Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY José Pinela, Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Portugal

REVIEWED BY

Mihai Babotă, George Emil Palade University of Medicine, Pharmacy, Sciences and Technology of Târgu Mureş, Romania Mansour Sobeh, Mohammed VI Polytechnic University, Morocco

*CORRESPONDENCE Xiaofei Shang ⊠ shangxiaofei@caas.cn Jiyu Zhang ⊠ zhangjiyu@caas.cn

RECEIVED 19 February 2024 ACCEPTED 04 April 2024 PUBLISHED 17 April 2024

CITATION

Dai L, Miao X, Ma Y, Yang X, Li B, He J, Wang Y, Dong P, Zhang J and Shang X (2024) Changes in the nutrients, phytochemical profile and antioxidant activity of *Rheum officinale* Baill. leaf blades during different growth periods. *Front. Nutr.* 11:1387947. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2024.1387947

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Dai, Miao, Ma, Yang, Li, He, Wang, Dong, Zhang and Shang. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Changes in the nutrients, phytochemical profile and antioxidant activity of *Rheum officinale* Baill. leaf blades during different growth periods

Lixia Dai^{1,2}, Xiaolou Miao², Yudong Ma³, Xiaorong Yang², Bing Li², Jian He², Yu Wang⁴, Pengcheng Dong⁴, Jiyu Zhang^{1,2,4}* and Xiaofei Shang^{1,2,3,4}*

¹College of Veterinary Medicine, Gansu Agricultural University, Lanzhou, China, ²Key Laboratory of New Animal Drug Project, Key Laboratory of Veterinary Pharmaceutical Development of Ministry of Agriculture, Lanzhou Institute of Husbandry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Lanzhou, China, ³Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou, China, ⁴Gansu Herbage and Livestock Environment Observation and Research Station, Lanzhou Institute of Husbandry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Lanzhou, China

Rhubarb contains an abundance of compounds and nutrients that promote health through various activities; however, these activities are affected by the harvest season. In this paper, the changes in nutrients, phytochemical profiles and antioxidant activity of *Rheum officinale* leaf blades (LRO) during different growth periods were investigated. The results showed that LRO is a good source of protein, fiber, and minerals and contains abundant fatty acids; however, as the harvest time increased from March to July, the levels of protein and amino acid decreased, and the levels of other nutrients reached a maximum in May or June. LRO also contains flavonoids, terpenoids, and quinones. As the harvest time increased, the quinone content decreased, possibly due to the unstable chemical properties of quinones at high temperatures. The flavonoid contents reached a maximum in May or June. This study indicated that LRO is a source of nutrients and chemical components and can be used for functional food production. In addition, the nutrients and chemical components related to the antioxidant activity of LRO changed according to the harvest season.

KEYWORDS

Rheum officinale, chemical composition, nutrient value, harvest season, antioxidant activity

1 Introduction

The genus *Rheum* (Polygonaceae) is widely distributed and cultivated worldwide (1, 2). Among the approximately 50 species in this genus, the dried rhizomes and roots of *R. palmatum*, *R. tanguticum*, and *R. officinale*, which exhibit purgative, antibacterial and antifungal, anti-inflammatory, antitumor, and hepatoprotective activities (3, 4), were identified as official rhubarbs and are listed in the United States Pharmacopeia, Chinese Pharmacopeia, European Pharmacopeia, and Pharmacopeia in other Asian countries (5–7).

As an important herb in this genus, R. officinale is widely distributed in some Asian countries. In China, this species is cultivated in northwestern regions, such as Gansu, Qinghai, Inner Mongolia and Sichuan provinces (3). Approximately 5,500 tons of dried rhizomes and roots are produced annually (8), and these products are used in folk medicine to treat diarrhea, constipation, abdominal pain and jaundice. The fresh aerial parts, including stalks, stems and leaves, produce a sour taste and are eaten as vegetables by local people and animals in some regions; however, many raw materials are discarded. To further develop the production and use of the aerial parts as a functional food, we previously performed investigations and revealed that R. officinale stalks exhibit good safety; contain an abundance of essential amino acids, essential fatty acids, fiber, and minerals; and can be used as sources of nutrients in the food industry to regulate the body's immunity (3). Further study revealed that more nutrients and chemical components are present in the leaves than stalks, which contain abundant pectin that can be used as dietary fiber (9). However, the nutrients in R. officinale leaves have not been comprehensively investigated, and the phytochemical profile and antioxidant activity of these components have not been elucidated.

The nutrient content and phytochemical profile of plants are influenced by several factors, including different growth periods, soils, cultivation techniques, and climatic conditions (10). As the leaf blades are harvested in March, it is appropriate to investigate the effect of the harvest period on the content of biologically active components (11). In this paper, the potential nutrients found within the leaves during the different growth periods, including minerals, amino acids, and fatty acids, were identified. In addition, the phytochemical profile and antioxidant activities of *R. officinale* leaf blades (LRO), which were harvested during different growth periods from March to July, were evaluated. This paper lays the foundation for harvesting LRO in a suitable season for further application in the food industry.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Plant material

Leaf blades of *R. officinale* (LRO) were harvested during March– July from Kang County (33.00' S, 105.77' E) in the Longnan region of Gansu Province, China. The samples were identified by Prof. Chaoying Luo from the Lanzhou Institute of Husbandry and Pharmaceutical Sciences, CAAS (Lanzhou, China). Then, the fresh LRO was dried to constant weight in an oven at 60°C for further use, after which the moisture content was determined.

2.2 Reagents

Sodium acetate, sodium citrate buffer and ascorbic acid (Vc) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co (Shanghai, China). Methanol and acetonitrile (MS and HPLC grade) were purchased from Fisher Scientific (England). 2,2-Diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH), nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide disodium salt (NADH-2Na), ammonium acetate (NH₄AC), ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH), undecanoic acid, amino acid standards (19 L-amino acids, including Ala, Gly, Val, Leu, Ile, Phe, Met, Pro, Ser, Thr, Cys, Tyr, Asn, Gln, His, Lys, Arg, Asp., Glu), and minerals (including calcium (Ca), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), potassium (K), sodium (Na), magnesium (Mg), manganese (Mn), phosphorus (P), selenium (Se), and zinc (Zn)), and Folin–Ciocalteu reagent were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich (Shanghai, China). Hyperoside (98%), emodin (98%), rhein (98%), aloe-emodin (98%), myricitrin (98%), kaempferol (98%), isoorientin (98%), and gallic acid (98%) were purchased from Yuanye Co. (Shang, China) and Macklin Co. (Shang, China), respectively.

