



# Upregulation of IncRNA NR\_046683 Serves as a Prognostic Biomarker and Potential Drug Target for Multiple Myeloma

Hang Dong<sup>1†</sup>, Siyi Jiang<sup>2†</sup>, Yunfeng Fu<sup>1</sup>, Yanwei Luo<sup>1</sup>, Rong Gui<sup>1\*</sup> and Jing Liu<sup>2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Blood Transfusion, The Third Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha, China, <sup>2</sup> Department of Hematology, The Third Xiangya Hospital of Central South University, Changsha, China

**Aim:** To investigate the prognostic value of IncRNA NR\_046683 in multiple myeloma (MM).

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Salvatore Salomone, Università degli Studi di Catania, Italy

#### Reviewed by:

Shanchun Guo, Xavier University of Louisiana, United States Hua Yang, Third Military Medical University, China

#### \*Correspondence:

Rong Gui aguirong@163.com Jing Liu jjliuliuyswq@126.com <sup>†</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Experimental Pharmacology and Drug Discovery, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 18 November 2018 Accepted: 14 January 2019 Published: 31 January 2019

#### Citation:

Dong H, Jiang S, Fu Y, Luo Y, Gui R and Liu J (2019) Upregulation of IncRNA NR\_046683 Serves as a Prognostic Biomarker and Potential Drug Target for Multiple Myeloma. Front. Pharmacol. 10:45. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.00045 **Methods:** High-throughput IncRNA array was combined with bioinformatics techniques to screen differentially expressed IncRNA in MM. qRT-PCR was adopted to determine the expression of target IncRNAs in MM patients and controls.

# **Results:** It was found for the first time that IncRNA NR\_046683 is closely related to the prognosis of MM. It was also detected in tumor cell lines KM3, U266, especially in drug-resistant cell lines KM3/BTZ and MM1R. The NR\_046683 expression differed significantly in patients of different MM subtypes and staging. Moreover, the overexpression of NR-046683 is closely related to $\beta_2$ -microglobulin. We also found that the overexpression of NR-046683 correlates to chromosomal aberrations, such as del(13q14), gain 1q21, and t(4;14).

**Conclusion:** IncRNA NR\_046683 can serve as a novel biomarker for potential drug target and prognostic prediction in MM.

Keywords: IncRNA, NR\_046683, multiple myeloma, prognostic factor, drug target

# INTRODUCTION

Multiple myeloma (MM) is a hematologic malignancy caused by the proliferation of plasma cells in bone marrows. MM is the second most common cancer of blood system after non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and is associated with the signs and symptoms of bone pain, pathologic fractures, hypercalcemia, anemia, and renal failure (Chen W. C. et al., 2017; Cowan et al., 2018). MM usually develops from monoclonal gammopathy of under determined significance (MGUS) (Weiss et al., 2009; Kyle and Rajkumar, 2010). The development from MGUS to MM is accompanied by genetic variations such as cytogenetic aberrations, primary or secondary chromosomal translocation and oncogene activation. Understanding these genetic variations is of high importance for prognostic and response prediction.

Non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) are defined as RNA molecules that do not encode proteins, but recent evidence has proven that peptides/proteins encoded by ncRNAs do indeed exist and may have an important role in regulating tumor energy metabolism, epithelial to mesenchymal transition of cancer cells (Zhu et al., 2018). These peptides/proteins represent promising drug

1

targets for fighting against tumor growth or biomarkers for predicting the prognosis of cancer patients. Depending on length, ncRNAs are divided into short and long ncRNAs (lncRNAs). lncRNAs are usually longer than 200 nt and highly conservative during mammal evolution (including human). lncRNAs are involved in many biological processes, such as gene transcription regulation, maintenance of genomic integrity, X-chromosome inactivation, genomic imprinting, cell differentiation and development. lncRNAs are known to be abnormally expressed in cancer tissues and involved in carcinogenesis or tumor suppression (Poliseno et al., 2010; Hung and Chang, 2014). The human lncRNA catalog has been constantly expanding in recent years. The largest database of lncRNAs transcripts contains over 90,000 human lncRNA genes (e.g., 51, 382 LNCipedia v5.0; 96, 308 Noncode v5.0) (Volders et al., 2015; Fang et al., 2018). Although, the working mechanism of most lncRNAs remains unclear, the dysregulation of different lncRNAs contributes to the development and metastasis of different tumors, such as breast cancer, gastric cancer, hematologic cancer, and lung cancer (Chen J. S. et al., 2016; Rodriguez-Malave and Rao, 2016; Alvarez-Dominguez and Lodish, 2017; Cai et al., 2017; Fu et al., 2018).

