#### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY César Ozuna, University of Guanajuato, Mexico

REVIEWED BY Wenchao Cai, Shihezi University, China Adriano Gomes Cruz, Adriano Gomes da Cruz, Brazil

\*CORRESPONDENCE Dunhua Liu ⊠ ldh320@nxu.edu.cn Chaokun Wei ⊠ weichaokun@nxu.edu.cn

RECEIVED 30 August 2023 ACCEPTED 29 November 2023 PUBLISHED 19 December 2023

CITATION

Zheng A, Liu J, Wang M, Bu N, Liu D and Wei C (2023) Footprint analysis of  $CO_2$  in microbial community succession of raw milk and assessment of its quality. *Front. Nutr.* 10:1285653. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2023.1285653

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Zheng, Liu, Wang, Bu, Liu and Wei. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Footprint analysis of CO<sub>2</sub> in microbial community succession of raw milk and assessment of its quality

Anran Zheng<sup>1</sup>, Jun Liu<sup>1,2</sup>, Mengsong Wang<sup>3</sup>, Ningxia Bu<sup>1</sup>, Dunhua Liu<sup>1,3</sup>\* and Chaokun Wei<sup>3</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>School of Animal Science and Technology, Ningxia University, Yinchuan, China, <sup>2</sup>School of Life Science, Hubei Normal University, Huangshi, China, <sup>3</sup>School of Food Science and Engineering, Ningxia University, Yinchuan, China

With the growing production of raw milk, interest has been increasing in its quality control. CO<sub>2</sub>, as a cold processing additive, has been studied to extend the cold storage period and improve the quality of raw milk. However, it is yet uncertain how representative microbial species and biomarkers can succeed one another at distinct critical periods during refrigeration. Therefore, the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> treatment on the succession footprint of the microbial community and changes in quality during the period of raw milk chilling were examined by 16S rRNA analysis combined with electronic nose, and electronic tongue techniques. The results indicated that, the refrigeration time was shown to be prolonged by CO<sub>2</sub> in a concentration-dependent way. And CO<sub>2</sub> treatment was linked to substantial variations in beta and alpha diversity as well as the relative abundances of various microbial taxa (p < 0.01). The dominant bacterial phylum Proteobacteria was replaced with Firmicutes, while the major bacterial genera Acinetobacter and Pseudomonas were replaced with lactic acid bacteria (LAB), including Leuconostoc, Lactococcus, and Lactobacillus. From the perspective of biomarkers enriched in CO<sub>2</sub>-treated sample, almost all of them belong to LAB, no introduction of harmful toxins has been found. The assessment of the quality of raw milk revealed that  $CO_2$  improved the quality of raw milk by lowering the acidity and the rate of protein and fat breakdown, and improved the flavor by reducing the generation of volatiles, and increasing umami, richness, milk flavor and sweetness, but reducing sourness. These findings offer a new theoretical foundation for the industrial use of CO<sub>2</sub> in raw milk.

KEYWORDS

CO2 treatment, raw milk, microbial community succession, milk quality, flavor

## **1** Introduction

Raw milk is rich in protein, fat, lactose and other nutrients and provides an excellent growth medium for microorganisms in milk (1). Therefore, raw milk must be refrigerated before processing to inhibit the propagation of microorganisms. During this period, the growth of most microorganisms is inhibited, but could not be terminated. With the passage of time, the propagated microorganisms act on the raw milk system, affecting its shelf life, nutritional value, nutritional quality, sensory flavor and health benefit, all of which are of particular concern to consumers (2). Especially *Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter*, which were considered to be the most abundant and harmful spoilage bacteria in raw milk (3), produce heat-resistant proteases and

lipases that cannot be completely inactivated during heat treatment before processing, resulting in the decreased yield and quality of dairy products, such as high-temperature sterilized milk, cheese, yogurt and ice cream (4). As a result of the rise in cattle farming, longer storage times for milk during transportation and refrigeration have resulted from the consolidation of numerous small dairy manufacturing facilities into larger ones (5). In order to increase the storage time and quality of milk and dairy products generated from it, it is crucial to prevent microbial growth during raw milk refrigeration.

Compared to traditional thermal technology, non-thermal technology can suppress the growth of harmful microorganisms through non-heating treatment at ambient temperature (6), reducing the damage to food nutrition and sensory flavor caused by heat. Therefore,  $CO_2$ , as a non-thermal antibacterial additive (7), has attracted researchers' attention due to its natural origin (8), safety (9), simplicity and economy (10), as well as its easy removal from milk (8). It was reported that, adding CO2 in raw milk can prolong the cold storage period by inhibiting the lag, exponential and stationary growth phases of bacteria (11), especially gram-negative bacteria, such as psychrophilic bacteria (12), meanwhile the proteolysis and lipolysis were significantly reduced compared with untreated raw milk (13). Although the effects of CO2 on microorganisms in raw milk have been studied for decades, most of these have been traditional culture-dependent methods, leading to a significant underestimation of microbial diversity and CO2's antibacterial effect, as the vast majority (approximately 99%) of microorganisms in nature cannot be cultured. With the development of gene sequencing technology unrelated to cultivation, a large number of unculturable microorganisms have been discovered, greatly improving people's understanding of microbial communities (14). In recent years, researchers have further revealed the impact of CO<sub>2</sub> on the microbial community structure of raw milk through denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) and next-generation amplification sequencing technology (14, 15). In addition, the effect of adding  $CO_2$  on milk powders, milk protein concentrate powders, yogurt and cheese has also been studied, which further confirmed that the effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on the quality of dairy products was beneficial (16-21).

However, to our knowledge, little is known about how microbial communities evolve during cold storage and the dynamic succession of populations during storage of  $CO_2$ -treated raw milk. This information may offer new insights into the time-specific features of microbial community succession. This knowledge is crucial because the types of microorganisms and their metabolites may have a direct impact on the sensory quality and shelf life of raw milk and dairy products. The present study used 16S rRNA gene sequencing technologies to identify the microbial communities' succession footprint and other indicators during storage, and investigate how  $CO_2$  affects the quality of raw milk. The findings may improve our understanding of the variations in microbes between raw milk samples that has been chilled with  $CO_2$  and the control, as well as offer some theoretical guidance for how  $CO_2$  should be used in raw milk refrigeration.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Raw milk collection and treatments

Fresh raw milk (the somatic cells  $<2 \times 10^5$ /mL, total bacteria count  $<10^3$  cfu/mL)was collected aseptically from milk tanks at

Helan Mountain Dairy Farm, Ningxia, Yinchuan, China in June 2022, refrigerated at 4°C and transported to the laboratory within 1 h. Then, referring to the method of Ma et al. (13), a known mass of solid  $CO_2$  (dry ice, food grade) was added to 500 mL PET bottles (Servicebio, Wuhan, China) containing 300 mL of raw milk to achieve  $CO_2$  concentrations of 0, 500, 1,000 and 2,000 ppm, respectively. Each group of samples was divided into 100 mL aliquots in sterile sealed PET bottles and stored at 4°C. One aliquot was used for analysis each day. The day of sample collection was defined as day 0.

## 2.2 Bacterial growth studies

The total bacterial count (TBC) was measured by the spread plate method (22). Briefly, 1 mL sample was taken and serially diluted 10 times with 0.85% sterile saline. Then the 200 uL dilutions were spread onto Plate Count Agar (PCA) and cultured at 37°C for 48 h. Each sample was also spread onto MRS medium (cultured at 36°C for 72 h), eosin methylene blue (EMB) medium (cultured at 36°C for 24 h) and the psychrophilic count agar (cultured at 6.5°C for 10 days) to monitor the growth of LAB, *Escherichia coli* and *Psychrophilic bacteria*. Three parallel samples were taken for each sample.

Analysis of a sample was terminated when it was spoiled. Spoilage was defined by the TBC reaching a threshold of 6 lg (cfu/mL) (14).

