



Digoxin, an Overlooked Agonist of ROR γ /ROR γ T

Kaja Karaś¹, Anna Sałkowska¹, Marta Sobalska-Kwapis², Aurelia Walczak-Drzewiecka³, Dominik Strapagiel², Jarosław Dastych³, Rafał A. Bachorz⁴ and Marcin Ratajewski^{1*}

¹ Laboratory of Epigenetics, Institute of Medical Biology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Lodz, Poland, ² Biobank Lab, Department of Molecular Biophysics, Faculty of Biology and Environmental Protection, University of Lodz, Lodz, Poland, ³ Laboratory of Cellular Immunology, Institute of Medical Biology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Lodz, Poland, ⁴ Laboratory of Molecular Modeling, Institute of Medical Biology, Polish Academy of Sciences, Lodz, Poland

Digoxin was one of the first identified ROR_YT receptor inverse agonists inhibiting the differentiation of Th17 cells. However, this compound exhibits inhibitory activity at relatively high concentrations that mediate cytotoxic effects. We previously identified several cardenolides that are structurally similar to digoxin that were able to induce ROR_Y/ROR_YT-dependent transcription. These observations encouraged us to reanalyze the effects of digoxin on ROR_Y/ROR_YT-dependent transcription at low, noncytotoxic concentrations. Digoxin induced ROR_Y/ROR_YT-dependent transcription in HepG2 and Th17 cells. Furthermore, analysis of the transcriptomes of Th17 cells cultured in the presence of digoxin revealed the induction of the expression of numerous Th17-specific genes, including IL17A/F, IL21, IL22, IL23R, CCR4, and CCR6. Thus, our study, which includes data obtained from intact cells, indicates that digoxin, similar to other cardenolides, is a potent ROR_Y/ROR_YT receptor activator and that its structure may serve as a starting point for the design of dedicated molecules that can be used in the development of adoptive cell therapy (ACT).

Keywords: RORγ, RORC, Th17, agonist, digoxin, molecular docking

INTRODUCTION

The nuclear receptor (NR) superfamily consists of 48 ligand-activated transcription factors that are involved in a variety of physiological functions. Members of this superfamily have a typical domain structure (Burris et al., 2013). The first domain, the N-terminal A/B domain, is the most divergent among the NRs and is frequently posttranslationally modified. In many receptors, an AF-1 (activation function 1) region within the A/B domains is responsible for interactions with corepressors and coactivators, independent of ligand binding (Warnmark et al., 2003). The DNA binding domain (DBD, domain C) is the most conserved region of the nuclear receptors and is responsible for recognizing and binding response elements (REs) within the regulatory regions

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Stefania Tacconelli, Università degli Studi G. d'Annunzio Chieti e Pescara, Italy

Reviewed by:

Soon Yew Tang, University of Pennsylvania, United States Georgios Paschos, University of Pennsylvania, United States

*Correspondence:

Marcin Ratajewski mratajewski@cbm.pan.pl

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Inflammation Pharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 15 October 2018 Accepted: 29 November 2018 Published: 07 January 2019

Citation:

Karaś K, Sałkowska A, Sobalska-Kwapis M, Walczak-Drzewiecka A, Strapagiel D, Dastych J, Bachorz RA and Ratajewski M (2019) Digoxin, an Overlooked Agonist of ROR_Y /ROR_Y T. Front. Pharmacol. 9:1460. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2018.01460

1

Abbreviations: AhR, aryl hydrocarbon receptor; CCR4, C-C motif chemokine receptor 4; CCR6, C-C motif chemokine receptor 6; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; EMSA, electrophoretic mobility shift assay; G6PC, glucose-6-phosphatase catalytic subunit; HMBS, hydroxymethylbilane synthase; HPRT1, hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1; IL23R, interleukin 23 receptor; NCOA1, nuclear receptor coactivator 1; NCOA2, nuclear receptor coactivator 2; NPAS2, neuronal PAS domain protein 2; NR, nuclear receptor; RORC, RAR related orphan receptor C; RORγ, nuclear receptor ROR-gamma isoform 1; RORγT, nuclear receptor ROR-gamma isoform 2; RORα, RAR related orphan receptor A; RORE, ROR response element; RPL13A, ribosomal protein L13a; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; Th17, T helper 17 cell; VDR, vitamin D receptor.

of target genes. Domain D, also called the hinge region, probably has a regulatory function that determines the interaction of the receptor with other proteins or mediates protein translocation (Horlein et al., 1995; Pissios et al., 2000; Haelens et al., 2007). The next domain, termed the ligand-binding domain (LBD, domain E), contains the AF-2 (activation function 2) region, which acts in a ligand-dependent manner (Jetten, 2009; Solt et al., 2010; Burris et al., 2013).

The involvement of nuclear receptors in the regulation of developmental and physiological processes, including those underlying many diseases, e.g., cancer and immunological and endocrine disorders, makes them interesting targets for drug development. A good example of such a drug development approach is the search for molecules inhibiting the transcriptional properties of the protein products (two isoforms) of the RORC gene (retinoic acid-related orphan receptor C): RORy and RORyT. The two isoforms, which differ by only 21 amino acids in their N-terminal A/B domains, have different tissue distributions and probably have different functions. The longer isoform, RORy, is broadly expressed (He et al., 1998) and regulates genes involved in the circadian cycle and metabolism (Kang et al., 2007; Jetten, 2009; Takeda et al., 2012) while the shorter isoform, RORyT, is exclusively expressed in Th17 cells, where it regulates their development and the expression of the signature interleukins IL17A and IL17F (Ivanov et al., 2006; Crome et al., 2009). Due to the involvement of Th17 in pathogenic processes underlying autoimmunological diseases, e.g., rheumatoid arthritis (Hirota et al., 2007), Graves' disease (Zheng et al., 2013), and multiple sclerosis (Kebir et al., 2007), RORyT is perceived as a promising target in the development of new pharmaceuticals for the treatment of autoimmunological diseases by modulating the pathogenic activity of Th17. One of the first identified molecules affecting the function of RORyT was digoxin (Huh et al., 2011), which is a derivative of plants in the genus Digitalis that belongs to a group of compounds known as cardenolides. In a mouse model, it has been shown that treatment with high doses of digoxin has positive effects against experimental colitis (Xiao et al., 2014; Tani et al., 2017) and atherosclerosis (Shi et al., 2016) and attenuates acute cardiac allograft rejection (Wu et al., 2013).

Previously, in a screening study of two chemical libraries, we identified three cardenolides with activatory properties toward ROR γ /ROR γ T, and digoxigenin, an aglycon of digoxin, was among them (Karaś et al., 2018). This prompted us to reevaluate the impact of digoxin on ROR γ /ROR γ T nuclear receptors. We found that at nontoxic nanomolar concentrations, digoxin was able to induce ROR γ -dependent transcription in HepG2 cells and ROR γ T-dependent expression in human Th17 cells. Thus, our results show, for the first time, that digoxin acts as an agonist activating human ROR γ /ROR γ T.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture

The HepG2 (human hepatocellular carcinoma) cell line was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC,

Manassas, VA, United States) and cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) with high (4.5 g/l) glucose completed with 10% fetal bovine serum (PAN Biotech GmbH, Aidenbach, Germany) at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO₂. The reporter cell line HepG2-ROR γ stably transfected with the reporter plasmid (RORE)6-tk-Luc (Salkowska et al., 2017) containing six copies of RORE (5'-GGTAAGTAGGTCA-3') (Medvedev et al., 1996), as described in our previous study (Karaś et al., 2018) was cultured, similarly, to the maternal HepG2 cells but with the presence of 50 µg/ml hygromycin B.