2.3 Nutrients

2.3.1 Proximate compositions

The crude lipid (CL), total protein (TP), total dietary fiber (DF), ash (AS), and digestible carbohydrate (DC) contents in LRO were analyzed according to a previously described method (10). The levels of crude lipids and dietary fibers were determined using a Soxtec 2050 Automatic Soxhlet Extraction Analyzer (FOSS, Denmark) and a TDF-100A enzymatic kit, respectively. The total protein level was measured by determining the nitrogen content using a Kjeltec 8,200 nitrogen analyzer (FOSS, Denmark), which was used to calculate the total protein content. Finally, the content of digestible carbohydrates was calculated using Formula (1). Three replicates were employed.

Digestible carbohydrate content =
$$100 - {\binom{\% CL + \% TP +}{\% DF + \% AS}} (1)$$

2.3.2 Mineral compositions

After LRO was digested and transferred into flasks, the compositions of minerals, including Ca, Cu, Fe, K, Na, Mg, Mn, P, Se, and Zn, were analyzed using inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometer (ICP-MS) (Agilent 7,900, United States). The contents of each mineral are presented as mg/100g fresh sample. Three replicates were used (12).

2.3.3 Amino acid compositions

After digestion with 6 M HCl (10 mL) in a sealed ampoule at 110°C for 24 h, the supernatant of the LRO solution was transferred to a bottle to dry at 60°C. Then, the sample was dissolved in 0.2 M sodium citrate buffer (pH 2.2), and the supernatant was extracted and analyzed using a Biochrom 30^+ Automatic Amino Acid Analyzer (Biochrom, England) with an ion-exchange column to measure the amino acid content. The amino acid content was calculated, and three replicates were employed (13, 14).

2.3.4 Fatty acid compositions

LRO was mixed with an undecanoic acid (internal standard) methanol solution (2.0 mL) and pyrogallol (100 mg) in a tube. After heating at 80°C for 1 h, the sample was mixed with 15% boron trifluoride in methanol (7 mL) to convert fatty acids to esters at 80°C for 1 h. Then, hexane and saturated sodium chloride solution (15 mL) were added. The hexane layer was extracted and determined by autosampler gas chromatography (GC) with a flame ionization detector for the derivatized fatty acids, which were separated using an Agilent HP-88 column (60 mm × 0.25 mm, 0.2 μ m). The temperatures were 270°C for the injector and 280°C for the detector. The oven

temperature conditions were set as follows: the temperature was set to 100°C for 13 min, increased to 180°C (10°C/min) and held for 6 min, increased to 200°C (1°C/min) and held for 20 min, and finally increased to 230°C (4°C/min) and held for 10.5 min. Helium was used as the carrier gas. The fatty acids were calculated using fatty acid conversion factors from their methyl esters (12).

2.4 Phytochemical profile

2.4.1 Total phenolic and flavonoid compounds

The content of total phenolic compounds (TPCs) in LRO harvested in the different seasons was determined using the Folin–Ciocalteu method, and the results are expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalent/100 g of fresh sample (mg GAE/100 g). The content of flavonoids (TF) in LRO was measured according to the aluminum chloride colorimetric method, and the result was expressed as mg of quecertin/100 g of fresh sample (mg QE/100 g) (3). The absorbance was measured at a wavelength of 760 nm to determine the TPC and 510 nm to measure the TF. Three replicates were employed.

2.4.2 Quantification of phytochemicals via UPLC

To investigate how the main compounds in LRO changed during the different growth periods, the contents of eight compounds, namely, hyperoside, emodin, rhein, aloe-emodin, myricitrin, kaempferol, and isoorientin, were determined using an Agilent Technologies HPLC apparatus (1,290 Infinity II, Agilent, United States). Before the test, LRO (3g) was added to methanol (50 mL) and then ultrasonicated for 30 min. After the mixture was filtered through a 0.22 µm filter, the supernatant was used as the final solution for quantitative analysis, which was based on the retention times and UV spectra of commercial compounds. The solvent system was composed of 0.1% formic acid solution (A) and acetonitrile (B). The following gradient elution method was applied: 0-10 min 95-82% A; 10-40 min 92-35% A; 40-53 min 35-10% A; and 53-55 min 90-95% A with a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min. The total run time and UV detector were set to 55 min and 280 nm, respectively. Symmetry (C₁₈, 4.6 mm × 150 mm, 5 μm, Waters, Ireland) was maintained at ambient temperature (30.0°C).

2.5 In vitro antioxidant activity

The *in vitro* antioxidant activity of LRO was investigated according to a previously described method (3). For the DPPH radical scavenging activity assay, LRO (50–1,000 µg/mL) was added to 96-well plates with a methanol solution of DPPH (100 µL, 0.2 mM) and then incubated at 37°C for 30 min in the dark. The absorbance at 517 nm was measured using a Multiskan Go Microplate Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, United States). To evaluate the superoxide radical scavenging activity of LRO, 100 µL of NADH-2Na (557 µM), 50 µL of PMS (45 µM) and 50 µL of NBT (108 µM) were mixed with LRO and then incubated at 25°C for 5 min. The absorbance was measured at 510 nm. To determine the reducing powder, LRO (100 µL) was added to a tube with sodium phosphate buffer (250 µL, pH 6.6) and 1% potassium ferrocyanide (250 µL), and then the solutions were incubated for 20 min at 50°C. After a 10% TCA solution (250 µL) was added, the sample was centrifuged at 4,000 rpm for 10 min, and the

supernatants (50 $\mu L)$ were removed and placed into a 96-well plate with an equal volume of distilled water and ferric chloride. The absorbance was measured at 700 nm.

In the above assays, distilled water and ascorbic acid (Vc) were used as negative and positive controls, respectively, for comparison. Then, to evaluate the antioxidant activity of the samples, the median scavenging concentrations (SC₅₀, the concentration of samples necessary to scavenge 50% of DPPH) were calculated using GraphPad Prism 8.0.2. Three replicates were employed.

