humans.

In addition to almorexant, SB-649868 and suvorexant have reached phase II clinical trials for the treatment of insomnia. Clinical data suggests that the main effect on total sleep time is largely due to an increase in REM sleep and decreased latency to REM, with modest effects on non-REM or slow wave sleep, if at all (Bettica et al., 2012a,c; Herring et al., 2012a,b; Hoever et al., 2012). In the case of SB-649868, there is strong evidence of sleep onset REM in patients receiving the 60 mg dose (Bettica et al., 2012a). Whilst no clinical evidence exists for filorexant, recent rodent studies demonstrated the filorexant analog, DORA-22, promotes sleep with dose-dependent increases in REM sleep (Fox et al., 2013), suggesting that the mechanism may also be the same for this compound.

Overall, the clinical data appears to confirm the preclinical data collected in mice or rats which demonstrates dual orexin receptor antagonists or dual receptor KOs induce sleep with a very strong REM component, whereas  $OX_2R$  KO or antagonism has more balanced sleep phenotypes (Willie et al., 2003; Mang et al., 2012; Betschart et al., 2013; Hoyer et al., 2013). Therefore,

one may consider  $OX_1R$  antagonism to be detrimental and suggest that compounds such as suvorexant and SB-649868, which show very slow kinetics at the  $OX_1R$ , are likely to favor REM over non-REM. For SB-649868, clinical studies in healthy volunteers (Bettica et al., 2012b) and insomnia patients (Bettica et al., 2012c) demonstrate that 10, 30, or 60 mg SB-649868 decreases latency to REM and increases REM duration. In a 4 week placebo-controlled study of suvorexant in patients with insomnia, Herring and colleagues observed that increases in total sleep time were mainly due to increased time spent in REM sleep (Herring et al., 2012b). Such compounds may also increase rapid transitions between wake and REM states, especially if the compound is given a relatively long time before bed, as was the case with SB-649868 (90 min, Bettica et al., 2009a, 2012b).

Still, kinetics are of primary importance in sleep and an appropriate balance must be reached for therapeutic efficacy and safety. If target occupancy is too short, the patient will wake up in the middle of the night as happened with early formulations of Z drugs such as zolpidem and zaleplon (Besset et al., 1995; Roth et al., 1995; Greenblatt et al., 1998). Conversely, if target occupancy is too long, there will be "hangover" effects into the next morning, a crucial issue with benzodiazepine hypnotics (Wilson et al., 2010). For compounds that have slow receptor kinetics, pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics may not run in parallel, complicating their further development. The current report suggests that all four established "dual" antagonists have very slow kinetics, leading to changes in actual selectivity if equilibrium can be reached in vivo; in addition, if equilibrium is reached, slow off rates may result in longer receptor occupancy than may be predicted solely from the pharmacokinetic data.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Gabrielle E. Callander prepared the data for publication and wrote the manuscript. Morenike Olorunda, Dominique Monna, Edi Schuepbach, and Daniel Langenegger have carried out the experiments, contributed to the development of the assays and performed some of the data analysis. Claudia Betschart and Samuel Hintermann have synthesized a number of compounds and led the chemistry efforts and contributed to writing. Emmanuelle Briard contributed to the synthesis of a number of radioligands used in these studies. Dirk Behnke, Simona Cotesta, Grit Laue, and Silvio Ofner have synthesized other compounds and/or have performed a number of analyses in relation to pharmacokinetics. Christine E. Gee, Markus Fendt, and Laura H. Jacobson have performed in vivo experiments that led to the concepts developed here and have participated in discussion and writing. Daniel Hoyer has led the team, conceptualized the experimental approach and data interpretation and finalized the writing.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors would like to thank T. Cremer and Dr. S. Geisse, (NIBR Basel, Switzerland) and Dr. A. Chen, (GNF, San Diego, CA, USA) for providing cells and cell membranes expressing orexin receptors.

