



From Synthetic Fragments of Endogenous Three-Finger Proteins to Potential Drugs

Elena V. Kryukova¹, Natalia S. Egorova¹, Denis S. Kudryavtsev¹, Dmitry S. Lebedev¹, Ekaterina N. Spirova¹, Maxim N. Zhmak¹, Aleksandra I. Garifulina¹, Igor E. Kasheverov^{1,2}, Yuri N. Utkin¹ and Victor I. Tsetlin^{1,3*}

¹ Department of Molecular Neuroimmune Signalling, Shemyakin-Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia, ² Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Institute of Molecular Medicine, Moscow, Russia, ³ PhysBio of MEPhI, Moscow, Russia

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Charles K. Abrams, University of Illinois at Chicago, United States

Reviewed by:

Antonio R. Artalejo, Complutense University of Madrid, Spain Salvatore Salomone, University of Catania, Italy

> *Corresponding author: Victor I. Tsetlin vits@mx.ibch.ru

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Pharmacology of Ion Channels and Channelopathies, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

> Received: 24 October 2018 Accepted: 11 June 2019 Published: 03 July 2019

Citation:

Kryukova EV, Egorova NS, Kudryavtsev DS, Lebedev DS, Spirova EN, Zhmak MN, Garifulina AI, Kasheverov IE, Utkin YN and Tsetlin VI (2019) From Synthetic Fragments of Endogenous Three-Finger Proteins to Potential Drugs. Front. Pharmacol. 10:748. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.00748 The proteins of the Ly6 family have a three-finger folding as snake venom α -neurotoxins, targeting nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs), and some of them, like mammalian secreted Ly6/uPAR protein (SLURP1) and membrane-attached Ly-6/neurotoxin (Lynx1), also interact with distinct nAChR subtypes. We believed that synthetic fragments of these endogenous proteins might open new ways for drug design because nAChRs are well-known targets for developing analgesics and drugs against neurodegenerative diseases. Since interaction with nAChRs was earlier shown for synthetic fragments of the α -neurotoxin central loop II, we synthesized a 15-membered fragment of human Lynx1, its form with two Cys residues added at the N- and C-termini and forming a disulfide, as well as similar forms of human SLURP1, SLURP2, and of Drosophila sleepless protein (SSS). The IC₅₀ values measured in competition with radioiodinated α -bungarotoxin for binding to the membrane-bound Torpedo californica nAChR were 4.9 and 7.4 µM for Lynx1 and SSS fragments, but over 300 µM for SLURP1 or SLURP2 fragments. The affinity of these compounds for the a7 nAChR in the rat pituitary tumor-derived cell line GH4C1 was different: 13.1 and 147 µM for SSS and Lynx1 fragments, respectively. In competition for the ligand-binding domain of the a9 nAChR subunit, SSS and Lynx1 fragments had IC_{50} values of about 40 μ M, which correlates with the value found for the latter with the rat $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ nAChR expressed in the Xenopus oocytes. Thus, the activity of these synthetic peptides against muscle-type and $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ nAChRs indicates that they may be useful in design of novel myorelaxants and analgesics.

Keywords: three-finger proteins, peptide fragments, Ly6 family, nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, nAChRs

INTRODUCTION

According to proteomic and transcriptomic studies, a dominant family in the venoms of *Elapidae* snakes (cobras, kraits, coral snakes, and some others) are proteins containing about 60–80 amino acid residues, 4-5 disulfide bonds, and having a common type of the compact spatial structure built of three β -structural disulfide-confined loops (Kini and Doley, 2010; Utkin, 2013; Tsetlin, 2015), explaining their

Abbreviations: Ly6, lymphocyte antigen 6; Lynx1, Ly-6/neurotoxin; SLURP, secreted Ly6/uPAR protein; SSS, Drosophila sleepless protein; nAChR, nicotinic acetylcholine receptors; AChBP, acetylcholine-binding protein; LBD, ligand binding domain; α-Bgtx, α-bungarotoxin.

common name of "three-finger proteins" (TFPs). The snake venom TFPs greatly differ in their activity: short- and long-chain α -neurotoxins, as well as certain non-conventional neurotoxins are blocking nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) (Tsetlin, 2015), while another group of TFPs is attacking muscarinic acetylcholine receptors (Karlsson et al., 2000). There are toxins inhibiting β-adrenergic and other G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) (Blanchet et al., 2017). Among the recently found snake venom neurotoxins are mambalgins blocking the acidsensitive ion channels (ASICs) (Brzezicki and Zakowicz, 2018). The targets of the snake venom TFPs are not limited by receptors and ion channels: for example, fasciculins from the Dendroaspis angusticeps mamba venom inhibit acetylcholinesterase (Karlsson et al., 1984), while cytotoxins, also known as cardiotoxins, do not have a selective target and penetrate the cell membrane (Dubovskii et al., 2013). It is a long history of using venoms as such for medical purposes (Chan et al., 2016; Estevão-Costa et al., 2018). Some individual components, as a-cobratoxin from the cobra venom and batroxobin from jararaca venom, are tested as possible remedies against pain (Gong et al., 2015) and as defibrinogenating agents (Ding et al., 2018), respectively.

There is no X-ray structure for a snake venom neurotoxin in complex with any whole-size nAChR subtype. However, highresolution X-ray structures of toxins with the nAChR models are available: α -cobratoxin complex (Bourne et al., 2005) with the acetylcholine-binding protein (AChBP), which is an excellent model for the nAChR ligand-binding domain (LBD) (Smit et al., 2001; Ulens et al., 2006), α -bungarotoxin bound to the chimera of AChBP with the LBD of the α7 nAChR (Huang et al., 2013), as well as to the monomeric LBDs of the $\alpha 1$ and $\alpha 9$ nAChR subunits (Dellisanti et al., 2007; Zouridakis et al., 2014). All these structures, as well as earlier data on chemical modification and mutagenesis of α -neurotoxins, show the important role of the neurotoxin central loop II. Indeed, synthetic fragments of the central loop retained partial activity of the starting toxin and one such peptide was used for production of antisera for native toxin neutralization (de la Rosa et al., 2017).