2.6 Cellular antioxidant activity

In this test, after incubating the primary mouse macrophage RAW 264.7 cells (5×10⁴ cells/well) in medium prepared with 10% FBS and 90% DMEM under a humidified incubator of 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 24 h, LRO (0.5–4 mg/mL, 10 μ L) was added and incubated again for 24 h. Then, 10 μ L of cell counting kit (ZETA Life, U.S.A.) was added and co-cultured for 30 min, the absorbance was measured at 450 nm. DMSO (0.1%) was used as a control.

For determining the cellular antioxidant activity, when the supernatants of RAW 264.7 cells (2×10^5 cells/well) were discarded after incubating for 24 h, 2 mL of LRO ($400 \,\mu$ g/mL) was added and cultured for 1 h. Dexamethasone (Dex, $10 \,\mu$ g/mL) was used as the positive control. H₂O₂ (0.5 mM) was added to stimulate oxidant stress for 24 h. Finally, the activity of SOD (superoxide dismutase) in cells was detected using the assay kits (Solarbio, China) (3).

2.7 Statistical analysis

In this study, the results are expressed as the mean \pm standard deviation. The contents of each mineral, amino acid, fatty acid, and compound in each sample were expressed as mg/100 g fresh weight, which were calculated according to the loss of mass during the drying process. The significant differences between two groups were analyzed using unpaired t tests. The data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test for three or more groups using GraphPad Prism 8.0.2.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Proximate compositions

In this study, we first investigated the relationships between the proximate composition and harvest season of *R. officinale* leaf blades (LRO) and compared differences in macronutrients among various harvest stages. From Figure 1A, we can see that LRO contains a high moisture content (82 to 93%) during the harvest season; however, there is no significant difference from March to July (p > 0.05). Although the contents of macronutrients dynamically changed during the different growth periods, the most abundant component remained carbohydrates, followed by proteins, ash, fiber and lipids. Specifically, during the growth of this species from March to July, the protein content decreased significantly, and a significant difference in the protein content occurred between March and July (p < 0.05). The lipid content remained constant (p > 0.05); however, other



components increased gradually (Figure 1B). The contents of ash, fiber and carbohydrates in May, June and July were greater than those in March and April (p > 0.05). As a result, fresh LRO harvested in May, which contains a relatively high fiber content, is widely used as a feed additive for humans and animals to improve digestion in some regions (15).

harvest seasons. There was a significant difference in the Na content between March and May (p < 0.05).

The above results indicated that LRO could be employed as a good food supplement or feed additive to improve health and other metabolic processes, as LRO contains high levels of K and Ca but low levels of Na. Moreover, some trace minerals were detected, such as Cu, Zn, Fe and Mn, which play important roles in improving body functions. However, Se was not detected in LRO.

3.2 Mineral compositions

Vegetables are a significant source of minerals needed by humans, and the daily intake of K, Ca and Mg provides important cardiovascular and bone benefits (13, 14, 16). As shown in Figure 1C, the total content of minerals in the LRO changed dynamically during the different growth periods. The total content reached 1233.62 mg/100 g in July, followed by May and June. The ash content in LRO, which contains an abundance of inorganic salt and minerals, tended to change. Among these minerals, the content of K was the highest, with 723.17 mg/100 g fresh LRO in July.

According to the New Zealand Nutrition Database, the standard unit for a cup size of rhubarb is 265 g, and the total contents of Ca are 0.80 g/serving and 1.08 g/serving, which are lower than those in trim milk (1.67 g/serving) and standard milk (1.65 g/serving), respectively. The results showed that LRO was rich in Ca, as fresh LRO contained 405.74 mg/100 g Ca in July and 298.10 mg/100 g Ca in May (Figure 1D). Considering that the fresh samples have high moisture levels, the dry LRO contains abundant levels of *Ca*. In addition, Mg and P were detected; Ca, K, Mg, etc., may be antioxidant minerals and can be used to prevent oxidative stress. The contents of K, Ca and Mg in May, June and July were greater than those in March and April (p > 0.05) (Figure 1E). Due to potential health problems, a reduced intake of Na (less than 2 g/day in adults) has been recommended by the WHO (17). The Na content in LRO was low (20.29–39.14 mg/100 g) during the different

3.3 Amino acid compositions

Good proteins are necessary to maintain balance in the diet and provide the nutrients needed by the body. In our test, 17 amino acids were detected in fresh LRO. The results showed that the contents of amino acids decreased significantly from March to July, similar to the change in proteins. The total contents of amino acids determined varied in the following order: March (4158.17 mg/100 g) > April (3729.13 mg/100 g) > June (3453.45 mg/100 g) > May (2809.59 mg/100 g) > July (2261.55 mg/100 g) (Table 1). There were significant differences among the months (p < 0.05).

Among these amino acids, Glu and Gly were most abundant; Glu and Gly play important roles in the physiological activity of the body and can improve the flavor of LRO. In addition, eight essential amino acids that cannot be synthesized by the body were detected in fresh LRO in March at 2174.35 mg/100 g.

In addition, the content of essential amino acids was greatest in March, followed by April (1758.14 mg/100 g), June (1645.16 mg/100 g), May (1335.18 mg/100 g) and July (1129.92 mg/100 g). Moreover, LRO contains hydrophobic amino acids and sulfur-containing amino acids (Table 1), which were reported to exhibit antioxidant activity (18). This result indicated that LRO is a high-quality protein and may be a useful food additive to protect the body by improving immune functions.

