



## An Insulin-Like Growth Factor in *Rhodnius prolixus* Is Involved in Post-feeding Nutrient Balance and Growth

### Marina S. Defferrari\*, Ian Orchard and Angela B. Lange

Department of Biology, University of Toronto Mississauga, Mississauga, ON, Canada

Growth of organisms is modulated by the availability of nutrients and energy, and is mostly regulated by insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) through the insulin signaling system. In insects, IGFs produced by the fat body induce cell division during the molt cycle, regulate adult body size, and have metabolic effects. Here, we describe an IGF from the hematophagous hemipteran Rhodnius prolixus and show its activity in regulating growth and metabolism in the post-feeding period during the fifth, and last, nymphal instar. Rhopr-IGF transcript is present in a variety of tissues, with greatest expression in the fat body, the dorsal vessel, and the CNS. We silenced the expression of the transcript using RNA interference, and at 2 weeks after feeding, insects with reduced Rhopr-IGF expression showed increased hemolymph lipid and carbohydrate levels when compared to controls, but no differences were observed in fat body lipid or carbohydrate content. In order to assess the role of Rhopr-IGF in post-feeding growth, double stranded IGF-injected insects were followed through ecdysis, and this treatment resulted in shorter adults, with shorter and narrower wings, when compared to controls. The results suggest that Rhopr-IGF modulates growth in *R. prolixus* most likely through altering the usage of nutrients that are available in the hemolymph.

### **OPEN ACCESS**

### Edited by:

Eric W. Roubos, Radboud University Nijmegen, Netherlands

### Reviewed by:

Dick R. Nässel, Stockholm University, Sweden Claudio R. Lazzari, University of Tours, France

#### \*Correspondence:

Marina S. Defferrari marina.defferrari@utoronto.ca

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Neuroendocrine Science, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neuroscience

Received: 16 August 2016 Accepted: 23 November 2016 Published: 09 December 2016

#### Citation:

Defferrari MS, Orchard I and Lange AB (2016) An Insulin-Like Growth Factor in Rhodnius prolixus Is Involved in Post-feeding Nutrient Balance and Growth. Front. Neurosci. 10:566. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2016.00566 Keywords: development, RNAi, insect, Rhopr-IGF, metabolism, lipids, carbohydrates

## INTRODUCTION

Insulin, insulin-like growth factors (IGFs), and insulin-like peptides (ILPs) are hormones involved in a variety of physiological processes that signal through a conserved cascade in vertebrates and invertebrates (Badisco et al., 2013; Nässel et al., 2015; Nässel and Vanden Broeck, 2016). They function as nutrient-sensing pathways that regulate metabolism, growth, and development (Badisco et al., 2013; Huimei et al., 2016). Insulin consists of two peptide chains, A and B, connected by two disulfide bonds and stabilized by a third disulfide bond located in the A-Chain. In the pancreas of vertebrates, insulin is processed via the action of prohormone convertases that cleave the propeptide, yeilding insulin along with a third chain of amino acids, the C-peptide (Steiner et al., 2009). IGFs are single-chain polypeptides with structural homology to proinsulin. IGFs regulate the proliferation and differentiation of various cell types and are capable of exerting insulinlike metabolic effects, but unlike insulin, IGFs are produced by most tissues/organs in the body, especially the liver (Cohick and Clemmons, 1993).

1

A large number of ILPs have been identified in insect species, and their genes are mostly expressed in neurosecretory cells in the brain, such as the insulin-producing cells in Drosophila (IPCs) (Rulifson et al., 2002; Nässel et al., 2013; Nässel and Vanden Broeck, 2016). Nonetheless, there are ILPs expressed in other tissues and some of these have been characterized as IGFs, displaying similar sequence and structure to vertebrate IGFs. In Bombyx mori, an IGF-like peptide (BIGFLP) was shown to be produced in the pupal fat body in response to 20-hydroxyecdysone (20-E) and to influence the growth of tissues in vitro (Okamoto et al., 2009a). The Drosophila ILP6 (DILP6) has been characterized as an IGF-like peptide, and is also expressed in the fat body in response to 20-E, and regulates post-feeding growth and development during non-feeding states (Okamoto et al., 2009b; Slaidina et al., 2009). The insect fat body displays analogous functions to the vertebrate liver and adipose tissue, such as controlling the synthesis, storage and utilization of energy reserves (Arrese and Soulages, 2010). In Drosophila, oenocytes working in association with the fat body regulate larval growth and feeding by altering lipid metabolism while functioning similarly to vertebrate liver hepatocytes (Gutierrez et al., 2007).

*Rhodnius prolixus* is a hematophagous hemipteran that requires gorging on a blood meal to initiate growth and development into the next instar or adult. We have recently characterized the first ILP from *R. prolixus*, which is expressed only in medial neurosecretory cells in the brain and is involved in the metabolism of lipids and carbohydrates during post-feeding and starvation periods (Defferrari et al., 2016). Here, we describe the presence of another ILP in this same insect, expressed in the central nervous system (CNS) but also in peripheral tissues such as the fat body, dorsal vessel and hindgut. This peptide displays sequence and predicted structure similarities to IGFs and is involved in metabolism and development in a post-feeding stage of *R. prolixus*.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Insects

The *R. prolixus* colony was maintained under 50% humidity at  $25^{\circ}$ C, and insects were fed on defibrinated rabbit blood (Cedarlane Laboratories Inc., Burlington, ON, Canada) once per instar.

### Identification of Rhopr-IGF

Seven *Drosophila* ILPs (accession numbers NP\_648361.1, NP\_524012.1, NP\_996037.1, NP\_648360.2, NP\_648359.1, NP\_570070.1, NP\_570000.1), the computationally predicted *R. prolixus* ILPs (Ons et al., 2011; Mesquita et al., 2015), and the recently described *R. prolixus* ILP, Rhopr-ILP (Defferrari et al., 2016), were used for screening the predicted *R. prolixus* peptidome (available at https://www.vectorbase.org) using the program Geneious 8.1.7 (Kearse et al., 2012). The putative amino acid sequence was identified and its corresponding mRNA sequence was used as a template for designing primers and for subsequently cloning the transcript.

