



Response: "Commentary: Utility-free heuristic models of two-option choice can mimic predictions of utility-stage models under many conditions"

Steven T. Piantadosi¹ and Benjamin Y. Hayden^{1,2*}

utility-stage models under many conditions

¹ Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA, ² Center for Visual Science, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY, USA

Keywords: decision making, value comparison, heuristics, dimensional prioritization, value correlate, utility

A commentary on

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Adam Kepecs, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, USA

Reviewed by:

O'Dhaniel A. Mullette-Gillman, National University of Singapore, Singapore Kenway Louie, New York University, USA

> *Correspondence: Benjamin Y. Hayden, benhayden@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Decision Neuroscience, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neuroscience

Received: 05 June 2015 Accepted: 07 August 2015 Published: 28 August 2015

Citation:

Piantadosi ST and Hayden BY (2015) Response: "Commentary: Utility-free heuristic models of two-option choice can mimic predictions of utility-stage models under many conditions." Front. Neurosci. 9:299. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2015.00299 In his accompanying commentary on our recent paper, Dr. Padoa-Schioppa identifies two putative errors in our manuscript (Piantadosi and Hayden, 2015). Both reflect basic misunderstandings of our arguments, as well as those of Tversky (1969), whose work ours is an extension of.

Commentary: Utility-free heuristic models of two-option choice can mimic predictions of

by Padoa-Schioppa C. (2015). Front. Neurosci. 9:188. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2015.00188

First, he argues that some commodities are inherently incommensurate, such as different juice flavors ("there is no parametric dimension along which two flavors can be assigned a scalar value"). This argument seems appealing because it is difficult to think of a single algorithmic function that would describe a juice flavor as a single number. So in a colloquial sense, juices could indeed by called incommensurate.

However, most models of choice assume, either tacitly or explicitly, that there is an intermediate stage during which each dimension is represented in a scalar manner and may there be deformed. A famous example is prospect theory (Kahneman and Tversky, 1979). In PT, gains are transformed using a convex utility curve and probability is deformed by a different curve; these transformed scalars are then combined into a single utility variable (**Figure 1A**). The same concept can be extended to thinking about very abstract goods like juice flavor, the funniness of a joke, or the intellectual appeal of a novel theory (**Figure 1B**). To do so, one may use a lookup table, as illustrated in our example. One example of a model that involves such a lookup table is Padoa-Schioppa and Assad (2006). In that paper, the authors propose that juices can be given a scalar value parameter, and that this parameter can be experimentally observed through preferences.

These idea of an intermediate stage is a critical part of several famous economic models (Bernoulli, 1738; Tversky, 1969; Kahneman and Tversky, 1979), including Padoa-Schioppa's own work, and has a direct neural correlate in the orbitofrontal cortex (Blanchard et al., 2015). A different possibility would be that it is impossible to construct an *intermediate-stage* model for a given choice process (**Figure 1C**). In such cases our ideas do not apply, nor would Tversky's. Some models that do not have an intermediate stage include decision by sampling, elimination by aspects, and query theory, as well as other sampling-based heuristic approaches (Tversky, 1972; Stewart et al., 2006; Johnson et al., 2007; Hayden and Platt, 2009).

1



Second, Dr. Padoa-Schioppa is concerned that there are well-defined value comparisons to which our methods do not apply. We clearly acknowledged this point in our original manuscript. Our formalization provides a way to recognize utility functions it does apply to; this is a strength of our approach.

However, while the example he gives was not discussed in our manuscript, it is straightforwardly covered using the approach we advocate. Indeed, the set of contexts to which our arguments apply is somewhat larger than we stated in the original article. Specifically, it applies to any context in which the choice can be modeled by a utility equation and then that equation can be rearranged to avoid the utility stage.

Dr. Padoa-Schioppa discusses a choice between gambles with probability (P_1) of reward (R_1) and fixed costs (C_1) , where choice is determined by:

$$P_1 \cdot R_1 - C_1 > P_2 \cdot R_2 - C_2.$$

Following a method much like the one we presented (that is, first computing relative differences and then rearranging the terms), this choice is equivalent to:

$\frac{\frac{p}{P} + \frac{r}{R}}{\frac{c}{C}} > \frac{C}{P \cdot R}$

where the uppercase letters are the average value in each dimension and the lowercase are half the difference.

To translate into prose, the decision-maker does the essentially same thing as in the examples used in our paper: she computes a normalization term that depends on average values, and then computes the ratio of the dimension-free relative differences for gains, and asks whether that ratio is greater or less than the relative difference for costs.

Thus, this example thus does not challenge our arguments, but instead enhances them. Moreover, it endorses our bigger (and ultimately very simple) point: given the power and flexibility of algebra, it is often possible to create process models that have no utility stage but make identical predictions to ones that do through a simple rearrangement of terms.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a R01 (NIDA 037229) to BH.

References

- Bernoulli, D. (1738). Specimen theoriae novae de mensura sortis (Exposition of a new theory on the measurement of risk). *Comentarii Acad. Sci. Petropolis* 5, 23–36.
- Blanchard, T. C., Hayden, B. Y., and Bromberg-Martin, E. S. (2015). Orbitofrontal cortex uses distinct codes for different choice attributes in decisions motivated by curiosity. *Neuron* 85, 602–614. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2014.12.050
- Hayden, B. Y., and Platt, M. L. (2009). The mean, the median, and the St. Petersburg Paradox. Judgm. Decis. Mak. 4, 256–273.
- Johnson, E. J., Häubl, G., and Keinan, A. (2007). Aspects of endowment: a query theory of value construction. J. Exp. Psychol. Learn. Mem. Cogn. 33, 461–474. doi: 10.1037/0278-7393.33.3.461
- Kahneman, D., and Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: an analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica* 47, 263–291. doi: 10.2307/1914185
- Padoa-Schioppa, C., and Assad, J. A. (2006). Neurons in orbitofrontal cortex encode economic value. *Nature* 441, 223–226. doi: 10.1038/nature 04676
- Piantadosi, S. T., and Hayden, B. Y. (2015). Utility-free heuristic models of two-option choice can mimic predictions of utility-stage models under many conditions. *Front Decis. Neurosci.* 9:105. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2015. 00105
- Stewart, N., Chater, N., and Brown, G.D.A. (2006). Decision by sampling. Cogn. Psychol. 53, 1–26. doi: 10.1016/j.cogpsych.2005.10.003
- Tversky, A. (1969). Intransitivity of preferences. Psychol. Rev. 76, 31–48. doi: 10.1037/h0026750

Tversky, A. (1972). Elimination by aspects: a theory of choice. *Psychol. Rev.* 79, 281. doi: 10.1037/h0032955

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2015 Piantadosi and Hayden. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.