



# Health-Related Quality of Life Based on EQ-5D Utility Score in Patients With Tuberculosis: A Systematic Review

Hae-Young Park<sup>1</sup>, Hyo-Bin Cheon<sup>1</sup>, Sun Ha Choi<sup>2</sup> and Jin-Won Kwon<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>BK21 FOUR Community-Based Intelligent Novel Drug Discovery Education Unit, College of Pharmacy and Research Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, South Korea, <sup>2</sup>Lung Cancer Center, Kyungpook National University Chilgok Hospital, Daegu, South Korea

**Background:** Tuberculosis (TB) has significant effects on patients' health-related quality of life (HRQOL) and this study was conducted to evaluate the HRQOL based on EQ-5D utility score according to various health statuses of TB patients.

**Methods:** A systematic literature review was conducted to select articles on HRQOLs outcomes of TB patients since 2000. A total of 1,710 articles were searched for primary screening and seven studies that directly assessed all types of TB patients using the EQ-5D were finally selected.

# OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

lleana Mardare, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania

#### Reviewed by:

Bryan Martin Bennett, Bristol Myers Squibb, United Kingdom Márta Péntek, Óbuda University, Hungary

> \*Correspondence: Jin-Won Kwon jwkwon@knu.ac.kr

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Pharmaceutical Medicine and Outcomes Research, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 28 January 2021 Accepted: 19 March 2021 Published: 14 April 2021

#### Citation:

Park H-Y, Cheon H-B, Choi SH and Kwon J-W (2021) Health-Related Quality of Life Based on EQ-5D Utility Score in Patients With Tuberculosis: A Systematic Review. Front. Pharmacol. 12:659675. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.659675 **Results:** The EQ-5D scores of TB patients were in the ranges of 0.43–0.70. After the completion of TB treatment, the utility weights increased to the ranges of 0.88–0.98 and the EQ VAS values showed similar trend as the results of the EQ-5D. The EQ-5D score for multi-drug-resistant TB was very low at 0.51 during treatment but increased to 0.88 after the treatment was completed. The utility weights of latent TB were not significantly different from those of the general population.

**Conclusion:** This study showed that the HRQOL based on the EQ-5D utility score of TB patients has significantly decreased, and the TB treatment has a significantly positive effect on the quality of life of patients.

Keywords: tuberculosis, quality of life, EQ-5D, systematic review, meta-analysis

# INTRODUCTION

As of 2018, South Korea had 66 new cases [95% confidence interval (CI): 61–71] of *tuberculosis* (TB), and 4.8 deaths (95% CI: 4.5–5.0) due to TB per 100,000 populations, ranking first and second, respectively, among countries of the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, making TB control a top priority for national disease control and prevention (KCDC, 2019; WHO, 2019). Therefore, South Korea has been implementing a mid-to-long-term TB management plan in line with the "End TB Strategy" by the World Health Organization to terminate TB by 2030 (WHO, 2016; KCDC, 2018; MOHW, 2018). The major indicators of the plan, such as the incidence of TB and the success rate of its treatment are showing a favourable trend; however, they still have not met the target level, and the Korean government is reinforcing every policy to further improve them.

TB is caused primarily by an infection of the *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex. *M. tuberculosis* often remains latent for a considerable period of time and multiplies slower than other bacteria. For this reason, TB patients need more than 6 months of continuous and long-term treatment compared

to patients with other bacterial infections (KCDC, 2017). Failure to properly comply with the long-term treatment guidelines may result in TB recurrence or an incidence of multidrug-resistant *tuberculosis* (MDR-TB), and in some cases, extensively drugresistant *tuberculosis* (XDR-TB). MDR-TB requires more than 2 years of treatment when it occurs, and the number of administered drugs and administration methods are more complex as they are accompanied by hospitalization or surgery. This long-term treatment of TB causes physical problems and extreme psychological stress that lowers the health-related quality of life (HRQOL) of patients, which can also reduce the outcome of TB treatment (Datta et al., 2020).

Therefore, every effort is being made to develop new regimens and drugs that increase the treatment success rate and shorten the treatment period and several new health technologies are being introduced in the market (Schito et al., 2015). However, new health technologies, such as the strengthening of directobservation or the administration of new drugs effective in drug-resistant M. tuberculosis, usually accompany an increase in cost, which need to be evaluated compared to increased HRQOL utility in patients (Bloom et al., 2017). HRQOL in medical outcome studies with tuberculosis patients has most commonly been evaluated using the 36-item short-form health care survey (SF-36) (Bauer et al., 2013; Brown et al., 2015). The SF-36 evaluates the patient's health status across eight dimensions, making it suitable for an in-depth assessment of the patient's HRQOL, but utility scores cannot be directly calculated from the SF-36 without converting to the SF-6D or mapping onto the EQ-5D because the score algorithm is not based on individual preferences (Brazier et al., 2002). On the other hand, the EQ-5D is the most commonly used HRQOL assessment tool in economical appraisals (Kennedy-Martin et al., 2020) because the EQ-5D can quantifying utility values based on societal preferences, and is also the most validated instrument in many countries (Qian et al., 2020).