Thus, synthetic fragments of snake venom toxins look promising for drug design. However, our paper is focusing not on the synthetic fragments of a-neurotoxins, but on the Ly6 proteins. Some of these proteins interact with nAChRs [see reviews (Ibañez-Tallon and Nitabach, 2012; Tsetlin, 2015)] and are considered as potential drugs (Miwa and Walz, 2012; Narumoto et al., 2013; Swamynathan et al., 2017; Lyukmanova et al., 2016a). Among them are mammalian proteins Lynx1 attached to the membrane in the vicinity of nAChRs by the glycosyl phosphoinositide anchor, and a secreted water-soluble protein SLURP1 (Durek et al., 2017). In view of the perspectives shown by synthetic fragments of α-neurotoxins, we synthesized the loop II fragments of these proteins and tested their activity against several nAChR subtypes. In case of positive results, the advantage of such peptides would be their origin from the endogenous proteins, allowing to expect from them only minimal toxicity. We synthesized the fragments of human Lynx1, SLURP1, and SLURP2, as well as a fragment of the a central loop of the Drosophila protein SSS, because for this protein [promoting sleep in Drosophila by inhibiting its neuronal nAChRs and activating a potassium channel (Wu et al., 2014)] the essential role of its whole loop II was demonstrated by heterologous expression of the protein lacking the N- and C-terminal loops (Wu et al., 2016).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Solid-Phase Peptide Synthesis of the Central Loop Fragments of the Ly6 Proteins Interacting With nAChRs

The amino-acid sequences of the chosen synthetic fragments and a typical form of the Ly6 protein moiety are presented in Figure 1. The peptides were prepared by solid-phase synthesis using Fmoc/t-butyl strategy on tritylchloride-polystyrene resin (Intavis, Cologne, Germany). The disulfides were formed under conventional oxidation conditions: prolonged incubation on air in 50% aqueous acetonitrile at room temperature in the presence N-ethyldiisopropylamine (pH 8.0). All peptides were purified by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) on a 250 × 30 mm C8 column (Dr. Maisch, Ammerbuch-Entringen, Germany) in linear gradient of acetonitrile from 10% to 40% and then lyophilized. The molecular masses determined by electrospray ionization ion trap mass spectrometry (ESI-IT-MS) were very close to theoretically calculated ones (see Table 1). The theoretical pI (isoelectric point) computation was done with Compute pI/Mw tool on SIB Bioinformatics Resource Portal (https://web.expasy. org/compute_pi/). The peptides purity (>98%) was confirmed using analytical HPLC. In order to stabilize the spatial structure, Cys residues were added at N- and C-termini to all peptides [except Lynx1 linear (2)] and were either left free [as in Lynx1 linear short (4)] or formed a disulfide as in Lynx1, Lynx1 short, SSS, SLURP1, and SLURP2 (1, 3, 5–7). In order to prevent formation of disulfides in peptide 4, it was stored in the lyophilized form and dissolved immediately before the experiment. The structures and characteristics of the synthesized peptides are shown in Table 1.

Radioligand Analysis of Peptide Interactions With Various nAChR Subtypes, Ligand-Binding Domain of the α9 Subunit and With the AChBP

The binding capacity (IC₅₀ values) was estimated in competition with the radioiodinated α -bungarotoxin [prepared as described in Kryukova et al. (2018) with specific radioactivity of 500 Curies/ mmol] for association with the membrane-bound *Torpedo californica* nAChR (Hucho et al., 1978) (kindly provided by Prof. F. Hucho, Institute for Chemistry and Biochemistry, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany), human α 7 nAChR stably expressed in the rat pituitary tumor-derived cell line GH4C1 (Virginio et al., 2002) (received from Eli Lilly and Company, London, UK), LBD of the human nAChR α 9 subunit (Zouridakis et al., 2014) (prepared in the laboratory of Prof. S. Tzartos, Department of Neurobiology, Hellenic Pasteur Institute, Greece), and AChBP from *Aplysia californica* (Lin et al., 2014) (kindly provided by Prof. S. Luo, Key Laboratory for Marine Drugs of Haikou, Hainan University, China).

The suspensions of membranes from *T. californica* ray electric organ (1.25 nM α -bungarotoxin binding sites) or human



added for the stabilization purposes are highlighted grey. (B) Design of artificial disulfide-stabilized loop II mimetics. To achieve hairpin conformation close to native, water-soluble Lynx1 structure (PDB 2L03) has been analyzed, Met28 and Ser44 (in case of peptide 1) or Thr29 and Lys43 (in case of peptide 3) residues were chosen because their radicals are situated on the same side of the beta-sheet. Selected residues were interchanged to Cys residues in loop II mimetic peptides. The same logic was applied to SLURP1 and SLURP2 structures. SSS loop II fragment was designed based on the sequence alignment.

 TABLE 1 | Structures and characteristics of the synthesized Ly6 peptides.

Ly6 peptide	Peptide sequence	Molecular masses (MH*)		pl
		Calculated	Measured	
Lynx1 (1)	CTTRTYYTPTRMKVSKC	2,036.97	2,036.90	9.70
Lynx1 linear (2)	TTRTYYTPTRMKVSK	1,832.96	1,832.85	10.45
Lynx1 short (3)	CTRTYYTPTRMC	1,493.63	1,493.60	8.90
Lynx1 short linear (4)	CTRTYYTPTRMC	1,495.64	1,495.70	8.90
SSS (5)	CKMVRHQRSPYEVVRRMC	2,278.12	2,278.17	10.09
SLURP1 (6)	CTLVTVEAEYPFNQSPVVTC	2,200.02	2,200.12	3.80
SLURP2 (7)	CTATRVLSNTEDLPLVTC	1,935.94	1,936.00	4.37

a7 nAChR expressed in GH4C1 cells (0.4 nM a-bungarotoxin binding sites) or expressed A. californica AChBP (150 nM α -bungarotoxin binding sites) were incubated in 50 µl of binding buffer [20 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA)] for 90 min with various amounts of the Ly6 peptides, followed by an additional 5-min incubation with 0.1–0.2 nM ¹²⁵I-labeled α-bungarotoxin (500 Ci/mmol). The membranes and cell suspensions were applied to glass GF/C filters (Whatman, Maidstone, UK) pretreated with 0.3% polyethylenimine. The samples were then washed $(3 \times 4 \text{ ml})$ with cold 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.0, containing 0.1 mg/ml BSA and bound radioactivity was measured with a Wallac 1470 Wizard Gamma Counter (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA). The A. californica AChBP samples were applied to two layers of DE-81 filters presoaked in phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.1 mg/ml BSA and washed $(3 \times 4 \text{ ml})$ with the same buffer. For human a9 LBD, the competition assays were carried out with 100 nM a9 LBD and different amounts of the Ly6 peptides. After incubation, 0.1-0.2 nM ¹²⁵I-labeled a-bungarotoxin (500 Ci/mmol) and 10 µl of Ni²⁺-NTA agarose beads (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) prewashed twice with binding buffer and diluted three times with the same buffer were added and after additional 5-min incubation (during this time, ¹²⁵I-labeled α-bungarotoxin occupies about 50% of binding sites on the Torpedo receptor and about 30-40% on the α 7 nAChR), suspensions were applied to glass GF/C filters, washed, and bound radioactivity was measured as described above. Nonspecific ¹²⁵I-a-bungarotoxin binding was determined in the presence of 200-fold excess of α -cobratoxin.

