Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Linda L. D. Zhong, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

REVIEWED BY Ali Chaari, Weill Cornell Medicine- Qatar, Qatar Imad Omar Al Kassaa, Fonterra, New Zealand Liang Yao, McMaster University, Canada

\*CORRESPONDENCE Tao Pan ⊠ pant414@163.com

RECEIVED 04 March 2023 ACCEPTED 02 May 2023 PUBLISHED 22 May 2023

#### CITATION

Tian Y, Ran H, Wen X, Fu G, Zhou X, Liu R and Pan T (2023) Probiotics improve symptoms of patients with COVID-19 through gut-lung axis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Nutr.* 10:1179432. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2023.1179432

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Tian, Ran, Wen, Fu, Zhou, Liu and Pan. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Probiotics improve symptoms of patients with COVID-19 through gut-lung axis: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Yong Tian<sup>1,2</sup>, Hongmei Ran<sup>2</sup>, Xudong Wen<sup>2</sup>, Guochuan Fu<sup>2</sup>, Xiaofang Zhou<sup>2</sup>, Rui Liu<sup>1</sup> and Tao Pan<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Clinical Medical College, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, Sichuan, China, <sup>2</sup>Department of Gastroenterology, Chengdu Integrated TCM and Western Medicine Hospital, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

**Background:** Multi system symptoms such as gastrointestinal tract and respiratory tract exist in coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients. There is a lack of reliable evidence to prove that probiotics are effective in improving these symptoms. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the efficacy of probiotics in meta-analysis.

**Methods:** We systematically searched PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library up to February 15, 2023. Randomized controlled trials or high quality retrospective studies comparing the efficacy of probiotics as supplementation with non-probiotics in improving symptoms for patients with COVID-19 were included. This meta-analysis assessed endpoints using Review Manager 5.3.

**Result:** Ten citations comprising 1198 patients with COVID-19 were included. The results showed that probiotics could increase the number of people with overall symptom improvement (RR = 1.62, 95% CI [1.10, 2.38], P = 0.01) and shorten the duration (days) of overall symptoms (MD = -1.26, 95% CI [-2.36, -0.16], P = 0.02). For the duration (days) of specific symptoms, probiotics could improve diarrhea (MD = -2.12, 95% CI [-2.41, -1.83], P < 0.00001), cough (MD = -2.21, 95% CI [-2.41, -1.83], P < 0.00001), cough (MD = -2.22, -0.53], P = 0.001). Probiotics had no obvious effect on fever, headache and weakness. For inflammation, probiotics could effectively reduce C-reactive Protein (CRP) serum level (mg/L) (MD = -4.03, 95% CI [-5.12, -2.93], P < 0.00001). Regarding hospital stay (days), probiotics group was shorter than non-probiotics group (MD = -0.98, 95% CI [-1.95, -0.01], P = 0.05).

**Conclusion:** To some extent probiotics could improve the overall symptoms, inflammatory reaction and shorten hospital stay of patients with COVID-19. Probiotics may improve gastrointestinal symptoms (such as improving intestinal flora and reducing the duration of diarrhea) and further improve respiratory symptoms through the gut-lung axis.

**Systematic review registration:** https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/ display\_record.php?RecordID=398309, identifier: CRD42023398309.

#### KEYWORDS

nutrition - clinical, probiotics, gut-lung axis, COVID-19, meta-analysis

#### 10.3389/fnut.2023.1179432

# Introduction

Since the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS - CoV2) pandemic in 2019, the pathogen has undergone a variety of mutations, including Delta and Omicron. The symptoms after infection vary from mild to severe, not only in the respiratory system, but also in the digestive and nervous systems. Although fever, headache and cough are the most common clinical features of COVID-19, symptoms of gastrointestinal involvement (such as diarrhea, nausea and vomiting) are increasing (1, 2). In fact, there is a close relationship between lung and gut. The bidirectional interactions between the respiratory mucosa and the gut microbiota, known as gut-lung axis, are supposed to be involved in the healthy or pathologic immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 (3). Through the gut-lung axis, gut dysbiosis may also affect the pathogenesis of the lung and change the clinical outcomes in COVID-19 (4). Therefore, the application of nutraceutical agents to improve the composition and diversity of intestinal flora may have a positive impact on the prevention/treatment of COVID-19.

Probiotics is a kind of active microorganism beneficial to the host that changes the composition of a certain part of the host flora by colonization in the human body (5). At present, probiotics, including Bifidobacteria, Saccharomyces boulardii and Lactobacillus, are mainly used in clinical supplementation to improve intestinal flora (6-8). As well known, probiotics can reinforce immunity and counteract inflammation by restoring symbiosis within the intestinal microbiota. They have a potential role in the treatment of viral respiratory infections and influenza virus infections, as well as in the treatment of nervous system diseases (9). These effects may stem from the regulation of several potential mechanisms (10-16), including cell phenotype, endocrine factors, and signaling pathways (Table 1). For example, probiotics improve asthma symptoms and lung inflammation maybe through increasing Treg cells population and regulating TLR4/NF-kB signaling pathways, respectively. For influenza viruses, probiotics can activate human and cellular immune responses to increase IFN- $\gamma$  and IL-2, thereby increasing the host's resistance to influenza virus infection. Many researchers believe that probiotics may have a potential effects on patients with COVID-19 (4, 17, 18). However, there is still a lack of reliable research evidence on the impact of probiotics for COVID-19 patients. Therefore, we performed a meta-analysis to assess the efficacy of probiotics in improving the symptoms of patients with COVID-19.

## Materials and methods

### **Retrieval strategy**

We performed a comprehensive online search of PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library from database establishment to February 15, 2023. The following search terms were included: ("probiotics" OR "synbiotics" OR "prebiotics" OR "postbiotics") AND ("COVID-19" OR "2019 nCoV Disease" OR "coronavirus disease 2019" OR "novel coronavirus" OR "SARS-CoV-2"). Relevant publications that compared probiotics as supplementation with non-probiotics (including placebo, standard care and no probiotics received) for improving the clinical

TABLE 1	Potential mechanism of probiotics in the treatment of
respirato	ry and neurological diseases.

