Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Eduardo Dellacassa, Universidad de la República, Uruguay

REVIEWED BY Gennaro Agrimi, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Italy Maria J. Valera, Universidad de la República, Uruguay

*CORRESPONDENCE Xiao-Juan Zhang ⊠ zhangxj@jiangnan.edu.cn Zheng-Hong Xu ⊠ zhenghxu@jiangnan.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Food Chemistry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Nutrition

RECEIVED 11 January 2023 ACCEPTED 24 February 2023 PUBLISHED 14 March 2023

CITATION

Li Y-N, Luo Y, Lu Z-M, Dong Y-L, Chai L-J, Shi J-S, Zhang X-J and Xu Z-H (2023) Metabolomic analysis of the effects of a mixed culture of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* on the physicochemical and quality characteristics of apple cider vinegar. *Front. Nutr.* 10:1142517. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2023.1142517

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Li, Luo, Lu, Dong, Chai, Shi, Zhang and Xu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Metabolomic analysis of the effects of a mixed culture of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* on the physicochemical and quality characteristics of apple cider vinegar

Ya-Nan Li^{1,2}, Yue Luo^{1,2}, Zhen-Ming Lu^{1,2}, Yan-Lin Dong³, Li-Juan Chai^{2,4}, Jin-Song Shi^{4,5}, Xiao-Juan Zhang^{1,2*} and Zheng-Hong Xu^{1,2*}

¹Key Laboratory of Industrial Biotechnology of Ministry of Education, School of Biotechnology, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, China, ²National Engineering Research Center of Cereal Fermentation and Food Biomanufacturing, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, China, ³Apple Cider Vinegar Engineering and Technology Research Center of Yantai, Lvjie Co., Ltd., Yantai, China, ⁴Jiangsu Engineering Research Center for Bioactive Products Processing Technology, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, China, ⁵School of Life Sciences and Health Engineering, Jiangnan University, Wuxi, China

Introduction: This study compared differences in physicochemical characteristics of the vinegar made by a mixed culture (MC) of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* and a pure culture (PC) of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*.

Methods: The fermentation process was monitored, and metabolomics analysis by Liquid Chromagraphy-Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS) was applied to the compositional differences between PC and MC vinegars, combined with quantification of organic acids, amino acids and B vitamins.

Results: A total of 71 differential metabolites including amino acids, organic acids and carbohydrates, and six possible key metabolic pathways were identified. MC enhanced the malic acid utilization and pyruvate acid metabolism during fermentation, increasing substrate-level phosphorylation, and supplying more energy for cellular metabolism. Higher acidity at the beginning of acetic acid fermentation, resulting from lactic acid production by *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* in MC, suppressed the cellular metabolism and growth of *Acetobacter pasteurianus*, but enhanced its alcohol metabolism and acetic acid production in MC. MC vinegar contained more vitamin B, total flavonoids, total organic acids, amino acids and had a higher antioxidant capacity. MC enhanced the volatile substances, particularly ethyl lactate, ethyl caprate and ethyl caproate, which contributed to a stronger fruity aroma.

Discussion: These results indicated the mixed culture in alcoholic fermentation can effectively enhance the flavor and quality of apple cider vinegar.

KEYWORDS

mixed-culture fermentation, metabolomics, apple cider vinegar, physicochemical characteristics, quality traits

1. Introduction

Apple cider vinegar is a popular consumer product with a long history of use, and is consumed for multiple purposes, including as a condiment, soft drink and as a natural remedy/health product. Apple cider vinegar is produced by two-stage submerged fermentation of apple juice (alcoholic fermentation by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, followed by acetic acid fermentation by *Acetobacter pasteurianus*).

Apple cider vinegar is rich in amino acids, organic acids, phenolic acids, and flavonoids (1-5). Some of these compounds are associated with health benefits and contribute to antioxidant capacity, antimicrobial, and immunostimulatory activity (4-7). The potential health benefits of apple cider vinegar have attracted increasing research interest. Apple cider vinegar reduces blood triglyceride levels in mice (8) and has beneficial effects on blood sugar, insulin resistance and oxidative stress in patients with diabetes and dyslipidemia (9). The organoleptic qualities of vinegar are determined by both its volatile and non-volatile components. The dominant flavor component of apple cider vinegar is acetic acid, a volatile and pungent organic acid, resulting in high astringency and sourness; these sensory properties limit consumer acceptance for natural health purposes. Minor taste and flavor components also contribute strongly to the sensory attributes of vinegar. Non-volatile organic acids such as malic, citric, succinic and lactic acids may reduce astringency, but increase sourness. Amino acids contribute sweetness, bitterness and umami to the taste. and the bioconversion of these amino acids during vinegar fermentation affects the overall taste. The volatile compound profile of vinegar is a key determinant of its organoleptic properties. Phenylethanol, octanoic acid, ethyl acetate, butyl acetate, and isoamyl alcohol are key compounds contributing to the floral aroma of apple cider vinegar (2, 10). The final quality and sensory properties of apple cider vinegar are determined by the chemical complexity generated by the fermentation process used. Most industrial submerged vinegar fermentation is produced by a two-stage culture liquid fermentation, which involves alcoholic fermentation, the anaerobic conversion of fermentable sugars to ethanol by yeast (usually S. cerevisiae), followed by acetic acid fermentation, the aerobic oxidation of ethanol to acetic acid (usually by A. pasteurianus or undefined starter cultures of acetic acid bacteria) (11). Solidstate fermentation of grains, or natural liquor-state fermentation (surface fermentation) with multiple microbial strains produces greater diversity of metabolites and more varied sensory properties. For example, although the processes and raw materials of Chinese cereal vinegar and sherry vinegar are markedly different, they are both produced by multi-strain, collaborative fermentation, and lactic acid bacteria are important fermentation strains, with high abundance and activity (12-14). The relative abundance of L. plantarum was reported as 33.9 and 88.6% in sherry vinegar and Zhenjiang aromatic vinegar fermentation, respectively (12, 13). Lactiplantibacillus plantarum and S. cerevisiae are found in many natural fermentation systems, such as wine and kefir. The [GAR +] Prion Induction ability of lactic acid bacteria can establish a mutually beneficial relationship with S. cerevisiae during wine fermentation. During kefir fermentation, Lactobacilli and yeasts can promote each other's viability through nutrient and metabolite exchange (15–19). Therefore, considering the frequently observed positive interactions between these two species, incorporation of *Lactobacillus* in the alcoholic fermentation stage of vinegar production has potential to enrich the flavor compound composition and organoleptic properties of vinegar.

