Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Ying Xu, University at Buffalo, United States

REVIEWED BY Bun Tsoi, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong SAR, China Lena Kaethe Linda Oestreich, The University of Queensland, Australia

*CORRESPONDENCE Zhong Wang, wangzhong761@163.com Wanchun You, wcyou@suda.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

SPECIALTY SECTION

This article was submitted to Neuropharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

RECEIVED 03 September 2022 ACCEPTED 02 December 2022 PUBLISHED 19 December 2022

CITATION

Zhang J, Song Z, Gui C, Jiang G, Cheng W, You W, Wang Z and Chen G (2022), Treatments to post-stroke depression, which is more effective to HAMD improvement? A network metaanalysis.

Front. Pharmacol. 13:1035895. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.1035895

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Zhang, Song, Gui, Jiang, Cheng, You, Wang and Chen. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Treatments to post-stroke depression, which is more effective to HAMD improvement? A network meta-analysis

Jie Zhang[†], Zhaoming Song[†], Chen Gui[†], Guannan Jiang, Wei Cheng, Wanchun You^{*}, Zhong Wang^{*} and Gang Chen

Department of Neurosurgery and Brain and Nerve Research Laboratory, The First Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University, Suzhou, China

Introduction: Post-stroke depression (PSD) is a common mental health problem after cerebrovascular accidents. There are several treatments that have been shown to be effective in treating post-stroke depression. However, it is not clear which treatment is more effective.

Methods: In this meta-analysis, an appropriate search strategy was used to search eligible randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on different treatments to treat patients with Post-stroke depression published up to December 2021 from the CNKI, PubMed, and Cochrane Library. We assessed the mean difference or odds ratio between each treatment and placebo and summarized them as the average and 95% confidence interval (CI) by conducting Bayesian network meta-analyses.

Results: By constructing a Bayesian network meta-analysis, we found that acupuncture combined with fluoxetine (vs placebo MD, -8.9; 95% Cl, [-15, -2.9]) or paroxetine (vs placebo MD, -8.5; 95% Cl, [-15, -2.5]) was the most effective for change in Hamilton depression scale (HAMD) at the end of the 4th week. For change in Hamilton depression scale at the end of the 8th week, rTMS combined with paroxetine (vs placebo MD, -13; 95% Cl, [-17, -7.9]) had the greatest amount of change. The efficacy of medication combined with adjuvant therapy was also superior for the percentage of patients with Hamilton depression scale change over 50%.

Discussion: The combination of antidepressants with adjuvant therapy may enhance the efficacy of antidepressants and achieve better results than antidepressant monotherapy in both Hamilton depression scale changes at the end of week 4 or 8 and 50% Hamilton depression scale improvement rate. Acupuncture combined with fluoxetine treatment was more effective in the treatment of post-stroke depression at week 4, while rTMS combined with

Abbreviations: RCT, randomized controlled trial; OR, odds ratio; MD, mean difference; SUCRA, surface under the cumulative ranking curve; CI, confidence interval.

paroxetine was more effective at week 8. Further research is needed to determine whether acupuncture combined with fluoxetine is better than rTMS combined with paroxetine for post-stroke depression at week 8.

KEYWORDS

post-stroke depression, antidepressant, adjuvant therapy, meta-analysis, Hamilton depression scale (HAMD)

Background

Stroke is the third leading cause of death worldwide, accounting for approximately 5.9 million deaths each year. Stroke morbidity and mortality are rising rapidly with the growth of the elderly population (Villa et al., 2018). Cerebrovascular accidents and depression following are currently the two major causes of economic burden on society (Medeiros et al., 2020). Post-stroke depression (PSD) is the most common and burdensome neuropsychiatric complication after stroke. Some studies have shown that PSD is about one-third of the prevalence in stroke patients (Mitchell et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2017; Taylor-Rowan et al., 2019). Patients with PSD have higher mortality, more significant cognitive deficits, and lower quality of life than patients without PSD (Hilari et al., 2012; Blöchl et al., 2019; Starkstein and Hayhow, 2019). Therefore, it is extremely important to understand the pathogenesis of PSD and to find effective treatments.

The pathophysiology of PSD is complex and multifactorial, resulting from a combination of ischemia-induced neurobiological dysfunction and psychosocial distress (Robinson and Jorge, 2016). The pathology of PSD development may be related to alterations in the ascending monoamine pathway, excess of pro-inflammatory cytokines, dysfunction of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and altered neuroplasticity (Villa et al., 2018). The complexity of the pathogenesis of PSD makes its prevention and treatment on a biological basis a difficult task. Currently, selective 5hydroxytryptamine reuptake inhibitors and tricyclic antidepressants have been clinically shown to have positive effects in the prevention and treatment of PSD, but the mechanisms by which they exert their therapeutic effects remain unclear and are associated with serious adverse events (Paolucci, 2017; Das, 2018). In recent years, with the continuous research on the pathology of PSD, many new effective treatment methods have emerged, such as acupuncture, herbal medicine, hyperbaric oxygen (HBO) and repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS), or even combined treatments (Lefaucheur et al., 2020; Liang et al., 2020; Gong et al., 2021). Treatments for PSD are longer restricted in medications alone.

There are currently a variety of treatments for PSD. There are also relevant studies describing the effectiveness of different treatments. But previous studies comparing the efficacy of these treatments are inadequate, especially there is no systematic assertion on the effectiveness of adjuvant therapy. Therefore, a Bayesian network meta-analysis was performed in order to analyze which of the treatments is more beneficial for the improvement of post-stroke depressed patients. The differences in the effectiveness of different treatments for PSD were analyzed in order to be able to provide an evidence-based medical reference for clinical treatment of PSD.

Materials and methods

Study protocol

Before starting this study, we prepared a draft study protocol following the format of the Cochrane Collaboration. The metaanalysis has not yet been registered.

Literature search

In our study, we used an appropriate search method to screen eligible randomized controlled trails on treatments to patients with PSD in 3 literature databases, PubMed, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) and Cochrane library. The publication date of the included papers was up to December 2021. The search was performed in both databases following the keywords: "post-stroke depression" AND ("citalopram" OR "amitriptyline" OR "paroxetine" OR "fluoxetine" OR "venlafaxine" OR "sertraline" OR "mirtazapine" OR "escitalopram" OR "duloxetine" OR "antidepressant" OR "shuganjieyutang" OR "hyperbaric oxygen" OR "acupuncture" OR "repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation" OR "rTMS" OR "HBO") AND ("randomized controlled trail" OR "RCT" OR "random" OR "controlled").

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

The criteria for study selection are described below. 1) Randomized controlled studies involving the treatment of post-stroke depressed patients. 2) Each included article must contain at least one outcome indicator, including Hamilton depression scale (HAMD) change at week 4, HAMD change at week 8, and HAMD 50% remission rate at end of treatment. 3) All data enrolled was assessed by HAMD-17. 4) Herbal medicine included was limited to traditional Chinese medicine,



"shuganjieyutang". 5) Each article must include at least two treatment modalities (including placebo) for each other. Studies that do not meet the 3 criteria above will be excluded.

Quality assessment and data extraction

Following the Cochrane Collaboration's risk analysis assessment form, 2 authors were involved in collating and summarizing the quality of each article, including authorship, year of publication, and patient-based information. The potential risk of bias for each article was identified and the quality of the article was assessed, but in case of disagreement between the 2 authors, the other author was brought in for final determination.

Statistical analysis

After recording data from literatures that met the criteria, we used Gemtc R-package and R4.0.3 software to construct a Bayesian network model (van Valkenhoef et al., 2012; van Valkenhoef et al., 2016). We first tested the heterogeneity of the model, using chi-square q-tests and I^2 statistics to assess heterogeneity between trials. If p < 0.05 or $I^2 > 50\%$ showed significant heterogeneity, a random effects model was used. On

TABLE	1	Characteristics	of	the	included	studies	and	outcome	events.
-------	---	-----------------	----	-----	----------	---------	-----	---------	---------

