Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Daniel Wolff, University Hospital Regensburg, Germany

REVIEWED BY Emanuele Cencini, Siena University Hospital, Italy Yifan Pang, Levine Cancer Institute, United States

*CORRESPONDENCE Jun Zhu zhu-jun2017@outlook.com Weiping Liu dreaming2217@126.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Hematologic Malignancies, a section of the journal Frontiers in Oncology

RECEIVED 08 September 2022 ACCEPTED 21 October 2022 PUBLISHED 17 November 2022

CITATION

Gao H, Wu M, Hu S, Ding N, Ji X, Mi L, Wang X, Song Y, Zhu J and Liu W (2022) Effect of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma in China: A propensity score-matched analysis. *Front. Oncol.* 12:1039888. doi: 10.3389/fonc.2022.1039888

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Gao, Wu, Hu, Ding, Ji, Mi, Wang, Song, Zhu and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Effect of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma in China: A propensity scorematched analysis

Hongye Gao¹, Meng Wu¹, Shaoxuan Hu¹, Ning Ding¹, Xinqiang Ji², Lan Mi¹, Xiaopei Wang¹, Yuqin Song¹, Jun Zhu^{1*} and Weiping Liu^{1*}

¹Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education), Department of Lymphoma, Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, Beijing, China, ²Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education), Department of Medical Record Statistics, Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute, Beijing, China

Background: The role of consolidation therapy with autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) in patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL) in first complete remission (CR1) or partial remission (PR1) remains controversial. The existing data from China are limited. Therefore, we aimed to investigate the effect of ASCT on the survival of Chinese patients with PTCL showing response to induction chemotherapy at our hospital.

Methods: We retrospectively reviewed the data of patients with PTCL (excluding Natural killer/T cell lymphoma) in CR1 or PR1 treated at Peking University Hospital &Institute from 1996 to 2020. Propensity score matching (PSM) was used to balance clinical characteristics between the ASCT and non-ASCT groups. The primary endpoints were event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival (OS).

Results: Of the 414 selected patients, 73 received ASCT consolidation and 341 did not. Over a median follow-up of 5.7 years, survival was significantly better in the ASCT group than in the non-ASCT group (median EFS, 8.1 years vs. 2.8 years, P = 0.002; median OS, 14.9 years vs. 10.2 years, P = 0.007). The 5-year EFS and OS rates were 68.4% and 77.0% in ASCT group, and 43.2% and 57.6% in non-ASCT group, respectively. The survival benefit was confirmed in the propensity score matched cohort (46 patients who received ASCT and 84 patients who did not receive ASCT): P = 0.007 for median EFS and P = 0.022 for the median OS. Cox regression analysis showed that ASCT was independently associated with better survival: hazard ratio (HR) for EFS, 0.46 (95% CI: 0.28-0.76); HR for OS, 0.50 (95% CI: 0.31-0.84). Subgroup analysis showed that ASCT was more likely to benefit higher-risk patients and those with advanced

disease. Among the subtypes of PTCL, the benefit was significant in angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (HR = 0.26 [95% CI: 0.10-0.66] for EFS and 0.29 [95% CI: 0.12-0.74] for OS), but not in the other subtypes.

Conclusion: ASCT may improve the long-term survival of patients with PTCL in first CR or PR, especially for patients with angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma. The specific groups most likely to benefit from upfront ASCT need to be clearly identified.

KEYWORDS

peripheral T-cell lymphoma, survival, autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (autoHCT), outcomes - health care, propensity score matching (PSM)

Introduction

Peripheral T/NK-cell lymphoma (PTCL) is a group of rare and highly heterogeneous malignant lymphoproliferative diseases originating from mature T- and NK-cells. PTCL is classified into subtypes such as angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITL), ALK-positive anaplastic large-cell lymphoma (ALK+ALCL), ALKnegative anaplastic large-cell lymphoma (ALK+ALCL), Peripheral T-cell lymphoma not otherwise specified (PTCL-NOS), and so on (1, 2). Most subtypes have a dismal prognosis (3, 4). Due to the rarity and heterogeneity of PTCL, there is still no consensus on the best treatment approach. The role of autologous stem cell transplant (ASCT) consolidation continues to be debated (5–10).

Current guidelines recommended ASCT for most PTCL patients achieving remission after induction chemotherapy (11–13). The National Comprehensive Cancer Network and the American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy recommend ASCT for patients with first-time complete remission (CR1) (11, 12). Meanwhile, the European Society of Medical Oncology guidelines suggests that patients with first-time partial remission (PR1) could also be considered for ASCT (13). However, these recommendations were based on low-quality evidence from retrospective studies (14–17) and a few single-arm prospective studies (5, 18–20). There remains no consensus on the utility of consolidative ASCT.

