



## Enhancing Drug Efficacy and Therapeutic Index through Cheminformatics-Based Selection of Small Molecule Binary Weapons That Improve Transporter-Mediated Targeting: A Cytotoxicity System Based on Gemcitabine

#### Justine M. Grixti<sup>1,2</sup>, Steve O'Hagan<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Philip J. Day<sup>1,2</sup> and Douglas B. Kell<sup>2,3,4\*</sup>

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Thomas J. Anastasio, University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign, USA

#### Reviewed by:

Peng Hsiao, Seattle Genetics Inc., USA David Dickens, University of Liverpool, UK

#### \*Correspondence:

Douglas B. Kell dbk@manchester.ac.uk

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Experimental Pharmacology and Drug Discovery, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

> Received: 13 December 2016 Accepted: 10 March 2017 Published: 27 March 2017

#### Citation:

Grixti JM, O'Hagan S, Day PJ and Kell DB (2017) Enhancing Drug Efficacy and Therapeutic Index through Cheminformatics-Based Selection of Small Molecule Binary Weapons That Improve Transporter-Mediated Targeting: A Cytotoxicity System Based on Gemcitabine. Front. Pharmacol. 8:155. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2017.00155 <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK, <sup>2</sup> Manchester Institute of Biotechnology, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK, <sup>3</sup> School of Chemistry, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK, <sup>4</sup> Centre for Synthetic Biology of Fine and Speciality Chemicals, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

The transport of drug molecules is mainly determined by the distribution of influx and efflux transporters for which they are substrates. To enable tissue targeting, we sought to develop the idea that we might affect the transporter-mediated disposition of small-molecule drugs via the addition of a second small molecule that of itself had no inhibitory pharmacological effect but that influenced the expression of transporters for the primary drug. We refer to this as a "binary weapon" strategy. The experimental system tested the ability of a molecule that on its own had no cytotoxic effect to increase the toxicity of the nucleoside analog gemcitabine to Panc1 pancreatic cancer cells. An initial phenotypic screen of a 500-member polar drug (fragment) library yielded three "hits." The structures of 20 of the other 2,000 members of this library suite had a Tanimoto similarity greater than 0.7 to those of the initial hits, and each was itself a hit (the cheminformatics thus providing for a massive enrichment). We chose the top six representatives for further study. They fell into three clusters whose members bore reasonable structural similarities to each other (two were in fact isomers), lending strength to the self-consistency of both our conceptual and experimental strategies. Existing literature had suggested that indole-3-carbinol might play a similar role to that of our fragments, but in our hands it was without effect; nor was it structurally similar to any of our hits. As there was no evidence that the fragments could affect toxicity directly, we looked for effects on transporter transcript levels. In our hands, only the ENT1-3 uptake and ABCC2,3,4,5, and 10 efflux transporters displayed measurable transcripts in Panc1 cultures, along with a ribonucleoside reductase RRM1 known to affect gemcitabine toxicity. Very strikingly, the addition of gemcitabine alone increased the expression of the transcript for ABCC2 (MRP2) by more than 12-fold, and that of RRM1 by more than fourfold, and each of the fragment "hits" served to reverse this. However, an inhibitor of ABCC2 was without

1

significant effect, implying that RRM1 was possibly the more significant player. These effects were somewhat selective for Panc cells. It seems, therefore, that while the effects we measured were here mediated more by efflux than influx transporters, and potentially by other means, the binary weapon idea is hereby fully confirmed: it is indeed possible to find molecules that manipulate the expression of transporters that are involved in the bioactivity of a pharmaceutical drug. This opens up an entirely new area, that of chemical genomics-based drug targeting.

Keywords: binary weapon, cheminformatics, gemcitabine, anticancer drugs, pancreatic cancer, drug transporters, phenotypic screening

### INTRODUCTION

In a typical small molecule drug discovery programme pipeline, candidate ("hit") compounds for treating a particular disease are selected from a large chemical library, and after various modifications (to form "leads" and variants thereof) enter "phase 1," a testing for safety at low doses in healthy volunteers. "Attrition" is a term used to describe the failure of such molecules to progress further to market, via phases 2 and 3 (small and larger clinical trials) (Kola and Landis, 2004; Empfield and Leeson, 2010; Leeson and Empfield, 2010; Leeson, 2016). Nowadays attrition occurs largely for reasons of toxicity or lack of efficacy (Kola and Landis, 2004; Arrowsmith and Miller, 2013), and runs in excess of 90% (e.g., Kola and Landis, 2004; Kell, 2013, and see for full details http://csdd.tufts.edu/files/uploads/Tufts\_CSDD\_ briefing\_on\_RD\_cost\_study\_-\_Nov\_18,\_2014..pdf), with gross pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics (as assessed at the whole organ level) being seen as less of an issue than it once was (Kola and Landis, 2004). The simple consequence of this level of attrition is that it costs  $\sim 10$  times more than it might, per molecule, now as much as \$2.5 Bn, to bring a drug successfully to market.

# Role of Transporters in Cellular Drug Uptake

We have argued that a lack of understanding of human metabolism and of the transporters necessary to get orally active drugs across intestinal epithelia and into target cells is one of the chief causes of attrition. By now, following a similar programme in yeast (Herrgård et al., 2008), we do have a reasonable model of the human metabolic network (Swainston et al., 2013, 2016; Thiele et al., 2013), with fully one third of the steps involving some kind of transport(er), and with uptake transporters of the SoLute Carrier families (SLCs) (Hediger et al., 2004, 2013) being woefully understudied (César-Razquin et al., 2015). In particular, although it remains underappreciated, we have rehearsed on multiple occasions the abundant evidence that the non-transporter- (i.e., bilayer-) mediated uptake of drugs through intact cell membranes is normally negligible (e.g., Dobson and Kell, 2008; Dobson et al., 2009a,b; Kell et al., 2011, 2013, 2015; Lanthaler et al., 2011; Kell, 2013, 2015a,b, 2016a,b; Kell and Goodacre, 2014; Kell and Oliver, 2014; Mendes et al., 2015; O'Hagan and Kell, 2015a; Kell, 2015a,b), a striking recent example being that of Superti-Furga and colleagues (Winter et al., 2014). This shifts the agenda to one of molecular enzymology and systems biology, in which we need to discover (i) which transporters transport which drugs (Giacomini et al., 2010; Sugiyama and Steffansen, 2013), (ii) their expression profiles in different membranes and tissues, and (iii) their kinetic properties. In other words it leads us to recognize that this is fundamentally a problem of systems pharmacology (e.g., van der Greef and Mcburney, 2005; Berger and Iyengar, 2009; van der Graaf and Benson, 2011; Antman et al., 2012; Rostami-Hodjegan, 2012; Waldman and Terzic, 2012; Zhao and Iyengar, 2012; Kell and Goodacre, 2014; Westerhoff et al., 2015; Kell, 2015a).

### **Transporter-Mediated Drug Targeting**

A particularly nice example of the overwhelming use of transporters for drug uptake comes from the study of Superti-Furga and colleagues (Winter et al., 2014) using haploid cells and determining that very much less than 1% of sepantronium uptake could have occurred other than via a specific SLC called SLC35F2. In a similar and complementary vein, the expression profile of specific transporters allows one to target drug substrates to the particular tissues in which the relevant transporters are most highly expressed. This has been illustrated beautifully by Pfefferkorn and colleagues for both a glucokinase activator (Pfefferkorn et al., 2012; Pfefferkorn, 2013; Sharma et al., 2015) and a "statin"-type drug (Pfefferkorn et al., 2011) that are both targeted to the liver via proteins of the Organic Anion Transport Protein (OATP/SLCO/SLC21) (Hagenbuch and Stieger, 2013) family. In this case substantial concentration ratios of e.g., hepatocyte: pancreas of 50:1 (Pfefferkorn et al., 2012) and hepatocyte:myocyte of 250,000:1 (Pfefferkorn et al., 2011) could be achieved (a finding hard to explain on the basis of any significant bilayer permeability!). Other examples of tissue-selective drug targeting include a liver-targeted stearoyl desaturase inhibitor (Oballa et al., 2011; Ramtohul et al., 2011; Liu, 2013), various other liver-targeted drugs based on OATPs (Buxhofer-Ausch et al., 2013; Tu et al., 2013), and a prostatespecific targeting of an iodide transporter for radio-iodinemediated cell killing (Kakinuma et al., 2003).

These examples show what can be achieved in terms of drug targeting if the transporter distribution happens to work to one's advantage "naturally," but cannot be exploited directly when it does not.

The glucokinase activator case is important, since if such drug molecules were allowed to enter all tissues they proved

Drug Targeting Binary Weapon Strategy

toxic (Pfefferkorn et al., 2012; Pfefferkorn, 2013). A similar and particular case of interest is that of broadly cytotoxic anticancer drugs, where we evidently need mechanisms to target them solely to the tissue of interest, and where we might then greatly improve their therapeutic index. Since the tissue-dependent expression of such transporter molecules is highly heterogeneous (see e.g., almost any dataset in the human protein atlas http:// proteinatlas.org/ Uhlén et al., 2015, including those for SLC28 http://www.proteinatlas.org/search/slc28 and SLC29 http://www. proteinatlas.org/search/slc29), it must be subject to regulation (e.g., Pennycooke et al., 2001; Del Santo et al., 2001; Fernández-Veledo et al., 2004, 2007; Plant, 2016). Thus, just as with the small-molecule-driven induction of pluripotent stem cells (Okita et al., 2007; Feng et al., 2009; Desponts and Ding, 2010; Li and Ding, 2010; Zhang, 2010; Grskovic et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012, 2014; Li X. et al., 2015; Jung et al., 2014; Kang et al., 2014), that regulation can similarly be affected by pharmacological intervention with small molecule effectors. Thus, our aim was to seek small molecules that were themselves without cytotoxic effects but that could increase the response of different target cells to anti-cancer drugs that are otherwise present at only a barely cytotoxic level, in particular by modulating the level of activities of specific uptake transporters. It differs from the use of pairs of existing drugs of known activities (e.g., Borisy et al., 2003; Lehár et al., 2007, 2008, 2009a,b; Zimmermann et al., 2007; Wright, 2016), but, interestingly, bears a clear resemblance to the overall strategy used in traditional Chinese medicine where a "shi" ("courier") herb is used to assist the delivery of the main ingredient ("Jun" or "Emperor" herb) to its site of action (Zhao et al., 2015). We refer to this combination as a "binary weapon."

#### **Gemcitabine and Pancreatic Cancer**

The nucleoside analog gemcitabine (2,2'-difluorodeoxycytidine, Gemzar<sup>®</sup>) (Alvarellos et al., 2014) is one of the most commonly used chemotherapeutic agents in pancreatic adenocarcinoma, the carcinoma with arguably the least favorable prognosis (5year survival time) of any (Bhattacharjee et al., 2014; Waddell et al., 2015). Like all nucleoside inhibitors of this type, it must first be transported into the cell and then be metabolized (phosphorylated) to exert its clinical action (thereby lowering its ability to act as a substrate for efflux pumps Fukuda and Schuetz, 2012, though see below). Gemcitabine has multiple intracellular targets, and up-regulation of these targets or nucleosidemetabolizing enzymes such as ribonucleotide reductase (RRM1) may confer resistance to this drug (Bergman et al., 2002, 2005; Nakano et al., 2007; Minami et al., 2015). The main uptake transporters are considered to be ENT1 (SLC29A1) and CNT1/3 (SLC28A1/3) of the SLC28/29 families (Kong et al., 2004; Podgorska et al., 2005; Veltkamp et al., 2008; Young et al., 2008, 2013; Molina-Arcas et al., 2009; Cano-Soldado and Pastor-Anglada, 2012; Molina-Arcas and Pastor-Anglada, 2013) (Table 1). SLC28 transporters are sodium-dependent concentrative nucleoside transporters (Smith et al., 2007), while SLC29 are equilibrative. Notably, there is considerable evidence that the potency (cytotoxicity) of gemcitabine is strongly related to the expression level(s) of these transporters (e.g., Burke et al.,

1998; Mackey et al., 1998a,b; Baldwin et al., 1999; Rauchwerger et al., 2000; Cass, 2001; Achiwa et al., 2004; Spratlin et al., 2004; Giovannetti et al., 2005, 2006, 2007; King et al., 2006; Marcé et al., 2006; Mey et al., 2006; Mini et al., 2006; Leung and Tse, 2007; Mori et al., 2007; Oguri et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007; Cano-Soldado et al., 2008; Molina-Arcas et al., 2008; Pérez-Torras et al., 2008; Veltkamp et al., 2008; Andersson et al., 2009; Damaraju et al., 2009; Farrell et al., 2009, 2016; Köse and Schiedel, 2009; Maréchal et al., 2009, 2012; Wong et al., 2009; Hagmann et al., 2010; Lane et al., 2010; Molina-Arcas and Pastor-Anglada, 2010; Okazaki et al., 2010; Paproski et al., 2010, 2013; Santini et al., 2010, 2011; Spratlin and Mackey, 2010; Tanaka et al., 2010; Bhutia et al., 2011; De Pas et al., 2011; Gusella et al., 2011; Komori et al., 2011; Matsumura et al., 2011; Borbath et al., 2012; Choi, 2012; Gesto et al., 2012; Kobayashi et al., 2012; Koczor et al., 2012; Morinaga et al., 2012; Murata et al., 2012; Eto et al., 2013; Nakagawa et al., 2013; Skrypek et al., 2013; Xiao et al., 2013; Chan et al., 2014; Deng et al., 2014; Greenhalf et al., 2014; Khatri et al., 2014; Koay et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2014; Lemstrová et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014; Nordh et al., 2014; Tavano et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2014; de Sousa Cavalcante and Monteiro, 2014; Hung et al., 2015; Pastor-Anglada and Pérez-Torras, 2015; Yamada et al., 2016).