# 2.3 Measurements of protein, fat and lactose content

The contents of fat, protein and lactose in raw milk were measured by using a rapid milk composition analyzer (Lactoscan SLP, Hangzhou, China). Briefly, after preheating the instrument for 10 min, distilled water and milk sample at 35–40°C were used to automatically clean the instrument. Then the sample was preheated at 25°C for 15 min before measurements according to the system program. Three parallel samples were taken for each sample.

## 2.4 Acidity measurement

 $\rm CO_2$ -treated samples were degassed using stirring to remove the effects of  $\rm CO_2$  on the sample prior to measuring acidity (23). A raw milk sample (100 mL) was placed into a 250 mL beaker and stirred at a low speed with a magnetic stirrer until the pH value was no longer changed. Usually, the duration was less than 20 min. Three parallel samples were taken for each sample.

The milk acidity was determined according to the phenolphthalein indicator method in the Chinese National Food Safety Standard GB5009.239-2016 (24). Briefly, 10g of milk sample were put into a 150 mL conical bottle, 20 mL of distilled water were added, boiled and cooled to room temperature and mixed well. Then 2 mL of phenolphthalein indicator were added, mixed well and titrated with 0.1 mol/L NaOH solution until the color of the sample became similar to that of the reference solution. The whole titration process was completed within 45 s. The titration volume of sodium hydroxide standard solution consumed was recorded. The titration volume of the consumed sodium hydroxide standard solution was recorded, and the acidity of the milk sample was calculated according to the following equation:

$$X = c \times (V_1 - V_0) \times 100 / m \times 0.1$$

Where *X* is the acidity of the sample (°T); *c* is the concentration of NaOH standard solution (mol/L);  $V_1$  is the volume of NaOH standard solution consumed during the titration of the sample (mL);  $V_0$  is the volume of NaOH standard solution consumed in the blank (mL); *m* is the mass of the sample (g).

## 2.5 Determination of flavor

# 2.5.1 Volatile compounds analysis by electronic nose

Various volatile compounds were identified using a PEN3 Portable Electronic nose (E-nose) (Airsense Analytics GmbH, Schwerin, Germany) with 10 different MOS sensors to provide selectivity (Table 1). For the analysis, 10 mL of raw milk samples were put into a 30 mL headspace sample vial and equilibrated by incubation at room temperature  $(25\pm2^{\circ}C)$  for 30 min. Then, the E-nose probe was inserted to determine the volatile components in the milk. The relevant parameters of the electronic nose were as follows: sampling interval of 180 s, flushing time of 60 s, zero setting time of 10 s, detection time of 120 s, carrier gas flow rate of 300 mL/ min, and injection flow rate of 300 mL/min. The E-nose sensor stabilized after 110 s, and 111, 112, and 113 s were selected as the information collection time. Five parallel samples were taken for each sample.

# 2.5.2 Analysis of taste properties by electronic tongue

The taste properties in raw milk were assessed by SA-402B Electronic Tongue (E-tongue) (Insent Inc., Japan), comprising a sensor array, and data acquisition system and analysis system. The sensor array has six taste sensors, including C00, AAE, CA0,

TABLE 1 Sensor properties of the E-nose sensor.

Sensor number	Sensor name	Descriptions
1	W1C	Sensitive to aromatic, benzene
2	W5S	Sensitive to nitrogen oxides
3	W3C	Sensitive to ammonia and aromatic compounds
4	W6S	Sensitive to hydrogen
5	W5C	Sensitive to alkanes, aromatic compounds, less polar compounds
6	W1S	Sensitive to methane and hydrocarbons
7	W1W	Sensitive to many terpenes and sulfides compound
8	W2S	Sensitive to alcohols, aldehydes and ketones
9	W2W	Sensitive to organic sulfides, aromatic compounds
10	W3S	Sensitive to long-chain alkanes

AE1, CT0, and GL1 for bitterness, umami, sourness, astringency, saltiness and sweetness, respectively, and two reference electrodes.

The CO<sub>2</sub>-treated samples were first degassed using stirring (23). Then 40 mL of raw milk were diluted with an equal volume of distilled water, followed by homogenization and filtration through a double layer of gauze. The resulting supernatant was collected, and subsequently, 70 mL of each sample were used for analysis. The test procedure was as follows: cleaning solution, 90 s, 120 s, 120 s; Conditioning solution, 30 s; and the detection time was set at 30 s. Three parallel samples were taken for each sample.

## 2.6 DNA extraction

Aliquots of samples (10 mL) were collected on the same day as plating, and stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until DNA extraction with DNeasy PowerFood Microbial DNA Isolation Kit (QIAGEN, Dusseldorf, Germany). DNA extraction was performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration and purity of the extracted DNA were determined by 1.2% agarose gel electrophoresis and nucleic acid purity tester (Liuyi, Beijing, China). Six parallel samples were taken for each sample.

# 2.7 PCR amplification and high throughput sequencing

PCR to amplify the 16S rRNA gene was performed with TransStart® FastPfu DNA Polymerase (TransGen Biotech, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The variable V3-V4(a) region of the standard bacterial 16S rRNA gene as the target amplified region was amplified with the primers, ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCA and GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTA AT, and 2% agarose gel electrophoresis was used to verify the PCR products. Amplicons were extracted from the gels and purified using the VAHTSTM DNA Clean Beads (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). Concentrations of final products were determined with the Quant IT PicoGreen dsDNA Assay Kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, United States). High throughput sequencing was performed with the Illumina NovaSeq platform (Bioprofile Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China).

## 2.8 Bioinformatic analysis

Bioinformatics analysis was performed according to the procedure described by Koc et al. (25). Briefly, qiime cutadapt trim paired was used to cut the primer fragment of the sequence, and the sequence without matching primer discarded. Then DADA2 through qiime dada2 denoise paired was used for quality control, noise elimination and splicing. After obtaining the amplified sub sequence variant (ASV) table, the chimeric sequence was identified and removed. The feature sequence of each ASV was annotated into species by Naive Bayes classifier pre trained in QIIME2 software, through Silva database and classification skylearn algorithm. Alpha diversity and beta diversity were analyzed with QIIME2, and LEfSe analysis were performed with R software.

## 2.9 Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. Data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA using IBM SPSS 20.0. Significance was evaluated at \*p<0.05 or \*\*p<0.01. Images were processed and generated using Origin 2023 software or R software.

## **3** Results

# $3.1 \text{ CO}_2$ decreased the total bacterial count in raw milk

As shown in Figure 1, the TBC in all groups increased with refrigeration time, but the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated groups showed significantly lower levels than the untreated group (0 ppm), especially after 3 d (Figure 1A). The untreated group spoiled at day 6, with a TBC of 6.52 lg (cfu/mL). Meanwhile, the 500 and 1,000 ppm groups were 5.28 and 5.04 lg (cfu/mL), respectively, while the 2000 ppm group only had 4.04 lg (cfu/mL), which was close to the untreated group [4.00 lg (cfu/mL)] at day 3. With the increase of refrigeration time, the 500, 1,000 and 2,000 ppm treated groups spoiled at day 8, 10, and 16, with TBC of 6.46, 6.35, and 6.24 lg (cfu/mL), respectively. The result showed that dissolving CO<sub>2</sub> in raw milk inhibited the growth of TBC, and prolonged the cold storage period of raw milk with increasing

concentrations of  $CO_2$ . Moreover,  $CO_2$  exhibited a good inhibitory effect on psychrophilic bacteria and *Escherichia coli* (Figures 1B,C). Interestingly, LAB grew faster at high concentrations of  $CO_2$  (Figure 1D).

