Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Adrian Preda, University of California, Irvine, United States

REVIEWED BY Yong Xu, First Hospital of Shanxi Medical University, China Doris Doudet, University of British Columbia, Canada

*CORRESPONDENCE Christopher C. Abbott, i cabbott@salud.unm.edu Zening Fu, i zfu@gsu.edu

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Neuropharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

RECEIVED 18 November 2022 ACCEPTED 11 January 2023 PUBLISHED 23 January 2023

CITATION

Fu Z, Abbott CC, Sui J and Calhoun VD (2023), Predictive signature of static and dynamic functional connectivity for ECT clinical outcomes. *Front. Pharmacol.* 14:1102413. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2023.1102413

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Fu, Abbott, Sui and Calhoun. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Predictive signature of static and dynamic functional connectivity for ECT clinical outcomes

Zening Fu¹*, Christopher C. Abbott²*, Jing Sui^{1.3} and Vince D. Calhoun^{1.4}

¹Tri-Institutional Center for Translational Research in Neuroimaging and Data Science (TReNDS), Georgia Institute of Technology, Georgia State University, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, United States, ²Department of Psychiatry, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM, United States, ³State Key Laboratory of Cognitive Neuroscience and Learning, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China, ⁴Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA, United States

Introduction: Electroconvulsive therapy (ECT) remains one of the most effective approaches for treatment-resistant depressive episodes, despite the potential cognitive impairment associated with this treatment. As a potent stimulator of neuroplasticity, ECT might normalize aberrant depression-related brain function via the brain's reconstruction by forming new neural connections. Multiple lines of evidence have demonstrated that functional connectivity (FC) changes are reliable indicators of antidepressant efficacy and cognitive changes from static and dynamic perspectives. However, no previous studies have directly ascertained whether and how different aspects of FC provide complementary information in terms of neuroimaging-based prediction of clinical outcomes.

Methods: In this study, we implemented a fully automated independent component analysis framework to an ECT dataset with subjects (n = 50, age = 65.54 ± 8.92) randomized to three treatment amplitudes (600, 700, or 800 milliamperes [mA]). We extracted the static functional network connectivity (sFNC) and dynamic FNC (dFNC) features and employed a partial least square regression to build predictive models for antidepressant outcomes and cognitive changes.

Results: We found that both antidepressant outcomes and memory changes can be robustly predicted by the changes in sFNC (permutation test $p < 5.0 \times 10^{-3}$). More interestingly, by adding dFNC information, the model achieved higher accuracy for predicting changes in the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale 24-item (HDRS₂₄, t = 9.6434, p = 1.5×10^{-21}). The predictive maps of clinical outcomes show a weakly negative correlation, indicating that the ECT-induced antidepressant outcomes and cognitive changes might be associated with different functional brain neuroplasticity.

Discussion: The overall results reveal that dynamic FC is not redundant but reflects mechanisms of ECT that cannot be captured by its static counterpart, especially for the prediction of antidepressant efficacy. Tracking the predictive signatures of static and dynamic FC will help maximize antidepressant outcomes and cognitive safety with individualized ECT dosing.

Abbreviations: AUD, auditory domain; CB, cerebellum domain; CC, cognitive-control domain; CV, crossvalidation; dFNC, dynamic functional network connectivity; DM, default-mode domain; DMN, default-mode network; ECT, electroconvulsive therapy; fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging; FC, functional connectivity; HDRS24, Hamilton Depression Rating Scale 24-item; HVLT, Hopkins Verbal Learning Test; ICA, independent component analysis; ICN, intrinsic connectivity network; MDD, major depressive disorder; MNI, Montreal Neurological Institute; PLSR, partial least square regression; QC, quality control; QIDS, Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology; sFNC, static functional network connectivity; SC, subcortical domain; SM, sensorimotor domain; TC, time-course; TR, repetition time; VS, visual domain.

KEYWORDS

resting-state functional MRI, dynamic functional connectivity, ECT outcomes prediction, antidepressant outcomes, cognitive changes

Introduction

Characterized by depressed mood, abnormal psychomotor activity, and impaired cognitive function, major depressive disorder (MDD) is amongst the most prevalent debilitating diseases worldwide (Kessler et al., 2003), affecting approximately 300 million individuals in 2017 (James et al., 2018). Besides its pervasiveness, MDD also has a high recurrence rate (about 80% of patients in remittance experience at least one recurrence) (Vos et al., 2004) and shows great heterogeneity in symptoms that might influence that diagnosis and treatment (Malgaroli et al., 2021). Fortunately, there are numerous effective treatments for treatment-resistant depressive episodes, such as electroconvulsive therapy (ECT), transcranial magnetic stimulation, and other brain stimulation therapies. As an exceptionally effective medical treatment for MDD patients, ECT provides effective and safe antidepressant outcomes by applying brief electrical stimulation to the scalp to induce changes in neurotransmitter levels and improve neuroplasticity (Bahji et al., 2019).