Cell Viability

The cytotoxicity of digoxin in HepG2 cells was established with a neutral red uptake assay (Repetto et al., 2008). In detail, cells were plated into 96-well transparent plates at a density of 1.5×10^4 cells per well. After overnight culturing, the cells were treated with increasing concentrations of digoxin for 24 h. Then, the medium was removed, and the cells were washed with a cold buffered saline solution. Neutral red was added (50 µg/ml) to the cells, and the plates were incubated for 3 h to allow neutral red penetration into the cells. After incubation, the neutral red solution was discarded, and the cells were washed with buffered saline solution. To extract the cell-bound dye, a solution containing 50% ethanol and 1% acetic acid was added. The absorbance of each sample was measured at 550 nm using a Sunrise microplate reader (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland). The viability of Th17 cells cultured for 5 days in the presence of different concentrations of digoxin was established with CellTiter-Glo® Luminescent Cell Viability Assay (Promega, Madison, WI, United States) according to manufacturer's instructions.

Plasmid and Reagents

The construction of the human ROR γ expression plasmid was described previously (Karaś et al., 2018). Expression vectors containing human ROR γ T and mouse Rory/Roryt cDNA and a control pCMV6-XL5 vector were purchased from OriGene Technologies (Rockville, MD, United States). The reporter vector pGL4.35[luc2P/9XGAL4UAS/Hygro] was purchased from Promega (Madison, WI, United States). The GAL4-DBD ROR α and GAL4-DBD ROR γ fusion constructs were described previously (Kumar et al., 2010) and were a kind gift from Prof. Patrick Griffin. Hygromycin B (P06-08020) was purchased from PAN Biotech GmbH. Digoxin (D6003, purity \geq 95.0%) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Louis, MO, United States).

Stable Transfection and Generation of the HepG2-pGL4.35 Reporter Cell Line

HepG2 cells were seeded into 6-well plates in DMEM medium and allowed to reach approximately 70% confluency. The cells were transfected with 2 μ g of pGL4.35[luc2P/ 9XGAL4UAS/Hygro] reporter plasmid using Fugene HD (Promega). Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were selected with 50 μ g/ml hygromycin B (Sigma-Aldrich) for 4 weeks. Hygromycin B-resistant colonies were isolated, expanded and frozen in aliquots for subsequent experiments.

Transient Transfection and Luciferase Assay

The ROR γ -HepG2 cells were seeded into 96-well white plates at a density of 1.5×10^4 cells per well, and 24 h later, they were treated with increasing concentrations of digoxin for another 24 h. Luciferase activity in the cell lysates was measured using an Infinite[®] 200 PRO (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland) with d-luciferin substrate (Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, United States). In experiments where the expression vectors were used, the ROR γ -HepG2 and HepG2-pGL4.35 cells were also cotransfected with the pCMV-SEAP vector (a kind gift from Dr. S. Schlatter, Zurich), and the alkaline phosphatase control signal was measured spectrophotometrically at 405 nm as a transfection efficiency control.

Naive CD4+ T Cell Isolation and Differentiation Into Th17 Cells

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were isolated from buffy coats obtained from healthy, anonymous donors by centrifugation through Ficoll. Buffy coats were bought as waste material from Regional Center for Blood Donation and Blood Treatment (Łódź, Poland). The naive CD4+ fraction was isolated using CD4 M-pluriBead® anti-hu beads (pluriSelect Life Science, Leipzig, Germany). Th17 lymphocytes were obtained according to the protocol described by Wilson et al. (2007). Briefly, naive CD4+ cells were cultured under Th17-polarizing conditions (for 5 days) in Yssel's medium containing human AB serum and the following cytokines: 50 ng/ml human IL-1β, 30 ng/ml human IL-6, 10 ng/ml human IL-23, 10 ng/ml human transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β); also included were beads coated with anti-CD2, anti-CD3, and anti-CD28 (T Cell Activation/Expansion kit from Miltenvi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). The cytokines were purchased from PeproTech (Rocky Hill, NJ, United States).

Real-Time RT-PCR

RNA extraction was carried out using TRI Reagent (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH, United States). RNA was then reverse transcribed using the Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit for RT-quantitative PCR (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States). Real-time RT-PCR analysis was performed using SYBR Green I Master Mix on a LightCycler 480 from Roche (Basel, Switzerland). The reactions were run in a 384-well white plate at 95°C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 60°C for 10 s, and 72°C for 20 s. The following primers were designed using Primer3 software (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000): G6PC, 5'-TCCATACTGGTGGGTTTTGG-3' (forward) and 5'-GAGGAAAATGAGCAGCAAGG-3' (reverse); NPAS2, 5'-AGTCTGAGAAGAAGCGTCGG-3' (forward) and 5'-TGTCACAGATTTCCGTTTGC-3' (reverse); IL-17A, 5'-AA ACAACGATGACTCCTGGG-3' (forward) and 5'-CTTGTC CTCAGAATTTGGGC-3' (reverse), as described previously (Ratajewski et al., 2012); and IL-17F, 5'-CTTTCTGAGTGA GGCGGC-3' (forward) and 5'-TGGGAACGGAATTCATGG-3' (reverse), as described previously (Ratajewski et al., 2016). The mRNA levels were normalized by the geometric mean of the

levels of the housekeeping genes *HPRT1*, *HMBS*, *RPL13A*, as described by Vandesompele et al. (2002).

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay (EMSA)

Nuclear extracts were prepared from HepG2 cells using a Nuclear Extract Kit (Active Motif, Carlsbad, CA, United States). The DNA sequence of the RORE specific for RORy was 5'-CG CGTGGTAAGTAGGTCACTCTC-3' and was taken from the work of Medvedev et al. (1996) The probes were labeled with IRD-700 (infrared dye). The reaction was carried out on ice. DNA probes (10 fmol) were incubated with 1.25 µg of nuclear extract in a binding buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH = 8.0), 50 mM KCl, 18.5 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.1% IGEPAL, 5% glycerol, and 100 ng of salmon testis DNA. For the competition assay, 100-fold molar excesses of unlabeled oligonucleotides were added to the reaction mixture. For the supershift experiment, 200 ng of anti-ROR gamma antibody [162C2a] ab58670 from Abcam (Cambridge, Great Britain) was added. A 5% nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel was prerun for 20 min at 50 V. The samples were then added to the wells, and the gel was run at 130 V for 1.5 h at 4°C and then analyzed on an Odyssey (LiCor Biosciences, Lincoln, NE, United States) infrared fluorescence scanner.

Chromatin Immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

Proteins in living cells were cross-linked with DNA by incubation in 1% formaldehyde-containing medium. Cells were harvested, lysed and then sonicated to form soluble chromatin using a VCX-130 sonicator (Sonics & Materials Inc., Newtown, CT, United States). Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed using an EZ-Magna ChIP A/G Kit from EMD Millipore (Billerica, MA, United States) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The following antibodies were used: normal mouse IgG (EMD Millipore) and anti-ROR gamma antibody [162C2a] ab58670 from Abcam. The relative enrichment of the G6PC, NPAS2, IL17A, and IL17F promoters was analyzed with real-time PCR using SYBR Green I Master Mix on a LightCycler 96 from Roche. The reactions were run in a 96well white plate at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 20 s, 58°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 20 s. The primers used for the ChIP assay were as follows: 5'-CCAAAGTTAATCATTGGCCC-3' (forward, G6PC) and 5'-TTGCCCCTGTTTTATATGCC-3' G6PC); 5'-CACTGGTGCAAAAGGAGAGG-3' (reverse, (forward, NPAS2) and 5'-ACTGCTGGGGGGGGGAGGAATAACC-3' (reverse, NPAS2) described previously (Karaś et al., 2018); 5'-GCAGCTCTGCTCAGCTTCTA-3' (forward, IL17A) and 5'-GGGCTTTTCTCCTTCTGTGG-3' (reverse, IL17A); 5'-CTCTGATTTGTGGGCAATGG-3' (forward, IL17F) and 5'-CCGGAGTTACTGACGAATGC-3' (reverse, IL17F). Soluble chromatin collected before immunoprecipitation was amplified as an input control. The relative PCR product enrichment was calculated using the dCt method, with the Ct obtained for the input control DNA as a reference value, as follows: 1000×2^{-dCt} , where dCt = Ct sample – Ct input DNA.

