#### Check for updates

#### OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Fanfan Zhou, The University of Sydney, Australia

REVIEWED BY Salvador F Aliño, University of Valencia, Spain Luigi Rigacci, Policlinico Universitario Campus Bio-Medico, Italy

\*CORRESPONDENCE Ming Zhong, zhongming2sdu@163.com Lu Han, Jinan, luhan\_sdu@163.com

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Pharmacology of Anti-Cancer Drugs, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

RECEIVED 03 October 2022 ACCEPTED 16 November 2022 PUBLISHED 01 December 2022

#### CITATION

Lu B, Shen L, Ma Y, Qi J, Li Y, Wang Z, Han L and Zhong M (2022), Cardiovascular adverse events associated with cyclophosphamide, pegylated liposomal doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone with or without rituximab ((R)-CDOP) in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13:1060668. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.1060668

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Lu, Shen, Ma, Qi, Li, Wang, Han and Zhong. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms. Cardiovascular adverse events associated with cyclophosphamide, pegylated liposomal doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone with or without rituximab ((R)-CDOP) in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Bin Lu<sup>1</sup>, Longfei Shen<sup>1</sup>, Ying Ma<sup>2</sup>, Jia Qi<sup>1,3</sup>, Yulin Li<sup>1</sup>, Zhihao Wang<sup>2</sup>, Lu Han<sup>4</sup>\* and Ming Zhong<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>The Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Remodeling and Function Research, Chinese Ministry of Education, Chinese National Health Commission and Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences, The State and Shandong Province Joint Key Laboratory of Translational Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Cardiology, Qilu Hospital, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China, <sup>2</sup>Shandong Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Proteomics, Department of Geriatric Medicine, Qilu Hospital, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong, China, <sup>3</sup>Department of Cardiology, Zibo Central Hospital, Zibo, Shandong, China, <sup>4</sup>Department of General Practice, Qilu Hospital, Cheeloo College of Medicine, Shandong, China, Shandong, China

**Background:** The (R)-CDOP combination regimen, based on pegylated liposomal doxorubicin, is increasingly used for elderly patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. However, the cardiotoxicity and efficacy of the (R)-CDOP regimen compared with conventional anthracyclines have not been demonstrated in the general population. Therefore, this systematic review and meta-analysis evaluated the risk of cardiotoxicity and efficacy associated with the (R)-CDOP regimen in patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma.

**Methods:** PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, CNKI, WanFang Database, and VIP were searched. The search covered the period from the start of the clinical use of (R)-CDOP to April 2022. We searched the literature for cardiovascular adverse events associated with (R)-CDOP in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. The data were analyzed using R 4.2.0 and Stata 12.0.

**Results:** From the included studies, the important findings were as follows: total cardiovascular event rate, 7.45% (95% confidence interval [CI] = 4.86%– 10.44%); non-serious cardiovascular adverse event rate, 6.48% (95% CI = 3.70%–9.8%); serious cardiovascular adverse event rate, 0.67% (95% CI = 0.00%–2.12%); heart failure rate, 0.55% (95% CI = 0.00%–1.93%); rate of treatment discontinuation attributable to left ventricular dysfunction or heart failure, 0.02% (95% CI = 0.00%–0.57%); and cardiovascular death rate, 0.00%

(95% CI = 0.00%-0.37%). Compared with the (R)-CHOP regimen, the (R)-CDOP regimen reduced the risk of cardiovascular events, including total cardiovascular adverse events (odds ratio [OR] = 0.161, 95% CI = 0.103-0.251, p < 0.001, and NNT = 3.7), non-serious cardiovascular adverse events (OR = 0.171, 95% CI = 0.093-0.314, p < 0.001, and NNT = 3.6), serious cardiovascular adverse events (OR = 0.252, 95% CI = 0.119-0.535, p < 0.001, and NNT = 6.8), and heart failure (OR = 0.294, 95% CI = 0.128-0.674, p = 0.004, and NNT = 9.5). To evaluate the survival benefits, we compared (R)-CDOP and (R)-CHOP regimens. We found that the (R)-CDOP regimen was no less efficacious, including complete remission (CR) (OR = 1.398, 95% CI = 0.997-1.960, and p = 0.052), partial response (PR) (OR = 1.631, 95% CI = 1.162-2.289, and p = 0.005), objective response rate (ORR) (OR = 2.236, 95% CI = 1.594-3.135, and p < 0.001), stable disease (SD) (OR = 0.526, 95% CI = 0.323-0.894, and p = 0.017).

**Conclusion:** Our findings suggested that the (R)-CDOP regimen had a lower risk of cardiovascular adverse events in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma than the (R)-CHOP regimen, demonstrating its safety with regard to cardiotoxicity. In addition, this study found the (R)-CDOP regimen was no less efficacious than the (R)-CHOP regimen in the treatment of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. These findings need to be validated by higher-quality research because of the limited number and quality of included studies.

#### KEYWORDS

non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, liposomes, (R)-CDOP combination regimen, cardiotoxicity, adverse cardiovascular events

# Introduction

Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) is a lymphoid malignancy with a variety of biological and clinical behaviors, usually involving lymphatic and hematopoietic tissues but also other organs (Ganapathi, Brown, Prakash, & Bhargava, 2021). Most patients usually have persistent painless lymphadenopathy, but some patients also develop systemic symptoms such as night sweats, persistent fever, and unexplained weight loss (Bowzyk Al-Naeeb, Ajithkumar, Behan, & Hodson, 2018). NHL is the most common hematological malignancy worldwide, accounting for nearly 3% of all cancer diagnoses, and its average age of diagnosis is 67 years (Thandra et al., 2021). Patients with NHL are generally elderly, and they often have cardiovascular risk factors or a history of heart disease, making them more susceptible to cardiotoxicity caused by chemotherapeutic drugs (Abuamsha, Kadri, & Hernandez, 2019). In addition to being a reason for treatment discontinuation, severe cardiotoxicity may be a reason for serious or even lifethreatening events.

The (R)-CHOP (rituximab, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, doxorubicin, and prednisone) regimen, an anthracycline-based regimen, is often the first-line treatment for NHL, particularly for diffuse large B-cell

lymphoma (Sehn & Salles, 2021). Cardiotoxicity is a particular complication of anthracyclines. The cumulative dose of anthracyclines has been reported consistently as an important factor causing cardiotoxicity. Swain SM et al. found that if the dosage of anthracyclines was greater than 550 mg/ m<sup>2</sup>, it would cause relevant cardiomyopathy in 26% of patients (Swain, Whaley, & Ewer, 2003). At present, the main measure to prevent related cardiotoxicity is to reduce the cumulative dose to less than 450 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, but this also limits efficacy and cannot prevent all cardiovascular adverse events (Jones et al., 2021). Due to the uncertainty of the predictors of cardiotoxicity, the optimal monitoring strategy has not been agreed upon. Therefore, the individual management of chemotherapy drugs is still challenging. Doxorubicin liposome is a nanoparticle-based anti-tumor drug approved by the FDA and has been widely used to treat a variety of tumors (Herrmann et al., 2022). However, their clinical application has been limited by their structural instability, drug leakage, short shelf life, and poor tissue targeting. Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (PLD) is a new type of liposome, in which macromolecular polyethylene glycol (PEG) is coated with doxorubicin on the surface, which makes the release rate of doxorubicin from the heart much lower than that from other tissues, effectively reducing the cardiotoxicity of doxorubicin (O'Brien et al., 2004;



Theodoulou & Hudis, 2004). PLD is increasingly used to treat elderly patients with NHL, providing a new strategy for elderly patients. Despite the good safety of PLD and the widespread use of (R)-CDOP in the population, it is unknown whether the use of multiple drugs increases the risk of cardiotoxicity compared with that of PLD alone.

To obtain better scientific evidence, we conducted a metaanalysis to assess the risk of cardiovascular adverse events associated with the (R)-CDOP regimen. Furthermore, we explored the cardiovascular adverse event risk and efficacy of the (R)-CDOP regimen compared with the (R)-CHOP regimen.

