



Therapeutic Effect of *llex hainanensis* Merr. Extract on Essential Hypertension: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials

Xiaochen Yang^{1*}, Guoyan Yang², Weina Li¹, Yun Zhang¹ and Jie Wang^{1*}

¹ Department of Cardiology, Guang'anmen Hospital, China Academy of Chinese Medical Sciences, Beijing, China, ² Centre for Complementary Medicine Research, University of Western Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

With a rapidly aging population, the prevalence of hypertension in adults continues to

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Issy Laher, University of British Columbia, Canada

Reviewed by:

Vijaya Musini, University of British Columbia, Canada Setor K. Kunutsor, University of Bristol, United Kingdom

*Correspondence:

Xiaochen Yang avill1988@126.com Jie Wang wangjie_0103@126.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Ethnopharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 27 February 2018 Accepted: 11 April 2018 Published: 08 May 2018

Citation:

Yang X, Yang G, Li W, Zhang Y and Wang J (2018) Therapeutic Effect of Ilex hainanensis Merr. Extract on Essential Hypertension: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. Front. Pharmacol. 9:424. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2018.00424 rise, placing a substantial and escalating social and economic burden. Ilex hainanensis Merr. is commonly used as a folk remedy for treating hypertension, dyslipidemia, and inflammation in China. This systematic review aims to evaluate current evidence for the therapeutic effect of *llex hainanensis* Merr. extract (EIH) on essential hypertension. Six electronic databases (Pubmed, MEDLINE, The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, Chinese Scientific Journals Database, Wanfang and CNKI) were searched to identify eligible randomized controlled trials (RCTs) relevant to EIH on essential hypertension up to Jan 2018. Six RCTs including 772 participants met eligibility criteria. Methodological quality of the trials was generally low. Meta-analysis showed that EIH demonstrated a beneficial effect for lowering systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP/DBP), left ventricular mass (LVM) in participants with essential hypertension. There was no significant difference between EIH and antihypertensive drugs in SBP (WMD: -0.44 [-2.30, 1.43]; P = 0.65), DBP (WMD: WMD: -0.02 [-1.13, 1.09]; P = 0.98) and LVM (WMD: -1.36 [-4.99, 2.26]; P = 0.46). Moreover, one trial showed that EIH combined with antihypertensive drugs was more effective in lowering blood pressure than those antihypertensive drugs used alone. However, the findings were limited by the small sample sizes, duration and low methodological quality of the trials. This is the first systematic review of EIH on essential hypertension. More rigorous RCTs with high quality are still needed to prove the effectiveness and safety of EIH and its preparations for essential hypertension.

Keywords: Ilex hainanensis Merr., hypertension, traditional Chinese medicine, systematic review, meta-analysis

INTRODUCTION

Hypertension is one of the leading causes of death and disability-adjusted life years worldwide. With a rapidly aging population, the prevalence of hypertension and related cardiovascular morbidity continues to rise, placing a substantial and escalating social and economic burden (NCD-RisC, 2017). In the United States, hypertension accounted for more cardiovascular diseases (CVD) deaths than any other modifiable

CVD risk factor (Ford, 2011). According to a follow-up study of NHANES (National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 23,272 participants), more than half of deaths from coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke occurred among individuals with hypertension. The risk of cardiovascular diseases is also significantly increased with uncontrolled blood pressure (BP) in China (Yang et al., 2007; Kario, 2013). The prevalence of hypertension in Chinese patients is 39% overall (Sheng et al., 2013), 59.4% in patients aged \geq 60 years and 72.8% in those aged \geq 75 years (Sheng et al., 2013). High blood pressure should be treated earlier with lifestyle changes and in some patients with medication at 130/80 millimeters of mercury (mmHg) rather than 140/90 mmHg based on the American Heart Association (AHA) guidelines for the detection, prevention, management and treatment of high blood pressure in November 2017. Therefore, promising new treatments to slow or stop the progress of hypertension are urgently needed.

Several lines of studies have indicated that traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) can be important modulators in the prevention of a variety of chronic diseases, because of their special characteristics such as multi-ingredient, multitarget, and less side effects (Yang et al., 2014; Xiong et al., 2017). Ilex hainanensis Merr., distributed mainly in the southern region of China, is used as an agent for resolving edema and relieving pain, invigorating blood and unblocking the collaterals according to TCM theory (Ernst, 2000). Its leaves, known as Shan-Lv medicine, have been used as a traditional tea product for relieving symptoms such as headache, dizziness and tinnitus, brain swelling, upset, irritability, and insomnia, which particularly related to hypertension in modern western medicine. Its therapeutic effects are not only related to antihypertensive, but also antilipemic, cholesterol-lowering, and anti-inflammatory, etc. In clinical practice, it has also been used for treating numerous chronic diseases such as coronary heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease, etc. (Yin et al., 2015). The extract of I. hainanensis (EIH) has been used in three preparations: shan_lv_cha antihypertensive capsule, jue_ming_shan_lv_cha tablet, and shan_lv_cha antihypertensive tablet, which has been included in the Pharmacopeia of the People's Republic of China in 2010. At present, researches on chemical composition and pharmacological action of EIH have been studied. A variety of compounds, including flavonoids, caffeoylquinic acid, triterpene acids, triterpenoid saponins, essential oil, organic acids have been isolated and identified (Markham and Ternai, 1976; Hang and Cao, 1984; Zhou et al., 2007; Chena et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2009; Cui et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2013) (Figure 1). Anti-hypertensive properties of EIH have been studied both in vitro and in vivo. Liu et al. (2010), for example, showed that EIH was capable of lowering blood pressure in murine model. The result demonstrated that the systolic blood pressure of EIH group (ten rats) decreased from 15.5 \pm 1.8 KPa to 11.8 \pm 2.8 KPa after 6-week treatment, compared with control group (10 rats treated with saline solution) decreased from 15.2 \pm 1.7 KPa to 15.0 \pm 1.7 KPa. EIH treatment also could significantly reduce the spontaneous activity of rats and increase the tendency of sleepiness. Another group (Li et al.,