Korea has the highest burden of TB among the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development countries and has introduced various policies and new drugs with the goal of ending TB by 2035 (Cho, 2018; Min et al., 2020). In Korea, costeffectiveness evaluation is mandatory to list new drugs under reimbursement scheme (Byun et al., 2016; Park et al., 2016), and economic evaluations to set up policies are increasing, but the EQ-5D data of Koreans has yet to be published. Thus, this study was conducted to evaluate the HRQOL based on the EQ-5D utility score, according to the health statuses of TB patients, through a systematic literature review. The results of this study are expected to be used for the economic evaluation of new health technologies for TB in Korea as well as other countries in the future.

### METHODS

## Search Strategy

The process of literature search and screening was carried out in accordance with the guidelines of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) (Moher et al.,

2009). The target patients were TB patients including MDR-TB. Intervention and comparator were not limited specifically, and the outcomes were set HRQOL. Although the target outcome of this study is EQ-5D, we broadly set the search terms to include outcomes involving HRQOL-related terms and chose the EQ-5D studies during the screening and selection process. PubMed, Cochrane Library, and EMBASE were the main databases searched, while KoreaMed and RISS were also used as databases for local literature searching. The time scope was set from January 1, 2000 to January 10, 2020. Key search terms used were expanded terms associated with TB, HRQOL, preference, EQ-5D, and instruments. More specifically, the terms for EQ-5D outcome were set to EQ-5D, EQ5D, and EuroQol for PubMed searching, according to the EuroQoL Group's guidance<sup>1</sup> (For the details of search terms and results, refer the Supplementary Table S1).

### **Literature Selection**

The literatures searched were independently reviewed by two researchers according to the following selection criteria: 1) The indication of the study population should be TB including MDR-TB, 2) HRQOL should be evaluated by the EQ-5D, 3) The study should be original research and exclude if it is a review, casereport, or abstract 4) The article should be written in English or Korean. A two-stage screening process was applied, searched literatures were firstly screened by title and abstract reviews, and then a full-text screening was conducted in the second stage. In the event of disagreement between the two researchers, the final literature was selected through mutual discussion. EndNote, Version X9, Clarivate Analytics, 2018 were used in the literature selection process.

### **Data Extraction and Quality Assessment**

Data were extracted in standardized form from the finally selected literatures for the following items; Socio-demographic data, type of TB, study design, inclusion/exclusion criteria, EQ-5D instrument version, value set used to calculate EQ-5D index score, as well as the overall and subgroups' study outcomes. The quality of the studies was evaluated independently by the two researchers using both the Risk of Bias Assessment Tool for Non-Randomized Studies (RoBANS) (NECA, 2011) and the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOS) (Wells et al., 2020).

# **Data Collection and Analysis**

The results of the selected studies were analyzed through a metaanalysis or qualitative data review. Quantitative synthesis was conducted on the four studies, which had utility scores for individual patients both before and after TB treatment. The EQ-5D questionnaire comprises of the EQ-5D profile data across five dimensions and the EQ VAS. The questionnaires on the profile data currently have three versions; EQ-5D-3L (three levels of problems in each dimension), EQ-5D-5L (five levels of problem), and EQ-5D-Y (developed for children and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://euroqol.org/publications/search-for-eq-5d-in-pubmed/.



adolescents). The EQ-5D profile data are converted to a single number of EQ-5D value using a value set, a scoring system, which is usually country specific and reflects differences in societal preferences. The EQ VAS rates patients' own assessment on their overall health using Visual Analogue Scale from 0 (worst health imaginable) to 100 (best health imaginable). Therefore, EQ VAS score provides complementary information to the EQ-5D profile without being affected by the value set or EQ-5D instrument version (Devlin et al., 2020). Since the value set and EQ-5D instrument version can affect the EQ-5D index score, the meta-analysis of the EQ-5D score was conducted on the two studies which had same value set and EQ-5D instrument version, while meta-analysis of the EQ VAS included all four studies. In the meta-analysis, the mean difference (MD) before and after tuberculosis treatment by study was calculated and the average MD estimates for total studies and its 95% CI were presented. The Higgin's I<sup>2</sup> statistics were higher than 75% and thus, a random effect model was used. The statistical significance of the summary estimates was determined on the basis of a p-value of 0.05. Data integration and synthesis in the metaanalysis was conducted using Review Manager (RevMan) Version 5.4 and The Cochrane Collaboration, 2020. The utility weights for latent TB and MDR-TB were not able to synthesize quantitatively, so only qualitative review results were presented.

# RESULTS

# Literature Search and Selection

A total of 1819 articles were searched through PubMed, Cochrane Library, and embase, while 132 were searched through domestic databases. After removing a total of 241 duplicate articles, 1710 were included in the primary literature screen process. In the first screen stage, a total of 1,683 articles were excluded as they did not include specific HRQOL results using EQ-5D instruments. A full-text screening was conducted for 27 articles, and finally, seven articles (Dion et al., 2004; Awaisu et al., 2012; Kittikraisak et al., 2012; Kastien-Hilka et al., 2017; Saleem et al., 2018; Zarova et al., 2018; Shedrawy et al., 2019) were selected which met the inclusion/exclusion criteria (**Figure 1**).