Amino acids	Mg/100 g fresh weight basis						
	March	April	May	June	July		
Gly	507.16 ± 10.10^{a}	$808.83 \pm 8.76^{\mathrm{b}}$	$614.04 \pm 5.98^{\circ}$	$855.39 \pm 4.65^{\mathrm{b}}$	503.33 ± 10.12^{a}		
Ala	232.57 ± 3.89^{a}	$170.28 \pm 3.42^{\mathrm{b}}$	119.60 ± 2.37 ^c	125.74 ± 6.54°	$50.46\pm5.32^{\rm d}$		
Val*	254.98 ± 10.12^{a}	$201.50 \pm 7.54^{\rm b}$	$148.16 \pm 2.14^{\rm b}$	$143.45\pm6.38^{\mathrm{b}}$	114.70 ± 7.82 ^c		
Leu*	365.661 ± 8.63^{a}	$246.91 \pm 8.98^{\mathrm{b}}$	180.29 ± 5.87°	178.87 ± 5.43°	114.70 ± 3.48^{d}		
Ile*	189.14 ± 2.76^{a}	$131.97 \pm 4.85^{\mathrm{b}}$	$105.32 \pm 4.32^{\rm bc}$	$93.86\pm2.76^{\rm d}$	70.19 ± 2.38^{de}		
Pro	126.09 ± 3.27^{a}	122.03 ± 4.13^{a}	$69.62 \pm 1.36^{\mathrm{b}}$	$58.44 \pm 2.54^{\rm b}$	32.53 ± 2.19°		
Ser	196.14 ± 3.48^{a}	180.21 ± 4.51^{a}	$123.17 \pm 4.67^{\rm b}$	$134.60 \pm 5.42^{\mathrm{b}}$	89.02 ± 3.21°		
Cys	39.23 ± 3.12^{a}	$61.02\pm2.64^{\rm b}$	$37.49 \pm 1.42^{\rm a}$	$70.84 \pm 1.8^{\rm 8c}$	$51.36\pm3.12^{\rm d}$		
Met*	$25.22\pm1.03^{\rm a}$	$11.35 \pm 1.21^{\rm b}$	$7.14\pm0.42^{\rm bc}$	5.31 ± 0.32°	$5.14\pm0.26^{\circ}$		
Thr*	186.33 ± 3.67^{a}	$146.16 \pm 4.66^{\mathrm{b}}$	103.53 ± 2.38°	111.47 ± 5.36^{bc}	85.6 ± 2.38^{d}		
Phe*	322.23 ± 6.54^{a}	$263.93\pm6.88^{\mathrm{b}}$	219.56 ± 8.34°	$255.02 \pm 7.10^{\mathrm{b}}$	$140.38\pm5.32^{\rm d}$		
Tyr	168.12 ± 4.81^{a}	$129.13\pm5.34^{\mathrm{b}}$	$87.47 \pm 3.43^{\circ}$	100.95 ± 4.23^{bc}	$63.34\pm2.31^{\rm d}$		
Asp	353.05 ± 3.26^{a}	$263.93 \pm 2.99^{\mathrm{b}}$	$182.07 \pm 5.66^{\circ}$	$216.06 \pm 6.32^{\circ}$	135.25 ± 4.23^{d}		
Glu	507.16 ± 4.12^{a}	$808.83\pm4.67^{\mathrm{b}}$	614.04 ± 3.89°	$855.39 \pm 4.44^{\mathrm{b}}$	503.33 ± 3.28^{a}		
Lys*	386.68 ± 5.77^{a}	397.32 ± 2.36^{a}	$315.95 \pm 2.13^{\mathrm{b}}$	540.16 ± 2.73°	393.76 ± 3.88^{a}		
Arg	141.50 ± 2.19^{ab}	$126.29\pm4.12^{\rm a}$	153.51 ± 4.82^{ab}	$175.33 \pm 2.25^{\rm b}$	140.38 ± 3.16^{ab}		
His*	404.89 ± 6.27^{a}	$297.99 \pm 7.99^{\mathrm{b}}$	217.77 ± 10.12°	246.17 ± 8.23^{bc}	$154.08\pm6.54^{\rm d}$		
Total	4158.17 ± 85.35^{a}	$3729.13 \pm 30.51^{\mathrm{b}}$	$2809.59 \pm 21.20^{\circ}$	$3453.45 \pm 36.14^{\rm d}$	2261.55 ± 33.25 ^e		
E-Total	2174.35 ± 70.21^{a}	1758.14 ± 25.23 ^b	1335.18 ± 15.21°	1645.16 ± 31.45^{d}	1129.92 ± 28.62 ^e		

TABLE 1 The contents of amino acids in LRO during the different growth periods.

A change in the letter represents a significant difference between the different groups, p < 0.05.

3.4 Fatty acid compositions

Fatty acids are fundamental structural components and participate in important metabolic pathways to resist biotic and abiotic stresses (13, 14). However, fatty acids, especially short-chain fatty acids (n < 6), are sensitive to temperature; under high temperatures, the double bonds in polyunsaturated fatty acids are more vulnerable to attack by free radicals (19). Compared with previous studies in which a sample of this species was dried under shade (3), the samples dried in an oven at 60°C contained significantly less fatty acids, and short-chain fatty acids (n < 10) were not detected. In addition, unlike the total protein content, the total fatty acid content (287.01 mg/100 g in fresh LRO in March) did not change significantly during the different growth periods (Table 2). Except for the significant change in fatty acid content between March and May (p < 0.05), there were no significant differences among months (p > 0.05).

Essential fatty acids are necessary for the human diet and food. In this experiment, 124.35 mg/100 g of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs) and 143.32 mg/100 g of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) were found in the fresh LRO in March. The contents of PUFAs in March and April were greater than those in May, June and July (p < 0.05). The most abundant fatty acid was C18:3, with contents of 20.11 mg/100 g and 143.32 mg/100 g; this fatty acid played important roles in maintaining the high quality of the food (13, 14). Eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid have cardiovascular protective effects and anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities; therefore, as LRO contains essential fatty acids, the leaves have nutrient qualities and could be used

as a functional food to prevent some chronic cardiovascular diseases.

3.5 Total phenolic and flavonoid compounds

Selecting the most bioactive ingredients for functional food production is very important, and phenolic compounds and flavonoids may be the active components of rhubarb (11). Hence, the TPC and TF values of fresh LRO were evaluated. The differences were significant during the different harvest periods (p < 0.05). For TPC (unlike proteins), the TPC of LRO increased gradually as growth progressed and reached a maximum in July (Figure 2A). The contents were 294.04 mg GAE/100 g in fresh LRO in March, 290.30 mg in April, 430.45 mg in May, 425.57 mg in June, and 497.11 mg in July. In addition, similar trends were observed for TF, in which the contents varied in the following order: June (1435.27 mg QE/100g) > May (1320.45 mg/100g) > July (1118.36 mg/100g) > March (985.63 mg/100g) > April (913.77 mg/100g) (Figure 2A) This finding is consistent with the carbohydrate content in LRO.