Study	Disease	Mechanisms
Abbasi-Dokht et al. (10)	Asthma	Multistrain probiotics supplement alleviates asthma symptoms <i>via</i> increasing Treg cells population.
Wu et al. (11)	Allergic asthma	Probiotics and prebiotics may treat allergic asthma inflammation and pneumonia induced by OVA-LPS by regulating TLR4/NF-kB signaling pathways.
Karim et al. (12)	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	Multistrain probiotic improves muscle strength and functional performance in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients by reducing intestinal permeability and stabilizing neuromuscular junction.
Kokubo et al. (13)	Cold	<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> may improve cold-like symptoms and fatigue feelings by stimulating plasmacytoid dendritic cells.
Song et al. (14)	Influenza virus	Lactobacillus rhamnosus M21 can activate humoral and cellular immune responses to increase IFN- $\gamma$ And IL-2, thereby increasing the host's resistance to influenza virus infection.
Tan et al. (15)	Traumatic brain injury	Daily prophylactic administration of probiotics could attenuate the deviated Th1/Th2 response induced by severe traumatic brain injury, and could result in a decreased nosocomial infection rate.
Piletz et al. (16)	Neurological disorders	<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i> or <i>Lactobacillus fermentans</i> stimulate neurite growth of SH-SY5Y through the gut-brain axis, thereby altering brain function, behavior, and mental and neurological disorders.

symptoms of patients with COVID-19 would be taken into consideration. Symptoms, inflammation, and hospital stay were our main indicators for evaluating the efficacy of probiotics. No language or national restrictions were imposed. To ensure high quality of the work, we performed the systematic review and meta-analysis according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement (19). We registered our research on the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO), and the registration number was CRD42023398309.

### Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Potentially relevant published studies underwent a review of the entire published manuscript. The selection criteria for inclusion in the meta-analysis: (1) Randomized controlled clinical trials (RCTs) were the main types of studies included, and high quality retrospective studies (RETRO) would also be considered when it could provide reliable data for outcome indicators; (2) Study subjects were patients with COVID-19, with no restrictions considering sex, age, race, and disease duration; (3) Studies evaluating the efficacy of probiotics as supplementation vs. nonprobiotics in improving the symptoms of patients with COVID-19 were included. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) Crossover studies or single-arm studies that do not meet the inclusion criteria will be excluded; (2) Studies without probiotics as intervention measures or without reasonable control measures will be excluded; (3) Duplicate publications, review articles, editorials, case reports, and animal experiments were excluded. The decision to include or exclude the published studies was made separately by two researchers. Any disagreement would be resolved through discussion until a consensus is reached by consulting the third author.

#### Data extraction and quality assessment

Through detailed reading of the final included studies, two reviewers extracted the required data using standardized formats. The following data needed to be included: first author, year of publication, country, sample size, curative duration, types and dosage of intervention, number of people with overall symptom improvement, duration of symptoms (including overall symptoms, diarrhea, cough, shortness of breath, fever, cough and weakness), C-reactive protein (CRP) and hospital stay. In the original articles, most of results are presented as median [interquartile range], especially for duration of symptoms, CRP and hospital stay. Therefore, we use some equations (20, 21) to convert them into available data of mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (Supplementary material S1). Herein, overall symptoms, CRP and hospital stay were examined as the main outcomes. Specific symptoms such as diarrhea, cough, shortness of breath, fever and headache were the additional outcomes. We used the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (NOS) as the tool to estimate the quality of the retrospective studies. Studies with a score of 7 or higher were considered to be high quality. Jadad scale were used for quality assessment and determining the risk of bias in identified RCTs, and scores of 4 or higher were regarded as high quality.

#### Data analysis

The included studies were tested for heterogeneity using Cochran's *Q*-test and I<sup>2</sup> test (when P < 0.10 was considered significant). According to the Cochrane handbook, the fixed-effects model was selected for no obvious or low heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup> < 50%), and the random-effects model was selected for moderate heterogeneity (75%  $\geq I^2 \geq 50\%$ ). When the heterogeneity among studies appeared to be high (I<sup>2</sup> >75%), sensitivity analysis was performed by excluding each of the individual studies. All *P*-values were two-tailed, and a *P* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. We estimated risk ratios (RRs) or mean difference (MD) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated to analyze variables. Outcomes were graphically represented and assessed using Review Manager 5.3. Furthermore, we selected diarrhea and hospital stay to examine publication bias using Egger's test of Stata 14.0.

## Results

#### Literature search and screening

In total, 1,198 studies were retrieved. Subsequently, 632 studies were excluded as duplicate publications. After reviewing the titles and abstracts, 550 articles were excluded, including reviews, editorials, and case reports. After thoroughly reading the full text of the remaining 16 articles, 2 articles with inappropriate design or without reasonable control measures were subsequently excluded. In addition, 4 articles with unavailable data were excluded. Finally, ten qualified published studies (22–31) were included in the meta-analysis. Figure 1 illustrates the study selection process.

# Literature characteristics and quality assessment

Ten studies (22-31) comprising 1,453 patients with COVID-19 were included in the analysis. They were carried out in 7 different countries, namely, Russia, Mexico, China, Spain, United States, Rome and Iran. Among these studies, 2 of them (24, 25) were retrospectively performed, and the other 8 articles (22, 23, 26-31) were RCTs. 9 studies (22-29, 31) were high quality articles, while only one (30) was of low quality. All of them were published as full-text manuscripts mainly from 2021 to 2023. There was no significant difference between the baseline data of the intervention group and the control group. Various types of probiotics were used in the intervention group, including Lacticasseibacillus rhamnosus, Lactiplantacillus plantarum, Lactobacillus salivarius, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Pediococcus acidilactici, Bifdobacterium bifdum, Live Bifidobacterium longum, Bifdobacterium longum subsp, Pediococcus acidilactici, Streptococcus thermophilus. Some of them have been made into tablets or capsules with different brands. We extracted and summarized the basic data of the included articles and performed quality assessment (Table 2).

