

Subnetwork selection in deep cortical layers is mediated by beta-oscillation dependent firing

Thilo Womelsdorf*, Stephanie Westendorff and Salva Ardid

Department of Biology, Centre for Vision Research, York University, Toronto, ON, Canada *Correspondence: thiwom@yorku.ca

Edited by:

Jonathan B. Fritz, University of Maryland, USA

Reviewed by:

Nancy J. Kopell, Brandeis University, USA

A commentary on

Task-dependent changes in cross-level coupling between single neurons and oscillatory activity in multiscale networks by Canolty R. T., Ganguly K., and Carmena J. M. (2012). PLoS Comput. Biol. 8:e1002809. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi. 1002809

Even the simplest tasks in our everyday life depend on the activity in multiple brain areas that are coordinated in large-scale brain networks (Sporns, 2011). These networks restructure the information flow in the brain on fast time scales whenever we re-focus our attention on novel tasks or initiate novel movements to interact with our environment. This restructuring of information flow is implemented in cortical circuits by functionally changing the identity and composition of cells in the output layers that connect to other long-distant network nodes. Recent anatomical evidence has begun to show that these output cells form highly specific, segregated subnetworks (Krook-Magnuson et al., 2012). Cells within a subnetwork more likely interconnect with each other and share distant projection targets, avoiding interactions with other cells that project elsewhere.

It remains unknown, however, how cells of the same deep layer subnetwork are selected when task demands change (Douglas and Martin, 2004). Results from a recent study by Canolty et al. (2012) suggest an interesting possibility to resolve this context-dependent output selection by showing that the composition of cells that fire together and in phase in the beta cycle can be inferred from the strength of local beta rhythmic modulation. Canolty and colleagues recorded the firing of cells in deep layer motor cortex of primates engaged in either of two tasks that required moving a cursor between visual stimuli manually (with their hands), or through brain activity (brain control). During these tasks, the majority of cells in motor cortex fire systematically at particular phases of a beta oscillation that reduces its amplitudes when actual or brain controlled movements are planned and executed. Canolty and colleagues show that beta synchronous firing rates of individual cells increase or decrease in close correspondence to increases or decreases in the amplitude of beta oscillations. This cell-specific mapping between firing rates and beta amplitudes was highly stable for single cells across multiple recording sessions, but it varied for a large subset of cells between different tasks in a reversible and reliable manner. These findings have potentially wide implications for our understanding of the mechanisms of rapid sub-network selection. Figure 1A illustrates the cellspecific mapping between firing rates and beta amplitudes and how a particular beta rhythmic state in a local circuit could signify which cells fire and are therefore selected into the currently active subnetwork. When the beta amplitude of the local field potentials surrounding the cell changes over time, for example when it is reduced during movement initiation, the subnetwork of cells that fired during high beta states dissipates and the circuit switches on cells that prefer firing at low beta amplitudes (Figure 1A). Furthermore, Canolty et al. showed that for one third of beta-modulated cells, the rank ordering of firing rates varied systematically during different tasks. As shown in Figure 1B, this task specificity of reliable beta-to-rate mapping could underlie the

flexible and fast selection of task-specific subnetworks.

The task- and cell- specific mapping between beta amplitude and firing rate reveals a statistically robust relationship of two, in principle, independent signals. As suggested by Canolty and colleagues, this relationship would allow activating brain circuits by changing the beta rhythmic temporal structure-independently from targeting the firing rate of neurons explicitly. Such a mechanism assumes that the beta-rhythm is causal of the firing rate changes. Specifically, as indicated in Figure 1C (left panel), external beta rhythmic input may act as the trigger to entrain synaptic activity of cells in target areas that are resonant to beta rhythmic fluctuations of input. Stronger rhythmicity of beta rhythmic input will thereby select cells according to their beta-specific sensitivity and possibly independent of their overall level of excitation (Akam and Kullmann, 2010). According to this scenario, a change of beta rhythmic activity would serve as a true switch of a local network by causally modulating firing rates. Future studies, using stimulation techniques, will be necessary to test the prediction of beta rhythmicity being causally involved in task-specific subnetwork selection.