Analysis of IL-17 Production (ELISA)

The CD4+ fraction isolated from the buffy coats of healthy donors was differentiated under Th17-polarizing conditions in the presence of 100 nM digoxin for 5 days. Then, the culture supernatants were collected, and the IL-17 level was analyzed by ELISA using a Quantikine Human IL-17 Immunoassay Kit (R&D Systems) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Microtiter plates were read on a Sunrise microplate reader (Tecan) at 405 nm.

Preparation of Libraries, Sequencing, and RNA-Seq Data Analysis

Global changes in gene expression in human Th17 cells differentiated in the presence of 100 nM digoxin were analyzed by high-resolution RNA sequencing (RNA-seq). Libraries for sequencing were prepared using the reagents provided in the Illumina® TruSeq® RNA Sample Preparation Kit v2 (Sand Diego, CA, United States) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The poly-A-containing mRNA molecules were purified from total RNA samples using magnetic beads with oligo-dT attached. Following purification, the mRNA was fragmented using divalent cations under elevated temperature. The cleaved RNA fragments were then reverse transcribed with random primers. The synthesis of the second strand of the cDNA was conducted using DNA Polymerase I and RNase H. Then, the cDNA fragments were subjected to an end repair process, the addition of a single "A" base, and ligation of the adapters. The final double-stranded cDNA library was purified and enriched with PCR. The libraries were then pooled together in the same molarity (10 nm) and were ready for clustering on a high-throughput flow cell. Sequencing of the samples was performed on a NextSeq 500 (Illumina) using 150 reads in pair-end mode.

The quality of the raw reads was checked using Fast QC software¹. For filtering and trimming, bbduk2 v37.10 was used². The obtained RNA-seq data that passed QC were mapped against the human transcriptome with an annotation file (GRCh38) obtained from ENSEMBL. For the mapping and depletion of human rRNA, we used Bowtie2 v2.2.6 (Langmead and Salzberg, 2012), and for the quantification, Salmon software v0.8.2 (Patro et al., 2017) was used. The Salmon quantification results were then used for downstream analysis to assess the differentially expressed genes. A three-way bioinformatic comparison between samples was conducted using the r packages DESeq v1.32 (Anders and Huber, 2010), DESeq2 v1.20.0 (Love et al., 2014), and edgeR v3.1 (Robinson et al., 2010) according to the estimated reads per kilobase per million mapped reads (RPKM).

Gene Ontology Analysis

Gene ontology was performed using PANTHER software, version 11 (Mi et al., 2017).

Docking Simulations

Molecular docking was performed as described in Karaś et al. (2018) with the following exceptions: as host structures, we

¹http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc

chose the RORy LBD structures with the following PDB IDs: 3B0W (Fujita-Sato et al., 2011), 3L0J (Jin et al., 2010), 5VB6, and 5VB7 (Li et al., 2017). The initial structures were retrieved from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) (Berman et al., 2000; Rose et al., 2017) as.pdb files. For the energy surface exploration, the Lamarckian Genetic Algorithm (Morris et al., 1998, 2009) was used, with the maximum number of energy evaluations set to the value 5.0×10^7 . The rest of the parameters of the optimization algorithm were left at their default values. For each pair of ligandhost systems, 20 runs were performed, resulting in the ranking of the most favorable orientations. To estimate the convergence of the algorithm, the docking simulation of the digoxin-3L0J system was carried out with an increased maximum number of energy evaluations of 1.0×10^8 . The energies and geometries of the most stable structures were fairly similar, and the free energy of binding was the same to within a few tenths of a kcal/mol.

Statistics

Statistical significance was determined using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test, except for data obtained from different human donors, which were analyzed by the Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test. A *p*-value of 0.05 or lower was considered statistically significant.

All relevant data is contained within the manuscript:

All datasets (GENERATED/ANALYZED) for this study are included in the manuscript and the **Supplementary** Materials.

RESULTS

Digoxin at Noncytotoxic Concentrations Induces the Transactivating Function of $ROR\gamma$ in HepG2 Cells

First, we used our previously validated (Karaś et al., 2018) ROR γ -HepG2 reporter cell line to verify the ability of a wide spectrum of digoxin (**Figure 1**) concentrations to induce the ROR γ -dependent expression of luciferase. As shown in **Figure 2A**, digoxin induced the reporter, which reached the highest activity at a digoxin concentration of 500 nM. When analyzing the viability of HepG2 cells after treatment with digoxin, we noticed that the highest noncytotoxic concentration



²https://jgi.doe.gov/data-and-tools/bbtools/bb-tools-user-guide/bbduk-guide/



of the compound was 100 nM, while 200 nM caused 25% cytotoxicity and 500 nM caused approximately 60% cytotoxicity (Figure 2B). The effect of digoxin on cell viability was also analyzed in Th17 cells, and we showed that 100 nM concentration is the highest non-cytotoxic concentration of digoxin, and all above significantly reduced cell viability. Concentrations above 500 nM resulted in a viability of 5% (Figure 2F). This is in general agreement with previous studies showing that digoxin concentrations above 100 nM are highly toxic to various human cells in vitro (Johansson et al., 2001). When analyzing the time course of the effects of digoxin on the RORy-HepG2 reporter, we observed that significant induction occurred 8 h after treatment and continued to increase, reaching a maximum level at 24 h (Figure 2C). Next, we transfected human RORy and RORyT and mouse Rory and Roryt cDNA into the RORy-HepG2 reporter cell line to investigate the effects of digoxin on cells overexpressing these nuclear receptors and to determine if there are any speciesspecific effects on the reporter response. Digoxin enhanced the transcriptional function of both human RORy/RORyT and mouse Rory/Roryt receptors (Figure 2D), however, induction of the reporter by the mouse receptors was stronger than that by the human homologs. To confirm that the observed effects of digoxin were mediated by the binding of digoxin to the LBD, we used a reporter system in which the ligand-binding domain of the RORy receptor was fused to the GAL4 DNA-binding domain (Kumar et al., 2010). The transcriptional functions (binding to the GAL4 upstream activator sequence) of the resulting fusion protein depend on the constitutive activity of the LBD and on the binding of the potential ligand to the LBD. As shown in Figure 2E, the overexpression of GAL4-DBD RORy in cells stably transfected with the pGL4.35[luc2P/9XGAL4UAS/Hygro] vector (HepG2-pGL4.35 cells) resulted in increased reporter



FIGURE 3 | Results of the EMSA assay. (A) RORy specifically binds to the considered RORE. (B) Digoxin increases binding of the protein extract to the considered RORE. Changing the brightness and contrast was applied equally across the entire images. Original scans are presented in Supplementary Materials.



FIGURE 4 | Effect of digoxin on the expression of ROR γ -dependent genes in HepG2 cells. HepG2 cells were treated with digoxin (100 nM) for 24 h and then collected for RNA extraction. The expression of the **(A)** *G6PC* and **(B)** *NPAS2* genes was determined by real-time RT-PCR and normalized to the housekeeping genes HPRT1, HMBS, and RPL13A. Mean \pm SD, n = 3, *statistically significant difference at p < 0.05 compared with control cells.

activity, and treatment with 100 nM digoxin further increased the response of the reporter. We did not observe similar results for GAL4-DBD ROR α , suggesting that, as previously shown by Huh et al. (2011), digoxin has affinity to ROR γ but not to ROR α .