# **Features of Finally Selected Studies**

Feature of included studies were presented in **Table 1**. All studies but Dion 2004 have been conducted since 2006, with five studies in Asia and Africa and two in Canada and Sweden. In the Shedrawy 2019 study, only latent TB patients were targeted, while the rest of the studies targeted TB patients, and Kitikraisak 2012 was the only study to include MDR-TB patients. Zaroba 2018 and Shedrawy 2019 were cross-sectional studies and the others were cohort studies. Health-related utility

TABLE 1   Characteristics of Selected Studies and Subject
---

Country	Study year	Study design	Patient number	Included TB status	EQ-5D version	Value set (tariff)	Ethnicity	Mean or Median Age (SD or IQR)	Male % to N	Education status	Employmer
Canada	1999	Prospective cohort study	50	TB, LTBI	EQ-5D-3L	United Kingdom	African 34%, Asian 26%, Western 40%	Median 33.8 (26.8–41.3)	64%	Median years of education: 16.0 (IQR: 14.0–18.0)	NR
Malaysia	2006	Prospective cohort study	46 (control group only)	TB	EQ-5D-3L	United Kingdom	Malay 63%, Chinese 24%, others 13%	Mean 43.1 (14.4)	98%	NR	NR
Thailand	2009	Prospective cohort study	222	TB, MDR-TB and/or HIV	EQ-5D-3L	Thai	Thai (100%)	Median 40.0 (35.0–47.0)	62%	Never attended school 8%, primary school 46%, high school or above 46%	Employed 36%
South Africa	2014	Prospective cohort study	131	TB	EQ-5D-5L	United Kingdom, Zimbabwe	Black 90%, others 10%	Mean 35.8, Median 31.0	63%	Primary school 24%, high school or above 76%	Employed 27%
Pakistan	2015	Prospective cohort study	226	TB	EQ-5D-3L	United Kingdom	Pakistani 100%	<36 62%, ≥36 38%	51%	NR	Employed 35%
Zimbabwe	NR	Cross-sectional	332	TB	EQ-5D-3L	NR	NR	Mean 40.1 (12.5)	53%	Primary school 18%, Secondary or above 82%	Employed 32%
Sweden	2017	Cross-sectional	108	LTBI	EQ-5D-3L	Swedish	African 61%, Asian 31%, European/ others 8%	Mean 29.6 (2.4)	24%	NR	NR
	Canada Malaysia Thailand South Africa Pakistan Zimbabwe	yearCanada1999Malaysia2006Thailand2009South Africa2014Pakistan2015ZimbabweNR	yearCanada1999Prospective cohort studyMalaysia2006Prospective cohort studyThailand2009Prospective cohort studySouth Africa2014Prospective cohort studyPakistan2015Prospective cohort studyZimbabweNRCross-sectional	VearnumberCanada1999Prospective cohort study50 cohort studyMalaysia2006Prospective cohort study46 (control group only)Thailand2009Prospective cohort study222South Africa2014Prospective cohort study131 cohort studyPakistan2015Prospective cohort study226 cohort studyZimbabweNRCross-sectional332	yearnumberstatusCanada1999Prospective cohort study50TB, LTBI cohort studyMalaysia2006Prospective cohort study46 (control group only)TB cohort studyThailand2009Prospective cohort study222TB, MDR-TB and/or HIVSouth Africa2014Prospective cohort study131TBPakistan2015Prospective cohort study226TB cohort studyZimbabweNRCross-sectional332TB	yearnumberstatusversionCanada1999Prospective cohort study50TB, LTBIEQ-5D-3LMalaysia2006Prospective cohort study46 (control group only)TBEQ-5D-3LThailand2009Prospective cohort study222TB, MDR-TB and/or HIVEQ-5D-3LSouth Africa2014Prospective cohort study131TBEQ-5D-5LPakistan2015Prospective cohort study226TBEQ-5D-3LZimbabweNRCross-sectional332TBEQ-5D-3L	yearnumberstatusversion(tariff)Canada1999Prospective cohort study50TB, LTBIEQ-5D-3LUnited KingdomMalaysia2006Prospective cohort study46 (control group only)TBEQ-5D-3LUnited KingdomThailand2009Prospective cohort study222TB, MDR-TB and/or HIVEQ-5D-3LUnited KingdomSouth Africa2014Prospective cohort study131TBEQ-5D-5LUnited Kingdom, ZimbabwePakistan2015Prospective 	Yearnumberstatusversion(tariff)Canada1999Prospective cohort