## **Rhopr-IGF Transcript Cloning**

Total RNA was extracted using a PureLink<sup>®</sup> RNA mini kit (Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA) from the CNS and the fat body of fifth instar *R. prolixus*. For the amplification of the 5' and 3' ends of the Rhopr-IGF transcript, cDNA from each of the tissues was synthesized using a RACE PCR kit (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) in combination with gene-specific primers (GSPs) (**Supplementary Table 1**). The final PCR products were cloned using the pGEM-T Easy Vector system (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and the clones expressing the desired products were sequenced at the Center for Applied Genomics, at the Hospital for Sick Children in Toronto (MaRS Centre, Toronto, ON, Canada).

The complete coding sequence of Rhopr-IGF was isolated as follows: total RNA was extracted from the CNS and the fat body of fifth instars and cDNA was synthesized using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied-Biosystems, Fisher Scientific, Toronto, ON, Canada). PCR was performed combining each of the cDNAs with GSPs (**Supplementary Table 1**) and the products were cloned using the pGEM-T Easy Vector system (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The clones expressing the desired products were sequenced at the Center for Applied Genomics, at the Hospital for Sick Children (Toronto, ON, Canada). Sequences were confirmed from at least three independent clones from each tissue to ensure base accuracy.

### **Rhopr-IGF Sequence Analyses**

The exon-exon boundaries within the Rhopr-IGF transcript were first predicted with a local BLASTN search against the R. prolixus genome (Mesquita et al., 2015), using the program Geneious 8.1.7 (Kearse et al., 2012), where the cDNA sequence of Rhopr-IGF was compared to the genomic sequence in order to predict location and size of exons. The splice regions were confirmed on the platform Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project (http://www. fruitfly.org/seq\_tools/splice.html) by using the online tool Splice Site Prediction. The sequence was also analyzed for a potential signal peptide and for subcellular localization, using SignalP 4.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP) and TargetP 1.1 (http:// www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP), respectively. The predicted protein sequence of Rhopr-IGF (KX185519) was aligned with 12 ILP and IGF precursor peptides from different insects and three human insulin and IGF precursor peptides using the program MUSCLE 3.8 (Multiple Sequence Comparison by Log-Expectation—http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/muscle).

## Rhopr-IGF Expression Analysis by Real Time Quantitative PCR

The spatial expression profile of Rhopr-IGF was quantified using different tissues from unfed fifth instars. RNA was isolated using a PureLink<sup>®</sup> RNA mini kit (Life Technologies Corporation, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and cDNA was synthesized using 250 ng of RNA from each tissue using the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied-Biosystems, Fisher Scientific, Toronto, ON, Canada). The cDNAs were individually diluted to the appropriate concentrations and 5 ng of template was used per well for the real time qPCR reactions. Primers for the amplification of Rhopr-IGF (**Supplementary Table 1**) were designed to amplify fragments of similar size across all experimental and reference genes (rp49,  $\beta$ -actin, and  $\alpha$ -tubulin) (Paluzzi and O'Donnell, 2012; Defferrari et al., 2014). The qPCR reactions were carried out on a CFX384 Touch<sup>TM</sup> Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad, Mississauga, ON, Canada) and the expression levels were quantified using the  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method (Pfaffl, 2001). Experiments were repeated for a total of three biological replicates with three technical replicates each.

### Temporal Analysis of Rhopr-IGF Expression by Real Time qPCR

In order to evaluate any changes in the expression of Rhopr-IGF transcript throughout the fifth instar, insects were dissected at different time points under nuclease-free PBS and RNA was extracted as described above. Insects were dissected immediately and 1 week after ecdysis into fifth instars. Two weeks after ecdysis, a group of 30 insects was fed on defibrinated rabbit blood and tissues (CNS, dorsal vessel and fat body) were dissected at 21 days after feeding. Insects dissected at 21 days after feeding had already started ecdysis into adults but had not yet ecdysed. The expression was quantified by real time qPCR as described above. Five insects were dissected at each time point and the experiment was repeated for a total of three biological replicates.

### **Double-Stranded RNA Synthesis**

A double-stranded RNA fragment consisting of 560 base pairs from the coding region of Rhopr-IGF transcript (dsIGF) was synthesized using the T7 Ribomax Express RNAi System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), following the manufacturer instructions, combined with GSPs conjugated with the T7 RNA polymerase promoter (**Supplementary Table 1**). A dsRNA fragment of the ampicillin resistance gene (dsARG) from the pGEM-T Easy Vector system (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) was used as negative control throughout the experiments (Lee et al., 2013; Defferrari et al., 2014). Rhopr-ILP dsRNA was produced as previously described (Defferrari et al., 2016).

### Injections of Double-Stranded RNA into Fifth Instar *R. prolixus* and Transcript Expression Knockdown Analysis

Injections of dsIGF and dsARG were performed using with a Hamilton syringe of 10  $\mu$ L volume capacity (Hamilton Company, Reno, NV, USA) into the hemocoel of fifth instar *R. prolixus* 1 week after the insects had been fed on a blood meal. Insects were divided into two groups of 20–25 individuals: (1) dsIGF-injected and (2) control dsARG-injected. For the quantification of Rhopr-IGF expression knockdown, the CNS, the fat body and the dorsal vessel from each of the two groups were dissected on day 2 and 7 post-injection. The knockdown was analyzed through quantitative PCR, as described above, and Rhopr-IGF transcript expression in dsIGF-injected insects was quantified relative to the expression of the transcript in dsARG-injected insects.

In order to investigate possible changes in Rhopr-IGF expression after Rhopr-ILP knockdown, and in Rhopr-ILP expression after Rhopr-IGF knockdown, a third group of 10 insects was injected with the dsRNA targeting the Rhopr-ILP transcript. The CNS and the fat body of such insects were dissected on day 7-post-injection and the expression of Rhopr-IGF was analyzed. The expression of Rhopr-ILP was quantified in the CNS of dsIGF-injected insects that were dissected on day 7-post-injection. As described above, the expression of the transcripts was analyzed by quantitative PCR, relative to the expression of dsARG-injected insects.

## Hemolymph Collection from Rhopr-IGF Knockdown Insects

One week after the injection of the dsRNA, the fed fifth instars were immobilized and 5  $\mu$ L of hemolymph were collected from the cut end of a leg, using a 10  $\mu$ L capacity micro-pipette. The hemolymph was placed in 50  $\mu$ L of 10% cold TCA and the samples were centrifuged for 10 min at 20°C and 8000 g. The supernatants were transfered to new tubes and both pellets and supernatants were kept for subsequent measurements of lipids (as lipoproteins) and carbohydrates (including both glucose and trehalose), respectively. The pellets were resuspended in 200  $\mu$ L of isopropanol for the lipid measurements.