One real-world study of lymphoma in a Swedish population showed that PTCL patients (excluding those with ALK+ALCL) receiving ASCT had better progression-free survival (HR: 0.56 [0.39-0.80]) and overall survival (OS; HR: 0.58 [0.40-0.84]), but the control group may enroll patients who did not respond to the initial chemotherapy (17). Comprehensive Oncology Measures for Peripheral T-Cell Lymphoma Treatment (COMPLETE) study showed a statistically nonsignificant tendency for better survival following ASCT in PTCL with CR1: the median OS in patients who underwent ASCT was not reached, versus 57.6 months in patients who did not receive ASCT (P = 0.06) (21). The large Lymphoma Study Association (LYSA) Registry-based multicenter retrospective study investigated the effect of ASCT in 134 patients with CR1 or PR1. Neither propensity score-matched analysis (P = 0.90 for PFS, P = 0.66 for OS, respectively) nor Cox multivariate analysis (HR = 1.02 [95% CI: 0.69-1.50] for PFS; HR = 1.08 [95% CI:0.68-1.69] for OS) showed a survival advantage with upfront ASCT consolidation (22).

To the best of our knowledge, no well-designed randomized controlled trial has been conducted so far to evaluate the impact of ASCT in the clinical setting. The data based on Chinese patient population is limited. Therefore, in the present study, we aimed to investigate the effect of ASCT on the survival of patients with PTCL showing response to induction therapy.

Methods

Patients

The study was granted by the ethics committee of Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute. Informed consent was exempted because the data were analyzed anonymously. A total of 8,826 patients with lymphoma were admitted to Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute from January 1996 to November 2020. Pathological diagnosis was based on the corresponding criteria (1, 23–25). Patients with mature T-cell lymphoma who achieved CR1/PR1 were included in this study. The main exclusion criteria included patients with natural killer/ T cell lymphoma (NK/TCL), receiving allogeneic transplantation, and missing clinical data. Assessment of response to initial treatment was evaluated using the Lugano criteria (26), and ¹⁸F-FDG positron emission tomography/ computed tomography (PET/CT) was introduced since 2009. A total of 414 patients met the eligibility criteria. Supplementary Figure 1 shows the patient selection process. The selected patients were classified into risk groups using the International Prognostic Index (IPI) (27).

Definitions

Event-free survival (EFS) was defined as the time from the initial diagnosis to the date of occurrence of disease recurrence/ progression confirmed by clinical manifestations, imaging or pathological reports, initiation of second-line salvage therapy, or death (whichever occurred first). OS was defined as the time from the initial diagnosis to death due to any cause. None of the above events that occurred at the last follow-up of the patient were censored. Follow-up data were collected *via* telephone interviews and a review of inpatient and outpatient medical records.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done using R 4.2.0 (https://www.rproject.org). Categorical variables were summarized as percentages and tested by the chi-square test or Fisher exact test. Propensity score matching (PSM) was performed to compare EFS and OS between ASCT and non-ASCT groups after balancing clinical parameters, which were selected according to previous literature and clinical experience; the parameters were histological subtype, age, sex, lactate dehydrogenase level (LDH), Ann Abor stage, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status, B symptoms, and response to the initial treatment. The caliper value was set to 0.25, and the matching ratio was 1: 2 (ASCT: non-ASCT). PSM was conducted using the "MatchIt" package in R. Survival analysis was performed before and after matching, using Kaplan-Meier curves and tested by the log-rank test. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses were conducted to determine the independent effect of ASCT on survival after adjusting for other variables (sex, age, Ann Abor stage, IPI risk group, and histological subtype [ALK+ALCL vs. non-ALK+ALCL]). All significant factors from the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis. Survival rates were estimated by the Cox proportional hazards model, Hazard ratios, with 95% confidence intervals, were calculated to quantify risk. Two-sided P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

Of the 414 selected patients, 73 received ASCT (ASCT group) and 341 did not receive ASCT (non-ASCT group). As shown in Table 1, the histologic subtypes were ALK+ALCL (n = 85, 20.5%) AITL (n = 116, 28.0%), ALK-ALCL (n = 42, 10.1%), PTCL-NOS (n = 76,18.4%), and others (n = 95, 22.9%). The

 TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of patients in the ASCT group and the non-ASCT group.

	Before matching			After matching			
	Non-ASCT n = 341	ASCT n = 73	Р	Non-ASCT n = 84	ASCT n = 46	Р	
Subtypes (%)			0.540			0.860	
ALK+ALCL	67 (19.6)	18 (24.7)		19 (22.6)	12 (26.1)		
ALK-ALCL	35 (10.3)	7 (9.6)		8 (9.5)	4 (8.7)		
AITL	92 (27.0)	24 (32.9)		32 (38.1)	17 (37.0)		
PTCL-NOS	66 (19.4)	10 (13.7)		4 (4.8)	4 (8.7)		
Others*	81 (23.8)	14 (19.2)		21 (25.0)	9 (19.6)		
Age, years, median (IQR)	53.0 (35.0, 64.0)	42.00 (26.0, 51.0)	< 0.001	44.0 (25.0, 59.0)	43.00 (29.0, 54.0)	0.536	
Female (%)	110 (32.3)	21 (28.8)	0.657	25 (29.8)	11 (23.9)	0.612	
LDH ≥ULN (%)	102 (34.5)	33 (61.1)	< 0.001	42 (50.0)	26 (56.5)	0.597	
III-IV stage (%)	226 (66.3)	61 (83.6)	0.006	70 (83.3)	39 (84.8)	> 0.99	
ECOG ≥2 (%)	23 (7.2)	5 (8.2)	0.993	7 (8.3)	4 (8.7)	> 0.99	
B symptoms (%)	153 (45.1)	42 (57.5)	0.073	48 (57.1)	28 (60.9)	0.821	
PR to first treatment (%)	121 (35.5)	23 (31.5)	0.609	25 (29.8)	14 (30.4)	> 0.99	