The latest bioinformatics technique was used in combination with high-throughput lncRNA database of a small sample size to identify lncRNAs. These lncRNAs influenced the therapeutic response and efficacy of MM. Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was adopted to determine the expression of target lncRNAs in plasma cells from the bone marrows of MM patients and controls. lncRNA-mRNA coexpression network was established by combining with medical history of patients. It was then analyzed whether or not the expression of target lncRNAs could be used to predict the prognosis of MM. This was of high clinical significance to identify effective biomarkers and new treatment targets for MM.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Clinical Data**

From January 2012 to January 2018, 86 cases (53 males and 33 females) with MM treated at the Third Xiangya Hospital of Central South University were recruited. Their bone marrow samples and clinical data were collected. The median age of MM onset was 55 years old (44–78 years). All included cases had complete clinical and pathological data (**Table 1**). All MM cases were diagnosed according to the diagnostic criteria developed by International Myeloma Working Group (IMWG) (Rajkumar et al., 2011). Given the lack of bone marrow samples from normal donors, the sample variation was reduced by selecting 30 cases with iron deficiency anemia (IDA) as controls, and their bone marrow samples were collected. The sample collection was approved by the hospital's ethics committee (approval number: 2016121) and the informed consents were signed by all cases.

#### **RNA Extraction**

Total RNA extraction was performed from bone marrow samples of MM and IDA patients. Prior to use, RNA samples were

**TABLE 1** | Characteristics of study population.

| Clinical characteristics             | MM patients  | Value                    |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|
| Sex                                  |              |                          |
|                                      | Male         | 53 (62%)                 |
|                                      | Female       | 33 (38%)                 |
| Age (yr)                             |              | Median 55 (range: 44–78) |
| International staging system         |              |                          |
|                                      | Stage 1      | 16 (19%)                 |
|                                      | Stage 2      | 33 (38%)                 |
|                                      | Stage 3      | 37 (43%)                 |
| Durie-Salmon stage                   |              |                          |
|                                      | Stage 1      | 40 (47%)                 |
|                                      | Stage 2      | 20 (23%)                 |
|                                      | Stage 3      | 26 (30%)                 |
| Isotype                              |              |                          |
|                                      | IgG          | 43 (50%)                 |
|                                      | IgA          | 22 (26%)                 |
|                                      | Light chain  | 12 (14%)                 |
|                                      | Unclassified | 9 (10%)                  |
| Percentage of myeloma cells ir       | n BM         |                          |
|                                      | <40%         | 57 (66%)                 |
|                                      | $\geq 40\%$  | 29 (34%)                 |
| Bone disease                         |              |                          |
|                                      | No           | 7 (8%)                   |
|                                      | Yes          | 79 (92%)                 |
| Renal insufficiency                  |              |                          |
|                                      | No           | 67 (78%)                 |
|                                      | Yes          | 19 (22%)                 |
| Cytogenetic abnormality              |              |                          |
|                                      | No           | 29 (34%)                 |
|                                      | Yes          | 57 (66%)                 |
| Hemoglobin (g/dl)                    |              | $101.18 \pm 26.11$       |
| Platelet count (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L) |              | $169.29 \pm 88.43$       |
| Neutrophil (×10 <sup>9</sup> /L)     |              | $2.81 \pm 1.96$          |
| Albumin (g/L)                        |              | $34.21\pm6.46$           |
| Globulin (g/L)                       |              | $32.90\pm22.40$          |
| LDH (IU/L)                           |              | $265.23 \pm 161.23$      |
| β2-MG (μg/ml)                        |              | $5.35\pm3.88$            |
| Creatinine (µmol/L)                  |              | $106.18 \pm 122.22$      |
| Serum Calcium (mmol/L)               |              | $2.21 \pm 0.20$          |

MM, multiple myeloma; BM, bone marrow; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase;  $\beta_2$ -MG,  $\beta_2$ -microglobulin.

stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C. NanoDrop ND-1000 was used to determine RNA concentration and activity. RNA integrity was assessed by denaturing gel electrophoresis.