2008) also reported that EIH via duodenal administration was able to blood pressure in anesthetized cats. There was no significant difference between EIH group and captopril group after 2-h treatment. The active ingredient of its antihypertensive effect may be the Rutin in EIH. Another ingredient from flex hainanensis Merr., the triterpenoid-rich fraction (TF) had potential ability to protect liver against non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) by regulating lipids metabolism and alleviating insulin resistance, inflammation and oxidative stress in NAFLD murine model. The possible mechanism may be associated with regulating PPARa and CYP2El expression (Cui et al., 2013). In Yang's study (Yang et al., 2013), a sensitive and selective LC-MS method was developed to simutaneously determine chlorogenic acid, kaempferol-7-O-B-D-glucoside and ilexgenin A in rat plasma. The results revealed the pharmacokinetic behaviors of chlorogenic acid, kaempferol-7-O-β-D-glucoside, and ilexgenin A could be significantly changed in NAFLD rats after oral administration of EIH compared with normal rats. In vitro study (Sun et al., 2017), Ilexgenin A (IA), a novel pentacyclic triterpenoid, which extracted from leaves of EIH, could significantly inhibit ERK 1/2 phosphorylation in RAW 264.7 cells induced by LPS. The results demonstrated that IA might as an anti-inflammatory agent candidate for inflammatory disease therapy. Although EIH has been reported to have a broad range of pharmacological effects, including blood pressure-lowering, cholesterol-lowering, and anti-inflammatory, the underlying mechanism of many ingredients in EIH is still unclear.

Numerous clinical studies also showed that EIH could help to control blood pressure (Yang et al., 2000; Hui and Shu, 2002; Liu, 2002; Tang et al., 2004; Yang, 2006; Su and Guo, 2015). It is a folk remedy to treat patients with essential hypertension with EIH alone or combined with antihypertensive agents as an alternative method in south China. However, the evidence examining the effectiveness of EIH for essential hypertension has never been systematically summarized. Thus, this is the first systematic review to critically assess the effectiveness of EIH for essential hypertension.

METHODOLOGY

Study Selection Criteria

Randomized control trials (RCTs) published in Chinese or English until Jan 2018 on comparing EIH with western antihypertensive drugs or placebo in treating essential hypertension were screened. Cross-over randomized trials were included, but only the outcomes from the first period of treatment were extracted and analyzed. Quasirandomized trials were excluded. Animal studies, clinical trials including case report, case series traditional reviews were also excluded.

Inclusion criteria were as follow: (a) participants with essential hypertension (SBP/DBP>140/90 mmHg); (b) the study was claimed to be an RCT; (c) the study compared EIH with conventional intervention or placebo or no treatment were included. RCTs comparing EIH combined antihypertensive drugs with antihypertensive drugs were also included;



(d) duration of treatment (follow-up period) was at least 2 weeks.

Exclusion criteria were as follow: (a) duplicated or redundant study; (b) EIH was used in combination with other drugs; (c) the study did not include systolic blood pressure or diastolic blood pressure as the major outcome. (d) Participants with serious medical conditions, such as severe heart failure, dilated cardiomyopathy, and hypertensive encephalopathy.

Search Strategy

Two authors (YXC and YGY) searched the following electronic databases until January, 2018: Pubmed, Medline, China Network Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), Chinese Scientific Journals Database (VIP), Wan Fang Database, Cochrane Dementia and Cognitive Improvement Group and Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) in the Cochrane Library (January, 2018). The unpublished postgraduate theses in Chinese databases were also searched and the reference lists of all relevant papers found electronically were hand-searched.

The English searching terms were used individually or combined including *"Ilex hainanensis* Merr.," "placebo," "blood pressure," "Essential Hypertension," "Primary Hypertension," "randomized controlled trial," "controlled clinical trial," "randomization," "randomly," "trial," and "randomized." The Chinese searching terms were used individually or combined including those for the generic name of Ilex hainanensis Merr. ("hai_nan_dong_qing"), trade names for Ilex hainanensis Merr. ("shan_lv_cha," "shan_lv_cha_jiang_ya_pian," "zhong_zu_shan_ lv_cha"), Essential Hypertension ("yuan_fa_xing_gao_xue_ya"), Primary Hypertension ("yuan_fa_xing_gao_xue_ya"), and randomized ("sui_ ji"). No language restriction was applied.

Data Extraction

Studies were identified according to the inclusion criteria and data were extracted independently by two authors (LWN and YZ). The extracted information included: participant demographics and baseline characteristics; study methodology; details of the intervention and control conditions; outcome measures and main results. Any discrepancies were identified and resolved through discussion with a third author (WJ) if necessary.

Quality Assessment of Included Studies

Two authors (YXC and YGY) independently assessed the risk of bias using the Cochrane of risk of bias tool (Higgins and Green, 2011). The following items were assessed: Random sequence generation (selection bias); Allocation concealment (selection bias); Blinding (performance bias and detection bias); Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias); Selective outcome reporting (reporting bias).