AITL, angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma; ALK-ALCL, anaplastic large-cell lymphoma, anaplastic lymphoma kinase negative; ALK+ALCL, anaplastic large-cell lymphoma anaplastic lymphoma kinase positive; PTCL-NOS, PTCL not otherwise specified; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ASCT, autologous stem cell transplantation; PR, partial remission; ULN, upper limit of normal; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status.

* Others indicates patients with primary cutaneous anaplastic large cell lymphoma, mycosis fungoides/sézary syndrome, hepatosplenic T-cell lymphoma, enteropathy associated T cell lymphoma, subcutaneous panniculitis-like T-cell lymphoma, monomorphic epitheliotropic intestinal T-cell lymphomas, lymphomatoid papulosis, primary cutaneous CD8+ aggressive epidermotropic cytotoxic T-cell lymphoma and other rare subtypes. A portion of patients were classified in this group due to the limitation of the diagnostic measurements in the early era (e.g ALCL with uncertain ALK status).

first-line regimens were mainly CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisolone) and CHOPE (CHOP plus etoposide). The details are available in Supplemental Table 1 and Table 2. In the ASCT group, the bloodstream infection, febrile neutropenia, and 100-day treatment related mortality rates were 4.4%, 71.1% and 0, respectively.

Survival analysis in unmatched data

The median follow-up was for 5.7 years. Median EFS and OS were significantly better in the ASCT group than in the non-ASCT group (EFS: 8.1 years vs. 2.8 years, P = 0.002; OS: 14.9 years vs. 10.2 years, P = 0.007). The 5-year EFS and OS rates were 68.4% (95% CI: 57.7%-81.1%) and 77.0% (95% CI: 66.5%-89.1%), respectively, in the ASCT group vs. 43.2% (95% CI: 37.8%-49.3%) and 57.6% (95% CI: 52.0%-63.8%), respectively, in the non-ASCT group (P < 0.001 for all; Figure 1).

As expected, patients with ALK+ALCL had better survival outcomes (Supplementary Figures 2A, 2B; Supplementary Table 3). We also compared the survival outcome between patients with CR and PR in Supplementary Figures 3A, B. Patients with CR had better 5-year EFS (74.2% vs. 53.6%) and OS (85.0% vs. 69.0%) than those with PR (57.1% vs. 23.8%;

59.5% vs. 37.2%) in the ASCT and non-ASCT group, respectively (Supplementary Figures 3C-F).

Survival analysis in matched data

In the propensity score matched (PSM) cohort, there was no statistically significant differences in clinical characteristics between patients in the ASCT group (n = 46) and the non-ASCT group (n = 84; Table 1). In this cohort, median EFS and OS were also better in the ASCT group than in the non-ASCT group (EFS: 8.1 years vs. 2.7 years, P = 0.007; OS: "not reached" vs. 7.7 years, P = 0.022). In addition, the survival difference remained statistically significant when excluding patients with ALK+ALCL after performing PSM method (Supplementary Figures 2C, 2D; Supplementary Table 4).

Cox regression analysis

For the whole cohort, ASCT was associated with better EFS (HR: 0.46 [95% CI: 0.28 - 0.76]) and OS (HR: 0.50 [95% CI: 0.31-0.84]) (Figures 2, 3). Survival of patients with AITL was significantly better in the ASCT group than in the non-ASCT group (HR for EFS: 0.26 [95% CI: 0.10-0.66]; HR for



stem cell transplantation; PSM, propensity score matching. Kaplan-Meir analysis of EFS (A, C) and OS (B, D), before and after PSM.