An alternative possibility is that varying beta amplitudes within a local circuit may not cause the switch of subnetworks, but may rather reflect the consequence of the switch itself (**Figure 1C**, *right panel*). According to this assumption, beta amplitudes may derive from the intrinsic properties of the cells that are selected, including e.g., beta rhythmic, intrinsic burst firing. Thus, the actual switch of active cells would follow from mechanisms that only indirectly relate



suggesting that a high beta amplitude cortical state (left panels) indexes the activation of a selected subnetwork of deep layer cells. When beta amplitudes change over time, e.g., during the planning and execution of a movement, the subnetwork of cells with high firing switches (right panels).

mapping switches reliably and reversibly when subjects engage in different tasks. This finding shows that the rank-ordering of a subset of cells according (subnetwork for task A and task B in the example sketch). (C) The selection whether subnetwork selection is mechanistically caused by beta rhythmic input to deep layer cells (left panel), or whether beta rhythmic modulation follows from cell activity after they have been selected by task- and state-specific inputs (right panel).

to the rhythm generating mechanisms. For example, in computational firing rate models of randomly connected networks, such switches of subnetworks can be achieved by locally biasing the balance of excitation and inhibition, such that selected cells will be released from

inhibition and will maintain their selectively activated state via the di-synaptic inhibition of non-selected cells (Vogels and Abbott, 2009).

Whatever the actual mechanism that causes a local circuit to switch the subnetworks of cells in deep cortical layers,

the finding of a reliable mapping between beta amplitude and firing rate in the majority of deep layer cells in motor cortex critically extends our perspective of the working principles of brain activity. The results by Canolty et al. show that brain signals (1) at the local scale of cell (firing),

(2) at the meso-scale of circuits (beta entrainment), and (3) at macro-scales comprising long-distant networks (interareal beta-coherence, not discussed here), combine together in systematic ways to subserve the larger goal to establish functional networks that can flexibly switch according to rapidly varying task demands. This "cross-level" relation of activity is only recently moving into the focus of scientific scrutiny. The discussed study and its broad analysis of available neuronal signals from all three levels of neuronal dynamics points the right way into this direction, and promises to critically advance our understanding of how external factors like specific reach movements, or the shifting of attention are implemented by the dynamics of local circuits and their dynamic interplay with larger functional brain networks.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by grants from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), and the Ontario Ministry of Economic Development and Innovation (MEDI).

REFERENCES

- Akam, T., and Kullmann, D. M. (2010). Oscillations and filtering networks support flexible routing of information. *Neuron* 67, 308–320. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2010.06.019
- Canolty, R. T., Ganguly, K., and Carmena, J. M. (2012). Task-dependent changes in cross-level coupling between single neurons and oscillatory activity in multiscale networks. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 8:e1002809. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002809
- Douglas, R. J., and Martin, K. A. (2004). Neuronal circuits of the neocortex. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 27, 419–451. doi: 10.1146/annurev.neuro.27.070203.144152

- Krook-Magnuson, E., Varga, C., Lee, S. H., and Soltesz, I. (2012). New dimensions of interneuronal specialization unmasked by principal cell heterogeneity. *Trends Neurosci.* 35, 175–184. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2011.10.005
- Sporns, O. (2011). *Networks of the Brain*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Vogels, T. P., and Abbott, L. F. (2009). Gating multiple signals through detailed balance of excitation and inhibition in spiking networks. *Nat. Neurosci.* 12, 483–491. doi: 10.1038/nn.2276

Received: 06 February 2013; accepted: 05 June 2013; published online: 24 June 2013.

Citation: Womelsdorf T, Westendorff S and Ardid S (2013) Subnetwork selection in deep cortical layers is mediated by beta-oscillation dependent firing. Front. Syst. Neurosci. 7:25. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2013.00025

Copyright © 2013 Womelsdorf, Westendorff and Ardid. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any third-party graphics etc.