The risk of bias was categorized as low/unclear/high risk of bias. Trials which met none of the criteria were judged as having a high risk of bias. Trials which met all criteria were judged as having a low risk of bias, and trials with insufficient information to judge were classified as unclear risk of bias. Disagreements between YXC and YGY over the risk of bias in specific studies were resolved by discussion and consensus with involvement of a third review author (WJ). A flow diagram of study selection was



Study ID	Sample size	Diagnosis standard	Intervention	Control	Course (week)	Outcome measure
Study ID	Sample Size	Diagnosis standard	Intervention	Control	Course (week)	Outcome measure
Yang et al., 2000	230	1999 WHO -ISH GMH	EIH (SLCT)	felodipine sustained release tablet	2	BP; side effect
Hui and Shu, 2002	63	1978 WHO -ISH GMH	EIH (SLCT)	captopril	4	BP; LVH; no side effect
Liu, 2002	101	CGPMHBP-2000	EIH (SLCT)	amlodipine	4	BP; no side effect
Tang et al., 2004	80	JNC-VI	EIH (SLCT)	nitrendipine	2	BP; no side effect
Yang, 2006	52	JNC-VI	EIH (SLCT)	captopril	4	BP; LVH; no side effect
Su and Guo, 2015	166	CGPMHBP-2000	EIH (SLCC)	nifedipine	12	BP; side effect

WHO -ISH GMH, WHO -ISH guidelines for the management of hypertension; CGPMHBP, China Guidelines on Prevention and Management of High Blood Pressure; JNC-VI, Sixth Report of the Joint National Committee on the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure; BP, blood pressure; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; ElH, extract of I. hainanensis; SLCT, shan_lv_cha antihypertensive tablet; SLCC, shan_lv_cha antihypertensive capsule. The dosage of ElH varied from 6 to 8 tablets (1.5 to 2 g) per day divided into three times, with an average dose of about 7 tablets (1.75 g) daily.

generated according to the PRISMA requirements (Moher et al., 2009).

Criteria for SBP, DBP, and LVM Regression

Included studies should report effective improvements of systolic blood pressure or diastolic blood pressure as the major outcome. (1) SBP: systolic blood pressure [e.g., Effective improvements

should achieve at least 10 mmHg reduction or normal level (Carretero and Oparil, 2000)]. (2) DBP: diastolic blood pressure [e.g., Effective improvements should achieve at least 10 mmHg reduction (Carretero and Oparil, 2000)]. Participants with essential hypertension regardless of the disease course and severity and diagnosed with any one of the following criteria: (a) The International Classification of Disease (ICD) version 9 or 10; (b) WHO -ISH guidelines for the management of

DBP Hg) hypertension (WHO-ISH GMH1999), SBP \geq 160 mmHg, DBP \geq 95 mmHg; (c) Joint National Committee on the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC 6), SBP \geq 140 mmHg, DBP \geq 90 mmHg; (d) China Guidelines on Prevention and Management of High Blood Pressure (CGPMHBP), SBP \geq 140 mmHg, DBP \geq 90 mmHg. We excluded participants with secondary hypertension of other types.

A progressive increase in left ventricular mass (LVM) correlates with exposure to high blood pressure (Verdecchia et al., 1998; Schillaci et al., 2000), so that regression of LVM with pharmacotherapy was reviewed as outcome in our study. According to the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE), the simplified calculation of LVM is LVM = 0.8 (1.04 ([LVIDD + PWTD + IVSTD]³- [LVIDD]³))+ 0.6 g (Devereux et al., 1986). Left ventricle internal dimension diastole (LVIDd), interventricular septum diastole (IVSd), and posterior wall diastole (PWd) are measured at enddiastole from 2D or M-mode recordings, preferably on several beats. The limits of abnormal ranges for LVM when indexed for body surface area (LVMI) in the ASE chamber quantification are $\geq 120 \text{ g/m}^2$ in women and $\geq 125 \text{ g/m}^2$ in men (JNC 6).

Adverse Events

Adverse Events (AEs) of RCTs including non-reported AEs, types and frequency of AEs reported were surveyed for incidence of AEs during EIH treatments. The follow-up were also measured.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

We performed meta-analyses using RevMan 5.3 software. Data were summarized by using risk ratios (RR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for binary outcomes or mean difference (MD) with 95% CI for continuous outcomes. Fixed effects model was used unless there was evidence of heterogeneity. We assessed heterogeneity using both the Chi-squared test and the I-squared statistic. I-squared statistic was considered if value greater than 25%. For cross-over trials, only the outcomes from the first period were included. We requested data from corresponding author if the required data were not reported. Once outcomes were all evaluated, a summary of findings table was created using the GRADE system (Guyatt et al., 2008).

RESULTS

Description of Trials

We included 6 RCTs (Yang et al., 2000; Hui and Shu, 2002; Liu, 2002; Tang et al., 2004; Yang, 2006; Su and Guo, 2015) for this systematic review. All RCTs were conducted in China, and all were published in Chinese. A flow chart showed the search process and study selection (**Figure 2**). **Table 1** listed the detailed characteristics of all included trials. The 6 RCTs involved 772 participants with essential hypertension, aged between 41 and 92 years old. The baseline characteristics were listed in **Tables 1**, **2**. About the diagnostic criteria of hypertension used in the included trials, one trials (Yang et al., 2000) used 1999 WHO -ISH guidelines for the management of hypertension (1999 WHO