# Methods

## Search strategy and study selection

PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, CNKI, WanFang Database, and VIP were searched. The search covered the period from the start of the clinical use of the (R)-CDOP regimen to April 2022. English databases were searched using combinations of the following keywords: "lymphoma," "pegylated liposomal doxorubicin," and "liposome." The search terms for Chinese databases included "lymphoma" and "liposome." The complete search string is provided in the

Reference	Country	Study type	Ν	Median age (years)	Female (%)	Population	Cycles (n)	Interval (days)	Median follow- up (months)	Toxicity grading system	Outcome indicators
Liu and Zhang (2021)	China	Retrospective cohort	40	67	48	DLBCL	6	21	36	Grades NOS	1234
Zaja et al. (2006)	Italy	Single-arm trial	29	69	45	DLBCL	6	21	24	WHO	123456
Martino, R (2002)	Spain	Single-arm trial	33	74	61	DLBCL	6	21	13	WHO	123456
Aviles, A (2002)	Mexico	Single-arm trial	20	65	60	DLBCL	6	21	18.1	WHO	123456
Tsavaris, N (2002)	Greece	Single-arm trial	25	79	36	NHL	6	21	12	WHO	123456
Oki et al. (2015)	America	Single-arm trial	80	69	NR	DLBCL	6–8	21	46	CTCAE 2.0	13456
Schmitt, C. J (2012)	Germany	Retrospective cohort	21	68	NR	NHL	4	NR	20	CTCAE	123456
Zhou et al. (2015)	China	Retrospective cohort	41	67	51	DLBCL	6	21	28	CTCAE 3.0	134
Visani, G (2005)	Italy	Cohort	13	69	38	NHL	6	21	18	WHO	123456
Fan et al. (2011)	China	Cohort	14	36	36	PTCL	6	21	15	CTCAE 3.0	123456
Yang, F.L (2019)	China	Retrospective cohort	94	61	54	DLBCL	6	21	10	Grades NOS	123456
Shen, W.N (2016)	China	Cohort	21	49	33	NHL	6	NR	NR	CTCAE 4.0	123456
Lin et al. (2020)	China	Retrospective cohort	94	70	43	DLBCL	4.5	21	61	CTCAE 4.0	12356
Shao et al. (2020)	China	Retrospective cohort	33	63	46	DLBCL	8	21	24	Grades NOS	1234
Zheng et al. (2018)	China	Cohort	21	62	48	NHL	6	21	36	Grades NOS	1234
Hu et al. (2018)	China	Cohort	30	71	45	NHL	3-4	21	24	Grades NOS	1
Jia et al. (2017)	China	Retrospective cohort	26	70	31	DLBCL	NR	21	NR	CTCAE 3.0	123456
Shen et al. (2020)	China	Retrospective cohort	23	NR	83	NHL	NR	21	NR	Grades NOS	1
Li et al. (2016)	China	Cohort	25	69	44	DLBCL	4-6	21	18	Grades NOS	123456
Huang and Lou (2016)	China	Cohort	25	47	50	DLBCL	6-8	21	24	CTCAE 4.0	15
Huang et al. (2021)	China	Cohort	15	55	47	NHL	4	NR	NR	Grades NOS	1
Guo et al. (2009)	China	Cohort	34	84	6	NHL	5.2	21	NR	WHO	123456
Wang et al. (2021)	China	Retrospective cohort	31	83	7	DLBCL	7	21-28	36	CTCAE 4.0	123456
Ye (2022)	China	Cohort	44	48	46	NHL	NR	NR	6	Grades NOS	1
Chang and Zhu (2021)	China	Retrospective cohort	37	57	54	DLBCL	NR	21	NR	CTCAE 5.0	1234
Gui et al. (2015)	China	Cohort	30	70	63	DLBCL	6	21	20.1	CTCAE 4.0	123456

TABLE 1 Baseline characteristics of single-arm studies included.

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 1 (Continued) Baseline characteristics of single-arm studies included.

Reference	Country	Study type	N	Median age (years)	Female (%)	Population	Cycles (n)	Interval (days)	Median follow- up (months)	Toxicity grading system	Outcome indicators
Li and Hu (2018)	China	Cohort	34	NR	NR	DLBCL	6	21	NR	WHO	123456
Wu et al. (2020)	China	Retrospective cohort	23	56	52	DLBCL	2	21	NR	CTCAE 5.0	123456
Song and Ding (2014)	China	Cohort	32	66	NR	DLBCL	6	21	NR	Grades NOS	123456

Abbreviations: DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; NHL, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma; PTCL, peripheral T-cell lymphoma; NR, not reported; CTCAE, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; WHO, World Health Organization; NOS, not otherwise specified; and outcome indicators (① total cardiovascular adverse events; ② non-serious cardiovascular adverse events; ③ heart failure; ⑤ treatment discontinuations due to an adverse cardiovascular event; and ⑥ treatment-related cardiovascular deaths).

Supplementary Appendix S1 (p 1). There was no language restriction. Literature tracing was performed using the references in the included studies. The complete inclusion and exclusion criteria are provided in the Supplementary Appendix S1 (p 2).

#### Outcomes

The outcomes included non-serious and serious cardiovascular adverse events. Non-serious cardiovascular adverse events included grade 1-2 cardiovascular adverse events (preferably using a wellestablished toxicity grading system to quantify severity) and grade 1-2 cardiovascular adverse events according to the CTCAE 5.0 (if the cardiovascular adverse events were not graded). Cardiovascular adverse events were considered serious if at least one of the following outcomes could be extracted: grade 3-4 cardiovascular adverse events (preferably using a well-established toxicity grading system to quantify severity), grade 3-4 cardiovascular adverse events according to the CTCAE 5.0 (if the cardiovascular adverse events were not graded), heart failure (10% decrease in the LVEF from the baseline to <53% (Herrmann, 2020)), cardiac function (grade III + IV), interruption of therapy because of the left ventricular dysfunction or heart failure, and treatment-related cardiovascular death.

#### Data extraction, evaluation, and synthesis

Two reviewers independently completed the literature search and literature screening using EndNote X9 literature management software. The reviewers used a pre-designed Excel sheet for literature extraction. Additional data were collected from the eligible studies, including first author, publication time, study region, study type, median age, the proportion of female patients, disease subtype, treatment period, treatment interval, median follow-up time, cardiotoxicity grading system, and outcome indicators. Disagreements throughout the process were resolved with a third reviewer.

### Quality assessment in individual studies

The methodological index for non-randomized controlled studies (MINORS) was used to evaluate the quality of the included studies (Slim et al., 2003). For this index, studies with scores of 0–8 points were graded C, those with scores of 9–16 points were graded B, and those with scores of 17–24 points were graded A. The classification indices are described in detail in the Supplementary Appendix S1. The complete evaluation is detailed in the Supplementary Appendix S1 (**p** 3).

## Risk of bias

Since the included studies primarily assessed the effectiveness of chemotherapy regimens rather than the occurrence of adverse effects, incomplete outcome data and selective reporting were considered important potential sources of bias. Therefore, we did not use the traditional Cochrane risk-of-bias tool to assess bias in the studies. Since the outcomes of this study were cardiovascular adverse events, we performed a quality assessment for cardiovascular adverse events (Linschoten et al., 2020). Specific information on the risk of bias is presented in the Supplementary Appendix S1 (p 4). The funnel plots of the publication bias have been included, which allows a better evaluation of the homogeneity of its distribution. Specific information on the risk of publication bias is presented in the appendix (p 5).

### Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were conducted using R (version 4.2.0) and Stata (version 12.0). To estimate the incidence of cardiovascular

#### TABLE 2 Baseline characteristics of studies with the control group.

Reference	Area	(R)-	CDOP		(R)-	СНОР		Population	Cycles (n)	Interval (days)	Median follow- up	Toxicity grading system	Outcome indicators
		N	Median age (years)	Female (%)	N	Median age (years)	Female (%)				(months)		
Zhou (2015)	China	41	67	51	62	68	45	DLBCL	6	21	28	CTCAE 3.0	134
Shao et al. (2020)	China	33	63	46	45	61	47	DLBCL	8	21	24	Grades NOS	1234
Zheng et al. (2018)	China	21	62	48	21	62	43	NHL	6	21	36	Grades NOS	12
Li et al. (2016)	China	25	69	44	25	68	40	DLBCL	4-6	21	18	Grades NOS	1234
Huang and Luo (2016)	China	25	47	50	25	44	60	DLBCL	6-8	21	24	CTCAE 4.0	1
Huang et al. (2021)	China	15	54	47	15	54	40	NHL	4	NR	NR	Grades NOS	1
Ye, J (2022)	China	44	48	46	44	46	50	NHL	NR	NR	6	Grades NOS	1
Chang and Zhu (2021)	China	37	57	54	39	51	67	DLBCL	NR	21	NR	CTCAE 5.0	10
Li and Hu (2018)	China	34	NR	NR	30	NR	NR	DLBCL	6	21	NR	WHO	1234
Wu et al. (2020)	China	23	56	52	23	52	61	DLBCL	2	21	NR	CTCAE 5.0	1234
Liu et al. (2021)	China	83	69	59	45	70	44	PTCL	6	21	12	Grades NOS	1

Abbreviations: DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; NHL, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma; PTCL, peripheral T-cell lymphoma; NR, not reported; CTCAE, Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; WHO, World Health Organization; NOS, not otherwise specified; and outcome indicators (① total cardiovascular adverse events; ② non-serious cardiovascular adverse events; ③ serious cardiovascular adverse events; ④ heart failure).