Study	Countries	Publications	Treatment group, (no. Of participants)	Baseline of HAMD (Mean ± SD)	Female (%)	Mean age ±SD (year)	Outcome events
Fruehwald	Austria	J Neurol	FLU (26) vs PLA (24)	FLU 32.8±12.7	FLU 53.8%	FLU 64.8±13.8	а
et al. (2005)				PLA 30.3±15.0	PLA 29.1%	PLA 64.0±14.3	
Dong et al.	China	Chinese Journal of Clinical	ACU (42) vs FLU (38)	ACU 27.3±5.3	ACU 42.5%	N/A	a
(2003)		Kenabilitation		FLU 27.7±7.4	FLU 45.6%		
Zhang,	China	Journal of Traditional Chinese	ACU (45) vs FLU (45)	ACU 23.78±4.57	ACU 44.4%	ACU 55.5±6.27	a,c
(2003)		Wethenie		FLU 25.36±3.58	FLU 40%	FLU 58.1±8.91	
Zhao et al.	China	Chinese Journal of Clinical	CIT (42) vs.VEN (40)	CIT 32.3±8.4	CIT 42.8%	CIT 58.16±8.49	a,c
(2003)		Kenabintati		VEN 32.4±9.3	VEN 47.5%	VEN 61.45±8.24	
Gu and	Korea	Brain Stimulation	rTMS (12)	rTMS 10±1.3	rTMS 50%	rTMS 58.1±8.7	a
(2017)			vs PLA (12)	PLA 10±0.9	PLA 71.42%	PLA 58.3±7.8	
Zhao and Li,	China	journal of clinical acupuncture	ACU (23) vs FLU (21)	ACU 24.8±1.3	ACU 47.8%	N/A	a,c
(2006)		and moxibustion		FLU 25.2±1.5	FLU 52.3%		
Luo et al.	China	Chin J Rehabil Theory Practice	PAR (42) vs.AMI (40)	PAR 28.8±6.7	PAR 26.1%	PAR 61.2±4.5	a,b,c
(2006)				AMI 29.2±5.1	AMI 30%	AMI 60.5±5.3	
Dong et al. (2007)	China	Chinese Acupuncture	ACU (36) vs FLU (34)	ACU 23.98±3.69	ACU 36.1%	ACU 59.21±7.56	a,c
				FLU 24.12±3.17	FLU 44.1%	FLU 56.61±8.21	
Li, (2007)	China	Modern Journal of Integrated	PAR (44) vs.PLA (42)	PAR 23.9±5.78	PAR 36.3%	PAR 59.2±12	a,b
		Western Medicine		PLA 23.7±7.54	PLA 38.1%	PLA 58.9±12.1	
Cravello et al.	Italy	human psychopharmacology	VEN (25) vs FLU (25)	VEN 17±4.5	VEN 56%	VEN 64.2±14.1	a,b
(2009)				FLU 19.2±4.4	FLU 64%	FLU 65.9±12.7	
Zhou, (2009)	China	Shandong Medical Journal	HM (45) vs FLU (45)	HM 23.15±4.11	HM 51.1%	N/A	a,b
				FLU 24.02±4.38	FLU 46.6%		
Wu, (2009)	China	Chinese Journal of Practical	SER (42) vs.AMI (42)	SER 25.4±3.1	40.4%	63.2±6.5	a,c
				AMI 24.8±4.6			
Chen, (2009)	China	Guiding Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine and	HM (40) vs FLU (40)	HM 24.24±6.27	HM 40%	HM 63.4±10.6	a,c
		Pharmacy		FLU 27.35±5.68	FLU 45%	FLU 72.0±6.8	
Wang, (2009)	China	Chinese Community Doctors	PAR (55) vs.PLA (55)	PAR 23.3±6.7	31.8%	56±15	a,b,c
				PLA 22.8±7.8			
Wu, (2010)	China	Acupuncture Research	ACU (150)	ACU 29.4±5.6	ACU 52.6%	ACU 56.2±9.2	b,c
			V3.120 (150)	FLU 28.7±6	FLU 54%	FLU 55.7±9.4	
Nie et al. (2011)	China	Chinese Acupuncture	ACU (33) vs.FLU (30)	ACU 28.37±4.34	ACU 48.57%	ACU 64.2±9.85	a,c
(=011)				FLU 27.48±4.67	FLU 42.85%	FLU 63.1±9.55	
Huang et al.	China	Health industry in China	HM (30) vs FLU (30)	HM 19.6±4.2	HM 63.3%	N/A	a,c
(2011)				FLU 19.3±4.4	FLU 66.6%		

(Continued on following page)

Study	Countries	Publications	Treatment group, (no. Of participants)	Baseline of HAMD (Mean ± SD)	Female (%)	Mean age ±SD (year)	Outcome events
Li et al.	China	Chinese Journal of Practical	DUL (45) vs.AMI (45)	DUL 29.55±2.78	DUL 33.3%	DUL 59.5±3	a,b,c
(2011)		Nervous Disease		AMI 29.8±3.26	AMI 35.5%	AMI 59.6±4.8	
Wang et al.	China	Chinese Journal of Medicinal	MIR (42) vs.AMI (42)	MIR 25.4±3.1	40.4%	63.2±6.5	a,c
(2011)		Guide		AMI 24.8±4.6			
Li and Chi,	China	Chinese Acupuncture	ACU (23) vs FLU (20)	ACU 24.8±5	ACU 47.8%	ACU 56.7±14.4	а
(2011)				FLU 26.7±4.6	FLU 50%	FLU 59.4±14.1	
Shao and	China	Chinese Journal of Hospital	AMI (66) vs.ESC (66)	AMI 22.0±4.7	N/A	N/A	а
Qing, (2012)		Pharmacy		ESC 22.5±4.9			
Chen et al.	China	Zhejiang Praetical MMicine	DUL (48) vs.SER (48)	DUL 23.21±5.15	DUL37.5%	DUL 63±5.56	a,b,c
(2012)				SER 23.56±4.37	SER 41.6%	SER 65±4.78	
Li and Chen,	China	Guide of China Medicine	CIT (53) vs.SER (53)	CIT 29.59±1.76	N/A	N/A	a,b
(2012)				SER 29.76±1.65			
Liu and Yan,	China	China Modern Doctor	CIT (40) vs.VEN (40)	CIT 33.6±4.2	CIT 47.5%	CIT 62.7±8.7	a,c
(2012)				VEN 32.3±4.6	VEN 42.5%	VEN 61.3±7.7	
Wu et al.	China	Hei long jiang medical journal	ESC (40) vs.PAR (40)	ESC 25.48±2.7	ESC 40.0%	ESC 67.5±9.3	a,b,c
(2013)				PAR 25.28±2.75	PAR 47.5%	PAR 68.2±11.2	
Nie and	China	Chinese Acupuncture	ACU (42) vs PAR (40)	ACU 30.37±4.24	ACU 54.7%	ACU 64±10	a,c
(2013)			vs ACU + PAR (41)	PAR 31.46±4.17	PAR 55%	PAR 64±10	
				ACU + PAR 31.35±4.07	ACU + PAR 58.5%	ACU + PAR 63±10	
Huang et al.	China	Journal of Practical Cardio-	VEN (100)	VEN 30.76±4.19	VEN 42%	VEN 69.2±2.5	a,b
(2014)		diseases	VS.ESC (100)	ESC 29.61±4.25	ESC 40%	ESC 69.2±2.1	
Li, (2014a)	China	Chinese Journal of	VEN (45) vs AMI (46)	VEN 28.8±6.2	N/A	N/A	a,b,c
		Contemporary Medicine		AMI 29.1±6.3			
Li, (2014b)	China	Chin J Mod Drug Appl	PAR (40) vs.ESC (40)	PAR 27.06±4.09	PAR 57.5%	PAR 38.64±5.93	a,b,c
				ESC 27.22±3.99	ESC 62.5%	ESC 38.91±5.73	
Wei et al.	China	Chin J of Clinical Rational	CIT (40) vs.AMI (40)	CIT 22.7±2.6	CIT 32.5	CIT 70.1±6.9	а
(2014)		Drug Use		AMI 22.3±2.8	AMI 37.5%	AMI 69.2±7.3	
Li and Yuan,	China	Frontier Journal of Medicine	MIR (42) vs.AMI (42)	MIR 30.11±3.92	MIR 42%	MIR 58±2.6	a,b
(2015)				AMI 41.41±5.22	AMI 42%	AMI 56±3.8	
Yan et al.	China	J Phys Ther Sci	HBO (30) vs FLU (30)	HBO 20.1±5.7	HBO 46.6%	HBO 63±8.1	a,c
(2015)			vs HBO + FLU (30)	FLU 19.8±4.5	FLU 43.3%	FLU 65±7.9	
				HBO + FLU 22.8±3.3	HBO + FLU 40%	HBO + FLU 66±5.9	
Wang and Qiu, (2015)	China	Anhui Medical Journal	SER (75) vs.AMI (75)	SER 31.57±3.42	SER 48%	SER 65.2±6.7	a

TABLE 1 (Continued) Characteristics of the included studies and outcome events.

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 1 (Continued)	Characteristics of	f the included	studies and	outcome events	
Intone i (continucu)	cildideteristics of	the menaded	staares arra	outcome events.	