TABLE 2 | Baseline characteristics

Study				Intervention	u					Control		
	2	% Female	Duration of disease	Mean age (years)	Mean SBP (mmHg)	Mean DBP (mmHg)	2	% Female	Duration of disease	Mean age (years)	Mean SBP (mmHg)	Mean [(mmh
Yang et al., 2000	124	58	9.0 ± 6.3	64.7 ± 8.7	167.7 ± 13.0	105.1 ± 7.9	106	50	10.5 ± 8.5	67.2 ± 8.1	168.9 土 14.7	106.7 ±
Hui and Shu, 2002	33	33	51.1 ± 7.8	10.1 ± 5.9	163.8 ± 11.7	101.8 ± 6.3	32	38	9.1 ± 6.4	49.6 ± 9.1	163.8 ± 11.7	101.8 ±
Liu, 2002	49	57	ć	$41 \sim 71^{+1}$	167.5 ± 7.2	100.6 ± 5.6	52	54	ć	45~69#	168.6 ± 9.1	105.2 ±
Tang et al., 2004	80	40	14.2 土 4.2	63 ± 6	?>140	?>90	80	35	13.6 ± 4.6	64 ± 5	?>140	?>9
Yang, 2006	30	36	8~26#	60 ± 15.3	165.73 ± 21.92	103.22 ± 11.45	30	30	5~24#	64 ± 19.1	168.67 ± 23.62	105.21 ±
Su and Guo, 2015	83	53	6.2 ± 1.1	64.6 ± 5.3	150.2 ± 23.1	95.1 ± 13.2	83	53	6.2 ± 1.1	64.6 ± 5.3	153.7 ± 24.5	93.6 ±

± 10.3 ± 6.3 ± 6.1 90 ± 10.37 = 11.7



TABLE 3 | Methodological quality of included studies based on the Cochrane handbook.

Included trials	Α	в	С	D	Е	F
Yang et al., 2000	_	_	?	?	_	?
Hui and Shu, 2002	+	?	?	?	?	?
Liu, 2002	?	+	?	?	?	?
Tang et al., 2004	?	_	?	?	_	?
Yang, 2006	+	+	?	?	?	?
Su and Guo, 2015	?	?	+	+	?	?

A, random sequence generation; B, allocation concealment; C, blinding of participants and personnel; D, blinding of outcome assessment; E, incomplete outcome data; F, selective reporting;+, low risk; -, high risk; ?, unclear.

-ISH GMH), one trials (Hui and Shu, 2002) used 1978 WHO -ISH GMH, two trials (Tang et al., 2004; Yang, 2006) used Sixth Report of the Joint National Committee on the Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC 6), two trials (Liu, 2002; Su and Guo, 2015) used China Guidelines on Prevention and Management of High Blood Pressure-2000 (CGPMHBP-2000).

There were two main comparisons: EIH vs. conventional antihypertensive medicine (5 trials Yang et al., 2000; Hui and Shu, 2002; Liu, 2002; Tang et al., 2004; Su and Guo, 2015, 83%), and EIH plus conventional antihypertensive medicine vs. conventional antihypertensive medicine (1 trial Yang, 2006, 17%). The conventional antihypertensive medicines included felodipine sustained-release tablet, captopril, amlodipine, nitrendipine, and nifedipine. The duration of treatment varied from 2 to 12 weeks, with an average duration of 4.7 weeks. The dosage of EIH varied from 6 to 8 tablets (1.5–2 g) per day divided into three times, with an average dose of about 7 tablets (1.75 g) daily. All ingredients in the medication were in equal proportion across different manufacturing products.

Risk of Bias Assessment

The risk of bias were assessed include random sequence generation, allocation concealment, blinding, incomplete outcome data, selective outcome reporting. For random sequence generation, one trials (17%) (Hui and Shu, 2002)

used a random number table, one trial (17%) (Yang, 2006) used treatment sequence, and the other four trials (66%) just simply mentioned "randomization" and did not report the specific method of random sequence generation. For allocation concealment, two trial (33%) (Liu, 2002; Yang, 2006) used a center controlled method, while the other four trials (67%) did not report information on this. For blinding, one trial (17%) (Su and Guo, 2015) used single-blinding method in which just mentioned "single blinding," but did not give detailed information. The other five trials (83%) did not give information on blinding. For incomplete outcome data, only one trial (17%) (Yang, 2006) reported the detailed information of attrition by describing the number and reasons for withdrawal. However, it was still unclear whether there was incomplete outcome data in those trials, for the number of participants in randomization and in data analysis was not equal. For selective outcome reporting, all trials did not report the information of registration. We could not make a comparison between the protocols and trial reports. In conclusion, after assessing the risk of bias the 6 included trials, we found the general methodological quality of most trials was low (Figure 3, Table 3). Using the outcomes reviewed, a summary of findings table has been created using the GRADE system (Table 4). The three most relevant patient important outcomes are displayed in the table.

Effect of the Interventions Systolic Blood Pressure

Five trials (Yang et al., 2000; Hui and Shu, 2002; Liu, 2002; Tang et al., 2004; Yang, 2006) compared the preparation of EIH used alone with antihypertensive drugs. There was no significant difference between the two groups in systolic blood pressure (WMD: -0.44 [-2.30, 1.43]; P = 0.65); one trial (Su and Guo, 2015) compared the preparation of EIH plus antihypertensive drugs with antihypertensive drugs. There was significant difference between the two groups in systolic blood pressure (WMD: -8.50 [-11.99, -5.01]; P < 0.00001) (see Figure 4, Table 5).

Diastolic Blood Pressure

Five trials (Yang et al., 2000; Hui and Shu, 2002; Liu, 2002; Tang et al., 2004; Yang, 2006) compared the preparation of EIH

TABLE 4 | Summary of findings table: the three most important patients outcomes are listed in the summary of findings table.