#### REFERENCES

- Besset, A., Tafti, M., Villemin, E., Borderies, P., and Billiard, M. (1995). Effects of zolpidem on the architecture and cyclical structure of sleep in poor sleepers. *Drugs Exp. Clin. Res.* 21, 161–169.
- Betschart, C., Hintermann, S., Behnke, D., Cotesta, S., Fendt, M., Gee, C. E., et al. (2013). Identification of a novel series of orexin receptor antagonists with a distinct effect on sleep architecture for the treatment of insomnia. *J. Med. Chem.* 56, 7590–7607. doi: 10.1021/jm4007627
- Bettica, P., Nucci, G., Pyke, C., Squassante, L., Zamuner, S., Ratti, E., et al. (2012a). Phase I studies on the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of SB-649868, a novel dual orexin receptor antagonist. *J. Psychopharmacol.* 26, 1058–1070. doi: 10.1177/0269881111408954
- Bettica, P., Squassante, L., Groeger, J. A., Gennery, B., Winsky-Sommerer, R., and Dijk, D. J. (2012b). Differential effects of a dual orexin receptor antagonist (SB-649868) and zolpidem on sleep initiation and consolidation, SWS, REM Sleep, and EEG power spectra in a model of situational insomnia. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 37, 1224–1233. doi: 10.1038/npp.2011.310
- Bettica, P., Squassante, L., Zamuner, S., Nucci, G., Danker-Hopfe, H., and Ratti, E. (2012c). The orexin antagonist SB-649868 promotes and maintains sleep in men with primary insomnia. *Sleep* 35, 1097–1104. doi: 10.5665/sleep.1996
- Bettica, P. U., Lichtenfeld, U., Squassante, L., Shabbir, S., Zuechner, D., Dreykluft, P., et al. (2009a). The orexin antagonist SB-649868 promotes and maintains sleep in healthy volunteers and in patients with primary insomnia. *Sleep* 32, A252–A253.
- Bettica, P. U., Squassante, L., Groeger, J. A., Gennery, B., and Dijk, D. (2009b). Hypnotic effects of SB-649868, an orexin antagonist, and zolpidem in a model of situational insomnia. *Sleep* 32, A40.
- Beuckmann, C. T., Sinton, C. M., Williams, S. C., Richardson, J. A., Hammer, R. E., Sakurai, T., et al. (2004). Expression of a poly-glutamine-ataxin-3 transgene in orexin neurons induces narcolepsy-cataplexy in the rat. J. Neurosci. 24, 4469–4477. doi: 10.1523/jneurosci.5560-03.2004
- Beuckmann, C. T., Willie, J. T., Hara, J., Yamanaka, A., Sakurai, T., and Yanagisawa, M. (2002). Orexin neuron-ablated mice fail to increase vigilance and locomotor activity in response to fasting. *Sleep* 25, A353–A354.
- Black, S. W., Morairty, S. R., Fisher, S. P., Chen, T. M., Warrier, D. R., and Kilduff, T. S. (2013). Almorexant promotes sleep and exacerbates cataplexy in a murine model of narcolepsy. *Sleep* 36, 325–336. doi: 10.5665/sleep.2442