## Result Analysis of High-Throughput IncRNA Array and Differential Expression

Labeling and array hybridization were performed suing Agilent One-Color Microarray-Based Gene Expression Protocol (Agilent Technology). rRNA was removed from total RNA using mRNA-ONLY TM, Eukaryotic mRNA Isolation Kit (Epicenter). Each sample was amplified and transcribed into fluorescent-labeled cRNA. The labeled cRNAs were purified

#### TABLE 2 | Primers designed for qRT-PCR validation of candidate IncRNAs.

|            | Primer                                                           | Tm (°C) |
|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| β-actin    | F:5' GTGGCCGAGGACTTTGATTG3'<br>R:5' CCTGTAACAACGCATCTCATATT 3'   | 60      |
| NR_104063  | F:5' AAGCAAAAGTGCAGAAAACCAT 3'<br>R:5' CTGAGTGACCTGTTGCCTGAA 3'  | 60      |
| T283430    | F:5' AGAAATGGGATACCAAAGGAGT 3'<br>R:5' TCCTCTCTATCCTTCAGCACAT 3' | 60      |
| NR_046683  | F:5' GATGTGATGCCTGAAGATGTG 3'<br>R:5' TTCTGTGCTGCCAGTTGTG 3'     | 60      |
| uc021pbg.1 | F:5' CTACCTGAGCCAGTTCTCCTAA 3'<br>R:5' GGGTTCCTCATCGGTGTAAT 3'   | 60      |

using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), and NanoDrop ND-1000 was used to determine its concentration and activity. Microarray hybridization was performed (Arraystar Human LncRNA Array V4.0). Microarray images were generated using Agilent Feature Extraction (v11.0.1.1), and the original data were read. Quantile normalization was performed on the original data using GeneSpring GX v12.1 (Agilent Technologies) with data processing. Differentially expressed lncRNA were identified based on fold change and p value. The fold-change threshold for upregulated and down regulated genes was  $>\!2.0$  with p<0.05.

#### Verification by Quantitative RT-PCR

RNA was reversely transcribed into cDNA using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY, United States). qRT-PCR (Arraystar) was performed using ViiA 7 Real-time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) and  $2 \times PCR$  Master Mix. The reaction conditions were as follows: incubation at 95°C for 10 min, 95°C 10 s and 60°C 1 min, a total of 40 cycles.  $\beta$ -actin was taken as internal reference and the expression of target lncRNAs was normalized based on  $\beta$ -actin.  $\Delta$ Ct value indicated the lncRNA expression level. Primers for each gene are shown in **Table 2**.

#### **Functional Analysis of IncRNA**

The correlations between differentially expressed lncRNAs and mRNAs were determined. The lncRNA-mRNA co-expression network was established based on the normalized signal intensity of lncRNAs and mRNA. Using Pearson's correlation coefficient  $\geq$  0.7,mRNA and encoding genes were determined. Then the lncRNA-mRNA co-expression network was established according to mRNA/lncRNA expression correlation using





Cytoscape (The Cytoscape Consortium, San Diego, CA, United States) (Pujana et al., 2007). GO analysis was conducted using Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (Zhao et al., 2015; Chen R. et al., 2016). GO analysis was also used to determine the biological functions of the adjacent protein-coding genes of the target lncRNAs.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 20.0 software. The relative expression of target lncRNAs in bone marrow samples from MM and IDA patients was compared by using the Mann-Whitney test. Multiple intergroup comparisons were performed using Kruskal-Wallis H test. Kaplan-Meier survival curve was plotted, and log-rank test was used to detect significant difference in the survival of two groups. The Chi-square test was adopted to analyze the relationship between upregulated and downregulated lncRNAs and chromosomal aberrations. p < 0.05 indicated significant difference.