Study	Countries	Publications	Treatment group, (no. Of participants)	Baseline of HAMD (Mean ± SD)	Female (%)	Mean age ±SD (year)	Outcome events
				AMI 32.14±4.63	AMI 45.3%	AMI 65.8±6.1	
Li, (2015)	China	Journal of Qiqihar University	VEN (74) vs.AMI (74)	VEN 27.6±5.4	45.9%	52.6±2.5	a,b,c
		or Medicine		AMI 26.3±5.7			
Chen et al.	China	Journal of International	CIT (48) vs PLA (48)	CIT 26.6±6.7	CIT 47.9%	CIT 60.8±9.6	b
(2015)		Psychiatry		PLA 27.4±7	PLA 45.8%	PLA 61.0±9.5	
Feng, (2015)	China	J Clin Psychosom Dis	ESC (40) vs.SER (40)	ESC 25.47±2.71	ESC 42.45%	ESC 65±4.5	a,b,c
				SER 25.29±2.76	SER 47.5%	SER 65.3±5.1	
Sun et al.	China	Chinese Acupuncture	ACU (31) vs FLU (31)	ACU 23.78±5.46	ACU 51.6%	ACU 67±4	a,c
(2015a)			vs ACU + FLU (31)	FLU 24.23±6.16	FLU 51.6%	FLU 69±5	
				ACU + FLU 23.62±6.23	ACU + FLU 54.8%	ACU + FLU 68±5	
Sun et al.	China	Chinese Acupuncture	PAR (30) vs ACU +	PAR 29.34±10.22	PAR 50%	PAR 58±8	a,c
(20156)			PAR (33)	ACU + PAR 29.25±9.73	ACU + PAR 36.4%	ACU + PAR 59±7	
Song et al.	China	Chinese Journal of Trauma	MIR (46) vs.CIT (45)	MIR 23.73±2.07	MIR 45.6%	MIR 63.2±5.1	a,c
(2016)		and Disability Medicine		CIT 22.9400B1ye1.98	CIT 48.8%	CIT 62.9±5.7	
Li, (2016)	China	China Journal of	PAR (103)	PAR 28±5	PAR 40.7%	PAR 68.5±2.1	a,b,c
		Pharmaceutical Economics	VS.AIMI (105)	AMI 27±4	AMI 36.8%	AMI 66.54±1.7	
Hu et al.	China	Chinese Journal of Integrative	MIR (40) vs.AMI (40)	MIR 20.96±4.52	MIR 40%	MIR 61.1±5.6	a
(2010)		Medicine on Cardio		AMI 20.47±3.65	AMI 37.5%	AMI 60.7±6.1	
Wu et al.	China	Chinese Journal of Practical	VEN (44) vs.ESC (44)	VEN 29.8±3.6	VEN 45.4%	VEN 72.8±10.1	a,b,c
(2010)		Incivous Disease		ESC 30.6±4.4	ESC 47.5%	ESC 74.6±10.4	
Feng, (2016)	China	Chinese Journal of Practical Nervous Disease	PAR (57) vs SER (58)	PAR 23.6±2.5	PAR 45.6%	PAR 60.7xyd±6.3	b,c
				SER 23.5±2.5	SER 44.8%	SER 60.6±6.6	
Li et al.	China	China Pharmacy	SER (42) vs PAR (49)	SER 24.75±6.03	SER 42.8%	SER 68.4±9.1	b,c
(2017C)				PAR 24.46±5.89	PAR 28.5%	PAR 66.7±8.5	
Hu et al.	China	Capital Journal of Food and	ESC (50) vs MIR (50)	ESC 30.17±6.06	ESC 40%	ESC 56.42±5.18	b
(2017)		Medicine		MIR 31.36±5.27	MIR 44%	MIR 54.21±6.32	
Li et al.	China	Journal of Traditional Chinese	ACU (29) vs FLU (29)	ACU 19±7	ACU 44.8%	ACU69±7	a,b
(2017a)		Medicine		FLU 20±8	FLU 41.3%	FLU 68±7	
Li et al.	China	Journal of Hebei Medical	ESC (64) vs.PLA (62)	ESC 21.83±1.46	ESC 34.3%	ESC 62.02±9.8	a
(20170)		University		PLA 21.62±1.41	PLAV35.4%	PLA 62.35±9.31	
Wang et al.	China	Practical geriatrics	ESC (40) vs.PAR (40)	ESC 29.55±4.21	ESC 42.5%	ESC 67.58±6.08	a,b,c
(2017)				PAR 29.82±4.53	PAR 45%	PAR 67.15±5.95	
	China		ACU (30) vs.PAR (30)	ACU 26.72±7.63	ACU 56.6%	ACU 59±9	b,c

(Continued on following page)

Study	Countries	Publications	Treatment group, (no. Of participants)	Baseline of HAMD (Mean ± SD)	Female (%)	Mean age ±SD (year)	Outcome events
Lin et al. (2017)		Chinese Acupuncture and Moxibustion		PAR 25.97±8.16	PAR 53.3%	PAR 58±8	
Ye and Ye,	China	China Modern Doctor	SER (46) vs AMI (46)	SER 27.98±3.31	SER 47.8%	SER 70.08±6.41	b
(2018)				AMI 28.18±4.08	AMI 43.4%	AMI 69.81±6.45	
Chen et al.	China	Chinese Acupuncture	PLA (30) vs ACU (30)	PLA 36.6±9.5	PLA 56%	PLA 58±11	а
(2018)				BEL 35.7±10	ACU 53.3%	ACU 57±11	
Bai et al. (2018)	China	Guizhou Medical Journal	PAR (75) vs.DUL (75)	PAR 23.92±1.71	PAR 45.3%	PAR 58.12±2.37	a,b,c
				DUL 23.96±1.73	DUL 48%	DUL 59.13±2.35	
Chen and Li,	China	Medicine	PAR (35) vs.PLA (35)	PAR 27.9±6.8	PAR 34.3%	PAR 62.5±11.4	b
(2018)				PLA 26.0±5.9	PLA 42.9%	PLA 64.1±12.3	
Yang et al. (2019)	China	Yunnan Medical Journal	rTMS + PAR (49)	rTMS + PAR 21.47±1.56	rTMS + PAR 42.8%	rTMS + PAR 58.42±7.16	b,c
			vs PAR (49)	PAR 22.25±1.49	PAR 40.8%	PAR 58.72±6.94	
Cao et al.	China	Restorative Neurology and	CIT (52) vs PLA (47)	CIT 12.23±3.12	N/A	N/A	a,b
(2020)		Neuroscience		PLA 11.98±3.41			
Liu, (2020)	China	Journal of reflexology and Rehabilitation Medicine	rTMS + PAR (34)	rTMS + PAR 25.22±1.25	rTMS + PAR 44.12%	rTMS + PAR 54.92±11.8	b,c
			vs PAR (34)	PAR 26.09±0.29	PAR 35.29%	PAR 55.2±12.6	
Hu et al. (2020)	China	Journal of Chinese Contemporary Medicine	rTMS + PAR (40)	rTMS + PAR 28.5±5.0031	rTMS + PAR 37.5%	rTMS + PAR 48.7±5.4	b
			vs PAR (40)	PAR 28.1±5.3	PAR 42.5%	PAR 49.3±5.8	
Wang et al. (2020)	China	Neural Injury And Functional Reconstruction	rTMS + PAR (76)	rTMS + PAR 28.34±5.13	rTMS + PAR 59.2%	rTMS + PAR 68.52±5.71	b,c
			vs PAR (76)	PAR 27.25±5.01	PAR 56.5%	PAR 68.39±5.02	
You et al. (2020)	China	Journal of Traditional Chinese Medicine	ACU + FLU (35) vs FLU (35)	N/A	38%	N/A	a
Huang et al. (2021)	China	World Journal of Integrated Traditional and Western	ACU (30) vs FLU (30)	ACU 11.89 ± 2.73	ACU 50%	ACU 63.51±6.09	a,c
		medicine		FLU 12.07±3.08	FLU 43.3%	FLU 64.14±5.47	

PLA: Placebo; ACU: Acupuncture; FLU: Fluoxetine; PAR: Paroxetine; CIT: Citalopram; rTMS: repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation; HBO: Hyperbaric oxygen; VEN: Venlafaxine; HM: Herb medicine; AMI: amitriptyline; ESC: Escitalopram; SER: Sertraline; DUL: Duloxetine; MIR: Mirtazapine; N/A: not application.

the contrary, without significant heterogeneity, a fixed-effects model was used. Subsequently, we built the corresponding models based on the above results to integrate the treatment effects of drugs in different studies. The results were presented by constructing forest plots, league tables, and ranking plots. We then validated the consistency in the network model by comparing each node with the existence of direct, indirect, and pooled evidence using the node splitting method to assess the statistical differences between the different evidence determining the consistency of the indirect evidence with the direct evidence. Similarity was judged manually based on the quality control mitigation.