Fermentation of Mahali cherries by yeast and *Lactobacilli* reduced the sourness of the fruit (20). Addition of *Lactobacilli* to the alcoholic fermentation stage of citrus vinegar improved its antioxidant properties, organic acid, flavor ester and alcohol content, umami taste and sweet amino acid content, thereby improving its flavor and quality (6). Multi-strain vinegar fermentation has clear potential to improve cider vinegar quality, but there is little information on differences from single-strain fermentation at the metabolomic level, or the associated bioconversion pathways responsible for the differences.

Previously, we comprehensively investigated the microbiome of Chinese solid-state fermented cereal vinegar, and isolated L. plantarum F from the alcoholic fermentation stage of Chinese traditional cereal vinegar, which has good fermentation capacity and alcohol tolerance in both liquid and solid-state vinegar fermentation. In this study, apple cider vinegar cofermented by L. plantarum F and S. cerevisiae in alcoholic fermentation stage was compared with that fermented by single-strain S. cerevisiae. Dynamic fermentation parameters were collected, combined with liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS) based metabolomics analysis and quantification of amino acids, vitamins and other physicochemical characteristics. The effects of mixed culture (MC) and pure culture (PC) on cell growth, and quality traits of apple cider vinegar were determined, to elucidate the important metabolic pathways in the two fermentation systems. The volatile compound profiles were also compared to identify differential volatiles between the two fermentation systems. This study will deepen understanding of the metabolic mechanisms of multi-strain collaborative vinegar fermentation.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Chemicals and materials

Apple juice was from Shandong Lvjie (China). *L. plantarum* F, *S. cerevisiae* R and *A. pasteurianus* X were from our lab culture collection. Standards of amino acids, organic acids, and n-pentane (C_5H_{12}) were from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). All other chemicals and solvents were from Sinopharm Chemical Reagents (Shanghai, China). The ATP assay kit was from Jiancheng (Nanjing, China).

2.2. Screening strain

All strains were isolates obtained from vinegar pei during the fermentation process of traditional cereal vinegar. The detailed isolation procedure were described in **Supplementary Information** (Note 1).



acetic acid fermentation, and differences between pure culture (PC) and mixed culture (MC). Biomass increase with time of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* R, *Lactiplantibacillus plantarum* F and *Acetobacter pasteurianus* X (**A**); ethanol, lactic acid and acetic acid production (**B**), pH and total acid (**C**); Brix and reducing sugar concentration (**D**); final production of ethanol and lactic acid after alcoholic fermentation, and acetic acid and ATP after acetic acid fermentation, per cell unit (U). One cell unit (U) is defined as 10⁹ cells (*S. cerevisiae* R *and L. plantarum* F) or cell suspension with an optical density of 1 at 600 nm wavelength (*A. pasteurianus* X) (**E**); intracellular and extracellular ADH and ALDH activity at the end of fermentation (**F**). From *T* test, **p* < 0.05; ***p* < 0.01; ****p* < 0.001.

2.3. Culture medium

YPD medium (yeast extract 10 g/L, glucose 20 g/L, peptone 20 g/L) was used for fermentation of *S. cerevisiae* R. MRS medium (peptone 10 g/L, yeast extract 5 g/L, ammonium citrate 2 g/L, glucose 20 g/L, dipotassium phosphate 2 g/L, magnesium sulfate 0.58 g/L, manganese sulfate 0.28 g/L, beef extract 10 g/L, tween-80 1 mL) was used for fermentation of *L. plantarum* F. LB medium (yeast extract 10 g/L, glucose 20 g/L, ethanol 2%) was used for fermentation of *A. pasteurianus* X.

2.4. Fermentation of cider and vinegar

Submerged fermentation was conducted by shake-flasks in this study. Apple juice was adjusted to 12° Brix. For the alcoholic fermentation stage, the apple juice was incubated for 5 days at 30° C with *S. cerevisiae* R (10^{6} CFU/mL, 2% v/v) and *L. plantarum* F (10^{6} CFU/mL, 2% v/v) for MC. For PC, the apple juice was incubated for 5 days at 30° C with *S. cerevisiae* R alone (10^{6} CFU/mL, 2% v/v). When an alcoholic fermentation was complete, the cells were removed by centrifugation at 3,214 g and the supernatant was inoculated on a rotary shaking incubator (180 rpm) for 5 days at 30° C. When an acetic acid fermentation was complete, the cells were removed by centrifugation at 3,214 g and the supernatant was retained. All the fermentations were carried out independently in triplicate.

2.5. Analysis of the pH, soluble solids, reducing sugar, ethanol, acetic acid, ATP, ADH, ALDH, and cell growth

The pH was measured by FE28-Standard pH meter (Mettler-Toledo, Greifensee, Switzerland). The soluble solids content was determined at 20°C using RA-250WE refractometer (KEM, Kyoto, Japan) and reported as Brix. Ethanol was determined by gas chromatography (GC). The reducing sugar content was measured by the 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) method The number of colony forming units (CFU) per mL of L. plantarum F and S. cerevisiae R was counted according to the dilution factor and the number of colonies on plates with 30-300 colonies after incubation at 37 and 30°C, respectively. Biomass of A. pasteurianus X was quantified by OD₆₀₀. The enzyme activities of alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) in cells and fermentation medium were determined by a colorimetric method, as described previously (2). ATP content was determined by an ATP assay kit (colorimetric method). Results for metabolite production are expressed as grams per cell unit (g/U). One cell unit is defined as 10⁹ cells (S. cerevisiae R and L. plantarum F) or cell suspension with an optical density of 1 at 600 nm (A. pasteurianus X).

2.6. Antioxidant capacity

DPPH radical scavenging capacity was determined as described previously (21, 22). The ABTS radical scavenging capacity was determined as described previously (23, 24), with minor modifications. The ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP) was determined as described previously (25, 26), with minor modifications.

2.7. Total flavones, total phenolics, and water-soluble vitamin B

The total flavonoid content was determined as described previously (24, 27). The total phenolic content of the samples

was determined using Folin-Ciocalteau reagent, with gallic acid as standard, as described previously (24, 28). Water-soluble vitamin B was determined as described previously (25). The conditions were as follows: column, Alltima C18 (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 μ^4); mobile phase, 50 mmolL⁻¹ ammonium dihydrogen phosphate solution (phosphoric acid adjusted to pH 3.0)-acetonitrile (95:5); flow rate, 0.5 mLmin⁻¹; detection wavelength, 275 nm (detection of vitamin B1, vitamin B6, nicotinamide B3) and 210 nm (detection of pantothenic acid B5); column temperature, room temperature; injection volume, 20 μ m.

2.8. Organic acid and free amino acid concentrations

Organic acids were determined by HPLC (Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) as described previously (29, 30). The organic acid was determined using 0.01 mol/L $\rm KH_2PO_4-H_3PO_4$ (pH 2.7) and methanol as the mobile phase, and isocratic at a ratio of 97:3 mode for elution. The flow rate was 0.7 mL/min, and the UV-Vis spectrum detection was set to 210 nm. Standard solutions of different concentrations were prepared of oxalic acid, tartaric acid, malic acid, lactic acid, acetic acid, oxaloacetate, isocitrate, *cis*-aconitate, citric acid, and succinic acid and mixed for HPLC analysis. Each compound was quantified by comparing the peak area to the standard curve generated for that compound.