## RESULTS

# Differentially Expressed IncRNAs in the Bone Marrow of MM Patients

From 3 cases of MM and 3 cases of IDA diagnosed preliminarily, high-throughput lncRNA array was used and thousands of differentially expressed lncRNAs were detected. There were 1489 upregulated lncRNAs and 1661 downregulated lncRNAs (**Figure 1A**). qRT-PCR was performed to verify the 4 most significantly upregulated lncRNAs in 20 MM cases and 10 IDA cases. NR\_046683 was most significantly upregulated (**Figure 1B**), so the expression of lncRNA NR\_046683 was further detected in 66 MM patients (**Figure 1C**). At the same time, we detected its expression in cell lines KM3, U266, KM3/BTZ, and MM1R. The results showed that it was highly expressed in drug-resistant strains (**Figure 1D**). The results indicated that lncRNA NR\_046683 is a reliable biomarker and potential drug target for MM diagnosis.

## Relationship Between IncRNA NR\_104063 Expression and Clinicopathological Features of MM Patients

The correlation between lncRNA expression and clinicopathological factors (e.g., age, gender, subtype, and staging) was determined (**Table 3**). The results showed that lncRNA NR\_046683 correlated to the subtype (H = 18.2, p < 0.001) and ISS staging of MM (H = 12.982, p = 0.002), but not to age, gender or DS staging.

## Clinical Significance of IncRNA Expression of MM Patients

Based on the above results, the correlation between lncRNA NR\_046683 expression in bone marrow and serum  $\beta_2 M$ , albumin,  $\lambda$  light chain and  $\kappa$  light chain levels was determined in

**TABLE 3** | Correlations between the relative expression of IncRNA NR\_046683 and clinicopathologic features in 86 MM patients.

| Clinicopathologic<br>features | Cases | NR_046683 relative<br>expression<br>(Mean ± SD) | U/H value  | P value |
|-------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------------------------|------------|---------|
| Age (yr)                      |       |                                                 | U = 1120   | 0.205   |
| <60                           | 48    | $2.80\pm1.22$                                   |            |         |
| ≥60                           | 38    | $3.96\pm2.00$                                   |            |         |
| Sex                           |       |                                                 | U = 602.5  | 0.174   |
| Male                          | 53    | $3.60\pm1.54$                                   |            |         |
| Female                        | 33    | $2.89 \pm 1.83$                                 |            |         |
| Isotype                       |       |                                                 | H = 18.2   | 0.000*  |
| lgG                           | 43    | $2.98 \pm 1.64$                                 |            |         |
| IgA                           | 22    | $3.79 \pm 1.25$                                 |            |         |
| Light chain                   | 12    | $4.64 \pm 2.00$                                 |            |         |
| Unclassified                  | 9     | $1.86\pm0.65$                                   |            |         |
| International staging system  |       |                                                 | H = 12.982 | 0.002*  |
| Stage 1                       | 16    | $2.45 \pm 1.65$                                 |            |         |
| Stage 2                       | 33    | $3.03 \pm 1.59$                                 |            |         |
| Stage 3                       | 37    | $3.98 \pm 1.58$                                 |            |         |
| Durie-Salmon stage            |       |                                                 | H = 1.9    | 0.387   |
| Stage 1                       | 40    | $3.26 \pm 1.63$                                 |            |         |
| Stage 2                       | 23    | $2.76\pm0.61$                                   |            |         |
| Stage 3                       | 26    | $3.88 \pm 2.18$                                 |            |         |
| Cytogenetic abnormalit        | У     |                                                 | U = 1154   | 0.003*  |
| Yes                           | 57    | $2.66 \pm 1.68$                                 |            |         |
| No                            | 29    | $3.67 \pm 1.61$                                 |            |         |

SD, standard deviation; U value, Mann-Whitney test; H value, Kruskal-Wallis H-test. \*p < 0.05.