	You YL 2020	Li MH 2017	Chen AW 2018	LI HJ 2011	Nie RR 2013	Sun YT 2015	Sun PY 2015	Nie RR 2011	Zhang CZ 2005	Cao JX 2020	Seo Young Gu 2016	Dong Y 2015	Luca Cravello 2009	Stefan Fruehwald 2003	Zhou XH 2009	Huang PZ 2011	Chen HC 2009	Dong ZY 2003	Huang WL 2021	Dong JP 2007	Zhao YS 2006	Li ZD 2014	Shao J 2012	Wang G 2017	Wu SG 2013	Feng QL 2015	Huang C 2014	Wu SW 2016	Li XF 2014	Li J 2017
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+ §
Blinding of participants and personal (performance bias)	+	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	?	?	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	+	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	?	?	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	di t
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	?	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	<u>+</u> ~
Other bias	+	=	?	Ξ	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	Ξ	-	?	-	+	+	-	Ξ.	(-)	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
	Li J 2011	Chen WB 2012	Song WZ 2016	Wang L 2011	Li XZ 2015	Hu JQ 2016	Li ZJ 2007	Bai W 2018	Wang XW 2009	Luo RQ 2006	Li R 2016	Wang GQ 2015	Wu JF 2009	Li HX 2015	Li CX 2012	Liu J 2012	Zhao FT 2005	Wei HL 2014	Lin Z 2017	Wu JP 2010	Chen M 2018	Wang RT 2020	Yang HM 2019	Liu FJ 2020	Hu M 2020	Li PW 2017	Hu J 2017	Feng YH 2016	Ye BJ 2018	Chen ZY 2015
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	+ Li J 2011	+ Chen WB 2012	+ Song WZ 2016	+ Wang L 2011	+ Li XZ 2015	+ Hu JQ 2016	+ Li ZJ 2007	+ Bai W 2018	+ Wang XW 2009	+ Luo RQ 2006	+ Li R 2016	+ Wang GQ 2015	+ Wu JF 2009	+ Li HX 2015	+ Li CX 2012	+ Liu J 2012	+ Zhao FT 2005	+ Wei HL 2014	•• Lin Z 2017	+ Wu JP 2010	•• Chen M 2018	+ Wang RT 2020	+ Yang HM 2019	+ Liu FJ 2020	+ Hu M 2020	+ Li PW 2017	+ Hu J 2017	+ Feng YH 2016	+ Ye BJ 2018	+ Chen ZY 2015
Random sequence generation (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias)	+ + Li J 2011	+ + Chen WB 2012	+ + Song WZ 2016	+ + Wang L 2011	•• + Li XZ 2015	+ + Hu JQ 2016	+ + Li ZJ 2007	+ + Bai W 2018	+ + Wang XW 2009	+ + Luo RQ 2006	+ + Li R 2016	•• + Wang GQ 2015	+ + Wu JF 2009	+ + Li HX 2015	+ + Li CX 2012	+ + Liu J 2012	+ + Zhao FT 2005	+ + Wei HL 2014	+ Lin Z 2017	+ + Wu JP 2010	••• ••• Chen M 2018	•• + Wang RT 2020	+ + Yang HM 2019	+ + Liu FJ 2020	+ + Hu M 2020	+ + Li PW 2017	+ + Hu J 2017	+ + Feng YH 2016	+ + Ye BJ 2018	+ + Chen ZY 2015
Random sequence generation (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias) Blinding of participants and personal (performance bias)	+ + + LiJ 2011	+ + + Chen WB 2012	+ + + Song WZ 2016	+ + + Wang L 2011	+ 🝾 + Li XZ 2015	+ + + Hu JQ 2016	+ + + Li ZJ 2007	+ + + Bai W 2018	+ + + Wang XW 2009	+ + + Luo RQ 2006	+ + + Li R 2016	+ · · + Wang GQ 2015	+ + + Wu JF 2009	+ + + Li HX 2015	+ + + Li CX 2012	+ + + Liu J 2012	+ + + Zhao FT 2005	+ + + Wei HL 2014	+ + Lin Z 2017	+ + + Wu JP 2010	+ Chen M 2018	+ · · + Wang RT 2020	+ + + Yang HM 2019	+ + + Liu FJ 2020	+ + + Hu M 2020	+ + + Li PW 2017	+ + + Hu J 2017	+ + + Feng YH 2016	+ + + Ye BJ 2018	+ + + Chen ZY 2015
Random sequence generation (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias) Blinding of participants and personal (performance bias) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)	+ + + + ± Li J 2011	+ + + + Chen WB 2012	•• + + + Song WZ 2016	+ + + + Wang L 2011	+ + - + Li XZ 2015	+ + + + Hu JQ 2016	+ + + + Li ZJ 2007	+ + + + Bai W 2018	+ + + + Wang XW 2009	. + + + Luo RQ 2006	+ + + + Li R 2016	+ + + Wang GQ 2015	+ + + + Wu JF 2009	→ + + + Li HX 2015	+ + + + Li CX 2012	+ + + + Liu J 2012	+ + + + Zhao FT 2005	+ + + + Wei HL 2014	+ + + + . Lin Z 2017	+ + + + Wu JP 2010	+ + . Chen M 2018	+ + + Wang RT 2020	•• + + + Yang HM 2019	+ + + + Liu FJ 2020	·· + + + Hu M 2020	+ + + + Li PW 2017	+ + + + Hu J 2017	+ + + + Feng YH 2016	+ + + + Ye BJ 2018	+ + + + Chen ZY 2015
Random sequence generation (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias) Blinding of participants and personal (performance bias) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)	+ + + + + LiJ 2011	+ + + + + Chen WB 2012	•• •• + + + Song WZ 2016	+ + + + + Wang L 2011	+ + + + - + Li XZ 2015	+ + + + + Hu JQ 2016	+ + + + + Li ZJ 2007	+ + + + + Bai W 2018	+ + + + + Wang XW 2009	+ + + + + Luo RQ 2006	+ + + + + Li R 2016	+ + + + & ang GQ 2015	+ + + + + Wu JF 2009	+ 🐱 + + + Li HX 2015	+ + + + + Li CX 2012	+ + + + + Liu J 2012	+ + + + + Zhao FT 2005	•• + + + + Wei HL 2014	+ + + + • • Lin Z 2017	+ + + + + Wu JP 2010	+ + + Chen M 2018	+ + + - + Wang RT 2020	+ - + + + Yang HM 2019	+ + + + + Liu FJ 2020	+ - + + + Hu M 2020	+ + + + + Li PW 2017	. + + + + Hu J 2017	+ + + + + Feng YH 2016	+ + + + + Ye BJ 2018	+ + + + + Chen ZY 2015
Random sequence generation (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias) Blinding of participants and personal (performance bias) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) Selective reporting (reporting bias)	+ + + + + + + LiJ 2011	+ + + + + + + Chen WB 2012	+ + + + Song WZ 2016	+ + + + + + Wang L 2011	+ + + + ·· + Li XZ 2015	·····································	+ + + + + + Li ZJ 2007	•• + + + + + Bai W 2018	+ + + + + + Wang XW 2009	+ + + + + + Luo RQ 2006	5 + + + + + Li R 2016	+ + + + + + + Wang GQ 2015	+ + + + + + Wu JF 2009	+ + + + + + + Li HX 2015	+ + + + + + + Li CX 2012	+ + + + + + + Liu J 2012	+ + + + + + + Zhao FT 2005	+ + + + + + Wei HL 2014	+ + + + + + •• Lin Z 2017	+ + + + + + + Wu JP 2010	+ + + + ·· ·· Chen M 2018	+ + + + · · + Wang RT 2020	+ + + + + + Yang HM 2019	+ + + + + + + Liu FJ 2020	+ + + + + + Hu M 2020	+ + + + + + + Li PW 2017	+ . + + + + Hu J 2017	+ + + + + + + Feng YH 2016	+ + + + + + Ye BJ 2018	+ + + + + + Chen ZY 2015
Random sequence generation (selection bias) Allocation concealment (selection bias) Blinding of participants and personal (performance bias) Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) Selective reporting (reporting bias) Other bias	+ + + + + + + + 1 1 2011	+ + + + + + + + Chen WB 2012	+ + + + +	+ + + + + + + + Wang L 2011	+ + + + + + + + Li XZ 2015	+ - + + + + + + Hu JQ 2016	+ + + + + + + + Li ZJ 2007	+ .+ + + + + + Bai W 2018	+ + + + + + + + Wang XW 2009	+ + + + + + + Luo RQ 2006	+ + + + + + Li R 2016	+ + + + + + + + Wang GQ 2015	+ + + + + + + + Wu JF 2009	+ + + + - + + + + Li HX 2015	+ + + + + + + + Li CX 2012	+ + + + + + + + Liu J 2012	+ + + + + + + + + Zhao FT 2005	+ + + · + + + + + wei HL 2014	- + + + + + + · · Lin Z 2017	+ + + + + + + + + Wu JP 2010	- + + + + · · · · Chen M 2018	+ + + + + + •• + Wang RT 2020	+ + + + · · + + + Yang HM 2019	+ + + + + + + + + Liu FJ 2020	+ + + • • • + + + + Hu M 2020	•• + + + + + + + Li PW 2017	+ + ·· + + + + Hu J 2017	·· + + + + + + + Feng YH 2016	+ + + + + + + + Ye BJ 2018	+ + + + + + + + + + Chen ZY 2015

Result

Study charachteristics

According to the above method, we searched 286 articles in Pubmed and Cochrane Library and 1875 articles in CNKI. By comparison, we removed the overlapping articles and obtained the final 427 articles by initial screening of abstracts. By reading the full text, 11 protocols, 80 meta-analyses, 112 comments and 164 reviews were finally removed (Figure 1). Finally, the characteristic information of the remaining 60 papers, which were included in this paper, is shown in supplementary material table one ¹⁷⁻⁷⁶. This Table1 contains 16 different treatments include acupuncture, acupuncture combine with fluoxetine, acupuncture combine with paroxetine, amitriptyline, citalopram, duloxetine, escitalopram, fluoxetine, fluoxetine combine with HBO, HBO, mirtazapine, paroxetine, rTMS combine with paroxetine, sertraline, shuganjieyutang and venlafaxine.