- Brisbare-Roch, C., Dingemanse, J., Koberstein, R., Hoever, P., Aissaoui, H., Flores, S., et al. (2007). Promotion of sleep by targeting the orexin system in rats, dogs and humans. *Nat. Med.* 13, 150–155. doi: 10.1038/nm1544
- Buysse, D. J. (2013). Insomnia. J. Am. Med. Assoc. 309, 706–716. doi: 10.1001/jama.2013.193
- Chemelli, R. M., Willie, J. T., Sinton, C. M., Elmquist, J. K., Scammell, T., Lee, C., et al. (1999). Narcolepsy in orexin knockout mice: molecular genetics of sleep regulation. *Cell* 98, 437–451. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81973-x
- Cheng, Y., and Prusoff, W. H. (1973). Relationship between the inhibition constant (K1) and the concentration of inhibitor which causes 50 per cent inhibition (150) of an enzymatic reaction. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 22, 3099–3108.
- Coleman, P. J., Schreier, J. D., Cox, C. D., Breslin, M. J., Whitman, D. B., Bogusky, M. J., et al. (2012). Discovery of (2R,5R)-5-{(5-Fluoropyridin-2-yl)oxy methyl}-2-methylpiperidin-1-yl 5 -methyl-2-(pyrimidin-2-yl)phenyl methanone (MK-6096): a dual orexin receptor antagonist with potent sleep-promoting properties. *ChemMedChem* 7, 415–424. doi: 10.1002/cmdc.201200025
- Connor, K., Budd, K., Snavely, D., Liu, K., Hutzel-Mann, J., Benca, R., et al. (2012). Efficacy and safety of suvorexant, an orexin receptor antagonist, in patients with primary insomnia: a 3-month phase 3 trial (trial #1). J. Sleep Res. 21, 97.
- Cox, C. D., Breslin, M. J., Whitman, D. B., Schreier, J. D., McGaughey, G. B., Bogusky, M. J., et al. (2010). Discovery of the dual orexin receptor antagonist (7R)-4-(5-Chloro-1,3-benzoxazol-2-yl)-7-methyl-1,4-diazepan-1-yl 5-met hyl-2-(2H-1,2,3-triazol-2-yl)phenyl methanone (MK-4305) for the treatment of Insomnia. J. Med. Chem. 53, 5320–5332. doi: 10.1021/jm100541c
- de Lecea, L., Kilduff, T. S., Peyron, C., Gao, X. B., Foye, P. E., Danielson, P. E., et al. (1998). The hypocretins: hypothalamus-specific peptides with neuroexcitatory activity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 95, 322–327. doi: 10.1073/pnas.95.1.322
- Farkas, R. (2013). Suvorexant Safety and Efficacy [Online]. www.fda.gov: U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Available online at: http://www.fda.gov/downloads/ AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/PeripheralandCentr alNervousSystemDrugsAdvisoryCommittee/UCM354215.pdf (Accessed on May 08, 2013).