ABC-type efflux transporters are heavily involved in drug resistance in both mammals (e.g., Liu et al., 2005; Fukuda and Schuetz, 2012; Rosenberg et al., 2015; Silva et al., 2015) and microbes (e.g., Putman et al., 2000; Du et al., 2014; Prasad and Rawal, 2014; Li X.-Z. et al., 2015), and may be of value in industrial biotechnology (Kell et al., 2015). Gemcitabine may also be a substrate for certain efflux transporters such as ABCG2/BRCP (König et al., 2005; Keppler, 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Lemstrová et al., 2014), although "knockdown of ABCC3, ABCC5 or ABCC10 individually did not significantly increase gemcitabine sensitivity" (Rudin et al., 2011). Finally, gemcitabine may also be deaminated in plasma, leading to its clearance (Hodge et al., 2011).

Other small molecules known to affect the response of pancreatic cancer cells to gemcitabine include nicotine (Banerjee et al., 2013, 2014), while molecules that affect nucleoside transporter expression include bile acids (Klein et al., 2009). Finally, erlotinib, gefitinib, and vandetanib inhibit human nucleoside transporters and thereby protect cancer cells from gemcitabine cytotoxicity (Damaraju et al., 2014), while a variety of kinase inhibitors (Huang et al., 2002, 2003, 2004) and dihydropyridine-type calcium channel antagonists (Li et al., 2007) may also affect nucleoside transport.

In particular, however, and not least since the small molecule indole-3-carbinol (which is probably converted to 3,3'-diindolylmethane Banerjee et al., 2009) had been stated to increase both ENT1 expression and the sensitivity of pancreatic carcinoma cells to gemcitabine (Wang et al., 2011), possibly acting via miRNA-21 (Giovannetti et al., 2010; Hwang et al., 2010; Melkamu et al., 2010; Paik et al., 2013), as too did the molecule "S-1" (Nakahira et al., 2008; Jordheim and Dumontet, 2013), the gemcitabine/nucleoside transporter system seemed ideal for the test of our "binary weapon" strategy. The present paper reports the results of this approach.

Name	Notes		Nucleosides tr	ansported	
		Adenosine	Thymidine	Cytidine	Guanosine
ENT1/SLC29A1	Transports adenosine, guanosine, inosine, uridine, cytidine, thymidine with Km-values ranging from 50 to 580 μM (You and Morris, 2014)	<b>High affinity</b> (Aran and Plagemann, 1992; Mackey et al., 1998b; Ward et al., 2000; Vickers et al., 2002; Spratiin et al., 2004)	High affinity (Aran and Plagemann, 1992; Mackey et al., 1998b; Ward et al., 2000; Vickers et al., 2002; Spratlin et al., 2004)	High affinity (Aran and Plagemann, 1992; Mackey et al., 1998b; Ward et al., 2000; Vickers et al., 2002; Spratlin et al., 2004)	High affinity (Aran and Plagemann, 1992; Mackey et al., 1998b; Ward et al., 2000; Spratlin et al., 2004)
ENT2/SLC29A2	To date, hENT2 (and intracellular hENT3) are the first discovered, and so far only identified, transporter proteins for nucleobases inside human cells and tissues (Yao et al., 2011)	hENT2 is a generally low affinity nucleoside and guanosine, respectively (Aran and Plag affinity toward adenosine metabolites (inosi et al., 2000; Vickers et al., 2002; Spratlin et	transporter with 2.6-, 2.8-, 7.7-, and 19 emann, 1992; Mackey et al., 1998b; We ne and hypoxanthine) (Ward et al., 2000) al., 2004; You and Morris, 2014)	3-fold lower affinity than hENT1 for thyn urd et al., 2000; Spratlin et al., 2004; You . 4-fold higher toward inosine (Aran and	aidine, adenosine, cytidine, and Morris, 2014), High Plagemann, 1992; Ward
ENT3/SLC29A3	Also carries purines and pyrimidines nucleosides but functions predominantly in intracellular membranes (Baldwin et al., 2005; Yao et al., 2011)	Low affinity (Baldwin et al., 2005). Transport (Baldwin et al., 2005; You and Morris, 2014	t exhibits a pH optimum )	Also transports adenine (Yao et al., 20	11; You and Morris, 2014)
CNT1/SLC28A1	Pyrimidine selective (Ward et al., 2	:000; Ritzel et al., 2001; You and Morris, 201	(4)	Also transports adenosine with high af	finity (Ritzel et al., 2001)
CNT2/SLC28A2	Purine selective (Loewen et al., 1999; Wang and Giacomini, 1999; Ward et al., 2000; Saunders et al., 2011)	High affinity for purines (Km < 10 μM) (Cansev, 2006; Huber-Ruano et al., 2010; You and Morris, 2014)	Low affinity for pyrimidines? (Cansev, 2	2006)	
CNT3/SLC28A3	Non-selective for purine and pyrim	ildine (Ward et al., 2000; You and Morris, 201	14)		
SLC29 family and cc	oncentrative and Na <sup>+</sup> -coupled, while SL	LC28 family is equilibrative. ENT4 also exists but	seems to play little or any role.		

TABLE 1 | The main human nucleoside transporters and some of their properties.

Grixti et al.

March 2017 | Volume 8 | Article 155

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Cells and Reagents**

The human pancreatic duct epithelioid carcinoma cell line, Panc1 (see Gou et al., 2007), and the human embryonic kidney cell line, HEK293 were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Sigma). The human bone marrow neuroblastoma cell line, SH-SY5Y was grown in a 1:1 mixture of Eagle's Minimum Essential Medium (Sigma) and F12 Medium (Sigma). All cell culture media were supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 200 mM L-glutamine, and a 5 mL solution containing 10,000 units.mL-1 penicillin and 10 mg.mL-1 streptomycin. The immortal human pancreatic duct epithelial cell line, hPDE was grown in Keratinocyte-SFM (1X) medium (ThermoFisher), supplemented with 10 mg.mL-1 streptomycin. All four cell lines were obtained and karyotyped locally. Cells were routinely maintained at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO2 atmosphere, in continuous exponential growth at a cell density ranging between  $1 \times 10^5$  and  $1 \times 10^6$  cells.mL-1, by passaging every 3 or 4 days. Cell line authenticity was confirmed through karyotype testing (University of Manchester, UK).

#### **Cell Growth/Viability Assay**

Cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of 5,000 cells/well, in triplicate, and left to attach. Gemcitabine, present at different concentrations, was added directly to the cells, and left to incubate for an additional 96 h. Cells were then subjected to the MTT Cell Proliferation Assay as per the manufacturer's instructions (Sigma). Absorbance at 570 nm was measured 3 h after the addition of 10  $\mu$ L of MTT salt reagent/well.

#### **Maybridge Fragment Screening**

Maybridge fragments (MBFs) obeying the "rule of three" (Congreve et al., 2003) were supplied at 100 mM in DMSO and were deployed into the assay plates using an ECHO contactless liquid handler (Labcyte, Inc). For screening purposes, the first 500 MBFs (Library 1) were pooled, i.e., each well in a 96-well plate had a pool of six MBFs. Cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of 5,000 cells/well, in triplicate, and left to attach overnight. Following incubation, the growth medium was replaced with fresh medium containing the pooled MBFs, each fragment present at 10  $\mu$ M, followed by an additional 24 h incubation. The cells were further incubated with the fragments in the presence of gemcitabine at 20 nM for 96 h. Cells were then subjected to the MTT Cell Proliferation Assay as described above.

To study the effect of each MBF on its own rather than in a pool, the candidate pooled fragments (i.e., showing activity) were de-convolved, i.e., one MBF/well, and cells were plated and treated as described above.

### **Specificity Experiments**

SH-SY5Y cells were seeded at a density of 12,500 cells/well, HEK293 and hPDE cells at a density of 10,000 cells/well, in triplicate in a 96-well plate, and left to attach overnight. Following incubation, the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing the MBF hits (i.e., MBF D1, B1, 10, 11, 12, and 20) at 10  $\mu$ M, followed by an additional 24 h incubation period. Cells were further incubated with the fragments in the presence of gemcitabine at 100 nM for 72 h (SH-SY5Y cells) and 96 h (HEK293 and hPDE cells). Cell viability was then assessed using the MTT Cell Proliferation Assay.

## **Cheminformatic Analyses**

These were all performed as in our previous work of this type (O'Hagan and Kell, 2015a,b,c; O'Hagan et al., 2015; O'Hagan and Kell, 2016), using the KNIME workflow system (see e.g., Berthold et al., 2008; Mazanetz et al., 2012; Meinl et al., 2012; Warr, 2012; O'Hagan and Kell, 2015b and http://knime.org/).

### **Maybridge Fragment Titration Experiments**

Cells were seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of 5,000 cells/well, in triplicate, and left to attach overnight. Following incubation, the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing MBFs at different concentrations (3, 10, 30, 100, and 300  $\mu$ M) followed by an additional 24 h incubation. Cells were further incubated with the fragments in the presence of gemcitabine at 100 nM for 96 h. Cells were then assessed using the MTT Cell Proliferation Assay as described earlier.

## Cell Culture Treatments for Gene Dysregulation Studies

To examine the effect of gemcitabine and MBFs, alone or in combination; on expression of the influx and efflux transporter genes and of the RRM1 gene, cells were seeded in a 6-well plate at a density of 30,000 cells/well, in duplicate, and left to attach overnight. Following incubation, in studies where the effects of the MBFs alone were studied, the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing MBFs at 10 µM, followed by further incubation for 24 h. For studies where the effects of gemcitabine alone were studied, the medium was replaced with fresh medium containing gemcitabine at 100 nM, followed by further incubation for 96 h. For studies in which cells were treated with gemcitabine in combination with the fragments, the cells were first pre-treated with MBFs at 10 µM for 24 h, followed by further incubation with the fragments at 10  $\mu M$ in the presence of gemcitabine at 100 nM for 96 h. Cells were harvested using TRIzol<sup>®</sup> reagent (Life Technologies) and stored in -80°C until use.

#### Total RNA Isolation and Quantitative Real-Time Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-qPCR)

Following treatment as described above, total cellular RNA was isolated from the cells using the RNeasy isolation kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA concentration was determined using a NanoDrop<sup>®</sup> Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop ND-1000, NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, USA). The OD\_260/280 nm ratios of all RNA samples were determined to be between 1.9 and 2.0, suggesting that all RNA samples were highly pure. RNA integrity was verified by the Agilent RNA 6000 Nano assay kit (Agilent Bioanalyser 2100, Agilent Technologies, Cheadle, UK) as described by the manufacturer. Single-strand cDNA used for RT-qPCR analyses was synthesized from purified total RNA using SuperScript<sup>®</sup> III Reverse Transcriptase (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK).





RT-qPCR were performed using 384-well plates, with a final volume of 10  $\mu$ L in each well, consisting of 4  $\mu$ L of cDNA, 5  $\mu$ L of 2x SYBR Green LightCycler 480\_TM PCR master mix (Roche Life Sciences), 0.8  $\mu$ L of sterile distilled water, 0.1  $\mu$ L each of 20  $\mu$ M reverse and forward primers. Samples were performed in triplicates. In the no template controls (negative controls) 4  $\mu$ L of H<sub>2</sub>O were added, instead of the cDNA samples. RT-qPCR reactions were carried-out using the Roche LightCycler LC\_480-qPCR platform, where fluorescence signals were measured in



real-time. The protocol, set-up with thermal cycling conditions, consisted of one cycle at  $95^{\circ}$ C for 10 min, followed by 45 cycles of amplification at  $95^{\circ}$ C for 10 s, and  $60^{\circ}$ C for 30 s. Roche LightCycler Data Analysis Software was used to determine the melt curve data as well as the quantification cycle values (Cq values). The changes in expression levels were normalized against two reference gene as determined via GeNorm (REF), and the relative mRNA levels of genes following treatment







were calculated using "The Comparative  $C_T$  Method" ( $\Delta\Delta C_T$  Method).

stability value (M) of 0.4 or less was reached (Vandesompele et al., 2002).

### Design of Primers for RT-qPCR

The National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) website (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/) was used to identify and obtain mRNA sequences. Exon boundaries were determined from the "European Molecular Biology Laboratories" website (http://www.ensembl.org). This procedure was performed until sets of primers were selected for each target gene. The final step involved checking the primers for similarity using NCBI BLAST (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) (http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/BLAST), reducing the chance of primers binding non-specifically.

# Identification of Reference Genes for RT-qPCR Analysis

Samples were analyzed for the expression of each of eight candidate reference genes, namely: ACTB (Beta-Actin), B2M (Beta-2-microglobulin), GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase), HMBS (hydroxymethyl-bilane synthase), HPRT1 (hypoxanthine phosphoribosyl transferase 1), RPL13A (ribosomal protein L13a), RPL32 (ribosomal protein L32), SDHA (succinate dehydrogenase complex, subunit A) as recommended by Vandesompele et al. (2002). RT-qPCR was performed as described previously, using the primers specific for each candidate reference gene. The GeNorm algorithm software package was used to determine the two most stable reference genes from the set of tested candidate genes by calculating a gene normalization factor, eliminating the least stable genes until a

## RESULTS

### Effects of Gemcitabine and Drug Fragments on the Viability of Panc-1 Cells

A standard strategy is to choose a series of molecules that cover chemical space effectively, and for this we chose initially the main Maybridge drug fragment library. It consists of 500 rule-of-three-compliant (Congreve et al., 2003) polar molecules that cover chemical space widely, and where the molecular properties include molecular weight <300, number of hydrogen bond donors <3, number of hydrogen bond acceptors <3, ClogP <3, and in addition, the number of rotatable bonds <3 and the polar surface area  $\leq 60$ Å<sup>2</sup>. While the use of fragments is commonplace in target-based assays, especially where structures are known (e.g., Erlanson and Hansen, 2004; Erlanson et al., 2004; Rees et al., 2004; Carr et al., 2005; Alex and Flocco, 2007; Ciulli and Abell, 2007; Jhoti, 2007; Jhoti et al., 2007; Hubbard, 2008; Fischer and Hubbard, 2009; Schulz and Hubbard, 2009; Whittaker et al., 2010; Leach and Hann, 2011; Erlanson, 2012; Caliandro et al., 2013), we here prefer the use of the rather more successful phenotypic screens (Swinney and Anthony, 2011; Swinney, 2013). Although it is hard to find published examples of phenotypic screens that used fragment-based libraries, we merely point out that 25% of successful (marketed) drugs are no larger than fragments (i.e., <300 Da) (O'Hagan and Kell, 2015c). The fragment-based approach also has the advantage of avoiding the

#### TABLE 2 | Six hits in the "binary weapon" assay given in three formats, plus indole-3-carbinol.

MBF	SMILES	Name	
D1	OC(=0)c1sc2sccc2c1Cl	3-chlorothieno[2,3-b]thiophene-2-carboxylic acid	S S OH
B1	CNCc1ccccc1c2cccs2	N-methyl-N-(2-thien-2-ylbenzyl)amine	
10	S1C(=CC=C1CNC)c1cccnc1	N-methyl-(5-pyrid-3-ylthien-2-yl)methylamine	S NH
11	S1C(=CC=C1CNC)c1ccncc1	N-methyl-(5-pyrid-4-ylthien-2-yl)methylamine	N S NH
12	S1C=C(c2c1ccc(c2)Cl)CC(=O)O	2-(5-Chlorobenzo[b]thiophen-3-yl)acetic acid	CI C
20	N1=COC(=C1)c1ccc(cc1)N	4-(1, 3-Oxazol-5-yl)aniline	NH2
I3C	C1=CC=C2C(=C1)C(=CN2)CO	Indole-3-carbinol	OH

increasing "molecular obesity" (Hann, 2011; Meanwell, 2011) that is seen in some cases as inimical to the finding of successful drugs (Leeson and Springthorpe, 2007; Leeson and Empfield, 2010).