# Meta-analysis of people with overall symptom improvement

Three studies (23, 26, 27) reported the number of people with overall symptom improvement after treatment. The average improvement rate was 59.2% in the probiotics group and 37.7% in the non-probiotics group. Moderate heterogeneity was observed among included studies (degrees of freedom [df] = 2,  $I^2 = 66\%$ , P = 0.05). Accordingly, the random-effects model was selected for pooling effect sizes. The analysis revealed that probiotics had a better capacity to improve clinical symptoms than non-probiotics (RR = 1.62, 95% CI [1.10, 2.38], P = 0.01) (Figure 2).

#### Meta-analysis of overall symptom duration

Three studies (22, 25, 27) reported the duration (days) of overall symptoms. MD were calculated to analyze mean duration as it was continuous variable. Low heterogeneity was identified using Cochrane's Q test (df = 2,  $I^2 = 11\%$ , P = 0.32). The fixed-effects



model was selected for pooling effect sizes. The results showed that probiotics shortened the duration of overall symptoms in patients with COVID-19, and it reached a statistical difference (MD = -1.26, 95% CI [-2.36, -0.16], P = 0.02) (Figure 3).

## Meta-analysis of C-reactive Protein

Four studies (22, 28, 29, 31) reported the CRP serum level (mg/L). High heterogeneity was identified using Cochrane's Q test (df = 3,  $I^2 = 91\%$ , P < 0.00001). However, when we excluded one study (22), the heterogeneity between the studies was significantly reduced (df = 2,  $I^2 = 0\%$ , P = 0.72). Through a fixed-effect analysis, the results showed that probiotics could reduce CRP serum level in patients with COVID-19 (MD = -4.03, 95% CI [-5.12, -2.93], P < 0.00001) (Figure 4).

### Meta-analysis of hospital stay

Five studies (22, 25, 28, 30, 31) reported the hospital stay (days). A total of 776 patients included, 387 in the probiotics group and 389 in the non-probiotics group. High heterogeneity was observed among the five studies (df = 4,  $I^2 = 78\%$ , P = 0.001). Nevertheless, we selected random-effects model to pool the

effect sizes. The results showed that probiotics reduced the average hospital stay of COVID-19 patients (MD = -0.98, 95% CI [-1.95, -0.01], P = 0.05), and nearly reached statistical difference (Figure 5). In fact, the value of P was 0.047 through the auxiliary analysis of Stata 14.0, indicating that there was statistical difference between two groups. Heterogeneity decreased (df = 3,  $I^2 = 69\%$ , P = 0.02) when one study (22) was excluded, and the results (MD = -1.38, 95% CI [-2.44, -0.32], P = 0.01) were still stable.

## Subgroup analysis

We further analyzed the duration (days) of specific symptoms during the probiotic intervention (Table 3). Five studies (22–24, 29, 30) including 200 patients reported the duration of diarrhea. When we examined the two groups using Cochrane's Q test, no obvious heterogeneity was detected among the five studies. The duration of diarrhea in probiotics group was significantly shorter than that in non-probiotics group with fixed-effects (MD = -2.12, 95% CI [-2.41, -1.83], P < 0.00001). Similarly, we analyzed three studies (23, 29, 31) that reported respiratory symptoms of cough and shortness of breath. The results show that probiotics can improve cough (MD = -2.21, 95% CI [-4.56, 0.13], P = 0.06) and shortness of breath (MD = -1.37, 95% CI [-2.22, -0.53], P

#### TABLE 2 Characteristics of literatures and quality assessment.

Study	Country	Study design	Incldued patients	Mean/ median ages	Regimens	Patients of group	Follow- up time	Jadad/ NOS score								
Ivashkin Russia RCT et al. (22)	RCT	200	T: 65 (59–71)	Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus PDV 1705, Bifdobacterium bifdum PDV 0903, Bifdobacterium longum subsp. infantis PDV 1911, and Bifdobacterium longum subsp. longum PDV 2301, $4 \times 10^9$ CFU Tid	99	14 days	7									
				C: 64 (54–70)	No probiotics received	101	14 days									
Gutiérrez- Castrellón et al. (23)	Mexico	RCT	300	T: 34 (26–45)	Lactiplantibacillus plantarum KABP022, KABP023, KAPB033, and Pediococcus acidilactici KABP021, 2 × 10 <sup>9</sup> CFU Qd	150	30 days	7								
				C: 39 (27–49)	Placebo	150	30 days									
Wang et al. (24)	China	RETRO	58	T: 56·32	Live Bifidobacterium longum > 2 × $10^7$ CFU, live Lactobacillus bulgaricus and Streptococcus thermophilus > 2 × $10^6$ CFU Tid added	23	7 days	7								
				C: 56.32	Standard care	35	7 days									
Zhang et al. (25)	China	RETRO	RETRO	RETRO	RETRO	RETRO	RETRO	RETRO	RETRO	RETRO	300	T: 49 (35–60)	Bifidobacterium, Lactobacillus, Enterococcus, $9 \times 10^7$ CFU Bid added	150	30 days	8
				C: 50 (37-62)	Standard care	150	30 days									
Navarro- Lopez et al. (26)	Spain	RCT	RCT	41	T: 48.88 ± 12.35	Lactobacillus rhamnosus CECT 30579 1 $\times$ 10 <sup>9</sup> CFU and Kluyveromyces marxianus B0399 1 $\times$ 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU Qd	26	30 days	5							
				C: 46.33 ± 10.91	No probiotics received	15	30 days									
Wischmeyer et al. (27)	U.S.	RCT	182	T: NA	Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG, $2 \times 10^{11}$ CFU Qd	91	28 days	4								
				C: NA	Placebo	91	28 days									
Saviano et al. (28)	Rome	RCT	80	T: 59.2 ± 17.8	Bifidobacterium lactis LA 304, Lactobacillus salivarius LA 302, and Lactobacillus acidophilus LA 201, 40 × 10 <sup>9</sup> CFU Bid added	40	10 days	7								
				$\text{C:}~60.1\pm15.2$	Standard care	40	10 days									
Meskina et al. (29)		Russia RCT		Russia	Russia RCT	100	T: 55.5 (41–56)	Bifidobacterium bifidum 1 and Lactobacillus plantarum 8P-A3, $1.5 \times 10^9$ CFU Bid, 10 days; $3 \times 10^8$ CFU Bid, 15 days	50	25 days	6					
			C: 48.0 (41–54)	No probiotics received	50	25 days	]									
Maev et al. (30)	Russia	RCT	120	$T: 42.27 \pm 1.48$	Saccharomyces boulardii CNCM I-745, $10 \times 10^9$ CFU Qd added	60	10 days	3								
				$\text{C:}44.35\pm1.40$	Standard care	60	10 days									
Vaezi et al. (31)	Iran	RCT	72	$T: 52.08 \pm 16.08$	Multi-strain probiotics, $2\times 10^9~\text{CFU}$ Qd	38	14 days	6								
				$\text{C:}~51.54 \pm 15.26$	Placebo	38	14 days									