Independent of the antidepressant outcomes, the stigma and fear of ECT-mediated cognitive impairment reduce ECT utilization (Wilkinson et al., 2021). ECT cognitive meta-analyses demonstrate acute but transient cognitive impairment immediately after the acute phase of the series (Semkovska and McLoughlin, 2010; Semkovska et al., 2011). Numerous mechanisms of ECT cognitive impairment have been proposed, including excessive neuroplasticity and disrupted long-term potentiation (Ousdal et al., 2022). However, the findings on ECT-induced changes in cognition are somehow contradictory, with substantial cognitive deficits (Sackeim et al., 2007; Semkovska and McLoughlin, 2010) and improvement in cognitive function (Bosboom and Deijen, 2006; Fujita et al., 2006) documented in the literature. Due to this inconsistency, the precise characterization of cognitive changes is still a debated topic in this area. ECT-mediated functional brain neuroplasticity has been implicated in antidepressant and cognitive outcomes (Wang et al., 2020; Fu et al., 2021c). Functional connectivity (FC) changes show relationships with ECT-induced symptom improvements and cognitive changes (Perrin et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2020), where different brain regions can have diverse associations with clinical outcomes. For instance, while left hippocampal FC did not change significantly, right hippocampal FC increased after ECT treatment, correlating with depressive symptom reduction (Abbott et al., 2014). Such regional heterogeneity of FC to ECT outcomes, when considered in isolation, poses a challenge in precisely characterizing brain signatures of ECT responses. Existing studies have used the machine learning tool to combine a set of distributed FC features into a single index for predicting ECT-induced outcomes (Moreno-Ortega et al., 2019; Long et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020). Potentially most exciting is the demonstration that combining different restingstate brain FC can increase the predictive power for the ECT treatment responses (Moreno-Ortega et al., 2019).

Despite such progress, the previous study using FC for ECT outcome prediction has been limited by an implicit assumption of spatial and temporal stationarity in the relationships between brain regions. The human brain is a highly dynamic system with continuously changing local activation and inter-communication even during the resting-state (Gray, 1990; Berger, 2011; Allen et al., 2014). The temporal variation in FC reflects the brain adaptation to both internal and external stimuli (Chang and Glover, 2010; Allen et al., 2018), which might represent functional neuroplasticity, a key brain mechanism associated with ECT. Recent studies have found prominent relationships between dynamic FC changes and antidepressant outcomes and clinical changes after ECT (Fu et al., 2021c; Dini et al., 2021). However, some challenging issues related to FC-based prediction of ECT outcomes remained unaddressed. First, previous studies focused on static FC and dynamic FC in isolation and did not combine them into the prediction model. The additional predictive potential of dynamic FC to static FC is unknown. Second, the utility of FC-predicted clinical outcomes is generally established by probing the predictive signatures for the antidepressant efficacy and cognitive deficits alone. Previous investigations have yet to disentangle distinct from potential overlapping antidepressant and cognitive FC patterns in predictive modeling.

In this work, we used an FC-based machine learning approach within full cross-validation (CV) analyses to probe reliable and robust imaging signatures for the ECT-induced antidepressant outcomes and cognitive changes from whole-brain static and dynamic FC. We hypothesized that the dynamic information in FC is not redundant but conveys additional mechanisms of ECT to the static FC analysis. Specifically, we hypothesized that 1) both antidepressant outcomes and cognitive changes can be reliably predicted by unique patterns of static FC; and 2) adding dynamic FC information improves accuracy for predicting antidepressant outcomes or cognitive changes relative to static FC alone.

Materials and methods

Study design and participants

The present study used an ECT dataset approved by the University of New Mexico Human Research Protections Office (Abbott et al., 2021). Participants signed procedural consent or assented to the investigation under protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board. 62 participants aged between 50 and 80 years old were recruited from December 2016 to September 2019, who had a diagnosis of major depressive disorder and met the clinical indication for ECT. This investigation focused on mid-life and older patients (age range: 50-80 years) with major depressive disorder. Clinically, this age range is the optimal laboratory to investigate targeted brain engagement and clinical outcomes (Abbott et al., 2021). Computer modeling has demonstrated the importance of age-related brain changes in relation to ECT-induced electric field strength (Deng et al., 2015). In addition, lateralized brain neuroplasticity is most evident in older patients treated with right unilateral electrode placement (Abbott et al., 2014; Dukart et al., 2014). Older age is also associated with an increased probability of response (Tew et al., 1999) and ECT-mediated cognitive impairment (Squire and Chace, 1996). The risk of cognitive impairment, which is both scientific (Sterling, 2000; Frank, 2002) and public (Breggin, 2008) opponents of ECT as evidence of brain damage, deters the patient or the surrogate

decision maker from this potentially life-saving treatment. The reversal of disease-related regional brain connectivity in this older patient sample is significant because it will demonstrate neuroplasticity throughout the life span as a therapeutic antidepressant mechanism. All participants tapered and discontinued their psychotropic medications before the assessment to minimize the medication confounds. Details of the inclusion and exclusion criteria can be found in (Abbott et al., 2021).