Panc1 cells are a pancreatic cancer cell line (e.g., Gradiz et al., 2016). **Figure 1** shows four separate experiments in which the effect of the pools of the Maybridge fragments (6 at a time) on the viability of cells was assessed in the presence and absence of 20 nM gemcitabine, pointing up three pools containing "hits" (which occurred in at least 3 experiments; there are a total of 336 experiments here). **Figure 2** shows the % viability of one set of Panc1 cells as a function of the gemcitabine concentration, as a result of which we later chose 100 nM gemcitabine to assess the efficacy of the individual fragments in increasing its toxicity. **Figure 3** shows a titration curve for three repeats with one of the "hits," the plot also serving to illustrate the variability of the toxicity of gemcitabine alone on different days. **Figures 4**, **5** show the distribution in chemical space of all 500 fragments in the first Maybridge library and three "hits" at 10 µM that lowered

the viability of cells by at least 10% in the presence, but not the absence, of 100 nM gemcitabine. These were retested singly, then together pairwise, resulting in three hits, viz B1, D1, and B12. B12 seemed to interfere with the other two fragments by binding to them directly (UV evidence) and was not used further. Note that a significant issue is that although for a given batch of Panc1 cells the titration curves were reasonably reproducible, they were considerably less so between batches (for reasons that will become apparent below). This meant that each culture had to be used as its own control, as we did e.g., in **Figure 2**. Another interesting feature was that quite a significant fraction of the fragments (as in **Figure 1**, and see below) were even somewhat stimulatory to cell growth in the absence of gemcitabine.

There are four other Maybridge fragment libraries of 500 molecules each, covering broadly the same chemical space but in more detail (O'Hagan and Kell, 2015c), and we performed a cheminformatics analysis (MACCS encoding, Tanimoto similarity) to establish which other molecules might be similar, exactly as per the analyses in (O'Hagan et al., 2015). Some 20



clusters of molecules that could be observed.

molecules had a Tanimoto similarity within 0.7 of one of the three remaining hits and were tested. In this case, the starting % viability was much higher than those in Figure 2. All 20 of these fragments are in fact active, which shows that these molecules (Figure 6) exhibit a very considerable enrichment over the whole library, and illustrates the utility of the principle of molecular similarity (Gasteiger, 2003; Bender and Glen, 2004; Stumpfe and Bajorath, 2011; Maggiora et al., 2014). The figure also illustrates which of the original three hits the new hits are closest to, and encodes their S log P-values as the size of the marker. This enormous cheminformatics-based enrichment also gives considerable confidence in our strategy, despite the variability in sensitivity of the Panc1 cells to gemcitabine alone, since such a huge enrichment could not conceivable occur for molecules that were not active. Although none was quite as active as the original hits, all exhibited some kind of activity (Figure 2) (the starting viabilities for two different experiments in the presence of gemcitabine only were 78 and 84%). Of all of these, the seven most potent molecules exhibited activity at 3  $\mu$ M. One was rather expensive and was again excluded. Thus, we had a total of 6 hits to consider [two from library 1 (B1 and D1), and a total of four from the other four libraries, referred to as fragments 10, 11, 12,

and 20]. **Table 2** gives their names, SMILES encodings and 2D structures, along with that of indole-3-carboxylic acid (see later).

Figure 7 shows a (symmetrical) heatmap (MACCS encoding) of the Tanimoto similarities of the 22 most potent molecules, where it can again be seen that the hits are in three clusters. These are B1, 10, and 11 (all are amines), D1 and 12 (carboxylic acids), and 20 (an aniline derivative-possibly to be avoided Benigni and Passerini, 2002; Benigni et al., 2009; Franke et al., 2010). One implication is that they each have different targets (probably plural) but attempts even to show additivity, let alone synergy, met with failure, possibly because the molecules were indeed rather similar to each other in terms of the larger chemical space. Figure 8-equivalent to Figure 3-shows data for two experiments with fragment 10, again illustrating the stimulation of growth by the fragment alone, and its inhibition in the presence of a relatively weakly inhibiting concentration of gemcitabine. Finally, Figure 9 shows the Tanimoto similarities (TS, based on the MACCS encoding) between the six hits plus Indole-3-carbinol (I3C, see below). Fragments within a group showed a Tanimoto similarity of 0.75 or greater, while those between groups were less than 0.5. I3C was not really similar to any of the hits; its highest TS to any of the hits was 0.36. It is



especially gratifying to note that MBF10 and MBF11 were both selected and had a TS to each other of 1, as they are in fact structural isomers. Along with the other clusterings, this adds considerable weight to the validity of our assays.

## Effect of Indole-3-Carbinol on Gemcitabine Toxicity

Cruciferous vegetables such as Brassica spp. are considered to have certain anticancer properties (Higdon et al., 2007; Juge et al., 2007; Fujioka et al., 2016b), and small molecules derived from the hydrolysis of glucosinolates, such as sulforaphane and indole-3-carbinol (I3C), have been implicated in a variety of anticarcinogenic mechanisms (e.g., Chen et al., 2014; Fujioka et al., 2016a). I3C is a small molecule (MW 147.17, well within the range of "fragments"), and Lyn-Cook and colleagues (Lyn-Cook et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011; Paik et al., 2013) have published that I3C can enhance the sensitivity of pancreatic cancer cells to gemcitabine, possibly via upregulation of ENT1 expression (Wang et al., 2011). It was thus of interest to compare I3C with the hits that we found. In our hands, however, I3C had no measurable effect on either the cell viability in the presence or absence of gemcitabine (nor on the expression profiles discussed below). This is entirely consistent with its low structural similarity to the other hits as indicated above.

## Effect of Fragments on the Growth of Panc1 Cells

Although this was not the main focus of the present paper, we did note (as mentioned above) that the fragments themselves could stimulate the growth of Panc1 cells relative to that of controls (as measured by OD). This is illustrated in **Figure 10** for 28 of the fragments on which we focussed. Also encoded with the structures are the number of H-bond donors and acceptors, the total polar surface area of the fragments, and (on the abscissa) the S log *P*-values. It is clear (i) that virtually every fragment could stimulate the growth of the cells, and (ii) that there was no particularly obvious relationship of the extent of such stimulation with any of the descriptors stated.

### Effect of Gemcitabine and Fragments on the Expression of Selected Transcripts in Panc 1 Cells

Given that there was evidence that the fragments did not affect gemcitabine uptake directly, we assumed that they must be working by influencing the activity or expression of appropriate targets (and certainly small molecules can affect transporter expression, (e.g., Mrozikiewicz et al., 2014). To this end, we





FIGURE 10 | Effect of various fragments on cell growth/viability relative to untreated controls. Also plotted are the number of H-bond acceptors (by shape; square 1, circle 2, diamond 3, triangle 4), H-bond donors (by color, blue 0, green 1, red 2, yellow 3), total polar surface area (by size of symbol, up to 63 Å<sup>2</sup>) and S log P (on the abscissa).

TABLE 3   Changes in the transcript level of relevant transporters and
other genes when treated with gemcitabine and/or fragment D1.

Gene	Fold changes					
	Treatment with 100 nM GEM	Treatment with MBF D1 only	Treatment with MBF D1 and 100 nM GEM			
ENT1	0.87 ± 0.13	0.79 ± 0.12	1.08 ± 0.17			
ENT2	$0.57\pm0.13$	$0.98\pm0.27$	$0.59\pm0.17$			
ENT3	$2.58\pm0.11$	$1.18 \pm 0.64^{*}$	$0.89 \pm 0.20^{***}$			
ABCC2	$12.27 \pm 0.34$	$0.66 \pm 0.14^{***}$	1.33 ± 0.33***			
ABCC3	$0.16\pm0.48$	$2.10 \pm 0.09^{**}$	$0.54 \pm 0.18$			
ABCC4	$0.53\pm0.10$	$0.90\pm0.23$	$0.36\pm0.14$			
ABCC5	$0.50 \pm 0.11$	$1.18 \pm 0.32^{*}$	$1.21 \pm 0.15^{**}$			
ABCC10	$1.61\pm0.48$	$0.53 \pm 0.08^{*}$	$0.48 \pm 0.16^{*}$			
RRM1	$4.43\pm0.13$	1.11 ± 0.17***	$2.07 \pm 0.16^{***}$			

Only those transcripts detectable within 35 PCR cycles are shown. Data are given as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation. A 2-sided T-test was performed to assess statistical significance against GEM alone, P-values being encoded as \* < 0.05, \*\* < 0.01, \*\*\* < 0.001.

TABLE 4 | Changes in the transcript level of ABCC2 and RRM1 when treated with gemcitabine and/or the other fragment hits.

	Treatment	Gene fold changes				
		ABCC2	STDEV	RRM1	STDEV	
GEM	100 nM GEM	12.27	±0.34	4.43	±0.13	
MBF D1	MBF D1 only	0.66***	±0.14	1.11***	±0.17	
	MBF D1 + 100 nM GEM	1.33***	±0.33	2.07***	±0.16	
MBF B1	MBF B1 only	0.49***	±0.08	1.22***	±0.12	
	MBF B1 + 100 nM GEM	1.21***	$\pm 0.53$	2.77***	±0.11	
MBF 10	MBF 10 only	1.00***	±0.23	1.76***	±0.14	
	MBF 10 + 100 nM GEM	0.68***	±0.05	1.56***	±0.08	
MBF 11	MBF 11 only	1.09***	±0.09	1.04***	±0.11	
	MBF 11 + 100 nM GEM	0.93***	±0.15	1.88***	±0.20	
MBF 12	MBF 12 only	0.65***	±0.13	1.39***	±0.09	
	MBF 12 + 100 nM GEM	1.25***	±0.19	2.11***	±0.15	
MBF 20	MBF 20 only	0.7***	±0.06	1.43***	±0.11	
	MBF 20 + 100 nM GEM	1.13***	±0.05	2.02***	±0.12	

A 2-sided T-test was performed to assess statistical significance vs. GEM alone, P-values being encoded as \* < 0.05, \*\* < 0.01, \*\*\* < 0.001.

designed primers to enable PCR of transcripts relevant to gemcitabine transport and metabolism. **Table 3** shows each of those that were detectable within 35 PCR cycles when treated (i) with gemcitabine alone, (ii) with Maybridge fragment D1 alone, and (iii) with both gemcitabine and D1. Strikingly, gemcitabine increases the expression of the ABCC2 efflux transporter (MRP2) more than 12-fold, and that of RRM1 more than fourfold, while the addition of D1 largely reverses both of these effects. It would seem that these are by far the largest contributors to the efficacy of fragment D1 in enhancing the cytotoxicity of gemcitabine, and the same is true for <u>each</u> of the other fragments (**Table 4** and **Figure 11**). However, the ABCC2 inhibitor MK-571 (e.g., Weiss et al., 2007; Noma et al., 2008) at 20  $\mu$ M had no effect on the viability of Panc1 cells treated with Gemcitabine

alone (data not shown), possibly implying that RRM1 was the more significant contributor to the phenotypic changes in resistance.

#### Selectivity of Fragments for Increasing Transporter Expression

Having seen that various of the fragments could increase the toxicity of gemcitabine to Panc1 cells, it was of interest to see whether this was a cell-selective phenomenon. Although time did not permit an exhaustive study, we noted that fragments 10 and 20 also had these toxicity-enhancing effect for the neuroblastoma SH-SY5Y cell line while B1, D1, 11, and 12 did not (**Figure 12**). No fragments seemed to have any such effects on the non-cancerous pancreatic cell line hPDE (**Figure 13**) and HEK293 cells (**Figure 14**), implying that there is or can be at least some degree of specificity in our "binary weapon" approach. Clearly a larger-scale study (including both larger libraries and more cell lines) would be able to discover molecules with both potency and selectivity.

#### DISCUSSION

In the present work, we sought to develop the idea that we might affect the transporter-mediated disposition of small-molecule drugs via the addition of a second small molecule that of itself had no inhibitory pharmacological effect but that influenced the expression of transporters for the primary drug (**Figure 15**). We refer to this as a "binary weapon" strategy. The specific phenotypic effect we sought was for a molecule that on its own had no such effect to increase the toxicity of the nucleoside analog gemcitabine to Panc1 pancreatic cancer cells (**Figures 1–3**).