3.6 Quantification of phytochemicals using UPLC

The multiple health benefits of traditional plants are derived from their abundance of bioactive compounds and metabolites (20). To

Fatty acids	LRO (mg/100 g fresh weight basis)						
	March	April	May	June	July		
C10	2.56 ± 0.14^{a}	$0.96\pm0.10^{\mathrm{b}}$		1.77 ± 0.21 ^c	$1.63 \pm 0.06^{\circ}$		
C12	$3.36\pm0.34^{\text{ac}}$	3.70 ± 0.35^{a}	3.80 ± 0.52^{a}	$5.49\pm0.87^{\rm b}$	4.06 ± 1.00^{cd}		
C13	$2.79\pm0.54^{\rm a}$	$3.31\pm0.43^{\text{ac}}$	3.50 ± 0.35^{bc}	$4.78\pm0.34^{\rm d}$	$3.44\pm0.4^{\rm bc}$		
C14	$1.63 \pm 0.14^{\text{a}}$	$1.45\pm0.09^{\rm a}$	$3.03\pm0.19^{\text{b}}$	$2.73\pm0.12^{\rm b}$	$3.27\pm0.65^{\rm b}$		
C14:1	$0.92\pm0.06^{\rm a}$	$0.87\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	$1.50\pm0.43^{\rm b}$	1.30 ± 0.03^{ab}	1.21 ± 0.23^{ab}		
C15	$2.42\pm0.53^{\rm a}$	$3.20\pm0.12^{\rm b}$	$3.09\pm0.05^{\rm b}$	$4.32\pm0.06^{\circ}$	$3.15\pm0.12^{\rm b}$		
C15:1	$78.04\pm4.56^{\rm a}$	$62.58\pm3.21^{\mathrm{b}}$	$66.22\pm1.15^{\rm b}$	$66.94\pm2.01^{\rm b}$	$67.62\pm0.99^{\rm b}$		
C16	-	-	1.10 ± 0.01	-	-		
C16:1	$2.40\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	$0.69\pm0.05^{\rm b}$	2.11 ± 0.11^{a}	$1.06\pm0.07^{\rm bc}$	$1.20\pm0.02^{\rm bc}$		
C17	$2.55\pm0.21^{\text{a}}$	$2.74\pm0.42^{\rm a}$	$2.84\pm0.15^{\rm a}$	$3.98\pm0.45^{\rm b}$	3.08 ± 0.55^{ab}		
C17:1	$5.84\pm0.47^{\rm a}$	$7.75\pm0.65^{\rm b}$	$1.01\pm0.02^{\rm a}$	$10.38\pm0.76^{\rm d}$	$9.28 \pm 1.01^{\rm d}$		
C18	-	-	1.15 ± 0.03	-	-		
C18:1	33.01 ± 1.01^{a}	$12.63\pm1.34^{\rm b}$	$23.03\pm0.89^{\circ}$	33.38 ± 2.21^{a}	37.90 ± 3.21^{a}		
C18:2	-	$0.66\pm0.02^{\rm a}$	$1.35\pm0.32^{\rm b}$	-	$49.62 \pm 4.52^{\circ}$		
C18:3	143.32 ± 4.59^{a}	$146.58\pm3.98^{\text{a}}$	$94.61\pm2.56^{\mathrm{b}}$	116.18 ± 4.63^{bc}	$53.07\pm3.98^{\rm d}$		
C20	1.40 ± 0.21	-	-	-	-		
C20:1	3.11 ± 0.65	-	-	-	-		
C20:4	_	-	-	-	2.60 ± 0.54		
C21	$2.62\pm0.76^{\rm a}$	$3.89\pm0.34^{\rm b}$	$3.43\pm0.38^{\rm b}$	$3.83\pm0.32^{\rm b}$	$2.62\pm0.32^{\text{a}}$		
C21:1	$1.03\pm0.03^{\rm a}$	$2.40\pm0.05^{\rm b}$	$1.37\pm0.02^{\rm a}$	1.44 ± 0.21^{a}	$1.38\pm0.04^{\rm a}$		
C23	-	$2.16\pm0.01^{\text{a}}$	-	$3.21\pm0.03^{\rm b}$	-		
C24:1	_	-	-	-	1.17 ± 0.02		
Total	287.01 ± 17.79^{a}	255.55 ± 7.23^{abc}	$213.13 \pm 18.90^{\rm b}$	$260.80 \pm 22.03^{\circ}$	246.31 ± 11.93^{abc}		
SFA	$19.33\pm3.78^{\rm a}$	$21.39\pm2.98^{\rm a}$	$21.94\pm3.31^{\rm a}$	$30.11 \pm 4.23^{\text{b}}$	$21.25\pm3.24^{\text{a}}$		
MUFA	124.35 ± 6.5^{a}	$86.91\pm10.82^{\rm b}$	95.24 ± 14.05^{ab}	114.51 ± 10.15^{ab}	119.77 ± 13.01^{a}		
PUFA	143.32 ± 11.02^{a}	147.24 ± 11.79^{a}	$95.96 \pm 14.00^{\mathrm{b}}$	$116.18\pm6.51^{\mathrm{b}}$	105.30 ± 5.51^{b}		

A change in the letter represents a significant difference between the different groups, p < 0.05.

further demonstrate the above results, UPLC was used to determine the contents of eight chemicals in LRO, and the results revealed two kinds of compounds, quinones and flavonoids. The UPLC chromatograms are presented in Figure 2B, and the compounds were detected at different retention times (Rt). For isoorientin, the Rt was 25.004 min, followed by 33.059 min for rutin, 38.090 min for avicularin, 46.767 min for quercetin, 49.557 min for kaempferol, 53.827 min for aloe-emodin, 57.994 min for rhein and 59.274 min for emodin. These compounds were also detected by Xian (21).