6

Study	Events	Total					Pro	portion	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Liu, C.Y 2021	3	40						0.07	[0.02; 0.20]	3.7%	3.7%
Zaja, F 2006	0	29	1	4				0.00	[0.00; 0.12]	2.7%	3.3%
Martino, R 2002	0	33	1	<u> </u>				0.00	[0.00; 0.11]	3.1%	3.4%
Aviles, A 2002	0	20	2					0.00	[0.00; 0.17]	1.9%	2.7%
Tsavaris, N 2002	0	25	1	÷				0.00	[0.00; 0.14]	2.3%	3.0%
Oki, Y 2015	11	80		· ·				0.14	[0.07; 0.23]	7.4%	4.6%
Schmitt, C. J 2012	1	21		• · ·				0.05	[0.00; 0.24]	2.0%	2.8%
Zhou, D 2015	4	41	_	1				0.10	[0.03; 0.23]	3.8%	3.8%
Visani, G 2005	1	13					-	0.08	[0.00; 0.36]	1.2%	2.1%
Fan,Y 2011	0	14	1	-2				0.00	[0.00; 0.23]	1.3%	2.2%
Yang, F.L 2019	7	94	_		-			0.07	[0.03; 0.15]	8.7%	4.8%
Shen, W.N. 2016	1	21						0.05	[0.00; 0.24]	2.0%	2.8%
Lin, X.Y 2020	19	94		- i -				0.20	[0.13; 0.30]	8.7%	4.8%
Shao, Y 2020	4	33	-	1 🔳				0.12	[0.03; 0.28]	3.1%	3.4%
Zheng, F.Y 2018	4	21		- <del>1</del>	-			0.19	[0.05; 0.42]	2.0%	2.8%
Hu, X.X 2018	6	30		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8			0.20	[0.08; 0.39]	2.8%	3.3%
Jia, C.M 2017	5	26						0.19	[0.07; 0.39]	2.4%	3.1%
Shen, B 2020	4	23			2			0.17	[0.05; 0.39]	2.2%	2.9%
Li, Z.H 2016	4	25	9	2	2		-	0.16	[0.05; 0.36]	2.3%	3.0%
Huang, J.Q 2016	3	25						0.12	[0.03; 0.31]	2.3%	3.0%
Huang, G.2021	0	15	1	i.				0.00	[0.00; 0.22]	1.4%	2.3%
Guo, B 2009	5	34		-j	2			0.15	[0.05; 0.31]	3.2%	3.5%
Wang, X.D 2021	2	31		-				0.06	[0.01; 0.21]	2.9%	3.4%
Ye, J 2022	0	44	P					0.00	[0.00; 0.08]	4.1%	3.9%
Chang, Y,Y 2021	7	37			1			0.19	[0.08; 0.35]	3.5%	3.6%
Gui, L 2015	4	30	-					0.13	[0.04; 0.31]	2.8%	3.3%
Li, J 2018	3	34	_					0.09	[0.02; 0.24]	3.2%	3.5%
Wu, X.S 2020	1	23						0.04	[0.00; 0.22]	2.2%	2.9%
Liu, S.R 2021	2	83		1				0.02	[0.00; 0.08]	7.7%	4.6%
Song, X 2014	2	32		<b>1</b>				0.06	[0.01; 0.21]	3.0%	3.4%
Common effect model		1071		4				0.08	[0.06; 0.10]	100.0%	
Random effects model				<u> </u>				0.07	[0.05; 0.10]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $l^2$ = 59%, $\tau^2$ =	0.0099, p <	0.01	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4				
FIGURE 2 Summary pooled prop	portion an	alysis o	f total car	diovascular	adverse eve	nts associate	ed with the (F	R)-CDOP	combination I	regimen.	

adverse events in patients treated with (R)-CDOP, a double-arcsine transformation was used to obtain a normal distribution appropriate for pooling, with the proportion estimates expressed as 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The (R)-CDOP regimen was compared with the (R)-CHOP regimen. Dichotomous variables were expressed as odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs. The study heterogeneity was assessed using the Q-test, with I<sup>2</sup> > 50% indicating high heterogeneity and I<sup>2</sup> < 50% indicating low heterogeneity.

# Results

In total, 2,601 studies were retrieved (PubMed, n = 30; Embase, n = 599; Cochrane Library, n = 1,535; CNKI, n =181; WanFang Database, n = 181; and VIP, n = 75). Through literature screening, 30 studies were evaluated for eligibility. The specific literature screening process is presented in Figure 1.

# General characteristics of studies included in the meta-analysis

We conducted a meta-analysis to examine the incidence of cardiovascular adverse events associated with the (R)-CDOP regimen. Thirty publications involving 1,071 patients were included. The basic characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 1. To further compare cardiovascular adverse event rates between the (R)-CDOP and (R)-CHOP regimens, 11 publications with a total of 381 patients in the (R)-CDOP group and 374 patients in the (R)-CHOP group were included. The main population characteristics are presented in Table 2.

Study	Events	Total						Proportion	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)		
Liu, C.Y 2021	3	40	_					0.07	[0.02; 0.20]	5.5%	5.2%		
Zaja, F 2006	0	29						0.00	[0.00; 0.12]	4.0%	4.5%		
Martino, R 2002	0	33	1					0.00	[0.00; 0.11]	4.5%	4.8%		
Aviles, A 2002	0	20						0.00	[0.00; 0.17]	2.8%	3.8%		
Tsavaris, N 2002	0	25	1		-			0.00	[0.00; 0.14]	3.4%	4.2%		
Schmitt, C. J 2012	0	21						0.00	[0.00; 0.16]	2.9%	3.9%		
Visani, G 2005	1	13		-				0.08	[0.00; 0.36]	1.8%	2.9%		
Fan,Y 2011	0	14						0.00	[0.00; 0.23]	2.0%	3.0%		
Yang, F.L 2019	7	94	-	-				0.07	[0.03; 0.15]	12.8%	6.8%		
Shen, W.N. 2016	1	21				-		0.05	[0.00; 0.24]	2.9%	3.9%		
Lin, X.Y 2020	19	94		:!	+			0.20	[0.13; 0.30]	12.8%	6.8%		
Shao, Y 2020	2	33	_	-				0.06	[0.01; 0.20]	4.5%	4.8%		
Zheng, F.Y 2018	4	21			2			0.19	[0.05; 0.42]	2.9%	3.9%		
Jia, C.M 2017	5	26		- it	-			0.19	[0.07; 0.39]	3.6%	4.3%		
Li, Z.H 2016	1	25						0.04	[0.00; 0.20]	3.4%	4.2%		
Guo, B 2009	5	34			2			0.15	[0.05; 0.31]	4.7%	4.9%		
Wang, X.D 2021	2	31	_					0.06	[0.01; 0.21]	4.3%	4.7%		
Chang, Y,Y 2021	7	37			*		_	0.19	[0.08; 0.35]	5.1%	5.1%		
Gui, L 2015	4	30			2			0.13	[0.04; 0.31]	4.1%	4.6%		
Li, J 2018	3	34	_			-		0.09	[0.02; 0.24]	4.7%	4.9%		
Wu, X.S 2020	1	23						0.04	[0.00; 0.22]	3.2%	4.0%		
Song, X 2014	2	32						0.06	[0.01; 0.21]	4.4%	4.8%		
Common effect model		730		-				0.07	[0.05; 0.10]	100.0%			
Random effects model	l							0.06	[0.04; 0.10]		100.0%		
Heterogeneity: $I^2$ = 55%, $\tau^2$ =	0.0091, p <	0.01	0	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4						
FIGURE 3 Summary pooled prop	FIGURE 3 Summary pooled proportion analysis of non-serious cardiovascular adverse events associated with the (R)-CDOP combination regimen												

### Literature evaluation

We assessed the quality of 30 studies using the MINORS scale. Sixteen studies were single-arm studies without a control group, and 14 studies had a control group. In total, 9, 19, and 2 studies were graded A, B, and C, respectively. The results of the quality assessment are presented in the Supplementary Appendix S1 (p 6). The results of the risk of bias are also presented in the Supplementary Appendix S1 (p 7 and p 8).

# Meta-analysis for cardiovascular adverse events

## Incidence of cardiovascular adverse events with the (R)-CDOP combination regimen

In the analysis of total cardiovascular adverse events, 30 studies with 1,071 patients were included in the analysis. The study heterogeneity was high ( $\tau^2 = 0.0099$ ,  $I^2 = 59.2\%$ , and p < 0.0001).

The total cardiovascular adverse event rate was 7.45% (95% CI = 4.86%-10.44%; Figure 2).

In the analysis of non-serious cardiovascular adverse events, 22 studies with 730 patients were included. The study heterogeneity was high ( $\tau^2 = 0.0091$ ,  $I^2 = 55.5\%$ , and p = 0.0009). The non-serious cardiovascular adverse event rate was 6.48% (95% CI = 3.70%–9.8%; Figure 3).

Twenty-four studies with 851 patients were included in the analysis of serious cardiovascular adverse events. The study heterogeneity was low ( $\tau^2 = 0.0065$ , I<sup>2</sup> = 49.2%, and *p* = 0.0037). The serious cardiovascular adverse event rate was 0.67% (95% CI = 0.00%–2.12%; Figure 4).

Twenty-three studies with 757 patients were included in the analysis of heart failure. The study heterogeneity was low ( $\tau^2 = 0.0054$ , I<sup>2</sup> = 40.2%, and *p* = 0.0249). The heart failure rate was 0.55% (95% CI = 0.00%–1.93%; Figure 5).

Twenty studies with 704 patients were included in the analysis of treatment discontinuation attributable to left ventricular dysfunction or heart failure. The study heterogeneity was low ( $\tau^2 = 0.0000$ ,  $I^2 = 0.0\%$ , and p = 0.9999). The treatment discontinuation rate was 0.02% (95% CI = 0.00%-0.57%; Figure 6).