Given the recognition (O'Hagan and Kell, 2015c) that more some 25% of marketed drugs are in fact no larger than the polar "rule-of-three"-compliant (Congreve et al., 2003) molecules used in fragment-based drug discovery, we used an initial screen of a 500-member polar drug fragment library. This yielded three "hits" (**Figures 4**, **5**). The structures of 20 of the other 2000 members of this library had a Tanimoto similarity greater than 0.7 to those of the initial hits, and each was itself a hit (**Figure 6**) (with the cheminformatics thus providing for a massive enrichment in the fraction of successful experiments). We chose the top six representatives for further study. They each bore reasonable structural similarities to each other (two were in fact isomers), lending strength to the self-consistency of both our conceptual and experimental strategies (**Figures 7**, **8**).

Existing literature had suggested that indole-3-carbinol might play a similar role to that of our fragments, but in our hands it was without effect, and nor was it structurally similar to any of our hits (**Figure 9**). We therefore discounted it.

There is an interesting issue when the phenotypic activity being measured is in fact cell death, as it is then impossible legitimately to compare bulk measurements of biochemical changes with individual-cell viabilities. This is because with bulk or ensemble measurements one does not know if say a lowering of a biochemical parameter by 50% means that all of the cells have lost half of it or half of the cells have lost all











of it (or anything in between) (Kell et al., 1991, 1998; Davey and Kell, 1996). In the event, the mechanism was very clear, however.

Because the fragments were themselves without negative effects on the cells in the absence of gemcitabine (interestingly, many of them actually stimulated cell growth, **Figure 1**, so each had to be compared to the appropriate control!), we next designed suitable primers to assess the expression levels of all the candidate transporters plus ribonucleotide reductase. In our hands, only the ENT1-3 uptake and ABCC2,3,4,5, and 10 efflux transporters displayed measurable transcripts, along with RRM1.

#### REFERENCES

- Achiwa, H., Oguri, T., Sato, S., Maeda, H., Niimi, T., and Ueda, R. (2004). Determinants of sensitivity and resistance to gemcitabine: the roles of human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 and deoxycytidine kinase in non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Sci.* 95, 753–757. doi: 10.1111/j.1349-7006.2004.tb03257.x
- Alex, A. A., and Flocco, M. M. (2007). Fragment-based drug discovery: what has it achieved so far? *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 7, 1544–1567. doi: 10.2174/156802607782341082
- Alvarellos, M. L., Lamba, J., Sangkuhl, K., Thorn, C. F., Wang, L., Klein, D. J., et al. (2014). PharmGKB summary: gemcitabine pathway. *Pharmacogenet. Genomics* 24, 564–574. doi: 10.1097/FPC.00000000000086
- Andersson, R., Aho, U., Nilsson, B. I., Peters, G. J., Pastor-Anglada, M., Rasch, W., et al. (2009). Gemcitabine chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer: molecular mechanisms and potential solutions. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* 44, 782–786. doi: 10.1080/00365520902745039
- Antman, E., Weiss, S., and Loscalzo, J. (2012). Systems pharmacology, pharmacogenetics, and clinical trial design in network medicine. Wiley interdisciplinary reviews. *Syst. Biol. Med.* 4, 367–383. doi: 10.1002/wsbm.1173
- Aran, J. M., and Plagemann, P. G. W. (1992). Nucleoside transport-deficient mutants of PK-15 pig kidney cell line. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1110, 51–58. doi: 10.1016/0005-2736(92)90293-U

Very strikingly, the addition of gemcitabine alone increased the expression of the transcript for ABCC2 (MRP2) by more than 12-fold, and that of RRM1 by more than fourfold, and each of the fragment "hits" served to reverse this, at least in part (**Figure 11**). The effects on ABCC2 are thus consistent with the finding (Horiguchi et al., 2013) that it may be a major efflux pump for gemcitabine.

It seems, therefore, that while the effect was here mediated more by efflux than influx transporters, the binary weapon idea is hereby fully confirmed: our results show that it is possible to find molecules that manipulate the expression of transporters that are involved in the bioactivity of a pharmaceutical drug, and that there is a certain degree of specificity in this for pancreatic cancer cells (**Figures 12–14**). This could explain, at least in part, the basis for the selective toxicity of a drug that is otherwise cytotoxic generally (**Figure 15**). The next steps will involve determining much more extensively how much any such activity differs, or can be made to differ (as do most transcript levels), between different cells.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

DK and PD designed the study. All the experimental work was performed by JG, who was supervised by PD and DK. Some of the cheminformatics analyses were performed by SO. All authors contributed to and approved the writing of the manuscript.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council for supporting this work (BBSRC grants BB/K019783/1 and BB/M017702/1). This is a contribution from the Manchester Centre for Synthetic Biology of Fine and Speciality Chemicals (SYNBIOCHEM).

- Arrowsmith, J., and Miller, P. (2013). Trial watch: phase II and phase III attrition rates 2011-2012. Nat. Rev. Drug Discov. 12, 569. doi: 10.1038/nrd4090
- Baldwin, S. A., Mackey, J. R., Cass, C. E., and Young, J. D. (1999). Nucleoside transporters: molecular biology and implications for therapeutic development. *Mol. Med. Today* 5, 216–224. doi: 10.1016/S1357-4310(99)01459-8
- Baldwin, S. A., Yao, S. Y. M., Hyde, R. J., Ng, A. M. L., Foppolo, S., Barnes, K., et al. (2005). Functional characterization of novel human and mouse equilibrative nucleoside transporters (hENT3 and mENT3) located in intracellular membranes. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 15880–15887. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M414337200
- Banerjee, J., Al-Wadei, H. A., Al-Wadei, M. H., Dagnon, K., and Schuller, H. M. (2014). Differential modulation of nicotine-induced gemcitabine resistance by GABA receptor agonists in pancreatic cancer cell xenografts and *in vitro*. BMC Cancer 14:725. doi: 10.1186/1471-2407-14-725
- Banerjee, J., Al-Wadei, H. A., and Schuller, H. M. (2013). Chronic nicotine inhibits the therapeutic effects of gemcitabine on pancreatic cancer *in vitro* and in mouse xenografts. *Eur. J. Cancer* 49, 1152–1158. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2012. 10.015
- Banerjee, S., Wang, Z., Kong, D., and Sarkar, F. H. (2009). 3,3'-Diindolylmethane enhances chemosensitivity of multiple chemotherapeutic agents in pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Res.* 69, 5592–5600. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-0838
- Bender, A., and Glen, R. C. (2004). Molecular similarity: a key technique in molecular informatics. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2, 3204–3218. doi: 10.1039/ b409813g

- Benigni, R., and Passerini, L. (2002). Carcinogenicity of the aromatic amines: from structure-activity relationships to mechanisms of action and risk assessment. *Mutat. Res.* 511, 191–206. doi: 10.1016/S1383-5742(02)00008-X
- Benigni, R., Worth, A., Netzeva, T., Jeliazkova, N., Bossa, C., Gruska, A., et al. (2009). Structural motifs modulating the carcinogenic risk of aromatic amines. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* 50, 152–161. doi: 10.1002/em.20461
- Berger, S. I., and Iyengar, R. (2009). Network analyses in systems pharmacology. *Bioinformatics* 25, 2466–2472. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp465
- Bergman, A. M., Eijk, P. P., Ruiz Van Haperen, V. W., Smid, K., Veerman, G., Hubeek, I., et al. (2005). *In vivo* induction of resistance to gemcitabine results in increased expression of ribonucleotide reductase subunit M1 as the major determinant. *Cancer Res.* 65, 9510–9516. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-0989
- Bergman, A. M., Pinedo, H. M., and Peters, G. J. (2002). Determinants of resistance to 2',2'-difluorodeoxycytidine (gemcitabine). *Drug Res. Updat.* 5, 19–33. doi: 10.1016/S1368-7646(02)00002-X
- Berthold, M. R., Cebron, N., Dill, F., Gabriel, T. R., Kötter, T., Meinl, T., et al. (2008). "KNIME: the Konstanz Information Miner," in *Data Analysis, Machine Learning and Applications*, eds C. Preisach, H. Burkhardt, L. Schmidt-Thieme, and R. Decker (Berlin: Springer), 319–326.
- Bhattacharjee, V., Zhou, Y., and Yen, T. (2014). A synthetic lethal screen identifies the Vitamin D receptor as a novel gemcitabine sensitizer in pancreatic cancer cells. *Cell Cycle* 13, 3839–3856. doi: 10.4161/15384101.2014.967070
- Bhutia, Y. D., Hung, S. W., Patel, B., Lovin, D., and Govindarajan, R. (2011). CNT1 expression influences proliferation and chemosensitivity in drug-resistant pancreatic cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* 71, 1825–1835. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-10-2736
- Borbath, I., Verbrugghe, L., Lai, R., Gigot, J. F., Humblet, Y., Piessevaux, H., et al. (2012). Human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 (hENT1) expression is a potential predictive tool for response to gemcitabine in patients with advanced cholangiocarcinoma. *Eur. J. Cancer* 48, 990–996. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2011.11.006
- Borisy, A. A., Elliott, P. J., Hurst, N. W., Lee, M. S., Lehar, J., Price, E. R., et al. (2003). Systematic discovery of multicomponent therapeutics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 100, 7977–7982. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1337088100
- Burke, T., Lee, S., Ferguson, P. J., and Hammond, J. R. (1998). Interaction of 2',2'difluorodeoxycytidine (gemcitabine) and formycin B with the Na<sup>+</sup>-dependent and -independent nucleoside transporters of Ehrlich ascites tumor cells. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 286, 1333–1340.
- Buxhofer-Ausch, V., Secky, L., Wlcek, K., Svoboda, M., Kounnis, V., Briasoulis, E., et al. (2013). Tumor-specific expression of organic anion-transporting polypeptides: transporters as novel targets for cancer therapy. *J. Drug Deliv.* 2013:863539. doi: 10.1155/2013/863539
- Caliandro, R., Belviso, D. B., Aresta, B. M., De Candia, M., and Altomare, C. D. (2013). Protein crystallography and fragment-based drug design. *Future Med. Chem.* 5, 1121–1140. doi: 10.4155/fmc.13.84
- Cano-Soldado, P., Molina-Arcas, M., Algueró, B., Larráyoz, I., Lostao, M. P., Grandas, A., et al. (2008). Compensatory effects of the human nucleoside transporters on the response to nucleoside-derived drugs in breast cancer MCF7 cells. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 75, 639–648. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2007.10.005
- Cano-Soldado, P., and Pastor-Anglada, M. (2012). Transporters that translocate nucleosides and structural similar drugs: structural requirements for substrate recognition. *Med. Res. Rev.* 32, 428–457. doi: 10.1002/med.20221
- Cansev, M. (2006). Uridine and cytidine in the brain: their transport and utilization. Brain Res. Rev. 52, 389-397. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresrev.2006.05.001
- Carr, R. A., Congreve, M., Murray, C. W., and Rees, D. C. (2005). Fragmentbased lead discovery: leads by design. *Drug Discov. Today* 10, 987–992. doi: 10.1016/S1359-6446(05)03511-7
- Cass, C. E. (2001). "Nucleoside transporter proteins: from Membrane Biology to Therapeutic Applications," in *The Jeanne Manery Fisher Memorial Lecture*, (Alliston, ON: CSBMCB/SCBBMC Bulletin), 52–59.
- César-Razquin, A., Snijder, B., Frappier-Brinton, T., Isserlin, R., Gyimesi, G., Bai, X., et al. (2015). A call for systematic research on solute carriers. *Cell* 162, 478–487. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.07.022
- Chan, S. L., Chan, S. T., Chan, E. H., and He, Z. X. (2014). Systemic treatment for inoperable pancreatic adenocarcinoma: review and update. *Chin. J. Cancer* 33, 267–276. doi: 10.5732/cjc.013.10134
- Chen, L., Cheng, P. H., Rao, X. M., Mcmasters, K. M., and Zhou, H. S. (2014). Indole-3-carbinol (I3C) increases apoptosis, represses growth of cancer

cells, and enhances adenovirus-mediated oncolysis. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* 15, 1256–1267. doi: 10.4161/cbt.29690