study50TB, LTBIEQ-5D-3LUnited Kingdom United KingdomAfrican 34%, Asian 26%, Western 40% MalaysiaMalaysia2006Prospective cohort study46 (control group only)TBEQ-5D-3LUnited Kingdom United KingdomAfrican 34%, Asian 26%, Western 40% Malay 63%, Chinese 24%, others 13%Thailand2009Prospective cohort study222TB, MDR-TB and/or HIVEQ-5D-3LUnited Kingdom, ZimbabweBlack 90%, others 10%South Africa2014Prospective cohort study131TBEQ-5D-3LUnited Kingdom, ZimbabweBlack 90%, others 10%Pakistan2015Prospective cohort study226TBEQ-5D-3LUnited Kingdom, ZimbabwePakistani 100%ZimbabweNRCross-sectional332TBEQ-5D-3LNRNRSweden2017Cross-sectional108LTBIEQ-5D-3LSwedishAfrican 61%, Asian 31%, European/	yearnumberstatusversion(tariff)Age (SD or IQR)Canada1999Prospective cohort study50TB, LTBIEQ-5D-3LUnited KingdomAfrican 34%, Asian 26%, Western 40% Malays 6%, Chinese 24%, others 13%Median 33.8 (26.8-41.3)Malaysia2006Prospective cohort study46 (control group only)TBEQ-5D-3LUnited KingdomAfrican 34%, Asian 26%, Western 40% Malays 63%, Chinese 24%, others 13%Median 33.8 (26.8-41.3)Thailand2009Prospective cohort study222TB, MDR-TB and/or HIVEQ-5D-3LUnited KingdomMedian 40.0 (35.0-47.0)South Africa2014Prospective cohort study131TBEQ-5D-3LUnited Kingdom, ZimbabweBlack 90%, others 10%Mean 35.8, Median 31.0Pakistan2015Prospective cohort study226TBEQ-5D-3LUnited Kingdom, ZimbabwePakistani 100%<36 62%, ≥36 38%ZimbabweNRCross-sectional332TBEQ-5D-3LNRNRMean 40.1 (12.5)Sweden2017Cross-sectional108LTBIEQ-5D-3LSwedishAfrican 61%, Asian 31%, European/Mean 29.6 (2.4)	yearnumberstatusversion(tariff)Age (SD or IQR)to NCanada1999Prospective cohort study50TB, LTBIEQ-5D-3LUnited KingdomAfrican 34%, Asian 26%, Western 40% Malay 63%, Chinese 24%, others 13%Median 33.8 (26.8-41.3)64% (28.8-41.3)Malaysia2006Prospective cohort study46 (control group only)TBEQ-5D-3L and/or HIVUnited KingdomAfrican 34%, Asian 26%, Western 40% Malay 63%, Chinese 24%, others 13% Thai (100%)Median 33.8 (26.8-41.3)64% (28.8-41.3)South Africa2009Prospective cohort study222 222TB, MDR-TB and/or HIVEQ-5D-3L EQ-5D-3LUnited Kingdom, ZimbabweMedian 40.0 (35.0-47.0)62% (35.0-47.0)South Africa2014Prospective cohort study131TBEQ-5D-3L EQ-5D-3LUnited Kingdom, ZimbabweBlack 90%, others 10%Mean 35.8, Median 31.063% (26.8-41.3)Pakistan2015Prospective cohort study226TBEQ-5D-3L United Kingdom, ZimbabweBlack 90%, others 10%Mean 35.8, (26.62%, (26.8-36)51% (26.8-36)ZimbabweNRCross-sectional332TBEQ-5D-3LNRNRMean 40.1 (12.5)53%Sweden2017Cross-sectional108LTBIEQ-5D-3LSwedishAfrican 61%, Asian 31%, European/Mean 29.6 (2.4)24%	yearnumberstatusversion(tariff)Age (SD or IQR)to NCanada1999Prospective cohort study50TB, LTBIEQ-5D-3LUnited KingdomAfrican 34%, Asian 26%, Western 40%Median 33.8 (26.8-41.3)64%Median years of education: 16.0 (IQR: 14.0-18.0)Malaysia2006Prospective cohort study46 (control group only)TBEQ-5D-3LUnited KingdomAfrican 34%, Asian 26%, Western 40%Median 33.8 (26.8-41.3)64%Median years of education: 16.0 (IQR: 14.0-18.0)Thailand2009Prospective cohort study222TB, MDR-TB and/or HIVEQ-5D-3LThaiThaiThai (100%)Median 40.0 (35.0-47.0)62%Never attended school 8%, primary school 46%, high school or above 46%South2014Prospective cohort study131TBEQ-5D-3LUnited Kingdom, ZimbabweBlack 90%, others 10%Mean 35.8, Median 31.063%Primary school 24%, high school or above 46%Pakistan2015Prospective cohort study226TBEQ-5D-3LUnited Kingdom, ZimbabwePakistani 100%<36 62%, ≥36 38%51%NRZimbabweNRCross-sectional332TBEQ-5D-3LNRNRMean 40.1 (12.5)53%Primary school 18%, Secondary or above 82%Sweden2017Cross-sectional108LTBIEQ-5D-3LSwedishAfrican 61%, Asian 31%, European/Mean 29.6 (2.4)24%NR

HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IQR, interquartile range; LTBI, latent tuberculosis infection; MDR-TB, multi-drug-resistant tuberculosis; NR, not reported; SD, standard deviation; TB, tuberculosis

#### TABLE 2 | Risk of bias assessment for selected studies.

		Dion et al. (2004)	Awaisu et al. (2012)	Kittikraisak et al. (2012)	Kastien-Hilka et al. (2017)	Saleem et al. (2018)	Zarova et al. (2018)	Shedrawy et al. (2019)
Risk of bias asse	essment tool for non-randomized	study (RoBA)	NS)					
Selection of pa	articipants	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Confounding v	ariables	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear	Unclear
Intervention me	easurement	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Blinding of out	come assessment	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Incomplete out	tcome data	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Selective outco	ome reporting	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low	Low
Newcastle-ottawa	a quality assessment scale (NOS	5)						
Selection	Representativeness of the exposed cohort	*	*	*	*	*	NA	NA
	Selection of the non-exposed cohort	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA
	Ascertainment of exposure	*	*	*	*	*	NA	NA
	Outcome of interest was not present at start of study	*	*	*	*	*	NA	NA
Comparability	Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design	*	*	*	*	*	NA	NA
Exposure	Assessment of outcome	-	-	-	-	-	NA	NA
Exposero	Enough follow-up for	*	*	*	*	*	NA	NA
	Non-response rate	*	*	*	*	*	NA	NA
NOS Score	Total stars (max 9)	6	6	6	6	6	NA	NA

#### **TABLE 3** | Mean differences of EQ-5D before and after tuberculosis treatment.

Study	EQ-5D version	Value set		Acti	ve TB		Treat	Mean difference		
			Mean	SD	Patient number	Mean	SD	Patient number	Average	95% CI
Awaisu et al. (2012)	EQ-5D-3L	United Kingdom	0.70	0.31	46	0.91	0.14	46	0.21	0.11-0.31
Kittikraisak et al. (2012)	EQ-5D-3L	Thai	0.69	0.22	32	0.88	0.17	32	0.19	0.09-0.29
Kastien-Hilka et al. (2017)	EQ-5D-5L	United Kingdom	0.505	0.328	129	0.980	0.328 <sup>a</sup>	129	0.47	0.35-0.60
		Zimbabwe	0.620	0.203	129	0.893	0.203 <sup>a</sup>	129	0.27	0.22-0.32
Saleem et al. (2018)	EQ-5D-3L	United Kingdom	0.43	0.37	226	0.88	0.11	176	0.45	0.40-0.50

SD, standard deviation; TB, tuberculosis.

<sup>a</sup>Assumed the same value as the SD of active TB.

weights were assessed using only the EQ-5D in the Dion 2004 study, all of the other studies were measured using both the EQ-5D and EQ VAS. Kitikraisak 2012 and Shedrawy 2019 used the Thai and Swedish value sets, and Kastien-Hilka et al. (2017) used United Kingdom and Zimbabwe sets, while others used United Kingdom values set to calculate EQ-5D index score; EQ-5D version was EQ-5D-3L in most studies except in the study of Kastien-Hilka et al. (2017), which used EQ-5D-5L. The most common average age range of patients was between 30 and 40 years, and the overall proportion of men was higher than women except in the Shedrawy 2019 study, in which the ratio of women was higher at 76 percent. The level of education differed from study to study, but the percentage of subjects who received a college education was very low and the percentage of patients with jobs was 27–36% in the four reported studies.

#### **Quality Assessment**

The risk of bias in the selected articles was generally low using the RoBANS and NOS (**Table 2**). In the RoBANS assessment, the risks of bias for the selection of participant and confounding

variables were evaluated as low and uncertain, respectively. The risk for intervention measurement was also low as it was done based on a structured interview. In all studies, the risk for blinding of outcome assessment was evaluated to be high due to the absence of blindness process, and the risk of incomplete outcome data and selective outcome reporting was assessed to be low. NOS appraisal was done for five cohort studies, excluding Zaroba 2018 and Shedrawy 2019. Like RoBANS, the overall risk of bias was low, with a total of six stars of NOS score in all studies. Selection of the non-exposed cohort and assessment of outcome could not receive stars because all studies did not set a nonexposed cohort and the outcome was assessed based on patient self-answering even when the studies used a structured questionnaire.

### **Utility Weights of TB Patients**

Four studies reported the EQ-5D or EQ VAS scores both before and after TB treatment for the same target patients, and the heterogeneity among the studies was assessed to be high. The MD in the EQ-5D score before and after patients' treatment for TB





TABLE 4 | Health-related quality of life for tuberculosis patients in various disease stages.

		EQ-5D score (median (IQR)	EQ VAS (median (IQR) or mean $\pm$ SD)				
	Latent TB	MDR-TB	Other TB status	Latent TB	MDR-TB	Other TB status	
Dion et al. (2004)	0.85 (0.80,1.00)	NR	Current TB: 0.80 (0.69, 1.00), previous TB: 0.83 (0.76, 1.00)	NR	NR	NR	
Kittikraisak et al. (2012)	NR	On tx: 0.51 (0.39, 0.73), completed tx: 0.88 (0.67, 1.00)	TB with HIV: 0.73 (0.63, 1.00)	NR	On tx: 60 (40, 80), completed tx: 85 (80, 100)	TB with HIV: 80 (70, 90)	
Zarova et al. (2018)	NR	NR	Current TB: 0.67 ± 0.24	NR	NR	Current TB: 51 ± 18	
Shedrawy et al. (2019)	1.00 (0.79,1.00)	NR	NR	90 (75,100)	NR	NR	

IQR, interquartile range; MDR-TB, multi-drug-resistant tuberculosis; NR, not reported; TB, tuberculosis; Tx, treatment.