Two-Electrode Voltage Clamp Analysis of Peptides Interaction With the Rat and Human $\alpha 9\alpha 10$, Human $\alpha 3\beta 2$, and Human $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nAChRs

Xenopus laevis frogs were fed twice a week and maintained according to supplier recommendations (https://www.enasco. com/page/xen_care).

All experiments were carried out in strict accordance with the World Health Organization's International Guiding Principles for Biomedical Research Involving Animals. The protocol (protocol number: 251/2018 26.02.18) was approved by Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee basing on the Institutional Policy on the Use of Laboratory Animals of the Shemyakin-Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry RAS.

Oocytes were removed from mature, anesthetized *Xenopus laevis* by dissecting abdomen and removing necessary amount of ovarium. Stage V–VI *Xenopus laevis* oocytes were defolliculated with 2 mg/ml collagenase type I (Life Technologies, Camarillo, USA) at room temperature (21–24°C) for 2 h in Ca²⁺-free Barth's solution composed of (in mM) 88 NaCl, 1.1 KCl, 2.4 NaHCO₃, 0.8 MgSO₄, and 15 HEPES-NaOH at pH 7.6. Oocytes were injected with 9.2 ng of rat or human nAChR α9 and α10 cRNA (in a ratio 1:1) or human α3 and β2 or α4 and β2 cRNA (in a ratio 1:1). Oocytes were incubated at 18°C for 2–4 days before electrophysiological recordings in Barth's solution composed of (in mM) 88 NaCl, 1.1 KCl, 2.4 NaHCO₃, 0.3 Ca(NO₃)₂, 0.4 CaCl₂,

0.8 MgSO₄, and 15 HEPES-10NaOH at pH 7.6, supplemented with 40 µg/ml gentamicin and 100 µg/ml ampicillin. Recordings were performed using turbo TEC-03X amplifier (NPI Electronic, Tamm, Germany) and WinWCP recording software (University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK). The glass recording electrodes were filled with 3 M KCl and the electrode resistance was 0.1-0.5 M Ω . Membrane potential was clamped at -60 mV. Oocytes were briefly washed with Ba2+ Ringer's solution (Fuchs and Murrow, 1992) composed of (in mM) 115 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.8 BaCl₂, 10 HEPES at pH 7.2, followed by three applications of 10 μ M acetylcholine (ACh). Washout with Ba2+ Ringer's was done for 5 min between ACh applications. Oocytes were preincubated with various concentrations of Ly6 peptides for 5 min followed by their co-application with ACh. To induce ion current, we used ACh in the concentration range from 10 to 30 μ M. The peak current amplitudes of ACh-induced responses were measured before (ACh alone) and after the preincubation of oocytes with peptides. The ratio between these two measurements was used to assess the activity of the tested compounds. In the experiments with $\alpha 3\beta 2$ and $\alpha 4\beta 2$, the above technique was also used, except that oocytes were washed in Barth's solution. All control experiments were performed on the same day.

Rat a9 and a10 cDNAs was cloned in a pGEMHE vector; human a9, a10, a3, and β2 cDNAs were derived from pT7TS vector. Human a4 was cloned in pSP64 vector. Plasmid pT7TS constructs of human nAChR a9 and a10 subunits and human α 3 and β 2 subunits were linearized with XbaI restriction enzymes (NEB, Ipswich, USA). pSP64 vector was linearized with BamH1 restriction enzymes (NEB, Ipswich, USA). Rat a9 and a10 plasmids were linearized using NheI (NEB, Ipswich, USA). All plasmid constructs were sequencing before mRNA synthesis. The indicated restriction enzymes were chosen as their restriction sites are located downstream of the sequence as it is recommended by the manufacturer in mMESSAGE mMACHINE® High Yield Capped RNA Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). mRNAs were transcribed in vitro using T7 mMESSAGE mMachine[™] (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) and SP6 were prepared using SP6 mMESSAGE mMACHINE® High Yield Capped RNA Transcription Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). Transcribed mRNA was polyadenylated using the Poly-A-Tailing Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). The mRNAs were stored up to 6 months at -70°C. Before every use, the degradation levels of mRNAs were checked by gel electrophoresis.

Statistical Analysis

The binding results were analyzed using ORIGIN 8.0 (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA) fitting to a one-site dose-response curve by the equation: % response = $100/\{1 + ([toxin]/IC_{50})^n\}$, where IC_{50} is the concentration at which 50% of the binding sites are inhibited and *n* is the Hill coefficient. Data of the radioligand assay are presented as mean with 95% confidence interval (CI) for the indicated number (n) of independent experiments. Paired Student's t-test was done in ORIGIN 8.0 with the significance level set to p < 0.05.

RESULTS

Figure 1 and Table 1 show that the fragments of several Ly6 proteins were obtained as homogeneous peptides and their structure has been confirmed by mass spectrometry. Table 1 shows that the synthesized loops of human Lynx1 and Drosophila SSS have very close calculated pI values (9.7 and 10.0). They are basic similarly to the respective fragment (with the pI values of 7.9) of a short neurotoxin II [which like Ly6 proteins does not have an additional disulfide in the central loop (Grishin et al., 1973)] and are much closer to pI 10.46 for a weak toxin Naja kaouthia respective fragment [which also contains no additional disulfide in the central loop, but similarly to Ly6 proteins possesses additional disulfide in the N-terminal loop (Utkin et al., 2001)]. However, the pI values for SPURP1 and SLURP2 fragments are much lower (3.80 and 4.37, respectively), which might explain a big difference from the Lynx1 and SSS fragments in our biological tests (see below).

Radioligand Analysis of Ly6 Peptides Interaction With nAChR Subtypes and Their Models

Since high-affinity binding of radioiodinated a-bungarotoxin (aBgt) to muscle-type, a7 and a9a10 nAChRs occurs at their orthosteric sites, competition with this radioligand allows to detect binding to such sites also for the compounds of interest. Figure 2 and Table 2 show that the most active against the muscle-type receptor from T. californica was the fragment of Lynx1 (1) (IC₅₀ = 4.9 μ M), but it had almost a 30-fold lower activity against human a7 nAChR. Interestingly, the SSS fragment (5) was slightly less active against *Torpedo* receptor (7.4 μ M), but 10-fold more active against a7 nAChR than the Lynx1 fragment (1) (IC₅₀ 13.1 and 147.7 μ M, respectively). Although cyclization was expected to stabilize the spatial structure, a linear form of the Lynx1 fragment (2) on the Torpedo and α7 nAChRs had the activity quite similar to that of the cyclized form. Linear (4) and especially cyclic (3) shorter forms of Lynx1 fragment were less active against Torpedo nAChR, but twofold more active against α 7 nAChR than peptide (1).