- Chen, M., Xue, X., Wang, F., An, Y., Tang, D., Xu, Y., et al. (2012). Expression and promoter methylation analysis of ATP-binding cassette genes in pancreatic cancer. *Oncol. Rep.* 27, 265–269. doi: 10.3892/or.2011.1475
- Choi, M. K. (2012). Variability of gemcitabine accumulation and its relationship to expression of nucleoside transporters in peripheral blood mononuclear cells. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* 35, 921–927. doi: 10.1007/s12272-012-0518-8
- Ciulli, A., and Abell, C. (2007). Fragment-based approaches to enzyme inhibition. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 18, 489–496. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2007.09.003
- Congreve, M., Carr, R., Murray, C., and Jhoti, H. (2003). A rule of three for fragment-based lead discovery? *Drug Discov. Today* 8, 876–877. doi: 10.1016/S1359-6446(03)02831-9
- Damaraju, V. L., Sawyer, M. B., Mackey, J. R., Young, J. D., and Cass, C. E. (2009). Human nucleoside transporters: biomarkers for response to nucleoside drugs. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids* 28, 450–463. doi: 10.1080/15257770903044499
- Damaraju, V. L., Scriver, T., Mowles, D., Kuzma, M., Ryan, A. J., Cass, C. E., et al. (2014). Erlotinib, gefitinib, and vandetanib inhibit human nucleoside transporters and protect cancer cells from gemcitabine cytotoxicity. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 20, 176–186. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-2293
- Davey, H. M., and Kell, D. B. (1996). Flow cytometry and cell sorting of heterogeneous microbial populations: the importance of single-cell analysis. *Microbiol. Rev.* 60, 641–696.
- Del Santo, B., Tarafa, G., Felipe, A., Casado, F. J., and Pastor-Anglada, M. (2001). Developmental regulation of the concentrative nucleoside transporters CNT1 and CNT2 in rat liver. J. Hepatol. 34, 873–880. doi: 10.1016/S0168-8278(01)00036-8
- Deng, T., Pan, H., Han, R., Huang, D., Li, H., Zhou, L., et al. (2014). Gemcitabine sensitivity factors, hENT1 and RRM1 as potential prognostic biomarker for advanced biliary tract cancer. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med.* 7, 5041–5049.
- De Pas, T. M., Toffalorio, F., Giovannetti, E., Radice, D., Russo, F., Angeli, I., et al. (2011). Optimizing pemetrexed-gemcitabine combination in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer: a pharmacogenetic approach. J. Thorac. Oncol. 6, 768–773. doi: 10.1097/JTO.0b013e31820d7818
- de Sousa Cavalcante, L., and Monteiro, G. (2014). Gemcitabine: metabolism and molecular mechanisms of action, sensitivity and chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 741C, 8–16. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2014.07.041
- Desponts, C., and Ding, S. (2010). Using small molecules to improve generation of induced pluripotent stem cells from somatic cells. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 636, 207–218. doi: 10.1007/978-1-60761-691-7\_13
- Dobson, P. D., and Kell, D. B. (2008). Carrier-mediated cellular uptake of pharmaceutical drugs: an exception or the rule? *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 7, 205–220. doi: 10.1038/nrd2438
- Dobson, P. D., Patel, Y., and Kell, D. B. (2009b). "Metabolite-likeness" as a criterion in the design and selection of pharmaceutical drug libraries. *Drug Discov. Today* 14, 31–40. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2008.10.011
- Dobson, P. D., Lanthaler, K., Oliver, S. G., and Kell, D. B. (2009a). Implications of the dominant role of cellular transporters in drug uptake. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 9, 163–184. doi: 10.2174/156802609787521616
- Du, D., Wang, Z., James, N. R., Voss, J. E., Klimont, E., Ohene-Agyei, T., et al. (2014). Structure of the AcrAB-TolC multidrug efflux pump. *Nature* 509, 512–515. doi: 10.1038/nature13205
- Empfield, J. R., and Leeson, P. D. (2010). Lessons learned from candidate drug attrition. *IDrugs* 13, 869–873.
- Erlanson, D. A. (2012). Introduction to fragment-based drug discovery. *Top. Curr. Chem.* 317, 1–32. doi: 10.1007/128\_2011\_180
- Erlanson, D. A., and Hansen, S. K. (2004). Making drugs on proteins: site-directed ligand discovery for fragment-based lead assembly. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 8, 399–406. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2004.06.010
- Erlanson, D. A., Mcdowell, R. S., and O'Brien, T. (2004). Fragment-based drug discovery. J. Med. Chem. 47, 3463–3482. doi: 10.1021/jm040031v
- Eto, K., Kawakami, H., Kuwatani, M., Kudo, T., Abe, Y., Kawahata, S., et al. (2013). Human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 and Notch3 can predict gemcitabine effects in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* 108, 1488–1494. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2013.108
- Farrell, J. J., Elsaleh, H., Garcia, M., Lai, R., Ammar, A., Regine, W. F., et al. (2009). Human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 levels predict response to

gemcitabine in patients with pancreatic cancer. *Gastroenterology* 136, 187–195. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2008.09.067

- Farrell, J. J., Moughan, J., Wong, J. L., Regine, W. F., Schaefer, P., Benson, A. B. III, et al. (2016). Precision medicine and pancreatic cancer: a gemcitabine pathway approach. *Pancreas* 45, 1485–1493. doi: 10.1097/MPA.00000000000710
- Feng, B., Ng, J. H., Heng, J. C., and Ng, H. H. (2009). Molecules that promote or enhance reprogramming of somatic cells to induced pluripotent stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 4, 301–312. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2009.03.005
- Fernández-Veledo, S., Jover, R., Casado, F. J., Gómez-Lechón, M. J., and Pastor-Anglada, M. (2007). Transcription factors involved in the expression of SLC28 genes in human liver parenchymal cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 353, 381–388. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2006.12.021
- Fernández-Veledo, S., Valdés, R., Wallenius, V., Casado, F. J., and Pastor-Anglada, M. (2004). Up-regulation of the high-affinity pyrimidine-preferring nucleoside transporter concentrative nucleoside transporter 1 by tumor necrosis factoralpha and interleukin-6 in liver parenchymal cells. J. Hepatol. 41, 538–544. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2004.06.008
- Fischer, M., and Hubbard, R. E. (2009). Fragment-based ligand discovery. *Mol. Interv.* 9, 22–30. doi: 10.1124/mi.9.1.7
- Franke, R., Gruska, A., Bossa, C., and Benigni, R. (2010). QSARs of aromatic amines: identification of potent carcinogens. *Mutat. Res.* 691, 27–40. doi: 10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2010.06.009
- Fujioka, N., Fritz, V., Upadhyaya, P., Kassie, F., and Hecht, S. S. (2016a). Research on cruciferous vegetables, indole-3-carbinol, and cancer prevention: a tribute to Lee W. Wattenberg. *Mol. Nutr. Food Res.* 60, 1228–1238. doi: 10.1002/mnfr.201500889
- Fujioka, N., Ransom, B. W., Carmella, S. G., Upadhyaya, P., Lindgren, B. R., Roper-Batker, A., et al. (2016b). Harnessing the power of cruciferous vegetables: developing a biomarker for Brassica vegetable consumption using urinary 3,3'-diindolylmethane. *Cancer Prev. Res. (Phila).* 9, 788–793. doi: 10.1158/ 1940-6207.CAPR-16-0136
- Fukuda, Y., and Schuetz, J. D. (2012). ABC transporters and their role in nucleoside and nucleotide drug resistance. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 83, 1073–1083. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2011.12.042
- Gasteiger, J. (ed.). (2003). Handbook of Chemoinformatics: From Data to Knowledge. Weinheim: Wiley/VCH.
- Gesto, D. S., Cerqueira, N. M., Fernandes, P. A., and Ramos, M. J. (2012). Gemcitabine: a critical nucleoside for cancer therapy. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 19, 1076–1087. doi: 10.2174/092986712799320682
- Giacomini, K. M., Huang, S. M., Tweedie, D. J., Benet, L. Z., Brouwer, K. L., Chu, X., et al. (2010). Membrane transporters in drug development. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 9, 215–236. doi: 10.1038/nrd3028
- Giovannetti, E., Del Tacca, M., Mey, V., Funel, N., Nannizzi, S., Ricci, S., et al. (2006). Transcription analysis of human equilibrative nucleoside transporter-1 predicts survival in pancreas cancer patients treated with gemcitabine. *Cancer Res.* 66, 3928–3935. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-4203
- Giovannetti, E., Funel, N., Peters, G. J., Del Chiaro, M., Erozenci, L. A., Vasile, E., et al. (2010). MicroRNA-21 in pancreatic cancer: correlation with clinical outcome and pharmacologic aspects underlying its role in the modulation of gemcitabine activity. *Cancer Res.* 70, 4528–4538. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-4467
- Giovannetti, E., Mey, V., Loni, L., Nannizzi, S., Barsanti, G., Savarino, G., et al. (2007). Cytotoxic activity of gemcitabine and correlation with expression profile of drug-related genes in human lymphoid cells. *Pharmacol. Res.* 55, 343–349. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2007.01.003
- Giovannetti, E., Mey, V., Nannizzi, S., Pasqualetti, G., Marini, L., Del Tacca, M., et al. (2005). Cellular and pharmacogenetics foundation of synergistic interaction of pemetrexed and gemcitabine in human non-small-cell lung cancer cells. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 68, 110–118. doi: 10.1124/mol.104.009373
- Gou, S., Liu, T., Wang, C., Yin, T., Li, K., Yang, M., et al. (2007). Establishment of clonal colony-forming assay for propagation of pancreatic cancer cells with stem cell properties. *Pancreas* 34, 429–435. doi: 10.1097/MPA.0b013e318033f9f4
- Gradiz, R., Silva, H. C., Carvalho, L., Botelho, M. F., and Mota-Pinto, A. (2016). MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 - pancreas ductal adenocarcinoma cell lines with neuroendocrine differentiation and somatostatin receptors. *Sci. Rep.* 6:21648. doi: 10.1038/srep21648

- Greenhalf, W., Ghaneh, P., Neoptolemos, J. P., Palmer, D. H., Cox, T. F., Lamb, R. F., et al. (2014). Pancreatic cancer hENT1 expression and survival from gemcitabine in patients from the ESPAC-3 trial. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 106:djt347. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djt347
- Grskovic, M., Javaherian, A., Strulovici, B., and Daley, G. Q. (2011). Induced pluripotent stem cells–opportunities for disease modelling and drug discovery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 10, 915–929. doi: 10.1038/nrd3577
- Gusella, M., Pasini, F., Bolzonella, C., Meneghetti, S., Barile, C., Bononi, A., et al. (2011). Equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 genotype, cytidine deaminase activity and age predict gemcitabine plasma clearance in patients with solid tumours. *Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 71, 437–444. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2125.2010.03838.x
- Hagenbuch, B., and Stieger, B. (2013). The SLCO (former SLC21) superfamily of transporters. *Mol. Aspects Med.* 34, 396–412. doi: 10.1016/j.mam.2012.10.009
- Hagmann, W., Jesnowski, R., and Lohr, J. M. (2010). Interdependence of gemcitabine treatment, transporter expression, and resistance in human pancreatic carcinoma cells. *Neoplasia* 12, 740–747. doi: 10.1593/neo.10576
- Hann, M. M. (2011). Molecular obesity, potency and other addictions in drug discovery. *MedChemComm* 2, 349–355. doi: 10.1039/c1md00017a
- Hediger, M. A., Clemencon, B., Burrier, R. E., and Bruford, E. A. (2013). The ABCs of membrane transporters in health and disease (SLC series): introduction. *Mol. Aspects Med.* 34, 95–107. doi: 10.1016/j.mam.2012.12.009
- Hediger, M. A., Romero, M. F., Peng, J. B., Rolfs, A., Takanaga, H., and Bruford, E. A. (2004). The ABCs of solute carriers: physiological, pathological and therapeutic implications of human membrane transport proteinsintroduction. *Pflügers Arch.* 447, 465–468. doi: 10.1007/s00424-003-1192-y
- Herrgård, M. J., Swainston, N., Dobson, P., Dunn, W. B., Arga, K. Y., Arvas, M., et al. (2008). A consensus yeast metabolic network obtained from a community approach to systems biology. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 26, 1155–1160. doi: 10.1038/nbt1492
- Higdon, J. V., Delage, B., Williams, D. E., and Dashwood, R. H. (2007). Cruciferous vegetables and human cancer risk: epidemiologic evidence and mechanistic basis. *Pharmacol. Res.* 55, 224–236. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2007.01.009
- Hodge, L. S., Taub, M. E., and Tracy, T. S. (2011). Effect of its deaminated metabolite, 2',2'-difluorodeoxyuridine, on the transport and toxicity of gemcitabine in HeLa cells. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 81, 950–956. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2011.01.016
- Horiguchi, S., Shiraha, H., Nagahara, T., Kataoka, J., Iwamuro, M., Matsubara, M., et al. (2013). Loss of runt-related transcription factor 3 induces gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic cancer. *Mol. Oncol.* 7, 840–849. doi: 10.1016/j.molonc.2013.04.004
- Huang, M., Wang, Y., Cogut, S. B., Mitchell, B. S., and Graves, L. M. (2003). Inhibition of nucleoside transport by protein kinase inhibitors. J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther. 304, 753–760. doi: 10.1124/jpet.102.044214
- Huang, M., Wang, Y., Collins, M., Gu, J. J., Mitchell, B. S., and Graves, L. M. (2002). Inhibition of nucleoside transport by p38 MAPK inhibitors. J. Biol. Chem. 277, 28364–28367. doi: 10.1074/jbc.C200321200
- Huang, M., Wang, Y., Mitchell, B. S., and Graves, L. M. (2004). Regulation of equilibrative nucleoside uptake by protein kinase inhibitors. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids* 23, 1445–1450. doi: 10.1081/NCN-200027667
- Hubbard, R. E. (2008). Fragment approaches in structure-based drug discovery. J. Synchrotron Radiat. 15, 227–230. doi: 10.1107/S090904950705666X
- Huber-Ruano, I., Pinilla-Macua, I., Torres, G., Casado, F. J., and Pastor-Anglada, M. (2010). Link between high-affinity adenosine concentrative nucleoside transporter-2 (CNT2) and energy metabolism in intestinal and liver parenchymal cells. J. Cell. Physiol. 225, 620–630. doi: 10.1002/jcp.22254
- Hung, S. W., Marrache, S., Cummins, S., Bhutia, Y. D., Mody, H., Hooks, S. B., et al. (2015). Defective hCNT1 transport contributes to gemcitabine chemoresistance in ovarian cancer subtypes: overcoming transport defects using a nanoparticle approach. *Cancer Lett.* 359, 233–240. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2015.01.017
- Hwang, J. H., Voortman, J., Giovannetti, E., Steinberg, S. M., Leon, L. G., Kim, Y. T., et al. (2010). Identification of MicroRNA-21 as a biomarker for chemoresistance and clinical outcome following adjuvant therapy in resectable pancreatic cancer. *PLoS ONE* 5:e10630. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0010630
- Jhoti, H. (2007). Fragment-based drug discovery using rational design. Ernst Schering Found. Symp. Proc. 2007, 169–185. doi: 10.1007/2789\_2007\_064