In addition, we conducted a detailed bias analysis of these 60 articles. The main bias focused on the possible blinding bias

associated with acupuncture and its combination therapy, which could not be avoided during their implementation. The remaining factors that may have influenced the bias focused on the number of included populations, marked in the "other bias" item. All articles marked in yellow or red, present too small included populations, with less than 25 people included in each group in Li HJ's literature, which may have had some impact on the results (Figure 2).

Heterogeneity examination

Before constructing the final network model, we verified the heterogeneity of the collected data, and we used a random-effects model for the two indicators of HAMD change at the end of week 4 and 8 with an overall $I^2 > 50\%$. Correspondingly, the proportion of patients with 50% improvement in HAMD, an indicator for which we used a fixed-effects model to construct the network because its overall $I^2 \leq 50\%$. More detailed inter-article comparisons are shown in the supplementary materials (Supplementary Figure S1).



FIGURE 3

I HAMD change at end of 4th week. (A) Network model. (B) Forest plot for comparisons between treatments and placebo. (C) Line graph for probability ranks. (D) League table.

Network meta-analysis

We analyzed the efficacy of different treatments for PSD, including medications, traditional herbal treatments, and medications combined with acupuncture, HBO and rTMS, by means of a network meta-analysis. We selected two indicators, including the amount of HAMD changes (including results at the end of week 4 and 8 of treatment) and the percentage of patients with 50% improved HAMD.

Totally 17 treatments were enrolled in the analysis of the changes in HAMD score at the end of week 4 (Figure 3A). At the end of the 4th week of treatment, acupuncture (MD, -6.2; 95% CI, [-11, -1.6]), acupuncture combined with fluxetine (MD, -8.9; 95% CI, [-15, -2.9]), acupuncture combined with paroxetine (MD, -8.5; 95% CI, [-15, -2.5]), escitalopram (MD, -5.6; 95% CI, [-9.4, -1.8]), mirtazapine (MD, -6.6; 95% CI, [-12, -1.5]), paroxetine (MD, -5.2; 95% CI, [-8.7, -1.7]), and sertraline (MD, -4.7; 95% CI,



I HAMD change at end of 8th week. (A) Network model. (B) Forest plot for comparisons between treatments and placebo. (C) Line graph for probability ranks. (D) League table.

[-9.3, -0.037]) were significantly superior compared to placebo (Figure 3B). Based on the evidence from the network, we drew line graphs showing the rank of probability for each treatment (Figure 3C). The results of the line graph suggest that acupuncture combined with fluoxetine was the most effective treatment at the end of the 4th week while the placebo was clearly the worst. More specific inter-treatment comparisons we show in the league table (Figure 3D). It can be found that acupuncture combined with fluoxetine is more effective to amitriptyline, venlafaxine, and placebo for PSD. Of interest is that the efficacy of fluoxetine after combined acupuncture is better than that of fluoxetine alone (MD, -5.4; 95% CI, [-9.51, -1.32]), which is statistically significant. When the course of treatment was extended to 8 months, 13 modalities were included in our analysis (Figure 4A). All treatments showed better improvement compared to placebo, except amitriptyline (MD, -4.4; 95% CI, [-8.9, -0.2]), venlafaxine (MD, -5; 95% CI, [-10, -0.082]) (Figure 4B). Also, by inspection of the line graph of probability rank, we found that paroxetine treatment in combination with rTMS was the most effective in improving PSD at the end of the 8th week (Figure 4C). There is no doubt that the placebo group had the worst effect. Using the detailed data from the league table, we found that acupuncture is still an effective treatment modality, compared to placebo. Similarly, we found that paroxetine treatment in combination with rTMS was superior to paroxetine (rTMs and



I Percentage of patients with 50% improvement in HAMD. (A) Network model. (B) Forest plot for comparisons between treatments and placebo. (C) Line graph for probability ranks. (D) League table.

paroxetine vs paroxetine MD, -5.27; 95% CI, [-2.2, -8.3]) treatment alone (Figure 4D).

Seventeen treatments were included for comparison to assess the impact of different treatments on the percentage of patients with 50% improvement in HAMD (Figure 5A). All included treatment modalities demonstrated a better 50% improvement rate than placebo. Several combination treatment modalities, acupuncture combined with fluoxetine (OR, 1.0e+02; 95% CI, [25, 4.9e+02]), acupuncture combined with paroxetine (OR, 67; 95% CI, [21, 2.5e+02]), HBO combined with fluoxetine (OR, 44; 95% CI, [9, 2.5e+02]), and rTMS combined with paroxetine (OR, 55; 95% CI, [19, 1.9e+02]) showed good efficacy compared to placebo (Figure 5B). The efficacy of acupuncture combined with fluoxetine was the best in the line chart, and the efficacy of acupuncture combined with paroxetine and rTMS combined with paroxetine also ranked high (Figure 5C). Data from the league table also suggest that acupuncture combined with fluoxetine and rTMS combined with paroxetine is more effective than traditional antidepressants such as citalopram, amitriptyline, and duloxetine alone (Figure 5D).

Consistency and similarity examinations

Direct, indirect, and pooling evidence are available in the network for all indicators. Therefore, for each indicator, we used the node-splitting method to perform consistency tests. All 3 indicators were not tested for inconsistency between indirect and direct evidence, with all *p*-values >0.05, suggesting that our model was constructed reasonably correctly (Supplementary Figure S2). Similarity tests were performed by the bias analysis and quality control of the included articles. There was no significant bias in similarity between data, as previously described.

Discussion

PSD is the most common mental health problem, afflicting approximately 33% of stroke survivors, and PSD affects the recovery and quality of life of post-stroke patients (Robinson and Jorge, 2016). A growing amount of research suggests a strong association between PSD and stroke, and that both physiology and psychology play an important role in PSD (Zhou et al., 2022). Despite extensive efforts to study PSD, its pathogenesis is still unclear. Currently, selective 5-hydroxytryptamine reuptake inhibitors and tricyclic antidepressants are the main treatments in clinical practice (Paolucci, 2017). In recent years, there are more and more new treatment strategy for PSD, such as acupuncture, herbal medicine, HBO and rTMS (Hadidi et al., 2017). However, it is not clear which of the different treatments is more effective. In our study, we performed a Bayesian network meta-analysis of the 60 included articles to compare the effectiveness of different treatment methods. Our study found that the combination of antidepressants with adjuvant therapy enhanced the efficacy of antidepressants and was superior to antidepressant monotherapy. This provides some theoretical basis for future clinical work.

In this paper, we constructed an appropriate network metaanalysis model by collecting data from more than a dozen treatment modalities from previous works to analyze 3 indicators of effectiveness of PSD. The treatments we enrolled included commonly used antidepressant medications, herbal medicine, HBO, acupuncture, and medications combined with adjuvant therapy, covering almost all current clinical applications for the treatment of PSD. All 3 analyzed indicators were related to the HAMD score, the most widely used scale to evaluate the degree of depression in patients. The HAMD is a 17-item clinical assessment instrument used to quantify the severity of depression in subjects who have been diagnosed with depression (Hamilton, 1967). It became one of the most widely used prognostic indicators in depression and was used in many trials of new antidepressant drugs submitted to the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) (Leucht et al., 2013). We studied here only the amount of HAMD change at the 4th and 8th week end, and the percentage of patients with 50% improvement in HAMD, with the intention of analyzing which treatment modality is the most efficacious now.