	ASCT	Non-ASCT		HR (95%CI)	P
All patients	73	341	-	0.46 (0.28-0.76)	0.003
Gender					
Male	52 (71.23)	231 (67.74)	H I -4	0.49 (0.27-0.88)	0.017
Female	21 (28.77)	110 (32.26)	H H	0.39 (0.14-1.10)	0.074
Age					
≤ 45 years	45 (61.64)	130 (38.12)	H B	0.43 (0.18-1.02)	0.054
> 45 years	28 (38.36)	211 (61.88)		0.68 (0.37-1.27)	0.225
Stage					
1–11	12 (16.44)	115 (33.72)		0.71 (0.17-3.01)	0.644
III-IV	61 (83.56)	226 (66.28)	HEH I	0.36 (0.21-0.62)	< 0.001
IPI					
1	19 (26.03)	144 (42.23)		1.08 (0.42-2.77)	0.869
2	20 (27.40)	80 (23.46)		0.20 (0.06-0.66)	0.008
3	13 (17.81)	56 (16.42)		0.20 (0.05-0.84)	0.028
4	1 (1.37)	16 (4.69)		0.04 (0-NA)	0.627
Subtypes					
ALK+ALCL	18 (24.66)	67 (19.65)	+	0.26 (0.03-1.99)	0.195
ALK-ALCL	7 (9.59)	35 (10.26)		0.45 (0.06-3.50)	0.446
AITL	24 (32.88)	92 (26.98)	н	0.26 (0.10-0.66)	0.005
PTCL-NOS	10 (13.70)	66 (19.35)		1.14 (0.50-2.58)	0.757
Others	14 (19.18)	81 (23.75)		0.50 (0.18-1.38)	0.179
			0 0.5 1 1 HR	5 2	

OS: 0.29 [95% CI: 0.12-0.74]). However, there were no significant differences in EFS or OS between the ASCT and non-ASCT groups for ALK+ALCL, ALK-ALCL, AITL, PTCL-NOS, and other subtypes. Further subgroup analysis showed that ASCT was associated with better EFS and OS in

advanced-stage disease and in low-intermediate and intermediate-high risk subgroups (Figures 2, 3). In multivariate Cox regression analysis, ASCT was confirmed as the independent risk factors for OS in PTCL patients with CR1 or PR1 (Table 2).

		ASCT	Non-ASCT		HR (95%CI)	Ρ	
	All patients	73	341	•	0.50 (0.31-0.84)	0.008	
	Gender						
	Male	52 (71.23)	231 (67.74)	H II -1	0.56 (0.31-0.99)	0.048	
	Female	21 (28.77)	110 (32.26)	H a H	0.41 (0.15-1.13)	0.085	
	Age						
	≤ 45 years	45 (61.64)	130 (38.12)	H II -1	0.52 (0.22-1.24)	0.140	
	> 45 years	28 (38.36)	211 (61.88)	⊢∎-→	0.69 (0.37-1.29)	0.247	
	Stage						
	1-11	12 (16.44)	115 (33.72)		0.71 (0.17-3.01)	0.644	
	III-IV	61 (83.56)	226 (66.28)	e +	0.42 (0.25-0.73)	0.002	
	IPI						
	1	19 (26.03)	144 (42.23)		1.20 (0.47-3.08)	0.707	
	2	20 (27.40)	80 (23.46)		0.24 (0.07-0.77)	0.017	
	3	13 (17.81)	56 (16.42)	•••	0.19 (0.04-0.80)	0.023	
	4	1 (1.37)	16 (4.69)		0.05 (0-NA)	0.694	
	Subtypes						
	ALK+ALCL	18 (24.66)	67 (19.65)		0.04 (0-NA)	0.481	
	ALK-ALCL	7 (9.59)	35 (10.26)		0.37 (0.05-2.88)	0.342	
	AITL	24 (32.88)	92 (26.98)		0.29 (0.12-0.74)	0.009	
	PTCL-NOS	10 (13.70)	66 (19.35)	-	1.17 (0.51-2.67)	0.716	
	Others	14 (19.18)	81 (23.75)		0.59 (0.21-1.65)	0.318	
				0 0.5 1 1.5 2 HR			
GURE 3							
group analysis of overall survival.							

	Univariate Cox	model	Multivariate Cox model		
	HR (95% CI)	Р	HR (95% CI)	Р	
Age	1.034 (1.024-1.045)	< 0.001	1.024 (1.011 -1.037)	0.001	
Female	0.988 (0.705-1.384)	0.944	-	-	
III-IV stage	2.140 (1.429-3.204)	< 0.001	1.899 (1.194 -3.02)	0.014	
ASCT	0.505 (0.305-0.836)	0.008	0.478 (0.242 -0.945)	0.034	
PR to the 1st treatment	2.824 (2.060-3.873)	< 0.001	2.687 (1.859 -3.884)	< 0.001	
ALK+ALCL	0.101 (0.041-0.245)	< 0.001	0.478 (0.242 -0.945)	0.003	
ECOG ≥2 (%)	1.507 (0.851-2.668)	0.159	-	-	
LDH ≥ULN (%)	1.531 (1.070-2.191)	0.020	1.138 (0.774 -1.673)	0.584	
B symptoms (%)	1.112 (0.299-4.128)	0.875	-	-	

TABLE 2 Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis for OS.

ALK+ALCL, anaplastic large-cell lymphoma; anaplastic lymphoma kinase positive; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ULN, upper limit of normal; HR, hazard ratio; ASCT, autologous stem cell transplantation; PR, partial response.