Study	Events	Total	Р	roportion	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Liu, C.Y 2021	0	40	E	0.00	[0.00; 0.09]	4.7%	4.7%
Zaja, F 2006	0	29	<b>B</b>	0.00	[0.00; 0.12]	3.4%	4.0%
Martino, R 2002	0	33		0.00	[0.00; 0.11]	3.9%	4.3%
Aviles, A 2002	0	20	D	0.00	[0.00; 0.17]	2.4%	3.2%
Tsavaris, N 2002	0	25	De la constanción de	0.00	[0.00; 0.14]	3.0%	3.7%
Oki, Y 2015	11	80		0.14	[0.07; 0.23]	9.3%	6.3%
Schmitt, C. J 2012	1	21	<u>†</u>	0.05	[0.00; 0.24]	2.5%	3.3%
Zhou, D 2015	4	41		0.10	[0.03; 0.23]	4.8%	4.8%
Visani, G 2005	0	13	B	0.00	[0.00; 0.25]	1.6%	2.4%
Fan,Y 2011	0	14	P	0.00	[0.00; 0.23]	1.7%	2.5%
Yang, F.L 2019	0	94		0.00	[0.00; 0.04]	11.0%	6.6%
Shen, W.N. 2016	0	21	<b>B</b>	0.00	[0.00; 0.16]	2.5%	3.3%
Lin, X.Y 2020	0	94		0.00	[0.00; 0.04]	11.0%	6.6%
Shao, Y 2020	2	33		0.06	[0.01; 0.20]	3.9%	4.3%
Zheng, F.Y 2018	0	21	P	0.00	[0.00; 0.16]	2.5%	3.3%
Jia, C.M 2017	0	26	P	0.00	[0.00; 0.13]	3.1%	3.8%
Li, Z.H 2016	3	25		0.12	[0.03; 0.31]	3.0%	3.7%
Guo, B 2009	0	34	E.	0.00	[0.00; 0.10]	4.0%	4.4%
Wang, X.D 2021	0	31	<b>B</b>	0.00	[0.00; 0.11]	3.7%	4.2%
Chang, Y,Y 2021	0	37	E	0.00	[0.00; 0.09]	4.3%	4.6%
Gui, L 2015	0	30		0.00	[0.00; 0.12]	3.5%	4.1%
Li, J 2018	0	34		0.00	[0.00; 0.10]	4.0%	4.4%
Wu, X.S 2020	0	23		0.00	[0.00; 0.15]	2.7%	3.5%
Song, X 2014	0	32	B	0.00	[0.00; 0.11]	3.8%	4.2%
Common effect model	I	851	Ļ	0.01	[0.00: 0.02]	100.0%	
Random effects mode	1		-	0.01	[0.00: 0.02]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $l^2$ = 49%, $\tau^2$ =	0.0065, p <	0.01	0 0.05 0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3				
FIGURE 4 Summary pooled pro	portion an	ialysis o	f serious cardiovascular adverse events associated with t	he (R)-CDC	)P combinatio	n regimen.	

Twenty studies with 704 patients were included in the analysis of cardiovascular death. The study heterogeneity was low ( $\tau^2 = 0.0000$ ,  $I^2 = 0.0\%$ , and p = 0.9997). The cardiovascular death rate was 0.00% (95% CI = 0.00%– 0.37%; Figure 7).

## Comparison of the risk of cardiovascular adverse events between the (R)-CDOP and (R)-CHOP regimens

Eleven studies were included in the analysis of total cardiovascular adverse events, including 381 patients in the (R)-CDOP group and 374 patients in the (R)-CHOP group. The study heterogeneity was low ( $\tau^2 = 0.0331$ , I<sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, and p = 0.487). (R)-CDOP was linked to a lower risk of total cardiovascular adverse events than (R)-CHOP (OR = 0.161, 95% CI = 0.103–0.251, p = 0.000, and NNT = 3.7; Figure 8).

A total of six studies were included in the analysis of nonserious cardiovascular adverse events, including 173 patients in the (R)-CDOP group and 183 patients in the (R)-CHOP group. The study heterogeneity was low ( $\tau^2 = 0.0000$ , I<sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, and p = 0.770). (R)-CDOP reduced the risk of nonserious cardiovascular adverse events *vs.* (R)-CHOP (OR = 0.171, 95% CI = 0.093-0.314, p = 0.000, and NNT = 3.6; Figure 9).

Five studies were included in the analysis of serious cardiovascular adverse events, including 156 patients in the (R)-CDOP group and 185 patients in the (R)-CHOP group. The study heterogeneity was low ( $\tau^2 = 0.0000$ ,  $I^2 = 0.0\%$ , and p = 0.819). (R)-CDOP reduced the risk of serious cardiovascular adverse events *vs.* (R)-CHOP (OR = 0.252, 95% CI = 0.119-0.535, p = 0.000, and NNT = 6.8; Figure 10).

Five studies were included in the analysis of heart failure, including 156 patients in the (R)-CDOP group and 185 patients in the (R)-CHOP group. The study heterogeneity was low ( $\tau^2 = 0.0000$ , I<sup>2</sup> = 0.0%, and p =0.984). (R)-CDOP was linked to a lower risk of heart

Study	Events	Total							Proportion	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Liu, C.Y 2021	0	40							0.00	[0.00; 0.09]	5.3%	5.1%
Zaja, F 2006	0	29							0.00	[0.00; 0.12]	3.8%	4.3%
Martino, R 2002	0	33							0.00	[0.00; 0.11]	4.4%	4.6%
Aviles, A 2002	0	20							0.00	[0.00; 0.17]	2.7%	3.4%
Tsavaris, N 2002	0	25							0.00	[0.00; 0.14]	3.3%	3.9%
Oki, Y 2015	11	80	1	-				_	0.14	[0.07; 0.23]	10.5%	7.0%
Schmitt, C. J 2012	0	21							0.00	[0.00; 0.16]	2.8%	3.5%
Zhou, D 2015	4	41	i =		÷			_	0.10	[0.03; 0.23]	5.4%	5.2%
Visani, G 2005	0	13							0.00	[0.00; 0.25]	1.8%	2.5%
Fan,Y 2011	0	14	-						0.00	[0.00; 0.23]	1.9%	2.6%
Yang, F.L 2019	0	94	-	-					0.00	[0.00; 0.04]	12.3%	7.4%
Shen, W.N. 2016	0	21							0.00	[0.00; 0.16]	2.8%	3.5%
Shao, Y 2020	1	33							0.03	[0.00; 0.16]	4.4%	4.6%
Zheng, F.Y 2018	0	21							0.00	[0.00; 0.16]	2.8%	3.5%
Jia, C.M 2017	0	26				_			0.00	[0.00; 0.13]	3.4%	4.0%
Li, Z.H 2016	2	25	- i		1				0.08	[0.01; 0.26]	3.3%	3.9%
Guo, B 2009	0	34	-						0.00	[0.00; 0.10]	4.5%	4.7%
Wang, X.D 2021	0	31							0.00	[0.00; 0.11]	4.1%	4.4%
Chang, Y,Y 2021	0	37							0.00	[0.00; 0.09]	4.9%	4.9%
Gui, L 2015	0	30							0.00	[0.00; 0.12]	4.0%	4.4%
Li, J 2018	0	34							0.00	[0.00; 0.10]	4.5%	4.7%
Wu, X.S 2020	0	23							0.00	[0.00; 0.15]	3.1%	3.7%
Song, X 2014	0	32	-						0.00	[0.00; 0.11]	4.2%	4.5%
Common effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 40\%$ , $\tau^2 =$	0.0054, p	<b>757</b> = 0.02	0	0.05	0.1	0.15	0.2	0.25	0.01 0.01	[0.00; 0.02] [0.00; 0.02]	100.0% 	 100.0%
FIGURE 5 Summary pooled prop	portion a	nalysis o	f heart fail	ure card	iovascula	r adverse	events ass	sociated	with the (R)	-CDOP combi	nation regime	n.

failure than (R)-CHOP (OR = 0.294, 95% CI = 0.128-0.674, p = 0.004, and NNT = 9.5; Figure 11).

# Comparison of the efficacy between the (R)-CDOP and (R)-CHOP regimens

Therefore, the study was designed to compare (R)-CDOP and (R)-CHOP regimens on clinical outcomes by systematically calculating the survival benefits. Eleven studies were included in the analysis of efficacy, including 381 patients in the (R)-CDOP group and 374 patients in the (R)-CHOP group. We found that the (R)-CDOP regimen is better than the (R)-CHOP regimen, but there was no significant difference in terms of CR (OR = 1.398, 95% CI = 0.997–1.960, and p = 0.052; Figure 12). The (R)-CDOP regimen had a better OR than the (R)-CHOP regimen (OR = 1.631, 95% CI = 1.162–2.289, and p = 0.005; Figure 13). We also found that the ORR had similar changes (OR = 2.236, 95% CI = 1.594–3.135, and p < 0.001; Figure 14). In addition, we evaluated the SD and PD, and then, we found that the (R)-CDOP regimen had a lower risk than the (R)-CHOP regimen in terms of SD and PD (OR = 0.526, 95% CI = 0.356–0.776, and p = 0.001,

Figure 15; OR = 0.537, 95% CI = 0.323–0.894, and p = 0.017, Figure 16, respectively). These findings indicated that the (R)-CDOP regimen might maintain efficacy and could be considered for patients with NHL.

# Discussion

This study investigated the rate of cardiovascular adverse events with the (R)-CDOP regimen in patients with NHL. The study revealed that the (R)-CDOP combination carried a lower risk of cardiovascular adverse events than the (R)-CHOP regimen, indicating the cardiovascular safety of (R)-CDOP. In addition, the (R)-CDOP regimen might improve the prognosis compared with the (R)-CHOP regimen, providing more options for patients in the future.