# $3.2 \text{ CO}_2$ affected microbial community diversity of raw milk

A total of approximately 10,489,975 raw reads were obtained from 126 samples. After the filtering process, 8,912,557 valid reads with an average length of 429bp were retained. By removing 2 ASVs corresponding to unclassified sequences, a total of 8,364 ASVs were obtained, including 1 domain (bacteria), 28 phyla, 87 classes, 173 orders, 315 families and 657 genera. As shown in Figure 2A, the Goods coverage were all close to 1 (>0.99), indicating that most microorganisms were observed in the samples and the sequencing results were accurate. Compared with the untreated group, all alpha diversity indices in CO2-treated group tended to decrease. The result showed that CO<sub>2</sub> reduced the diversity, richness and Pielou's evenness of samples significantly (p < 0.05, p < 0.01, and p < 0.001, respectively). During the cold storage (Figure 3A), for the untreated group, Chao1, Observed species, Shannon, Simpson and Pielou's evenness all reached the maximum values at day 4, and then decreased slowly at day 6. In contrast, as shown in Figure 3C, the Chao1, Observed species,



FIGURE 1

Inhibition of bacterial growth by CO<sub>2</sub> in raw milk stored at 4°C. (A) Total bacterial count. (B) Psychrophilic bacteria count. (C) Escherichia coli count. (D) Lactic acid bacteria count.



FIGURE 2

Effect of CO2 concentration on microbial community diversity of raw milk. (A) Alpha diversities. Kruskal-Wallis and dunn' test were used to verify the significance of the difference. (B) Beta Diversity. Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) using Bray-Curtis dissimilarity shows separation between groups. Eclipses indicate 95% confidence intervals around samples from each group. Significant differences were recorded by  $p < 0.05, \pm p < 0.01$ , and \*\*\*p < 0.001

Shannon and Simpson in the raw milk treated with 2,000 ppm CO<sub>2</sub> were significantly lower at day 2 (p < 0.01), and then gradually increased with the storage time. Chao1 and Observed species reached the maximum at day 10, and Shannon and Simpson reached the maximum at day 6, and then tended to decrease. Pielou's evenness increased from day 0 to day 6. These results showed that the diversity, richness and evenness of the microbial community in the raw milk were changed with the storage time, and CO<sub>2</sub> treatment delayed the arrival of the peak values.

In the raw milks treated with CO<sub>2</sub> at various doses, variations in the community composition were examined using principal coordinate analysis (PCoA). Using permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA), the community composition in raw milks treated with CO2 at various levels significantly distinguished the treated raw milk from the untreated raw milk (Figure 2B). In the untreated group and the 2,000 ppm group, there appeared to be a divergence in the community composition over the course of the cold storage (Figures 3B,D).

## 3.3 CO<sub>2</sub> changed the microbial community structure of raw milk

### 3.3.1 The effect of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration on the microbial community structure

As shown in Figure 4A, the most dominant phyla were Firmicutes and Proteobacteria (94.24-95.27%), followed by Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria in each group. As shown in Supplementary Table S1, compared to the untreated group, the relative abundance of Firmicutes in CO<sub>2</sub>-treated groups increased significantly (p < 0.01), and the relative abundance of Proteobacteria decreased significantly (p < 0.01) in a dose-dependent manner. The relative abundance of Bacteroidetes in CO<sub>2</sub>-treated groups except the 1,000 ppm group was significantly reduced (p < 0.05 or p < 0.01), and the relative abundance of Actinobacteria except the 500 ppm was significantly increased (p < 0.01) when compared to the untreated group.

The dominant bacterial communities at genus level were Leuconostoc, Stenotrophomonas, Lactococus, Acinetobacter, Lactobacillus, Pseudomonas, et al. (Figure 4B). A number of significant differences were observed (Supplementary Table S2). The Leuconostoc, Actinomyces and Lactococus in CO2-treated groups increased significantly (p < 0.01 or p < 0.05), while the Acinetobacter, Pseudomonas, Chryseobacterium and Enhydrobacter decreased significantly compared to the untreated group (p < 0.05 or p < 0.01).

## 3.3.2 The footprint analysis of microbial communities over time

The footprint of microbial communities succession in the untreated (0 ppm) and treated (2,000 ppm) raw milk during the whole refrigerated period was shown in Figure 5. It was found that there were 4 phylum with abundance greater than 1% in both groups (Figures 5A,C). Firmicutes and Proteobacteria were the most dominant phylum, followed by Bacteroides and Actinobacteria. The difference was that the abundance of Proteobacteria in the untreated sample gradually increased with the storage time, until it reached 70.18% when it was spoiled. However, the abundance of Firmicutes in the treated sample increased gradually with the storage time, and reached 83.70% until spoiled. The results indicated that there was a growth competition between the two phylum.

At genus level (Figures 5B,D), there were 8 genera with abundance greater than 1%. For the untreated sample, the initial dominant bacteria were Stenotrophomonas (37.13%), Lactococcus (27.10%), and



#### FIGURE 3

Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> treatment on microbial community diversity of raw milk. (**A**,**B**) Alpha diversities. Kruskal-Wallis and dunn' test was used to verify the significance of the difference. (**C**,**D**) Beta Diversity. Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) using Bray–Curtis dissimilarity showed separation between groups. Eclipses indicate 95% confidence intervals around samples from each group. A\_0d, sample of 0 ppm at 0 d, D\_0d, sample of 2,000 ppm at 2 d, and so on. Significant differences were recorded by \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, and \*\*\*p < 0.001.



Analysis of bacterial community profiling of raw milks treated with various concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>. (A) Bacterial communities at the phyla level (Top 20). (B) Bacterial communities at the genus level (Top 20).



*Lactobacillus* (13.09%), followed by *Acinetobacter* (8.20%) and *Chryseobacterium* (5.40%). The relative abundance of *Lactobacillus* decreased to 5.61%, while *Stenotrophomonas* (44.10%), *Lactococcus* (22.74%), and *Acinetobacter* (11.98%) became the dominant genera after 2 days. At day 4, *Leuconostoc* and *Pseudomonas* increased from 1.1 and 0.68% to 11.70 and 7.15%, respectively, compared with day 0. However, the dominant bacteria were still *Stenotrophomonas* (27.80%), *Acinetobacter* (23.29%), and *Lactococcus* (17.43%), and the flora distribution was relatively uniform. The abundance of *Acinetobacter*, *Leuconostoc* and *Pseudomonas* increased rapidly to 36.56, 23.29, and 18.55% respectively, which became the dominant bacteria at day 6, when the raw milk was spoiled. By comparison, the *Leuconostoc* replaced *Stenotrophomonas* and *Lactococcus* as the most dominant bacteria with the storage time in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated raw milk (Figure 6D).

# 3.3.3 The dynamic impact of CO<sub>2</sub> on microbial communities

In order to monitor the dynamic impact of  $CO_2$  treatment on raw milk during refrigeration, the  $CO_2$ -treated (2,000 ppm) sample was compared to the untreated (0 ppm) sample at day 2, 4 and 6, respectively (Supplementary Table S3). At day 2, the main genera of bacteria were *Stenotrophomonas*, *Lactococcus*, *Acinetobacter*, *Lactobacillus*, *Chryseobacterium*, and *Leuconostoc* in both groups. The relative abundances of *Lactobacillus* and *Lactococcus* were significantly higher in the  $CO_2$ -treated sample than that in the untreated sample (p < 0.01 or p < 0.05), while a lower relative abundance of *Acinetobacter* and *Leuconostoc* was observed in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group (p < 0.01). At day 4, the relative abundance of 7 genera in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group changed greatly. *Leuconostoc*, *Lactococcus* and *Lactobacillus* were significantly higher (p < 0.01), while *Acinetobacter*, *Pseudomonas*, *Enhydroactor*, and *Chryseobacterium* were significantly lower when compared with the untreated group (p < 0.01). At day 6, the relative abundance of 6 genera in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group changed greatly as compared with the untreated group. CO<sub>2</sub> treatment significantly lowered the relative abundance of *Acinetobacter* and *Pseudomonas* (decreased by 10.33-fold and 44.17-fold, respectively, p < 0.01), while the relative abundance of *Stenotrophomonas*, *Lactococcus*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Chryseobacterium* was significantly higher (increased by 12.48-fold, 5.86-fold, 17.64-fold, and 3.35-fold, respectively, p < 0.01).