RCT, randomized controlled trial; RETRO, retrospective study; Qd, once a day; Bid, twice a day; Tid, three times a day; T, trial group; C, control group; CFU, colonyforming units; NOS, Newcastle–Ottawa scale; NA, no availability. U.S.:United States. Multi-strain probiotics: including Lactobacillus (L.) rhamnosus, L. helveticus, L. casei, Bifidobacterium (B.) lactis, L. acidophilus, B. breve, L. bulgaricus, B. longum, L. plantarum, B. bifidum, L. gasseri, and Streptococcus (S.) thermophilus.

= 0.001), although there was no statistical difference in the initial analysis of cough. When we excluded a study (31) with the smallest sample size, the results of cough had statistical significance (MD = -3.66, 95% CI [-4.51, -2.81], P < 0.00001) and low heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 44\%$ ). There was no significant difference in fever, headache, and weakness.

## Publication bias test

As no outcome variable was included in all studies, both the diarrhea and hospital stay were selected to examine publication bias using Egger's test. The P-values for diarrhea and hospital stay were 0.913 and 0.972 (P

	Experim	Experimental Control				Risk Ratio	Risk Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events Total		Total Events		Weight	M-H, Random, 95% Cl	M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Gutiérrez-Castrellón P 2022	78	147	41	146	43.4%	1.89 [1.40, 2.55]	
Navarro-Lopez V 2022	10	24	2	15	6.9%	3.13 [0.79, 12.35]	
Wischmeyer PE 2022	67	91	52	91	49.7%	1.29 [1.04, 1.60]	-
Total (95% CI)		262		252	100.0%	1.62 [1.10, 2.38]	◆
Total events	155		95				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>z</sup> = 0.07; CI	hi² = 5.87, d	lf = 2 (P	= 0.05);	l² = 669	6		
Test for overall effect $Z = 2.45$ (P = 0.01)							Favours [experimental] Favours [control]
FIGURE 2							
Forest plot of people with over	erall sympt	tom im	proveme	nt.			

	Exp	eriment	al	0	Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Zhang L 2021	20.11	10.48	150	22.41	8.98	150	24.6%	-2.30 [-4.51, -0.09]		
Wischmeyer PE 2022	9.76	9.79	91	12.41	13.56	91	10.2%	-2.65 [-6.09, 0.79]		
lvashkin V 2021	20.7	4.51	99	21.35	5.26	101	65.2%	-0.65 [-2.01, 0.71]	<b>-</b>	
Total (95% CI)			340			342	100.0%	-1.26 [-2.36, -0.16]	•	
Heterogeneity: $Chi^2 = 2.26$ , $df = 2$ (P = 0.32); $l^2 = 11\%$ Test for overall effect: Z = 2.25 (P = 0.02)										
Favours [experimental] Favours [control]										
FIGURE 3										
Forest plot of overall symptom duration.										



> 0.05), respectively. They confirmed the stability of our results.

## Discussion

The binding of angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) receptor to enter the human body has been reported as the pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 (32). ACE2 is abundant in epithelial cells of lung and intestine, indicating that there is a potential link between them (33). Although COVID-19 virus is mainly transmitted in respiratory tract and contact process, surviving virus particles have been also found in stool samples of infected patients (34). A study (35) involving 4,434 patients with COVID-19 showed that the pooled validity of gastrointestinal inventions was 11.51%. Positivity for COVID-19 in stool samples was observed in 41.50% of cases. The most frequent gastrointestinal symptom was diarrhea, followed by nausea/vomiting, poor appetite and abdominal pain. Moreover, it was reported that

gastrointestinal symptom not only appeared in infected COVID-19 patients, but might even be earlier than typical respiratory symptoms (36, 37).

Probiotics have been gradually contemplated to improve the symptoms of COVID-19, even for patients with extremely severe illness (38). COVID-19 and intestinal microbiota can interact with each other (39). Some studies suggest that intestinal microbiota may be a predictor of COVID-19 severity (40, 41). Therefore, regulating intestinal flora seems to be an effective aspect in improving symptoms (42). In addition, probiotics may improve the immune level of COVID-19 patients. A RCT (43) on probiotic strain Loigolobacillus coryniformis K8 CECT 5711 showed that IgG levels in the L. coryniformis K8 group were significantly higher than placebo group in people over 60 years of age. For ages 85 and older, probiotic administration increased IgA antibody levels. Synodinou et al. (18) believed that probiotics acted by blocking the virus from invading and proliferating in host cells, by stimulating the immune response, and by suppressing the activation of NLRP3 inflammasome. Moreover, Anwar et al. (44) suggested that probiotic metabolites might have antiviral effects on

	Experimental Control						Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% Cl	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
vashkin V 2021	11.7	3.01	99	11.35	3.76	101	25.0%	0.35 [-0.59, 1.29]	+	
/aev I.V. 2022	11.68	0.24	60	12.73	0.3	60	32.6%	-1.05 [-1.15, -0.95]	•	
Saviano A 2022	14	6	40	19	10	40	5.9%	-5.00 [-8.61, -1.39]		
'aezi M 2023	4.28	1.82	38	4.6	2.39	38	24.8%	-0.32 [-1.28, 0.64]	+	
Zhang L 2021	19.7	7.49	150	22.7	11.98	150	11.7%	-3.00 [-5.26, -0.74]		
otal (95% Cl)			387			389	100.0%	-0.98 [-1.95, -0.01]	•	
leterogeneity: Tau² =				= 4 (P =	: 0.001)	; <b>I</b> ² = 78	3%	-	-20 -10 0 10 20	
est for overall effect	Z=1.99	(P = 0	.05)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	
GURE 5										
orest plot of hospita	al stav.									