- Fox, S. V., Gotter, A. L., Tye, S. J., Garson, S. L., Savitz, A. T., Uslaner, J. M., et al. (2013). Quantitative electroencephalography within sleep/wake states differentiates GABA<sub>A</sub> modulators eszopiclone and zolpidem from dual orexin receptor antagonists in rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 38, 2401–2408. doi: 10.1038/npp.2013.139
- Gotter, A. L., Roecker, A. J., Hargreaves, R., Coleman, P. J., Winrow, C. J., and Renger, J. J. (2012). "Orexin receptors as therapeutic drug targets," in *Orexin/Hypocretin System*, ed A. Shekhar (Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Bv), 163–188.
- Greenblatt, D. J., Harmatz, J. S., von Moltke, L. L., Ehrenberg, B. L., Harrel, L., Corbett, K., et al. (1998). Comparative kinetics and dynamics of zaleplon, zolpidem, and placebo. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 64, 553–561. doi: 10.1016/S0009-9236(98)90139-4
- Hara, J., Beuckmann, C. T., Nambu, T., Willie, J. T., Chemelli, R. M., Sinton, C. M., et al. (2001a). Genetic ablation of orexin neurons in mice results in narcolepsy, hypophagia, and obesity. *Neuron* 30, 345–354. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(01)00293-8
- Hara, J., Nambu, T., Beuckmann, C. T., Goto, K., Yanagisawa, M., and Sakurai, T. (2001b). Genetic ablation of orexin neurons in mice: a mouse model of narcolepsy. *Sleep* 24, A156.
- Herring, W. J., Snyder, E., Budd, K., Hutzelmann, J., Snavely, D., Liu, K., et al. (2012a). Orexin receptor antagonism for treatment of insomnia a randomized clinical trial of suvorexant. *Neurology* 79, 2265–2274. doi: 10.1212/WNL.0b013e31827688ee
- Herring, W. J., Snyder, E., Paradis, E., Hutzelmann, J., Liu, M. C., Snavely, D., et al. (2012b). Suvorexant, an orexin receptor antagonist, in preventing symptom return in patients with insomnia after 1 year of treatment: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. J. Sleep Res. 21, 351–351.
- Hoever, P., de Haas, S., Dorffner, G., Chiossi, E., van Gerven, J., and Dingemanse, J. (2012). Orexin receptor antagonism: an ascending multipledose study with almorexant. *J. Psychopharmacol.* 26, 1071–1080. doi: 10.1177/0269881112448946
- Hoyer, D., Dürst, T., Fendt, M., Jacobson, L. H., Betschart, C., Hintermann, S., et al. (2013). Distinct effects of IPSU and suvorexant on mouse sleep architecture. *Front. Neurosci.* 7:235. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2013.00235
- Ivgy-May, N., Leibensperger, H., Froman, S., Hutzelmann, J., Snavely, D., Snyder, E., et al. (2012). Efficacy and safety of suvorexant, an orexin receptor antagonist, in patients with primary insomnia: a 3-month phase 3 trial (trial #2). J. Sleep Res. 21, 351–352.
- Kalogiannis, M., Hsu, E., Willie, J. T., Chemelli, R. M., Kisanuki, Y. Y., Yanagisawa, M., et al. (2011). Cholinergic modulation of narcoleptic attacks in double orexin receptor knockout mice. *PLoS ONE* 6:e18697. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0018697
- Lin, L., Faraco, J., Li, R., Kadotani, H., Rogers, W., Lin, X. Y., et al. (1999). The sleep disorder canine narcolepsy is caused by a mutation in the hypocretin (orexin) receptor 2 gene. *Cell* 98, 365–376. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00) 81965-0
- Malherbe, P., Borroni, E., Pinard, E., Wettstein, J. G., and Knoflach, F. (2009). biochemical and electrophysiological characterization of almorexant, a dual orexin 1 receptor (OX(1))/orexin 2 receptor (OX(2)) antagonist: comparison with selective OX(1) and OX(2) antagonists. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 76, 618–631. doi: 10.1124/mol.109.055152
- Malherbe, P., Roche, O., Marcuz, A., Kratzeisen, C., Wettstein, J. G., and Bissantz, C. (2010). Mapping the binding pocket of dual antagonist almorexant to human orexin 1 and orexin 2 receptors: comparison with the selective OX1 antagonist SB-674042 and the selective OX2 antagonist N-ethyl-2- (6-methoxy-pyridin-3-yl)-(toluene-2-sulfonyl)-amino -N-pyridi n-3-ylmethyl-acetamide (EMPA). *Mol. Pharmacol.* 78, 81–93. doi: 10.1124/mol.110.064584
- Mang, G. M., Durst, T., Burki, H., Imobersteg, S., Abramowski, D., Schuepbach, E., et al. (2012). The dual orexin receptor antagonist almorexant induces sleep and decreases orexin-induced locomotion by blocking orexin 2 receptors. *Sleep* 35, 1625–1635. doi: 10.5665/sleep.2232
- Marcus, J. N., Aschkenasi, C. J., Lee, C. E., Chemelli, R. M., Saper, C. B., Yanagisawa, M., et al. (2001). Differential expression of orexin receptors 1 and 2 in the rat brain. J. Comp. Neurol. 435, 6–25. doi: 10.1002/cne.1190.
- Merck Sharp and Dohme Corporation. (2013a). Suvorexant Advisory Committee Briefing Document. Available online at: http://www.fda.gov/ downloads/AdvisoryCommittees/CommitteesMeetingMaterials/Drugs/Periphe ralandCentralNervousSystemDrugsAdvisoryCommittee/UCM352970.pdf