During the subsequent cold storage period, the relative abundance of *Leuconostoc* continued to increase, while the relative abundance of *Stenotrophomonas*, *Lactococcus*, *Chryseobacterium*, and *Actinomyces* continued to decrease, meantime the relative abundance of *Lactobacillus* and *Pseudomonas* also decreased slowly. Finally, CO<sub>2</sub> picked different spoilage microorganisms in raw milk samples. Compared with the untreated group, the relative abundance of *Leuconostoc* in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group increased significantly to 67.12% (p<0.01, Supplementary Table S3), which became the most dominant spoilage bacterium. Meantime the relative abundance of



### FIGURE 6

Linear discriminant analysis effect size (LEfSe) analysis to detect the biomarkers between the untreated raw milk (0 ppm) and  $CO_2$ -treated raw milk (2,000 ppm). (A–E) Cladogram showing biomarkers of the significant and biological differences from the phylum level to the species level. (F–J) LDA scores of the biomarkers. A\_2d, sample of untreated at day 2; D\_2d, sample of  $CO_2$  treated at day 2, and so on.

*Lactococcus* and *Stenotrophomonas* increased significantly to 10.73 and 10.48%, becoming the second dominant spoilage bacteria. Although the relative abundance of *Lactobacillus* in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group was 3.67%, it was only 0.39% in the untreated group. The relative abundance of *Acinetobacter* and *Pseudomonas* which were dominant spoilage bacteria in the untreated group decreased rapidly to 2.06 and 0.33%, respectively, (p < 0.01) in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group.

# 3.4 Species difference footprint analysis and markers

To better understand the dominance of specific bacteria in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group and untreated group during cold storage, the untreated (0 ppm) and CO<sub>2</sub>-treated (2,000 ppm) group were analyzed by Lefse analysis (LDA score>4) (Figure 6). On the whole, Leuconostoc, Leuconostocaceae, Lactobacillales, Bacilli and Firmicutes significantly enriched in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group compared to the untreated group (Figures 6A,B). At day 2 (Figures 6C,D), there were notable distinguishing bacteria in various levels with Firmicutes, Bacilli, Lactobacillales, Streptococcaceae, Lactobacillaceae, and Lactobacillus in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group compared to the untreated group. At day 4 (Figures 6E,F), there were a total of 18 different bacteria at different levels, 9 of which were Firmicutes, Bacilli, Lactobacillales, Leuconostocaceae, Streptococcaceae, Lactobacillaceae, *Leuconostoc, Lactococcus* and *Lactobacillus* in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group. At day 6 (Figures 6G,H), the difference between the two groups became greater, with 23 notable distinguishing bacteria. Among them, Stenotrophomonas, Lactococcus and Lactobacillus became the biomarkers at genus level in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group.

It was found that CO<sub>2</sub> significantly changed the spoilage bacteria of raw milk (Figures 6I,J). At phylum level, Proteobacteria was significantly enriched in the untreated group, while Firmicutes was significantly enriched in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group. Meanwhile, *Acinetobacter* and *Pseudomonas* were the spoilage biomarkers in the untreated group and *Leuconostoc, Stenotrophomonas, Lactococcus*, and *Lactobacillus* were the spoilage biomarkers in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group at genus level.

# 3.5 Contents of protein, fat and lactose, and acidity of raw milk

When the refrigeration time increased in the two groups, the contents of protein, fat and lactose all gradually dropped (p < 0.05,

Table 2), and the acidity gradually increased (p < 0.05). Interestingly, in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated sample, the rate of protein and fat oxidation was slower. Additionally, starting on day 4, the protein content differed significantly (p < 0.01) from the untreated group. Similar to this, the rate of acidity increase was slower and highly significant from 6 days compared to the untreated group in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group. In the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group, lactose catabolism increased at a faster pace, and starting on day 4 (p < 0.01), the lactose content was significantly lower than that of the untreated group.

## 3.6 Correlation between major microorganisms and physicochemical properties

To investigate the influence of microorganisms on raw milk quality during refrigeration, Pearson correlation analysis was performed between the main microorganisms (relative abundance >0.01) and physicochemical properties of raw milk during refrigeration. For the untreated group, the abundance of Acinetobacter, Leuconostoc and Pseudomonas was negatively correlated with the contents of protein, fat and lactose, but positively correlated with acidity (Figure 7A). Pseudomonas spp. was reported to be the main proteolytic strain at 7°C and 20°C (26). The correlation between Enhydrobacter and milk quality was weaker. For the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group, as shown in Figure 7B, Leuconostoc mainly contributed to acidity; Stenotrophomonas, Lactococcus, Lactobacillus, Chryseobacterium, and Enhydrobacter had a strong correlation with the contents of protein, fat and lactose, followed by Acinetobacter.

## 3.7 CO<sub>2</sub> improved the flavor of raw milk

### 3.7.1 Volatile odors

As shown in Figure 8A, there were significant differences in the odor profile curves of raw milk between the control group and CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group at each critical time point. The response values of W3S, W1C, W3C, W6S, W5C, and W5S sensors in the control group/CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group were not significantly different, indicating that some volatile compounds (alkanes, aromatic compounds, ammonia compounds, hydrogen, olefins and nitrogen oxides) were not affected by CO<sub>2</sub> treatment or refrigeration time. The response values of W2W, W2S, W1W, and W1S sensors showed significant differences between samples, indicating that volatile compounds (aromatic compounds and organic sulfides, alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, hydrogen sulfide,

TABLE 2 Major physicochemical qualities in the control and CO<sub>2</sub>-treated raw milk.

	Protein (g/100 g)		Fat (g/100 g)		Lactose (g/100 g)		Acidity (°T)				
	0 ppm	2,000 ppm	0 ppm	2,000 ppm	0 ppm	2,000 ppm	0 ppm	2,000 ppm			
0 d	$3.63 \pm 0.061^{a}$	$3.63 \pm 0.061^{a}$	$3.98\pm0.066^{\rm a}$	$3.98 \pm 0.066^{a}$	$4.95 \pm 0.021^{a}$	$4.95 \pm 0.021^{a}$	$16.00 \pm 0.000^{\rm a}$	$16.00 \pm 0.000^{a}$			
2 d	$3.56 \pm 0.032^{a}$	$3.61\pm0.006^{\rm b}$	$3.81\pm0.057^{ab}$	$3.94 \pm 0.059^{a}$	$4.92 \pm 0.026^{a}$	$4.90\pm0.025^{\rm a}$	$16.5\pm0.000^{ab}$	$16.33\pm0.289^{ab}$			
4 d	$3.44 \pm 0.061^{b}$	$3.54 \pm 0.046^{\mathrm{bc} \ast \ast}$	$3.57\pm0.015^{\rm b}$	$3.91\pm0.02^{ab}$	$4.83\pm0.021^{\rm b}$	$4.7 \pm 0.067^{b**}$	$16.83\pm0.289^{\text{b}}$	$16.58 \pm 0.382^{\rm bc}$			
6 d	$3.22 \pm 0.012^{\circ}$	3.49±0.045°**	$3.50\pm0.023^{\rm b}$	$3.81\pm0.532^{ab}$	$4.78\pm0.036^{\rm b}$	$4.67 \pm 0.02^{b**}$	$18\pm0.000^{\circ}$	16.67±0.289 <sup>bc</sup> **			
16 d	1	$3.19 \pm 0.015^{\rm d}$	/	$3.49\pm0.04^{\rm b}$	/	$4.23\pm0.044^{\rm b}$	/	$18.67 \pm 0.289^{\rm d}$			

Different lowercase superscripts indicate significant differences between samples within the same treatment group (p < 0.05). \* indicates a significant difference (p < 0.05) and \*\* indicates a highly significant difference (p < 0.01) between CO<sub>2</sub> treated and control samples within the same treatment time groups.



methane and hydrocarbons) underwent significant changes with  $CO_2$  treatment or refrigeration time. Specifically, the contents of these compounds increased with the refrigeration time. In the  $CO_2$ -treated group, the change patterns of these volatiles were similar to that of the control group, but the overall content was significantly lower than that of the control group.