In competition with ¹²⁵I-aBgt for the binding to a9 LBD, the SSS fragment (5), cyclic and linear Lynx1 fragments (1 and 2) revealed very similar affinities, their IC_{50} values being around 40 μ M. On the other hand, Table 2 shows that for SLURP1 and SLURP2 fragments even at concentrations over 300 µM, no inhibition of 125I-aBgt binding was observed either with muscle and a7 nAChRs, or with a9 LBD. The loss of any inhibition at such high concentrations indicates the absence of nonspecific effects of the studied peptides on the binding of the radioligand to the targets. In fact, SLURP1 and SLURP2 fragments act as scrambled peptides in these experiments. Interestingly, although AChBP is known to bind compounds interacting not only with the nAChRs, but also with different Cys-loop receptors (Sixma and Smit, 2003; Brams et al., 2011) at concentration of about 100 µM, none of the compounds given in **Table 2** competed with 125 I- α Bgt for binding to the *A*. californica AChBP

Two-Electrode Voltage Clamp Analysis

Since, this work was stimulated by the discovery of SLURP1 inhibition of the human and rat a9a10 nAChRs (Durek et al., 2017), we tested the activity of the synthesized peptides by two-electrode voltage clamp against human a9a10 nAChR expressed in the *Xenopus* oocytes. Unfortunately, no inhibition was registered for the SLURP1 or SLURP2 fragments (**6** and 7) at concentrations as high as 50 μ M (data not shown). On the other hand, although a9a10 nAChR was not detected among the targets of Lynx1 or its water-soluble variant (ws-Lynx1), the Lynx1 fragment (**1**) inhibited rat a9a10 nAChR with IC₅₀ of 27 μ M (**Figure 3A**). A slightly weaker activity was found for the Lynx1 linear fragment (**2**), while only 50% inhibition was detected for SSS fragment (**5**) at 100 μ M concentration (**Figure 3B**).

Taking into account that neuronal $\alpha\beta$ heteromeric nAChRs were among the targets of Lynx1 (Miwa et al., 1999; Ibañez-Tallon and Nitabach, 2012), ws-Lynx1 (Lyukmanova et al., 2011, Lyukmanova et al., 2013; Thomsen et al., 2014), and SLURP1 (Durek et al., 2017), we also tested the activity of the synthetic fragments against these receptors in electrophysiological experiments. At 30 μ M, Lynx1 fragment (1) showed a tendency to inhibition of the current in the $\alpha3\beta2$ nAChR by 30% (Figure 4). Similar effects were exerted by SLURP1 fragment (6) (Figure 4), whereas Lynx1 linear fragment (2), SLURP2 fragment (7), and the SSS fragment (5) were inactive. Unfortunately no significant activity of the peptides was detected in comparison to the currents recorded in response to 30 μ M acetylcholine application. None of the peptides inhibited the ion currents in $\alpha4\beta2$ nAChR (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Historically, Lynx-1 was the first protein of the Ly6 family found co-localized in the mouse brain with the α 7 and α 4 β 2 nAChRs and shown to inhibit their activity (Miwa et al., 1999). This protein is of special interest to us because several years ago at the Shemyakin-Ovchinnikov Institute a water-soluble analog of Lynx1, devoid of the GPI anchor, was heterologously expressed in Escherichia coli. It inhibited ion currents in several nAChR subtypes and its threedimensional structure was established by 1H-NMR (Lyukmanova et al., 2011). Moreover, several mutations in its central loop II were shown to affect the activity (Lyukmanova et al., 2013). However, a water-soluble ws-Lynx1 is only a model of the membrane-bound Lynx1 and the differences in behavior were demonstrated in mouse overexpressing Lynx 1 genes with or without the sequence coding for the GPI anchor (Miwa and Walz, 2012). The advantage of SLURP1 and SLURP2, the solution structures of which are also known (Lyukmanova et al., 2016b; Vasilyeva et al., 2017), is that they are naturally occurring water-soluble proteins and the research can be done on them as such, rather than on their models. Much information is available about the SLURP1/SLURP2 involvement in several diseases, predominantly in the skin disease Mal de Meleda (Perez and Khachemoune, 2016). However, until recently the work was done not on SLURP1 as such, but on various fusion proteins incorporating SLURP1. One publication



TABLE 2 | The binding affinity of synthetic peptides to *T. californica*, human α7 nAChR, human α9 LBD, and *A. californica* AChBP measured by a competitive radioligand assay with ¹²⁵I-α-Bgt. The IC_{F0} values and 95% confidence interval (CI) are presented for three to four independent experiments.

Ly6 peptide		IC ₅₀ , μΜ (95%Cl)		
	T. californica	α7	α9 LBD	AChBP
Lynx1 (1)	4.9 (3.81–6.30)	173 (42.28–415.7)	41.7 (13.18–52.48)	>100
Lynx1 linear (2)	13.2 (11.26–15.68)	>180	40.7 (30.76-53.95)	>100
Lynx1 short (3)	74.13 (64.53-84.22)	58.9 (50.19-74.43)	>100	>100
Lynx1 short linear (4)	15.60 (13.55–18.62)	69.2 (48.98–98.63)	70.8 (25.7–190.5)	>100
SSS (5)	7.4 (7.14–7.73)	13.1 (6.60–26.1)	41.7 (38.19–47.86)	>100
SLURP1 (6)	>360	>360	>300	>100
SLURP2 (7)	>420	>500	~400	>100



acetylcholine by Lynx1 (1) fragment in the rat (\blacksquare , solid line) $\alpha 9 \alpha 10$ nAChRs or by Lynx1 linear fragment (2) in the human (O, dashed line) $\alpha 9 \alpha 10$ nAChRs. The oocytes were pre-incubated with peptides for 5 min. For Lynx1 (1) the calculated IC₅₀ was 27.00 μ M (Cl 95% 14.55–41.26 μ M), n = 3. For Lynx1 linear fragment (2) the calculated IC₅₀ was 32.30 μ M (Cl 95% 14.70–70.99 μ M), n = 3. (B) Bar graph of 100 μ M SSS fragment (5) inhibition of ion currents induced by 25 μ M acetylcholine (ACh) in the human $\alpha 9 \alpha 10$ nAChR. Data are presented as mean ± SEM, n = 3. Paired Student's t-test, *p* < 0.05 (*black asterisks*, normalized current evoked by acetylcholine in the presence of SSS *vs* normalized current induced by acetylcholine in the absence of SSS).