increased by an average of 0.19-0.47 compared to patients with an active TB status (Table 3), and the MD from the meta-analysis was 0.33 (95% CI: 0.10-0.57) in the two studies, which used both the United Kingdom value set and EQ-5D-3L (Figure 2). The summarized MD using the EQ VAS was +21.6 (95% CI: 9.6-33.6) (Figure 3). A qualitative summary of the studies not included in the meta-analysis is presented in Table 4. The EQ-5D in the patients with latent TB was very close to the perfect health condition of 1, showing HRQOL conditions that were almost similar to that of the general people. The EQ-5D for the patients with previous TB was 0.83 in the Dion 2004 study alone, higher than active TB and lower than latent TB. The EQ-5D value for MDR-TB was reported only in the Kitikraisak 2012 study, and was very low at 0.51, indicating that MDR-TB significantly degraded the quality of life, but increased to 0.88 after completion of treatment, as with drug-susceptible TB. Similar to the EQ-5D results, the EQ VAS was higher for latent TB patients compared to those for active TB patients, and those with MDR-TB showed a lower value and tended to increase after treatment

### **Ethics Committees**

This study was based on published data and patients were not directly involved in the entire research process, therefore the need for ethical committee approval and informed consent was waived.

# DISCUSSION

The results of this review show the HRQOL of TB patients based on EQ-5D utility score is significantly lower than that of the general population, and a significant increase in EQ-5D score was shown after completion of TB treatment compared to before the start of treatment. The MDR-TB shows lower EQ-5D value than simple TB, confirming that the progress of treatment and severity of TB affect the HRQOL of TB patients.

In this review, seven studies researched the EQ-5D utility score of active TB patients with the range of 0.43 by the Saleem et al. (2018) study and 0.70 by the Awaisu et al. (2012) study, showing differences in the absolute values between studies. Furthermore, the results of the EQ-5D index scores vary depending on the value

set ("tariff") because each population may show different preferences for various health conditions (Janssen et al., 2019). However, most studies in this review used the United Kingdom value set, and the EQ-5D score of patients who completed TB treatment was not significantly different across all studies; these ranged from 0.88 to 0.98, close to 1.00 (completely healthy), indicating that the treatment of TB significantly affected the HRQOL of the patients. Moreover, since the minimal important differences for EQ-5D-3Ls is estimated to be between 0.03 and 0.08 (Walters and Brazier, 2005; Shams and Pullenayegum, 2019) and the MD in this study is in the range of 0.19-0.47 (Table 3), the results of this study could be considered robust. MDR-TB reported a lower value than drug-susceptible TB at 0.51, and also showed an increase of 0.37 after completion of treatment, indicating that successful treatment of MDR-TB also had a significant effect on improving the HRQOL of patients. The need for clinical and economical appraisal on new health technologies and polices is increasing in countries with heavy TB burden. Unfortunately, studies on the EQ-5D utility score for TB patients are still limited, and thus, the results of this study on utility scores across various health statuses could be a reference for those evaluations.

Treatment of TB requires relatively long-term and complicated methods of drug administration, and adherence and good compliance to treatment have a great impact on the success of patients' treatment and the prevention of recurrence and adverse events. Alipanah et al. reported that increased adherence to TB treatment directly affects the improvement of treatment outcomes through an extensive systematic review (Alipanah et al., 2018). In their meta-analysis to compare the cohort of directly observed therapy (DOT) and self-administered therapy (SAT), the treatment outcomes in the SAT cohort was poorer compared to the DOT cohort with a risk ratio (RR) of 0.81 (95% CI 0.73-0.89) for treatment success, a RR 0.84 (95% CI 0.75-0.93) for adherence, and a RR 4.19 (95% CI 2.34-7.49) for drug resistance. The results according to DOT providers indicated that the DOT provided by health professionals showed better treatment results compared to the DOT by family members (RR 1.79 (95% CI 1.08-2.98) for treatment failure (Alipanah et al., 2018). Based on these results, it is assumed that the compliance and adherence to TB treatment will be closely related to increasing the patient's treatment success rate, changing health conditions, and improving the HRQOL of patients. However, about 50% of patients did not properly comply with the drug administration guidelines based on a survey of TB patients in South Korea (Jung and Hwang, 2018). Therefore, the implementation of good compliance for TB treatment should be better reinforced in consideration of not only improving the patient's treatment success rate but also affecting their quality of life.

In this review, the HRQOL for latent TB was reported in two studies, which showed no significant difference from the general population. The WHO's global estimate of latent TB for the world population is 23% (20.4–26.4%), and the estimate for South Korea is even higher and estimated to be 33% of the total population (Cho, 2018; MOHW, 2018). If they are transferred to TB patients, the disability-adjusted life years due to TB will be increased tremendously. Therefore, in addition to the treatment policy for TB patients, the preventive strategy from latent TB to active TB will also be crucial for improving the quality of life for the entire society.

In the studies finally selected for this review, additional affecting factors to HRQOL of TB, as well as TB treatment outcomes were reported. Quitting smoking, in the Awaisu et al. (2012) study and social support, in the Zarova et al. (2018) study could further increase utility weight. In Kittikraisak et al. (2012), income levels and age groups (under 40 years of age, above) had a significant impact on HRQOL. The subjects of our review that were extracted from finally selected articles are younger patients aged 30–40 compared to general TB patients in South Korea, and the quality of life of TB patients in South Korea may be assessed lower considering the higher proportion of elderly patients in Korea.