PCA was used to analyze the E-nose data. As shown in Figure 8B, the control and  $CO_2$ -treated samples were easily separated on both sides of the vertical axis. PC1 represented 80.7% of the total variance, while PC2 represented 11.3% of the total variance. The cumulative contribution rate of the two principal components was greater than 90%, which covered the vast majority of odor information in the samples. Obviously, W3C and W5C were associated with  $CO_2$ -treated samples, while W2W, W2S, W1W, W1S, W3S, and W6S were associated with the control samples.

#### 3.7.2 Taste properties

For the control group, there was no significant difference in the taste profile curves at each key time point, accompanied by a decrease in richness, saltiness and freshness, with an increase in acidity (Figure 8C). For the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated samples, the trend of each taste profile was similar to that of the control samples over time. The differences were that the umami, richness, bitterness, after taste-B and sweetness were significantly greater than those of the control group, while the sourness was significantly lower. It is noting that, according to the manual of the E-tongue instrument, the "C00 Bitterness Sensor" was used to evaluate the "milk taste" of milk, reflecting the richness of the milk flavor.

PCA was used to analyze the E-tongue data. As shown in Figure 8D, the control and  $CO_2$ -treated samples were easily separated on both sides of the vertical axis. PC1 represented 75.2% of the total variance, while PC2 represented 15.5% of the total variance. The cumulative contribution rate of the two principal components was greater than 90%, which covered the vast majority of taste information in the samples. Obviously,  $CO_2$ -treated samples were associated with umami, richness, sweetness, bitterness (milk flavor) and aftertaste-B, while the control samples were associated with astringency, aftertaste-A and sourness.

## 4 Discussion

The finding that CO<sub>2</sub> decreased microorganism development in raw milk in a concentration-dependent manner was in line with an earlier research (12). A similar finding was reported previously (27) in which raw chicken was maintained in a CO<sub>2</sub> modified package. According to Devlieghere et al. (28) and Mélanie et al. (29), the amount of dissolved  $CO_2$  in the food matrix had a direct correlation with the bacteriostatic effect of CO<sub>2</sub>. However, the antibacterial mechanism of dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> is still unclear. There were four primary hypotheses regarding bacterial inhibition (10): (1) The solubility of  $CO_2$  in lipids may disrupt bacterial membrane permeability. (2) Hydration reactions of  $CO_2$  may lead to a decrease in pH, causing both intracellular and environmental stress. (3) CO<sub>2</sub>, being a metabolite in numerous biochemical pathways, can result in wasteful expenditure of cellular energy. (4)  $CO_2$  has the potential to induce physiochemical alterations and regulate enzymes. Consequently, it is imperative to conduct further investigations into the specific mechanisms.

Analysis of alpha diversity showed that CO<sub>2</sub> treatment reduced Chao1, Observed species and Shannon and Simpson index (Figure 2A), suggesting that  $CO_2$  treatment could lower the richness and diversity of microbial communities. This may be caused by the inhibition of microorganisms by carbonation (10). For the untreated sample, the richness and diversity of microorganisms reached the maximum at day 4, and then decreased at day 6. This may be because during the early stages of refrigeration, the raw milk provides sufficient nutrients for microorganisms to reproduce in large quantities (1), with the increase of refrigeration time, the trophic cells of thermophilic bacteria were deactivated and their growth was inhibited, while psychrophilic bacteria survived by adapting to low-temperature environments (30). When CO<sub>2</sub> was added to the raw milk, the richness and diversity of microorganisms underwent complex changes (Figure 3B). Martin et al. (11) proposed a species-specific reaction to CO<sub>2</sub> in raw milk. The impact of CO<sub>2</sub> on microorganisms varies in different growth stages, including lag, log and stationary phases (10, 11). Therefore, it is speculated that the rapid change in the milk environment at the



initial stage of CO<sub>2</sub> addition may cause some microorganisms to become dormant because they could not adapt to the new environment, so the microbial richness and diversity decreased sharply on day 2. With the gradual adaptation of microorganisms to the milk environment, the microbial growth entered the log phase, so their richness and diversity tended to increase. With the increase of refrigeration time, some microorganisms entered a resting or even declining period, and the selective effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on microorganisms (such as LAB) gradually increased, leading to a convergence of microorganisms in the dairy environment, and a decrease in diversity and richness. The β-diversity analysis showed that the samples treated with different concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> were significantly separated from each other, indicating that CO<sub>2</sub> treatment can change the direction of microbial succession in raw milk. It is noteworthy that the samples in the control group were significantly separated at the critical time points, whereas the samples in the treated group were only significantly separated between day 2 and day 4, and the other samples at adjacent critical time points overlapped in the direction of succession, indicating that the addition of CO<sub>2</sub> may make the microbes to change their succession more slowly between the groups, which was related to the selective inhibitory effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on the microbes.

CO<sub>2</sub> treatment led to a significant increase in the relative abundance of Firmicutes in raw milk, which is not surprising as this phylum is a large group of Gram-positive bacteria, mainly dominated by LAB (31, 32), including Leuconostoc, Lactococcus and Lactobacillus. It was observed that they can be selected by CO<sub>2</sub> in raw milk, of which Leuconostoc was the most significant, followed by Lactococcus. This result was consistent with a previous study (14), showing that the selective effect of CO2 on microorganisms was related to the initial microbial community structure of raw milk. It's known that LAB are suitable for growing under acidic conditions (33), CO<sub>2</sub> was highly soluble in the liquid phase and caused a reduction in pH (7), which had a promoting effect on them. Moreover, a large number of Gramnegative bacteria in the sample were inhibited by  $CO_2$  (12), which weakened the inter-bacterial competition of LAB, thus providing better living conditions for LAB and promoting their growth and reproduction. Besides, a recent study reported that Lactobacillus and Leuconostoc could ferment sugars into acids and produce antibacterial substances that inhibit the growth of competing bacteria (Acinetobacter and Pseudomonas) (34). Another study also showed that LAB had a high tolerance to CO2 and can be selected from meat products packaged with CO<sub>2</sub> modified atmosphere (35). Not surprisingly, the results of species difference footprint analysis showed that almost all biomarkers enriched in the CO2-treated milk were Firmicutes and LAB, which once again confirmed the selective effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on Firmicutes and LAB. LAB, including Streptococcus, Leuconostoc, Lactococcus, and Lactobacillus, have been used in vegetables, meat and dairy products since the last century (36) and were widely recognized as safe (9). It is worth mentioning that the

proportion of *Streptococcus* and *Staphylococcus*, which belong to Firmicutes, had an extremely small proportion in raw milk (< 0.13%), and the addition of CO<sub>2</sub> resulted in a lower proportion of *Streptococcus* (<0.09%), while the proportion of *Staphylococcus* slightly increased (<0.3%). Actinobacteria is also a kind of Gram-positive bacteria, with *Actinomyces* being one of the main genera. It was found that CO<sub>2</sub> significantly increased the proportion of Actinobacteria and *Actinomyces* in raw milk in our study. To our knowledge, few studies have reported the selective effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on *Actinomyces*.