Discussion

PTCL has a poorer prognosis than aggressive B-cell lymphomas, with less durable remissions after anthracyclinecontaining multiagent chemotherapy (4, 28, 29). Treatment is particular challenging due to the relative rarity and biological heterogeneity of PTCL. The value of ASCT in PTCL remains controversial (9, 10, 22, 30), lacking a large sample retrospective study from China. The present study provides evidence in support of the use of ASCT in Chinese chemotherapy responders.

Previous studies suggest that consolidation with ASCT may not offer survival advantages for patients with PTCL except in specific clinical scenarios (10, 21, 22, 31). The COMPLETE study was the first large prospective cohort study to directly compare survival outcomes of patients with nodal PTCL in CR1 treated with or without consolidative ASCT. Eighty-three patients did not undergo ASCT, while 36 underwent consolidative ASCT. After a median follow-up of 2.8 years, no statistically significant difference was found in median OS between the ASCT group and the non-ASCT group (median OS: "not reached" vs. 57.6 months, respectively, P = 0.06). ASCT had no impact on the prognosis of PTCL-NOS but significantly improved OS and progression-free survival for patients with AITL; this finding is consistent with our results. Thus, it appears that patients with AITL are likely to benefit most from ASCT consolidation (16).

Our results were contrary to the findings of the large retrospective multicenter study based on the LYSA registry although both studies had similar designs. The LYSA study evaluated 269 patients with PTCL achieving CR1/PR1. The PTCL subtypes in the LYSA study included PTCL-NOS (29%), AITL (46%), and ALK-ALCL (25%). Among them, 134 patients were allocated to ASCT and 135 did not. Both Cox regression analysis and PSM analysis showed no survival advantage with ASCT consolidation (22). Our study enrolled more histologic subtypes (including ALK+ALCL, Enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma (EATL), and others); the differences between the study populations in the two studies may be one of the reasons for the contrary results. In addition, the performance of the intention-to-treat approach in this study may have led to more conservative estimates (32).

Although several earlier studies have reported that PTCL patients who receive upfront ASCT consolidation have a relatively good prognosis (5, 14, 15, 17, 33–35), none of the studies attempted to determine the sub-cohort of patients most likely to benefit. One pooled analysis showed that PTCL-NOS and ALK-ALCL patients without *DUSP22* rearrangement could benefit from ASCT (36), indicating ALK-ALCL patients with *DUSP22* rearrangement may be a potential transplant-exempt population. Thus, current evidence suggests clear benefits with ASCT consolidation in patients with AITL and uncertain benefits in patients with PTCL-NOS (probably due to the high heterogeneity in this subtype). The benefit of ASCT in different pathological subtypes needs further study.

Our results also demonstrated that upfront consolidative ASCT can improve prognosis in low-intermediate and intermediate-high risk subgroups of PTCL. Because only one patient in the high-risk subgroup underwent ASCT, we did not analyze the high-risk group. The evidence for the long-term efficacy of upfront ASCT in patients with early-stage and lowrisk IPI is insufficient (21).

Because some previous studies have suggested that the frontline chemotherapy regimen may be associated with survival outcomes (37), we compared the survival difference between patients receiving CHOP vs. CHOPE as an initial regimen after matching baseline characteristics by PSM (data not shown). No survival difference was observed in our cohort.

In recent years, the discovery and clinical application of molecular biomarkers and signatures have provided unprecedented insights into PTCL (38-41). Novel antibodydrug conjugates and epigenetic modifying agents have shown promising clinical efficacy (42, 43). Of note, The ECHELON-2 study demonstrated a significant improvement in prognosis with frontline brentuximab vedotin (BV) plus cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, and prednisone (A+CHP) compared with CHOP in CD30-positive PTCLs (43). Furthermore, Savage et al. recently conducted an exploratory subgroups analysis of ECHELON-2 trial supporting the role of consolidation consolidative stem cell transplant (autologous or allogeneic) in CD30-positive PTCL who achieve a CR following treatment with A+CHP. Patients who underwent stem cell transplants achieved a 64% reduction in the risk of a PFS event (HR: 0.36 [95% CI, 0.17-0.77]). The results indicate that even in the era of BV, consolidative stem cell transplant is still required (44).

Our results should be carefully interpreted because of some limitations in this study. First, this was a retrospective singlecenter study. The findings from the subgroup analysis may not be reliable because of the limited number of patients. Second, there is bound to be a discrepancy in response evaluation by PET/CT vs. CT (26). The CR rate may be underestimated for those patients who received response evaluation only by CT in the cohort. Finally, the systems of criteria for diagnosis have undergone changes in recent 20 years (1, 23–25). We enrolled patients according to the diagnostic criteria of that time, but there must be variability around the exact criteria used for diagnosis over long time span. Therefore, pathological review and molecular typing to define the subtypes of PTCL are required in future studies.

Conclusion

ASCT consolidation may prolong survival in Chinese patients with PTCL showing complete or partial response to initial chemotherapy; benefit is most likely in patients with AITL. Patients with higher-risk IPI score and advanced-stage disease may also benefit significantly from ASCT. Our findings need to be confirmed in large controlled prospective studies.

Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of Peking University Cancer

Hospital. Written informed consent from the participants' legal guardian/next of kin was not required to participate in this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

Author contributions

HG and WL designed this research. HG collected the data, performed the analysis and prepared the original draft. XJ was involved in data cleaning and analysis. MW and ND provided helpful suggestions for this study. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China [grant numbers 82070205, 81870154]; the Clinical Research Fund For Distinguished Young Scholars of Beijing Cancer Hospital [grant number QNJJ202106]; and the Capital's Funds for Health Improvement and Research [grant numbers 2022-1-2152, 2020-2Z-2157].

Acknowledgments

We thank all the colleagues at Peking University Cancer Hospital & Institute for their support.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/ fonc.2022.1039888/full#supplementary-material

References

1. Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Pileri SA, Harris NL, Stein H, Siebert R, et al. The 2016 revision of the world health organization classification of lymphoid neoplasms. *Blood.* (2016) 127(20):2375–90. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-01-643569

2. Liu W, Liu J, Song Y, Wang X, Mi L, Cai C, et al. Burden of lymphoma in China, 1990-2019: an analysis of the global burden of diseases, injuries, and risk factors study 2019. *Aging (Albany NY)*. (2022) 14(7):3175–90. doi: 10.18632/aging.204006

3. Gao H, Ji X, Liu X, Mi L, Liu W, Wang X, et al. Conditional survival and hazards of death for peripheral T-cell lymphomas. *Aging (Albany NY)*. (2021) 13 (7):10225–39. doi: 10.18632/aging.202782

4. Liu W, Ji X, Song Y, Wang X, Zheng W, Lin N, et al. Improving survival of 3760 patients with lymphoma: Experience of an academic center over two decades. *Cancer Med.* (2020) 9(11):3765–3774. doi: 10.1002/cam4.3037

5. d'Amore F, Relander T, Lauritzsen GF, Jantunen E, Hagberg H, Anderson H, et al. Up-front autologous stem-cell transplantation in peripheral T-cell lymphoma: NLG-T-01. J Clin Oncol (2012) 30(25):3093–9. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2011.40.2719

6. Blystad AK, Enblad G, Kvaløy S, Berglund A, Delabie J, Holte H, et al. Highdose therapy with autologous stem cell transplantation in patients with peripheral T cell lymphomas. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* (2001) 27(7):711–6. doi: 10.1038/ sj.bmt.1702867

7. Jantunen E, Wiklund T, Juvonen E, Putkonen M, Lehtinen T, Kuittinen O, et al. Autologous stem cell transplantation in adult patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma: a nation-wide survey. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* (2004) 33(4):405–10. doi: 10.1038/sj.bmt.1704367

8. Feyler S, Prince HM, Pearce R, Towlson K, Nivison-Smith I, Schey S, et al. The role of high-dose therapy and stem cell rescue in the management of T-cell malignant lymphomas: a BSBMT and ABMTRR study. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* (2007) 40(5):443–50. doi: 10.1038/sj.bmt.1705752

9. Rodríguez J, Conde E, Gutiérrez A, Arranz R, León A, Marín J, et al. The results of consolidation with autologous stem-cell transplantation in patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL) in first complete remission: the Spanish lymphoma and autologous transplantation group experience. *Ann Oncol* (2007) 18(4):652–7. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdl466

10. Yam C, Landsburg DJ, Nead KT, Lin X, Mato AR, Svoboda J, et al. Autologous stem cell transplantation in first complete remission may not extend progression-free survival in patients with peripheral T cell lymphomas. *Am J Hematol* (2016) 91(7):672–6. doi: 10.1002/ajh.24372

11. NCCN Guidelines, T-Cell Lymphomas, Version 2.2022. (2022). Available at: https://www.nccn.org/guidelines/guidelines-detail?category=1&id=: Accessed Oct 10, 2022

12. Kanate AS, Majhail NS, Savani BN, Bredeson C, Champlin RE, Crawford S, et al. Indications for hematopoietic cell transplantation and immune effector cell therapy: Guidelines from the American society for transplantation and cellular therapy. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant.* (2020) 26(7):1247–56. doi: 10.1016/j.bbmt.2020.03.002

13. d'Amore F, Gaulard P, Trümper L, Corradini P, Kim WS, Specht L, et al. Peripheral T-cell lymphomas: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. *Ann Oncol* (2015) 26 Suppl 5:v108–115. doi: 10.1093/ annonc/mdv201

14. Schetelig J, Fetscher S, Reichle A, Berdel WL, Beguin Y, Brunet S, et al. Longterm disease-free survival in patients with angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma after high-dose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell transplantation. *Haematologica*. (2003) 88(11):1272–8.