# Collection of The Fat Body from Rhopr-IGF Knockdown Insects

One week after the dsRNAs were injected into the insects, the fat body sheet lining the ventral abdominal segments was removed from fed fifth instars under *R. prolixus* physiological saline (NaCl 150 mM, KCl 8.6 mM, CaCl<sub>2</sub> 2.0 mM, MgCl<sub>2</sub> 8.5 mM, NaHCO<sub>3</sub> 4.0 mM, glucose 34.0 mM, HEPES 5.0 mM, pH 7.0). The fat body was placed in either 200  $\mu$ L isopropanol or 200  $\mu$ L 10% cold TCA, sonicated for two cycles of 3 s each and centrifuged for 10 min at 20°C and 8000 g. Forty microliter of the supernatants were transfered to new tubes and kept for the measurements of total lipid (isopropanol) or carbohydrate content (10% TCA) in the fat body.

## Measurements of Hemolymph Lipid Level and Fat Body Lipid Content

The lipids associated with lipoproteins in the hemolymph (pellets of TCA precipitated samples), and the lipid content in the fat body (isopropanol extracted fat body) of Rhopr-IGF knockdowns and dsARG-injected insects, were measured as previously described (Patel et al., 2014).

## Hemolymph and Fat Body Carbohydrate Measurements

The hemolymph and fat body carbohydrates were measured as previously described (Defferrari et al., 2016). For the measurement of carbohydrates, 40  $\mu$ L of the supernatant (after TCA precipitation of protein) was used from either hemolymph or fat body samples.

1	acat	cgco	ctggt	tgtt	tcg	gttat	cgto	caagt	tgat	attt	agtt	taad	cgtat	gaco	caag	
60	ctto	ctgct	tataa	ataco	caaat	cacgo	cgtco	ctcgd	ctato	catto	cacto	cagca	acat	cagat	cctg	
119	ttt	ggtct	tgaat	tgag	gacgt	cacca	agtt	tcc	gttag	gccag	ggaca	atact	ctto	cagao	cagt	
178	tagt	tgata	aagca	attto	ccttt	ttga	caaga	aacat	tgct	tgata	aago	gtcto	ctcto	ctago	ctac	
237	cgad	caago	gagca	aattt	tgga	agtct	taad	cactt	aget	tatca	attaa	atcto	JCCCC	gctto	cata	
296	gtca	actigi	<b>L</b> LLL	gttad	cagat	tcc	atg	atg	tat	ggc	ata	atg	aaa	ttt	atg	
							Met	Met	Tyr	Gly	Ile	Met	Lys	Phe	Met	9
346	atg	atc	att	tcg	atc	gtc	att	aat	tca	ttt	gtc	atc	tct	gct	cct	
	Met	Ile	Ile	Ser	Ile	Val	Ile	Asn	Ser	Phe	Val	Ile	Ser	Ala	Pro	24
391	ttg	ggt	ggc	ttc	aag	ttc	tgt	ggt	aaa	gat	ctg	tcc	gat	atc	tta	
	Leu	Gly	Gly	Phe	Lys	Phe	Cys	Gly	Lys	Asp	Leu	Ser	Asp	Ile	Leu	39
436	gca	gag	gta	tgc	agt	ggc	cgc	ggt	tat	aat	gtc	gct	ttc	gac	aaa	
	Ala	Glu	Val	Cys	Ser	Gly	Arg	Gly	Tyr	Asn	Val	Ala	Phe	Asp	Lys	54
481	ggt	agc	cat	aac	aac	cac	cga	act	aag	cgt	ggt	att	gtt	gat	gaa	
	Gly	Ser	His	Asn	Asn	His	Arg	Thr	Lys	Arg	Gly	Ile	Val	Asp	Glu	69
526	tgt	tgt	cgc	cgc	ttc	tgt	act	tgg	act	aca	ctt	gaa	gcc	tat	tgc	
	Cys	Cys	Arg	Arg	Phe	Cys	Thr	Trp	Thr	Thr	Leu	Glu	Ala	Tyr	Cys	84
571	tcg	ccg	gtt	tca	tat	tct	сса	act	cca	tca	gac	aag	ttc	tcc	gtc	
	Ser	Pro	Val	Ser	Tyr	Ser	Pro	Thr	Pro	Ser	Asp	Lys	Phe	Ser	Val	99
616	aga	aaa	aga	aat	gaa	tta	aaa	gaa	aag	acg	caa	gaa	att	gct	ggg	
	Arg	Lys	Arg	Asn	Glu	Leu	Lys	Glu	Lys	Thr	Gln	Glu	Ile	Ala	Gly	114
661	gac	acg	tat	gca	gtg	ctt	gga	gaa	сса	acc	aga	cat	caa	aag	cgt	
	Asp	Thr	Tyr	Ala	Val	Leu	Gly	Glu	Pro	Thr	Arg	His	Gln	Lys	Arg	129
706	aaa	ctg	ttt	gct	cac	agt	gac	att	gat	cct	cat	tgg	сса	gag	aca	
	Lys	Leu	Phe	Ala	His	Ser	Asp	Ile	Asp	Pro	His	Trp	Pro	Glu	Thr	144
751	tca	ctt	aga	ttt	agt	agc	саа	atc	aaa	gcc	agt	cat	aat	aat	gta	
	Ser	Leu	Arg	Phe	Ser	Ser	Gln	Ile	Lys	Ala	Ser	His	Asn	Asn	Val	159
796	tta	cac	gga	tcg	tat	сса	aat	aat	aag	gca	aat	ctg	gat	gaa	gac	
	Leu	His	Gly	Ser	Tyr	Pro	Asn	Asn	Lys	Ala	Asn	Leu	Asp	Glu	Asp	174
841	aag	ttt	CCC	cga	aga	gtt	aac	aag	tct	gag	gtt	tgc	atc	aac	cat	
	Lys	Phe	Pro	Arg	Arg	Val	Asn	Lys	Ser	Glu	Val	Cys	Ile	Asn	His	189
886	agc	atg	ссс	gtg	cgt	tcg	ttt	tcc	aca	atg	aat	cta	agg	agt	ttc	
	Ser	Met	Pro	Val	Arg	Ser	Phe	Ser	Thr	Met	Asn	Leu	Arg	Ser	Phe	204
931	ttt	aag	ctt	gcg	aaa	aat	gca	aat	gct	ttt	caa	ata	tta	gtg	taa	
	Phe	Lys	Leu	Ala	Lys	Asn	Ala	Asn	Ala	Phe	Gln	Ile	Leu	Val	*	218
976	atta	agtto	gatti	tcaa	ataa.	3′										

FIGURE 1 | Rhopr-IGF transcript nucleotide sequence and deduced amino acid sequence. The numbering for the nucleotide sequence is shown at left and the numbering for amino acid sequence is shown at right. The underlined region from residue 1–22 indicates the signal peptide. The six cysteines (Cys) are highlighted in bold and arrows indicate the components of the three putative disulfide bonds of the mature peptide. The nucleotide pairs inside the boxes indicate the exon-exon boundaries. \*Indicates stop codon.