The free amino acid composition was determined with an Agilent 1,100 amino acid analyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), as described previously (6, 31), with minor modifications. The free amino acid was determined using An Agilent Zorbax SB-C18 column (4.6 mm ID \times 60 mm; Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA) was used, and the flow rate was 0.7 mL/min. The column temperature was 45°C; detector: Ex 340 nm, Em 450 nm; mobile phase A: 20 mmol sodium acetate solution; and the flow rate was 0.50 mL/min. Meanwhile, mobile phase B involved 20 mmol sodium acetate-methanol-acetonitrile (1:2:2, (v/v/v), and the flow rate was 0.4 mL/min). Each amino acid was quantified using the calibration curve of the corresponding authentic standard.

2.9. GC-MS analysis of volatile compounds by solid phase micro-extraction (SPME)

Volatile compounds were determined by GC-MS as described previously (6, 25) with minor modifications. NaCl (1 g) was added to a 15 mL glass vial, followed by the sample (6 mL) and 2-methyl-2-butanol (10 μ L, 8 g/L, Sigma-Aldrich) as the internal standard, then the vial was pre-equilibrated for 15 min at 45°C. The SPME fiber was inserted into the head space and maintained for 40 min at 45°C. The analyses were conducted on a GC-MS system (Trace GC-1310-ISQ LT; Thermo Finnigan, Austin, TX, USA) equipped with the DB-WAX column (30 m × 0.25 mm, 0.25 μ m; Agilent). The GC oven temperature was initially 40°C, increased to 230°C at 5°C/min and held constant for 8 min. Helium was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. Quantitation of volatile compounds was based on the internal standard (2-Methyl-2-butanol). Mass spectra

were generated in the electron impact (EI) mode at 70 eV. The spectrum was measured over the m/z range of 40–400, and the solvent elution delay time was 4 min.

2.10. Metabolomics analysis by LC-MS

All samples thawed at 4°C, and then 1 μ L from each sample is transferred in a 2 mL centrifuge tube. Next, 400 μ L methanol was added to each tube (-20°C) and swirled for 60 s. After centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 10 min at 4°C, the supernatant was taken and transferred to a new 2 mL centrifuge tube for vacuum concentration and drying. The supernatant (samples to be tested) was obtained by resolution of 150 μ L 2-chlorophenylalanine (4 ppm) 80% methanol solution, which was filtered by 0.22 μ m membrane. Each sample was taken 20 μ L and mixed into QC sample, and LC-MS was used for detection.

Six biological replicates were performed for each experiment. The ESI-MSn analyses were performed on a Thermo Q Exactive Plus mass spectrometer with spray voltages of 3.5 and-2.5 kV in the positive and negative modes, respectively. Sheath gas and auxiliary gas were set at 30 and 10 arbitrary units, respectively. The capillary temperature was 325°C. The analyzer scanned over a mass range of m/z 81–1,000 full scan at a mass resolution of 70,000. Data dependent acquisition (DDA) MS/MS experiments were performed with HCD scanning. The normalized collision energy was 30 eV. Dynamic exclusion was implemented to remove some unnecessary information in MS/MS spectra. More detail can be found in previous reports (32–34).

The obtained original data was converted into mzXML format (xcms input file format) by Proteowizard software (v3.0.8789). The XCMS package R (v3.3.2) was used to filtrate peaks identification, peaks filtration and peaks alignment. The main parameters are bw = 2, ppm = 15, peakwidth = c (5, 30), mzwid = 0.015, mzdiff = 0.01, method = centWave. The data matrix including mass to charge ratio (m/z), retention time (rt) and intensity was obtained. For positive ion mode, 10,049 precursor molecules have been acquired, while for negative ion mode, 8,908 precursor molecules have been acquired, and the data will be exported to excel for subsequent analysis. In order to enable comparison of data of different orders of magnitude, batch normalization of peak area was performed on the data.

2.11. Sensory evaluation

Sensory evaluation of apple cider vinegar was performed as described previously (6) with minor modifications. The sensory panel consisted of 15 trained individuals (aged 22–40) from the National Engineering Research Center of Cereal Fermentation and Food Biomanufacturing, Jiangnan University, China. The sensory descriptors agreed by the team members were acidic, ethanolic, flowery, fruity and burnt aromas, and sour, umami, sweet, salty, and bitter tastes. Fifteen panelists were selected and trained in the sensory room at room temperature ($23 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C). The panelists scored each attribute on a linear scale from 0 (lowest intensity) to 9 (highest intensity). Water was provided to the panelists to cleanse the palate. The training and evaluation were organized according



Total phenolics (A); Total flavonoids (B); FRAP (C); DPPH (D) and ABTS (E) radical scavenging capacities; water-soluble B1 vitamins (F); water-soluble B2 vitamins (G); water-soluble B5 vitamins (H); water-soluble B6 vitamins (I). Different letters in the same figure indicate statistically significant differences in the results ($\rho < 0.05$).

to the International Organization for Standardization (35) and conducted in a sensory laboratory that complies with the American Society for Testing and Materials criteria. The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Jiangnan University.

2.12. Data analysis

The metabolites were identified using the HMDB¹ and METLIN² metabolomic data bases. Multivariate statistical analysis including PCA and (orthogonal) projection to PLS-DA were then performed using SIMCA-P + version 14.0 software (Umetrics, Umeå, Sweden) to distinguish the overall differences in metabolic profiles between groups. In the PLS-DA analysis, a variable importance in projection (VIP) score >1.0 was considered a differential variable, and in the *t*-tests, the variables with *p* < 0.05 were considered as differential metabolites.

All experiments were carried out in triplicate, and each sample was analyzed in triplicate. Analysis of Variance (T test) followed by using SPSS software version 25.0 (SPSS-IBM Chicago, IL, USA). Differences were considered statistically significant at p < 0.05.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. The effect of mixed culture on physicochemical properties of apple cider vinegar

A previous study (37) demonstrated that an appropriate addition of *L. plantarum* has a positive effect on alcoholic fermentation. The growth of *S. cerevisiae* R was similar under both the PC and MC conditions, and reached the highest cell density $(1.3 \times 10^8 \text{ CFU/mL})$ on the fifth day (Figure 1A). *L. plantarum* F grew rapidly on the first day in both PC and MC, and reached its highest cell density at day one. The presence of *S. cerevisiae* R had a noticeable inhibitory effect on the growth of *L. plantarum* F, reflected as a 30% lower CFU count of *L. plantarum* F at day one in MC, compared with PC.