revealed potentiation of ion currents in a7 nAChR at nanomolar concentration of the used fusion SLURP1 protein (Chimienti et al., 2003). However, on the human SLURP1, differing from the naturally occurring protein only by the additional N-terminal Met residue, the effect was the opposite: inhibition rather than enhancement of ion current in a7 nAChR and at micromolar, rather than at nanomolar concentration (Lyukmanova et al., 2016b). Moreover, with the SLURP1 prepared by peptide synthesis and having virtually the same primary structure as the native protein, no inhibition of the a7 nAChR took place, but inhibition of several heterooligomeric nAChRs was registered (Durek et al., 2017). Most interestingly, with this SLURP-1 for the first time for an Ly6 protein inhibition of the a9a10 nAChR was observed and binding was proved to occur at an allosteric site (Durek et al., 2017). This finding to a considerable degree stimulated the present work because a9a10 nAChRs are targets for developing new analgesics, as illustrated most convincingly by research on those α -conotoxins, which are selective for this nAChR subtype (Dutertre et al., 2017; Hone and McIntosh, 2018).

As was described in the Introduction, a certain success was achieved in research using mainly the central loop II of three-finger neurotoxins where the structure was additionally stabilized by connecting the N- and C-termini of peptide by the grafted disulfide. We decided to synthesize the appropriate loops of Lynx1, SLURP1, and SLURP 2 and test their possible activities against several nAChR subtypes. We also included the *Drosophila* protein SSS, which affects the sleep processes interacting both with the nAChRs and potassium channels (Wu et al., 2014). Additional importance of this protein for our work, focusing on the central loops II from the TFPs of the Ly6 family, was due to the recently demonstrated functional activity for its heterologically expressed loop II (Wu et al., 2016).

The results in this work show that the synthetic fragments of Lynx1 and SSS demonstrated a low micromolar binding to the muscle-type *Torpedo* nAChR, as detected in competition with radioactive α -Bgt. Similar binding was registered with the SSS fragment (5) in case of the α 7 nAChR, while Lynx1 fragment (1) was over 10-fold less active. A comparison of the cyclized and



linear forms of Lynx1 fragment (1 and 2) shows that, contrary to expectations, stabilizing the spatial structure due to connecting the N- and C-termini did not cause a marked increase in the activity against certain targets. Surprisingly, shortening of the peptide (4) did not diminish its affinity, as compared to peptide (2), indicating that both peptides apparently retain the required conformation. Since peptides still should be relatively flexible it is difficult why cyclization of the short peptide (3) is weakening its interaction with the muscle-type and $\alpha 9/\alpha 10$ nAChRs.

Both for the SSS and Lynx1 fragments, their association with the α 9 LBD was relatively weak (IC₅₀ on the average of 40 μ M); inhibition (IC₅₀) of ion currents in the α 9 α 10 nAChR expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes measured for the Lynx fragment (1) was about 30 μ M and a slightly less activity was found for the SSS fragment (5).

Unfortunately, our hope that the synthetic fragment of SLURP1 will possess at least a part of the activity against $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ nAChR found in SLURP1 itself (Durek et al., 2017) was not realized. Neither SLURP1 fragment (6) nor that (7) of SLURP2 revealed noticeable inhibition of ¹²⁵I- α Bgt binding to *Torpedo* and human α 7 nAChRs or to the α 9 domain. They also did not compete with ¹²⁵I- α Bgt for binding to *A. californica* AChBP, but none other synthetic fragment (active against several nAChRs) also manifested a competition. This result is not easy to explain because usually AChBPs are not very discriminative and with low affinity can bind diverse compounds capable of interacting with one or another nAChR subtype or with a

different Cys-loop receptor (Brejc et al., 2001; Rucktooa et al., 2009; Brams et al., 2011).

Although our work is focusing on the muscle-type, α 7, and $\alpha 9\alpha 10$ nAChRs, all of which efficiently bind αBgt (which at nanomolar concentrations also blocks ion currents in them), we checked possible effects of our synthetic fragments on the heterooligomeric $\alpha 3\beta 2$ nAChR. The reason for this is that Lynx1 in the very first publication (Miwa et al., 1999) was shown to inhibit $\alpha 4\beta 2$ nAChRs, while its effects on the function of other heteromeric nAChRs (Ibañez-Tallon and Nitabach, 2012) and on the process of their assembly (Parker et al., 2017) were demonstrated later. In addition, SLURP1 not only inhibited a9a10 nAChR, but also (at low micromolar level) diminished the currents in the $\alpha 3\beta 2$ and $\alpha 3\beta 4$ nAChRs (Durek et al., 2017). The SSS protein was earlier shown to inhibit a heteromeric nAChR of Drosophila (Wu et al., 2014). As Figure 4 shows at least with the $\alpha 3\beta 2$ nAChR, the SLURP1 fragment (6) had the activity comparable to that shown by other Ly6 synthetic fragments to one or another target.

It should be mentioned that there are many publications on the activity of the synthetic three-finger toxins and of their fragments: synthetic fragments of the central loop II of α -neurotoxins have been already mentioned (Kasheverov and Tsetlin, 2017), there are also full-size synthetic α -neurotoxins (Rey-Suárez et al., 2012), non-conventional neurotoxins (Poh et al., 2002), TFP toxins inhibiting acetylcholinesterase (fasciculins) (Falkenstein and Peña, 1997), synthetic mambalgins, and their analogs attacking ASICs (Schroeder et al., 2014). Not always in the work on TFP toxins the interest was focused on the central loop: for the synthetic N-terminal loop of cardiotoxin I from the *Naja atra* cobra venom the authors reported antimicrobial activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (Sala et al., 2018). Interestingly, molecular modeling indicates that binding of another cytotoxin I (*Naja mossambica mossambica*) to 20S proteasome (resulting in the inhibition of its chymotryptic activity) is due to the toxin N-terminal loop (Munawar et al., 2015). It is worth to mention that the N-terminal sequence of SIRS (soluble immune response suppressor) has a homology to short α -neurotoxin and its synthetic 21-membered N-terminal fragment was shown to inhibit the development of experimental allergic encephalitis (Webb, 2016).