	Signal Peptide		B-Chain
DTLP7	MTRMIIONSGSWTLCGAVILEVI.IPTPEALOHTEEG	EMIFRERSOSDWENVWHOETHSR	CRDKLVROLYWACEKDI YRLTRRNK
DILP2	ILASST	IKI AOGTI	CSEKINEVI SMUCEE YNDVIDHK
DIDIZ		ODVOCDDKM	
DILF4		LOF VOGRRAM	CONTRACTOR FUELDARD
DIDEI	MED CULDUL EL TD	ZTTLEEGNUKT	CGPALSDANDVVCPHGFNILFRRR
DILES		LISAQAANSIKA	CGPALMDMLRVACPNGFNSMFAR-
DILP3	MGIEMRCQDRRILLPSLLLLILMIGGVQAT	MKL	CGRKLPETLSKLCVYGFNAMTKR-
A. pisum insulin	VIKVVTAN	VRLQRSPQQY	CGSKLADIMKALCNTKYNVPKGHK
Rhopr-ILP	MALFWKVLLLAAVICCVTCQQWYLYSDEPRQ	IEAKRGAQKY	CGRILDDTLKFICRGKYNERFPS-
Human insulin	WGPDP	AAAFVNQHL	CGSHLVEALYLVCGERGFFYTPKTRR-
B. mori Bombyxin	MKILLAIALMLSTVMWVS	IQQPQRVHTY	CGRHLARTLADLCWEAGVDKRSGA-
B. mori IGF	MKFSAVFVILLVLLTVA	VLSENAGRTY	CGRYLARTLANLCSDAGQEKRGED-
DILP6	MVLKVPTSKVLLVLATLFAVAAMISSWMPQV	AASPLAPTEYEQRRMM	CSTGLSDVIQKICVSGTVALGDVFPN-
Rhopr-IGF	INSFV	ISAPLGGFKF	CGKDLSDILAEVCSGRGYNVAFDK-
R. neglectus ILP2	INSEV	ISAPLGGFKF	CGKDLSDILAEVCSGRGYNVAFDK-
Human IGF-I	MITPTVKMHTMSSSHLFYLALCLLTFT	SSATAGPETL	CGAELVDALQFVCGDRGF-YFNKPTGY
Human IGF-II	FLAFASCC	IAAYRPSETL	CGGELVDTLQFVCGDRGF-YFSRPASR
			* * : *
	C-Peptide		A Chain
DTT D7			
DITES	RKIGNDEAWIKKTTTEPDGSTWL	VINIANMELKSKKSDGNTPS	INECCINAGCINESIASICPONKRRN
DILPZ	RAMPGADSDLDALNPLQFVQEFEEEDNSISEPLRSALF	PGSILGGVLNSLAEVRRRTRQRQG	IVERCCKK-SCDMKALKEICSVVRN
DILP4	-SSASKDARVRDLIRKLQQPDEDIEQETETGRLKQKHT	DADTEKGVPPAVGSGRKLRRHRRR	IAHECCKE-GCTYDDILDYCA
DILP1	ESLLGNSDDDEDTEQEVQDDSSMWQTLDGAGYSFSP	LLTNLYGSEVLIKMRRHRRHLTGG	VYDECCVK-TCSYLELAIYCLPK
DILP5	RGTLGLFDYEDH	LADLDSSESHHMNSLSSIRRDFRG	VVDSCCRK-SCSFSTLRAYCDS
DILP3	TLDPVNFNQIDGFEDRSLL	ERLLSDSSVQMLKTRRLRDG	VFDECCLK-SCTMDEVLRYCAAKPRT-
A. pisum insulin	WDYKD-LEDYNAV	DYPYIKKEDISFMPSRFRRSVKRS	IIDECCRR-PCYLSELKSYCASQ
Rhopr-ILP	GKKRATESNKGLEDLE	SYYDNWYKSLVMPSYYTARAIKRG	VHTECCVR-PCTFGDLEKYCAE
Human insulin	EAEDLQVGQV	ELGGGPGAGSLQPLALEGSLQKRG	IVEQCCTS-ICSLYQLENYCN
B. mori Bombyxin		QFASYGSAWLMPYSEGRGKRG	IVDECCLR-PCSVDVLLSYC
B. mori IGF	WSWLSAS	GRKDGAVTENG	VANECCLH-PCTLEVLLSYC
DILP6		SFGKRRKRDLQN	VTDLCCKSGGCTYRELLQYCKG
Rhopr-IGF		GSHNNHRTKRG	IVDECCRR-FCTWTTLEAYCSPVSYSP
R. neglectus ILP2		GSHNNHRTKRG	IVDECCRR-FCTWTTLEAYCSPVSYSP
Human IGF-I		GSSSRRAPQTG	IVDECCFR-SCDLRRLEMYCAPLKPAK
Human IGF-II		VSRRSRG	IVEECCFR-SCDLALLETYCATPAKSE
			: ** * **
DILP7	НҮ		
DILP2			
DILP4			
DILPI			
DILP5			
DILP3			
A. pisum insulin			
Rhopr-ILP			
Human insulin			
B. mori Bombyxin			
B. mori IGF			
DILP6			
Rhopr-IGF	TPSDKFSVRKRNELKEKTQEIAGDTYAVLGEPTRHQKR	<b>KLFAHSDIDPHWPETSLRFSSQIK</b>	ASHNNVLHGSYPNNKANLDEDKFPRRV
R. neglectus ILP2	TPSDKFSVRKRNELKEKTQEIAGDTYAVLGEPTRHQKR	KLFAHSDIDPHWPETSLRFSSQIK	ASHNNVLHGSYPNNKANLDEDKFPRRV
Human IGF-I	SARSVRAQRHTDMPKTQKEVHLKNASRGSAGNKNYRM-		
Human IGF-II	RDVSTPPTVLPDNFPRYPVGKFFQYDTWKQSTQRLRRG	LPALLRARRGHVLAKELEAFREAK	RHRPLIALPTQDPAHGGAPPEMASNRK
DILP7		159	
DILP2		137	
DILP4		135	
DILP1		154	
DILP5		107	
DILP3		120	
A. pisum insulin		122	
Rhopr-ILP		125	
Human insulin		110	
B. mori Bombyxin		92	
B. mori IGF		88	
DILP6		107	
Rhopr-IGF	NKSEVCINHSMPVRSFSTMNLRSFFKLAKNANAFQILV	218	
R. neglectus ILP2	NKSEVCINHSMPVRSFSTMNLRSFFKLAKN	210	
Human IGF-I		137	
Human IGF-II		180	