Anthraquinones are important compounds from the genus *Rheum*. Chrysophanol, physcion, emodin, aloe-emodin, and citreorosein were identified from the aerial parts of *R. officinale* by Xian (21). As shown in Figures 2C–E, the contents of anthraquinones, including emodin, rhein, and aloe-emodin, reached a maximum in March, and the contents were 17.16, 15.05 and 2.80 mg/100 g, respectively, in fresh LRO. However, with increasing harvest time, the content of this compound rapidly decreased, with values of 2.35, 0.31 and 0.81 mg/100 g in July. This result is similar to those in a paper published by Yao and Liu (22) and Li et al. (23), who reported that the total content of anthraquinones was greater in *Polygonum cuspidatum*

and *P. multiflorum* plants harvested in spring than those harvested in autumn. Specifically, the contents of physcion-8-O- β -D-glucoside and physcion-8-O-(6'-methylmalonyl)-glucopyranoside decreased as the seasons progressed, while those of the other seven anthraquinones (including emodin-1-O-glucoside, emodin, emodin-6-O-glucoside, 1-methylemodin, emodin-8-O- β -D-glucoside, emodin-8-O-(6'-methylmalonyl)-glucopyranoside and physcion) increased in spring and summer but decreased sharply in autumn (23). The level of anthraquinones are unstable, especially at high temperatures. Anthraquinones in the LRO may be degraded as the temperature gradually increases from spring to autumn.

Subsequently, the contents of five flavonoids (Figures 2F–J), including rutin, avicularin, kaempferol, quercetin and isoorientin, in LRO were determined using UPLC. Avicularin and isoorientin were identified from this species for the first time. Among these compounds, the content of avicularin was the highest, followed by that of rutin and quercetin. In comparison, LRO contains less kaempferol and isoorientin. For the different harvest times, the content of avicularin in LRO reached a maximum in May (50.70 mg/100 g),



followed by June (40.36 mg/100 g); a similar trend was observed for rutin, and LRO harvested in May contained the highest amount of this compound (42.97 mg/100 g). However, the highest level of quercetin in LRO was found in March (25.72 mg/100 g), followed by May (21.60 mg/100 g). In addition, the levels of kaempferol and isoorientin reached a maximum in June, at 4.68 and 8.27 mg/100 g, respectively. Zhang et al. (24) reported that the flavonoid content of young red leaves in summer was 2-fold greater than that in winter, and the greatest flavonoid content in gingko leaves was found in summer (25). The flavonoid content in Berberis thunbergii was also high in summer, while the flavonoid content in B. thunbergii var. atropurpurea was high in winter (26). Studies have shown that the biosynthesis of plant flavonoids is often affected by environmental factors, such as ultraviolet radiation, and plants tend to synthesize more flavonoids in response to UV stress (27, 28). Considering that the intensity of UV radiation in Gansu Province collected by LRO gradually increased from March to July, the flavonoid content was greater in May and June (Figure 2K). Hence, the levels of flavonoids were strongly affected by harvest time and species.

3.7 In vitro antioxidant activity

A previous report showed that *R. officinale* stalks and stems exhibited antioxidant and anti-inflammatory activities (3). In this study, the antioxidant activity of fresh LRO at different harvest times was evaluated and found to be related to metabolite content changes. According to the DPPH test, the LRO harvested in June presented the greatest antioxidant activity, and the SC₅₀ value was 0.74 mg fresh

weight/mL; the LRO collected in April exhibited the worst activity, with an SC₅₀ value of 1.59 mg fresh weight/mL (Figure 3A). A similar trend was observed for antioxidant activity in the superoxide radical scavenging test. LRO harvested in June had the greatest activity, which increased in a dose-dependent manner, and the SC₅₀ value was 3.11 mg fresh weight/mL (Figure 3B).

In addition, the reducing power of LRO based on an electron transfer reaction was evaluated, and LRO harvested in June and July presented the greatest activity, with SC_{50} values of 10.44 and 10.35 mg fresh weight/mL, respectively. The concentration of the positive control Vc was 0.21 mg/mL (Figure 3C).

3.8 Cellular antioxidant activity

In cytotoxicity test, result showed that at the concentrations of 0.4–4 mg/mL, LRO is safe *in vitro*. Even at 4 mg/mL, the cell viabilities of LRO harvested at the different time were more than 70% (Figure 3D). SOD provide first-line cellular protection contributing to prevent cellular damage and maintain a balance between free radical production and oxidative stress after stimulated by H_2O_2 . Result showed after the treatment of LRO, the activity of SOD in RAW 264.7 cells was significantly activated compared with the model group in a dose-dependent manner (p < 0.01). at the concentrations of 400 µg/mL, LRO harvested in June presented the greatest activity with the SOD activity of 67.08 U/mg Prot (p < 0.001), next were May, April, July and March (Figure 3E). This result is similar to the result of previous study, and proved that LRO harvested in June had the greatest antioxidant activity.



3.9 Correlations between phytochemicals and antioxidant activity potentials

The above results showed that LRO exhibits radical scavenging activity in the DPPH assay, reducing power test and superoxide radical scavenging test; therefore, LRO could alleviate the cellular damage induced by superoxide radicals and help prevent aging and some degenerative diseases. Considering that the fresh LRO harvested in May and June contained the most flavonoids, there was a direct correlation between the flavonoid content of LRO and its antioxidant activity, and the antioxidant potential was greatly affected by harvest time (3, 29).

Spearman's analysis was used to determine the correlation between TFC, TPC, eight phytochemical contents, and the SC₅₀ values determined by DPPH, superoxide radical scavenging, and reducing power tests. As shown in Figure 3F, there was a strong negative correlation between TF and TPC and DPPH (r = -0.7; -0.7), ABTS (r = -0.7; -0.7), and FRAP values (r = -0.5; -0.8). These results showed that the TF and TPC in LRO, which were very abundant, presented strong antioxidant activity. A negative correlation with antioxidant activity was also observed among the five flavonoids except quercetin. These results showed that flavonoids were important contributors to the antioxidant activity of LRO. Our previous study also revealed that *R. officinale* leaves exhibit radical scavenging activity, and rutin, avicularin, kaempferol, quercetin and isoorientin may be active compounds (3). The antioxidant activity of these compounds has also been reported by many international groups (30–32). However, two quinones, emodin and rhein, are positively correlated with this activity, and this result showed that quinones are not the main compounds that generate the antioxidant activity of LRO. This conclusion is consistent with the previous test in which the high contents of quinones in LRO exhibited weak antioxidant activity in March.