On the contrary, there is not much work on the synthetic fragments of the Ly6 proteins, and we could not find any publications in scientific literature on the synthetic fragments of those Ly6 proteins which interact with nAChRs or affect their assembly. In the patent database we found one (Walz, 2014) where a series of peptides homologous to Lynx1 fragment (1) has been synthesized homologous to Lynx1 fragment (1) but devoid of the N- and C-terminal Cys residues. However, the peptides were designed to facilitate penetration of the blood-brain barrier, but their interaction with the nAChRs or any other receptor was not tested. Thus, to best of our knowledge, here are the first data on the interaction of synthetic fragments of the central loop of Ly6 proteins with nAChRs.

In the case of the SLURP1 fragment, we did not manage to register the activity against a9a10 nAChR, which would be comparable to that of the synthetic SLURP1 and thus might prove useful for design of new analgesics. The aforementioned examples of the snake-venom TFPs show that, in the future, a success might come with the fragments not only of the central loop II (see **Figure 1**) but of other loops as well. In particular, mutagenesis of ws-Lynx1 demonstrated the functional role of several residues both in the central loop and in the N-terminal loop (Lyukmanova et al., 2013).

The activity of Lynx1 and SSS synthetic fragments, although being in low μ M range, should not be called low. The affinity of α -neurotoxins against the muscle-type and α 7 nAChRs is in the nanomolar range, but earlier in radioligand and electrophysiology experiments, it was shown that both ws-Lynx1 and recombinant SLURP1 (bearing an additional N-terminal Met residue)

REFERENCES

- Blanchet, G., Alili, D., Protte, A., Upert, G., Gilles, N., Tepshi, L., et al. (2017). Ancestral protein resurrection and engineering opportunities of the mamba aminergic toxins. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 2701. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-02953-0
- Bourne, Y., Talley, T. T., Hansen, S. B., Taylor, P., and Marchot, P. (2005). Crystal structure of a Cbtx-AChBP complex reveals essential interactions between snake alpha-neurotoxins and nicotinic receptors. *EMBO J.* 24, 1512–1522. doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600620
- Brams, M., Pandya, A., Kuzmin, D., van Elk, R., Krijnen, L., Yakel, J. L., et al. (2011). A structural and mutagenic blueprint for molecular recognition of strychnine and d-tubocurarine by different cys-loop receptors. *PLoS Biol.* 9, e1001034. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001034

bind to the Torpedo, a7, and several heteromeric nAChRs and inhibit their currents at low µM concentrations (Lyukmanova et al., 2016b). The concentration of Lynx1 in the brain was also shown to be in the μ M range (Thomsen et al., 2014). With a similar μM affinity synthetic SLURP1 (identical in the amino acid sequence to the naturally occurring protein) inhibits a9a10 and a3β2 nAChRs (but does not inhibit either rat or human a7 nAChRs). The synthetic fragment of Lynx1, at least toward muscle-type Torpedo nAChR, reproduces the activity of the fullsize ws-Lynx1. This activity might find practical applicationsfor example, for design of efficient myorelaxants. In this respect, about 30-fold lower affinity of Lynx1 fragment (1) toward a7 nAChR can be an advantage: it resembles the case of azemiopsin, a linear peptide from the viper venom, which is considerably more selective to muscle nAChRs than to a7 nAChRs and for which preclinical studies as a myorelaxant have been recently completed (Shelukhina et al., 2018).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

VT contributed to the conception and planning of the study. EK contributed to the leadership of the project, planning of experiments, and radioligand assay. NE and MZ contributed to the synthesis and characterization of peptides. IK contributed to the preparation of radioligand. DK, DL, ES, and AG contributed to the analysis of biological activities of the peptides. EK, YU, and VT contributed to the drafting of the manuscript and its editing.

FUNDING

The major support of this work was by RSF grant 16-14-00215. VT was additionally supported by the RFBR grants 18-04-00844 and 17-00-00063 komfi. IK was additionally supported by the RFBR grant 18-04-01366.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Prof. S. Tsartos for the α9 LBD, to Prof. F. Hucho for the membrane-bound *T. californica* nAChR, to Eli-Lilly Company (London, UK) for α7 nAChR transfected in the GH4C1 cell line, and to Prof. S. Luo for *A. californica* AChBP.

- Brejc, K., van Dijk, W. J., Klaassen, R. V., Schuurmans, M., van der Oost, J., Smit, A. B., et al. (2001). Crystal structure of an ACh-binding protein reveals the ligand-binding domain of nicotinic receptors. *Nature* 411, 269–276. doi: 10.1038/35077011
- Brzezicki, M. A., and Zakowicz, P. T. (2018). Mambalgins, the venom-origin peptides as a potentially Novel Group of Analgesics: mini review. CNS Neurol. Disord. Drug Targets 17, 87–97. doi: 10.2174/1871527317666171221110419
- Chan, Y. S., Cheung, R. C. F., Xia, L., Wong, J. H., Ng, T. B., and Chan, W. Y. (2016). Snake venom toxins: toxicity and medicinal applications. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 100, 6165–6181. doi: 10.1007/s00253-016-7610-9
- Chimienti, F., Hogg, R. C., Plantard, L., Lehmann, C., Brakch, N., Fischer, J., et al. (2003). Identification of SLURP-1 as an epidermal neuromodulator explains the clinical phenotype of Mal de Meleda. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 12, 3017–3024. doi: 10.1093/hmg/ddg320