86 MM patients. The relative expression of NR\_046683 correlated positively to  $\beta_2$ M levels (r = 0.497, p < 0.001), but not to albumin,  $\lambda$  light chain or  $\kappa$  light chain levels (r = -0.156, p = 0.152; r = -0.062, p = 0.562; r = 0.029, p = 0.789) (Figures 2A-D). The estimated ROC curves were compared between the MM group and control group (Figure 2E), and the sensitivity and specificity on NR\_046683 were evaluated. The AUC value was 0.9376 (95%CI 0.8899–0.9853). The correlation between lncRNA and prognosis of MM patients was analyzed (Figure 2F). None of the 86 MM patients dropped out during the follow-up. Using the median expression of NR\_046683 in the bone marrows of MM patients, the patients were divided into high and low lncRNA expression groups. The prognostic value of lncRNA for MM was determined based on progression-free survival (PFS).

# Correlation Between IncRNA Expression and Cytogenetic Variation

Using the median expression of lncRNA NR\_046683, the patients were divided into low and high expression groups, and the correlation to chromosomal aberrations was assessed using the chi-square test. The over expression of lncRNA NR\_046683 was found to be correlated to chromosomal aberrations, such as gain 1q2 (p = 0.0096), del. 13q14 (P = 0.0288) and t(4; 14) (p = 0.0266), but not to hyperdiploid (p = 0.3722) (**Table 4**).



#### **Functional Analysis of IncRNA**

lncRNAs targeted by miRNAs were used for the prediction of target genes and the subsequent functional analysis. lncRNA NR\_046683 was selected to establish the lncRNA-mRNA coexpression network (**Figure 3A**). A total of 76 mRNAs was

 TABLE 4 | Cytogenetic aberration status distribution between low/high

 NR\_046683 expression groups of MM patients.

| Group         | Low NR_046683 | High NR_046683 | P value          |
|---------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|
| del (13q14)   |               |                | P = 0.0288*      |
| Positive      | 30.2% (13/43) | 53.5% (23/43)  |                  |
| Negative      | 69.8% (30/43) | 46.5% (20/43)  |                  |
| gain 1q21     |               |                | $P = 0.0096^*$   |
| Positive      | 34.9% (15/43) | 62.8% (27/43)  |                  |
| Negative      | 65.1% (28/43) | 37.2% (16/43)  |                  |
| t(4;14)       |               |                | $P = 0.0266^{*}$ |
| Positive      | 9.3% (4/43)   | 28.9% (12/43)  |                  |
| Negative      | 90.7% (39/43) | 72.1% (31/43)  |                  |
| Hyperdiploidy |               |                | P = 0.3722       |
| Positive      | 41.9% (18/43) | 32.6% (14/43)  |                  |
| Negative      | 58.1% (25/43) | 67.4% (29/43)  |                  |

MM: multiple myeloma; \*p < 0.05.

correlated to lncRNA NR\_046683, including ROR2, MTBP and ATP2C2, which are tumor-related protein-coding genes. GO analysis indicated that the genes of lncRNA NR\_046683 were mainly involved in the activation of leukocyte activation, bone marrow white blood cells, bone marrow cell activation and immune response (**Figure 3B**).

#### DISCUSSION

MM is a highly heterogenous clonal disease with complex molecular biological characteristics that leads to renal injury, anemia and bone destruction. Along with microenvironmental changes, abnormalities in biomarkers and cytogenetics may already be present before the presentation of symptoms appear (Chen R. et al., 2017; Cowan et al., 2018). Therefore, the search for new indicators for early clinical diagnosis, therapeutic response and prognostic prediction is an urgent issue.

Recent evidences have demonstrated that lncRNA has a key role in the pathogenesis of tumors. lncRNAs can be used for cancer diagnosis, classification, and prognostic evaluation, along with acting as a potential drug target (Huarte, 2015). Previous studies mainly focus on the effect of known lncRNAs on MM. For example, metastasis-associated lung



adenocarcinoma transcript 1 (MALAT-1) is overexpressed in some solid tumors (Tripathi et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2013). Cho, S. F. et al. proved the overexpression of MALAT1 of newly diagnosed MM, suggesting that MALAT1 was an eligible biomarker for early progression. lncRNA PDIA3P is found to play an important role in oral squamous cell carcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma (Kong et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017). Yang et al. (2018) clarified the potential regulatory mechanism of lncRNA PDIA3P in the pentose phosphate pathway in MM. Moreover, potential targets of metabolic regulation and drug resistance were identified for MM.