FIGURE 2 | Multiple sequence alignment of prepropeptides of insect ILPs and IGFs and human insulin and IGFs. Rhop-IGF (KX185519) and Rhopr-ILP (KT896507) are highlighted in bold and were aligned with 11 ILPs from other insect species (*D. melanogaster* DILP1 NP\_648359.1, *D. melanogaster* DILP2 NP\_524012.1, *D. melanogaster* DILP3 NP\_648360.2, *D. melanogaster* DILP4 NP\_648361.1, *D. melanogaster* DILP5 NP\_996037.1, *D. melanogaster* DILP6 NP\_570000.1, *D. melanogaster* DILP7 NP\_570070.1, *Acyrthosiphon pisum* insulin XP\_001949438.1, *B. mori* bombyxin BAA00246.1, *B. mori* IGF NP\_001138796.1, *R. neglectus* ILP2 A0A0N7Z9F4), and with human insulin and IGFs I/II (human insulin CAA23828.1, human IGF-I NP\_001104754.1, human IGF-II NP\_001007140.2). Chains A and B and the C-peptide region are indicated. The six conserved cysteines that form the three disulfide bonds in the mature peptides are highlighted in red, while the other highly conserved residues are highlighted in blue (L and Y), emphasized by \*indicates conservation between groups of strongly similar amino acids.

## Analyses of Body and Wing Size, and Body Weight of Rhopr-IGF Knockdown Insects after Ecdysing into Adults

The fed fifth instars that were injected with dsIGF or dsARG were allowed to ecdyse into adults and 2–3 days after ecdysing the following measurements were taken: (1) body length, (2) body width, at the widest part of the abdomen, (3) wing length, (4) wing width, at the widest part of each wing, and (5) body weight. The experiment was repeated three times with 4–6 insects per group each time.

### **Statistical Analyses**

Results are shown as means plus standard errors. The significance of differences between means of dsIGF-injected and dsARG-injected groups was determined using Student's *t*-test. The difference between each time point and the time point used as reference in the temporal analysis of Rhopr-IGF expression was also determined using Student's *t*-test. Results were considered statistically different when P < 0.05. All analyses were carried out using the program SigmaPlot (Systat Software, San Jose, California, USA).

### RESULTS

### Characterization of Rhopr-IGF Transcript and Its Predicted Amino Acid Sequence

We identified a sequence within the R. prolixus genome that showed similarity to Rhopr-ILP and other insect ILPs, but also to human and insect IGFs. We have cloned and sequenced 994 base pairs of Rhopr-IGF cDNA, which has six exons, with the coding sequence starting at base 319 and ending at base 972, yielding a protein of 218 amino acids (Figure 1). The predicted preproprotein contains a signal peptide with cleavage site between residues 22 and 23, according to SignalP v4.1 and to TargetP 1.1, which also suggested that the protein is secreted to the extracellular environment. The putative Rhopr-IGF protein has six conserved cysteines as seen in other members of the insulin/IGF family that form two interchain disulfide bonds, between chains/domains A and B, and one intrachain disulfide bond, within chain/domain A (Cohick and Clemmons, 1993; Davidson, 2004), located in positions 31, 43, 70, 71, 75, and 84 (Figures 1, 2). Additionally, the predicted structure of the mature hormone resembles that of other IGFs, consisting of a single polypeptide chain stabilized by the three disulfide bonds, without the release of an internal peptide (Cohick and Clemmons, 1993). The same sequence was partially identified in a previous study, named R. prolixus ILP2 (Ons et al., 2011).

We have also constructed an alignment where the predicted protein sequence of Rhopr-IGF was combined with 12 ILP and IGF precursor peptides from different insects and three human insulin and IGF precursor peptides. The alignment highlights conserved residues such as the six cysteines within the A and B chains/domains, but also shows the reduced amino acid sequence between the two chains/domains in IGF sequences. Like human IGFs, Rhopr-IGF displays a longer sequence when compared to other insect ILPs, except for the partial sequence of *R. neglectus*  ILP2 that shows 100% identity to Rhopr-IGF except for being shorter by eight amino acids (**Figure 2**).

### Spatial Distribution and Temporal Expression of Rhopr-IGF in Fifth Instar *R. prolixus*

Rhopr-IGF transcript expression is most abundant in the fat body, followed by the dorsal vessel, the CNS, and the hindgut, where expression ranges from 50 to 20 times higher than in the anterior midgut (AMG). The AMG was used as the reference tissue and shows a similar expression level to the other tissues tested (**Figure 3**).

Changes in Rhopr-IGF transcript expression during development were analyzed in tissues where the transcript is most abundant: the CNS, the fat body and the dorsal vessel. In the CNS, we did not observe major variations in expression throughout the different times tested, whereas in the fat body expression peaks right after the insects ecdyse into the fifth instar and before they ecdyse into the adult (21 days post-feeding). Expression in the fat body is also high 1 week after the insects ecdyse into fifths, but drops after the blood meal and stays at lower levels in the post-feeding period. In the dorsal vessel, expression peaks at 1 week after the insects ecdyse into fifths and, similar to the fat body, it drops right after the blood meal, but remains at similar levels until the last time point tested (**Figure 4**).