4.1 EIH vs. Antihypertensive drugs

```
Patients or population: patients with essential hypertension
```

Settings: in adult patients

Intervention: EIH

Outcomes	Illustrative compar	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		No of Participants Quality of the	Comments
	Assumed risk control	Corresponding risk EIH	(studies)	evidence (GRADE)	
Systolic blood oressure	The mean systolic blood pressure ranged across control groups from 134.24 to 151.2 mmHg	The mean systolic blood pressure in the intervention groups was 0 higher (2.3 lower to 1.43 higher)	606(5 studies)	⊕⊕⊖⊖low	
Diastolic blood pressure	The mean distolic blood pressure ranged across control groups from 84.4 to 92.4 mmHg	The mean diastolic blood pressure in the intervention groups was 0.02 lower(1.13 lower to 1.09 higher)	606(5 studies)	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus $ low	
Left ventricular mass index	The mean left ventricular mass index ranged across control groups from 91.41 to 122.2 g/m ²	The mean left ventricular mass index in the intervention groups was 1.36 lower(4.99 lower to 2.26 higher)	85(2 studies)	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus $ low	

Systolic blood pressure	The mean systolic blood pressure of the control group in single study was 131.8 mmHg	The mean systolic blood pressure in the intervention group was 8.5 lower(11.99 to 5.01 lower)	166(1 study)	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$ low	
Diastolic blood pressure	The mean diastolic blood pressure of the control group in single study was 82.5 mmHg	The mean diastolic blood pressure in the intervention group was 8.7 lower(11.49 to 5.91 lower)	166(1 study)	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$ low	

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

*The basis for the assumed risk (e.g., the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The corresponding risk (and its 95% confidence interval) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the relative effect of the intervention (and its 95% Cl). Cl: Confidence interval.

used alone with antihypertensive drugs. There was no significant difference between the two groups in diastolic blood pressure (WMD: WMD: -0.02 [-1.13, 1.09]; P = 0.98); and one trial (Su and Guo, 2015) compared the preparation of EIH plus antihypertensive drugs with antihypertensive drugs. There was significant difference between the two groups in systolic blood pressure (WMD: -8.70 [-11.49, -5.91]; P < 0.00001) (see **Figure 5**, **Table 6**).

Regression of Left Ventricular Mass

Two trials (Hui and Shu, 2002; Yang, 2006) compared the preparation of EIH used alone with antihypertensive drugs. There was no significant difference between the two groups in LVMI (WMD: -1.36 [-4.99, 2.26]; P = 0.46), LVST (WMD: 0.11 [-0.12, 0.34]; P = 0.35), LVPWD (WMD: 0.15 [-0.13, 0.43]; P

= 0.29), LVDD (WMD: 0.35 [-1.36, 2.07]; P = 0.69). Both of the two trials (Hui and Shu, 2002; Yang, 2006) showed that after 4 weeks of treatment, the level of LVMI in both EIH group and captopril group decreased significantly (P < 0.05), but there was no significant difference between these two group (P = 0.46) (see **Figure 6, Table 7**).

Side Effect

Two include trials (Yang et al., 2000; Su and Guo, 2015) mentioned the side effects in EIH group. The adverse effects only included diarrhea 0.25% (1/397), nausea 0.25% (1/397), peripheral edema 0.25% (1/397), hypotension 0.25% (1/397), and elevation of aminotransferase 0.25% (1/397). No severe adverse events were reported. These adverse effects disappeared after continue to take medicine. The other four trials (Hui and Shu,



TABLE 5 | Analyses of systolic blood pressure.

Trials		WMD [95% CI]
EIH vs. ANTIHYPERTENSIVE DRUGS		
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. captopril	1	1.90 [-2.00, 5.80]
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. amlodipine	1	-2.80 [-5.88, 0.28]
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. nitrendipine	1	1.20 [-2.54, 4.94]
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. captopril	1	-0.67 [-9.74, 8.40]
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. felodipine sustained release tablet	1	-1.00 [-6.46, 4.46]
Meta-Analysis	5	-0.44 [-2.30, 1.43]
EIH PLUS ANTIHYPERTENSIVE DRU	GS vs. AN	TIHYPERTENSIVE DRUGS
Shan_lv_cha capsule plus nifedipine vs. nifedipine	1	-8.50 [-11.99, -5.01]
Meta-Analysis	1	-8.50 [-11.99, -5.01]

EIH, extract of I. hainanensis.

2002; Liu, 2002; Tang et al., 2004; Yang, 2006) reported no side effect in EIH group compared to conventional antihypertensive drugs.

Sensitivity Analysis and Publication Bias

We failed to conduct sensitivity analysis, and also failed to perform funnel plot to detect publication bias, because of no sufficient number of trials.

DISCUSSION

In our review, five trials (Yang et al., 2000; Hui and Shu, 2002; Liu, 2002; Tang et al., 2004; Yang, 2006) compared the preparation of EIH used alone with antihypertensive drugs. There was no significant difference between the two groups in systolic and diastolic blood pressure; however, one trial (Su and Guo, 2015) compared the preparation of EIH plus antihypertensive drugs with antihypertensive drugs. There was statistically significant difference between the two groups in systolic and diastolic

blood pressure (P < 0.00001). As a progressive increase in left ventricular mass (LVM) correlates with exposure to high blood pressure (Verdecchia et al., 1998; Schillaci et al., 2000), the regression of LVM with pharmacotherapy was also included as outcome in our study. Two trials (Hui and Shu, 2002; Yang, 2006) showed that after 4 weeks of treatment, the level of LVMI in both EIH group and captopril group decreased significantly (P < 0.05), but there was no significant difference between these two group (P = 0.46). After all, four trials (Yang et al., 2000; Liu, 2002; Tang et al., 2004; Su and Guo, 2015) were compared with calcium channel blockers (nitrendipine, amlodipine, and felodipine, nifedipine) and two trials (Hui and Shu, 2002; Yang, 2006) compared with ACE inhibitors (captopril). There was no evidence for the effect of EIH compared with other antihypertensive drug classes such as beta-blockers, angiotensin II receptor antagonists, and diuretics. As noted that ACE inhibitors decrease left ventricular mass more effectively than beta-blockers and its mechanism is related to activation of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, in particular increased angiotensin II levels, and stimulating growth of myocardial cells (Nagano et al., 1991). The findings were limited by the small sample sizes, duration and low methodological quality of the trials. More rigorous RCTs comparing EIH with ACE inhibitors or other antihypertensive drug classes are urgently needed.