In the next set of experiments, we tested whether digoxin was able to enhance ROR γ binding to the RORE using EMSA. We used oligonucleotides containing a RORE specific for ROR γ , as described previously by Medvedev et al. (1996), and nuclear extract isolated from HepG2 cells. We observed one band that was outcompeted by the 100-fold molar excess of unlabeled cold probe. The addition of the antibody against ROR γ decreased the

intensity of the band by approximately 50%, confirming that this protein binds to the probe (**Figure 3A**). The incubation of nuclear extract in the presence of increasing concentrations of digoxin caused an increase in the intensity of the band by 1.9- and 1.8-fold for 100 nM and 1 μ M digoxin, respectively (**Figure 3B**).

When we analyzed the effect of digoxin on the expression of the ROR γ -regulated genes *G6PC* and *NPAS2* (Wang et al., 2010b; Takeda et al., 2011, 2014), we observed their increased expression (**Figure 4**). This was accompanied by increases in *G6PC* and *NPAS2* promoter occupancy by ROR γ , as evaluated using the ChIP technique (**Figure 5**). It is known that to show full transactivation potential, ROR γ needs to interact with



FIGURE 5 | Analysis of the binding of ROR_Y, NCOA1 and NCOA2 *in vivo* to the promoter sequences of the *G6PC* (**A**) and *NPAS2* (**B**) genes in HepG2 cells after treatment with digoxin as evidenced by chromatin immunoprecipitation. Cells were treated with 100 nM digoxin for 8 h and then analyzed using chromatin immunoprecipitation. Mean \pm SD, n = 3, *significantly different from the control treatment at p < 0.05.



FIGURE 6 [Effect of digoxin on the expression of ROR γ T-dependent genes in human Th17 cells. Human naive CD4+ cells were treated with 100 nM digoxin and then cultured under Th17-polarizing conditions for 5 days. After that time, cells were collected for RNA extraction and supernatants were collected for IL-17 secretion analysis. The expression of the *IL17A* and *IL17F* genes was determined by real-time RT-PCR and normalized to the housekeeping genes *HPRT1*, *HMBS*, and *RPL13A*. Analysis of IL-17 production was performed using a Quantikine Human IL-17 Immunoassay kit (R&D Systems). An asterisk indicates a statistically significant difference at p < 0.05 compared with control cells. The data are presented as statistical dot plots with the median value (bars) from seven independent experiments performed using cultures originating from seven different donors (n = 7).

coactivators (Kurebayashi et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2010a; Kojima et al., 2015; Takahashi et al., 2017), which is why we next performed ChIP analysis using anti-NCOA1 (SRC-1) and anti-NCOA2 (SRC-2) antibodies to determine the status of these coactivators on the *G6PC* and *NPAS2* promoters. Similar to the results for ROR γ , digoxin treatment increased *G6PC* and *NPAS2* promoter occupancy by NCOA1 and decreased *G6PC* and *NPAS2* promoter occupancy by NCOA2 (**Figure 5**).

Digoxin Activates RORyT in Human Th17 Cells

The shorter isoform of the RORC gene, ROR γ T, in humans is almost exclusively expressed in Th17 lymphocytes, where it regulates Th17-specific cytokines (IL17A and IL17F) and is considered a signature transcription factor of these cells (Crome et al., 2009). To determine whether digoxin is able to influence the differentiation of Th17 lymphocytes, we cultured naive CD4+ cells under Th17-polarizing conditions in the presence of 100 nM digoxin. We observed that treatment with digoxin substantially increased the mRNA levels of IL17A and IL17F and, as a consequence, increased the concentration of IL17 protein in cell culture supernatants (Figure 6). Upon analyzing the occupancy status of the RORyT, NCOA1 and NCOA2 proteins on the IL17A and IL17F promoters by ChIP, we observed a similar pattern as previously observed in HepG2 cells: digoxin increased occupancy by RORyT and NCOA1 (Figure 7), while NCOA2 binding was decreased. To provide further insight into changes in gene expression upon digoxin treatment, we analyzed the transcriptomes of Th17 cells (originating from four different donors) cultured in the presence of 100 nM digoxin. Detailed analysis with three different programs (Deseq, Deseq2 and edgeR) allowed us to identify 1693 transcripts whose expression changed upon



FIGURE 7 | Analysis of the binding of ROR γ T, NCOA1 and NCOA2 *in vivo* to the promoter sequences of the *lL17A* (**A**) and *lL17F* (**B**) genes in Th17 cells after treatment with digoxin as evidenced by chromatin immunoprecipitation. Human naive CD4+ cells were treated with 100 nM digoxin, cultured under Th17-polarizing conditions for 5 days and then analyzed using chromatin immunoprecipitation. Mean \pm SD, n = 3 (from three different donors), *significantly different from the control treatment at p < 0.05.

TABLE 1 Gene ontology (biological process) term results from the PANTHER overrepresentation test for significantly differentially expressed (DE) genes after digoxin treatment in Th17 cells.

GOID	GO biological process term	Number	<i>p</i> -value
		of genes	1.93 × 10 ⁻⁴
GO:0001775	Cell activation	115	
GO:0045321	Leukocyte activation	97	1.18×10^{-3}
GO:0042102	Positive regulation of T cell proliferation	20	4.15×10^{-4}
GO:0030155	Regulation of cell adhesion	75	6.44×10^{-4}
GO:0042129	Regulation of T cell proliferation	28	1.26×10^{-4}
GO:0050670	Regulation of lymphocyte proliferation	39	2.81×10^{-6}
GO:0032944	Regulation of mononuclear cell proliferation	40	1.42×10^{-6}
GO:0070663	Regulation of leukocyte proliferation	41	1.10×10^{-6}
GO:0050671	Positive regulation of lymphocyte proliferation	27	4.46×10^{-5}
GO:0032946	Positive regulation of mononuclear cell proliferation	28	2.25×10^{-5}
GO:0070665	Positive regulation of leukocyte proliferation	28	2.89×10^{-5}

GOID: gene ontology term identifier; number of genes: the number of genes mapped to specific GO term; p-value: the expected value calculated by Fisher's exact test with false discovery rate multiple test correction (p < 0.05) for the overrepresentation of selected DE genes in the GO category.

digoxin treatment (**Supplementary Table 2**). Gene ontology analysis (with PANTHER software) revealed that some of the GO terms related to the biology of T-cells were overrepresented (**Table 1**). Among the genes that were induced were cell membrane proteins expressed by Th17 cells (Acosta-Rodriguez et al., 2007; Annunziato et al., 2007), including *CCR4*, *CCR6*, and *IL23R*; Th17-specific interleukins, including *IL17A*, *IL17F*, *IL21*, and *IL22* (Liang et al., 2006; Veldhoen et al., 2006; Fouser et al., 2008; Vogelzang et al., 2008); and Th17 differentiation regulators, including *STAT3*, *AhR*, and *VDR* (Nishihara et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2007; Kimura et al., 2008; Chang et al., 2010; Joshi et al., 2011; Ciofani et al., 2012; **Table 2**).

Final Docked Poses Analysis

In the current study, we considered 5 host domains of ROR γ . All of these were considered in the context of the binding of the digoxin system through the molecular docking approach. The key technical issues of the overall computational protocol have been briefly described in the experimental section.

The estimated free energies of binding are presented in **Table 3**, and their graphical representation is presented in **Figure 8** and **Supplementary Figure 1**. The host domain is represented as a white ribbon, and only the residues that are in close contact with the ligand system are explicitly shown. The interactions with these explicitly named residues provide contributions to overall binding. Due to the complicated nature

TABLE 2 | Literature-validated Th17 signature genes (from Ciofani et al., 2012)
 selected from the significantly differentially expressed (DE) genes after digoxin

 treatment in Th17 cells determined using RNA-seq.