¹ http://www.hmdb.ca/

² https://metlin.scripps.edu/



However, by the end of the alcoholic fermentation, the counts of *L. plantarum* F were similar in both systems. There was little difference in soluble solids and total acid content between MC and PC (**Figure 1C**). Reducing sugar was consumed faster in MC during the first 3 days of alcoholic fermentation, but less ethanol was accumulated in MC (**Figures 1B**, **D**). These results indicated that some reducing sugar was converted to other metabolites initially. At the end of acetic acid fermentation, the alcohol content of PC and MC was 0.48 and 0.53 g/L, respectively.

By the end of acetic acid fermentation, the biomass of *A. pasteurianus* X after PC was 1.2-fold higher than that after MC, but the acetic acid content after MC was 21% higher than that after PC. At acetic acid concentrations >0.5%, the cell metabolism and growth of *A. pasteurianus* X are affected, because of decreased intracellular pH and metabolic disturbance by acetate, as well as other deleterious effects (38–41) in MC. Ethanol is oxidized to acetic acid by two sequential reactions catalyzed by ADH and ALDH, which are both located on the periplasmic side of the cytoplasmic membrane, and these reactions

are linked to the respiratory chain (42). In this study, the activities of intracellular and extracellular ethanol-acetaldehydeacetic acid respiratory chain enzymes (ADH and ALDH) in MC were significantly higher than those in PC (**Figure 1F**), which could explain the higher acetic acid production after MC. Moreover, this process (Ethanol is oxidized to acetic acid by two sequential reactions) generates ATP for cell metabolism, therefore, higher ATP production, but less ATP consumption owing to poorer cell growth in MC could explain the much higher ATP content detected in MC (**Figure 1E**).

Overall, *S. cerevisiae* R had a negative impact on *L. plantarum* F biomass, and the co-fermentation of these two strains (MC) resulted in higher acidity at the end of the alcohol fermentation, inhibited *A. pasteurianus* X growth but enhanced alcohol oxidation, consistent with the higher ADH and ALDH activity and ATP content in MC.

3.2. The effect of MC on total polyphenols, total flavonoids, antioxidant capacity, and water-soluble B vitamins

Compared with apple juice, the total polyphenol contents of MC and PC cider increased by 16.4 and 10.7%, respectively (**Figure 2A**). The total flavone content of MC and PC cider increased by 21 and 20%, respectively. The total flavonoid content of MC vinegar at the end of acetic acid fermentation was 0.13 mg/mL, 1.3 times of that of PC vinegar (**Figure 2B**). Fermentation by *L. plantarum* increased the total polyphenol and total flavonoid contents of mulberry juice (27). The increased polyphenol content after *L. plantarum* fermentation has been attributed to its production of hydrolytic enzymes which hydrolyze complex phytochemicals, such as catechin gallate, epicatechin gallate and baicalin wogonoside into simpler forms (27, 43), which may result in higher flavonoids detected.

The DPPH and ABTS radical scavenging capacities of cider and vinegar were slightly lower than those of apple juice (Figures 2D, E). However, the DPPH radical scavenging capacity of MC cider and vinegar increased by 3 and 16%, respectively, compared with PC cider and vinegar. The ABTS radical scavenging capacity of MC cider and vinegar were slightly lower than PC cider and vinegar (p > 0.05). Compared with PC, the FRAP of MC cider and vinegar increased by 26 and 19%, respectively (Figure 2F). In general, MC increased the DPPH radical scavenging capacity and FRAP of cider and vinegar, which may be related to its increased flavonoid content; antioxidant capacity is closely related to polyphenol content (25).

The concentrations of water-soluble B vitamins in cider and vinegar were higher than those in apple juice (Figure 2C). In addition, the vitamin B1 and B5 contents of MC cider were 13.6and 1.36-fold higher than those of PC cider, respectively, and the vitamin B1, B2 and B5 contents of MC vinegar were 9. 56-, 2. 09-, and 1.1-fold higher than those of PC vinegar (Figures 2G–I), respectively. The pyrimidine and thiazole ring moieties composing vitamin B1 (thiamin) are synthesized by the purine and hexose monophosphate pathways, and vitamin B2 (riboflavin) by the purine pathway, from GTP and ribulose-5-phosphate (44). Vitamin B5 is synthesized by the pantothenate and CoA biosynthesis pathway, and is related to L-valine and L-aspartate metabolism (45). To explain the increased vitamin biosynthesis in MC fermentation, comparative analysis of the metabolic pathways of MC and PC fermentation was undertaken.

3.3. Changes in organic acid and amino acid contents during fermentation of apple cider vinegar

Mixed culture with L. plantarum altered the organic acid composition of apple cider and vinegar, compared with PC (Figure 3A). Malic acid in MC decreased significantly during the alcoholic fermentation, because both S. cerevisiae and L. plantarum can metabolize malic acid as a carbon source, and L. plantarum can convert malic acid into lactic acid via malolactic fermentation. Succinic acid is an important metabolite of yeast; after alcoholic fermentation, the succinic acid concentration of MC (0.17 g/L) was 7.3-fold that of PC (0.023 g/L); after acetic acid fermentation, the succinic acid concentration of MC (0.19 g/L) was 8.3 times that of PC (0.023 g/L) (Figure 3D). Lower malic and higher succinic acid concentrations were the main differences between apple cider vinegars fermented by MC, compared with PC. The acetic acid concentration of MC was 1.3-fold that of PC after acetic acid fermentation. Lactic acid, a side product of L. plantarum F glycolysis, would have been used by A. pasteurianus X to produce acetic acid and acetoin. The other tested organic acid contents were similar between PC and MC.

Free amino acids are considered to be an important contributor to the distinctive taste of vinegar (46). After both cider and vinegar fermentations, the total free amino acid content decreased significantly compared with that in apple juice (Figure 3B). Aspartate, the major amino acid in apple juice, decreased by 97, 96, 97, and 77% in MC cider, PC cider, MC vinegar, and PC vinegar, respectively. The total free amino acids in MC cider and vinegar were 2.6- and 2.3-fold higher, respectively, than for PC cider and vinegar. Free amino acids are classified into groups with similar taste, i.e., umami, sweet, bitter, and tasteless (47, 48). Except for Asp and Pro, the free amino acid content in MC vinegar was significantly higher than that in PC vinegar (p < 0.05). The total umami amino acids (Asp and Glu) in MC cider and vinegar were 6.02- and 1.65-fold higher than those in PC cider and vinegar, respectively (47, 48). The total sweet amino acids (Ala, Glu, Thr, Ser, and Pro) in MC cider and vinegar were 1.88- and 2.67-fold higher than those of PC cider and vinegar, respectively (Figure 3C; 47, 48). Thr, Met, Ile, Leu, Phe, Lys, and Val are essential amino acids, and were more abundant in MC than PC. Overall, MC increased the total free amino acid content in vinegar and enhanced its taste by increasing the content of umami and sweet tasting amino acids.