- Merck Sharp and Dohme Corporation. (2013b). *Merck Receives Complete Response Letter for Suvorexant, Merck's Investigational Medicine for Insomnia.* Available online at: http://www.mercknewsroom.com/press-release/research-and-development-news/merck-receives-complete-response-letter-suvorexant-merck.
- Morairty, S. R., Revel, F. G., Malherbe, P., Moreau, J. L., Valladao, D., Wettstein, J. G., et al. (2012). Dual hypocretin receptor antagonism is more effective for sleep promotion than antagonism of either receptor alone. *PLoS ONE* 7:e39131. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039131
- Nishino, S., Ripley, B., Overeem, S., Lammers, G. J., and Mignot, E. (2000). Hypocretin (orexin) deficiency in human narcolepsy. *Lancet* 355, 39–40. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(99)05582-8
- Owen, R. T., Castaner, R., Bolos, J., and Estivill, C. (2009). Almorexant dual orexin OX1/OX2 antagonist treatment of sleep disorders. *Drugs Future* 34, 5–10. doi: 10.1358/dof.2009.034.01.1324392
- Peyron, C., Tighe, D. K., van den Pol, A. N., de Lecea, L., Heller, H. C., Sutcliffe, J. G., et al. (1998). Neurons containing hypocretin (orexin) project to multiple neuronal systems. *J. Neurosci.* 18, 9996–10015.
- Radl, S. (2013). SUVOREXANT dual orexin OX1/OX2 receptor antagonist treatment of sleep disorders. *Drugs Future* 38, 27–36. doi: 10.1358/dof.2013.38.1.1906425
- Roecker, A. J., and Coleman, P. J. (2008). Orexin receptor antagonists: medicinal chemistry and therapeutic potential. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 8, 977–987. doi: 10.2174/156802608784936746
- Roth, T., Roehrs, T., and Vogel, G. (1995). Zolpidem in the treatment of transient insomnia: a double-blind, randomized comparison with placebo. *Sleep* 18, 246–251.
- Sakurai, T. (2007). The neural circuit of orexin (hypocretin): maintaining sleep and wakefulness. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 8, 171–181. doi: 10.1038/nrn2092
- Sakurai, T., Amemiya, A., Ishii, M., Matsuzaki, I., Chemelli, R. M., Tanaka, H., et al. (1998). Orexins and orexin receptors: a family of hypothalamic neuropeptides and G protein-coupled receptors that regulate feeding behavior. *Cell* 92, 573–585. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80949-6
- Scammell, T. E., Estabrooke, I. V., McCarthy, M. T., Chemelli, R. M., Yanagisawa, M., Miller, M. S., et al. (2000). Hypothalamic arousal regions are activated during modafinil-induced wakefulness. *J. Neurosci.* 20, 8620–8628.
- Scammell, T. E., and Winrow, C. J. (2011). Orexin receptors: pharmacology and therapeutic opportunities. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 51, 243–266. doi: 10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010510-100528
- Scatchard, G. (1949). The attractions of proteins for small molecules and ions. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 51, 660–672. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.1949. tb27297.x
- Sherin, J. E., Elmquist, J. K., Torrealba, F., and Saper, C. B. (1998). Innervation of histaminergic tuberomammillary neurons by GABAergic and galaninergic neurons in the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus of the rat. J. Neurosci. 18, 4705–4721.
- Sun, H., Kennedy, W. P., Wilbraham, D., Lewis, N., Calder, N., Li, X. D., et al. (2013). Effects of suvorexant, an orexin receptor antagonist, on sleep parameters as measured by polysomnography in healthy men. *Sleep* 36, 259–267. doi: 10.5665/sleep.2386
- Tafti, M. (2007). Reply to 'Promotion of sleep by targeting the orexin system in rats, dogs and humans'. *Nat. Med.* 13, 525–526. doi: 10.1038/nm0507-525
- Tafti, M., Maret, S., and Dauvilliers, Y. (2005). Genes for normal sleep and sleep disorders. Ann. Med. 37, 580–589. doi: 10.1080/07853890500372047
- Tang, J., Chen, J., Ramanjaneya, M., Punn, A., Conner, A. C., and Randeva, H. S. (2008). The signalling profile of recombinant human orexin-2 receptor. *Cell. Signal.* 20, 1651–1661. doi: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2008.05.010
- Thannickal, T. C., Moore, R. Y., Nienhuis, R., Ramanathan, L., Gulyani, S., Aldrich, M., et al. (2000). Reduced number of hypocretin neurons in human narcolepsy. *Neuron* 27, 469–474. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(00)00058-1
- Trivedi, P., Yu, H., MacNeil, D. J., Van der Ploeg, L. H. T., and Guan, X. M. (1998). Distribution of orexin receptor mRNA in the rat brain. *FEBS Lett.* 438, 71–75. doi: 10.1016/s0014-5793(98)01266-6
- Uslaner, J. M., Tye, S. J., Eddins, D. M., Wang, X., Fox, S. V., Savitz, A. T., et al. (2013). Orexin receptor antagonists differ from standard sleep drugs by promoting sleep at doses that do not disrupt cognition. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 5, 179ra144. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.3005213
- Willie, J. T., Chemelli, R. M., Sinston, C. M., Tokita, H., Williams, S. C., Kisanuki, Y. Y., et al. (2003). Distinct narcolepsy syndromes in Orexin receptor-2 and Orexin