Gene name	Full name	Fold change
AHR	Aryl hydrocarbon receptor	3.140
CCR4	C-C motif chemokine receptor 4	2.051
CCR6	C-C motif chemokine receptor 6	2.842
CSF2	Colony stimulating factor 2	53.399
DEF6	DEF6, guanine nucleotide exchange factor	0.519
EBI3	Epstein-Barr virus induced 3	4.682
FOSL2	FOS Like 2, AP-1 transcription factor Subunit	2.302
IL10	Interleukin 10	3.523
IL17A	Interleukin 17A	35.174
IL17F	Interleukin 17F	13.879
IL21	Interleukin 21	5.579
IL22	Interleukin 22	3.796
IL23R	Interleukin 23 receptor	6.066
KSR1	Kinase suppressor of ras 1	2.428
NDFIP1	Nedd4 family interacting protein 1	2.086
NFKB1	Nuclear factor kappa B subunit 1	2.264
PTGER4	Prostaglandin E receptor 4	2.974
RBPJ	Recombination signal binding protein for immunoglobulin kappa J region	1.793
SIGIRR	Single Ig and TIR domain containing	0.599
STAT3	Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3	1.683
TGFBR2	Transforming growth factor beta receptor 2	0.480
TNFRSF8	TNF receptor superfamily member 8	7.095
TXK	TXK tyrosine kinase	0.320
VDR	Vitamin D receptor	2.705

Fold change: the difference in gene expression levels between digoxin-treated and untreated Th17 cells was counted as a mean from the fold change of DESeq, DESeq2 and edgeR analyzes.

of intermolecular interactions, it is a difficult task to precisely decompose the interaction into the contributions coming from the separate residues. On the other hand, one can safely assume that a significant component of the interaction energy is provided by the hydrogen bonds. Each considered host domain constitutes one or more hydrogen bonds with the digoxin molecule. **TABLE 3** | The lowest and mean free energy of binding are presented for each considered host domain.

Host domain	Estimated free energy of binding (kcal/mol)		Number of items in cluster
	Lowest	Mean	
3L0J	-13.85	-12.56	3
3B0W_A	-11.48	-11.06	3
3B0W_B	-11.93	-11.58	3
5VB6	-10.11	-8.81	4
5VB7	-9.99	-9.99	1

The number of members of the cluster is also provided.

The most significant docking score was obtained in the case of the 3L0J RORy domain (see Figure 8A). The most significant interaction between the ligand and host system is formed by two hydrogen bonds where the phenylalanine (PHE377) residue interacts with the hydroxyl group and the same hydroxyl group forms a hydrogen bond with glutamic acid (GLU379). The significant docking score of the 3B0W domains (A and B) (Figures 8B,C) is due to the strong hydrogen bond formed between the amine group of glutamic acid (GLU379) and the carbonyl group of the terminal five-member ring of digoxin. The remaining two domains (with the SRC-2 peptide) are characterized by lower binding energies (Table 3). In both, we observed different orientations of digoxin within the binding pocket. The carbonyl group of the terminal five-member ring of digoxin is close to the histidine (HIS479) residue. In the case of the 5VB6 domain, the digoxin molecule forms a hydrogen bond between the imidazole part of HIS479 and the carbonyl group of the digoxin five-member ring (Supplementary Figure 1A). In the case of the 5VB7 domain, the HIS479 residue is still close to the carbonyl group, but explicit hydrogen bonds are formed by glutamic acid (GLU326) and glutamine (GLN286) interacting with the same hydroxyl group of digoxin (Supplementary Figure 1B).

DISCUSSION

Cardiac glycosides have a long history of use, especially in folk medicine (Kelly, 1990). In modern times, they were a part of therapy to treat heart ailments, especially atrial fibrillation and congestive heart failure (Kelly, 1990; Patel, 2016), but due to the risk of overdose and high toxicity (Fozzard and Sheets, 1985), they have been replaced by synthetic drugs. The most broadly employed cardiac glycoside was digoxin (others were digitoxin, ouabain and lanatoside C) (Doherty et al., 1978), which exerts its biological effect through inhibition of the Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase (Katz, 1985). Digoxin was also one of the first identified inverse agonists of RORyT (Huh et al., 2011), however, the compound exerts its inhibitory activity against mouse Roryt and human RORyT at very high concentrations [10 and 40 µM, respectively (Fujita-Sato et al., 2011; Huh et al., 2011)], which precludes its potential clinical application. Previously, in a screen of 2400 compounds, we identified several cardenolides that were able to activate RORy and RORyT-dependent transcription in human cells at low noncytotoxic concentrations (Karaś et al., 2018). This prompted

are represented as green dotted lines.



us to reinvestigate the effects of digoxin, which structurally is very similar to these compounds (Figure 1). We determined that the range of concentrations of digoxin not causing detectable cytotoxicity is below 100 nM. Using two cell reporter systems, we found that digoxin at such nontoxic concentrations is able to induce a RORy-dependent reporter in intact cells (Figure 2) and to increase the binding of the RORy protein to the RORE in in vitro assays (Figure 3). Furthermore, digoxin mediated upregulation of the expression of the G6PC and NPAS2 genes (Figure 4) and occupancy of the RORy protein and NCOA1 coactivator on their promoters in HepG2 cells (Figure 5). Digoxin also mediated increased binding of RORyT on the IL17A and IL17F promoters and higher expression of these genes in differentiating Th17 cells (Figures 6, 7). To better characterize the effects of digoxin on the differentiation of Th17 cells, we analyzed the transcriptomes of Th17 cells differentiating in the presence of 100 nM of the compound. The results revealed that digoxin increased the expression of genes coding for phenotypic markers of the Th17 cell lineage (Table 2).

Our results are in striking contrast to those of a previously published work by Huh et al. (2011), in which authors did not observe a stimulatory effect of low concentrations of digoxin on the RORy reporter in the Drosophila S2 cell line. One possible explanation for these differences is that the transactivating function of RORy depends on the binding of coactivators. It is quite probable that insect cells not expressing the human protein repertoire do not provide the optimal model for the observation of the stimulatory effect of digoxin on RORy activity. This hypothesis is supported by the observation of a digoxin-mediated increase in the binding of the NCOA1 coactivator and a decrease in the binding of the NCOA2 protein in loci containing ROREs (Figures 5, 7), suggesting the involvement of coactivators in the process of digoxin-mediated RORy activity. The hypothesis that digoxin acts as a RORy agonist is also supported by the molecular docking analysis, in which the binding of digoxin to the LBD of RORy in different conformations (agonistic and inverse agonistic) revealed that the most significant docking scores were obtained for the agonistic conformation (Table 3 and Figure 8A). Interestingly, docking analysis with LBDs containing the SRC-2 peptide (5VB6 and 5VB7) resulted in lower docking scores (Table 3), suggesting that the presence of the SRC-2 (NCOA2)

coactivator in the LBD-digoxin complex is undesirable, which is in full agreement with our experimental data obtained by ChIP assays (**Figures 5**, 7). There are several reports of compounds, including methylhonokiol (Gertsch and Anavi-Goffer, 2012) and propranolol (Baker et al., 2003), that act as both agonists and inverse agonists. The difference between these compounds and digoxin is that while the compounds exhibit both inverse agonist and agonist activity at similar ranges of concentrations, digoxin agonistic activity was observed at concentrations 400 times lower than those reported to mediate inverse agonist action in humans. Considering the differences in experimental systems employed in both studies, it is still conceivable that digoxin is capable of exhibiting both agonist and inverse agonist activity.