3.4. Multivariate statistical analysis of apple cider vinegar metabolites

Base peak intensity (BPI) chromatograms in positive and negative ionization modes for PC, MC, and juice samples were recorded (**Supplementary Figure 1**); there were significant differences between the PC and MC vinegars. In total, 10,049 and



8,908 metabolite ion features were detected in the vinegar samples, in the positive and negative modes, respectively.

To investigate the impact of co-fermentation on apple cider vinegar, the metabolite dataset was subjected to three-component PCA analysis (in positive and negative ion modes, **Figure 4A**) and the data from PC and MC samples was well separated, with significant clustering behavior (in positive ion mode: $R^2X = 0.529$;

in negative ion mode: $R^2X = 0.533$). Four component PCA analysis included the above three sample groups as well as QC samples (mixtures of all samples) and a hierarchical cluster analysis showed similar results (**Supplementary Figures 2A, B**), demonstrating that the metabolic profiles of conventional PC, and MC with *L. plantarum* co-fermentation were markedly different and easily distinguished. A supervised chemometric analysis by PLS-DA



FIGURE 5

Comparison of differential metabolites and metabolic pathways in mixed culture (MC) of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* R and *Lactiplantibacillus* plantarum F and pure culture of *S. cerevisiae* R (PC). The fold change of relative content of each metabolite compared with control (apple juice) was visualized as a heat map and combined with the possible metabolic pathways. The absolute quantity of several metabolites is visualized with charts and located in the metabolic pathways. Different letters in the same figure indicate statistically significant differences in the results (p < 0.05).



(in positive and negative modes) was established using the PC and MC datasets. Distinct grouping according to fermentation process was achieved ($R^2X = 0.627$, $R^2Y = 0.999$, $Q^2 = 0.988$) and 273 metabolites (with VIP > 1, p < 0.01) were identified as the differential compounds that differentiated PC and MC samples.

The relative contents of amino acids, alkaloids, amines and other metabolites in MC were higher than those in PC (Figure 4B). Based on the fold change of relative concentration (fold change ≥ 5 or ≤ 0.25 ; fold change = MC/PC), 71 important differential compounds were identified as candidate markers characteristic of MC and PC samples (Figure 4C; 49). Most of the markers were organic acids, or amino acids and the less abundant compound classes included alkaloids, amino acid derivatives, carbohydrates, carbohydrates derivatives and amines (Supplementary Table 1). The relative abundance of



marker compounds in each sample is shown in a heatmap (Figure 5).

The relative content of amino acid, amine and alkaloid metabolites identified in MC vinegar were 1. 25-, 2. 00-, and 1.59-fold higher than those in PC vinegar, respectively. In the comparison between PC and MC apple vinegar, the relative contents of the metabolites L-arginine, acetylcholine, hypoxanthine, 6-hydroxyhexanoic acid, dyphylline, indoleacetic acid, indolelactic acid, 4-guanidinobutanoic acid, melibiose, phenyllactic acid and alanine in MC vinegar were markedly higher than those in PC, with a fold change > 56.6. However, the relative contents of malic and citric acids were much lower in MC vinegar, consistent with the quantitative analysis (Section "3.3. Changes in organic acid and amino acid contents during fermentation of apple cider vinegar").

Enrichment of differential metabolic pathways between MC and PC is shown in **Figure 4D**. The main metabolic pathways enriched were arginine and proline metabolism, citrate cycle (TCA cycle), lysine biosynthesis, cysteine and methionine metabolism, aspartate and glutamate metabolism and pyruvate metabolism.

3.5. Differential key metabolic pathways

The KEGG predictions indicated that pathways related to aspartate, pyruvate, glutamate, lysine and organic acids were significantly influenced by the fermentation process (PC and MC) (Figure 4D). The differential metabolic pathways were closely related to amino acid and organic acid metabolism, indicating that the TCA cycle, glycolysis and amino acid metabolism were active pathways during vinegar production. To elucidate the specific changes metabolites related to these metabolic pathways, relative quantification of metabolites via LC-MS based metabolomics, combined with absolute quantification of amino acids, organic acids, vitamin B1, B2, and B5 metabolites by HPLC was performed (Figure 5). The TCA cycle links with various catabolic and anabolic biochemical pathways, regulating the electron transport chain and finally generating ATP (50, 51). Malic acid, the major organic acid, decreased by 61% and 93% in the PC and MC vinegars, respectively, whereas citric acid increased about 2.2-fold in the PC and MC vinegars compared with apple juice. Comparing the PC and MC vinegars, many TCA cycle intermediates varied markedly. Specifically, oxaloacetic, succinic and aconitic acids were much more abundant in MC, whereas isocitric and malic acids were more abundant in PC (Figure 5). These variations suggested that in the co-fermentation with L. plantarum F, which has an incomplete TCA cycle, the utilization of the abundant malic acid as carbon source was enhanced. Regarding pyruvate metabolism, significantly more lactic acid, acetyl-CoA and acetic acid accumulated during MC, and correspondingly, their common precursor, pyruvate was markedly depleted during MC. The TCA cycle are important energy-yielding pathways, which generate ATP by substrate-level phosphorylation. Comparing with single strain fermentation, co-fermentation enhanced malic acid utilization and pyruvate acid metabolism were consistent with the higher ATP content detected in MC system (Figure 1E), and higher energy is beneficial for various cellar processes, and may explain the higher alcohol and acetic acid production (50, 52). The relative content of pantothenate and pantothenol in MC was higher than those in PC, and can be generated from valine or aspartate. In nicotinate and nicotinamide metabolism, NAD + is an important coenzyme for production of nicotinic acid (53), Greater accumulation of NAD + and nicotinamide in MC might explain the higher nicotinic acid production in PC. Besides, NAD + is the required cofactor for thiamin synthesis, might associated with the higher abundance of thiamin (44).

In summary, these differences in metabolic pathways between MC and PC showed that flux of malic acid metabolism in the TCA cycle and pyruvate metabolism was enhanced and energy production was higher in MC. A higher relative content of NAD + was detected in MC, which may be associated with the greater accumulation of thiamine and nicotinic acid. It appears that apple cider vinegar produced by MC may have enhanced health benefits, for example, nicotinic acid reduces progression of atherosclerosis, as well as clinical events and mortality from coronary heart disease (54).

3.6. Comparative analysis of volatile flavor compounds

Volatile compounds, including alcohols, acids, esters, ketones, phenols, aldehydes and alkenes, in cider and vinegar made by PC and MC were compared. PC and MC cider yielded 35 and 41 volatile compounds, respectively, PC and MC vinegar yielded 31 and 37 volatile compounds, respectively (Supplementary Table 2).