Anthracyclines apply to the treatment of various types of cancers alone or in combination with other anti-tumor drugs. Mitochondrial dysfunction (Varricchi et al., 2018), disruption of calcium homeostasis (Tscheschner et al., 2019), and apoptosisrelated protein production (Merten, Jiang, Feng, & Kang, 2006; Saleme et al., 2019) are important mechanisms of the cardiotoxic

Study	Events	Total						Proportion	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Zaja, F 2006	0	29						0.00	[ 0; 0.12]	4.1%	4.1%
Martino, R 2002	0	33						0.00	[ 0; 0.11]	4.7%	4.7%
Aviles, A 2002	0	20						0.00	[ 0; 0.17]	2.9%	2.9%
Tsavaris, N 2002	0	25						0.00	[ 0; 0.14]	3.6%	3.6%
Oki, Y 2015	1	80	-					0.01	[0; 0.07]	11.3%	11.3%
Schmitt, C. J 2012	1	21						- 0.05	[ 0; 0.24]	3.0%	3.0%
Visani, G 2005	0	13						0.00	[ 0; 0.25]	1.9%	1.9%
Fan,Y 2011	0	14	-					0.00	[ 0; 0.23]	2.0%	2.0%
Yang, F.L 2019	0	94	-					0.00	[ 0; 0.04]	13.2%	13.2%
Shen, W.N. 2016	0	21						0.00	[ 0; 0.16]	3.0%	3.0%
Lin, X.Y 2020	1	94						0.01	[ 0; 0.06]	13.2%	13.2%
Jia, C.M 2017	0	26	-			_		0.00	[ 0; 0.13]	3.7%	3.7%
Li, Z.H 2016	0	25	-			_		0.00	[ 0; 0.14]	3.6%	3.6%
Huang, J.Q 2016	0	25	-					0.00	[ 0; 0.14]	3.6%	3.6%
Guo, B 2009	0	34						0.00	[ 0; 0.10]	4.8%	4.8%
Wang, X.D 2021	0	31						0.00	[ 0; 0.11]	4.4%	4.4%
Gui, L 2015	0	30						0.00	[ 0; 0.12]	4.3%	4.3%
Li, J 2018	0	34						0.00	[ 0; 0.10]	4.8%	4.8%
Wu, X.S 2020	0	23						0.00	[ 0; 0.15]	3.3%	3.3%
Song, X 2014	0	32						0.00	[ 0; 0.11]	4.6%	4.6%
Common effect model		704						0.00	[ 0; 0.01]	100.0%	
Random effects model	E		٠					0.00	[ 0; 0.01]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$	, p = 1.00			1	1	1	1				
			0	0.05	0.1	0.15	0.2				
FIGURE 6											
Summary pooled prop	ortion anal	lysis of t	reatmen	t discontinu	uation eve	nts due to t	he left ventri	cular dysfunctior	n or heart fail	ure associated	with
the (R)-CDOP combin	ation regir	men.									

effects of anthracyclines. The cardiotoxicity caused by cumulative doses of these drugs is a major limiting factor in their application (Martins-Teixeira & Carvalho, 2020). A study showed that the incidence of left ventricular dysfunction was 10%, 16%, 32%, and 65% at the cumulative doses of doxorubicin of 250, 300, 400, and 550 mg/m<sup>2</sup>, respectively (Bernstein, 2018). According to the guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO), the cumulative dose of doxorubicin  $\geq 250 \text{ mg/m}^2$  is considered a high dose (Armenian et al., 2017). To mitigate this cardiotoxicity, novel anthracyclines are increasingly used in the treatment of cancer. Although the long-term cardiotoxicity of these drugs is unclear, studies revealed that anthracycline liposomes, particularly PLD, demonstrated cardiac safety for patients (X. R. Li, Cheng, Zhang, Wang, & Huang, 2022). Yamaguchi et al. revealed that doxorubicin liposomes were less cardiotoxic than conventional doxorubicin in a metaanalysis (Yamaguchi et al., 2015). L Ansari et al. also showed lower cardiotoxicity than traditional doxorubicin in women with metastatic breast cancer treated with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin alone (Ansari et al., 2017). The rate of cardiovascular adverse events in patients who received PLDbased combination therapy for NHL has not been studied

adequately. The majority of people in this study were older than 60 years. The overall cardiovascular adverse event rate was 7.45%, indicating the safety of the (R)-CDOP regimen in the elderly population.

In the clinical use of chemotherapeutic agents, potentially lethal toxicity can lead to serious cardiovascular adverse events such as myocardial infarction, heart failure, cardiac arrest, and cardiogenic death. Therefore, we need to further investigate the severity of cardiovascular adverse events associated with (R)-CDOP (Caspani et al., 2021). Due to the differences in the incidence and severity of cardiotoxicity, a general tool is required to assess their severity, which includes the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE). Grade 3 and higher cardiovascular events often require immediate treatment (Atkinson et al., 2016). We classified cardiovascular events of grade 3 or higher, treatment discontinuation attributable to cardiovascular events, and death caused by cardiovascular events as serious cardiovascular adverse events. We found that the serious adverse cardiovascular event rate was 0.67%, including a heart failure rate of 0.55%, indicating that serious

Study	Events	Total						Proportion	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Zaja, F 2006	0	29						0.00	[ 0; 0.12]	4.1%	4.1%
Martino, R 2002	0	33						0.00	[ 0; 0.11]	4.7%	4.7%
Aviles, A 2002	0	20						0.00	[ 0; 0.17]	2.9%	2.9%
Tsavaris, N 2002	0	25						0.00	[ 0; 0.14]	3.6%	3.6%
Oki, Y 2015	1	80	-					0.01	[ 0; 0.07]	11.3%	11.3%
Schmitt, C. J 2012	1	21	-					0.05	[ 0; 0.24]	3.0%	3.0%
Visani, G 2005	0	13						0.00	[ 0; 0.25]	1.9%	1.9%
Fan,Y 2011	0	14						0.00	[ 0; 0.23]	2.0%	2.0%
Yang, F.L 2019	0	94	-					0.00	[ 0; 0.04]	13.2%	13.2%
Shen, W.N. 2016	0	21						0.00	[ 0; 0.16]	3.0%	3.0%
Lin, X.Y 2020	0	94	-					0.00	[ 0; 0.04]	13.2%	13.2%
Jia, C.M 2017	0	26						0.00	[ 0; 0.13]	3.7%	3.7%
Li, Z.H 2016	0	25						0.00	[ 0; 0.14]	3.6%	3.6%
Huang, J.Q 2016	0	25	-					0.00	[ 0; 0.14]	3.6%	3.6%
Guo, B 2009	0	34						0.00	[ 0; 0.10]	4.8%	4.8%
Wang, X.D 2021	0	31						0.00	[ 0; 0.11]	4.4%	4.4%
Gui, L 2015	0	30						0.00	[ 0; 0.12]	4.3%	4.3%
Li, J 2018	0	34						0.00	[ 0; 0.10]	4.8%	4.8%
Wu, X.S 2020	0	23						0.00	[ 0; 0.15]	3.3%	3.3%
Song, X 2014	0	32						0.00	[ 0; 0.11]	4.6%	4.6%
Common effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$	, <i>p</i> = 1.00	704	0	0.05	0.1	0.15	0.2	0.00 0.00	[ 0; 0.00] [ 0; 0.00]	100.0% 	 100.0%

#### FIGURE 7

Summary pooled proportion analysis of cardiovascular death events associated with the (R)-CDOP combination regimen.

Study	Experin Events	nental Total	Co Events	ontrol Total	(	Odds Ratio			OR	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Zhou,D 2015	4	41	17	62	- <b>!-</b>				0.29	[0.09; 0.92]	11.0%	14.3%
Shao,Y 2020	4	33	11	45	÷				0.43	[0.12; 1.48]	7.3%	12.8%
Zheng, F.Y 2018	4	21	11	21		_			0.21	[0.05; 0.85]	8.0%	10.5%
Li,Z.H 2016	4	25	22	25					0.03	[0.01; 0.13]	16.6%	7.9%
Huang, J.Q 2016	3	25	12	25		_			0.15	[0.04; 0.62]	9.5%	9.8%
Huang,G 2021	0	15	1	15					0.31	[0.01; 8.28]	1.3%	2.0%
Ye,J 2022	0	44	2	44			-		0.19	[0.01; 4.10]	2.2%	2.3%
Chang, Y.Y 2021	7	37	24	39		-			0.15	[0.05; 0.41]	17.0%	17.7%
Li,J 2018	3	34	16	30					0.08	[0.02; 0.34]	13.9%	10.5%
Wu,X.S 2020	1	23	6	23					0.13	[0.01; 1.17]	5.2%	4.3%
Liu,S.R 2021	2	83	7	45		_			0.13	[0.03; 0.68]	8.0%	7.9%
Common effect model Random effects model Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0$	l <b>l</b> D.0331, p =	<b>381</b> 0.49		374	0.01 0.1	1	<b>I</b> 10	100	0.16 0.16	[0.10; 0.25] [0.10; 0.25]	100.0% 	 100.0%
FIGURE 8												

Changes in total cardiovascular adverse events in (R)-CDOP vs. (R)-CHOP treatment.