Though this review suggested some benefit of *Ilex hainanensis Merr.* preparation for essential hypertension, the following problems contribute to the limited methodological quality of most included trials: (a) For blinding, who was blinded was unclear; (b) For random sequence generation and allocation concealment, most were unclear; (c) For incomplete outcome data and selective outcome reporting, dropout during the trial and use of intention-to-treat analysis was unclear; Using the outcomes reviewed, a summary of findings table has been created using the GRADE system (**Table 6**). The three most relevant patient important outcomes are displayed in the table. We highly recommend further RCT should report according to the CONSORT Statement



TABLE 6 | Analyses of diastolic blood pressure.

Trials		WMD [95% CI]
EIH vs. ANTIHYPERTENSIVE DRUGS		
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. captopril	1	1.50 [0.46, 3.46]
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. amlodipine	1	-0.10 [-2.73, 2.53]
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. nitrendipine	1	1.20 [-2.54, 4.94]
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. captopril	1	-1.03 [-4.22, 2.16]
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. felodipine sustained release tablet	1	-1.60 [-3.66, 0.46]
Meta-Analysis	5	-0.02[-1.13, 1.09]
EIH PLUS ANTIHYPERTENSIVE DRUG	GS vs. AN	TIHYPERTENSIVE DRUGS
Shan_lv_cha capsule plus nifedipine vs. nifedipine	1	-8.70 [-11.49, -5.91]
Meta-Analysis	1	-8.70 [-11.49, -5.91]

EIH, extract of I. hainanensis.

(Schulz et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2013, 2014; Yang et al., 2015).

A total of 6 databases had been searched up to Jan 2018 including the Cochrane library, PubMed, Medline, Wanfang, CNKI, and VIP. It might have a limitation of missing some trials since we are unable to search pertinent databases such as Allied and Alternative medicine (AMED) and Excerpt Medica Database (EMBASE). All studies were mainly published in Chinese, and the strength of the evidence was limited by the lack of placebos. 6 RCTs and a total of 772 participants were included. No trials claimed "negative" effect of *Ilex hainanensis Merr*. Prospective registration of clinical trials and/or publication of clinical trial protocol may be a good solution (Xu and Chen, 2008; Robinson, 2011).

Though none of the included trials reported severe adverse events possibly related to *Ilex hainanensis Merr.*, we cannot draw firm conclusions about the safety of *Ilex hainanensis Merr.* because of insufficient trials. The EIH has been widely used in three preparations: shan_lv_cha antihypertensive capsule, jue_ming_shan_lv_cha tablet, and shan_lv_cha antihypertensive tablet, which has been included in the Pharmacopeia of the People's Republic of China in 2010. The dosage of EIH varied from 6 to 8 tablets (1.5–2 g) per day divided into three times, with an average dose of about 7 tablets (1.75 g) daily. Two trial (Hui and Shu, 2002; Yang, 2006) mentioned that total 10 patients withdrew (2 cases in EIH group, 8 cases in antihypertensive drugs group) because of unsatisfied blood pressure (SBP/DBP>160/95 mmHg). The percentage of missing data is 1%. The duration of treatment of trials ranged from 2 to 12 weeks, so the potential beneficial or harmful effect of *Ilex hainanensis Merr*. for treatment of essential hypertension might only result from short treatment duration.

With the acceptance and popularity of TCM, great contributions have been made to the health and well-being of the people for the unique advantages of TCM in cardiovascular disease (Hao et al., 2015, 2017). Ilex hainanensis Merr. is used as an agent for resolving edema and relieving pain, invigorating blood and unblocking the collaterals in TCM. The antihypertensive effect is one of the main pharmacological effects of *Ilex hainanensis* Merr. Since most participants with essential hypertension require long-time treatment, the long-term safety of the treatment is still an important concern. We recommend that further studies should pay attention to the adverse events and long-term safety by designing a longer duration of treatment and a long-term follow-up (Adriane, 2000; Windrum et al., 2000; Tachjian et al., 2010). In future, more rigorous RCTs and double blind randomized placebo controlled clinical trials of long term duration measuring mortality and cardiovascular morbidity as well as blood pressure are urgently needed.

CONCLUSION

The extract of *Ilex hainanensis Merr*. and its preparations seems to have some beneficial effects on lowering systolic and diastolic blood pressure, left ventricular mass in participants with essential



TABLE 7 | Analyses of left ventricular mass

Trials		WMD [95% CI]
EIH vs. ANTIHYPERTENSIVE DRUG	GS: LVMI	
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. captopril	1	4.90 [-6.35, 16.15]
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. captopril	1	-2.09 [-5.92, 1.74]
Meta-Analysis	2	-1.36[-4.99, 2.26]
EIH vs. ANTIHYPERTENSIVE DRUG	GS: LVST	
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. captopril	1	0.20 [-0.52, 0.92]
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. captopril	1	0.10 [-0.14, 0.34]
Meta-Analysis	2	0.11 [-0.12, 0.34]
EIH vs. ANTIHYPERTENSIVE DRUG	GS: LVPWD	
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. captopril	1	0.30 [-0.25, 0.85]
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. captopril	1	0.10 [-0.23, 0.43]
Meta-Analysis	2	0.15 [-0.13, 0.43]
EIH vs. ANTIHYPERTENSIVE DRUG	GS: LVDD	
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. captopril	1	0.20 [-2.09, 2.49]
Shan_lv_cha tablet vs. captopril	1	0.55 [-2.05, 3.15]
Meta-Analysis	2	0.35 [-1.36, 2.07]

EIH, extract of I. hainanensis.