TABLE 3 Meta analysis results of specific symptoms.

	df	Coch	nran's Q test	Statistical model	MD (days)	95% CI	Р
		<b>I</b> <sup>2</sup>	Р				
Diarrhea (21–23, 28, 29)	4	0%	0.45	Fixed-effect	-2.12	[-2.41,-1.83]	< 0.00001
Cough (22, 28, 30)	2	85%	0.001	Random- effects	-2.21	[-4.56,0.13]	0.06
Shortness of breath (22, 28, 30)	2	43%	0.17	Fixed-effect	-1.37	[-2.22,-0.53]	0.001
Fever (22, 24, 30)	2	91%	< 0.00001	Random- effects	-1.40	[-3.16,0.36]	0.12
Headache (22, 30)	1	97%	<0.00001	Random- effects	-2.59	[-6.65,1.48]	0.21
Weakness (28, 30)	1	67%	0.08	Random- effects	-0.37	[-4.19,3.46]	0.85

MD, mean difference; df, degree of freedom; CI, confidence intervals.

COVID-19. Based on these aspects, probiotics seem to be beneficial for patients with COVID-19.

Through a bibliometric analysis, Xavier-Santos et al. (45) believed that probiotics, prebiotics, synbiotics, and postbiotics represented a promising adjuvant approach for improving the health of patients with COVID-19. A meta-analysis by Viana et al. (46) suggested that probiotic supplementation was effective in improving symptoms of COVID-19. However, outcome indicators contained few studies, most of which had only one or two articles. They claimed that there was a significant reduction in cough (RR = 0.56, 95% CI [0.37, 0.83]; p = 0.49;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ), headache (RR = 0.17, 95% CI [0.05, 0.65]; p = 0.38;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ), and diarrhea (RR = 0.33, 95% CI [0.12, 0.96]; p = 0.04;  $I^2 = 76\%$ ) among COVID-19 patients using probiotics. Their research results seemed unconvincing. Therefore, a higher-quality evidence was still lacking, and we processed it.

Our study included inpatients and outpatients of COVID-19 from 7 countries. Among the 10 studies included, the maximum sample size is 300 and the minimum is 41. Our outcome indicators have richer and more reliable data than previous study. As the duration of specific symptoms in most of COVID-19 patients are short (<10 days), most of studies have a long follow-up period (more than 10 days). The duration of symptom improvement seems to be more accurate than the number of people with symptom improvement. In addition, inflammatory indicators – CRP and hospital stay were included to analysis, which can further reflect the course of COVID-19 patients.

Through our meta-analysis, the results showed that probiotics could improve the overall symptoms of COVID-19 patients and shorten the duration of symptoms. For gastrointestinal symptoms, the pooled results of five studies (22-24, 29, 30) confirmed that probiotics could obviously reduce the duration of diarrhea in COVID-19 patients. Good consistency exists among the studies, indicating that the results are stable. For respiratory symptoms, probiotics improved cough and shortness of breath in COVID-19 patients. This might be related to the mechanism of probiotics supplementation on alleviating asthma symptoms via increasing Treg cells population. Probiotics supplementation could control T-helper 2-predominant and Th17 pro-inflammatory responses and improve respiratory function (11). Based on these results, we suggested that probiotics could improve the gastrointestinal symptoms and further improve the respiratory symptoms. This also confirms the theory of gut-lung axis. No obvious improvement was observed in other symptoms (including fever, headache, and weakness), although it was mentioned in Table 1 that probiotics might improve fatigue feelings by

stimulating plasmacytoid dendritic cells and improve neurological disorders by stimulating neurite growth of SH-SY5Y through the gut-brain axis.

CRP may be a rapid, widely available, useful predictive factor for determining the severity of COVID-19 patients (47). Huang et al. (48) suggested that an elevated serum CRP were associated with a poor outcome in COVID-19. In a retrospective study conducted by Sadeghi-Haddad-Zavareh et al. (49), 429 patients diagnosed with COVID-19 was divided into severe (n = 175) and non-severe cases (n = 254). The results showed that the proportion of patients with increased CRP levels was significantly higher in severe cases than in nonsevere patients, and patients with CRP >64.75 mg/L were more likely to have severe complications. Therefore, lowering the CRP serum level seems to improve the severity and progression of patients with COVID-19. Although in the four studies we included, both probiotics group and non-probiotics group had a lower CRP serum level than the baseline after treatment. The results of our meta-analysis showed that probiotics had a more significant ability to reduce CRP serum level than non-probiotics, acting as one way to reduce the inflammatory reaction of body. Of course, it was not ruled out that probiotics affected inflammatory parameters by reducing TGF-B1 concentrations, IL-8, increasing IL-5 and Il-10, and IFN-y and IL-12 (50).

Most mild COVID-19 patients do not need hospitalization. However, for the elderly patients with serious basic diseases, hospitalization or transfer to ICU has become the best choice. A single center retrospective study (51) from Slovenia showed that the median length of stay on regular wards was 7.5 (IQR 5-13) days, and the median ICU length of stay was 6 (IQR 4-11) days. The probability of dying in 21 days was high as 14.4% (95% CI [10.9-18%]) at the regular ward and 43.6% at the ICU. In addition, an analysis (52) of risk factors and survival in patients with COVID-19 in northeastern Brazil showed that prolonged hospital length of stay was associated with a high risk of death. Therefore, it is important to help patients recover and discharge through effective treatment. Our pooled results from five studies showed that patients recovered faster and the hospital stay has been shortened through the treatment of probiotics.