Our analysis pointed out that at the end of the 4th week, not all classical antidepressants produced an effect, with only escitalopram, paroxetine, mirtazapine, and sertraline having significant efficacy. Antidepressants, including selective 5-hydroxytryptamine reuptake inhibitors and tricyclic antidepressants, have been shown to be effective in PSD. Although there are no studies showing that selective 5-hydroxytryptamine reuptake inhibitors are more antidepressants, effective than tricyclic selective 5hydroxytryptamine reuptake inhibitors are currently used as the first line of treatment. This may be due to the fact that selective 5hydroxytryptamine reuptake inhibitors is more effective in treating depression and generalized anxiety disorder and has fewer longterm side effects (Qin et al., 2014; Villa et al., 2018). In previous studies, tricyclic antidepressants, such as amitriptyline, were found to take 2-3 weeks to exert their effects and selective 5hydroxytryptamine reuptake inhibitors took 3-4 weeks to work (Gillman, 2007). Therefore, the amount of change in HAMD scores at the fourth week after treatment may not be significant. And the treatments combined with acupuncture greatly enhanced the therapeutic effect of antidepressants. Among them, fluoxetine combined with acupuncture treatment has the greatest possibility to produce the best efficacy, according to our calculations. It was significantly superior compared to fluoxetine alone, initially demonstrating the effect of the combination treatment. The possible mechanism of acupuncture for the treatment of PSD is achieved by stimulating specific acupoints to induce the restoration of brain nerve cells, including noradrenergic, dopamine, 5hydroxytryptamine neurons and their axons, while accelerating the repair of damaged brain tissue and promoting the release of 5-hydroxytryptamine in the brain and noradrenaline in the spinal cord (Zhang et al., 2010; Li et al., 2020) The mechanism by which herbs and rTMS exert their antidepressant effects is not fully understood. However, studies have shown that they can increase blood flow to local lesions, improve cortical metabolism, promote neuronal protein expression, and thus improve depressive symptoms (Liu et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2015). Therefore, drug treatment combined with acupuncture, rTMS and herbal medicine can have better therapeutic effect to a certain extent.

When the time window of treatment was stretched to 8 weeks, all treatment modalities except amitriptyline and griseofulvin achieved good efficacy. Notably, rTMS combined with paroxetine was most likely to achieve the most excellent treatment results at this time. Once again, the efficacy of the combination therapy exceeded that of paroxetine monotherapy. The better treatment outcome may be due to the longer treatment period compared to 4 weeks of treatment.

For overall effectiveness, the metric we chose was the percentage of patients with 50% improvement in HAMD. Our results continue to point to a preference for the use of combination therapy that can improve the depressive status of patients. Both acupuncture combined with fluoxetine and acupuncture combined with paroxetine, which performed well at the end of 4 weekends, and rTMS combined with paroxetine,

which performed well at the end of 8 weekends, were in the top 5 most effective. This further confirms the good effect of the combined treatment. In contrast, we noted that while amitriptyline and venlafaxine had a higher percentage of patients with HAMD improvements of more than 50% than placebo. However, neither antidepressant showed better improvement than the placebo group at the end of 4 and 8 weeks. This may have a negative impact on their continued use as PSD medication in the future. Previous studies have shown that amitriptyline and venlafaxine have better treatment effects than placebo when used to treat depression. This result is inconsistent with the results of our study (Rampello et al., 1995; Furukawa et al., 2019). This may be since PSD has a different pathogenesis than common depression. For example, the PSD-related potentials are different from common depression-related potentials (Hajcak et al., 2010). This differences leads to the poor therapeutic effect of amitriptyline and venlafaxine in the treatment of PSD. The differences in their clinical effects need to be further investigated.

Although we concluded the above results, there are some limitations in the paper that may have an impact on our results. First, we have only conducted a limited analysis of the effectiveness of these treatments and lack relevant comparisons in terms of safety and other aspects. Although many treatments showed significant improvements in PSD, their side effects may cause adverse events in patients beyond depression. Second, the article included a limited population and a large sample of Chinese patients was included in the article, which may have introduced bias to the included population. The third point is that the included articles do not strictly distinguish between hemorrhagic or ischemic stroke. Both may have an impact on the way and severity of PSD. In addition, inconsistencies in the treatment modalities included at the end of week 4 and 8 are due to problems inherent in the data sources. Some treatment modalities were missing, making it impossible to compare the efficacy of the same treatment modality at different times. The fifth point is that only the HAMD score was used as the primary outcome indicator in this study, which would have missed some of the articles using other outcome indicators, such as geriatric depression scale and hospital anxiety and depression scale. Finally, there are many traditional Chinese medicines for the treatment of post-stroke depression, such as xiaoyaosan, shuganjieyutang and sinisan. However, in this study, only shuganjieyutang was included for meta-analysis because other medication outcome indicators were inconsistent or did not meet the inclusion criteria of this study.

Conclusion

In conclusion, for HAMD change effects at the end of the 4th and 8th week of PSD treatment, the combination of antidepressants

with adjuvant therapy may enhance the efficacy of antidepressants and achieve better results than antidepressant monotherapy. According to our findings, acupuncture combined with fluoxetine treatment was more effective in the treatment of poststroke depression at week 4 and had higher 50% HAMD improvement rate, while rTMS combined with paroxetine was more effective at week 8. Further research is needed to determine whether acupuncture combined with fluoxetine is better than rTMS combined with paroxetine for post-stroke depression at week 8. All conclusions still need to be justified by further clinical data.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

Author contributions

ZW and WY were the principal investigators. JZ designed the study and developed the analysis plan; JZ, GJ, and ZS analyzed the data and performed the meta-analysis; JZ, ZS, CG, and GJ contributed to the writing of the article. JZ, GC, and WC revised the manuscript and polished the language. All authors read and approved the final submitted paper.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar. 2022.1035895/full#supplementary-material Bai, W., Liu, Y., and Li, Y. (2018). Efficacy of paroxetine and duloxetine in the treatment of post-stroke depression. *Guizhou Med. J.* 42 (4), 441–442. doi:10.3969/j. issn.1000-744X.2018.04.023

Blöchl, M., Meissner, S., and Nestler, S. (2019). Does depression after stroke negatively influence physical disability? A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. J. Affect. Disord. 247, 45–56. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2018.12.082

Cao, J., Liu, L., Sun, Y., Zeng, Q. H., Yang, Z. D., and Chen, J. C. (2020). Escitalopram improves neural functional prognosis and endothelial dysfunction in patients with acute cerebral infarction. *Restor. Neurol. Neurosci.* 38 (5), 385–393. doi:10.3233/RNN-201041

Chen, A., Gao, H., Wang, G., Li, J., and Shen, W. (2018). Effect of early acupuncture intervention on post-stroke depression: A randomized controlled trial. *Chin. Acupunct.* 38 (11), 1141–1144. doi:10.13703/j.0255-2930.2018.11.001

Chen, H. (2009). Clinical observation on 40 cases of post-stroke depression treated with shuganjieyutang. *Guid. J. Traditional Chin. Med. Pharm.* 15 (3), 23–25. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1672-951X.2009.03.011

Chen, M., and Li, P. Effectiveness of paroxetine in the treatment of poststroke depression. *Med. Baltim.* 2018; 97(29): e11496. DOI: doi: doi:10.1097/MD. 000000000011496

Chen, W., Tang, Y., and Zhao, Q. (2012). Clinical observation of duloxetine in the treatment of 48 cases of post-stroke depression. *Zhejiang Praetical Med.* 17 (6), 419–420. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1007-3299.2012.06.012

Chen, Z., Xu, X., and Wu, Y. (2015). Clinical effect of drug intervention in patients with post-stroke acute depression. J. Int. Psychiatry 42 (5), 97–100.

Cravello, L., Caltagirone, C., and Spalletta, G. (2009). The SNRI venlafaxine improves emotional unawareness in patients with post-stroke depression. *Hum. Psychopharmacol.* 24 (4), 331–336. doi:10.1002/hup.1021

Das, J., and Rajanikant, G. K. (2018). Post stroke depression: The sequelae of cerebral stroke. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 90, 104–114. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018. 04.005

Dong, J., Sun, W., Wang, S., Wu, Z. Q., and Liu, F. (2007). Clinical observation on head point-through-point electroacupuncture for treatment of poststroke depression. *Chin. Acupunct.* 27 (4), 241–244.

Dong, Z., Dai, Q., and Wang, F. (2003). Comparison of acupuncture and medicine in the treatment of post-stroke depression. *Chin. Journal Clin. Rehabilitation* 7 (25), 3516. doi:10.3321/j.issn:1673-8225.2003.25.060

Feng, Q. (2015). A comparative study of escitalopram and sertraline in the treatment of post-stroke depression in the elderly. *J. Clin. Psychosom. Dis.* 3, 60–62. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1672-187X.2015.03.020-0060-03

Feng, Y. (2016). A randomized controlled study of paroxetine and sertraline in the treatment of post-stroke depression. *Chin. J. Pract. Nerv. Dis.* 19 (6), 114–115. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1673-5110.2016.06.078

Fruehwald, S., Gatterbauer, E., Rehak, P., and Baumhackl, U. (2003). Early fluoxetine treatment of post-stroke depression--a three-month double-blind placebo-controlled study with an open-label long-term follow up. *J. Neurol.* 250 (3), 347–351. doi:10.1007/s00415-003-1014-3

Furukawa, T. A., Cipriani, A., Cowen, P. J., Leucht, S., Egger, M., and Salanti, G. (2019). Optimal dose of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, venlafaxine, and mirtazapine in major depression: A systematic review and dose-response metaanalysis. *Lancet. Psychiatry* 6, 601–609. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(19)30217-2