4 Conclusion

The applications and biological activities of foods or plants and their components depend on their nutrients and chemical compounds, which are affected by the different harvest seasons. Reports have demonstrated that polyphenols in the edible stalks of rhubarb are affected by harvest date (33). As above described, the fresh aerial parts of R. officinale, especially the leaves contained the abundant of nutrients, are common eaten as vegetables by local people and animals in these regions. However, it is unclear which season is more suitable for harvesting the leaf blades of R. officinale (LRO), and the relationships among of the content of nutrients and chemicals, activity antioxidant and harvest season should he investigated comprehensively.

Considering that the leaves have the potential to be functional food or sources of nutrients in the food industry, we studied the changes in nutrients, phytochemical profiles and antioxidant activity of LRO during different growth periods, which will lay the foundation to choose more suitable harvest time. Result showed that the nutrient content of LRO is high and that the plants are rich in fiber and proteins and minerals (K, Ca, Mg.), essential amino acids and essential fatty acids. Moreover, LRO contains flavonoids, terpenoids, quinones and other secondary metabolites, which contribute to its antioxidant activity. However, the nutrients, phytochemical profile and antioxidant activity of LRO changed dynamically during the different growth periods. The protein content gradually decreased from March to July, which was confirmed by amino acid analysis. The ash and carbohydrate contents of LRO increased with increasing harvest time, and the contents reached a maximum in May, followed by July and June. This trend was also observed in the phytochemical analysis. The contents of total phenolic and flavonoid compounds were also the highest in May or June, which contributed to the antioxidant activity of LRO in vitro. However, with increasing harvest time, the anthraquinone content rapidly decreased, possibly due to the instability of the chemical properties at high temperatures. The above results showed that rhubarb leaves are a source of nutrients and chemical compounds (flavonoids, etc.) with antioxidant activity and can be used to produce functional foods. Therefore, the harvest date, which largely determines the property of LRO, should be considered when selecting the raw material for production.

However, some limitations of this study are still existed. Firstly, although the changes of the total phenolic and flavonoid compounds as active components collected from the different harvest time has been determined, more secondary metabolites appeared in UPLC chromatograms have not been explained except eight certain compounds. High-quality qualitative and quantitative metabolomics must be studied to identify more chemicals further. Secondly, while harvesting time is an important factor affecting the chemical composition, it is crucial to consider other parameters such as irrigation, weather, temperature and soil condition that could also play a significant role. Rocchetti et al. (34) proved that terroir, cultivar., seasonality, and farming systems were found to significantly shape the metabolomic profile of tomato fruits and, consequently, their antioxidant and enzyme inhibition capacities. The high temperatures will affect the stable of anthraquinones, and results in the decrease of this kind of compounds. Moreover, ultraviolet radiation will affect the biosynthesis of flavonoids, and more flavonoids would be produced in response to UV stress (27, 28). In this test, to avoid excessive external factors affecting the test results, we collected the samples in the same soil with the same weather and irrigation. However, the intrinic interaction between other additional factors and the chemical composition of rhubarb are still unrevealed and should be solved in the future.

References

1. Shang XF, Dai LX, He J, Yang XR, Wang Y, Li B, et al. High-value-added application of the stems of *Rheum palmatum* L. as a healthy food: the nutrition value, chemical composition, and anti-inflammatory and antioxidant activities. *Food Funct.* (2022) 13:4901–13. doi: 10.1039/D1FO04214A

In summary, this study indicated that the leaf blades of *R. officinale* are a good source of nutrients and chemical components and can be used for functional food production. The nutrients and chemical components related to the antioxidant activity of LRO changed according to the harvest season.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

LD: Writing – original draft. XM: Writing – original draft. YM: Writing – review & editing. XY: Writing – original draft. BL: Writing – original draft. JH: Writing – original draft. YW: Writing – original draft. PD: Writing – original draft. JZ: Writing – review & editing. XS: Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was financed by the Longyuan Youth Innovation and Entrepreneurship Talent Project (Gansu Province), the Innovation Project of the Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (No. CAAS-ASTIP-2015-LIHPS), and the China Agriculture Research System (CARS-37).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

^{2.} Shang XF, Zhao ZM, Li JC, Yang GZ, Liu YQ, Dai LX, et al. Insecticidal and antifungal activities of *Rheum palmatum* L. anthraquinones and structurally related compounds. *Ind Crop Prod.* (2019) 137:508–20. doi: 10.1016/j. indcrop.2019.05.055

3. Dai LX, Miao XL, Yang XR, Zuo LP, Lan ZH, Li B, et al. High value-added application of two renewable sources as healthy food: the nutrientsal properties, chemical compositions, antioxidant, and antiinflammatory activities of the stalks of *Rheum officinale* Baill. And *Rheum tanguticum* maxim. Ex regel. *Front Nutr.* (2022) 8:770264. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2021.770264

4. Gecibesler IH, Disli F, Bayindir S, Toprak M, Tufekci AR, Yaglioglu AS, et al. The isolation of secondary metabolites from *Rheum ribes* L. and the synthesis of new semi-synthetic anthraquinones: isolation, synthesis and biological activity. *Food Chem.* (2020) 342:128378. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.128378

5. Committee for the Pharmacopoeia Commission. *Pharmacopoeia of the People's Republic of China*. Beijing: China Medical Science and Technology Press (2010).

6. European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines and HealthCare (EDQMH). *Council of Europe. European pharmacopoeia. 4th* ed. Strasbourg: European Directorate for the Quality of Medicines and HealthCare (EDQMH) (2001). 1858 p.

7. The United States Pharmacopeia Convention. *The United States pharmacopoeia*. Baltimore: United Book Press Inc. (2010).

8. Zhang WG, Jia CY, Wang D, Zhang YH, Miao XL, Feng YP, et al. Resource value discovery and utilization of wastes in industrialization of Rhei Radix et Rhizoma. *Chin J Exp Trad Med Formul.* (2021) 27:214–22. doi: 10.13422/j.cnki.syfjx.20211317

9. Lan ZQ, Tang SW, Lu XM, Chen L, Li B, Gu YH. The pharmacognostic research of *Rheum officinale* leaves. *Lishizhen Med Mater Med Res.* (2005) 16:1128–9.