However, some limitations exist in our study. First, we only included partial symptoms of gastrointestinal tract and respiratory tract, while some symptoms (such as vomiting, abdominal pain, myalgia) were abandoned due to the limitations of available data. The same is for other immunoinflammatory indicators (immunoglobulin, interleukin, procalcitonin). Second, intervention dosage and follow-up time are not completely consistent, and more studies with the same dosage and time cycle need to be included to maintain their consistency and minimize treatment bias. Some outcome indicators (including fever, headache, and weakness) have high heterogeneity and few included studies, which may lead to unstable results. Third, the lower limit of the absolute value of the confidence interval for the duration of overall symptoms and hospital stay are 0.16 and 0.01 using absolute value, respectively. According to the minimal clinically important difference (MCID) (53, 54), it becomes less likely that an appreciable numbers of patients will achieve important benefits in these aspects. The same applies to cough. Finally, the exact mechanisms of probiotics for COVID-19 patients need to be further investigated.

# Conclusion

Our findings suggest that probiotics could, to some extent, improve the overall symptoms, inflammatory reaction and shorten hospital stay of patients with COVID-19. Probiotics may improve gastrointestinal symptoms (such as improving the intestinal flora and reducing the duration of diarrhea) and further improve respiratory symptoms through the gut-lung axis. Probiotics are a kind of beneficial supplementations for patients with COVID-19.

# Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names the repository/repositories of and accession be found the article/ number(s) can in Supplementary material.

# Author contributions

Data curation and writing—review and editing: YT, HR, XW, and GF. Formal analysis: XW and RL. Funding acquisition: XW and TP. Investigation: YT and RL. Methodology: YT and HR. Project administration: YT, XW, and TP. Software: YT and XZ. Supervision and visualization: GF. Writing—original draft: YT, XW, and XZ. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Funding

This research was funded by the Sichuan Provincial Science and Technology Department, grant number 2021YJ0198, Sichuan Medical Association, grant number 2021XHNJ19, and XingLin Scholars Program of Chengdu University of TCM, grant number YYZX2020036.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of

their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2023. 1179432/full#supplementary-material

### References

1. Argenziano M G, Bruce S L, Slater C L, Tiao J R, Baldwin M R, Barr R G, et al. Characterization and clinical course of 1000 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York: retrospective case series. *BMJ*. (2020) 369:m1996. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m1996

2. Viera-Segura O, Vega-Magaña N, García-Chagollán M, Peña-Rodríguez M, Muñoz-Sánchez G, Carranza-Aranda A S, et al. A comprehensive descriptive epidemiological and clinical analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in west-Mexico during COVID-19 pandemic 2020. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. (2021) 18:20. doi: 10.3390/ijerph182010644

3. de Oliveira G, Oliveira C, Pinzan CF, de Salis L, Cardoso C. Microbiota modulation of the gut-lung axis in COVID-19. *Front Immunol.* (2021) 12:635471. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.635471

4. Mahooti M, Miri S M, Abdolalipour E. Ghaemi A. The immunomodulatory effects of probiotics on respiratory viral infections: A hint for COVID-19 treatment? *Microb Pathog.* (2020) 148:104452. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2020.104452

5. Vanbelle M, Teller E, Focant M. Probiotics in animal nutrition: a review. Archiv für Tierernährung. (1990) 40:543–67. doi: 10.1080/17450399009428406

6. Naghibzadeh N, Salmani F, Nomiri S, Tavakoli T. Investigating the effect of quadruple therapy with Saccharomyces boulardii or Lactobacillus reuteri strain (DSMZ 17648) supplements on eradication of Helicobacter pylori and treatments adverse effects: a double-blind placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial. *BMC Gastroenterol.* (2022) 22:1. doi: 10.1186/s12876-022-02187-z

 Ray K J, Santee C, McCauley K, Panzer A R, Lynch S V. Gut Bifidobacteria enrichment following oral Lactobacillus-supplementation is associated with clinical improvements in children with cystic fibrosis. *BMC Pulm Med.* (2022) 22:1– 9. doi:10.1186/s12890-022-02078-9

8. Bonfrate L, Di Palo D M, Celano G, Albert A, De Angelis M, Gobbetti M, et al. The beneficial effects of a novel formulation of Bifdobacterium longum BB536 and Lactobacillus rhamnosus HN001 with B6 vitamin on gut microbiota and intestinal permeability in IBS patients. *Eur J Clin Invest.* (2019) 49:81. doi: 10.1111/eci.13108

9. Shahbazi R, Yasavoli-Sharahi H, Alsadi N, Ismail N, Matar C. Probiotics in treatment of viral respiratory infections and neuroinflammatory disorders. *Molecules*. (2020) 25:21. doi: 10.3390/molecules25214891

10. Abbasi-Dokht T, Sadrifar S, Forouzandeh S, Malek F, Hemmati M, Kokhaei P, et al. Multistrain probiotics supplement alleviates asthma symptoms via increasing Treg cells population: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Int Arch Allergy Immunol.* (2023) 184:291–301. doi: 10.1159/000526739

11. Wu Z, Mehrabi Nasab E, Arora P, Athari SS. Study effect of probiotics and prebiotics on treatment of OVA-LPS-induced of allergic asthma inflammation and pneumonia by regulating the TLR4/NF-kB signaling pathway. *J. Transl Med.* (2022) 20:3. doi: 10.1186/s12967-022-03337-3

12. Karim A, Muhammad T, Shahid Iqbal M, Qaisar R, A. multistrain probiotic improves handgrip strength and functional capacity in patients with COPD: a randomized controlled trial. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* (2022) 10:102. doi: 10.1016/j.archger.2022.104721