Proteobacteria is the largest phylum of bacteria, belonging to Gram-negative bacteria, including Acinetobacter, Pseudomonas, Stenotrophomonas, and Enhydrobacter. The effect of CO2 on Proteobacteria was completely opposite to Firmicutes (Figure 4A). This may be attributed to their competition and interaction (37), with Proteobacteria having poor adaptability to CO2. Bacteroidetes, a Gram-negative bacterium of which Chryseobacterium is the main genus, also showed poor adaptation to CO2 in this study. The results of microbial succession footprint analysis indicated that the levels of Acinetobacter and Pseudomonas in CO2-treated milk were lower compared to the untreated group on any day until the milk spoiled. The proportion of Enhydrobacter or Chryseobacterium was significantly reduced (p < 0.01) in the carbonated raw milk compared to the untreated milk during the first 4 days, but they were spoiled at roughly similar proportions (Supplementary Table S2). Acinetobacter, Pseudomonas, and Chryseobacterium are common psychrophilic spoilage bacteria in raw milk, which can produce heat-resistant hydrolytic enzymes that disrupt the sensory quality of milk and dairy products (38-40). Pseudomonas was reported to be the dominant psychrophilic bacterium in spoilage raw milk, followed by Acinetobacter (39, 41). However, in our study, the relative abundance of Acinetobacter was consistently greater than Pseudomonas, until the sample spoilage on day 6, and the relative abundance of Acinetobacter was twice that of Pseudomonas. This may be attributed to the lower initial relative abundance of Pseudomonas in raw milk, which is closely related to the milking environment, animal health, and hygiene conditions for handling (42, 43). Interestingly, it was observed that the growth rate of Pseudomonas was much higher than that of Acinetobacter (Figure 5B), confirming that Pseudomonas has the fastest reproduction rate among psychrophilic bacteria in raw milk (39). When lower concentrations of  $CO_2$  were added to the raw milk, the inhibitory effect on Pseudomonas was not significant (Figure 4B). When the concentration of  $CO_2$  exceeded 1,000 ppm, the relative abundance of Pseudomonas significantly decreased and remained at a low level (less than 0.6%), showing a downward trend during the refrigeration period. These results were consistent with many previous reports (11, 14, 15). This may be because the aerobic properties of Pseudomonas make it unable to survive in low oxygen environments (44). Pseudomonas is widely recognized for its detrimental effects, the presence of its heat-resistant hydrolytic enzymes can lead to undesirable outcomes, such as sedimentation, condensation, bitterness and stratification in dairy products, thereby reducing their shelf life and resulting in significant economic repercussions (45). Moreover, the formation of a biofilm may augment the stability of these heatresistant hydrolases, exacerbating the economic losses (3). Additionally, the high prevalence of antibiotic resistance genes in Pseudomonas may increase the risk of transmission to humans, thereby posing a significant public health concern (46). Acinetobacter was very sensitive to CO<sub>2</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> at 500 ppm significantly inhibited its growth (Supplementary Table S2). Through footprint analysis of the sample treated with 2,000 ppm CO<sub>2</sub>, it was observed that, similar to Pseudomonas, the relative abundance of Acinetobacter also tended to decrease and remained at a relatively low level. Compared with the untreated spoilage bacteria, the relative abundance of Acinetobacter was 10 times lower at day 6 and 18 times lower at day 16, respectively. A previous study also reported the inhibitory effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on Acinetobacter in raw milk (15). Despite Acinetobacter's inferior protein hydrolysis activity compared to Pseudomonas, it exhibited a more robust fat hydrolysis activity, thereby expediting the liberation of free fatty acids, contributing to the emergence of lipid soluble flavor defects in milk and dairy products, including sour rot, pungent or soapy taste (47). Meanwhile, it may potentially diminish the foaming characteristics of milk. Therefore, reducing the proliferation of psychrophilic bacteria, such as Pseudomonas and Acinetobacter, during the refrigeration of raw milk by dissolving CO<sub>2</sub> is of great significance for saving milk resources and improving the quality of dairy products.

Many studies have found that  $CO_2$  had a selective effect on *Serratia* (14, 21, 48), but this genus was not found in our study. This may be due to the absence of *Serratia* in the initial microbial communities of the raw milk, indicating that  $CO_2$  may have a more significant selective or inhibitory effect on existing bacterial genera. Therefore, the initial microbial community of raw milk is crucial for bacterial succession (14).

According to Loss and Hotchkiss (10), CO<sub>2</sub> treatment of raw milk can raise the quality of dairy products. This investigation presented the effects of CO<sub>2</sub> on the protein, fat, lactose, acidity and flavor of raw milk. Protein and lipid hydrolysis was found to be decreased by CO<sub>2</sub> (Table 1). In raw milk, Ma et al. (13) discovered that a drop in pH lowered the activity of endogenous proteases, such as plasmin, but did not affect the activity of endogenous lipases. It was hypothesized that CO<sub>2</sub> decreased protein hydrolysis by decreasing the activity of natural proteases in raw milk and decreasing the synthesis of proteolytic enzymes by microbes. However, limiting lipid hydrolase synthesis by microbes is the sole way to reduce fat hydrolysis (13). This was consistent with the significant effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on protein over fat in our study. The reduction of lactose content by CO<sub>2</sub> may be related to the selective effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on LAB, which could decompose lactose into glucose and galactose (49). As the refrigeration time increases, the relative abundance of LAB under the action of CO2 increased, resulting in a stronger decomposition effect on lactose. Correlation analysis also found a strong negative correlation between lactose and Leuconostoc (Figure 7B). CO2 can be easily removed prior to processing by simple vacuum or agitation and gentle heating (10), which further enhances its suitability for use in raw milk. In order to avoid a direct decrease in acidity caused by the addition of  $CO_{2}$ we degassed it before measuring acidity. Although a large number of LAB were selected by CO<sub>2</sub>, the total number of bacteria was decreased, and the degassing treatment also removed natural CO2 in the raw milk. Besides, there was no difference in the organic acid content of milk, with the exception of lactic acid (8), so that the acidity increased more slowly over time in the CO<sub>2</sub>-treated group (Table 1). This was consistent with a previous study (8).

Flavor is the most crucial indicator for evaluating food quality, and milk is no exception. Therefore, we conducted volatiles and taste properties analysis in the control and CO<sub>2</sub>-treated (2,000 ppm) samples through E-nose and E-tongue, respectively. The results

showed that the dissolution of CO2 significantly reduced the contents of volatile substances, such as aromatic compounds and organic sulfides, alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, hydrogen sulfides, methane and hydrocarbons (Figure 8A), increased the umami, richness, bitterness (milk flavor) and sweetness, meanwhile reduced the sourness of raw milk (Figure 8C). It was reported that fresh raw milk had fewer volatile compounds and that the type and amount increased with refrigeration time (24). This is consistent with the results of this study. Volatiles in raw milk are related to the decomposition of its own components and the metabolic activity of spoilage bacteria. For example, Pseudomonas, Acinetobacter, Serratia and others can produce proteases and lipases, leading to the production of sulfides and other odors in milk. Meanwhile, protein and fat in raw milk were decomposed under the action of endogenous enzymes and bacterial metabolic enzymes to produce aldehydes, ketones, alcohols and sulfides (50). Following the application of CO<sub>2</sub> treatment, a notable decrease in the TBC in raw milk was observed (Figure 1A), particularly in the levels of Pseudomonas and Acinetobacter (Figure 4B). Consequently, this phenomenon resulted in a notable decline in the synthesis of proteases and lipases by spoilage bacteria, as well as a reduction in the resultant byproducts generated through the degradation of proteins and fats (Table 1). Collectively, these factors contribute to impeding the escalation of volatile content. The umami in food is imparted by glutamic acid and has been confirmed in breast milk (51). Glutamic acid was the most abundant free amino acid in raw milk, and its content attended to decrease with refrigeration time (52). This may explain the decreasing trend of umami over time in this study. A previous study showed that LAB can synthesize glutamic acid, and glucose can improve its production capacity (53). The biomarker in CO2-treated raw milk was LAB (including Lactococcus, Lactobacillus, and Leuconostoc), which can promote the breakdown of lactose to produce glucose and galactose. This has created a favorable condition for the increase in glutamic acid (i.e., umami) and sweetness in CO<sub>2</sub>treated samples. The higher the fat content in raw milk, the stronger the "milk flavor." And the increase in free fatty acids produced by fat hydrolysis corresponds to an increase in the likelihood of raw milk rancidity. CO2 treatment can increase the fat content in raw milk and reduce the free fatty acids produced by fat hydrolysis (Table 2). These may contribute to the "milk flavor" of CO2-treated samples and the sourness of control samples.