REFERENCES

Adriane, F. B. (2000). Herb-drug interactions. Lancet 355, 134–138. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(99)06457-0 hypertension. However, the findings were limited by the small sample sizes, duration and low methodological quality of the trials. Further rigorously designed, and well reported RCTs are still needed to prove the effectiveness and safety of the extract of *Ilex hainanensis Merr.* and its preparations for essential hypertension.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

XY conceived and designed this meta-analysis and wrote the paper. XY and GY collected the data and drew the tables. YZ, WL, and JW analyzed the data and helped to draft the manuscript together.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation Project of China (No. 81603479). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Chen, X.-Q., Yang, J., Liu, X.-X., Laib, M.-X., and Wang, Q. (2009). Complete assignments of 1Hand 13CNMR spectral data for three new triterpenoid saponins from *Ilex hainanensis* Merr. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* 47, 169–173. doi: 10.1002/mrc.2355

- Chena, X.-Q., Zana, K., Yanga, J., Laib, M.-X., and Wanga, Q. (2009). A novel flavanone from *Ilex hainanensis* Merr. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 23, 442–447. doi: 10.1080/14786410802044885
- Carretero, O. A., and Oparil, S. (2000). Essential hypertension. Part I: definition and etiology. *Circulation* 101, 329–335. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.101.3.329
- Cui, W. X., Yang, J., Chen, X. Q., Mao, Q., Wei, X. L., Wen, X. D., et al. (2013). Triterpenoid-rich fraction from *Ilex hainanensis* Merr. attenuates nonalcoholic fatty liver disease induced by high fat diet in rats. *Am. J. Chin. Med.* 41, 487–502. doi: 10.1142/S0192415X13500353
- Devereux, R. B., Alonso, D. R., Lutas, E. M., Gottlieb, G. J., Campo, E., Sachs, I., et al. (1986). Echocardiographic assessment of left ventricular hypertrophy: comparison to necropsy findings. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 57, 450–458. doi: 10.1016/0002-9149(86)90771-X
- Ernst, E. (2000). Prevalence of use of complementary/alternative medicine: a systematic review. *Bull. World Health Organ.* 78, 252–257.
- Ford, E. S. (2011). Trends in mortality from all causes and cardiovascular disease among hypertensive and nonhypertensive adults in the United States. *Circulation* 123, 1737–1744. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.110. 005645
- Guyatt, G. H., Oxman, A. D., Vist, G. E., Kunz, R., Falck-Ytter, Y., Alonso-Coello, P., et al. (2008). GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 336, 924–926. doi: 10.1136/bmj.39489.470347.AD
- Hang, C. L., and Cao, Q. R. (1984). Tea-based Traditional Chinese medicine resource-Shanlvcha. J. Chin. Med. Mat. 3, 18–36.
- Hao, P. P., Jiang, F., Cheng, Y. G., Yang, J., Zhang, K., Zhang, M. X., et al. (2015). Traditional Chinese medication for cardiovascular disease. *Nat. Rev. Cardiol.* 12, 115–122. doi: 10.1038/nrcardio.2014.177
- Hao, P. P., Jiang, F., Cheng, J., Ma, L. Y., Zhang, Y., and Zhao, Y. X. (2017). Traditional Chinese medicine for cardiovascular disease. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 69, 2952–2966. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.04.041
- Higgins, J. P. T., and Green, S. (2011). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0* [updated March 2011]. The Cochrane Collaboration.
- Hui, Y. C., and Shu, Z. Q. (2002). The comparison of curative effect of Shanlvcha antihypertensive tablet in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy compared with captopril. *Chin. J. Integr.Tradit. West. Med.* 22, 206–207. doi: 10.3321/j.issn:1003-5370.2002.03.034
- Kario, K. (2013). Proposal of a new strategy for ambulatory blood pressure profilebased management of resistant hypertension in the era of renal denervation. *Hypertens. Res.* 36:564. doi: 10.1038/hr.2013.40
- Li, P., Xie, J. X., Chen, Y., and Li, C. Y. (2008). Experimental study on the antihypertensive effect of different processed products of Shanlvcha. *J. Chin. Med. Mater.* 31, 1309–1312. doi: 10.3321/j.issn:1001-4454.2008. 09.005
- Liu, Y., Wei, H. Y., Long, J. C., and Jiang, Z. W. (2010). Pharmacodynamics of Shanlucha Antihypertensive Tablets for the Treatment of Hypertension with Vertigo and Headache. *China J. Exp.Tradit. Med. Form.* 16, 86–88. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1005-9903.2010.01.029
- Liu, J. (2002). Clinical effect of Shanlvcha antihypertensive tablet on 101 patients with essential hypertension. *Hui Zhong Yi Lin Chuang Za Zhi* 14, 339–340. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1672-7134.2002.05.003
- Markham, B., and Ternai, K. R. (1976). 13C NMR of flavonoids-II : flavonoids other then flavone and flavonol aglycones. *Tetrahedron* 32, 2607–2612. doi: 10.1016/0040-4020(76)88036-2
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., and Altman, D. G. (2009). The PRISMA group. preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Med.* 89:e1000097. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
- Nagano, M., Higaki, J., Mikami, H., Nakamaru, M., Higashimori, K., Katahira, K., et al. (1991). Converting enzyme inhibitors regressed cardiac hypertrophy and reduced tissue angiotensin II in spontaneously hypertensive rats. J. Hypertens. 9, 595–599. doi: 10.1097/00004872-199107000-00003
- NCD Risk Factor Collaboration (NCD-RisC) (2017). Worldwide trends in blood pressure from 1975 to 2015: a pooled analysis of 1479 population-based measurement studies with 19.1 million participants. *Lancet* 389, 37–55. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31919-5