For the first time, we combined high-throughput lncRNA array with bioinformatics technique and found an overexpression of lncRNA NR-046683 in bone marrows of MM patients. This result was then verified by using qRT-PCR in large samples (n = 86). Combining with the clinicopathological features of MM patients, we found that the NR-046683 expression differed significantly in patients with different MM subtypes and staging. In addition, the overexpression of NR-046683 was closely related to the concentration of  $\beta_2$ microglobulin. B2-microglobulin has been clinically validated and recognized by most doctors. It is a meaningful indicator closely related to multiple myeloma (Avet-Loiseau et al., 2007). By verifying the relationship between our newly discovered molecules and these indicators, Inc NR-046683 can be used as a biomarker for diagnosis and prognostic prediction in MM.

Chromosomal aberrations are considered relevant to the diagnosis, progression and prognostic prediction of MM (Kumar et al., 2012). In the present study, an overexpression of NR-046683 correlated to the gain 1q21, del. 13q14, and t(4; 14),

all of which are associated with a poor prognosis of MM (Nemec et al., 2012). To some extent, an overexpression of NR-046683 is correlated to disease progression and predicted poor prognosis. In addition, an analysis of lncRNA-mRNA coexpression indicated that lncRNA is related to ROR2 and MTBP genes (Bi et al., 2015; Debebe and Rathmell, 2015; Lu et al., 2015), which are tumor-associated protein-coding genes. It is implied that lncRNA NR\_046683 is possibly involved in the regulation of biological behaviors of tumor cells. Using GO analysis, lncRNA NR\_046683-associated mRNA was involved in the activation of bone marrow white blood cells and immune response. This implied that lncRNA NR\_046683 may potentially promote MM development and progression by regulating proliferation and apoptosis of bone marrow white blood cells.

The accuracy of bioinformatics technique was verified based on big sample data, indicating that NR-046683 is a candidate target for the treatment of MM. However, the present study is a single-center small-sample-size experiment, from which the results had limitations in guiding clinical treatment (Luo et al., 2018). In the future, the sample size should be enlarged. Understanding the biological features of lncRNA NR-046683 and its involvement in the pathophysiological process of MM is crucial for treatment.

Analysis on lncRNA-mRNA co-expression and GO analysis provides theoretical basis for further investigation. Linkage of lncRNA NR-046683 to mRNA suggests that the lncRNA might provide a potential drug target for small molecule or antibody approaches in controlling the activity of the gene product. As lncRNAs are novel targets with often undefined mechanisms, it is critical to find therapeutic targets for drug discovery during disease progression. To conclude, lncRNA NR-046683 can serve as a novel biomarker for potential drug target and prognostic prediction in MM.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study can be found in Arraystar Human LncRNA Array V4.0, https://www.arraystar. com/human-lncrna-expression-array-v4-0/.

#### **ETHICS STATEMENT**

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Ethics Committee of the Third Xiangya Hospital of Central South University (No. 2016121).