After the alcohol fermentation, MC cider had more variety and higher content of volatile alcohols than PC cider (Figure 6A). Specifically, the contents of phenylethanol, benzyl alcohol, geraniol and n-hexanol were relatively much higher in MC cider. Geraniol and phenylethanol have rosy aromas, and n-hexanol and benzyl alcohol contribute to a fruity aroma. Volatile acids were more abundant in MC cider compared with PC cider, and octanoic acid and decanoic acid were the two major acids, which may contribute to a richer aroma and longer-lasting tastes for MC cider. The volatile ester concentration of MC vinegar was 20% higher, which is consistent with a previous report that the addition of L. plantarum F to the fermentation culture increased the production of esters in cherry wines (55). Ethyl acetate was the most abundant ester with a low odor threshold; the relative content of ethyl acetate in MC vinegar was slightly higher (3.85%) than that of PC vinegar (p < 0.05). Ethyl phenyl acetate and isoamyl acetate in MC vinegar were 5.65- and 3.47-fold more abundant than in PC vinegar (p < 0.05); they have a sweet and a bananalike aroma, respectively. In addition, some esters were found in MC vinegar but not in PC vinegar, such as ethyl lactate, ethyl caprate, methyl salicylate and ethyl caproate. Ethyl lactate, ethyl caprate and ethyl caproate contribute rum-like, creamy and fruity aromas. Alcohols were less abundant in MC vinegar; phenylethanol, βcitronellol and n-propanol were significantly less abundant in MC vinegar compared with PC. A PCA score plot (Figure 6B) clearly distinguished PC and MC vinegar. Overall, the mixed fermentation with L. plantarum F markedly modified the aroma profile of apple cider vinegar by lowering its volatile alcohol content and increasing its ester content, thereby enhancing its fruity aroma.

3.7. Sensory evaluation

The sensory analysis of MC and PC vinegars is shown in Figures 7A, B (aroma) (taste). The taste of vinegar is generally considered to be dominated by sourness, followed by sweet and umami tastes, with slight salty and bitter tastes, which appears to result from interactions among the different taste components (46). MC had a significantly higher fruity aroma intensity than PC (p < 0.05), probably resulting from the high content of ethyl phenylacetate, isoamyl acetate and ethyl acetate in MC, whereas PC had a significantly higher flowery aroma intensity (p < 0.05). MC had significantly higher umami and sweet taste intensities than PC (p < 0.05), which is consistent with the significantly higher sweet and umami amino acid content of MC vinegar (p < 0.05). Although the total acidity of MC was higher than that of PC, MC had a significantly lower sour taste intensity (p < 0.05). Higher abundance of sweet and umami amino acids, and flavor esters could have masked the sour taste and sharp acidic odor of acetic acid (Figure 4D). Overall, the PC apple cider vinegar had a stronger flowery aroma, whereas the MC vinegar had a stronger fruity aroma and stronger sweet and umami tastes.

4. Conclusion

This study demonstrated that alcoholic fermentation of apple juice using a mixed culture of *S. cerevisiae* R and *L. plantarum* F

can significantly improve the flavor and quality of the final vinegar. LC-MS based metabolomics analysis was applied to compositional variations between PC and MC vinegars, and combined with quantification of organic acids, amino acids and B vitamins. A total of 71 differential metabolites including amino acids, organic acids and carbohydrates were identified, and six possible key metabolic pathways which could account for the differential metabolites were elucidated. MC appeared to enhance the malic acid utilization and pyruvate acid metabolism, thereby increasing substrate level phosphorylation, and yielding more energy for cellular metabolism. Higher acidity resulting from lactic acid accumulation at the beginning of the acetic acid fermentation after MC fermentation resulted in greater suppression of cellular metabolism and cell growth of A. pasteurianus, but enhanced its ethanol-acetaldehydeacetic acid respiratory chain, which stimulated ATP accumulation, and higher acetic acid production. MC vinegar had higher contents of B vitamins, pantothenate, total flavonoids, total organic acids, amino acids and higher antioxidant capacity. MC fermentation enhanced the volatile content of the resulting apple cider vinegar, particularly ethyl lactate, ethyl caprate and ethyl caproate, which contribute to a fruity aroma. This is consistent with the sensory evaluation, i.e., MC apple cider vinegar had a higher fruity aroma intensity, as well as stronger umami and sweet tastes. These findings improve understanding of the metabolic mechanism of multi-strain collaborative vinegar fermentation processes. Future studies should focus on using mixed strains to rationally modify the flavor and increase the functional substance content of apple cider vinegar.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/**Supplementary material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

Y-NL: conceptualization, data curation, methodology, and writing–original draft. X-JZ and Z-HX: conceptualization, funding acquisition, supervision, and writing–review and editing. YL: investigation. Z-ML: data curation and methodology. L-JC: resources. Y-LD: validation. J-SS: supervision. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region's Flexible introduction project (Grant No. KJT201701).

Acknowledgments

The manuscript was edited by International Science Editing (http://www.internationalscienceediting.com).

Conflict of interest

Y-LD was employed by Lvjie Co., Ltd.

The remaining authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated

References

1. Alonso AM, Castro R, Rodriguez MC, Guillen DA, Barroso CG. Study of the antioxidant power of brandies and vinegars derived from Sherry wines and correlation with their content in polyphenols. *Food Res Int.* (2004) 37:715–21. doi: 10.1016/j. foodres.2004.03.007

2. Qi ZL, Dong D, Yang HL, Xia XL. Improving fermented quality of cider vinegar via rational nutrient feeding strategy. *Food Chem.* (2017) 224:312–9. doi: 10.1016/j. foodchem.2016.12.078

3. Verzelloni E, Tagliazucchi D, Conte A. Relationship between the antioxidant properties and the phenolic and flavonoid content in traditional balsamic vinegar. *Food Chem.* (2007) 105:564–71. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.04.014

4. Wu XF, Yao HL, Cao XM, Liu Q, Cao LL, Mu DD, et al. Production of vinegar from purple sweet potato in a liquid fermentation process and investigation of its antioxidant activity. *3 Biotech.* (2017) 7:308. doi: 10.1007/s13205-017-0939-7

5. Xie XL, Zheng Y, Liu X, Cheng C, Zhang XL, Xia T, et al. Antioxidant activity of Chinese Shanxi aged vinegar and its correlation with polyphenols and flavonoids during the brewing process. *J Food Sci.* (2017) 82:2479–86. doi: 10.1111/1750-3841. 13914