- de la Rosa, G., Pastor, N., Alagón, A., and Corzo, G. (2017). Synthetic peptide antigens derived from long-chain alpha-neurotoxins: Immunogenicity effect against elapid venoms. *Peptides* 88, 80–86. doi: 10.1016/j.peptides.2016.12.006
- Dellisanti, C. D., Yao, Y., Stroud, J. C., Wang, Z.-Z., and Chen, L. (2007). Crystal structure of the extracellular domain of nAChR α1 bound to α-bungarotoxin at 1.94 Å resolution. *Nat. Neurosci.* 10, 953–962. doi: 10.1038/nn1942
- Ding, J., Zhou, D., Hu, Y., Elmadhoun, O., Pan, L., Ya, J., et al. (2018). The efficacy and safety of Batroxobin in combination with anticoagulation on cerebral venous sinus thrombosis. *J. Thromb. Thrombolysis* 46, 371–378. doi: 10.1007/s11239-018-1718-y
- Dubovskii, P. V., Konshina, A. G., and Efremov, R. G. (2013). Cobra cardiotoxins: membrane interactions and pharmacological potential. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 21, 270–287. doi: 10.2174/09298673113206660315
- Durek, T., Shelukhina, I. V., Tae, H.-S., Thongyoo, P., Spirova, E. N., Kudryavtsev, D. S., et al. (2017). Interaction of synthetic human SLURP-1 with the nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 16606. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-16809-0
- Dutertre, S., Nicke, A., and Tsetlin, V. I. (2017). Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor inhibitors derived from snake and snail venoms. *Neuropharmacology* 127, 196– 223. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2017.06.011
- Estevão-Costa, M.-I., Sanz-Soler, R., Johanningmeier, B., and Eble, J. A. (2018). Snake venom components in medicine: from the symbolic rod of Asclepius to tangible medical research and application. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 104, 94–113. doi: 10.1016/j.biocel.2018.09.011
- Falkenstein, R. J., and Peña, C. (1997). Synthetic peptides derived from the central loop of fasciculin: structural analysis and evaluation as inhibitors of acetylcholinesterase. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1340, 143–151. doi: 10.1016/ S0167-4838(97)00040-X
- Fuchs, P. A., and Murrow, B. W. (1992). Cholinergic inhibition of short (outer) hair cells of the chick's cochlea. J. Neurosci. 12.3, 800–809. doi: 10.1523/ JNEUROSCI.12-03-00800.1992
- Gong, S., Liang, Q., Zhu, Q., Ding, D., Yin, Q., Tao, J., et al. (2015). Nicotinic acetylcholine receptor a7 subunit is involved in the cobratoxin-induced antinociception in an animal model of neuropathic pain. *Toxicon* 93, 31–36. doi: 10.1016/j.toxicon.2014.11.222
- Grishin, E. V., Sukhikh, A. P., Lukyanchuk, N. N., Slobodyan, L. N., Lipkin, V. M., and Ovchinnikov, Y. A. (1973). Amino acid sequence of neurotoxin II from Naja naja oxiana venom. FEBS Lett. 36, 77–78. doi: 10.1016/0014-5793(73)80340-0
- Hone, A. J., and McIntosh, J. M. (2018). Nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in neuropathic and inflammatory pain. *FEBS Lett.* 592, 1045–1062. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.12884
- Huang, S., Li, S.-X., Bren, N., Cheng, K., Gomoto, R., Chen, L., et al. (2013). Complex between α-bungarotoxin and an α7 nicotinic receptor ligand-binding domain chimaera. *Biochem. J.* 454, 303–310. doi: 10.1042/BJ20130636
- Hucho, F., Bandini, G., and Suarez-Isla, B. A. (1978). The acetylcholine receptor as part of a protein complex in receptor-enriched membrane fragments from Torpedo californica electric tissue. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 83, 335–340. doi: 10.1111/ j.1432-1033.1978.tb12099.x
- Ibañez-Tallon, I., and Nitabach, M. N. (2012). Tethering toxins and peptide ligands for modulation of neuronal function. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 22, 72–78. doi: 10.1016/j.conb.2011.11.003
- Karlsson, E., Mbugua, P. M., and Rodriguez-Ithurralde, D. (1984). Fasciculins, anticholinesterase toxins from the venom of the green mamba Dendroaspis angusticeps. J. Physiol. Paris 79, 232–240.
- Karlsson, E., Jolkkonen, M., Mulugeta, E., Onali, P., and Adem, A. (2000). Snake toxins with high selectivity for subtypes of muscarinic acetylcholine receptors. *Biochimie* 82, 793–806. doi: 10.1016/S0300-9084(00)01176-7
- Kasheverov, I. E., and Tsetlin, V. I. (2017). "Snake Venom Components as Basis for Biologically Active Synthetic Peptides," in, 103–128. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-6452-1_23
- Kini, R. M., and Doley, R. (2010). Structure, function and evolution of three-finger toxins: mini proteins with multiple targets. *Toxicon* 56, 855–867. doi: 10.1016/j. toxicon.2010.07.010
- Kryukova, E. V., Ivanov, I. A., Lebedev, D. S., Spirova, E. N., Egorova, N. S., Zouridakis, M., et al. (2018). Orthosteric and/or allosteric binding of α-conotoxins to nicotinic acetylcholine receptors and their models. *Mar. Drugs* 16, E460. doi: 10.3390/md16120460
- Lin, B., Meng, H., Bing, H., Zhangsun, D., and Luo, S. (2014). Efficient expression of acetylcholine-binding protein from Aplysia californica in Bac-to-Bac system. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2014, 691480. doi: 10.1155/2014/691480