- Jhoti, H., Cleasby, A., Verdonk, M., and Williams, G. (2007). Fragment-based screening using X-ray crystallography and NMR spectroscopy. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 11, 485–493. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2007.07.010
- Jordheim, L. P., and Dumontet, C. (2013). Do hENT1 and RRM1 predict the clinical benefit of gemcitabine in pancreatic cancer? *Biomark. Med.* 7, 663–671. doi: 10.2217/bmm.13.48
- Juge, N., Mithen, R. F., and Traka, M. (2007). Molecular basis for chemoprevention by sulforaphane: a comprehensive review. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 64, 1105–1127. doi: 10.1007/s00018-007-6484-5
- Jung, D. W., Kim, W. H., and Williams, D. R. (2014). Reprogram or reboot: small molecule approaches for the production of induced pluripotent stem cells and direct cell reprogramming. ACS Chem. Biol. 9, 80–95. doi: 10.1021/cb400754f
- Kakinuma, H., Bergert, E. R., Spitzweg, C., Cheville, J. C., Lieber, M. M., and Morris, J. C. (2003). Probasin promoter (ARR(2)PB)-driven, prostate-specific expression of the human sodium iodide symporter (h-NIS) for targeted radioiodine therapy of prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 63, 7840–7844.
- Kang, P. J., Moon, J. H., Yoon, B. S., Hyeon, S., Jun, E. K., Park, G., et al. (2014). Reprogramming of mouse somatic cells into pluripotent stem-like cells using a combination of small molecules. *Biomaterials* 35, 7336–7345. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2014.05.015
- Kell, D. B. (2013). Finding novel pharmaceuticals in the systems biology era using multiple effective drug targets, phenotypic screening, and knowledge of transporters: where drug discovery went wrong and how to fix it. *FEBS J.* 280, 5957–5980. doi: 10.1111/febs.12268
- Kell, D. B. (2015a). The transporter-mediated cellular uptake of pharmaceutical drugs is based on their metabolite-likeness and not on their bulk biophysical properties: towards a systems pharmacology. *Perspect. Sci.* 6, 66–83. doi: 10.1016/j.pisc.2015.06.004
- Kell, D. B. (2015b). What would be the observable consequences if phospholipid bilayer diffusion of drugs into cells is negligible?. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 36, 15–21. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2014.10.005
- Kell, D. B. (2016a). How drugs pass through biological cell membranes a paradigm shift in our understanding? *Beilstein Magazine* 2:5. doi: 10.3762/bmag.5
- Kell, D. B. (2016b). Implications of endogenous roles of transporters for drug discovery: hitchhiking and metabolite-likeness. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 15, 143–144. doi: 10.1038/nrd.2015.44
- Kell, D. B., Dobson, P. D., Bilsland, E., and Oliver, S. G. (2013). The promiscuous binding of pharmaceutical drugs and their transporter-mediated uptake into cells: what we (need to) know and how we can do so. *Drug Discov. Today* 18, 218–239. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2012.11.008
- Kell, D. B., Dobson, P. D., and Oliver, S. G. (2011). Pharmaceutical drug transport: the issues and the implications that it is essentially carrier-mediated only. *Drug Discov. Today* 16, 704–714. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2011.05.010
- Kell, D. B., and Goodacre, R. (2014). Metabolomics and systems pharmacology: why and how to model the human metabolic network for drug discovery. *Drug Discov. Today* 19, 171–182. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2013.07.014
- Kell, D. B., Kaprelyants, A. S., Weichart, D. H., Harwood, C. L., and Barer, M. R. (1998). Viability and activity in readily culturable bacteria: a review and discussion of the practical issues. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 73, 169–187. doi: 10.1023/A:1000664013047
- Kell, D. B., and Oliver, S. G. (2014). How drugs get into cells: tested and testable predictions to help discriminate between transportermediated uptake and lipoidal bilayer diffusion. *Front. Pharmacol.* 5:231. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2014.00231
- Kell, D. B., Ryder, H. M., Kaprelyants, A. S., and Westerhoff, H. V. (1991). Quantifying heterogeneity: flow cytometry of bacterial cultures. *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek* 60, 145–158. doi: 10.1007/BF00430362
- Kell, D. B., Swainston, N., Pir, P., and Oliver, S. G. (2015). Membrane transporter engineering in industrial biotechnology and whole-cell biocatalysis. *Trends Biotechnol.* 33, 237–246. doi: 10.1016/j.tibtech.2015. 02.001
- Keppler, D. (2011). Multidrug resistance proteins (MRPs, ABCCs): importance for pathophysiology and drug therapy. *Handb. Exp. Pharmacol.* 201, 299–323. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-14541-4\_8
- Khatri, A., Williams, B. W., Fisher, J., Brundage, R. C., Gurvich, V. J., Lis, L. G., et al. (2014). SLC28A3 genotype and gemcitabine rate of infusion affect

dFdCTP metabolite disposition in patients with solid tumours. Br. J. Cancer 110, 304–312. doi: 10.1038/bjc.2013.738

- King, A. E., Ackley, M. A., Cass, C. E., Young, J. D., and Baldwin, S. A. (2006). Nucleoside transporters: from scavengers to novel therapeutic targets. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 27, 416–425. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2006.06.004
- Klein, K., Kullak-Ublick, G. A., Wagner, M., Trauner, M., and Eloranta, J. J. (2009). Hepatocyte nuclear factor-4α and bile acids regulate human concentrative nucleoside transporter-1 gene expression. Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 296, G936–G947. doi: 10.1152/ajpgi.90678.2008
- Koay, E. J., Truty, M. J., Cristini, V., Thomas, R. M., Chen, R., Chatterjee, D., et al. (2014). Transport properties of pancreatic cancer describe gemcitabine delivery and response. J. Clin. Invest. 124, 1525–1536. doi: 10.1172/JCI73455
- Kobayashi, H., Murakami, Y., Uemura, K., Sudo, T., Hashimoto, Y., Kondo, N., et al. (2012). Human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 expression predicts survival of advanced cholangiocarcinoma patients treated with gemcitabinebased adjuvant chemotherapy after surgical resection. *Ann. Surg.* 256, 288–296. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0b013e3182536a42
- Koczor, C. A., Torres, R. A., and Lewis, W. (2012). The role of transporters in the toxicity of nucleoside and nucleotide analogs. *Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol.* 8, 665–676. doi: 10.1517/17425255.2012.680885
- Kola, I., and Landis, J. (2004). Can the pharmaceutical industry reduce attrition rates? *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 3, 711–715. doi: 10.1038/nrd1470
- Komori, S., Osada, S., and Yoshida, K. (2011). Novel strategy with gemcitabine for advanced pancreatic cancer. *ISRN Oncol.* 2011:936893. doi: 10.5402/2011/936893
- Kong, W., Engel, K., and Wang, J. (2004). Mammalian nucleoside transporters. *Curr. Drug Metab.* 5, 63–84. doi: 10.2174/1389200043489162
- König, J., Hartel, M., Nies, A. T., Martignoni, M. E., Guo, J., Büchler, M. W., et al. (2005). Expression and localization of human multidrug resistance protein (ABCC) family members in pancreatic carcinoma. *Int. J. Cancer* 115, 359–367. doi: 10.1002/ijc.20831
- Köse, M., and Schiedel, A. C. (2009). Nucleoside/nucleobase transporters: drug targets of the future? *Future Med. Chem.* 1, 303–326. doi: 10.4155/fmc.09.29
- Lane, J., Martin, T. A., Mcguigan, C., Mason, M. D., and Jiang, W. G. (2010). The differential expression of hCNT1 and hENT1 in breast cancer and the possible impact on breast cancer therapy. J. Exp. Ther. Oncol. 8, 203–210.
- Lanthaler, K., Bilsland, E., Dobson, P., Moss, H. J., Pir, P., Kell, D. B., et al. (2011). Genome-wide assessment of the carriers involved in the cellular uptake of drugs: a model system in yeast. *BMC Biol.* 9:70. doi: 10.1186/1741-7007-9-70
- Leach, A. R., and Hann, M. M. (2011). Molecular complexity and fragmentbased drug discovery: ten years on. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 15, 489–496. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpa.2011.05.008
- Lee, S. Y., Im, S. A., Park, Y. H., Woo, S. Y., Kim, S., Choi, M. K., et al. (2014). Genetic polymorphisms of SLC28A3, SLC29A1 and RRM1 predict clinical outcome in patients with metastatic breast cancer receiving gemcitabine plus paclitaxel chemotherapy. *Eur. J. Cancer* 50, 698–705. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2013.11.028
- Leeson, P. D. (2016). Molecular inflation, attrition and the rule of five. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 101, 22–33. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2016.01.018
- Leeson, P. D., and Empfield, J. R. (2010). Reducing the risk of drug attrition associated with physicochemical properties. Annu. Rep. Med. Chem. 45, 393–407. doi: 10.1016/S0065-7743(10)45024-1
- Leeson, P. D., and Springthorpe, B. (2007). The influence of drug-like concepts on decision-making in medicinal chemistry. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 6, 881–890. doi: 10.1038/nrd2445
- Lehár, J., Krueger, A. S., Avery, W., Heilbut, A. M., Johansen, L. M., Price, E. R., et al. (2009a). Synergistic drug combinations tend to improve therapeutically relevant selectivity. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 27, 659–666. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1549
- Lehár, J., Krueger, A. S., Zimmermann, G. R., and Borisy, A. A. (2009b). Therapeutic selectivity and the multi-node drug target. *Discov. Med.* 8, 185–190.
- Lehár, J., Krueger, A., Zimmermann, G., and Borisy, A. (2008). High-order combination effects and biological robustness. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 4, 215. doi: 10.1038/msb.2008.51
- Lehár, J., Zimmermann, G. R., Krueger, A. S., Molnar, R. A., Ledell, J. T., Heilbut, A. M., et al. (2007). Chemical combination effects predict connectivity in biological systems. *Mol. Syst. Biol.* 3, 80. doi: 10.1038/msb4100116

- Lemstrová, R., Souček, P., Melichar, B., and Mohelnikova-Duchonova, B. (2014). Role of solute carrier transporters in pancreatic cancer: a review. *Pharmacogenomics* 15, 1133–1145. doi: 10.2217/pgs.14.80
- Leung, G. P. H., and Tse, C. M. (2007). The role of mitochondrial and plasma membrane nucleoside transporters in drug toxicity. *Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol.* 3, 705–718. doi: 10.1517/17425255.3.5.705
- Li, K., Zhu, S., Russ, H. A., Xu, S., Xu, T., Zhang, Y., et al. (2014). Small molecules facilitate the reprogramming of mouse fibroblasts into pancreatic lineages. *Cell Stem Cell* 14, 228–236. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2014. 01.006
- Li, R. W. S., Tse, C. M., Man, R. Y., Vanhoutte, P. M., and Leung, G. P. H. (2007). Inhibition of human equilibrative nucleoside transporters by dihydropyridine-type calcium channel antagonists. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 568, 75–82. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2007.04.033
- Li, W., and Ding, S. (2010). Small molecules that modulate embryonic stem cell fate and somatic cell reprogramming. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 31, 36–45. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2009.10.002
- Li, W., Jiang, K., and Ding, S. (2012). Concise review: a chemical approach to control cell fate and function. *Stem Cells* 30, 61–68. doi: 10.1002/stem.768
- Li, X.-Z., Plesiat, P., and Nikaido, H. (2015). The challenge of efflux-mediated antibiotic resistance in Gram-negative bacteria. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 28, 337–418. doi: 10.1128/CMR.00117-14
- Li, X., Zuo, X., Jing, J., Ma, Y., Wang, J., Liu, D., et al. (2015). Small-moleculedriven direct reprogramming of mouse fibroblasts into functional neurons. *Cell Stem Cell* 17, 195–203. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2015.06.003
- Liu, G. (2013). Stearoyl-CoA Desaturase 1 (SCD1) inhibitors: bench to bedside must only go through liver. RSC Drug Discov. 27, 249–269. doi: 10.1039/9781849735322-00249
- Liu, Y., Peng, H., and Zhang, J. T. (2005). Expression profiling of ABC transporters in a drug-resistant breast cancer cell line using AmpArray. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 68, 430–438. doi: 10.1124/mol.105.011015
- Liu, Z. Q., Han, Y. C., Zhang, X., Chu, L., Fang, J. M., Zhao, H. X., et al. (2014). Prognostic value of human equilibrative nucleoside transporter1 in pancreatic cancer receiving gemcitabin-based chemotherapy: a meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* 9:e87103. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0087103
- Loewen, S. K., Ng, A. M., Yao, S. Y., Cass, C. E., Baldwin, S. A., and Young, J. D. (1999). Identification of amino acid residues responsible for the pyrimidine and purine nucleoside specificities of human concentrative Na<sup>+</sup> nucleoside cotransporters hCNT1 and hCNT2. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274, 24475–24484. doi: 10.1074/jbc.274.35.24475
- Lyn-Cook, B. D., Mohammed, S. I., Davis, C., Word, B., Haefele, A., Wang, H., et al. (2010). Gender differences in gemcitabine (Gemzar) efficacy in cancer cells: effect of indole-3-carbinol. *Anticancer Res.* 30, 4907–4913.
- Mackey, J. R., Baldwin, S. A., Young, J. D., and Cass, C. E. (1998a). Nucleoside transport and its significance for anticancer drug resistance. *Drug Resist. Updat.* 1, 310–324. doi: 10.1016/S1368-7646(98)80047-2
- Mackey, J. R., Mani, R. S., Selner, M., Mowles, D., Young, J. D., Belt, J. A., et al. (1998b). Functional nucleoside transporters are required for gemcitabine influx and manifestation of toxicity in cancer cell lines. *Cancer Res.* 58, 4349–4357.
- Maggiora, G., Vogt, M., Stumpfe, D., and Bajorath, J. (2014). Molecular similarity in medicinal chemistry. J. Med. Chem. 57, 3186–3204. doi: 10.1021/jm401411z
- Marcé, S., Molina-Arcas, M., Villamor, N., Casado, F. J., Campo, E., Pastor-Anglada, M., et al. (2006). Expression of human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 (hENT1) and its correlation with gemcitabine uptake and cytotoxicity in mantle cell lymphoma. *Haematologica* 91, 895–902.
- Maréchal, R., Bachet, J. B., Mackey, J. R., Dalban, C., Demetter, P., Graham, K., et al. (2012). Levels of gemcitabine transport and metabolism proteins predict survival times of patients treated with gemcitabine for pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Gastroenterology*, 143, 664–674.e661–e666. doi: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.06.006
- Maréchal, R., Mackey, J. R., Lai, R., Demetter, P., Peeters, M., Polus, M., et al. (2009). Human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 and human concentrative nucleoside transporter 3 predict survival after adjuvant gemcitabine therapy in resected pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 15, 2913–2919. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-2080
- Matsumura, N., Nakamura, Y., Kohjimoto, Y., Inagaki, T., Nanpo, Y., Yasuoka, H., et al. (2011). The prognostic significance of human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 expression in patients with metastatic bladder cancer treated

with gemcitabine-cisplatin-based combination chemotherapy. *BJU Int.* 108, E110–E116. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-410X.2010.09932.x