Study	Experin Events	nental Total	Co Events	ontrol Total			Odds Rati	0		OR	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Shao,Y 2020	2	33	6	45						0.42	[0.08; 2.22]	8.1%	13.2%
Zheng, F.Y 2018	4	21	11	21						0.21	[0.05; 0.85]	15.2%	19.1%
Li,Z.H 2016	1	25	11	25	-		_			0.05	[0.01; 0.46]	18.0%	7.9%
Chang, Y.Y 2021	7	37	24	39			-			0.15	[0.05; 0.41]	32.3%	33.6%
Li,J 2018	3	34	12	30						0.15	[0.04; 0.58]	19.8%	19.0%
Wu,X.S 2020	1	23	4	23	-					0.22	[0.02; 2.10]	6.5%	7.1%
Common effect mode Random effects mode	1	173		183		+				0.17 0.17	[0.09; 0.30] [0.09; 0.31]	100.0%	
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 = 0\%$	0. p = 0.77					1							
	-,,,				0.01	0.1	1	10	100				
FIGURE 9 Changes in non-serious cardiovascular adverse events in (R)-CDOP vs. (R)-CHOP treatment.													



	Experin	nental	C	ontrol							Weight	Weight
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total			Odds Ratio		OR	95%-CI	(common)	(random)
Zhou,D 2015 Shao,Y 2020	4	41 33	17 3	62 45				_	0.29 0.44	[0.09; 0.92] [0.04; 4.41]	50.2% 10.1%	50.0% 12.9%
Li,Z.H 2016	2	25	5	25			*		0.35	[0.06; 1.99]	18.9%	22.6%
Li,J 2018	0	34	2	30				-	0.17	[0.01; 3.58]	10.7%	7.3%
Wu,X.S 2020	0	23	2	23					0.18	[0.01; 4.03]	10.1%	7.2%
Common effect mode Random effects mode	el	156		185					0.29	[0.13; 0.66] [0.13; 0.67]	100.0% 	 100.0%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 =$	0, p = 0.98					1		1	1			
					0.01	0.1	1	10	100			
FIGURE 11 Changes in heart fa	ilure adve	rse ev	ents in (	(R)-CD(	DP <i>vs.</i> (R)-0	CHOP treatr	ment.					

cardiovascular events are not likely to occur in clinical practice. Our study further found that the (R)-CDOP regimen had a 0.02% rate of treatment interruption

attributable to left ventricular dysfunction or heart failure, and no deaths attributable to cardiovascular events occurred. This suggests that the (R)-CDOP regimen can significantly

	Experin	nental	C	ontrol							Weight	Weight
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total		0	Odds Ratio		OR	95%-CI	(common)	(random)
Zhou,D 2015	26	41	36	62					1.25	[0.56; 2.82]	18.1%	17.3%
Shao, Y 2020	22	33	26	45					1.46	[0.57; 3.72]	12.7%	13.1%
Zheng, F.Y 2018	7	21	4	21					2.12	[0.51; 8.77]	4.6%	5.7%
Li,Z.H 2016	12	25	7	25				_	2.37	[0.73; 7.68]	6.3%	8.3%
Huang, J.Q 2016	11	25	10	25		8			1.18	[0.38; 3.63]	9.7%	9.0%
Huang,G 2021	7	15	5	15				_	1.75	[0.40; 7.66]	4.6%	5.2%
Ye,J 2022	2	44	0	44					5.24	[0.24; 112.25]	0.8%	1.2%
Chang, Y.Y 2021	27	37	29	39		-			0.93	[0.34; 2.59]	13.2%	10.9%
Li,J 2018	18	34	14	30					1.29	[0.48; 3.44]	12.1%	11.8%
Wu,X.S 2020	5	23	4	23		-		-	1.32	[0.31; 5.71]	5.4%	5.3%
Liu,S.R 2021	16	83	7	45					1.30	[0.49; 3.43]	12.6%	12.1%
Common effect mode	el	381		374			+		1.41	[1.01; 1.97]	100.0%	
Random effects mod	el						•		1.40	[1.00; 1.96]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 =$	= 0, <i>p</i> = 0.99				1		-	10	100			
					0.01	0.1	1	10	100			
FIGURE 12												

Analysis of complete remission (CR) in (R)-CDOP vs. (R)-CHOP treatment.



prolong patient survival by avoiding treatment interruption or death attributable to cardiotoxicity, thus providing greater benefit to patients.

The (R)-CDOP regimen is often used as an alternative to the (R)-CHOP regimen. However, the cardiac safety of the former regimen is unclear in the overall NHL treatment population. Further studies are still needed to determine whether the multidrug combinations increase cardiotoxicity. This study revealed that (R)-CDOP carried lower risks of total (OR = 0.161) and non-serious cardiovascular adverse events (OR = 0.171) than the (R)-CHOP group, implying a reduced risk of non-fatal cardiotoxicities, such as ECG abnormalities that do not

require treatment, asymptomatic cardiac insufficiency, and minor abnormal cardiac laboratory results, for (R)-CDOP. Serious cardiovascular adverse events such as refractory heart failure or other difficult-to-control cardiac symptoms place a large burden on families and the entire healthcare system, which requires us to further explore the severity of cardiovascular adverse events. This study recorded lower risks of serious cardiovascular adverse events (OR = 0.252) and heart failure (OR = 0.294) for (R)-CDOP, providing evidence of its cardiovascular safety in the treatment of NHL. In addition, the meta-analysis of the efficacy suggested that R-CDOP might provide another option for patients with NHL.

	Experimental		Co	ontrol				Weight	Weight
Study	Events	Total	Events	Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	(common)	(random)
Zhou,D 2015	31	41	43	62		1.37	[0.56; 3.35]	18.0%	14.3%
Shao,Y 2020	28	33	29	45		3.09	[1.00; 9.57]	8.0%	8.9%
Zheng, F.Y 2018	14	21	10	21		2.20	[0.63; 7.66]	7.2%	7.3%
Li,Z.H 2016	20	25	12	25		4.33	[1.23; 15.21]	5.2%	7.3%
Huang, J.Q 2016	21	25	19	25		1.66	[0.41; 6.78]	6.6%	5.8%
Huang,G 2021	12	15	8	15		- 3.50	[0.69; 17.71]	3.4%	4.3%
Ye,J 2022	30	44	20	44		2.57	[1.08; 6.13]	13.7%	15.2%
Chang, Y.Y 2021	35	37	37	39		0.95	[0.13; 7.09]	4.2%	2.8%
Li,J 2018	28	34	22	30		1.70	[0.51; 5.62]	8.9%	8.0%
Wu,X.S 2020	20	23	15	23		3.56	[0.80; 15.72]	4.2%	5.2%
Liu,S.R 2021	49	83	18	45		2.16	[1.03; 4.53]	20.6%	20.9%
Common effect mode	1	381		374	∔	2.24	[1.60: 3.14]	100.0%	
Random effects mode	- 					2.24	[1.59: 3.14]		100.0%
Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\% \tau^2 = 0.0 = 0.03$						2.2.1	[]		
neterogeneity. 7 = 076, t =	ο, μ = 0.55				0.1 0.5 1 2 10				
FIGURE 14									

Analysis of objective response rate (ORR) in (R)-CDOP vs. (R)-CHOP treatment.



To mitigate the occurrence of serious cardiovascular adverse events, cardioprotective agents have been used in combination with anthracyclines. Dexrazoxane is the only drug approved by the FDA for the prevention of cardiotoxicity (Yu et al., 2020). Some clinical trials are currently investigating cardioprotective agents for anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicities, such as betablockers and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. Caspani et al. noted that RAAS blockers, beta-blockers, and aldosterone antagonists provided a statistically significant benefit in the prevention of reduced left ventricular ejection fraction, but this positive effect remains to be confirmed (Caspani et al., 2021). The OVERCOME trial showed that patients with hematological tumors who took enalapril or carvedilol had a lower frequency of heart failure, LVEF <45%, or sudden cardiac death compared with the placebo group (Bosch et al., 2013). Therefore, the use of combination chemotherapy and prevention of chemotherapy-related cardiotoxicity will require a concerted effort by cardiologists and hematologists.

This meta-analysis provided evidence for the cardiac safety of (R)-CDOP combination for NHL, but several limitations must be noted. First, the definition of cardiovascular adverse events was not uniform in some of the studies, which may have affected the incidence of the reported adverse events. Second, single-arm studies include some heterogeneity, which may have

Study	Experin Events	nental Total	Co Events	ontrol Total	Odds Ratio	OR	95%-CI	Weight (common)	Weight (random)
Zhou,D 2015	2	41	2	62	<del></del>	1.54	[0.21; 11.38]	3.3%	6.5%
Shao,Y 2020	4	33	9	45		0.55	[0.15; 1.98]	14.8%	16.0%
Zheng, F.Y 2018	2	21	4	21		0.45	[0.07; 2.76]	8.0%	7.8%
Li,Z.H 2016	1	25	5	25		0.17	[0.02; 1.55]	10.6%	5.2%
Huang, J.Q 2016	1	25	2	25		0.48	[0.04; 5.65]	4.2%	4.3%
Huang,G 2021	1	15	3	15		0.29	[0.03; 3.12]	6.2%	4.5%
Ye,J 2022	2	44	8	44		0.21	[0.04; 1.07]	16.8%	10.0%
Chang, Y.Y 2021	1	37	2	39		0.51	[0.04; 5.92]	4.2%	4.3%
Li,J 2018	1	34	2	30		0.42	[0.04; 4.93]	4.6%	4.3%
Wu,X.S 2020	1	23	2	23		0.48	[0.04; 5.66]	4.2%	4.2%
Liu,S.R 2021	16	83	10	45	- <del>: -</del>	0.84	[0.34; 2.03]	23.1%	32.8%
Common effect mode Random effects mode Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 0\%$ , $\tau^2 =$	el el = 0, p = 0.91	381		374	0.1 0.5 1 2 10	0.51 0.54	[0.31; 0.84] [0.32; 0.89]	100.0% 	 100.0%
FIGURE 16 Analysis of progressive disease (PD) in (R)-CDOP vs. (R)-CHOP treatment.									

affected the accuracy of the results. Finally, some of the included studies had small sample sizes, and the studies did not provide detailed classifications of cardiovascular adverse events.

contributed to the study conception and design. BL, LS, and YM drafted the manuscript, revised it critically for important intellectual content, and gave approval for the final version.