The therapeutic ranges of digoxin do not exceed 1.2 ng/ml (approximately 1.5 nM) due to possible side effects (Goldberger and Goldberger, 2012). The concentrations that we tested in the present study were 30-60 times higher and were comparable to those detected in massive digoxin overdoses (Smith and Willerson, 1971; Citrin et al., 1972) associated with significant adverse effects, thus ruling out the use of digoxin as a RORy agonist in patients. On the other hand, low concentrations of cardenolides (digoxin and ouabain) were found to be synthesized in mammalian cells as endogenous digitalis-like factors (EDLF) regulating the ion gradient between cells and the extracellular fluid (Hamlyn et al., 1991; Blaustein, 2014; Buckalew, 2015). These observations suggest that, similar to the case for hormones, the concentrations of cardenolides necessary to observe their bioactivity in in vitro studies do not reflect physiological situations (Vandenberg et al., 2012).

Th17 lymphocytes have been implicated in cancer, and their role depends on several factors (e.g., the type of cancer and the cytokine milieu) (Bailey et al., 2014). These lymphocytes are promising targets for adoptive cell therapy (ACT) (Muranski et al., 2008; Canderan and Dellabona, 2010) since they have better properties for retaining antitumor activity than Th1 and CD8+T cells (Bowers et al., 2017). The use of agonists or activators of ROR γ /ROR γ T, such as digoxin, that are capable of promoting Th17 signaling in ACT seems to be a potentially attractive translation of our findings into clinical application, especially considering the lower risk of toxic side effects in *ex vivo* treatment with this compound.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

MR designed and supervised the study. KK, AS, MS-K, AW-D, and MR performed the experiments. RB performed the molecular docking analysis. DS, JD, RB, and MR analyzed the data. JD, RB, and MR wrote the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by National Science Centre Grant No. 2015/19/B/NZ7/03778.

REFERENCES

- Acosta-Rodriguez, E. V., Rivino, L., Geginat, J., Jarrossay, D., Gattorno, M., Lanzavecchia, A., et al. (2007). Surface phenotype and antigenic specificity of human interleukin 17-producing T helper memory cells. *Nat. Immunol.* 8, 639–646. doi: 10.1038/ni1467
- Anders, S., and Huber, W. (2010). Differential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biol.* 11:R106. doi: 10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106
- Annunziato, F., Cosmi, L., Santarlasci, V., Maggi, L., Liotta, F., Mazzinghi, B., et al. (2007). Phenotypic and functional features of human Th17 cells. J. Exp. Med. 204, 1849–1861. doi: 10.1084/jem.20070663
- Bailey, S. R., Nelson, M. H., Himes, R. A., Li, Z., Mehrotra, S., and Paulos, C. M. (2014). Th17 cells in cancer: the ultimate identity crisis. *Front. Immunol.* 5:276. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00276
- Baker, J. G., Hall, I. P., and Hill, S. J. (2003). Agonist and inverse agonist actions of beta-blockers at the human beta 2-adrenoceptor provide evidence for agonistdirected signaling. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 64, 1357–1369. doi: 10.1124/mol.64.6.1357
- Berman, H. M., Westbrook, J., Feng, Z., Gilliland, G., Bhat, T. N., Weissig, H., et al. (2000). The protein data bank. Nucleic Acids Res. 28, 235–242. doi: 10.1093/nar/ 28.1.235
- Blaustein, M. P. (2014). Why isn't endogenous ouabain more widely accepted? Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol. 307, H635–H639. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00404. 2014
- Bowers, J. S., Nelson, M. H., Majchrzak, K., Bailey, S. R., Rohrer, B., Kaiser, A. D., et al. (2017). Th17 cells are refractory to senescence and retain robust antitumor activity after long-term ex vivo expansion. JCI Insight 2:e90772. doi: 10.1172/jci. insight.90772
- Buckalew, V. M. (2015). Endogenous digitalis-like factors: an overview of the history. Front. Endocrinol. 6:49. doi: 10.3389/fendo.2015.00049
- Burris, T. P., Solt, L. A., Wang, Y., Crumbley, C., Banerjee, S., Griffett, K., et al. (2013). Nuclear receptors and their selective pharmacologic modulators. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 65, 710–778. doi: 10.1124/pr.112.006833
- Canderan, G., and Dellabona, P. (2010). T helper 17 T cells do good for cancer immunotherapy. *Immunotherapy* 2, 21–24. doi: 10.2217/imt.09.83
- Chang, S. H., Chung, Y., and Dong, C. (2010). Vitamin D suppresses Th17 cytokine production by inducing C/EBP homologous protein (CHOP) expression. J. Biol. Chem. 285, 38751–38755. doi: 10.1074/jbc.C110.185777
- Ciofani, M., Madar, A., Galan, C., Sellars, M., Mace, K., Pauli, F., et al. (2012). A validated regulatory network for Th17 cell specification. *Cell* 151, 289–303. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.09.016
- Citrin, D., Stevenson, I. H., and O'Malley, K. (1972). Massive digoxin overdose: observations on hyperkalaemia and plasma digoxin levels. *Scott. Med. J.* 17, 275–277. doi: 10.1177/003693307201700805
- Crome, S. Q., Wang, A. Y., Kang, C. Y., and Levings, M. K. (2009). The role of retinoic acid-related orphan receptor variant 2 and IL-17 in the development and function of human CD4+ T cells. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 39, 1480–1493. doi: 10.1002/eji.200838908
- Doherty, J. E., de Soyza, N., Kane, J. J., Bissett, J. K., and Murphy, M. L. (1978). Clinical pharmacokinetics of digitalis glycosides. *Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis.* 21, 141–158. doi: 10.1016/0033-0620(78)90020-8

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Prof. Patrick R. Griffin, Dr. Ruben Garcia-Ordonez, and Dr. Timothy Strutzenberg for the kind gift of the GAL4-DBD ROR α and GAL4-DBD ROR γ fusion protein constructs.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar. 2018.01460/full#supplementary-material

- Fouser, L. A., Wright, J. F., Dunussi-Joannopoulos, K., and Collins, M. (2008). Th17 cytokines and their emerging roles in inflammation and autoimmunity. *Immunol. Rev.* 226, 87–102. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-065X.2008.00712.x
- Fozzard, H. A., and Sheets, M. F. (1985). Cellular mechanism of action of cardiac glycosides. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 5(5 Suppl. A), 10A–15A. doi: 10.1016/S0735-1097(85)80458-7
- Fujita-Sato, S., Ito, S., Isobe, T., Ohyama, T., Wakabayashi, K., Morishita, K., et al. (2011). Structural basis of digoxin that antagonizes RORgamma t receptor activity and suppresses Th17 cell differentiation and interleukin (IL)-17 production. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 31409–31417. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M111.254003
- Gertsch, J., and Anavi-Goffer, S. (2012). Methylhonokiol attenuates neuroinflammation: a role for cannabinoid receptors? J. Neuroinflammation 9:135. doi: 10.1186/1742-2094-9-135
- Goldberger, Z. D., and Goldberger, A. L. (2012). Therapeutic ranges of serum digoxin concentrations in patients with heart failure. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 109, 1818–1821. doi: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2012.02.028
- Haelens, A., Tanner, T., Denayer, S., Callewaert, L., and Claessens, F. (2007). The hinge region regulates DNA binding, nuclear translocation, and transactivation of the androgen receptor. *Cancer Res.* 67, 4514–4523. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472. CAN-06-1701
- Hamlyn, J. M., Blaustein, M. P., Bova, S., DuCharme, D. W., Harris, D. W., Mandel, F., et al. (1991). Identification and characterization of a ouabain-like compound from human plasma. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 88, 6259–6263. doi: 10.1073/pnas.88.14.6259
- He, Y. W., Deftos, M. L., Ojala, E. W., and Bevan, M. J. (1998). RORgamma t, a novel isoform of an orphan receptor, negatively regulates Fas ligand expression and IL-2 production in T cells. *Immunity* 9, 797–806. doi: 10.1016/S1074-7613(00)80645-7
- Hirota, K., Hashimoto, M., Yoshitomi, H., Tanaka, S., Nomura, T., Yamaguchi, T., et al. (2007). T cell self-reactivity forms a cytokine milieu for spontaneous development of IL-17+ Th cells that cause autoimmune arthritis. *J. Exp. Med.* 204, 41–47. doi: 10.1084/jem.20062259
- Horlein, A. J., Naar, A. M., Heinzel, T., Torchia, J., Gloss, B., Kurokawa, R., et al. (1995). Ligand-independent repression by the thyroid hormone receptor mediated by a nuclear receptor co-repressor. *Nature* 377, 397–404. doi: 10.1038/ 377397a0
- Huh, J. R., Leung, M. W., Huang, P., Ryan, D. A., Krout, M. R., Malapaka, R. R., et al. (2011). Digoxin and its derivatives suppress TH17 cell differentiation by antagonizing RORgammat activity. *Nature* 472, 486–490. doi: 10.1038/ nature09978
- Ivanov, I. I., McKenzie, B. S., Zhou, L., Tadokoro, C. E., Lepelley, A., Lafaille, J. J., et al. (2006). The orphan nuclear receptor RORgammat directs the differentiation program of proinflammatory IL-17+ T helper cells. *Cell* 126, 1121–1133. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.07.035
- Jetten, A. M. (2009). Retinoid-related orphan receptors (RORs): critical roles in development, immunity, circadian rhythm, and cellular metabolism. *Nucl. Recept. Signal.* 7:e003. doi: 10.1621/nrs.07003
- Jin, L., Martynowski, D., Zheng, S., Wada, T., Xie, W., and Li, Y. (2010). Structural basis for hydroxycholesterols as natural ligands of orphan nuclear receptor RORgamma. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 24, 923–929. doi: 10.1210/me.2009-0507