- Mazanetz, M. P., Marmon, R. J., Reisser, C. B. T., and Morao, I. (2012). Drug discovery applications for KNIME: an open source data mining platform. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 12, 1965–1979. doi: 10.2174/156802612804910331
- Meanwell, N. A. (2011). Improving drug candidates by design: a focus on physicochemical properties as a means of improving compound disposition and safety. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 24, 1420–1456. doi: 10.1021/tx2 00211v
- Meinl, T., Jagla, B., and Berthold, M. R. (2012). "Integrated data analysis with KNIME," in *Open Source Software in Life Science Research*, eds L. Harland and M. Forster (Sawston: Woodhead Publishing), 151–171.
- Melkamu, T., Zhang, X. X., Tan, J. K., Zeng, Y., and Kassie, F. (2010). Alteration of microRNA expression in vinyl carbamate-induced mouse lung tumors and modulation by the chemopreventive agent indole-3-carbinol. *Carcinogenesis* 31, 252–258. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgp208
- Mendes, P., Oliver, S. G., and Kell, D. B. (2015). Fitting transporter activities to cellular drug concentrations and fluxes: why the bumblebee can fly. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 36, 710–723. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2015.07.006
- Mey, V., Giovannetti, E., De Braud, F., Nannizzi, S., Curigliano, G., Verweij, F., et al. (2006). *In vitro* synergistic cytotoxicity of gemcitabine and pemetrexed and pharmacogenetic evaluation of response to gemcitabine in bladder cancer patients. *Br. J. Cancer* 95, 289–297. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6603242
- Minami, K., Shinsato, Y., Yamamoto, M., Takahashi, H., Zhang, S., Nishizawa, Y., et al. (2015). Ribonucleotide reductase is an effective target to overcome gemcitabine resistance in gemcitabine-resistant pancreatic cancer cells with dual resistant factors. J. Pharmacol. Sci. 127, 319–325. doi: 10.1016/j.jphs.2015.01.006
- Mini, E., Nobili, S., Caciagli, B., Landini, I., and Mazzei, T. (2006). Cellular pharmacology of gemcitabine. Ann. Oncol. 17(Suppl. 5), v7-v12. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdj941
- Molina-Arcas, M., Casado, F. J., and Pastor-Anglada, M. (2009). Nucleoside transporter proteins. *Curr. Vasc. Pharmacol.* 7, 426–434. doi: 10.2174/15701610 9789043892
- Molina-Arcas, M., and Pastor-Anglada, M. (2010). Role of nucleoside transporters in nucleoside-derived drug sensitivity. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids* 29, 335–346. doi: 10.1080/15257771003729823
- Molina-Arcas, M., and Pastor-Anglada, M. (2013). "Nucleoside transporters (SLC28 and SLC29) family," in *Pharmacogenomics of Human Drug Transporters: Clinical Impacts*, eds T. Ishikawa, R. B. Kim, and J. König (New York, NY: Wiley), 243–270.
- Molina-Arcas, M., Trigueros-Motos, L., Casado, F. J., and Pastor-Anglada, M. (2008). Physiological and pharmacological roles of nucleoside transporter proteins. *Nucleosides Nucleotides Nucleic Acids* 27, 769–778. doi: 10.1080/15257770802145819
- Mori, R., Ishikawa, T., Ichikawa, Y., Taniguchi, K., Matsuyama, R., Ueda, M., et al. (2007). Human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 is associated with the chemosensitivity of gemcitabine in human pancreatic adenocarcinoma and biliary tract carcinoma cells. Oncol. Rep. 17, 1201–1205. doi: 10.3892/or.17.5.1201
- Morinaga, S., Nakamura, Y., Watanabe, T., Mikayama, H., Tamagawa, H., Yamamoto, N., et al. (2012). Immunohistochemical Analysis of Human Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporter-1 (hENT1) predicts survival in resected pancreatic cancer patients treated with adjuvant gemcitabine monotherapy. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 19(Suppl. 3), 558–564. doi: 10.1245/s10434-011-2054-z
- Mrozikiewicz, P. M., Bogacz, A., Bartkowiak-Wieczorek, J., Kujawski, R., Mikolajczak, P. L., Ozarowski, M., et al. (2014). Screening for impact of popular herbs improving mental abilities on the transcriptional level of brain transporters. *Acta Pharm.* 64, 223–232. doi: 10.2478/acph-2014-0020
- Murata, Y., Hamada, T., Kishiwada, M., Ohsawa, I., Mizuno, S., Usui, M., et al. (2012). Human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 expression is a strong independent prognostic factor in UICC T3-T4 pancreatic cancer patients treated with preoperative gemcitabine-based chemoradiotherapy. J. Hepatobiliary Pancreat. Sci. 19, 413–425. doi: 10.1007/s00534-01 1-0440-3
- Nakagawa, N., Murakami, Y., Uemura, K., Sudo, T., Hashimoto, Y., Kondo, N., et al. (2013). Combined analysis of intratumoral human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 (hENT1) and ribonucleotide reductase

regulatory subunit M1 (RRM1) expression is a powerful predictor of survival in patients with pancreatic carcinoma treated with adjuvant gemcitabine-based chemotherapy after operative resection. *Surgery* 153, 565–575. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2012.10.010

- Nakahira, S., Nakamori, S., Tsujie, M., Takeda, S., Sugimoto, K., Takahashi, Y., et al. (2008). Pretreatment with S-1, an oral derivative of 5-fluorouracil, enhances gemcitabine effects in pancreatic cancer xenografts. *Anticancer Res.* 28, 179–186.
- Nakano, Y., Tanno, S., Koizumi, K., Nishikawa, T., Nakamura, K., Minoguchi, M., et al. (2007). Gemcitabine chemoresistance and molecular markers associated with gemcitabine transport and metabolism in human pancreatic cancer cells. *Br. J. Cancer* 96, 457–463. doi: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6603559
- Noma, B., Sasaki, T., Fujimoto, Y., Serikawa, M., Kobayashi, K., Inoue, M., et al. (2008). Expression of multidrug resistance-associated protein 2 is involved in chemotherapy resistance in human pancreatic cancer. *Int. J. Oncol.* 33, 1187–1194. doi: 10.3892/ijo\_00000108
- Nordh, S., Ansari, D., and Andersson, R. (2014). hENT1 expression is predictive of gemcitabine outcome in pancreatic cancer: a systematic review. World J. Gastroenterol. 20, 8482–8490. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i26.8482
- Oballa, R. M., Belair, L., Black, W. C., Bleasby, K., Chan, C. C., Desroches, C., et al. (2011). Development of a liver-targeted stearoyl-CoA desaturase (SCD) inhibitor (MK-8245) to establish a therapeutic window for the treatment of diabetes and dyslipidemia. J. Med. Chem. 54, 5082–5096. doi: 10.1021/jm200319u
- Oguri, T., Achiwa, H., Muramatsu, H., Ozasa, H., Sato, S., Shimizu, S., et al. (2007). The absence of human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 expression predicts nonresponse to gemcitabine-containing chemotherapy in non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Lett.* 256, 112–119. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2007.06.012
- O'Hagan, S., and Kell, D. B. (2015a). The apparent permeabilities of Caco-2 cells to marketed drugs: magnitude, and independence from both biophysical properties and endogenite similarities *PeerJ* 3:E1405. doi: 10.7717/peerj.1405
- O'Hagan, S., and Kell, D. B. (2015b). Software review: the KNIME workflow environment and its applications in Genetic Programming and machine learning. *Genetic Progr. Evol. Mach.* 16, 387–391. doi: 10.1007/s10710-015-9247-3
- O'Hagan, S., and Kell, D. B. (2015c). Understanding the foundations of the structural similarities between marketed drugs and endogenous human metabolites. *Front. Pharmacol.* 6:105. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2015.00105
- O'Hagan, S., and Kell, D. B. (2016). MetMaxStruct: a Tversky-similarity-based strategy for analysing the (sub)structural similarities of drugs and endogenous metabolites. *Front. Pharmacol.* 7:266. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2016.00266
- O'Hagan, S., Swainston, N., Handl, J., and Kell, D. B. (2015). A 'rule of 0.5' for the metabolite-likeness of approved pharmaceutical drugs. *Metabolomics* 11, 323–339. doi: 10.1007/s11306-014-0733-z
- Okazaki, T., Javle, M., Tanaka, M., Abbruzzese, J. L., and Li, D. (2010). Single nucleotide polymorphisms of gemcitabine metabolic genes and pancreatic cancer survival and drug toxicity. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 16, 320–329. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-09-1555
- Okita, K., Ichisaka, T., and Yamanaka, S. (2007). Generation of germlinecompetent induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature* 448, 313–317. doi: 10.1038/nature05934
- Paik, W. H., Kim, H. R., Park, J. K., Song, B. J., Lee, S. H., and Hwang, J. H. (2013). Chemosensitivity induced by down-regulation of microRNA-21 in gemcitabine-resistant pancreatic cancer cells by indole-3-carbinol. *Anticancer Res.* 33, 1473–1481. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056423
- Paproski, R. J., Yao, S. Y. M., Favis, N., Evans, D., Young, J. D., Cass, C. E., et al. (2013). Human concentrative nucleoside transporter 3 transfection with ultrasound and microbubbles in nucleoside transport deficient HEK293 cells greatly increases gemcitabine uptake. *PLoS ONE* 8:e56423. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0056423
- Paproski, R. J., Young, J. D., and Cass, C. E. (2010). Predicting gemcitabine transport and toxicity in human pancreatic cancer cell lines with the positron emission tomography tracer 3'-deoxy-3'fluorothymidine. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 79, 587–595. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2009. 09.025
- Pastor-Anglada, M., and Pérez-Torras, S. (2015). Nucleoside transporter proteins as biomarkers of drug responsiveness and drug targets. *Front. Pharmacol.* 6:13. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2015.00013

- Pennycooke, M., Chaudary, N., Shuralyova, I., Zhang, Y., and Coe, I. R. (2001). Differential expression of human nucleoside transporters in normal and tumor tissue. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 280, 951–959. doi: 10.1006/bbrc.2000.4205
- Pérez-Torras, S., García-Manteiga, J., Mercadé, E., Casado, F. J., Carbó, N., Pastor-Anglada, M., et al. (2008). Adenoviral-mediated overexpression of human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 (hENT1) enhances gemcitabine response in human pancreatic cancer. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 76, 322–329. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2008.05.011
- Pfefferkorn, J. A. (2013). Strategies for the design of hepatoselective glucokinase activators to treat type 2 diabetes. *Expert Opin. Drug Discov.* 8, 319–330. doi: 10.1517/17460441.2013.748744
- Pfefferkorn, J. A., Guzman-Perez, A., Litchfield, J., Aiello, R., Treadway, J. L., Pettersen, J., et al. (2012). Discovery of (S)-6-(3-cyclopentyl-2-(4-(trifluoromethyl)-1H-imidazol-1-yl)propanamido)nicotini c acid as a hepatoselective glucokinase activator clinical candidate for treating type 2 diabetes mellitus. J. Med. Chem. 55, 1318–1333. doi: 10.1021/jm2014887
- Pfefferkorn, J. A., Litchfield, J., Hutchings, R., Cheng, X. M., Larsen, S. D., Auerbach, B., et al. (2011). Discovery of novel hepatoselective HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors for treating hypercholesterolemia: a bench-to-bedside case study on tissue selective drug distribution. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 21, 2725–2731. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2010.11.103
- Plant, N. (2016). "Enabling dynamic response to chemical challenge: nuclear receptor-mediated control of transporter expression," in *Drug Transporters*, *Vol. 2, Recent Advances and Emerging Technologies*, eds G. Nicholls and K. Youdim (London: RSC), 19–43.
- Podgorska, M., Kocbuch, K., and Pawelczyk, T. (2005). Recent advances in studies on biochemical and structural properties of equilibrative and concentrative nucleoside transporters. *Acta Biochim. Pol.* 52, 749–758.
- Prasad, R., and Rawal, M. K. (2014). Efflux pump proteins in antifungal resistance. Front. Pharmacol. 5:202. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2014.00202
- Putman, M., Van Veen, H. W., and Konings, W. N. (2000). Molecular properties of bacterial multidrug transporters. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* 64, 672–693. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.64.4.672-693.2000
- Ramtohul, Y. K., Powell, D., Leclerc, J. P., Leger, S., Oballa, R., Black, C., et al. (2011). Bicyclic heteroaryl inhibitors of stearoyl-CoA desaturase: from systemic to liver-targeting inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 21, 5692–5696. doi: 10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.08.037
- Rauchwerger, D. R., Firby, P. S., Hedley, D. W., and Moore, M. J. (2000). Equilibrative-sensitive nucleoside transporter and its role in gemcitabine sensitivity. *Cancer Res.* 60, 6075–6079.
- Rees, D. C., Congreve, M., Murray, C. W., and Carr, R. (2004). Fragment-based lead discovery. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 3, 660–672. doi: 10.1038/nrd1467
- Ritzel, M. W. L., Ng, A. M. L., Yao, S. Y. M., Graham, K., Loewen, S. K., Smith, K. M., et al. (2001). Molecular identification and characterization of novel human and mouse concentrative Na<sup>+</sup>-nucleoside cotransporter proteins (hCNT3 and mCNT3) broadly selective for purine and pyrimidine nucleosides (system cib). *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 2914–2927. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M007746200
- Rosenberg, M. F., Bikadi, Z., Hazai, E., Starborg, T., Kelley, L., Chayen, N. E., et al. (2015). Three-dimensional structure of the human breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP/ABCG2) in an inward-facing conformation. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 71, 1725–1735. doi: 10.1107/S1399004715010676
- Rostami-Hodjegan, A. (2012). Physiologically based pharmacokinetics joined with *in vitro-in vivo* extrapolation of ADME: a marriage under the arch of systems pharmacology. *Clin. Pharmacol. Ther.* 92, 50–61. doi: 10.1038/clpt.2012.65
- Rudin, D., Li, L., Niu, N., Kalari, K. R., Gilbert, J. A., Ames, M. M., et al. (2011). Gemcitabine cytotoxicity: interaction of efflux and deamination. *J. Drug Metab. Toxicol.* 2, 1–10. doi: 10.4172/2157-7609.1000107
- Santini, D., Schiavon, G., Vincenzi, B., Cass, C. E., Vasile, E., Manazza, A. D., et al. (2011). Human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 (hENT1) levels predict response to gemcitabine in patients with biliary tract cancer (BTC). *Curr. Cancer Drug Targets* 11, 123–129. doi: 10.2174/156800911793743600
- Santini, D., Vincenzi, B., Fratto, M. E., Perrone, G., Lai, R., Catalano, V., et al. (2010). Prognostic role of human equilibrative transporter 1 (hENT1) in patients with resected gastric cancer. *J. Cell. Physiol.* 223, 384–388. doi: 10.1002/jcp.22045
- Saunders, N. R., Ek, C. J., Habgood, M. D., Johansson, P., Liddelow, S., and Dziegielewska, K. M. (2011). Assessing blood-cerebrospinal fluid

barrier permeability in the rat embryo. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 686, 247–265. doi: 10.1007/978-1-60761-938-3\_11