### Role of Rhopr-IGF in Lipid and Carbohydrate Metabolism in *R. prolixus* during the Post-feeding Period

Injection of dsIGF reduced the expression of the transcript by 65 and 50% in the CNS, 70 and 90% in the fat



FIGURE 3 | Spatial distribution of Rhopr-IGF transcript in various tissues of fifth instar *R. prolixus*. Tissues were pooled together into nine different categories: central nervous system—CNS, fat body—FB, dorsal vessel—DV, salivary glands—SG, foregut—FG, anterior midgut—AMG, posterior midgut—PMG, hindgut—HG, and Malpighian tubules—MT. Quantification was performed by qPCR and fold difference in expression is relative to the anterior midgut (AMG). Results are shown as means of three biological replicates plus standard error.



body, and 40 and 70% in the dorsal vessel, at 2 and 7 days post-injection, respectively (**Supplementary Figure 1**). Injection of dsIGF or dsILP on transcript expression of ILP or IGF, respectively was examined and found to induce a slight compensatory upregulation but this was not significant (Supplementary Figure 2).

At 1 week after the injection of dsIGF, hemolymph lipid, and carbohydrate levels were increased when

compared to the control group (dsARG-injected) (**Figures 5A,B**). No significant changes in lipid or carbohydrate content of fat body were observed in Rhopr-IGF knockdown insects when compared to dsARG controls (**Figures 5C,D**).

### Role of Rhopr-IGF in Growth of Recently Ecdysed Adults

When fifth instar *R. prolixus* injected with dsIGF 1 week after feeding were followed through to ecdyse into adults, their external appearance was different from that of insects injected with dsARG (**Figure 6**). In order to quantify and assess the differences between the two groups, we weighed the insects and measured the length and width of the adult insect body and wings. Insects that had reduced Rhopr-IGF transcript expression as fifth instars, developed into adults with shorter body length along with shorter and narrower wings when compared to the dsARG controls (**Figures 7A,B**). On the other hand, body width and body weight did not seem to be affected by the knockdown of Rhopr-IGF (**Figures 7A, 8**).

### DISCUSSION

From insects to mammals, the main effector pathway regulating growth is the insulin/IGF signaling cascade, which is modulated by nutritional conditions and developmental signals (Badisco et al., 2013; Nässel et al., 2015; Nässel and Vanden Broeck, 2016). The final body size of an adult insect is determined largely by the availability, and the proper use, of nutrients and energy in the hemolymph during the last nymphal/larval stage (Nijhout et al., 2014). In the present study, we identified an IGF that is expressed in various tissues of R. prolixus, but mostly in the fat body, the dorsal vessel and the CNS. R. prolixus only feeds once per nymphal stage when it ingests up to 10 times its own body weight in blood, and this will trigger a series of developmental changes that result in growth, development, and ultimately ecdysis into the next stage (Orchard, 2006). The mechanism that controls growth and development and therefore body size involves a variety of signaling molecules, including 20-E (Riddiford, 1993; Yamanaka et al., 2013). 20-E secretion is periodic and controls development including the production of a new cuticle beneath the old one,









which is then shed off to end the process of ecdysis. Growth depends on cell division that is stimulated by the secretion of 20-E, and starts soon after the separation of the epidermis from the old cuticle (Yamanaka et al., 2013; Nijhout et al., 2014).

In fifth instar *R. prolixus*, 20-E titers increase in the hemolymph around 1 week after feeding, peak at 14–15 days, and return to basal levels around 18 days, followed by ecdysis at 20–21 days after feeding (Vafopoulou et al., 2005). The expression of Rhopr-IGF increases in the dorsal vessel and in the fat body at 1 week after feeding and in the CNS at 14 days post-feeding, which coincides with the 20-E increase and peak in the hemolymph. Subsequently, at 3 weeks after the blood meal, the expression of Rhopr-IGF peaks in the fat body, when the insects are undergoing ecdysis, suggesting that the production of Rhopr-IGF could be stimulated by 20-E. Peaks of 20-E trigger the production of IGFs by the pupal fat body of both *Drosophila* and *B. mori*, allowing tissues to properly grow during the non-feeding stage that precedes adult emergence (Okamoto et al., 2009a,b; Slaidina et al., 2009).

Around 2 weeks after the ingestion of the blood meal in R. *prolixus*, digestion is still occuring but at a much slower pace and nutrients and energy necessary for growth are being supplied by both digestion and storage tissues such as the fat body (Mariano et al., 2009). Since we observed changes in Rhopr-IGF expression during this post-feeding period, we used RNA interference to reduce the expression of the transcript



**FIGURE 7** | **Body and wing size in recently ecdysed adults after Rhopr-IGF knockdown.** Fifth instars were injected with dsARG or dsIGF 1 week after feeding and were allowed to ecdyse into adults. Two to three days after ecdysing the following measurements were taken: **(A)** body length and body width (n = 8 dsARG, n = 9 dsIGF), and **(B)** wing length and wing width (n = 16 dsARG, n = 18 dsIGF). Results are shown as means plus standard error. \*Indicates statistically significant differences between dsARG and dsIGF-injected insects and *P*-values are indicated in the figure. n.s., denotes not significant.

and analyze the consequences on energy homeostasis and insect growth. Insects were injected with double-stranded RNA targeting the Rhopr-IGF transcript at 1 week after feeding, and 1 week later, fat body and hemolymph were collected for measuring lipids and carbohydrates. Insects that had the Rhopr-IGF transcript expression reduced showed increased lipid and carbohydrate hemolymph levels, but no changes in fat body lipid or carbohydrate content when compared to control insects. These results suggest that the knockdown of Rhopr-IGF may change how tissues demand/use energy sources that are present in the hemolymph and that have been acquired through digestion. The knockdown may not affect the process of energy storage in the fat body. Although there may be some compensatory



up-regulation of Rhopr-ILP transcript, we did not find this to be statistically-significant.

The insulin signaling process is mediated by both insulin and IGF, where insulin controls lipid and carbohydrate metabolism, and IGF regulates growth through cell division (Thissen et al., 1994; Saltiel and Kahn, 2001). We have recently shown that Rhopr-ILP, which is only expressed in median neurosecretory cells in the brain and is similar to human insulin, is involved in the regulation of lipid and carbohydrate homeostasis in the hemolymph and lipid and carbohydrate storage in the fat body (Defferrari et al., 2016). Therefore, Rhopr-ILP and Rhopr-IGF work together to regulate nutrient storage and homeostasis, as well as, growth and development. It has been suggested (Ons et al., 2011) that R. prolixus possesses two other ILPs (Rhopr-ILP3 and Rhopr-ILP6). We have so far been unable to clone these or to confirm their identities through bioinformatics of the R. prolixus genome. The number of ILP genes varies considerably between insect species, with 38 present in B. mori, 8 in Drosophila and 1 in Schistocerca gragaria (Nässel et al., 2015), and so R.prolixus appears to be at the lower end of this range.