- Johansson, S., Lindholm, P., Gullbo, J., Larsson, R., Bohlin, L., and Claeson, P. (2001). Cytotoxicity of digitoxin and related cardiac glycosides in human tumor cells. *Anticancer. Drugs* 12, 475–483. doi: 10.1097/00001813-200106000-00009
- Joshi, S., Pantalena, L. C., Liu, X. K., Gaffen, S. L., Liu, H., Rohowsky-Kochan, C., et al. (2011). 1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D(3) ameliorates Th17 autoimmunity via transcriptional modulation of interleukin-17A. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 31, 3653–3669. doi: 10.1128/MCB.05020-11
- Kang, H. S., Angers, M., Beak, J. Y., Wu, X., Gimble, J. M., Wada, T., et al. (2007). Gene expression profiling reveals a regulatory role for ROR alpha and ROR gamma in phase I and phase II metabolism. *Physiol. Genomics* 31, 281–294. doi: 10.1152/physiolgenomics.00098.2007
- Karaś, K., Salkowska, A., Walczak-Drzewiecka, A., Ryba, K., Dastych, J., Bachorz, R. A., et al. (2018). The cardenolides strophanthidin, digoxigenin and dihydroouabain act as activators of the human RORgamma/RORgammaT receptors. *Toxicol. Lett.* 295, 314–324. doi: 10.1016/j.toxlet.2018.07.002
- Katz, A. M. (1985). Effects of digitalis on cell biochemistry: sodium pump inhibition. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 5(5 Suppl. A), 16A–21A. doi: 10.1016/S0735-1097(85)80459-9
- Kebir, H., Kreymborg, K., Ifergan, I., Dodelet-Devillers, A., Cayrol, R., Bernard, M., et al. (2007). Human TH17 lymphocytes promote blood-brain barrier disruption and central nervous system inflammation. *Nat. Med.* 13, 1173–1175. doi: 10.1038/nm1651
- Kelly, R. A. (1990). Cardiac glycosides and congestive heart failure. *Am. J. Cardiol.* 65, 10E–16E; discussion 22E–23E. doi: 10.1016/0002-9149(90)90245-V
- Kimura, A., Naka, T., Nohara, K., Fujii-Kuriyama, Y., and Kishimoto, T. (2008). Aryl hydrocarbon receptor regulates Stat1 activation and participates in the development of Th17 cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 9721–9726. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0804231105
- Kojima, H., Takeda, Y., Muromoto, R., Takahashi, M., Hirao, T., Takeuchi, S., et al. (2015). Isoflavones enhance interleukin-17 gene expression via retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptors alpha and gamma. *Toxicology* 329, 32–39. doi: 10.1016/j.tox.2015.01.007
- Kumar, N., Solt, L. A., Conkright, J. J., Wang, Y., Istrate, M. A., Busby, S. A., et al. (2010). The benzenesulfoamide T0901317 [N-(2,2,2trifluoroethyl)-N-[4-[2,2,2-trifluoro-1-hydroxy-1-(trifluoromethyl)ethy l]phenyl]-benzenesulfonamide] is a novel retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor-alpha/gamma inverse agonist. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 77, 228–236. doi: 10.1124/mol.109.060905
- Kurebayashi, S., Nakajima, T., Kim, S. C., Chang, C. Y., McDonnell, D. P., Renaud, J. P., et al. (2004). Selective LXXLL peptides antagonize transcriptional activation by the retinoid-related orphan receptor RORgamma. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 315, 919–927. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2004.01.131
- Langmead, B., and Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* 9, 357–359. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1923
- Li, X., Anderson, M., Collin, D., Muegge, I., Wan, J., Brennan, D., et al. (2017). Structural studies unravel the active conformation of apo RORgammat nuclear receptor and a common inverse agonism of two diverse classes of RORgammat inhibitors. J. Biol. Chem. 292, 11618–11630. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M117.789024
- Liang, S. C., Tan, X. Y., Luxenberg, D. P., Karim, R., Dunussi-Joannopoulos, K., Collins, M., et al. (2006). Interleukin (IL)-22 and IL-17 are coexpressed by Th17 cells and cooperatively enhance expression of antimicrobial peptides. *J. Exp. Med.* 203, 2271–2279. doi: 10.1084/jem.20061308
- Love, M. I., Huber, W., and Anders, S. (2014). Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol.* 15:550. doi: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8
- Medvedev, A., Yan, Z. H., Hirose, T., Giguere, V., and Jetten, A. M. (1996). Cloning of a cDNA encoding the murine orphan receptor RZR/ROR gamma and characterization of its response element. *Gene* 181, 199–206. doi: 10.1016/ S0378-1119(96)00504-5
- Mi, H., Huang, X., Muruganujan, A., Tang, H., Mills, C., Kang, D., et al. (2017).
 PANTHER version 11: expanded annotation data from Gene Ontology and Reactome pathways, and data analysis tool enhancements. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, D183–D189. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw1138
- Morris, G. M., Goodsell, D. S., Halliday, R. S., Huey, R., Hart, W. E., Belew, R. K., et al. (1998). Automated docking using a Lamarckian genetic algorithm and an empirical binding free energy function. *J. Comput. Chem.* 19, 1639–1662. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1096-987X(19981115)19:14<1639::AID-JCC10>3.0.CO;2-B