# Conclusion

The (R)-CDOP regimen had a lower cardiovascular risk and was no less efficacious than (R)-CHOP in the treatment of NHL. This analysis suggested that (R)-CDOP therapy is useful in clinical practice and clinical reference. Due to the limitations regarding the number and quality of the included studies, these findings must be validated by additional high-quality studies.

## Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/Supplementary Material; further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors.

# Author contributions

BL, JQ, and YL contributed to the literature review and preparation of the manuscript. MZ, LH, and ZW

# **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar. 2022.1060668/full#supplementary-material

# References

Abuamsha, H., Kadri, A. N., and Hernandez, A. V. (2019). Cardiovascular mortality among patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma: Differences according to lymphoma subtype. *Hematol. Oncol.* 37 (3), 261–269. doi:10.1002/hon.2607

Ansari, L., Shiehzadeh, F., Taherzadeh, Z., Nikoofal-Sahlabadi, S., Momtazi-Borojeni, A. A., Sahebkar, A., et al. (2017). The most prevalent side effects of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin monotherapy in women with metastatic breast cancer: A systematic review of clinical trials. *Cancer Gene Ther.* 24 (5), 189–193. doi:10.1038/cgt.2017.9

Armenian, S. H., Lacchetti, C., Barac, A., Carver, J., Constine, L. S., Denduluri, N., et al. (2017). Prevention and monitoring of cardiac dysfunction in survivors of adult cancers: American society of clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 35 (8), 893–911. doi:10.1200/jco.2016.70.5400

Atkinson, T. M., Ryan, S. J., Bennett, A. V., Stover, A. M., Saracino, R. M., Rogak, L. J., et al. (2016). The association between clinician-based common terminology criteria for adverse events (CTCAE) and patient-reported outcomes (PRO): A systematic review. *Support. Care Cancer* 24 (8), 3669–3676. doi:10.1007/s00520-016-3297-9

Avilés, A., Neri, N., Castañeda, C., Talavera, A., Huerta-Guzmán, J., and González, M. (2002). Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in combination chemotherapy in the treatment of previously untreated aggressive diffuse large-B-cell lymphoma. *Med. Oncol.* 19 (1), 55–58. doi:10.1385/mo:19:1:55

Bernstein, D. (2018). Anthracycline cardiotoxicity: Worrisome enough to have you quaking? *Circ. Res.* 122 (2), 188–190. doi:10.1161/circresaha.117.312395

Bosch, X., Rovira, M., Sitges, M., Domènech, A., Ortiz-Pérez, J. T., de Caralt, T. M., et al. (2013). Enalapril and carvedilol for preventing chemotherapy-induced left ventricular systolic dysfunction in patients with malignant hemopathies: The OVERCOME trial (preventiOn of left ventricular dysfunction with enalapril and caRvedilol in patients submitted to intensive ChemOtherapy for the treatment of malignant hEmopathies). *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* 61 (23), 2355–2362. doi:10.1016/j. jacc.2013.02.072

Bowzyk Al-Naeeb, A., Ajithkumar, T., Behan, S., and Hodson, D. J. (2018). Non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Bmj* 362, k3204. doi:10.1136/bmj.k3204

Caspani, F., Tralongo, A. C., Campiotti, L., Asteggiano, R., Guasti, L., and Squizzato, A. (2021). Prevention of anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Intern. Emerg. Med.* 16 (2), 477–486. doi:10.1007/s11739-020-02508-8

Chang, Y. Y., and Zhu, B. (2021). Clinical evaluation of R-CDOP regimen containing pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in treatment of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. J. Pract. Oncol. 36 (05), 429-434. doi:10.13267/j.cnki.syzlzz.2021.086

Fan, Y., Lin, N. M., Luo, L. H., Fang, L., Huang, Z. Y., Yu, H. F., et al. (2011). Pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic study of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin combination (CCOP) chemotherapy in patients with peripheral T-cell lymphomas. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* 32 (3), 408–414. doi:10.1038/aps.2010.217

Ganapathi, K. A., Brown, L. E., Prakash, S., and Bhargava, P. (2021). New developments in non-Hodgkin lymphoid malignancies. *Pathology* 53 (3), 349–366. doi:10.1016/j.pathol.2021.01.002

Gui, L., Shi, Y., K., Yang, J. L., Liu, P., and Qin, Y. (2015). Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in CHOP regimen for untreated elderly patients with advanced diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: Results from a prospective phase II study. *Chin. J. Clin. Oncol.* 42 (03), 162–166. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1000-8179.20141732

Guo, G., Zhu, H. L., Li, S. X., Lu, X. C., and fan, H. (2009). Clinical study of doxorybicin hydrochloride liposome-containing regimens on elderly patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Chin. J. Heal Care Med.* 11 (04), 272–274.

Herrmann, J. (2020). Adverse cardiac effects of cancer therapies: Cardiotoxicity and arrhythmia. Nat. Rev. Cardiol. 17 (8), 474–502. doi:10.1038/s41569-020-0348-1

Herrmann, J., Lenihan, D., Armenian, S., Barac, A., Blaes, A., Cardinale, D., et al. (2022). Defining cardiovascular toxicities of cancer therapies: An international cardio-oncology society (IC-OS) consensus statement. *Eur. Heart J.* 43 (4), 280–299. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehab674

Hu, X. X., Cheng, Y. X., and Ding, X. L. (2018). Clinical efficacy and safety of the first line of doxorubicin liposome (PLD) in elderly patients with lymphoma. *Chin. Comm. Doc.* 34 (10), 53–54.

Huang, G., Liao, J. J., and Huang, J., X. (2021). Evaluation of the clinical efficacy and safety of liposomal adriamycin in the treatment of malignant lymphoma. *Contemp. Med.* 27 (33), 150–151. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1009-4393.2021.33.063

Huang, J. Q., and Luo, B. H. (2016). A comparative study of liposomal doxorubicin and doxorubicin in the treatment of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Strait Pharm. J. Strait Pharm J* 28 (07), 118–121. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1006-3765.2016. 07.054

Jia, C. M., Bai, L. Y., Kong, L. Z., Han, J. C., and Liu, A. C. (2017). Therapeutic effects of liposomal doxorubicin in elderly patients with B-cell lymphoma. *J. Harbin Med. Univ. J Harbin Med Univ* 51 (04), 345–347.

Jones, R. L., Wagner, A. J., Kawai, A., Tamura, K., Shahir, A., Van Tine, B. A., et al. (2021). Prospective evaluation of doxorubicin cardiotoxicity in patients with advanced soft-tissue sarcoma treated in the ANNOUNCE phase III randomized trial. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 27 (14), 3861–3866. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-20-4592

Li, J., and Hu, R. (2018). Efficacy and safety of R-CHOP regimen containing pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in the treatment of elderly patients with primary diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Mod. Oncol.* 26 (01), 0102–0105. doi:10.3969/j.issn. 1672-4992.2018.01.027

Li, X. R., Cheng, X. H., Zhang, G. N., Wang, X. X., and Huang, J. M. (2022). Cardiac safety analysis of first-line chemotherapy drug pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in ovarian cancer. *J. Ovarian Res.* 15 (1), 96. doi:10.1186/s13048-022-01029-6

Li, Z. H., Xing, M. T., Zhang, Y. P., Wang, Y., and Zhan, X. R. (2016). Clinical efficiency and safety of the first-line CHOP regimen containing PLD applied to treat aged patients with advanced DLBCL. *Zhongguo Shi Yan Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi* 24 (3), 744–748. doi:10.7534/j.issn.1009-2137.2016.03.020

Lin, X., Y., Qi, F., Gui, L., Zhang, C., G., and Dong, M. (2020). The efficacy and safety profile of first- line R-CDOP like regimen in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma with cardiovascular diseases or high risk factor. *Chin. Pharm. J.* 55 (13), 1122–1127.

Linschoten, M., Kamphuis, J. A. M., van Rhenen, A., Bosman, L. P., Cramer, M. J., Doevendans, P. A., et al. (2020). Cardiovascular adverse events in patients with non-Hodgkin lymphoma treated with first-line cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (CHOP) or CHOP with rituximab (RCHOP): A systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet Haematology* 7 (4), e295–e308. doi:10.1016/S2352-3026(20)30031-4

Liu, C., and Zhang, M. (2021). Analysis and evaluation of DRCOP scheme based on polyethylene glycol liposome doxorubicin in patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* 13 (5), 5362–5367.