# **5** Conclusion

Our results demonstrated that  $CO_2$  can extend the cold storage time of raw milk in a dose-dependent manner. Gram-positive bacteria were selectively affected by  $CO_2$ , while Gram-negative bacteria were inhibited. The examination of the microbial community succession's footprint showed that  $CO_2$  changed the richness and structure of the microbial community throughout the entire process of cold storage, eventually replacing the main genus of spoilage bacteria from *Acinetobacter* and *Pseudomonas* with LAB. Additionally,  $CO_2$ decreased the rate of acidity increase, protein and fat breakdown, while somewhat accelerating the lactose breakdown. The flavor analysis showed that  $CO_2$  can reduce the production of volatile substances, increase the umami, richness, milk flavor, sweetness, and reduce the sourness of raw milk. The study provides new theoretical insights into the application of  $CO_2$  in raw milk.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are publicly available. This data can be found here: NCBI database with accession number PRJNA1016304 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/ PRJNA1016304).

## Author contributions

AZ: Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing – original draft. JL: Formal analysis, Methodology, Writing – review & editing. MW: Investigation, Writing – original draft. NB: Writing – review & editing. DL: Project administration, Supervision, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. CW: Financial acquisition, Software, Validation, Writing – review & editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by Funds for Independent Innovation in Agricultural Science and Technology in Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region (Demonstration of Science and Technology Innovation for High-QualityAgricultural Development and Ecological Protection, NGSB-2021-6-05), and the Key research and development projects in Ningxia province (2021BEB04049, 2021BEF02013).

# Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Shanghai Bioprofile Technology Company Ltd. for the technical support in 16S rRNA.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## Supplementary material

The Supplementary material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnut.2023.1285653/ full#supplementary-material

# References

1. Ouamba AJK, Mérilie G, Gisèle L, Chouinard PY, Roy D. Graduate student literature review: farm management practices: potential microbial sources that determine the microbiota of raw bovine milk. *J Dairy Sci.* (2022) 105:7276–87. doi: 10.3168/jds.2021-21758

2. Culbasan V, Gungor C, Gundog DA, Koskeroglu K, Onmaz NE. Molecular surveillance of toxoplasma gondii in raw milk and artisan cheese of sheep, goat, cow and water buffalo origin. *Int J Dairy Technol.* (2022) 76:948–54. doi: 10.1111/1471-0307.12988

3. Li H, Zhang Y, Yuan X, Liu S, Fan L, Zheng X, et al. Microbial biodiversity of raw milk collected from Yangzhou and the heterogeneous biofilm-forming ability of Pseudomonas. *Int J Dairy Technol.* (2023) 76:51–62. doi: 10.1111/1471-0307.12905

4. Nornberg MFBL, Friedrich RSC, Weiss RDN, Tondo EC, Brandelli A. Proteolytic activity among psychrotrophic bacteria isolated from refrigerated raw milk. *Int J Dairy Technol.* (2010) 63:41–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1471-0307.2009.00542.x

5. Zhang D, Palmer J, Teh KH, Flint S. Identification and selection of heat-stable protease and lipase-producing psychrotrophic bacteria from fresh and chilled raw milk during up to five days storage. *LWT-Food Sci Technol.* (2020) 134:110165. doi: 10.1016/j. lwt.2020.110165

6. Pandiselvam R, Mitharwal S, Rani P, Shanker MA, Kumar A, Aslam R, et al. The influence of non-thermal technologies on color pigments of food materials: an updated review. *Curr Res Food Sci.* (2023) 6:100529. doi: 10.1016/j.crfs.2023.100529

7. Esmaeilian S, Rotabakk BT, Lerfall J, Jakobsen AN, Abel N, Sivertsvik M, et al. The use of soluble gas stabilization technology on food – a review. *Trends Food Sci Technol.* (2021) 118:154–66. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2021.09.015

8. Ruas-Madiedo P, Bada-Gancedo JC, Fernandez-Garcia E, De Llano DG, de los Reyes Gavilan CG. Preservation of the microbiological and biochemical quality of raw milk by carbon dioxide addition. A pilot-scale study. *J Food Prot.* (1996) 59:502–8. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X-59.5.502

9. Wessels S, Axelsson L, Hansen EB, Vuyst LD, Laulund S, Lähteenmäki L, et al. The lactic acid bacteria, the food chain, and their regulation. *Trends Food Sci Technol.* (2004) 15:498–505. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2004.03.003

10. Loss CR, Hotchkiss JH. The use of dissolved carbon dioxide to extend the shelf-life of dairy products. In: G Smit, editor. *Dairy Process*. Cambridge: Woodhead Publishing. (2003):391–415.

11. Martin JD, Werner BG, Hotchkiss JH. Effects of carbon dioxide on bacterial growth parameters in milk as measured by conductivity. *J Dairy Sci.* (2003) 86:1932–40. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(03)73780-1

12. Hotchkiss JH, Werner BG, Lee EYC. Addition of carbon dioxide to dairy products to improve quality: a comprehensive review. *Compr Rev Food Sci Food Safety*. (2006) 5:158–68. doi: 10.1111/j.1541-4337.2006.00008.x

13. Ma Y, Barbano DM, Santos M. Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> addition to raw milk on proteolysis and lipolysis at 4 °C. J Dairy Sci. (2003) 86:1616–31. doi: 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(03)73747-3

14. Lo R, Turner MS, Weeks M, Bansal N. Culture-independent bacterial community profiling of carbon dioxide treated raw milk. *Int J Food Microbiol.* (2016) 233:81–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.06.015

15. Rasolofo EA, St-Gelais D, Lapointe G, Roy D. Molecular analysis of bacterial population structure and dynamics during cold storage of untreated and treated milk. *Int J Food Microbiol.* (2010) 138:108–18. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.01.008

16. Kosasih L, Bhandari B, Prakash S, Bhansal N, Gaiani C. Physical and functional properties of whole milk powders prepared from concentrate partially acidified with  $CO_2$  at two temperatures. *Int Dairy J*. (2016) 56:4–12. doi: 10.1016/j.idairyj.2015.12.009

17. Lee E. The effects of carbon dioxide on the physico-chemical and microbiological properties of skim milk and whey protein concentrates. Brisbane: The University of Queensland (2014).

18. Marella C, Salunke P, Biswas A, Kommineni A, Metzger L. Manufacture of modified milk protein concentrate utilizing injection of carbon dioxide. *J Dairy Sci.* (2015) 98:3577–89. doi: 10.3168/jds.2014-8946

19. Gueimonde M, Alonso L, Delgado T, Bada-Gancedo JC, Reyes-Gavila'n C. Quality of plain yoghurt made from refrigerated and CO<sub>2</sub>-treated milk. *Food Res Int.* (2003) 36:43–8. doi: 10.1016/S0963-9969(02)00106-0

20. To CMKerkaert B, Bossier S, Gaver DV, Paul V, Guinee TP. Effects of reducing milk pH to 6.2 by  $CO_2$  injection or by addition of lactic acid on the biochemical and functional properties of commercial low-moisture part-skim mozzarella. *Int Dairy J.* (2022) 129:105341. doi: 10.1016/j.idairyj.2022.105341

21. Lo R, Xue T, Weeks M, Turner MS, Bansal N. Inhibition of bacterial growth in sweet cheese whey by carbon dioxide as determined by culture-independent community profiling. *Int J Food Microbiol.* (2016) 217:20–8. doi: 10.1016/j. ijfoodmicro.2015.10.003

22. Zhu Z, Zhu X, Kong F, Guo W. A rapid method on identifying disqualified raw goat's milk based on total bacterial count by using dielectric spectra. *J Food Eng.* (2018) 239:40–51. doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2018.06.020

23. Wang L, Li X. Impact of carbon dioxide to raw milk on physical and stability. *China Dairy Ind.* (2007) 35:26–9.

24. Li H, Xi B, Yang X, Wang H, He X, Li W, et al. Evaluation of change in quality indices and volatile flavor components in raw milk during refrigerated storage. *LWT-Food Sci Technol.* (2022) 165:113674. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2022.113674