15. Kyriakou C, Canals C, Goldstone A, Caballero D, Metzner B, Kobbe G, et al. High-dose therapy and autologous stem-cell transplantation in angioimmunoblastic lymphoma: complete remission at transplantation is the major determinant of outcome-lymphoma working party of the European group for blood and marrow transplantation. J Clin Oncol (2008) 26(2):218–24. doi: doi:10.1200/JCO.2008.12.6219

16. Rodríguez J, Conde E, Gutiérrez A, Arranz R, Gandarillas M, Leon A, et al. Prolonged survival of patients with angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma after highdose chemotherapy and autologous stem cell transplantation: the GELTAMO experience. *Eur J Haematol* (2007) 78(4):290–6. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0609.2007.00815.x

17. Ellin F, Landström J, Jerkeman M, Relander T. Real-world data on prognostic factors and treatment in peripheral T-cell lymphomas: a study from the Swedish lymphoma registry. *Blood.* (2014) 124(10):1570–7. doi: 10.1182/blood-2014-04-573089

18. Reimer P, Rüdiger T, Geissinger E, Weissinger E, Nerl F, Schmitz N, et al. Autologous stem-cell transplantation as first-line therapy in peripheral T-cell lymphomas: results of a prospective multicenter study. *J Clin Oncol* (2009) 27 (1):106–13. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2008.17.4870

19. Corradini P, Tarella C, Zallio F, Dodero P, Zanni M, Valagussa P, et al. Long-term follow-up of patients with peripheral T-cell lymphomas treated upfront with high-dose chemotherapy followed by autologous stem cell transplantation. *Leukemia*. (2006) 20(9):1533–8. doi: 10.1038/sj.leu.2404306

20. Mercadal S, Briones J, Xicoy B, Pedro C, Escoda L, Estany C, et al. Intensive chemotherapy (high-dose CHOP/ESHAP regimen) followed by autologous stemcell transplantation in previously untreated patients with peripheral T-cell lymphoma. *Ann Oncol* (2008) 19(5):958–63. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdn022

21. Park SI, Horwitz SM, Foss FM, Brown LC, Carson KR, Rosen ST, et al. The role of autologous stem cell transplantation in patients with nodal peripheral T-cell lymphomas in first complete remission: Report from COMPLETE, a prospective, multicenter cohort study. *Cancer.* (2019) 125(9):1507–17. doi: 10.1002/cncr.31861

22. Fossard G, Broussais F, Coelho I, Bailly S, Nicolas-Virelizier E, Toussaint E, et al. Role of up-front autologous stem-cell transplantation in peripheral T-cell lymphoma for patients in response after induction: an analysis of patients from LYSA centers. *Ann Oncol* (2018) 29(3):715–23. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdx787

23. Harris NL, Jaffe ES, Stein H, Banks PM, Chan JK, Cleary ML, et al. A revised European-American classification of lymphoid neoplasms: a proposal from the international lymphoma study group. *Blood.* (1994) 84(5):1361–92. doi: 10.1182/blood.V84.5.1361.1361

24. Harris NL, Jaffe ES, Diebold J, Flandrin G, Muller-Hermelink HK, Vardiman J, et al. World health organization classification of neoplastic diseases of the hematopoietic and lymphoid tissues: report of the clinical advisory committee meeting-airlie house, Virginia, November 1997. J Clin Oncol (1999) 17(12):3835–49. doi: 10.1200/JCO.1999.17.12.3835

25. Campo E, Swerdlow SH, Harris N, Pileri S, Stein H, Jaffe ES. The 2008 WHO classification of lymphoid neoplasms and beyond: evolving concepts and practical applications.. *Blood* (2011) 117(19):5019–32.

26. Cheson BD, Fisher RI, Barrington SF, Cavalli F, Schwartz LH, Zucca E, et al. Recommendations for initial evaluation, staging, and response assessment of Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphoma: the lugano classification. *J Clin Oncol* (2014) 32(27):3059–68. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2013.54.8800

27. International non-hodgkin's lymphoma prognostic factors project. a predictive model for aggressive non-hodgkin's lymphoma. N Engl J Med (1993) 329(14):987–94. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199309303291402

28. Liu W, Liu J, Song Y, Wang X, Zhou M, Wang L, et al. Mortality of lymphoma and myeloma in China, 2004-2017: an observational study. J Hematol Oncol (2019) 12(1):22. doi: 10.1186/s13045-019-0706-9

29. Abramson JS, Feldman T, Kroll-Desrosiers AR, Muffly LS, Winer E, Flowers CR, et al. Peripheral T-cell lymphomas in a large US multicenter cohort: prognostication in the modern era including impact of frontline therapy. *Ann Oncol* (2014) 25(11):2211–7. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdu443

30. Smith SM, Burns LJ, van Besien K, Lerademacher J, He W, Fenske TS, et al. Hematopoietic cell transplantation for systemic mature T-cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol* (2013) 31(25):3100–9. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2012.46.0188

31. Kitahara H, Maruyama D, Maeshima AM, Makita S, Miyamoto KI, Fukuhara S, et al. Prognosis of patients with peripheral T cell lymphoma who achieve complete response after CHOP/CHOP-like chemotherapy without autologous stem cell transplantation as an initial treatment. *Ann Hematol* (2017) 96(3):411–20. doi: 10.1007/s002277-016-2891-8