13. Kokubo T, Komano Y, Tsuji R, Fujiwara D, Fujii T, Kanauchi O, et al. The effects of plasmacytoid dendritic cell-stimulative lactic acid bacteria, Lactococcus lactis strain plasma, on exercise-induced fatigue and recovery via immunomodulatory action. *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab.* (2019) 29:354–8. doi: 10.1123/ijsnem.2018-0377

14. Song J A, Kim H J, Hong S K, Lee D H, Lee S W, Song C S, et al. Oral intake of Lactobacillus rhamnosus M21 enhances the survival rate of mice lethally infected with influenza virus. *J Microbiol Immunol Inf.* (2016) 49:16–23. doi: 10.1016/j.jmii.2014.07.011

15. Tan M, Zhu JC, Du J, Zhang LM, Yin HH. Effects of probiotics on serum levels of Th1/Th2 cytokine and clinical outcomes in severe traumatic brain-injured patients: a prospective randomized pilot study. *Critical Care*. (2011) 15:6. doi: 10.1186/cc10579

16. Piletz J E, Cooper J, Chidester K, Erson K, Melton S, Osemeka A, et al. Transepithelial effect of probiotics in a novel model of gut lumen to nerve signaling. *Nutrients.* (2022) 14:22. doi: 10.3390/nu14224856

17. Sundararaman A, Ray M, Ravindra PV, Halami PM. Role of probiotics to combat viral infections with emphasis on COVID-19. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* (2020) 104:8089–104. doi: 10.1007/s00253-020-10832-4

18. Synodinou K D, Nikolaki M D, Triantafyllou K, Kasti A N. Immunomodulatory effects of probiotics on COVID-19 infection by targeting the gut-lung axis microbial cross-talk. *Microorganisms*. (2022) 10:9. doi: 10.3390/microorganisms10091764

19. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman D G. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Ann Intern Med.* (2009) 151: 264–9. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-151-4-200908180-00135

20. Luo D, Wan X, Liu J, Tong T. Optimally estimating the sample mean from the sample size, median, mid-range, and/or mid-quartile range. *Stat Methods Med Res.* (2018) 27:1785–805. doi: 10.1177/0962280216669183

21. Wan X, Wang W, Liu J, Tong T. Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. *BMC Med Res Methodol.* (2014) 14:135. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-14-135

22. Ivashkin V, Fomin V, Moiseev S, Brovko M, Maslennikov R, Ulyanin A, et al. Efficacy of a probiotic consisting of Lacticaseibacillus rhamnosus PDV 1705, Bifdobacterium bifidum PDV 0903, Bifidobacterium longum subsp. longum PDV 2301 in the treatment of hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a randomized controlled trial. *Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins*. (2021) 13: 1–9. doi: 10.1007/s12602-021-09858-5

23. Gutiérrez-Castrellón P, Gandara-Martí T, Abreu YA, Nieto-Rufino CD, López-Orduña E, Jiménez-Escobar I, et al. Probiotic improves symptomatic and viral clearance in Covid19 outpatients: a randomized, quadruple-blinded, placebocontrolled trial. *Gut Microbes.* (2022) 14:2018899. doi: 10.1080/19490976.2021. 2018899

24. Wang H, Wang Y, Lu C, Qiu L, Song X, Jia H, et al. The efficacy of probiotics in patients with severe COVID-19. *Ann Palliat Med.* (2021) 10:12374-80. doi: 10.21037/apm-21-3373

25. Zhang L, Han H, Li X, Chen C, Xie X, Su G, et al. Probiotics use is associated with improved clinical outcomes among hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol.* (2021) 14:1088218646. doi: 10.1177/17562848211035670

26. Navarro-López V, Hernández-Belmonte A, Pérez S M, Ayo-González M, Losa-Rodríguez G, Ros-Sánchez E, et al. Oral intake of Kluyveromyces marxianus B0399 plus Lactobacillus rhamnosus CECT 30579 to mitigate symptoms in COVID-19 patients: a randomized open label clinical trial. *Med Microecol.* (2022) 14:100061. doi: 10.1016/j.medmic.2022.100061

27. Wischmeyer P E, Tang H, Ren Y, Bohannon L, Ramirez Z E, Andermann T. M, et al. Daily lactobacillus probiotic versus placebo in COVID-19-exposed household contacts (PROTECT-EHC). *Clin Trial.* (2022) 1:2022. doi: 10.1101/2022.01.04.21268275

28. Saviano A, Potenza A, Siciliano V, Petruzziello C, Tarli C, Migneco A, et al. COVID-19 pneumonia and gut inflammation: the role of a mix of three probiotic strains in reducing inflammatory markers and need for oxygen support. *J Clin Med.* (2022) 11:13. doi: 10.3390/jcm11133758

29. Meskina ER, Tselipanova EE, Khadisova MK, Galkina LA, Stashko TV. [Efficiency of application of sorbed probiotics in complex therapy of pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2. Part 1 Heating clinical displays period]. *Ter Arkh.* (2021) 93:456-64. doi: 10.26442/00403660.2021.04.200835

30. Maev I V, Andreev D N, Sokolov P S, Fomenko A. k, Devkota M K, Andreev N G, et al. [Efficacy of Saccharomyces boulardii CNCM I-745 probiotic drug in the prevention and treatment of diarrhea in hospitalized patients with new coronavirus infection COVID-19]. *Ter Arkh.* (2022) 94:1163–70. doi: 10.26442/00403660.2022.10.201881

31. Vaezi M, Ravanshad S, Rad M A, Zarrinfar H, Kabiri M. The effect of synbiotic adjunct therapy on clinical and paraclinical outcomes in hospitalized COVID-19 patients: A randomized placebo-controlled trial. *J Med Virol.* (2023). doi: 10.1002/jmv.28463

32. Lu R, Zhao X, Li J, Niu P, Yang B, Wu H, et al. Genomic characterisation and epidemiology of 2019 novel coronavirus: implications for virus origins and receptor binding. *Lancet.* (2020) 395:565–74. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30251-8

33. Dhar D, Mohanty A. Gut microbiota and Covid-19- possible link and implications. *Virus Res.* (2020) 285:198018. doi: 10.1016/j.virusres.2020. 198018

34. Foladori P, Cutrupi F, Segata N, Manara S, Pinto F, Malpei F, et al. SARS-CoV-2 from faeces to wastewater treatment: What do we know? *A review Sci Total Environ.* (2020) 743:140444. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140444

35. Merola E, Armelao F, Pretis de. Prevalence of gastrointestinal symptoms in coronavirus disease 2019: a meta-analysis. Acta Gastroenterol Belg. (2020) 83:603–15.