6. Chen Y, Huang Y, Bai Y, Fu CX, Zhou MZ, Gao B, et al. Effects of mixed cultures of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Lactobacillus plantarum* in alcoholic fermentation on the physicochemical and sensory properties of citrus vinegar. *Lwt-Food Sci Technol.* (2017) 84:753–63. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.06.032

7. Wang ZB, Li TT, Liu FY, Zhang CS, Ma HL, Wang L, et al. Effects of ultrasonic treatment on the maturation of Zhenjiang vinegar. *Ultrason Sonochem*. (2017) 39:272–80. doi: 10.1016/j.ultsonch.2017.04.020

8. Budak NH, Doguc DK, Savas CM, Seydim AC, Tas TK, Ciris MI, et al. Effects of apple cider vinegars produced with different techniques on blood lipids in high-cholesterol-fed rats. *J Agric Food Chem.* (2011) 59:6638–44. doi: 10.1021/jf104912h

9. Gheflati A, Bashiri R, Ghadiri-Anari A, Reza JZ, Kord MT, Nadjarzadeh A. The effect of apple vinegar consumption on glycemic indices, blood pressure, oxidative stress, and homocysteine in patients with type 2 diabetes and dyslipidemia: a randomized controlled clinical trial. *Clin Nutr Espen*. (2019) 33:132–8. doi: 10.1016/j.clnesp.2019.06.006

10. Williams AA, Tucknott OG. The volatile aroma components of fermented ciders: minor neutral components from the fermentation of sweet coppin apple juice. J Sci Food Agric. (1978) 29:381–97. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.2740290414

11. Gullo M, Verzelloni E, Canonico M. Aerobic submerged fermentation by *acetic acid bacteria* for vinegar production: process and biotechnological aspects. *Process Biochem.* (2014) 49:1571–9.

12. Huang T, Lu ZM, Peng MY, Liu ZF, Chai LJ, Zhang XJ, et al. Combined effects of fermentation starters and environmental factors on the microbial community assembly and flavor formation of Zhenjiang aromatic vinegar. *Food Res Int.* (2022) 152:110900. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2021.110900

13. Wu YF, Xia ML, Zhao N, Tu LN, Xue DN, Zhang XL, et al. Metabolic profile of main organic acids and its regulatory mechanism in solid-state fermentation of Chinese cereal vinegar. *Food Res Int.* (2021) 145:110400. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2021. 110400

14. Callejon RM, Morales ML, Troncoso AM, Ferreira ACS. Targeting key aromatic substances on the typical aroma of Sherry vinegar. *J Agric Food Chem*. (2008) 56:6631–9. doi: 10.1021/jf703636e

15. Liu YZ, Rousseaux S, Tourdot-Marechal R, Sadoudi M, Gougeon R, Schmitt-Kopplin P, et al. Wine microbiome: a dynamic world of microbial interactions. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* (2017) 57:856–73. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2014.983591 organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2023. 1142517/full#supplementary-material

16. Wang XW, Han MZ, Zhang MN, Wang Y, Ren YP, Yue TL, et al. *In vitro* evaluation of the hypoglycemic properties of lactic acid bacteria and its fermentation adaptability in apple juice. *Lwt-Food Sci Technol.* (2021) 136:110363. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt. 2020.110363

17. Xu Z, Lu Z, Soteyome T, Ye Y, Huang T, Liu J, et al. Polymicrobial interaction between *Lactobacillus* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: coexistence-relevant mechanisms. *Crit Rev Microbiol.* (2021) 47:386–96. doi: 10.1080/1040841X.2021.1893265

18. Jarosz DF, Brown JC, Walker GA, Datta MS, Ung WL, Lancaster AK, et al. Crosskingdom chemical communication drives a heritable, mutually beneficial prion-based transformation of metabolism. *Cell.* (2014) 158:1083–93. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.07. 025

19. Mendes F, Sieuwerts S, de Hulster E, Almering MJ, Luttik MA, Pronk JT, et al. Transcriptome-based characterization of interactions between *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. bulgaricus in lactose-grown chemostat cocultures. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* (2013) 79:5949–61.

 Gerardi C, Tristezza M, Giordano L, Rampino P, Perrotta C, Baruzzi F, et al. Exploitation of *Prunus mahaleb* fruit by fermentation with selected strains of *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Food Microbiol*. (2019) 84:103262. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2019.103262

21. Loh LX, Ng DHJ, Toh MZ, Lu YY, Liu SQ. Targeted and nontargeted metabolomics of amino acids and bioactive metabolites in probiotic-fermented unhopped beers using liquid chromatography high-resolution mass spectrometry. J Agric Food Chem. (2021) 69:14024–36. doi: 10.1021/acs.jafc.1c03992

22. Ramirez JE, Zambrano R, Sepulveda B, Kennelly EJ, Simirgiotis MJ. Anthocyanins and antioxidant capacities of six Chilean berries by HPLC-HR-ESI-ToF-MS. *Food Chem.* (2015) 176:106–14. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.12.039

23. Tao Y, Sun DW, Gorecki A, Blaszczak W, Lamparski G, Amarowicz R, et al. A preliminary study about the influence of high hydrostatic pressure processing in parallel with oak chip maceration on the physicochemical and sensory properties of a young red wine. *Food Chem.* (2016) 194:545–54. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2015.07.041

24. Wang Z, Feng Y, Yang N, Jiang T, Xu H, Lei H. Fermentation of kiwifruit juice from two cultivars by probiotic bacteria: bioactive phenolics, antioxidant activities and flavor volatiles. *Food Chem.* (2022) 373:131455. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.131455

25. Li HC, Huang JT, Wang YQ, Wang XN, Ren YC, Yue TL, et al. Study on the nutritional characteristics and antioxidant activity of dealcoholized sequentially fermented apple juice with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Lactobacillus plantarum* fermentation. *Food Chem*. (2021) 363:130351. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.202 1.130351

26. Natić MM, Dabić DČ, Papetti A, Akšić MMF, Ognjanov V, Ljubojević M, et al. Analysis and characterisation of phytochemicals in mulberry (*Morus alba L.*) fruits grown in Vojvodina, North Serbia. *Food Chem.* (2015) 171:128–136. doi: 10.1016/j. foodchem.2014.08.101

27. Kwaw E, Ma YK, Tchabo W, Apaliya MT, Wu M, Sackey AS, et al. Effect of lactobacillus strains on phenolic profile, color attributes and antioxidant activities of lactic-acid-fermented mulberry juice. *Food Chem.* (2018) 250:148–54. doi: 10.1016/j. foodchem.2018.01.009

28. Li ZX, Teng J, Lyu YL, Hu XQ, Zhao YL, Wang MF. Enhanced antioxidant activity for apple juice fermented with *Lactobacillus plantarum* ATCC14917. *Molecules*. (2019) 24:51. doi: 10.3390/molecules24010051