- Schulz, M. N., and Hubbard, R. E. (2009). Recent progress in fragment-based lead discovery. Curr. Opin. Pharmacol. 9, 615–621. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2009.04.009
- Sharma, R., Litchfield, J., Bergman, A., Atkinson, K., Kazierad, D., Gustavson, S. M., et al. (2015). Comparison of the circulating metabolite profile of PF-04991532, a hepatoselective glucokinase activator, across preclinical species and humans: potential implications in metabolites in safety testing assessment. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 43, 190–198. doi: 10.1124/dmd.114.061218
- Silva, R., Vilas-Boas, V., Carmo, H., Dinis-Oliveira, R. J., Carvalho, F., De Lourdes Bastos, M., et al. (2015). Modulation of P-glycoprotein efflux pump: induction and activation as a therapeutic strategy. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 149, 1–123. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2014.11.013
- Skrypek, N., Duchéne, B., Hebbar, M., Leteurtre, E., Van Seuningen, I., and Jonckheere, N. (2013). The MUC4 mucin mediates gemcitabine resistance of human pancreatic cancer cells via the concentrative nucleoside transporter family. Oncogene 32, 1714–1723. doi: 10.1038/onc.2012.179
- Smith, K. M., Slugoski, M. D., Cass, C. E., Baldwin, S. A., Karpinski, E., and Young, J. D. (2007). Cation coupling properties of human concentrative nucleoside transporters hCNT1, hCNT2 and hCNT3. *Mol. Membr. Biol.* 24, 53–64. doi: 10.1080/09687860600942534
- Spratlin, J. L., and Mackey, J. R. (2010). Human Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporter 1 (hENT1) in pancreatic adenocarcinoma: towards individualized treatment decisions. *Cancers* 2, 2044–2054. doi: 10.3390/cancers2042044
- Spratlin, J., Sangha, R., Glubrecht, D., Dabbagh, L., Young, J. D., Dumontet, C., et al. (2004). The absence of human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 is associated with reduced survival in patients with gemcitabinetreated pancreas adenocarcinoma. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 10, 6956–6961. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-04-0224
- Stumpfe, D., and Bajorath, J. (2011). Similarity searching. Wires Comput. Mol. Sci. 1, 260–282. doi: 10.1002/wcms.23
- Sugiyama, Y., and Steffansen, B. (eds.). (2013). Transporters in Drug Development: Discovery, Optimization, Clinical Study and Regulation. New York, NY: AAPS/Springer.
- Swainston, N., Mendes, P., and Kell, D. B. (2013). An analysis of a 'communitydriven' reconstruction of the human metabolic network. *Metabolomics* 9, 757–764. doi: 10.1007/s11306-013-0564-3
- Swainston, N., Smallbone, K., Hefzi, H., Dobson, P. D., Brewer, J., Hanscho, M., et al. (2016). Recon 2.2: from reconstruction to model of human metabolism. *Metabolomics* 12, 109. doi: 10.1007/s11306-016-1051-4
- Swinney, D. C. (2013). Phenotypic vs. target-based drug discovery for first-in-class medicines. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 93, 299–301. doi: 10.1038/clpt.2012.236
- Swinney, D. C., and Anthony, J. (2011). How were new medicines discovered? *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 10, 507–519. doi: 10.1038/nrd3480
- Tanaka, M., Javle, M., Dong, X., Eng, C., Abbruzzese, J. L., and Li, D. (2010). Gemcitabine metabolic and transporter gene polymorphisms are associated with drug toxicity and efficacy in patients with locally advanced pancreatic cancer. *Cancer* 116, 5325–5335. doi: 10.1002/cncr.25282
- Tavano, F., Fontana, A., Pellegrini, F., Burbaci, F., Rappa, F., Cappello, F., et al. (2014). Modeling interactions between human equilibrative nucleoside transporter-1 and other factors involved in the response to gemcitabine treatment to predict clinical outcomes in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma patients. J. Transl. Med. 12, 248. doi: 10.1186/s12967-014-0248-4
- Thiele, I., Swainston, N., Fleming, R. M. T., Hoppe, A., Sahoo, S., Aurich, M. K., et al. (2013). A community-driven global reconstruction of human metabolism. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 419–425. doi: 10.1038/nbt.2488
- Tu, M. H., Mathiowetz, A. M., Pfefferkorn, J. A., Cameron, K. O., Dow, R. L., Litchfield, J., et al. (2013). Medicinal chemistry design principles for liver targeting through OATP transporters. *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.* 13, 857–866. doi: 10.2174/1568026611313070008
- Uhlén, M., Fagerberg, L., Hallstrom, B. M., Lindskog, C., Oksvold, P., Mardinoglu, A., et al. (2015). Tissue-based map of the human proteome. *Science* 347:1260419. doi: 10.1126/science.1260419
- van der Graaf, P. H., and Benson, N. (2011). Systems pharmacology: bridging systems biology and pharmacokinetics-pharmacodynamics (PKPD) in drug discovery and development. *Pharm. Res.* 28, 1460–1464. doi: 10.1007/s11095-011-0467-9

- van der Greef, J., and Mcburney, R. N. (2005). Rescuing drug discovery: *in vivo* systems pathology and systems pharmacology. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* 4, 961–967. doi: 10.1038/nrd1904
- Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A., et al. (2002). Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol.* 3:research0034. doi: 10.1186/gb-2002-3-7-research0034
- Veltkamp, S. A., Pluim, D., Van Eijndhoven, M. A., Bolijn, M. J., Ong, F. H., Govindarajan, R. et al. (2008). New insights into the pharmacology and cytotoxicity of gemcitabine and 2',2'-difluorodeoxyuridine. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 7, 2415–2425. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-08-0137
- Vickers, M. F., Kumar, R., Visser, F., Zhang, J., Charania, J., Raborn, R. T., et al. (2002). Comparison of the interaction of uridine, cytidine, and other pyrimidine nucleoside analogues with recombinant human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 2 (hENT2) produced in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Biochem. Cell Biol.* 80, 639–644. doi: 10.1139/o02-148
- Waddell, N., Pajic, M., Patch, A. M., Chang, D. K., Kassahn, K. S., Bailey, P., et al. (2015). Whole genomes redefine the mutational landscape of pancreatic cancer. *Nature* 518, 495–501. doi: 10.1038/nature14169
- Waldman, S. A., and Terzic, A. (2012). Advancing pharmacometrics and systems pharmacology. Clin. Pharmacol. Ther. 92, 535–537. doi: 10.1038/clpt.2012.151
- Wang, H., Word, B. R., and Lyn-Cook, B. D. (2011). Enhanced efficacy of gemcitabine by indole-3-carbinol in pancreatic cell lines: the role of human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1. *Anticancer Res.* 31, 3171–3180.
- Wang, J., and Giacomini, K. M. (1999). Serine 318 is essential for the pyrimidine selectivity of the N2 Na+-nucleoside transporter. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 2298–2302. doi: 10.1074/jbc.274.4.2298
- Ward, J. L., Sherali, A., Mo, Z. P., and Tse, C. M. (2000). Kinetic and pharmacological properties of cloned human equilibrative nucleoside transporters, ENT1 and ENT2, stably expressed in nucleoside transporterdeficient PK15 cells. Ent2 exhibits a low affinity for guanosine and cytidine but a high affinity for inosine. J. Biol. Chem. 275, 8375–8381. doi: 10.1074/jbc.275.12.8375
- Warr, W. A. (2012). Scientific workflow systems: pipeline pilot and KNIME. J. Comput. Aided Mol. Des. 26, 801–804. doi: 10.1007/s10822-012-9577-7
- Weiss, J., Theile, D., Ketabi-Kiyanvash, N., Lindenmaier, H., and Haefeli, W. E. (2007). Inhibition of MRP1/ABCC1, MRP2/ABCC2, and MRP3/ABCC3 by nucleoside, nucleotide, and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* 35, 340–344. doi: 10.1124/dmd.106.012765
- Westerhoff, H. V., Nakayama, S., Mondeel, T. D. G. A., and Barberis, M. (2015). Systems pharmacology: an opinion on how to turn the impossible into grand challenges. *Drug Discov. Today* 15, 23–31. doi: 10.1016/j.ddtec.2015.06.006
- Whittaker, M., Law, R. J., Ichihara, O., Hesterkamp, T., and Hallett, D. (2010). Fragments: past, present and future. *Drug Discov. Today* 7, e163–e171. doi: 10.1016/j.ddtec.2010.11.007
- Winter, G. E., Radic, B., Mayor-Ruiz, C., Blomen, V. A., Trefzer, C., Kandasamy, R. K., et al. (2014). The solute carrier SLC35F2 enables YM155-mediated DNA damage toxicity. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* 10, 768–773. doi: 10.1038/nchembio.1590
- Wong, A., Soo, R. A., Yong, W. P., and Innocenti, F. (2009). Clinical pharmacology and pharmacogenetics of gemcitabine. *Drug Metab. Rev.* 41, 77–88. doi: 10.1080/03602530902741828
- Wright, G. D. (2016). Antibiotic adjuvants: rescuing antibiotics from resistance. *Trends Microbiol.* 24, 862–871. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2016.06.009
- Wu, F., Zhang, J., Hu, N., Wang, H., Xu, T., Liu, Y., et al. (2014). Effect of hENT1 polymorphism G-706C on clinical outcomes of gemcitabinecontaining chemotherapy for Chinese non-small-cell lung cancer patients. *Cancer Epidemiol.* 38, 728–762. doi: 10.1016/j.canep.2014.08.008
- Xiao, J. C., Zhang, T. P., and Zhao, Y. P. (2013). Human Equilibrative Nucleoside Transporter 1 (hENT1) predicts the asian patient response to gemcitabinebased chemotherapy in pancreatic cancer. *Hepato-Gastroenterology* 60, 258–262. doi: 10.5754/hge12687
- Yamada, R., Mizuno, S., Uchida, K., Yoneda, M., Kanayama, K., Inoue, H., et al. (2016). Human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 expression in endoscopic ultrasonography-guided fine-needle aspiration biopsy samples is a strong predictor of clinical response and survival in the patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma undergoing gemcitabine-based chemoradiotherapy. *Pancreas* 45, 761–771. doi: 10.1097/MPA.0000000000000597

- Yao, S. Y. M., Ng, A. M. L., Cass, C. E., Baldwin, S. A., and Young, J. D. (2011). Nucleobase transport by human equilibrative nucleoside transporter 1 (hENT1). J. Biol. Chem. 286, 32552–32562. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111. 236117
- You, G., and Morris, M. E. (eds.). (2014). Drug Transporters: Molecular Characterization and Role in Drug Disposition. New York, NY: Wiley.
- Young, J. D., Yao, S. Y. M., Baldwin, J. M., Cass, C. E., and Baldwin, S. A. (2013). The human concentrative and equilibrative nucleoside transporter families, SLC28 and SLC29. *Mol. Aspects Med.* 34, 529–547. doi: 10.1016/j.mam.2012.05.007
- Young, J. D., Yao, S. Y., Sun, L., Cass, C. E., and Baldwin, S. A. (2008). Human equilibrative nucleoside transporter (ENT) family of nucleoside and nucleobase transporter proteins. *Xenobiotica* 38, 995–1021. doi: 10.1080/00498250801927427
- Zhang, J., Visser, F., King, K. M., Baldwin, S. A., Young, J. D., and Cass, C. E. (2007). The role of nucleoside transporters in cancer chemotherapy with nucleoside drugs. *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 26, 85–110. doi: 10.1007/s10555-007-9044-4
- Zhang, X. Z. (2010). Modulation of embryonic stem cell fate and somatic cell reprogramming by small molecules. *Reprod. Biomed. Online* 21, 26–36. doi: 10.1016/j.rbmo.2010.03.021

- Zhao, S., and Iyengar, R. (2012). Systems pharmacology: network analysis to identify multiscale mechanisms of drug action. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 52, 505–521. doi: 10.1146/annurev-pharmtox-010611-134520
- Zhao, X., Zheng, X., Fan, T.-P., Li, Z., Zhang, Y., and Zhang, J. (2015). A novel drug discovery strategy inspired by traditional medicine philosophies. *Science* 347, S38–S40.
- Zimmermann, G. R., Lehár, J., and Keith, C. T. (2007). Multi-target therapeutics: when the whole is greater than the sum of the parts. *Drug Discov. Today* 12, 34–42. doi: 10.1016/j.drudis.2006.11.008

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2017 Grixti, O'Hagan, Day and Kell. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.