Gillman, P. K. (2007). Tricyclic antidepressant pharmacology and therapeutic drug interactions updated. Br. J. Pharmacol. 151, 737–748. doi:10.1038/sj.bjp. 0707253

Gong, P., Ma, X., Gao, L., and Bi, J. (2021). Simple acupuncture combined with fluoxetine in the treatment of poststroke depression: A protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med. Baltim.* 100, e24968. doi:10.1097/MD. 000000000024968

Gu, S., and Chang, M. (2017). The effects of 10-hz repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on depression in chronic stroke patients. *Brain Stimul.* 10 (2), 270–274. doi:10.1016/j.brs.2016.10.010

Hadidi, N. N., Huna Wagner, R. L., and Lindquist, R. (2017). Nonpharmacological treatments for post-stroke depression: An integrative review of the literature. *Res. Gerontol. Nurs.* 10, 182–195. doi:10.3928/19404921-20170524-02

Hajcak, G., MacNamara, A., and Olvet, D. M. (2010). Event-related potentials, emotion, and emotion regulation: An integrative review. *Dev. Neuropsychol.* 35, 129–155. doi:10.1080/87565640903526504

Hamilton, M. (1967). Development of a rating scale for primary depressive illness. Br. J. Soc. Clin. Psychol. 6, 278–296. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8260.1967.tb00530.x Hilari, K., Needle, J. J., and Harrison, K. L. (2012). What are the important factors in health-related quality of life for people with aphasia? A systematic review. *Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil.* 93, S86–S95. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2011.05.028

Hu, J., Li, C., and Yuan, L. (2017). A comparative study of the effects of escitalopram and mirtazapine on the quality of life in patients with post-stroke depression. *Cap. J. Food Med.* 24 (18), 69–70.

Hu, J., Li, Z., and Liu, Y. (2016). Efficacy of mirtazapine in the treatment of poststroke depression. *Chin. J. Integr. Med. Cardio* 14 (23), 2822–2824. doi:10.3969/j. issn.1672-1349.2016.23.033

Hu, M., Li, M., and Chen, S. (2020). Application of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation combined with paroxetine hydrochloride in patients with post-stroke depression. *J. Chin. Contemp. Med.* 27 (18), 63–65. doi:10.3969/j.issn. 1674-4721.2020.18.018

Huang, C., Pang, G., and Liang, H. (2014). Comparative study on the efficacy of escitalopram and venlafaxine sustained release in the treatment of post-stroke depression in the elderly. *J. Pract. Cardio-cerebral Pulm. Vasc. Dis.* 22 (4), 36–37. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1008-5971.2014.04.016

Huang, P., Chi, X., and Liu, R. (2011). Clinical study of shuganjieyutang in the treatment of post-stroke depression. *Health industry China* 31, 75.

Huang, W., Chen, W., and Wu, X. (2021). Observation on the therapeutic effect of acupuncture with visceral pentatonic tone method on post-stroke depression. *World J. Integr. Traditional West. Med.* 16 (12), 2334–2337. doi:10.13935/j.cnki. sjzx.211235

Lefaucheur, J-P., Aleman, A., Baeken, C., Benninger, D. H., Brunelin, J., Di Lazzaro, V., et al. (2020). Evidence-based guidelines on the therapeutic use of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS): An update (2014-2018). *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 131, 474–528. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2019.11.002

Leucht, S., Fennema, H., Engel, R., Kaspers-Janssen, M., Lepping, P., and Szegedi, A. (2013). What does the HAMD mean? *J. Affect. Disord.* 148, 243–248. doi:10. 1016/j.jad.2012.12.001

Li, C., and Chen, J. (2012). Clinical efficacy and safety of citalopram and sertraline in the treatment of post-stroke depression. *Guide China Med.* 10 (36), 546–547. doi:10.15912/j.cnki.gocm.2012.36.782

Li, H. (2015). Clinical effect of venlafaxine in the treatment of post-stroke depression. *Journal Qiqihar Univ. Med.* 36 (17), 2548–2549.

Li, H., Zhong, B., Fan, Y., and Hu, H. T. (2011). Acupuncture for post-stroke depression: A randomized controlled trial. *Chin. Acupunct.* 31 (01), 3–6. doi:10. 13703/j.0255-2930.2011.01.002

Li, J., and Chi, L. (2011). A comparative study of duloxetine and amitriptyline in the treatment of post-stroke depression. *Chin. J. Pract. Nerv. Dis.* 14 (12), 30–31. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1673-5110.2011.12.016

Li, J., Wang, J., and Li, Y. (2017). Effects of escitalopram oxalate on post-stroke depression, cognition and neurological function. *J. Hebei Med. Univ.* 38 (5), 589–592. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1007-3205.2017.05.021

Li, M., Niu, J., Yan, P., Yao, L., He, W., Wang, M., et al. (2020). The effectiveness and safety of acupuncture for depression: An overview of meta-analyses. *Complement. Ther. Med.* 50, 102202. doi:10.1016/j.ctim.2019.102202

Li, M., Zhang, B., Meng, Z., Sha, T., Han, Y., Zhao, H., et al. (2017). Effect of Tiaoshen Kaiqiao acupuncture in the treatment of ischemic post-stroke depression: a randomized controlled trial. *J. Traditional Chin. Med.* **37** (02), 171–178. doi:10.1016/s0254-6272(17)30041-9

Li, P., Wang, H., and Sun, L. (2017). Comparison of efficacy and safety between sertraline and paroxetine in the treatment of post-stroke depression. *China Pharm.* 28 (36), 5098–5101. doi:10.6039/j.issn.1001-0408.2017.36.16

Li, R. (2016). Clinical efficacy of paroxetine in the treatment of stroke depression. *China J. Pharm. Econ.* 11 (3), 75–77. doi:10.12010/j.issn.1673-5846.2016.03.035

Li, X. (2014). A comparative study of escitalopram in the treatment of post-stroke depression and anxiety comorbidity. *Chin. J. Mod. Drug Appl.* 14, 151–152.

Li, X., and Yuan, G. (2015). Clinical observation of mirtazapine in the treatment of post-stroke depression. *Front. J. Med.* 5 (9), 45–47. doi:10.3969/j.issn.2095-1752. 2015.09.024

Li, Z. (2007). Clinical observation of paroxetine tablets in the treatment of poststroke depression. Mod. J. Integr. Traditional Chin. West. Med. 16 (34), 5103–5104.

Li, Z. (2014). Efficacy and safety of venlafaxine hydrochloride sustained release tablets in the treatment of post-stroke depression. *Chin. J. Contemp. Med.* 21 (9), 78–79.

Liang, X-X., Hao, Y-G., Duan, X-M., Han, X. L., and Cai, X. X. (2020). Hyperbaric oxygen therapy for post-stroke depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin. Neurol. Neurosurg.* 195, 105910. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2020.105910

Lin, Z., Zhong, Y., and Quan, S. (2017). Acupuncture for post-stroke depression: A randomized controlled study. *Chin. Acupunct.* 37 (6), 581–585. doi:10.13703/j. 0255-2930.2017.06.003

Liu, F. (2020). Effect of repeated transcranial magnetic stimulation combined with paroxetine hydrochloride on the efficacy and complications of patients with post-stroke depression. *J. reflexology Rehabilitation Med.* 1 (24), 133–135.

Liu, J., and Yan, X. P. (2012). Competitive aptamer bioassay for selective detection of adenosine triphosphate based on metal-paired molecular conformational switch and fluorescent gold nanoclusters. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 50 (14), 135–141. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2012.04.015

Liu, L., Liu, C., Wang, Y., Wang, P., Li, Y., and Li, B. (2015). Herbal medicine for anxiety, depression and insomnia. *Curr. Neuropharmacol.* 13, 481–493. doi:10. 2174/1570159x1304150831122734

Liu, X., Tian, W., Qiu, X., Li, J., Thomson, S., Li, L., et al. (2012). Correlation analysis of quantitative diffusion parameters in ipsilateral cerebral peduncle during Wallerian degeneration with motor function outcome after cerebral ischemic stroke. J. Neuroimaging 22, 255–260. doi:10.1111/j.1552-6569.2011.00617.x

Luo, R., Hu, C., and Chen, R. (2006). Effect of paroxetine on post-stroke depression. *Chin. J. Rehabil. Theory Pract.* 12 (7), 597–598.

Medeiros, G. C., Roy, D., Kontos, N., and Beach, S. R. (2020). Post-stroke depression: A 2020 updated review. *Gen. Hosp. Psychiatry* 66, 70-80. doi:10. 1016/j.genhosppsych.2020.06.011

Mitchell, A. J., Sheth, B., Gill, J., Yadegarfar, M., Stubbs, B., Yadegarfar, M., et al. (2017). Prevalence and predictors of post-stroke mood disorders: A meta-analysis and meta-regression of depression, anxiety and adjustment disorder. *Gen. Hosp. Psychiatry* 47, 48–60. doi:10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2017.04.001

Nie, R., Huang, C., and Fu, W. (2011). [Observation of therapeutic effect on post stroke depression treated by differentiation of spleen and stomach]. *Chin. Acupunct.* 31 (4), 325–328.