The limitations of this review are as follows: First, the heterogeneity among studies tends to be high and the medical system could be different between study countries. Furthermore, the number of studies included in the meta-analysis for the EQ-5D was small, because the selected studies had different value sets or EQ-5D instrument versions in determining utility score. Thus, caution should be taken in interpreting the results of the metaanalysis, also taking into account the characteristics of individual studies and differences between researchers and subjects. However, we presented complementary results using EQ VAS, thus supporting the robustness of the main results. Second, all of the studies had no blinding process as observational studies and could not be compared with controls, so the level of evidence could be weak compared to clinical trials. However, the comparison of HRQOL before and after TB treatment is thought to be valid by using the self-controlled study design. Third, the value set of the EQ-5D is different country by country and utility values are generally affected by the socio-economic factors of the target subjects (Szende et al., 2014), and therefore, this should be taken into account when generalizing the results of this study to Korean patients.

In conclusion, the results of this systematic review study confirmed that the HRQOL of TB patients based on EU-5D utility score was significantly lower compared to that of the general population and successful treatment had a significant effect on the improvement of HRQOL. The HRQOL research on MDR-TB is still insufficient, so further research is needed in the future.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

H-YP and J-WK designed the study. H-YP and H-BC conducted literature search, data extraction and analysis.

H-YP drafted the article, SC and J-WK edited the manuscript. All the authors reviewed the manuscript and approved for publication.

# FUNDING

This research was supported by a grant of the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of

# REFERENCES

- Alipanah, N., Jarlsberg, L., Miller, C., Linh, N. N., Falzon, D., Jaramillo, E., et al. (2018). Adherence interventions and outcomes of *tuberculosis* treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis of trials and observational studies. *Plos Med.* 15 (7), e1002595. doi:10.1371/journal. pmed.1002595
- Awaisu, A., Haniki Nik Mohamed, M., Noordin, N., Muttalif, A., Aziz, N., Syed Sulaiman, S., et al. (2012). Impact of connecting *tuberculosis* directly observed therapy short-course with smoking cessation on health-related quality of life. *Tob. Induced Dis.* 10 (1), 2. doi:10.1186/1617-9625-10-2
- Bauer, M., Leavens, A., and Schwartzman, K. (2013). A systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of *tuberculosis* on health-related quality of life. *Qual. Life Res.* 22 (8), 2213–2235. doi:10.1007/s11136-012-0329-x
- Bloom, B. R., Atun, R., Cohen, T., Dye, C., Fraser, H., Gomez, G. B., et al. (2017).
  "Tuberculosis," in *Major infectious diseases*. Editors K. K. Holmes, S. Bertozzi,
  B. R. Bloom, and P. Jha (Washington (DC): The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development / The World Bank)).
- Brazier, J., Roberts, J., and Deverill, M. (2002). The estimation of a preferencebased measure of health from the SF-36. *J. Health Econ.* 21 (2), 271–292. doi:10. 1016/s0167-6296(01)00130-8
- Brown, J., Capocci, S., Smith, C., Morris, S., Abubakar, I., and Lipman, M. (2015). Health status and quality of life in *tuberculosis*. Int. J. Infect. Dis. 32, 68–75. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2014.12.045
- Byun, J.-H., Park, J.-A., Kang, H.-R., Shin, J.-Y., and Lee, E.-K. (2016). Comparison of effectiveness between delamanid and bedaquiline among patients with multidrug-resistant tuberculosis: a markov model simulation study. *Clin. Drug Investig.* 36 (11), 957–968. doi:10.1007/s40261-016-0443-6
- Cho, K. S. (2018). Tuberculosis control in the Republic of Korea. *Epidemiol. Health* 40, e2018036. doi:10.4178/epih.e2018036
- Datta, S., Gilman, R. H., Montoya, R., Quevedo Cruz, L., Valencia, T., Huff, D., et al. (2020). Quality of life, *tuberculosis* and treatment outcome; a case-control and nested cohort study. *Eur. Respir. J.* 56 (2), 1900495. doi:10.1183/13993003. 00495-2019
- Devlin, N., Parkin, D., and Janssen, B. (2020). *Methods for analysing and reporting* EQ-5D data. Cham: Springers.
- Dion, M.-J., Tousignant, P., Bourbeau, J., Menzies, D., and Schwartzman, K. (2004). Feasibility and reliability of health-related quality of life measurements among *tuberculosis* patients. *Qual. Life Res.* 13 (3), 653–665. doi:10.1023/b:Qure. 0000021320.89524.64
- Janssen, M. F., Szende, A., Cabases, J., Ramos-Goñi, J. M., Vilagut, G., and König, H. H. (2019). Population norms for the EQ-5D-3L: a cross-country analysis of population surveys for 20 countries. *Eur. J. Health Econ.* 20 (2), 205–216. doi:10. 1007/s10198-018-0955-5
- Jung, E. Y., and Hwang, S.-K. (2018). Factors related to medication adherence in adult patients with tuberculosis. *Korean J. Adult Nurs.* 30 (5), 493–503. doi:10. 7475/kjan.2018.30.5.493
- Kastien-Hilka, T., Rosenkranz, B., Sinanovic, E., Bennett, B., and Schwenkglenks, M. (2017). Health-related quality of life in South African patients with pulmonary *tuberculosis*. *PLoS One* 12 (4), e0174605. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0174605
- KCDC (2018).Korea centers for disease control and prevention. Cheongju-si: Tuberculosis Mangement Plan.

Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (grant number: HI19C1233).

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2021.659675/full#supplementary-material.

Supplementary Table S1. Search terms and results.

- KCDC (2019). Korea centers for disease control and prevention. Annual report on the notified *tuberculosis* in Korea in 2018
- KCDC (2017). Korea disease control and prevention agency and the Korean academy of tuberculosis and respiratory disease. Guideline of tuberculosis management 3rd edition.
- Kennedy-Martin, M., Slaap, B., Herdman, M., van Reenen, M., Kennedy-Martin, T., Greiner, W., et al. (2020). Which multi-attribute utility instruments are recommended for use in cost-utility analysis? A review of national health technology assessment (HTA) guidelines. *Eur. J. Health Econ.* 21 (8), 1245–1257. doi:10.1007/s10198-020-01195-8
- Kittikraisak, W., Kingkaew, P., Teerawattananon, Y., Yothasamut, J., Natesuwan, S., Manosuthi, W., et al. (2012). Health related quality of life among patients with *tuberculosis* and HIV in Thailand. *PLoS One* 7 (1), e29775. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0029775
- Min, J., Kim, H. W., Ko, Y., Oh, J. Y., Kang, J. Y., Lee, J., et al. (2020). Tuberculosis surveillance and monitoring under the national public-private mix tuberculosis control Project in South Korea 2016-2017. *Tuberc. Respir. Dis.* 83 (3), 218–227. doi:10.4046/trd.2020.0016
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., and Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Plos Med.* 6 (7), e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
- MOHW (2018). Ministry of health and Welfare in Korea. Sejong: The 2nd Tuberculosis Management Master Plan.
- NECA (2011). National evidence-based healthcare collaborating agency in Korea. *Manual of systematic review*.
- Park, H.-Y., Ku, H.-m., Sohn, H.-S., Seo, H.-S., Yung Lee, H., Hwa Lim, K., et al. (2016). Cost-effectiveness of bedaquiline for the treatment of multidrugresistant tuberculosis in the Republic of Korea. *Clin. Ther.* 38 (3), 655–667. doi:10.1016/j.clinthera.2016.01.023
- Qian, X., Tan, R. L.-Y., Chuang, L.-H., and Luo, N. (2020). Measurement properties of commonly used generic preference-based measures in east and south-east Asia: a systematic review. *Pharmacoeconomics* 38 (2), 159–170. doi:10.1007/ s40273-019-00854-w
- Saleem, S., A, A. M., A. Malik, A., Ahmed, J., and Hussain, H. (2018). Healthrelated quality of life among pulmonary *tuberculosis* patients in Pakistan. *Qual. Life Res.* 27 (12), 3137–3143. doi:10.1007/s11136-018-1954-9
- Schito, M., Migliori, G. B., Fletcher, H. A., McNerney, R., Centis, R., D'Ambrosio, L., et al. (2015). Perspectives on advances in tuberculosis diagnostics, drugs, and vaccines. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 61 (Suppl. 3), S102–S118. doi:10.1093/cid/ civ609
- Shams, S., and Pullenayegum, E. (2019). Reducing uncertainty in EQ-5D value sets: the role of spatial correlation. *Med. Decis. Making* 39 (2), 91–99. doi:10.1177/ 0272989x18821368
- Shedrawy, J., Jansson, L., Röhl, I., Kulane, A., Bruchfeld, J., and Lönnroth, K. (2019). Quality of life of patients on treatment for latent *tuberculosis* infection: a mixed-method study in Stockholm, Sweden. *Health Qual. Life Outcomes* 17 (1), 158. doi:10.1186/s12955-019-1228-4
- Szende, A., Janssen, B., and Cabases, J. (2014). Self-reported population health: an international perspective based on EQ-5D. Netherlands. Springer.
- Walters, S. J., and Brazier, J. E. (2005). Comparison of the minimally important difference for two health state utility measures: EQ-5D and SF-6D. Qual. Life Res. 14 (6), 1523–1532. doi:10.1007/s11136-004-7713-0
- Wells, G. A., Shea, B., O'Connell, D., Peterson, J., Welch, V., Losos, M., et al. (2020). The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised

studies in meta-analyses. Available at http://konos.go.kr/konosis/common/bizlogic.jsp (Accessed.July 1, 2020)

- WHO (2016). World health organization. THE END TB Strategy; Global stategy and targets for *tuberculosis* prevention, care and control after 2015
- Zarova, C., Chiwaridzo, M., Tadyanemhandu, C., Machando, D., and Dambi, J. M. (2018). The impact of social support on the health-related quality of life of adult patients with *tuberculosis* in Harare, Zimbabwe: a crosssectional survey. *BMC Res. Notes* 11 (1), 795. doi:10.1186/s13104-018-3904-6
- WHO (2019). Global Tuberculosis Report. Geneva: WHO. . Available at https:// www.who.int/tb/publications/global\_report/en/ (Accessed July 1, 2020)

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Park, Cheon, Choi and Kwon. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.