- Robinson, N. (2011). Integrative medicine-traditional Chinese medicine, a model? Chin. J. Integr. Med. 17, 21–25. doi: 10.1007/s11655-011-0602-9
- Schillaci, G., Verdecchia, P., Porcellati, C., Cuccurullo, O., Cosco, C., and Perticone, F. (2000). Continuous relation between left ventricular mass and cardiovascular risk in essential hypertension. *Hypertension* 35, 580–586. doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.35.2.580
- Schulz, K. F., Altman, D. G., and Moher, D. (2010). CONSORT 2010 Statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomized trials. *BMJ* 340:c332. doi: 10.1136/bmj.c332
- Sheng, C. S., Liu, M. Y. Y., Kang, F., Wei, F., Zhang, L., Liu, Y., et al. (2013). Prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in elderly Chinese. *Hypertens. Res.* 36, 824–828. doi: 10.1038/hr. 2013.57
- Su, F. Q., and Guo, L. F. (2015). Clinical effect of Shanlvcha capsule patients with elderly essential hypertension. *Xian Dai Zhong Xi Yi Jie He Za Zhi* 24, 1191–1192. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1008-8849.2015.11.018
- Sun, W. D., Liu, C., Zhang, Y. Q., Qiu, X., Zhang, L., Zhao, H. X., et al. (2017). Ilexgenin A, a novel pentacyclic triterpenoid extracted from Aquifoliaceae shows reduction of LPS-induced peritonitis in mice. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 797, 94–105. doi: 10.1016/j.ejphar.2017.01.019
- Tachjian, A., Maria, V., and Arshad, J. (2010). Use of herbal products and potential interactions in patients with cardiovascular diseases. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 55, 515–525. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.07.074
- Tang, Y. P., Liu, Y., and Fang, X. M. (2004). Clinical effect of Shanlvcha on 80 patients with elderly essential hypertension. *Zhong Yi Yao Xue Kan* 22, 1502–1503. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1673-7717.2004.08.068
- Verdecchia, P., Schillaci, G., Borgioni, C., Ciucci, A., Gattobigio, R., Zampi, I., et al. (1998). Prognostic significance of serial changes in left ventricular mass in essential hypertension. *Circulation* 97, 48–54. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR. 97.1.48
- Wang, J., Xiong, X. J., Yang, G. Y., Zhang, Y. Q., Liu, Y. M., Zhang, Y., et al. (2013). Chinese herbal medicine Qi Ju Di Huang Wan for the treatment of essential hypertension: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Evid. Based Complement Alternat. Med.* 2013:262685. doi: 10.1155/2013/ 262685
- Wang, J., Xiong, X. J., and Yang, X. C. (2014). Is it a new approach for treating senile hypertension with kidney-tonifying Chinese herbal formula? a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Evid. Based Complement Alternat. Med.* 2014:473038. doi: 10.1155/2014/473038
- Windrum, P., Hull, D. R., and Morris, T. C. M. (2000). Herb-drug interactions, Lancet 355, 1019–1020. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)74767-X
- Xiong, X., Yang, X., Duan, L., Liu, W., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., et al. (2017). Traditional Chinese medicine suppresses left ventricular hypertrophy by targeting extracellular signal-regulated kinases signaling pathway in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Sci. Rep.* 7:42965. doi: 10.1038/srep 42965
- Xu, H., and Chen, K. J. (2008). Integrative medicine: the experience from China. J. Alternat. Complement. Med. 14, 3–7. doi: 10.1089/acm.2006.6329
- Yang, Y. T., Wu, J. Q., Yu, S. W., and Shen, Y. M. (2000). Clinical observation on hypertension treated by shanlvcha tablets for decreasing blood pressure. *Chin. J. Inf. Tradit. Chin. Med.* 7, 36–37. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.1005-5304.2000. 07.018
- Yang, X., Sun, K., Zhang, W., Wu, H., Zhang, H., and Hui, R. (2007). Prevalence of and risk factors for peripheral arterial disease in the patients with hypertension among Han Chinese. J. Vasc. Surg. 46, 296–302. doi: 10.1016/j.jvs.2007. 03.034
- Yang, J., Lv, F., Chen, X. Q., Cui, W. X., Chen, L. H., Wen, X. D., et al. (2013). Pharmacokinetic study of major bioactive components in rats after oral administration of extract of *Ilex hainanensis* by high-performance liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 77, 21–28. doi: 10.1016/j.jpba.2013. 01.011
- Yang, X., Zhao, H., and Wang, J. (2014). Chinese massage (Tuina) for the treatment of essential hypertension: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Complement Ther. Med.* 22, 541–548. doi: 10.1016/j.ctim.2014.03.008
- Yang, X. C., Xiong, X. J., Yang, G. Y., Wang, H. R., and Wang, J. (2015). Songling Xuemaikang Capsule for primary hypertension: a systematic

review of randomized controlled trials. Chin. J. Integr. Med. 21, 312–320. doi: 10.1007/s11655-014-1709-6

- Yang, J. (2006). The different dose of Shanlvcha antihypertensive tablet in hypertensive patients with left ventricular hypertrophy. *Guang Xi Yi Xue* 28, 1375–1376. doi: 10.3969/j.issn.0253-4304.2006. 09.028
- Yin, R. Z., Wang, J. Q., Wang, S., Bao, Y. R., and Meng, X. S. (2015). The research progress of Ilex hainanensis Merr. in clinical practice. *J. Liaoning Univ. TCM* 17, 81–84. doi: 10.13194/j.issn.1673-842x.2015. 12.026
- Zhou, X.-S., Yang, S.-J., Liu, C.-F., and Tu, F.-P. (2007). Triterpenoids from the leaves of *Ilex hainanensis*. *Helvetica Chimica Acta* 90, 121–127. doi: 10.1002/hlca.200790005

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The reviewer VM and handling Editor declared their shared affiliation.

Copyright © 2018 Yang, Yang, Li, Zhang and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.