Nie, R., and Huang, C. (2013). [Post-stroke depression treated with acupuncture and moxibustion: An evaluation of therapeutic effect and safety]. *Chin. Acupunct.* 33 (06), 490–494. doi:10.13703/j.0255-2930.2013.06.006

Paolucci, S. (2017). Advances in antidepressants for treating post-stroke depression. *Expert Opin. Pharmacother.* 18, 1011–1017. doi:10.1080/14656566. 2017.1334765

Qin, B., Zhang, Y., Zhou, X., Cheng, P., Liu, Y., Chen, J., et al. (2014). Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors versus tricyclic antidepressants in young patients: A meta-analysis of efficacy and acceptability. *Clin. Ther.* 36, 1087–1095. doi:10.1016/j. clinthera.2014.06.001

Rampello, L., Nicoletti, G., Raffaele, R., and DragoF. (1995). Comparative effects of amitriptyline and amineptine in patients affected by anxious depression. *Neuropsychobiology* 31, 130–134. doi:10.1159/000119183

Robinson, R. G., and Jorge, R. E. (2016). Post-stroke depression: A review. Am. J. Psychiatry 173, 221-231. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.15030363

Shao, J., and Qing, X. (2012). Effects of escitalopram on psychological status and quality of life in patients with post-stroke depression. *Chin. J. Hosp. Pharm.* 32 (13), 1047–1050.

Shi, Y., Yang, D., Zeng, Y., and Wu, W. (2017). Risk factors for post-stroke depression: A meta-analysis. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 9, 218. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2017. 00218

Song, W., Liu, Y. y., Peng, J. j., Liang, H. h., Chen, H. y., Chen, J. h., et al. (2016). Identification of differentially expressed signatures of long non-coding RNAs associated with different metastatic potentials in gastric cancer. *J. Gastroenterol.* 24 (5), 119–129. doi:10.1007/s00535-015-1091-y

Starkstein, S. E., and Hayhow, B. D. (2019). Treatment of post-stroke depression. Curr. Treat. Options Neurol. 21, 31. doi:10.1007/s11940-019-0570-5

Sun, P., Chu, H., Li, P., Wang, T., Pu, F., Wu, J., et al. (2015). [The effect of the acupuncture intervention of dredging Governor Vessel and regulating mentality for the medication treatment of post-stroke depression]. *Chin. Acupunct.* 35 (8), 753–757. doi:10.13703/j.0255-2930.2015.08.001

Sun, Y., Bao, Y., Wang, S., Chu, J., and Li, L. (2015). Efficacy on post-stroke depression treated with acupuncture at the acupoints based on ziwuliuzhu and prozac. *Chin. Acupunct.* 35 (2), 119–122. doi:10.13703/j.0255-2930.2015.02.004

Taylor-Rowan, M., Momoh, O., Ayerbe, L., Evans, J. J., Stott, D. J., and Quinn, T. J. (2019). Prevalence of pre-stroke depression and its association with post-stroke depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Psychol. Med.* 49, 685–696. doi:10.1017/S0033291718002003

van Valkenhoef, G., Dias, S., Ades, A. E., and Welton, N. J. (2016). Automated generation of node-splitting models for assessment of inconsistency in network meta-analysis. *Res. Synth. Methods* 7, 80–93. doi:10.1002/jrsm.1167

van Valkenhoef, G., Lu, G., de Brock, B., Hillege, H., Ades, A. E., and Welton, N. J. (2012). Automating network meta-analysis. *Res. Synth. Methods* 3, 285–299. doi:10. 1002/jrsm.1054

Villa, R. F., Ferrari, F., and Moretti, A. (2018). Post-stroke depression: Mechanisms and pharmacological treatment. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 184, 131–144. doi:10.1016/j.pharmthera.2017.11.005

Wang, G., and Qiu, G. (2015). Efficacy of sertraline on depression and effect on IL-6 IL-1 β TNF- α in stroke patients. *Anhui Med. J.* 11, 1384–1386. doi:10.3969/j. issn.1000-0399.2015.11.021

Wang, G., Zhen, L., and Zhang, S. (2017). Comparison of efficacy between escitalopram and paroxetine in the treatment of elderly patients with chronic cerebral infarction depression. *Pract. Geriatr.* 31 (6), 578–581.

Wang, L., Yu, X., Wang, H., Lu, Y. Z., de Ruiter, M., Prins, M., et al. (2011). A novel class of heat-responsive small RNAs derived from the chloroplast genome of Chinese cabbage (Brassica rapa). *BMC Genomics* 13 (2), 289–291. doi:10.1186/ 1471-2164-12-289

Wang, R., Zhao, C., and Qin, X. (2020). Clinical efficacy and mechanism of low frequency repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation combined with paroxetine in the treatment of post-stroke depression. *Neural Inj. Funct. Reconstr.* 15 (6), 349–351. doi:10.16780/j.cnki.sjssgncj.20190548

Wang, X. (2009). Effect of paroxetine on 55 cases of post-stroke depression. Chin. Community Dr. 11 (7), 11. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1007-614x.2009.07.008

Wei, H., Wu, Z., and Gao, Y. (2014). Efficacy of citalopram in the treatment of depression after cerebral infarction in the elderly. *Chin J Clin. Ration. Drug Use* 17, 59–60.

Wu, J. (2009). Clinical observation of sertraline in the treatment of post-stroke depression. *Chin. J. Pract. Nerv. Dis.* 12 (19), 33–34. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1673-5110. 2009.19.019

Wu, J. (2010). [Clinical observation on acupuncture treatment of 150 cases of post-stroke depression according to syndrome differentiation]. *Acupunct. Res.* 35 (4), 303–306.

Wu, S., Chen, X. Q., Kong, X., Yin, P. L., Dong, L., Liao, P. Y., et al. (2016). Characteristics of respiratory syncytial virus-induced bronchiolitis co-infection with Mycoplasma pneumoniae and add-on therapy with montelukast. *World J. Pediatr.* 3, 88–95. doi:10.1007/s12519-015-0024-4

Wu, S., Xu, J., and Guo, H. (2013). A comparative study of escitalopram and paroxetine in the treatment of post-stroke depression in the elderly. *Hei long jiang Med. J.* 37 (1), 8–10. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1004-5775.2013.01.003

Yan, D., Shan, J., Ze, Y., Xiao-Yan, Z., and Xiao-Hua, H. (2015). The effects of combined hyperbaric oxygen therapy on patients with post-stroke depression. *J. Phys. Ther. Sci.* 27 (5), 1295–1297. doi:10.1589/jpts.27.1295

Yang, H., Zhang, Y., and Xu, Y. (2019). Efficacy of high frequency rTMS combined with paroxetine in the treatment of post-stroke depression. *Yunnan Med. J.* 40 (6), 552–554.

Ye, B., and Ye, D. (2018). Effects of sertraline on hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenergic axis and cognitive function in depressed patients after ischemic stroke. *China Mod. Dr.* 56 (25), 38–41.

You, Y., Zhang, T., Shu, S., Qian, X., Zhou, S., and Yao, F. (2020). Wrist-ankle acupuncture and fluoxetine in the treatment of post-stroke depression: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *J. Traditional Chin. Med.* 40 (3), 455–460. doi:10.19852/j.cnki.jtcm.2020.03.014

Zhang, C. (2005). The brain-resuscitation acupuncture method for treatment of post wind-stroke mental depression--a report of 45 cases. *J. Tradit. Chin. Med.* 25 (4), 243–246.

Zhang, Z-J., Chen, H-Y., Yip, K., Ng, R., and Wong, V. T. (2010). The effectiveness and safety of acupuncture therapy in depressive disorders: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Affect. Disord.* 124, 9–21. doi:10.1016/j. jad.2009.07.005

Zhao, F., Xu, S., and Zhang, Q. (2005). Comparison of citalopram and venlafaxine in improving post-stroke depression. *Chin. J. Clin. Rehabilitation* 9 (12), 12–13. doi:10.3321/j.issn:1673-8225.2005.12.014

Zhao, Y., and Li, H. (2006). Clinical observation on 23 cases of post-stroke depression treated by acupuncture. J. Clin. Acupunct. moxibustion 22 (7), 19–20. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1005-779.2006.07.008

Zhou, L., Wang, T., Yu, Y., Li, M., Sun, X., Song, W., et al. (2022). The etiology of poststroke-depression: A hypothesis involving HPA axis. *Biomed. Pharmacother*. 151, 113146. doi:10.1016/j.biopha.2022.113146

Zhou, X. H. (2009). Clinical observation of Shuganjieyutang in the treatment of post cerebral infarction depression. *Shandong Med. J.* 49 (20), 22. doi:10.3969/j.issn. 1002-266X.2009.20.049