25. Koc F, Sugrue I, Murphy K, Renzetti S, Noort M, PaulRoss R, et al. The microbiome modulating potential of superheated steam (SHS) treatment of dietary fibres. *Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol.* (2022) 80:103082. doi: 10.1016/j.ifset.2022.103082

26. Ercolini D, Russo F, Ferrocino I, Villani F. Molecular identification of mesophilic and psychrotrophic bacteria from raw cow's milk. *Food Microbiol.* (2009) 26:228–31. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2008.09.005

27. Zhang X, Wang H, Li N, Li M, Xu X. High CO2-modified atmosphere packaging for extension of shelf-life of chilled yellow-feather broiler meat: a special breed in Asia. *LWT-Food Sci Technol.* (2015) 64:1123–9. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2015.07.039

28. Devlieghere F, Debevere J, Van Impe J. Concentration of carbon dioxide in the water-phase as a parameter to model the effect of a modified atmosphere on microorganisms. *Int J Food Microbiol.* (1998) 43:105–13. doi: 10.1016/S0168-1605(98)00101-9

29. Mélanie M, Valérie G, Sébastien G, Sébastien D, Thévenot J, Buche P. Compositionbased statistical model for predicting CO2 solubility in modified atmosphere packaging application. *J Food Eng.* (2023) 340:111283. doi: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2022.111283

30. Yuan L, Sadiq FA, Burmolle M, Wang N, He G. Insights into psychrotrophic bacteria in raw milk: a review. *J Food Prot.* (2019) 82:1148–59. doi: 10.4315/0362-028X. JFP-19-032

31. Flint HJ, Duncan SH, Scott KP, Louis P. Links between diet, gut microbiota composition and gut metabolism. *Proc Nutr Soc.* (2015) 74:13–22. doi: 10.1017/S0029665114001463

32. Tanaka M, Nakayama J. Development of the gut microbiota in infancy and its impact on health in later life. *Allergol Int.* (2017) 66:515–22. doi: 10.1016/j. alit.2017.07.010

33. Li L, Zhou L, Song G, Wang D, Xiao G, Zheng F, et al. High efficiency biosynthesis of gardenia blue and red pigment by lactic acid bacteria: a great potential for natural color pigments. *Food Chem*. (2023) 417:135868. doi: 10.1016/j. foodchem.2023.135868

34. Yu Y, Xu Y, Li L, Yu Y, Xu Z. Dynamic evolution of flavor substances and bacterial communities during fermentation of leaf mustard (*Brassica juncea* var. multiceps) and their correlation. *LWT-Food Sci Technol.* (2022) 167:113796. doi: 10.1016/j. lwt.2022.113796

35. Stoops J, Ruyters S, Busschaert P, Spaepen R, Verreth C, Claes J, et al. Bacterial community dynamics during cold storage of minced meat packaged under modified atmosphere and supplemented with different preservatives. *Food Microbiol.* (2015) 48:192–9. doi: 10.1016/j.fm.2014.12.012

36. Soni S, Dey G. Perspectives on global fermented foods. Br Food J. (2014) 116:1767-87. doi: 10.1108/BFJ-01-2014-0032

37. Chen Z, Geng Y, Wang M, Lv D, Huang S, Guan Y, et al. Relationship between microbial community and flavor profile during the fermentation of chopped red chili (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Food Biosci.* (2022) 50:102071. doi: 10.1016/j. fbio.2022.102071

38. Narvhus JA, Bkkelund ON, Tidemann EM, Stlie HM, Abrahamsen RK. Isolates of pseudomonas spp. from cold-stored raw milk show variation in proteolytic and lipolytic properties. *Int Dairy J.* (2021) 123:105049. doi: 10.1016/j.idairyj.2021. 105049

39. Yuan L, Sadiq FA, Liu T, Flint S, Chen J, Yang H, et al. Psychrotrophic bacterial populations in Chinese raw dairy milk. *LWT-Food Sci Technol.* (2017) 84:409–18. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2017.05.023

40. Xin L, Meng Z, Zhang L, Cui Y, Han X, Yi H. The diversity and proteolytic properties of psychrotrophic bacteria in raw cows' milk from North China. *Int Dairy J.* (2017) 66:34–41. doi: 10.1016/j.idairyj.2016.10.014

41. Li L, Renye JA, Feng L, Zeng Q, Tang Y, Huang L, et al. Characterization of the indigenous microflora in raw and pasteurized buffalo milk during storage at refrigeration temperature by high-throughput sequencing. *J Dairy Sci.* (2016) 99:7016–24. doi: 10.3168/jds.2016-11041

42. Burke N, O'Dwyer J, Southern M, Adley CC. An analysis of the microbial quality of water in a milk production plant. *LWT-Food Sci Technol.* (2017) 78:41–6. doi: 10.1016/j.lwt.2016.11.058

43. Mallet A, Guéguen M, Kauffmann F, Chesneau C, Sesboué A, Desmasures N. Quantitative and qualitative microbial analysis of raw milk reveals substantial diversity influenced by herd management practices. *Int Dairy J*. (2012) 27:13–21. doi: 10.1016/j. idairyj.2012.07.009

44. Yan Q, Guo M, Chen B, Zhang C, Li D, Xie J. Molecular characterization of spoilage microbiota in high  $CO_2$  refrigerated large yellow croaker (*Larimichthys crocea*) fillets using metagenomic and metabolomic approaches. *Food Biosci.* (2023) 56:103227. doi: 10.1016/j.fbio.2023.103227

45. Bellassi P, Rocchetti G, Morelli L, Senizza B, Lucini L, Cappa F. A milk foodomics investigation into the effect of *pseudomonas fluorescens* growth under cold chain conditions. *Foods*. (2021) 10:1173. doi: 10.3390/foods10061173

46. Li H, Aslanta Z, Ylmaz EE, Büyükaltay K, Kocaba HD. Whole-genome sequencing of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase (esbl)-producing enterobacteriaceae from retail raw milk. *Int J Dairy Technol.* (2022) 75:77–82. doi: 10.1111/1471-0307.12828

47. Saad NM, Amin WF, Mostafa SM. Detection of acinetobacter species in milk and some dairy products. *Assiut Vet Med J.* (2018) 64:34–40. doi: 10.21608/AVMJ.2018. 168683

48. Schuerger AC, Ulrich R, Berry BJ, Nicholson WL. Growth of Serratia liquefaciens under 7 mbar, 0 °C, and CO2-enriched anoxic atmospheres. Astrobiology. (2013) 13:115–31. doi: 10.1089/ast.2011.0811

49. Iskandar CF, Cailliez-Grimal C, Frédéric B, Revol-Junelles AM. Review of lactose and galactose metabolism in lactic acid Bacteria dedicated to expert genomic annotation. *Trends Food Sci Technol.* (2019) 88:121–32. doi: 10.1016/j.tifs.2019.03.020 50. Ziyaina M, Rasco B, Coffey T, Ünlü G, Sablani SS. Colorimetric detection of volatile organic compounds for shelf-life monitoring of milk. *Food Control.* (2019) 100:220–6. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.01.018

51. Schwartz C, Chabanet C, Laval C, Issanchou S, Nicklaus S. Breast-feeding duration: influence on taste acceptance over the first year of life. *Br J Nutr.* (2013) 109:1154–61. doi: 10.1017/S0007114512002668

52. Ren Z. The difference analysis of Pseudomonas spp. in milk deterioration and the development of Pseudomonas species-level detection technology. Wuxi: Jiangnan University (2022).

53. Zareian M, Ebrahimpour A, Abu Bakar F, Mohamed AKS, Forghani B, Ab-Kadir MSB, et al. A glutamic acid-producing lactic acid bacteria isolated from malaysian fermented foods. *Int J Mol Sci.* (2012) 13:5482–97. doi: 10.3390/ijms13055482