- Lyukmanova, E. N., Shenkarev, Z. O., Shulepko, M. A., Mineev, K. S., D'Hoedt, D., Kasheverov, I. E., et al. (2011). NMR structure and action on nicotinic acetylcholine receptors of water-soluble domain of human LYNX1. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 10618–10627. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M110.189100
- Lyukmanova, E. N., Shulepko, M. A., Buldakova, S. L., Kasheverov, I. E., Shenkarev, Z. O., Reshetnikov, R. V., et al. (2013). Water-soluble LYNX1 residues important for interaction with muscle-type and/or neuronal nicotinic receptors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 15888–15899. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M112.436576
- Lyukmanova, E. N., Shulepko, M. A., Kudryavtsev, D., Bychkov, M. L., Kulbatskii, D. S., Kasheverov, I. E., et al. (2016a). Human secreted Ly-6/uPAR related protein-1 (SLURP-1) is a selective allosteric antagonist of α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. *PLoS One* 11, e0149733. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0149733
- Lyukmanova, E. N., Shulepko, M. A., Shenkarev, Z. O., Bychkov, M. L., Paramonov, A. S., Chugunov, A. O., et al. (2016b). Secreted isoform of human Lynx1 (SLURP-2): spatial structure and pharmacology of interactions with different types of acetylcholine receptors. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 30698. doi: 10.1038/ srep30698
- Miwa, J. M., and Walz, A. (2012). Enhancement in motor learning through genetic manipulation of the Lynx1 gene. *PLoS One* 7, e43302. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0043302
- Miwa, J. M., Ibanez-Tallon, I., Crabtree, G. W., Sánchez, R., Sali, A., Role, L. W., et al. (1999). lynx1, an endogenous toxin-like modulator of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in the mammalian CNS. *Neuron* 23, 105–114. doi: 10.1016/ S0896-6273(00)80757-6
- Munawar, A., Akrem, A., Hussain, A., Spencer, P., and Betzel, C. (2015). Molecular model of Cytotoxin-1 from Naja mossambica mossambica venom in complex with chymotrypsin. *Theor. Biol. Forum* 108, 89–99.
- Narumoto, O., Niikura, Y., Ishii, S., Morihara, H., Okashiro, S., Nakahari, T., et al. (2013). Effect of secreted lymphocyte antigen-6/urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptorrelated peptide-1 (SLURP-1) on airway epithelial cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 438, 175–179. doi: 10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.07.048
- Parker, R. L., O'Neill, H. C., Henley, B. M., Wageman, C. R., Drenan, R. M., Marks, M. J., et al. (2017). Deletion of lynx1 reduces the function of α6* nicotinic receptors. *PLoS One* 12, e0188715. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0188715
- Perez, C., and Khachemoune, A. (2016). Mal de Meleda: a focused review. Am. J. Clin. Dermatol. 17, 63–70. doi: 10.1007/s40257-015-0157-1
- Poh, S. L., Mourier, G., Thai, R., Armugam, A., Molgó, J., Servent, D., et al. (2002). A synthetic weak neurotoxin binds with low affinity to Torpedo and chicken alpha7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 269, 4247–4256. doi: 10.1046/j.1432-1033.2002.03113.x
- Rey-Suárez, P., Floriano, R. S., Rostelato-Ferreira, S., Saldarriaga-Córdoba, M., Núñez, V., Rodrigues-Simioni, L., et al. (2012). Mipartoxin-I, a novel threefinger toxin, is the major neurotoxic component in the venom of the redtail coral snake Micrurus mipartitus (Elapidae). *Toxicon* 60, 851–863. doi: 10.1016/j.toxicon.2012.05.023
- Rucktooa, P., Smit, A. B., and Sixma, T. K. (2009). Insight in nAChR subtype selectivity from AChBP crystal structures. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 78, 777–787. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2009.06.098
- Sala, A., Cabassi, C. S., Santospirito, D., Polverini, E., Flisi, S., Cavirani, S., et al. (2018). Novel Naja atra cardiotoxin 1 (CTX-1) derived antimicrobial peptides with broad spectrum activity. *PLoS One* 13, e0190778. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0190778
- Schroeder, C. I., Rash, L. D., Vila-Farrés, X., Rosengren, K. J., Mobli, M., King, G. F., et al. (2014). Chemical synthesis, 3D structure, and ASIC binding site of the toxin mambalgin-2. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 53, 1017–1020. doi: 10.1002/ anie.201308898
- Shelukhina, I. V., Zhmak, M. N., Lobanov, A. V., Ivanov, I. A., Garifulina, A. I., Kravchenko, I. N., et al. (2018). Azemiopsin, a selective peptide antagonist of muscle nicotinic acetylcholine receptor: preclinical evaluation as a local muscle relaxant. *Toxins (Basel)* 10, 34. doi: 10.3390/toxins10010034
- Sixma, T. K., and Smit, A. B. (2003). Acetylcholine binding protein (AChBP): a secreted glial protein that provides a high-resolution model for the extracellular domain of pentameric ligand-gated ion channels. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* 32, 311–334. doi: 10.1146/annurev.biophys.32.110601.142536
- Smit, A. B., Syed, N. I., Schaap, D., van Minnen, J., Klumperman, J., Kits, K. S., et al. (2001). A glia-derived acetylcholine-binding protein that modulates synaptic transmission. *Nature* 411, 261–268. doi: 10.1038/35077000

- Swamynathan, S., Loughner, C. L., and Swamynathan, S. K. (2017). Inhibition of HUVEC tube formation via suppression of NFκB suggests an anti-angiogenic role for SLURP1 in the transparent cornea. *Exp. Eye Res.* 164, 118–128. doi: 10.1016/j.exer.2017.08.007
- Thomsen, M. S., Cinar, B., Jensen, M. M., Lyukmanova, E. N., Shulepko, M. A., Tsetlin, V., et al. (2014). Expression of the Ly-6 family proteins Lynx1 and Ly6H in the rat brain is compartmentalized, cell-type specific, and developmentally regulated. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 219, 1923–1934. doi: 10.1007/s00429-013-0611-x
- Tsetlin, V. I. (2015). Three-finger snake neurotoxins and Ly6 proteins targeting nicotinic acetylcholine receptors: pharmacological tools and endogenous modulators. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 36, 109–123. doi: 10.1016/j.tips.2014.11.003
- Ulens, C., Hogg, R. C., Celie, P. H., Bertrand, D., Tsetlin, V., Smit, A. B., et al. (2006). Structural determinants of selective -conotoxin binding to a nicotinic acetylcholine receptor homolog AChBP. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 3615– 3620. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0507889103
- Utkin, Y. N. (2013). Three-finger toxins, a deadly weapon of elapid venommilestones of discovery. *Toxicon* 62, 50–55. doi: 10.1016/j.toxicon.2012.09.007
- Utkin, Y. N., Kukhtina, V. V., Kryukova, E. V., Chiodini, F., Bertrand, D., Methfessel, C., et al. (2001). Weak toxin" from Naja kaouthia is a nontoxic antagonist of alpha 7 and muscle-type nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 276, 15810–15815. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M100788200
- Vasilyeva, N. A., Loktyushov, E. V., Bychkov, M. L., Shenkarev, Z. O., and Lyukmanova, E. N. (2017). Three-finger proteins from the Ly6/uPAR family: functional diversity within one structural motif. *Biochemistry (Mosc)* 82, 1702– 1715. doi: 10.1134/S0006297917130090
- Virginio, C., Giacometti, A., Aldegheri, L., Rimland, J. M., and Terstappen, G. C. (2002). Pharmacological properties of rat alpha 7 nicotinic receptors expressed in native and recombinant cell systems. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 445 (3), 153–161. doi: 10.1016/S0014-2999(02)01750-8

- Walz, A. (2014). Compositions and methods for transport across the blood brain barrier. U.S. Patent No 8,629,114 B2.
- Webb, D. R. (2016). Soluble Immune Response Suppressor (SIRS): reassessing the immunosuppressant potential of an elusive peptide. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 117, 1–9. doi: 10.1016/j.bcp.2016.03.022
- Wu, M., Liu, C. Z., and Joiner, W. J. (2016). Structural analysis and deletion mutagenesis define regions of QUIVER/SLEEPLESS that are responsible for interactions with shaker-type potassium channels and nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. *PLoS One* 11, e0148215. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0148215
- Wu, M., Robinson, J. E., and Joiner, W. J. (2014). SLEEPLESS is a bifunctional regulator of excitability and cholinergic synaptic transmission. *Curr. Biol.* 24, 621–629. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2014.02.026
- Zouridakis, M., Giastas, P., Zarkadas, E., Chroni-Tzartou, D., Bregestovski, P., and Tzartos, S. J. (2014). Crystal structures of free and antagonist-bound states of human α9 nicotinic receptor extracellular domain. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 21, 976–980. doi: 10.1038/nsmb.2900

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Kryukova, Egorova, Kudryavtsev, Lebedev, Spirova, Zhmak, Garifulina, Kasheverov, Utkin and Tsetlin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.