10. Lee SK, Kader AA. Pre-harvest and post-harvest factors influencing vitamin C content of horticultural crops. *Postharvest Biol Technol.* (2000) 20:207–20. doi: 10.1016/ S0925-5214(00)00133-2

11. Kalisz S, Oszmiański J, Kolniak-Ostek J, Grobelna A, Kieliszek M, Cendrowski A. Effect of a variety of polyphenols compounds and antioxidant properties of rhubarb (*Rheum rhabarbarum*). *LWT Food Sci Technol.* (2020) 118:108775. doi: 10.1016/j. lvt.2019.108775

12. AOAC International. Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. 21st ed. Rockville, MD: AOAC International (2019).

13. Wu H, Xu L, Ballantyne CM. Dietary and pharmacological fatty acids and cardiovascular health. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* (2020) 105:1030–45. doi: 10.1210/ clinem/dgz174

14. Wu RA, Ding Q, Yin L, Chi X, Sun N, He R, et al. Comparison of the nutrientsal value of Mysore thorn borer (*Anoplophora chinensis*) and mealworm larva (*Tenebrio molitor*): amino acid, fatty acid, and element profiles. *Food Chem*. (2020) 323:126818. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126818

15. Tong TT. *The chemical compositions of the stems and leaves of Rheum officinale Baill.* Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine. Master's Degree Dissertation. (2013).

16. FAO, WHO. Vitamin and mineral requirements in human nutrients. 2nd ed. Rome: World Health Organization and Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2004).

17. WHO. Sodium intake for adults and children. World health, vol. 56. Geneva: World Health Organization (2012).

18. Dorni C, Sharma P, Saikia G, Longvah T. Fatty acid profile of edible oils and fats consumed in India. *Food Chem*. (2018) 238:9–15. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.05.072

19. Ali MA, Najmaldien AHA, Latip RA, Othman NH, Majid FAA, Salleh LM. Effect of heating at frying temperature on the quality characteristics of regular and high-oleic acid sunflower oils. *Acta Scien Polon Technol Aliment*. (2013) 12:159–67.

20. Sahlan M, Mahira KF, Pratami DK, Rizal R, Ansari MJ, Al-Anazi KM, et al. The cytotoxic and anti-inflammatory potential of *Tetragonula sapiens* Propolis from Sulawesi on raw 264.7 cell lines. *J King Saud Univ Sci.* (2021) 33:101314. doi: 10.1016/j. jksus.2020.101314

21. Xian J. Studies on the chemical constituents and biological activity of the aerial parts of Rheum officinale Baill. Thesis of Master Degree China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences (2017).

22. Yao CY, Liu WZ. Seasonal change of anthraquinone in vegetative organs of *Polygonum cuspidatum. Acta Bot Boreal Occident Sin.* (2005) 25:179–82. doi: 10.3321/j. issn:1000-4025.2005.01.031

23. Li Y, Wang Y, Zhang N, Yang J, Liu Y, Wang Q, et al. Effects of different harvest seasons on anthraquinone contents of Polygoni Multiflori Radix based on UPLC-MS/ MS. *Chin J Pharmacovigil*. (2022) 19:1277–84. doi: 10.19803/j.1672-8629.20220479

24. Zhang TJ, Tian XS, Liu XT, Huang XD, Peng CL. Seasonal variations in group leaf characteristics in species with red young leaves. *Sci Rep.* (2019) 9:16529. doi: 10.1038/ s41598-019-52753-x

25. Halatu H. *Ginkgo biloba* flavonoids extraction of relations with the pick received season in Inner Mongolia. *J Med Pharm Chin Minorit*. (2019) 25:41. doi: 10.16041/j.cnki. cn15-1175.2019.09.025

26. Xue MY, Li L, Zhang HF, Liu ZQ. Seasonal variations in contents and antibacterial activities of flavonoids and alkaloids in three Berberidaceae species. *Pratacul Sci.* (2018) 35:2614–21. doi: 10.11829/j.issn.1001-0629.2018-0030

27. Agati G, Biricolti S, Guidi L, Ferrini F, Fini A, Tattini M. The biosynthesis of flavonoids is enhanced similarly by UV radiation and root zone salinity in *L. vulgare* leaves. *J Plant Physiol.* (2011) 168:204. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2010.07.016

28. Zhao B, Wang L, Pang S, Jia Z, Wang L, Li W, et al. UV-B promotes flavonoid synthesis in *Ginkgo biloba* leaves. *Ind Crop Prod.* (2020) 151:112483. doi: 10.1016/j. indcrop.2020.112483

29. Prasad N, Yang B, Yang S, Chen Y, Zhao M, Ashraf M, et al. Identification of phenolic compounds and appraisal of antioxidant and antityrosinase activities from litchi (*Litchi sinensis* Sonn.) seeds. *Food Chem.* (2009) 116:1–7. doi: 10.1016/j. foodchem.2009.01.079

30. Amzad Hossain M, Salehuddin SM, Kabir MJ, Rahman SMM, Vasantha Rupasinghe HP. Sinensetin, rutin, 3'-hydroxy-5,6,7,4'-tetramethoxyflavone and rosmarinic acid contents and antioxidative effect of the skin of apple fruit. *Food Chem.* (2009) 113:185–90. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.07.085

31. Medjahed Z, Chaher-Bazizi N, Atmani-Kilani D, Ahmane N, Begoña Ruiz-Larrea M, Ruiz Sanz JI, et al. A novel flavonol glycoside and six derivatives of quercetin and kaempferol from *Clematis flammula* with antioxidant and anticancer potentials. *Fitoterapia*. (2023) 170:105642. doi: 10.1016/j.fitote.2023.105642

32. Semwal R, Joshi SK, Semwal RB, Semwal DK. Health benefits and limitations of rutin-a natural flavonoid with high nutraceutical value. *Phytochem Lett.* (2021) 46:119–28. doi: 10.1016/j.phytol.2021.10.006

33. Cojocaru A, Vlase L, Munteanu N, Stan T, Teliban GC, Burducea M, et al. Dynamic of phenolic compounds, antioxidant activity, and yield of rhubarb under chemical, organic and biological fertilization. *Plants (Basel).* (2020) 9:355. doi: 10.3390/plants9030355

34. Rocchetti G, Senizza B, Zengin G, Bonini P, Bontempo L, Camin F, et al. The hierarchical contribution of organic vs. conventional farming, cultivar, and terroir on untargeted metabolomics phytochemical profile and functional traits of tomato fruits. *Front Plant Sci.* (2022) 13:856513. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2022.856513