#### REFERENCES

- Alvarez-Dominguez, J. R., and Lodish, H. F. (2017). Emerging mechanisms of long noncoding RNA function during normal and malignant hematopoiesis. *Blood* 130, 1965–1975. doi: 10.1182/blood-2017-06-788695
- Avet-Loiseau, H., Attal, M., Moreau, P., Charbonnel, C., Garban, F., Hulin, C., et al. (2007). Genetic abnormalities and survival in multiple myeloma: the experience of the intergroupe francophone du myelome. *Blood* 109, 3489–3495. doi: 10.1182/blood-2006-08-040410
- Bi, Q., Ranjan, A., Fan, R., Agarwal, N., Welch, D. R., Weinman, S. A., et al. (2015). MTBP inhibits migration and metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin. Exp. Metastasis* 32, 301–311. doi: 10.1007/s10585-015-9706-5
- Cai, C., Huo, Q., Wang, X., Chen, B., and Yang, Q. (2017). SNHG16 contributes to breast cancer cell migration by competitively binding miR-98 with E2F5. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 485, 272–278. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.02.094
- Chen, J. S., Wang, Y. F., Zhang, X. Q., Lv, J. M., Li, Y., Liu, X. X., et al. (2016). H19 serves as a diagnostic biomarker and up-regulation of H19 expression contributes to poor prognosis in patients with gastric cancer. *Neoplasma* 63, 223–230. doi: 10.4149/207\_150821N454
- Chen, R., Liu, L., Xiao, M., Wang, F., and Lin, X. (2016). Microarray expression profile analysis of long noncoding RNAs in premature brain injury: a novel point of view. *Neuroscience* 319, 123–133. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2016. 01.033
- Chen, R., Zhang, X., Gao, C., Luan, C., Wang, Y., and Chen, B. (2017). Treatment and prognostic factors for survival in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma patients with bortezomib and dexamethasone regimen: a single Chinese center retrospective study. *Cancer Manag. Res.* 9, 373–380. doi: 10.2147/CMAR. S144405
- Chen, W. C., Kanate, A. S., Craig, M., Petros, W. P., and Hazlehurst, L. A. (2017). Emerging combination therapies for the management of multiple myeloma: the role of elotuzumab. *Cancer Manag. Res.* 9, 307–314. doi: 10.2147/CMAR. S117477
- Cowan, A. J., Allen, C., Barac, A., Basaleem, H., Bensenor, I., Curado, M. P., et al. (2018). Global burden of multiple myeloma: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2016. *JAMA Oncol.* 4, 1221–1227. doi: 10.1001/ jamaoncol.2018.2128
- Debebe, Z., and Rathmell, W. K. (2015). Ror2 as a therapeutic target in cancer. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 150, 143–148. doi: 10.1016/j.pharmthera.2015.01.010
- Fang, S., Zhang, L., Guo, J., Niu, Y., Wu, Y., Li, H., et al. (2018). NONCODEV5: a comprehensive annotation database for long non-coding RNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 46, D308–D314. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkx1107
- Fu, Y., Li, C., Luo, Y., Li, L., Liu, J., and Gui, R. (2018). Silencing of long non-coding RNA MIAT sensitizes lung cancer cells to gefitinib by epigenetically regulating miR-34a. *Front. Pharmacol.* 9:82. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2018.00082
- Huarte, M. (2015). The emerging role of lncRNAs in cancer. *Nat. Med.* 21, 1253–1261. doi: 10.1038/nm.3981

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

HD and SJ designed and performed the study. HD, RG, and JL wrote the manuscript with inputs from all authors. YF and YL performed the analytic calculations and statistical analysis. All authors provided critical feedback and helped to shape the research, analysis, and manuscript.

#### FUNDING

This study was supported by Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 2017JJ3463) and the Graduate Self- Exploration and Innovation Project of Central South University of China (Grant No. 2018zzts941).