32. Bai AD, Komorowski AS, Lo CKL, Tandon P, Li XX, Mokashi V, et al. Intention-to-treat analysis may be more conservative than per protocol analysis in antibiotic non-inferiority trials: a systematic review. *BMC Med Res Methodol* (2021) 21(1):75. doi: 10.1186/s12874-021-01260-7

33. Schmitz N, Truemper L, Bouabdallah K, Ziepert M, Leclerc M, Cartron G, et al. A randomized phase 3 trial of autologous vs allogeneic transplantation as part of first-line therapy in poor-risk peripheral T-NHL. *Blood.* (2021) 137(19):2646–56. doi: 10.1182/blood.2020008825

34. Bishton MJ, Haynes AP. Combination chemotherapy followed by autologous stem cell transplant for enteropathy-associated T cell lymphoma. *Br J Haematol* (2007) 136(1):111–3. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2006.06371.x

35. Sieniawski M, Angamuthu N, Boyd K, Chasty R, Davies J, Forsyth P, et al. Evaluation of enteropathy-associated T-cell lymphoma comparing standard therapies with a novel regimen including autologous stem cell transplantation. *Blood.* (2010) 115(18):3664–70. doi: 10.1182/blood-2009-07-231324

36. Pedersen MB, Hamilton-Dutoit SJ, Bendix K, Ketterling RP, Bedroske PP, Luoma IM, et al. DUSP22 and TP63 rearrangements predict outcome of ALK-negative anaplastic large cell lymphoma: a Danish cohort study. *Blood.* (2017) 130 (4):554–7. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-12-755496

37. Kim J, Cho J, Byeon S, Kim WS, Kim SJ. Comparison of first-line treatments of peripheral T-cell lymphoma according to regimen: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hematol Oncol* (2021) 39(5):664–73. doi: 10.1002/hon.2924

38. Wang T, Feldman AL, Wada DA, Lu Y, Polk A, Briski R, et al. GATA-3 expression identifies a high-risk subset of PTCL, NOS with distinct molecular and clinical features. *Blood.* (2014) 123(19):3007–15. doi: 10.1182/blood-2013-12-544809

39. Ye Y, Ding N, Mi L, Shi Y, LIu W, Song Y, et al. Correlation of mutational landscape and survival outcome of peripheral T-cell lymphomas. *Exp Hematol Oncol* (2021) 10(1):9. doi: 10.1186/s40164-021-00200-x

40. Iqbal J, Wright G, Wang C, Rosenwald A, Gascoyne RD, Weisenburger DD, et al. Gene expression signatures delineate biological and prognostic subgroups in peripheral T-cell lymphoma. *Blood.* (2014) 123(19):2915–23. doi: 10.1182/blood-2013-11-536359

41. Heavican TB, Bouska A, Yu J, Lone W, Amador C, Gong Q, et al. Genetic drivers of oncogenic pathways in molecular subgroups of peripheral T-cell lymphoma. *Blood.* (2019) 133(15):1664–76. doi: 10.1182/blood-2018-09-872549

42. Horwitz S, O'Connor OA, Pro B, Trümper L, Iyer S, Advani R, et al. The ECHELON-2 trial: 5-year results of a randomized, phase III study of brentuximab vedotin with chemotherapy for CD30-positive peripheral T-cell lymphoma. *Ann Oncol* (2022) 33(3):288–98. doi: 10.1016/j.annonc.2021.12.002

43. Horwitz S, O'Connor OA, Pro B, Illidge T, Fanale M, Advani R, et al. Brentuximab vedotin with chemotherapy for CD30-positive peripheral T-cell lymphoma (ECHELON-2): a global, double-blind, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet.* (2019) 393(10168):229–40. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32984-2

44. Savage KJ, Horwitz SM, Advani R, Christensen JH, Domingo-Domenech E, Rossi G, et al. Role of stem cell transplant in CD30-positive PTCL following frontline brentuximab Vedotin+CHP or CHOP in ECHELON-2. *Blood Adv* (2022) 6(19):5550–5. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2020003971

Glossary

AITL	angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma
ALK +ALCL	anaplastic lymphoma kinase positive anaplastic large-cell
	lymphoma
ALK-ALCL	anaplastic lymphoma kinase negative anaplastic large-cell lymphoma
ASCT	autologous stem cell transplant
CHOP	cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine and prednisolone
CHOPE	cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, prednisolone, etoposide
CI	confidence interval
CR1	first-time complete remission
ECOG	Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group
EFS	Event-free survival
HR	hazard ratio
IPI	International Prognostic Index
LYSA	Lymphoma Study Association
OS	overall survival
PET/CT	positron emission tomography/computed tomography
PR1	first-time partial remission
PSM	Propensity score matching;
PTCL	Peripheral T/NK-cell lymphoma
PTCL-NOS	peripheral T-cell lymphoma not otherwise specified