As discussed by Lavine et al. (2015) the ILP/IGF pathway regulates growth by integrating physiological conditions and metabolism in a condition-dependent manner. In *Drosophila* the IGF, DILP6, promotes growth in wandering larvae and pupae, but not in early larvae (Slaidina et al., 2009). Interestingly, though, the levels of hemolymph trehalose, glucose, and triacylglycerol were not altered in

DILP6 mutant larvae at the wandering stage suggesting that in *Drosophila*, and at this stage, DILP6 is not required for metabolic regulation (Okamoto et al., 2009b; Slaidina et al., 2009). These differences from *R. prolixus* emphasizes the stage, condition, and species-dependent manner of ILP/IGF signaling.

Since growth relies on the ability of cells to access and assimilate nutrients and the reduced expression of Rhopr-IGF most likely impairs that ability, we followed dsIGF knockdown insects through ecdysis into adults to evaluate the role of Rhopr-IGF in development. We observed that, after ecdysis, adults with reduced Rhopr-IGF transcript levels as fifth instars displayed a shorter body length along with shorter and narrower wings when compared to control insects, but showed no differences in body width or body weight. R. prolixus is a hemimetabolous insect, meaning that after five nymphal stages it will ecdyse into an adult without undergoing a pupal stage. In such insects, growth of appendages happens gradually along with the body during each molt cycle for nymphal stages, except for the wings and reproductive organs that only grow during the last instar before they ecdyse into adults (Nijhout et al., 2014). In Drosophila, the knockdown of DILP6 reduces the amount of energy used to build adult tissues during the pupal stage, resulting in adults with smaller bodies when compared to controls (Slaidina et al., 2009). Analysis of DILP6 mutants showed that they had  $\sim 10\%$  reduced final adult body size which was not related to cell size but was due to a reduction in cell number (Okamoto et al., 2009b).

We also observed that the expression of Rhopr-IGF is increased in the CNS, the fat body, and the dorsal vessel, after the insects ecdyse into fifth instars. One week after ecdysis, the fat body and the dorsal vessel still show high transcript expression levels, suggesting that besides being involved in growth, Rhopr-IGF might have a role during the non-feeding stage that precedes the blood meal. It has been shown in Drosophila that the insulin signaling pathway is essential for starvation resistance, and that DILP6 is required for the accumulation of lipids in the fat body in order to maintain the lipid reserves that allow the flies to tolerate periods of starvation (Chatterjee et al., 2014). We have previously shown that Rhopr-ILP is necessary for energy homeostasis in unfed fifth instars, and insects lacking Rhopr-ILP have increased lipid and carbohydrate levels in the hemolymph along with increased lipid content and decreased carbohydrate content in the fat body (Defferrari et al., 2016). Further, studies are necessary in order to investigate how Rhopr-IGF affects energy metabolism during unfed stages and how it interacts with Rhopr-ILP signaling. Nonetheless, the results presented here indicate that Rhopr-IGF most likely plays multiple roles during different stages of nutrition in R. prolixus, regulating growth and modulating the utilization of hemolymph lipid and carbohydrate in the post-feeding period.

## **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

MD designed the study, conducted the experiments, and wrote the manuscript, IO and AL helped in the design of the study, revised the manuscript, and supervised the work.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was funded by the Natural Sciences and Council of Engineering Research Canada (NSERC), Grants to IO (RGPIN and AL Discovery 8522-12) (RGPIN 2014-06253). Thanks to Shirin Mollayeva for the help and support during the analysis of the experiments.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnins. 2016.00566/full#supplementary-material

### REFERENCES

- Arrese, E. L., and Soulages, J. L. (2010). Insect fat body: energy, metabolism, and regulation. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 55, 207–225. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ento-112408-085356
- Badisco, L., Van Wielendaele, P., and Vanden Broeck, J. (2013). Eat to reproduce: a key role for the insulin signaling pathway in adult insects. *Front. Physiol.* 4:202. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2013.00202
- Chatterjee, D., Katewa, S. D., Qi, Y., Jackson, S. A., Kapahi, P., and Jasper, H. (2014). Control of metabolic adaptation to fasting by dILP6-induced insulin signaling in *Drosophila* oenocytes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 111, 17959–17964. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1409241111
- Cohick, W. S., and Clemmons, D. R. (1993). The insulin-like growth factors. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 55, 131–153. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ph.55.030193.001023
- Davidson, H. W. (2004). (Pro)Insulin processing: a historical perspective. Cell Biochem. Biophys. 40, 143–158. doi: 10.1385/CBB:40:3:143
- Defferrari, M. S., Lee, D. H., Fernandes, C. L., Orchard, I., and Carlini, C. R. (2014). A phospholipase A2 gene is linked to Jack bean urease toxicity in the Chagas' disease vector *Rhodnius prolixus*. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1840, 396–405. doi: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2013.09.016
- Defferrari, M. S., Orchard, I., and Lange, A. B. (2016). Identification of the first insulin-like peptide in the disease vector *Rhodnius prolixus*: involvement in metabolic homeostasis of lipids and carbohydrates. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 70, 148–159. doi: 10.1016/j.ibmb.2015.12.009
- Gutierrez, E., Wiggins, D., Fielding, B., and Gould, A. P. (2007). Specialized hepatocyte-like cells regulate *Drosophila* lipid metabolism. *Nature* 445, 275–280. doi: 10.1038/nature05382
- Huimei, Z., Xiaohang, Y., and Yongmei, X. (2016). Fat body remodeling and homeostasis control in *Drosophila*. *Life Sci.* 167, 22–31. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2016.10.019
- Kearse, M., Moir, R., Wilson, A., Stones-Havas, S., Cheung, M., Sturrock, S., et al. (2012). Geneious basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 28, 1647–1649. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199
- Lavine, L., Gotoh, H., Brent, C. S., Dworkin, I., and Emlen, D. J. (2015). Exaggerated trait growth in insects. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 2015. 60, 453–472. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ento-010814-021045
- Lee, D., Orchard, I., and Lange, A. B. (2013). Evidence for a conserved CCAPsignaling pathway controlling ecdysis in a hemimetabolous insect, *Rhodnius* prolixus. Front. Neurosci. 7:207. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2013.00207
- Mariano, A. C., Santos, R., Gonzalez, M. S., Feder, D., Machado, E. A., Pascarelli, B., et al. (2009). Synthesis and mobilization of glycogen and trehalose in adult male *Rhodnius prolixus*. Arch. Insect. Biochem. Physiol. 72, 1–15. doi: 10.1002/arch.20319
- Mesquita, R. D., Vionette-Amaral, R. J., Lowenberger, C., Rivera-Pomar, R., Monteiro, F. A., Minx, P., et al. (2015). Genome of *Rhodnius prolixus*, an insect vector of Chagas disease, reveals unique adaptations to hematophagy