- Hung, T., and Chang, H. Y. (2014). Long noncoding RNA in genome regulation. *RNA Biol.* 7, 582–585. doi: 10.4161/rna.7.5.13216
- Kong, Y., Zhang, L., Huang, Y., He, T., Zhang, L., Zhao, X., et al. (2017). Pseudogene PDIA3P1 promotes cell proliferation, migration and invasion, and suppresses apoptosis in hepatocellular carcinoma by regulating the p53 pathway. *Cancer Lett.* 407, 76–83. doi: 10.1016/j.canlet.2017.07.031
- Kumar, S., Fonseca, R., Ketterling, R. P., Dispenzieri, A., Lacy, M. Q., Gertz, M. A., et al. (2012). Trisomies in multiple myeloma: impact on survival in patients with high-risk cytogenetics. *Blood* 119, 2100–2105. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-11-390658
- Kyle, R. A., and Rajkumar, S. V. (2010). Monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance and smoldering multiple myeloma. *Curr. Hematol. Malig. Rep.* 5, 62–69. doi: 10.1007/s11899-010-0047-9
- Lu, S., Zhou, W., Wei, H., He, L., and Li, L. (2015). MTBP Promotes the invasion and metastasis of hepatocellular carcinoma by enhancing the mdm2-mediated degradation of E-Cadherin. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 60, 3681–3690. doi: 10.1007/s10620-015-3824-4
- Luo, X. W., Du, X. Q., Li, J. L., Liu, X. P., and Meng, X. Y. (2018). Treatment options for refractory/relapsed multiple myeloma: an updated evidence synthesis by network meta-analysis. *Cancer Manag. Res.* 10, 2817–2823. doi: 10.2147/ CMAR.S166640
- Nemec, P., Zemanova, Z., Kuglik, P., Michalova, K., Tajtlova, J., Kaisarova, P., et al. (2012). Complex karyotype and translocation t(4;14) define patients with high-risk newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: results of CMG2002 trial. *Leuk. Lymphoma* 53, 920–927. doi: 10.3109/10428194.2011. 634042
- Poliseno, L., Salmena, L., Zhang, J., Carver, B., Haveman, W. J., and Pandolfi, P. P. (2010). A coding-independent function of gene and pseudogene mRNAs regulates tumour biology. *Nature* 465, 1033–1038. doi: 10.1038/nature 09144
- Pujana, M. A., Han, J. D., Starita, L. M., Stevens, K. N., Tewari, M., Ahn, J. S., et al. (2007). Network modeling links breast cancer susceptibility and centrosome dysfunction. *Nat. Genet.* 39, 1338–1349. doi: 10.1038/ng.2007.2
- Rajkumar, S. V., Harousseau, J. L., Durie, B., Anderson, K. C., Dimopoulos, M., Kyle, R., et al. (2011). Consensus recommendations for the uniform reporting of clinical trials: report of the international myeloma workshop consensus panel 1. *Blood* 117, 4691–4695. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-10-299487
- Rodriguez-Malave, N. I., and Rao, D. S. (2016). Long noncoding RNAs in hematopoietic malignancies. *Brief Funct. Genomics* 15, 227–238. doi: 10.1093/ bfgp/elv047
- Sun, C. C., Zhang, L., Li, G., Li, S. J., Chen, Z. L., Fu, Y. F., et al. (2017). The lncRNA PDIA3P interacts with mir-185-5p to modulate oral squamous cell carcinoma progression by targeting cyclin D2. *Mol. Ther. Nucleic Acids* 9, 100–110. doi: 10.1016/j.omtn.2017.08.015
- Tripathi, V., Ellis, J. D., Shen, Z., Song, D. Y., Pan, Q., Watt, A. T., et al. (2010). The nuclear-retained noncoding RNA MALAT1 regulates alternative splicing

by modulating SR splicing factor phosphorylation. *Mol. Cell.* 39, 925–938. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2010.08.011

- Volders, P. J., Verheggen, K., Menschaert, G., Vandepoele, K., Martens, L., Vandesompele, J., et al. (2015). An update on LNCipedia: a database for annotated human lncRNA sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 43, D174–D180. doi: 10.1093/nar/gku1060
- Weiss, B. M., Abadie, J., Verma, P., Howard, R. S., and Kuehl, W. M. (2009). A monoclonal gammopathy precedes multiple myeloma in most patients. *Blood* 113, 5418–5422. doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-12-195008
- Yang, F., Yi, F., Han, X., Du, Q., and Liang, Z. (2013). MALAT-1 interacts with hnRNP C in cell cycle regulation. *FEBS Lett.* 587, 3175–3181. doi: 10.1016/j. febslet.2013.07.048
- Yang, X., Ye, H., He, M., Zhou, X., Sun, N., Guo, W., et al. (2018). LncRNA PDIA3P interacts with c-Myc to regulate cell proliferation via induction of pentose phosphate pathway in multiple myeloma. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 498, 207–213. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2018.02.211
- Zhao, Z., Bai, J., Wu, A., Wang, Y., Zhang, J., Wang, Z., et al. (2015). Co-LncRNA: investigating the lncRNA combinatorial effects in go annotations and kegg

pathways based on human RNA-Seq data. *Database* 2015, bav082. doi: 10.1093/ database/bav082

Zhu, S., Wang, J., He, Y., Meng, N., and Yan, G. R. (2018). Peptides/proteins encoded by non-coding RNA: a novel resource bank for drug targets and biomarkers. *Front. Pharmacol.* 9:1295. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2018. 01295

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Dong, Jiang, Fu, Luo, Gui and Liu. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.