29. Li TL, Jiang T, Liu N, Wu CY, Xu HD, Lei HJ. Biotransformation of phenolic profiles and improvement of antioxidant capacities in jujube juice by select lactic acid bacteria. *Food Chem.* (2021) 339:127859. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127859

30. Luciano WA, Matte TC, Portela IA, de Medeiros LL, Lima MD, Maciel JF, et al. Effects of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* LA-3 on physicochemical and sensory parameters of acai and mango based smoothies and its survival following simulated gastrointestinal conditions. *Food Res Int.* (2018) 114:159–68. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres. 2018.08.005

31. Schreckinger ME, Wang JZ, Yousef G, Lila MA, de Mejia EG. Antioxidant capacity and *in vitro* inhibition of adipogenesis and inflammation by phenolic extracts of vaccinium floribundum and aristotelia chilensis. *J Agric Food Chem.* (2010) 58:8966–76. doi: 10.1021/jf100975m

32. Dunn WB, Broadhurst D, Begley P, Zelena E, Francis-McIntyre S, Anderson N, et al. Procedures for large-scale metabolic profiling of serum and plasma using gas chromatography and liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry. *Nat Protoc.* (2011) 6:1060–83. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2011.335

33. Sangster T, Major H, Plumb R, Wilson AJ, Wilson ID. A pragmatic and readily implemented quality control strategy for HPLC-MS and GC-MS-based metabonomic analysis. *Analyst.* (2006) 131:1075–8. doi: 10.1039/B604498K

34. Zelena E, Dunn WB, Broadhurst D, Francis-McIntyre S, Carroll KM, Begley P, et al. Development of a robust and repeatable UPLC- MS method for the long-term metabolomic study of human serum. *Anal Chem.* (2009) 81:1357–64. doi: 10.1021/ac8019366

35. Heires MJNPE. The international organization for standardization (ISO). New Polit Econ. (2008) 13:357–367. doi: 10.1080/13563460802302693

36. Park MK, Kim Y-S. Comparative metabolic expressions of fermented soybeans according to different microbial starters. *Food Chem.* (2020) 305:125461. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2019.125461

37. Tang Y, Zhao DQ, Zhu LW, Jiang JX. Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation of furfural residues by mixed cultures of lactic acid bacteria and yeast to produce lactic acid and ethanol. *Eur Food Res Technol.* (2011) 233:489–95.

38. Gomes RJ, de Fatima Borges M, de Freitas Rosa M, Castro-Gómez RJH, Spinosa WA. *Acetic acid bacteria* in the food industry: systematics, characteristics and applications. *Food Technol Biotechnol.* (2018) 56:139. doi: 10.17113/ftb.56.02.18.5593

39. Chen Y, Bai Y, Li D, Wang C, Xu N, Wu S, et al. Correlation between ethanol resistance and characteristics of PQQ-dependent ADH in *acetic acid bacteria*. *Eur Food Res Technol*. (2016) 242:837–47. doi: 10.1007/s00217-015-2589-5

40. Trček J, Mira NP, Jarboe LR. Adaptation and tolerance of bacteria against acetic acid. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol. (2015) 99:6215–6229. doi: 10.1007/s00253-015-6762-3

41. Saichana N, Matsushita K, Adachi O, Frébort I, Frebortova J. *Acetic acid bacteria*: a group of bacteria with versatile biotechnological applications. *Biotechnol Adv.* (2015) 33:1260–71. doi: 10.1016/j.biotechadv.2014.12.001

42. Qi Z, Yang H, Xia X, Wang W, Yu X. High strength vinegar fermentation by *Acetobacter* pasteurianus via enhancing alcohol respiratory chain. *Biotechnol Bioproc E*. (2014) 19:289–97.

43. Gan RY, Shah NP, Wang MF, Lui WY, Corke HJ. *Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1 fermentation differentially affects antioxidant capacity and polyphenol content in mung bean (Vigna radiata) and soya bean (Glycine max) milks. *J Food Proces Preserv*. (2017) 41:e12944. doi: 10.1111/jfpp.12944

44. Jurgenson CT, Begley TP, Ealick SEJ. The structural and biochemical foundations of thiamin biosynthesis. *Annu Rev Biochem.* (2009) 78:569.

45. Leonardi R, Jackowski S. Biosynthesis of pantothenic acid and coenzyme A. *EcoSal Plus.* (2007) 2:10.1128/ecosalplus.3.6.3.4. doi: 10.1128/ecosalplus.3.6.3.4

46. Zhang J, Huang M, Sun B. Study on free amino acid composition of 4 famous vinegars in China. J Food Saf Qual. (2014) 5:3124–31.

47. Kato H, Rhue MR, Nishimura T. Role of free amino acids and peptides in food taste. In: Teranishi R, Buterry RG, Shahidi F editors. *Flavor chemistry trends and developments*. Washington, DC: American chemical society (1989).

48. Schoenberger C, Krottenthaler M, Back WJ. Sensory and analytical characterization of nonvolatile taste-active compounds in bottom-fermented beers. *Techn Quart Master Brewers Assoc Am.* (2002) 39:210–7.

49. Huang, A, Jiang Z, Tao M, Wen M, Xiao Z, Zhang L, et al. Targeted and nontargeted metabolomics analysis for determining the effect of storage time on the metabolites and taste quality of keemun black tea. *Food Chem.* (2021) 359:129950. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2021.12 9950

50. Zhang X, Zheng YR, Feng J, Zhou R, Ma M. Integrated metabolomics and high-throughput sequencing to explore the dynamic correlations between flavor related metabolites and bacterial succession in the process of Mongolian cheese production. *Food Res Int.* (2022) 160:111672. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2022.111672

51. Zhao M, Zhang H, Wang JM, Shan DD, Xu Q. Serum metabolomics analysis of the intervention effect of whole grain oats on insulin resistance induced by high-fat diet in rats. *Food Res Int.* (2020) 135:109297. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2020.109297

52. Graulet B. Improving the level of vitamins in milk. In: Griffiths MW editor. *Improving the safety and quality of milk*. Amsterdam: Elsevier (2010). p. 229–51.

53. Ashihara H, Yin Y, Katahira R, Watanabe S, Mimura T, Sasamoto H. Comparison of the formation of nicotinic acid conjugates in leaves of different plant species. *Plant Physiol Biochem.* (2012) 60:190–5. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2012.08.007

54. Carlson LA. Nicotinic acid: the broad-spectrum lipid drug. A 50th anniversary review. *J Intern Med.* (2005) 258:94–114. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2796.2005. 01528.x

55. Sun SY, Gong HS, Liu WL, Jin CW. Application and validation of autochthonous *Lactobacillus plantarum* starter cultures for controlled malolactic fermentation and its influence on the aromatic profile of cherry wines. *Food Microbiol.* (2016) 55:16–24. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2015.11.016