- Morris, G. M., Huey, R., Lindstrom, W., Sanner, M. F., Belew, R. K., Goodsell, D. S., et al. (2009). AutoDock4 and AutoDockTools4: automated docking with selective receptor flexibility. *J. Comput. Chem.* 30, 2785–2791. doi: 10.1002/jcc. 21256
- Muranski, P., Boni, A., Antony, P. A., Cassard, L., Irvine, K. R., Kaiser, A., et al. (2008). Tumor-specific Th17-polarized cells eradicate large established melanoma. *Blood* 112, 362–373. doi: 10.1182/blood-2007-11-120998
- Nishihara, M., Ogura, H., Ueda, N., Tsuruoka, M., Kitabayashi, C., Tsuji, F., et al. (2007). IL-6-gp130-STAT3 in T cells directs the development of IL-17+ Th with a minimum effect on that of Treg in the steady state. *Int. Immunol.* 19, 695–702. doi: 10.1093/intimm/dxm045
- Patel, S. (2016). Plant-derived cardiac glycosides: role in heart ailments and cancer management. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 84, 1036–1041. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha. 2016.10.030
- Patro, R., Duggal, G., Love, M. I., Irizarry, R. A., and Kingsford, C. (2017). Salmon provides fast and bias-aware quantification of transcript expression. *Nat. Methods* 14, 417–419. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4197
- Pissios, P., Tzameli, I., Kushner, P., and Moore, D. D. (2000). Dynamic stabilization of nuclear receptor ligand binding domains by hormone or corepressor binding. *Mol. Cell.* 6, 245–253. doi: 10.1016/S1097-2765(00)00026-5
- Ratajewski, M., Walczak-Drzewiecka, A., Gorzkiewicz, M., Salkowska, A., and Dastych, J. (2016). Expression of human gene coding RORgammaT receptor depends on the Sp2 transcription factor. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 100, 1213–1223. doi: 10.1189/jlb.6A0515-212RR
- Ratajewski, M., Walczak-Drzewiecka, A., Salkowska, A., and Dastych, J. (2012). Upstream stimulating factors regulate the expression of RORgammaT in human lymphocytes. J. Immunol. 189, 3034–3042. doi: 10.4049/jimmunol. 1200519
- Repetto, G., del Peso, A., and Zurita, J. L. (2008). Neutral red uptake assay for the estimation of cell viability/cytotoxicity. *Nat. Protoc.* 3, 1125–1131. doi: 10.1038/ nprot.2008.75
- Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J., and Smyth, G. K. (2010). edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 139–140. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616
- Rose, P. W., Prlic, A., Altunkaya, A., Bi, C., Bradley, A. R., Christie, C. H., et al. (2017). The RCSB protein data bank: integrative view of protein, gene and 3D structural information. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 45, D271–D281. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkw1000
- Rozen, S., and Skaletsky, H. (2000). Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 132, 365–386.
- Salkowska, A., Karas, K., Walczak-Drzewiecka, A., Dastych, J., and Ratajewski, M. (2017). Differentiation stage-specific effect of histone deacetylase inhibitors on the expression of RORgammaT in human lymphocytes. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 102, 1487–1495. doi: 10.1189/jlb.6A0617-217R
- Shi, H., Mao, X., Zhong, Y., Liu, Y., Zhao, X., Yu, K., et al. (2016). Digoxin reduces atherosclerosis in apolipoprotein E-deficient mice. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 173, 1517–1528. doi: 10.1111/bph.13453
- Smith, T. W., and Willerson, J. T. (1971). Suicidal and accidental digoxin ingestion. Report of five cases with serum digoxin level correlations. *Circulation* 44, 29–36. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.44.1.29
- Solt, L. A., Griffin, P. R., and Burris, T. P. (2010). Ligand regulation of retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptors: implications for development of novel therapeutics. *Curr. Opin. Lipidol.* 21, 204–211. doi: 10.1097/MOL. 0b013e328338ca18
- Takahashi, M., Muromoto, R., Kojima, H., Takeuchi, S., Kitai, Y., Kashiwakura, J. I., et al. (2017). Biochanin A enhances RORgamma activity through STAT3mediated recruitment of NCOA1. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 489, 503–508. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.05.181
- Takeda, Y., Jothi, R., Birault, V., and Jetten, A. M. (2012). RORgamma directly regulates the circadian expression of clock genes and downstream targets in vivo. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 40, 8519–8535. doi: 10.1093/nar/gks630
- Takeda, Y., Kang, H. S., Angers, M., and Jetten, A. M. (2011). Retinoic acidrelated orphan receptor gamma directly regulates neuronal PAS domain protein 2 transcription in vivo. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 39, 4769–4782. doi: 10.1093/nar/ gkq1335
- Takeda, Y., Kang, H. S., Freudenberg, J., DeGraff, L. M., Jothi, R., and Jetten, A. M. (2014). Retinoic acid-related orphan receptor gamma (RORgamma): a novel

participant in the diurnal regulation of hepatic gluconeogenesis and insulin sensitivity. *PLoS Genet.* 10:e1004331. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1004331

- Tani, S., Takano, R., Tamura, S., Oishi, S., Iwaizumi, M., Hamaya, Y., et al. (2017). Digoxin attenuates murine experimental colitis by downregulating Th17-related Cytokines. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* 23, 728–738. doi: 10.1097/MIB. 000000000001096
- Vandenberg, L. N., Colborn, T., Hayes, T. B., Heindel, J. J., Jacobs, D. R. Jr., Lee, D. H., et al. (2012). Hormones and endocrine-disrupting chemicals: lowdose effects and nonmonotonic dose responses. *Endocr. Rev.* 33, 378–455. doi: 10.1210/er.2011-1050
- Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A., et al. (2002). Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biol.* 3:RESEARCH0034. doi: 10.1186/gb-2002-3-7-research0034
- Veldhoen, M., Hocking, R. J., Atkins, C. J., Locksley, R. M., and Stockinger, B. (2006). TGFbeta in the context of an inflammatory cytokine milieu supports de novo differentiation of IL-17-producing T cells. *Immunity* 24, 179–189. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2006.01.001
- Vogelzang, A., McGuire, H. M., Yu, D., Sprent, J., Mackay, C. R., and King, C. (2008). A fundamental role for interleukin-21 in the generation of T follicular helper cells. *Immunity* 29, 127–137. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2008.06.001
- Wang, Y., Kumar, N., Crumbley, C., Griffin, P. R., and Burris, T. P. (2010a). A second class of nuclear receptors for oxysterols: regulation of RORalpha and RORgamma activity by 24S-hydroxycholesterol (cerebrosterol). *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1801, 917–923. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2010.02.012
- Wang, Y., Kumar, N., Solt, L. A., Richardson, T. I., Helvering, L. M., Crumbley, C., et al. (2010b). Modulation of retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor alpha and gamma activity by 7-oxygenated sterol ligands. *J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 5013–5025. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M109.080614
- Warnmark, A., Treuter, E., Wright, A. P., and Gustafsson, J. A. (2003). Activation functions 1 and 2 of nuclear receptors: molecular strategies for transcriptional activation. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 17, 1901–1909. doi: 10.1210/me.2002-0384

- Wilson, N. J., Boniface, K., Chan, J. R., McKenzie, B. S., Blumenschein, W. M., Mattson, J. D., et al. (2007). Development, cytokine profile and function of human interleukin 17-producing helper T cells. *Nat. Immunol.* 8, 950–957. doi: 10.1038/ni1497
- Wu, J., Zhou, C., Chen, W., Xie, A., Li, J., Wang, S., et al. (2013). Digoxin attenuates acute cardiac allograft rejection by antagonizing RORgammat activity. *Transplantation* 95, 434–441. doi: 10.1097/TP.0b013e3182 7a48f5
- Xiao, S., Yosef, N., Yang, J., Wang, Y., Zhou, L., Zhu, C., et al. (2014). Smallmolecule RORgammat antagonists inhibit T helper 17 cell transcriptional network by divergent mechanisms. *Immunity* 40, 477–489. doi: 10.1016/j. immuni.2014.04.004
- Yang, X. O., Panopoulos, A. D., Nurieva, R., Chang, S. H., Wang, D., Watowich, S. S., et al. (2007). STAT3 regulates cytokine-mediated generation of inflammatory helper T cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 9358–9363. doi: 10.1074/jbc. C600321200
- Zheng, L., Ye, P., and Liu, C. (2013). The role of the IL-23/IL-17 axis in the pathogenesis of Graves' disease. *Endocr. J.* 60, 591–597. doi: 10.1507/endocrj. EJ12-0264

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Karaś, Sałkowska, Sobalska-Kwapis, Walczak-Drzewiecka, Strapagiel, Dastych, Bachorz and Ratajewski. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.