Liu, S. R., Zhao, J. L., Huang, J., and Luo, Y. Q. (2021). Analysis of the efficacy and adverse reactions of R- CHOP chemotherapy scheme containing pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in the treatment of peripheral T- cell lymphoma in elderly patients. *Geriatr. Health Care* 27 (05), 921–924. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1008-8296.2021.05.007

Martino, R., Perea, G., Caballero, M. D., Mateos, M. V., Ribera, J. M., de Oteyza, J. P., et al. (2002). Cyclophosphamide, pegylated liposomal doxorubicin (caelyx), vincristine and prednisone (CCOP) in elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: Results from a prospective phase II study. *Haematologica* 87 (8), 822-827.

Martins-Teixeira, M. B., and Carvalho, I. (2020). Antitumour anthracyclines: Progress and perspectives. *ChemMedChem* 15 (11), 933–948. doi:10.1002/cmdc. 202000131

Merten, K. E., Jiang, Y., Feng, W., and Kang, Y. J. (2006). Calcineurin activation is not necessary for doxorubicin-induced hypertrophy in H9c2 embryonic rat cardiac cells: Involvement of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase-Akt pathway. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 319 (2), 934–940. doi:10.1124/jpet.106.108845

O'Brien, M. E., Wigler, N., Inbar, M., Rosso, R., Grischke, E., Santoro, A., et al. (2004). Reduced cardiotoxicity and comparable efficacy in a phase III trial of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin HCl (CAELYX/Doxil) versus conventional doxorubicin for first-line treatment of metastatic breast cancer. *Ann. Oncol.* 15 (3), 440–449. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdh097

Oki, Y., Ewer, M. S., Lenihan, D. J., Fisch, M. J., Hagemeister, F. B., Fanale, M., et al. (2015). Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin replacing conventional doxorubicin in standard R-CHOP chemotherapy for elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: An open label, single arm, phase II trial. *Clin. Lymphoma Myeloma Leuk.* 15 (3), 152–158. doi:10.1016/j.clml.2014.09.001

Saleme, B., Gurtu, V., Zhang, Y., Kinnaird, A., Boukouris, A. E., Gopal, K., et al. (2019). Tissue-specific regulation of p53 by PKM2 is redox dependent and provides a therapeutic target for anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 11 (478), eaau8866. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aau8866

Schmitt, C. J., Dietrich, S., Ho, A. D., and Witzens-Harig, M. (2012). Replacement of conventional doxorubicin by pegylated liposomal doxorubicin is a safe and effective alternative in the treatment of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma patients with cardiac risk factors. *Ann. Hematol.* 91 (3), 391–397. doi:10.1007/s00277-011-1308-y

Sehn, L. H., and Salles, G. (2021). Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 384 (9), 842–858. doi:10.1056/NEJMra2027612

Shao, Y., Tang, S. H., Lu, Y., Zhang, P. S., Liu, X. H., Chen, D., et al. (2020). The efficacy and safety of R-CDOP regimen in the treatment of large mass and/or multisite extranodal of diffuse large B-cell lymphomain 2 years. *J. Clin. Hematol.* 33 (07), 481–485+492. doi:10.13201/j.issn.1004-2806.2020.07.009

Shen, B., Li, Y., Lu, X. L., Wu, H. B., and Zhao, X. Y. (2020). Pharmacoeconomic study of anthracycline in the treatment of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Clin. Med. J.* 18 (10), 20–23. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1672-3384.2020.10.005

Shen, W. N., Ji, D. M., Xue, K., Zhang, Q. L., Lyu, F. F., Hong, X. N., et al. (2016). A phase I dose-escalating trial of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in combination with cyclophosphamide, vincristine and prednisone for aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Zhonghua Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi* 37 (12), 1044–1048. doi:10.3760/cma.j. issn.0253-2727.2016.12.007

Slim, K., Nini, E., Forestier, D., Kwiatkowski, F., Panis, Y., and Chipponi, J. (2003). Methodological index for non-randomized studies (minors): Development and validation of a new instrument. *ANZ J. Surg.* 73 (9), 712–716. doi:10.1046/j. 1445-2197.2003.02748.x

Song, X., and Ding, L. F. (2014). Efficacy of R-OHOP regimen containing pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in the treatment of elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Chin. foreign Women Health* 9 (0), 170.

Swain, S. M., Whaley, F. S., and Ewer, M. S. (2003). Congestive heart failure in patients treated with doxorubicin: A retrospective analysis of three trials. *Cancer* 97 (11), 2869–2879. doi:10.1002/cncr.11407

Thandra, K. C., Barsouk, A., Saginala, K., Padala, S. A., Barsouk, A., and Rawla, P. (2021). Epidemiology of non-hodgkin's lymphoma. *Med. Sci.* 9 (1), 5. doi:10.3390/medsci9010005

Theodoulou, M., and Hudis, C. (2004). Cardiac profiles of liposomal anthracyclines: Greater cardiac safety versus conventional doxorubicin? *Cancer* 100 (10), 2052–2063. doi:10.1002/cncr.20207

Tsavaris, N., Kosmas, C., Vadiaka, M., Giannouli, S., Siakantaris, M. P., Vassilakopoulos, T., et al. (2002). Pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in the CHOP regimen for older patients with aggressive (stages III/IV) non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Anticancer Res.* 22 (3), 1845–1848.

Tscheschner, H., Meinhardt, E., Schlegel, P., Jungmann, A., Lehmann, L. H., Müller, O. J., et al. (2019). CaMKII activation participates in doxorubicin cardiotoxicity and is attenuated by moderate GRP78 overexpression. *PLoS One* 14 (4), e0215992. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0215992

Varricchi, G., Ameri, P., Cadeddu, C., Ghigo, A., Madonna, R., Marone, G., et al. (2018). Antineoplastic drug-induced cardiotoxicity: A redox perspective. *Front. Physiol.* 9, 167. doi:10.3389/fphys.2018.00167

Visani, G., Guiducci, B., D'Adamo, F., Mele, A., Nicolini, G., Leopardi, G., et al. (2005). Cyclophosphamide, pegylated liposomal doxorubicin, vincristine and prednisone (CDOP) plus rituximab is effective and well tolerated in poor performance status elderly patients with non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Leuk. Lymphoma* 46 (3), 477–479. doi:10.1080/10428190400013688

Wang, X. D., Guo, B., Zhai, B., Yang, B., Fan, H., Lu, X. C., et al. (2021). Doxorubicin hydrochloride liposome-based CHOP regimen in the initial treatment of elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: A retrospective study. *Zhongguo Shi Yan Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi* 29 (4), 1136–1140. doi:10.19746/j.cnki.issn1009-2137.2021.04.018

Wu, X. S., Chen, Q. J., and Zhang, X. D. (2020). Clinical efficacy and safety of R-CDOP regimen with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in first-line treatment of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Pract. Pharm. Clin. Remed* 23 (11), 992–997. doi:10. 14053/j.cnki.ppcr.202011006

Yamaguchi, N., Fujii, T., Aoi, S., Kozuch, P. S., Hortobagyi, G. N., and Blum, R. H. (2015). Comparison of cardiac events associated with liposomal doxorubicin, epirubicin and doxorubicin in breast cancer: A bayesian network meta-analysis. *Eur. J. Cancer* 51 (16), 2314–2320. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2015.07.031

Yang, F. L., Shi, M. L., Wu, X. F., and Qi, J. D. (2019). Clinical efficacy and safety of R-CDOP regimen for treatment of newly treated DLBCL patients with adverse prognostic factors. *Zhongguo Shi Yan Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi* 27 (4), 1143–1148. doi:10. 19746/j.cnki.issn.1009-2137.2019.04.024

Ye, J. (2022). Value of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in the adjuvant treatment of patients with lymphoma and its effect on body's immune function. *Acta Med. Sin.* 35 (02), 66–70. doi:10.19296/j.cnk.1008-2409.2022-02-016

Yu, X., Ruan, Y., Huang, X., Dou, L., Lan, M., Cui, J., et al. (2020). Dexrazoxane ameliorates doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity by inhibiting both apoptosis and necroptosis in cardiomyocytes. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 523 (1), 140–146. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.12.027

Zaja, F., Tomadini, V., Zaccaria, A., Lenoci, M., Battista, M., Molinari, A. L., et al. (2006). CHOP-rituximab with pegylated liposomal doxorubicin for the treatment of elderly patients with diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. *Leuk. Lymphoma* 47 (10), 2174–2180. doi:10.1080/10428190600799946

Zheng, F. Y., Zhang, X. L., and Wang, C. L. (2018). Clinical effect of liposomal doxorubicin compared with adriamycin in the treatment of lymphoma. *J. Trop. Med.* 18 (04), 501–503+518. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1672-3619.2018.04.020

Zhou, D., Li, L., Bao, C., Zhu, J., Zhu, L., Yang, X., et al. (2015). Replacement of conventional doxorubicin by pegylated liposomal doxorubicin in standard RCHOP chemotherapy for elderly diffuse large B-cell lymphoma: A retrospective study in China. *Int. J. Clin. Exp. Med.* 8 (12), 22497–22502.