36. Cipriano M, Ruberti E, Giacalone A. Gastrointestinal infection could be new focus for coronavirus diagnosis. *Cureus*. (2020) 12:e7422. doi: 10.7759/cureus.7422

37. Schmulson M, Dávalos MF, Berumen J. Beware: Gastrointestinal symptoms can be a manifestation of COVID-19. *Rev Gastroenterol Mex.* (2020) 85:282–7. doi: 10.1016/j.rgmxen.2020.04.001

38. Bottari B, Castellone V, Neviani E. Probiotics and Covid-19. Int J Food Sci Nutr. (2021) 72:293–9. doi: 10.1080/09637486.2020.1807475

39. Li S, Zhou Y, Yan D, Wan Y. An update on the mutual impact between SARS-CoV-2 infection and gut microbiota. *Viruses*. (2022) 14:1–8. doi: 10.3390/v14081774

40. Maeda Y, Motooka D, Kawasaki T, Oki H, Noda Y, Adachi Y, et al. Longitudinal alterations of the gut mycobiota and microbiota on COVID-19 severity. *BMC Infect Dis.* (2022) 22:572. doi: 10.1186/s12879-022-07358-7

41. Neag MA, Vulturar DM, Gherman D, Burlacu CC, Todea DA, Buzoianu A. D. Gastrointestinal microbiota: a predictor of COVID-19 severity? *World J Gastroenterol.* (2022) 28:6328–44. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v28.i45.6328

42. Zhao S, Feng P, Meng W, Jin W, Li X, Li X, et al. Modulated gut microbiota for potential COVID-19 prevention and treatment. *Front Med.* (2022) 9:811176. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.811176

43. Fernández-Ferreiro A, Formigo-Couceiro FJ, Veiga-Gutierrez R, Maldonado-Lobón JA, Hermida-Cao AM, Rodriguez C, et al. Effects of Loigolactobacillus coryniformis K8 CECT 5711 on the immune response of elderly subjects to COVID-19 vaccination: a randomized controlled trial. *Nutrients.* (2022) 14:1– 9. doi: 10.3390/nu14010228

44. Anwar F, Altayb HN, Al-Abbasi FA, Al-Malki AL, Kamal MA, Kumar V, et al. Antiviral effects of probiotic metabolites on COVID-19. *J Biomol Struct Dyn.* (2021) 39:4175–84. doi: 10.1080/07391102.2020.1775123

45. Xavier-Santos D, Padilha M, Fabiano G A, Vinderola G, Gomes CA, Sivieri K, et al. Evidences and perspectives of the use of probiotics, prebiotics, synbiotics,

and postbiotics as adjuvants for prevention and treatment of COVID-19: a bibliometric analysis and systematic review. *Trends Food Sci Technol.* (2022) 120:174–92. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2021.12.033

46. Neris AVS, Do RSPT, de Carvalho AJ, Tianeze DCC, Santana CL, Henrique SL, et al. Benefits of probiotic use on COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr.* (2022) 5:1–13. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2022. 2128713

47. Singh A, Bhadani P P. Surabhi, Sinha R, Bharti S, Kumar T, et al. Significance of immune-inflammatory markers in predicting clinical outcome of COVID-19 patients Indian. *J Pathol Microbiol.* (2023) 66:111–7. doi: 10.4103/ijpm.ijpm \_658\_21

48. Huang I, Pranata R, Lim MA, Oehadian A, Alisjahbana B. C-reactive protein, procalcitonin, D-dimer, and ferritin in severe coronavirus disease-2019: a metaanalysis. *Ther Adv Respir Dis.* (2020) 14:1022295081. doi: 10.1177/1753466620937175

49. Sadeghi-Haddad-Zavareh M, Bayani M, Shokri M, Ebrahimpour S, Babazadeh A, Mehraeen R, et al. C-reactive protein as a prognostic indicator in COVID-19 patients. *Interdiscip Perspect Infect Dis.* (2021) 2021:5557582. doi: 10.1155/2021/5557582

50. Peladic NJ, Dell Aquila G, Carrieri B, Maggio M, Cherubini A, Orlandoni P. Potential roe of probiotics for inflammaging: a narrative review. *Nutrients.* (2021) 13:9. doi: 10.3390/nu13092919

51. Rozman A, Rituper B, Kačar M, Kopač P, Zidarn M, Pohar PM, et al. Length of hospital stay and survival of hospitalized COVID-19 patients during the second wave of the pandemic: a single centre retrospective study from slovenia. *Zdr Varst.* (2022) 61:201–8. doi: 10.2478/sjph-2022-0027

52. Fernandes A T, Rodrigues E K, Araújo E R, Formiga M F, Horan P, Ferreira A, et al. Risk factors and survival in patients with COVID-19 in northeastern Brazil. *PLoS ONE.* (2022) 17:e278213. doi: 10.1101/2022.01.27.22269602

53. Johnston B C, Patrick D L, Thorlund K, Busse J W, Da C B, Schünemann H J, et al. Patient-reported outcomes in meta-analyses-part 2: methods for improving interpretability for decision-makers. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* (2013) 11:211. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-11-211

54. Jaeschke R, Singer J, Guyatt GH. Measurement of health status. Ascertaining the minimal clinically important difference Control. *Clin Trials.* (1989) 10:407–15. doi: 10.1016/0197-2456(89)90005-6