### Supplementary Figure 1 | Rhorp-IGF expression analysis in fifth instar R.

*prolixus* injected with dsRNA. Insects were injected with dsARG or dsIGF and the CNS, fat body, and dorsal vessel were dissected 2 and 7 days after the injections. Rhopr-IGF expression was quantified using qPCR, where the expression in dsIGF-injected insects was quantified relative to the expression in dsARG-injected insects using the  $\Delta\Delta$ Ct method (Pfaffl, 2001). Results are shown as means of three biological replicates plus standard error.

**Supplementary Figure 2 | (A)** Rhopr-IGF expression after Rhopr-ILP knockdown; **(B)** Rhopr-ILP expression after Rhopr-IGF knockdown. Expression was measured 7 days after the injection of the dsRNAs. Results are shown as means plus standard error. The *P*-value is indicated in the figure. n.s., denotes not significant.

Supplementary Table 1 | Gene specific primers used for performing RACE PCR of both 5' and 3' of Rhopr-IGF, for the amplification of open reading frame, for q PCR, and for the construction of the dsRNA used for Rhopr-IGF expression knockdown.

and parasite infection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 112, 14936-14941. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1506226112

- Nässel, D. R., Kubrak, O. I., Liu, Y., Luo, J., and Lushchak, O. V. (2013). Factors that regulate insulin producing cells and their output in *Drosophila*. *Front. Physiol.* 4:252. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2013.00252
- Nässel, D. R., Liu, Y., and Luo, J. (2015). Insulin/IGF signaling and its regulation in *Drosophila. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 221, 255–266. doi: 10.1016/j.ygcen.2014.11.021
- Nässel, D. R., and Vanden Broeck, J. (2016). Insulin/IGF signalling in *Drosophila* and other insects: factors that regulate production, release and post-feeding action of the insulin-like peptides. *Cell. Molec. Life Sci.* 73. 271–290. doi: 10.1007/s00018-015-2063-3
- Nijhout, H. F., Riddiford, L. M., Mirth, C., Shingleton, A. W., Suzuki, Y., and Callier, V. (2014). The developmental control of size in insects. *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Dev. Biol.* 3, 113–134. doi: 10.1002/wdev.124
- Okamoto, N., Yamanaka, N., Satake, H., Saegusa, H., Kataoka, H., and Mizoguchi, A. (2009a). An ecdysteroid-inducible insulin-like growth factor-like peptide regulates adult development of the silkmoth *Bombyx mori. FEBS J.* 276, 1221–1232. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-4658.2008.06859.x
- Okamoto, N., Yamanaka, N., Yagi, Y., Nishida, Y., Kataoka, H., O'Connor, M. B., et al. (2009b). A fat body-derived IGF-like peptide regulates postfeeding growth in *Drosophila*. *Dev. Cell* 17, 885–891. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2009.10.008
- Ons, S., Sterkel, M., Diambra, L., Urlaub, H., and Rivera-Pomar, R. (2011). Neuropeptide precursor gene discovery in the Chagas disease vector *Rhodnius prolixus. Insect Mol. Biol.* 20, 29–44. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2583.2010. 01050.x
- Orchard, I. (2006). Serotonin: a coordinator of feeding-related physiological events in the blood-gorging bug, *Rhodnius prolixus. Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 144, 316–324. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2005.11.010
- Paluzzi, J. P., and O'Donnell, M. J. (2012). Identification, spatial expression analysis and functional characterization of a pyrokinin-1 receptor in the Chagas' disease vector, *Rhodnius prolixus. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* 363, 36–45. doi: 10.1016/j.mce.2012.07.007
- Patel, H., Orchard, I., Veenstra, J. A., and Lange, A. B. (2014). The distribution and physiological effects of three evolutionarily and sequencerelated neuropeptides in *Rhodnius prolixus*: adipokinetic hormone, corazonin and adipokinetic hormone/corazonin-related peptide. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 195, 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ygcen.2013.10.012
- Pfaffl, M. W. (2001). A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 29:e45. doi: 10.1093/nar/29.9.e45
- Riddiford, L. M. (1993). Hormone receptors and the regulation of insect metamorphosis. *Receptor* 3, 203–209. doi: 10.1093/icb/33.3.340
- Rulifson, E. J., Kim, S. K., and Nusse, R. (2002). Ablation of insulin-producing neurons in flies: growth and diabetic phenotypes. *Science* 296, 1118–1120. doi: 10.1126/science.1070058
- Saltiel, A. R., and Kahn, C. R. (2001). Insulin signalling and the regulation of glucose and lipid metabolism. *Nature* 414, 799–806. doi: 10.1038/414799a

- Slaidina, M., Delanoue, R., Gronke, S., Partridge, L., and Léopold, P. (2009). A Drosophila insulin-like peptide promotes growth during nonfeeding states. Dev. Cell 17, 874–884. doi: 10.1016/j.devcel.2009.10.009
- Steiner, D. F., Park, S. Y., Støy, J., Philipson, L. H., and Bell, G. I. (2009). A brief perspective on insulin production. *Diabetes Obes. Metab.* 11(Suppl. 4), 189–196. doi: 10.1111/j.1463-1326.2009.01106.x
- Thissen, J. P., Ketelslegers, J. M., and Underwood, L. E. (1994). Nutritional regulation of the insulin-like growth factors. *Endocr. Rev.* 15, 80–101.
- Vafopoulou, X., Steel, C. G., and Terry, K. L. (2005). Edysteroid receptor (EcR) shows marked differences in temporal patterns between tissues during larval-adult development in *Rhodnius prolixus*: correlations with haemolymph ecdysteroid titres. *J. Insect. Physiol.* 51, 27–38. doi: 10.1016/j.jinsphys.2004.11.001
- Yamanaka, N., Rewitz, K. F., and O'Connor, M. B. (2013). Ecdysone control of developmental transitions: lessons from *Drosophila* research. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 58, 497–516. doi: 10.1146/annurev-ento-120811-153608

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Defferrari, Orchard and Lange. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.