null mice: molecular genetic dissection of non-REM and REM sleep regulatory processes. *Neuron* 38, 715–730. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(03)00330-1

- Wilson, S. J., Nutt, D. J., Alford, C., Argyropoulos, S. V., Baldwin, D. S., Bateson, A. N., et al. (2010). British Association for Psychopharmacology consensus statement on evidence-based treatment of insomnia, parasomnias and circadian rhythm disorders. J. Psychopharmacol. 24, 1577–1601. doi: 10.1177/0269881110379307
- Winrow, C. J., Gotter, A. L., Cox, C. D., Doran, S. M., Tannenbaum, P. L., Breslin, M. J., et al. (2011). Promotion of sleep by suvorexant–a novel dual orexin receptor antagonist. J. Neurogenet. 25, 52–61. doi: 10.3109/01677063.2011.566953
- Winrow, C. J., Gotter, A. L., Cox, C. D., Tannenbaum, P. L., Garson, S. L., Doran, S. M., et al. (2012). Pharmacological characterization of MK-6096-A dual orexin receptor antagonist for insomnia. *Neuropharmacology* 62, 978–987. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.10.003
- Yamanaka, A., Beuckmann, C. T., Willie, J. T., Hara, J., Tsujino, N., Mieda, M., et al. (2003). Hypothalamic orexin neurons regulate arousal according to energy balance in mice. *Neuron* 38, 701–713. doi: 10.1016/s0896-6273(03)00331-3
- Zhu, Y., Miwa, Y., Yamanaka, A., Yada, T., Shibahara, M., Abe, Y., et al. (2003). Orexin receptor type-1 couples exclusively to pertussis toxin-insensitive G-proteins, while orexin receptor type-2 couples to both pertussis toxinsensitive and -insensitive G-proteins. J. Pharmacol. Sci. 92, 259–266. doi: 10.1254/jphs.92.259

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** With the exception of Gabrielle E. Callander, the authors are either past or present Novartis employees, or have been supported by Novartis.

Received: 27 August 2013; paper pending published: 18 October 2013; accepted: 15 November 2013; published online: 03 December 2013.

Citation: Callander GE, Olorunda M, Monna D, Schuepbach E, Langenegger D, Betschart C, Hintermann S, Behnke D, Cotesta S, Fendt M, Laue G, Ofner S, Briard E, Gee CE, Jacobson LH and Hoyer D (2013) Kinetic properties of "dual" orexin receptor antagonists at OX<sub>1</sub>R and OX<sub>2</sub>R orexin receptors. Front. Neurosci. 7:230. doi: 10.3389/ fnins.2013.00230

This article was submitted to Neuropharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neuroscience.

Copyright © 2013 Callander, Olorunda, Monna, Schuepbach, Langenegger, Betschart, Hintermann, Behnke, Cotesta, Fendt, Laue, Ofner, Briard, Gee, Jacobson and Hoyer. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.