Participants were randomly assigned to three pulse amplitudes [600, 700, or 800 milliamperes (mA)] (Sackeim et al., 2000; Abbott et al., 2021), starting the ECT treatment with right unilateral electrode placement with either an ultra-brief pulse width [0.3 milliseconds (ms)] or brief pulse width (1.0 ms). Raters and subjects were blinded to randomization. Participants received clinical and cognitive assessments and the imaging session before ECT (v1), after the first six ECT treatments (v2), and within 1 week of finishing all ECT series (v3). The primary clinical outcomes are depression severity measured by the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale 24-item (HDRS₂₄) total score and cognition measured by Hopkins Verbal Learning Test (HVLT)-total Repetition (HVLT-R) and Delayed Recall (HVLT-DR) scores. If subjects had a <25% reduction in HDRS₂₄ at v2 (compared to the assessment at v1), they were switched to bitemporal electrode placement with a brief pulse for the remaining ECT series. We have uploaded the ECT imaging (unprocessed), clinical, and demographic data to the National Data Archive. More details of the study design, participants, and clinical and cognitive assessments can be found in Abbott et al. (2021).

Image acquisition and preprocessing

Resting-state functional magnetic imaging (fMRI) data were collected by a 3T-Siemens scanner with the following parameters: repetition time (TR) = 745 ms, echo time = 29 ms, flip angle = 75°, slices = 192, voxel size = $2.0 \times 2.0 \times 2.0$ millimeter (mm)³, and total acquisition time 4:58 (minutes:seconds). Participants received one or two resting-state scans at each visit. We preprocessed the resting-state fMRI data *via* a combination of the FMRIB Software Library v6.0 toolbox and the Statistical Parametric Mapping 12 toolbox, under the MATLAB 2020b environment. Specifically, we performed slice timing correction followed by distortion correction. Then we corrected the head motion and normalized the data to the standard Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space. Finally, we smoothed the fMRI data with a 6 mm Gaussian kernel.

We performed quality control (QC) on the preprocessed fMRI data for selecting subjects for further analysis. Subjects were excluded if their head motions were larger than 3 mm translations or 3° rotations. We also excluded subjects if their images did not show good normalization to the MNI standard space (by comparing the individual mask with the group mask). Details of the preprocessing and QC criteria are provided in the Supplementary Material.

Analysis flowchart

In this study, we build a machine learning model within fully CV analyses based on the static and dynamic connectivity features to investigate whether 1) functional network connectivity (FNC) features can predict ECT-induced depressive symptoms' changes and cognitive changes, and 2) dynamic features provide additional information to the predictive model to enhance the accuracy. The whole analysis framework is displayed in Figure 1. Crucially, the investigation of the predictive brain signatures from both static and dynamic perspectives allows us to understand whether and how dynamic FNC (dFNC) signatures add ECT-related information to the static FNC (sFNC) features.

Neuromark framework for extracting subjectspecific networks

In this study, we applied an automated independent component analysis (ICA)-based framework, namely Neuromark (Du et al., 2020), to estimate the functional networks and the corresponding time courses (TCs) for each subject. This Neuromark framework used two large publicly available datasets to construct a group of robust network templates. The network templates were then used as the reference in the spatial-constrained ICA to extract subject-specific networks and their TCs. The identified meaningful networks were defined as intrinsic connectivity networks (ICNs). ICNs had their activation peaks located across the whole-brain gray matter areas and were labeled according to their prior anatomical and functional knowledge. Neuromark provides comparability of networks across subjects and sessions while allowing single-scan variability in the network maps. Previous studies have shown the efficacy of the Neuromark framework in capturing robust neuroimaging biomarkers in a wide range of brain diseases (Fu et al., 2020; Tu et al., 2020; Fu et al., 2021a; 2021b). We provided more details of the Neuromark framework in the Supplementary Material.

Static functional network connectivity

After having the ICNs and TCs for each subject, we performed the post-processing steps on the TCs to remove the additional confounding effects. We first detrended linear, quadratic, and cubic trends from the TCs. Then a multiple regression was performed to regress the six realignment parameters and their derivatives. Thirdly, we removed the detected outliers from the TCs. Finally, we performed band-pass filtering with a cutoff frequency of 0.01–0.15 Hz on the TCs. Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated between the post-processed TCs to measure the sFNC. The whole-brain sFNC (C \times C, C is the number of ICNs) was further z-scored to have the zero mean and standard variation.

Dynamic functional network connectivity and global dynamism

The resting-state brain continuously integrates and coordinates different neural populations to adapt to the demands of internal and external environments. Here, we employed a sliding-window approach on the post-processed TCs to estimate the whole-brain dFNC. Within each window, a graphic lasso method was implemented to estimate the correlation matrix ($C \times C$). We chose the window size as 20 TRs and slid the window in 1 TR, resulting in a $W \times C \times C$ (W is the number of windows) array for each subject, representing the FNC changes across time.



We performed a meta-state analysis based on fuzzy k-means clustering to quantify the global dynamism of FNC (Miller et al., 2016). This analysis modeled dFNC as weighted sums of maximally independent connectivity patterns. Each dFNC was represented as a discretized vector of connectivity pattern weights, called a meta-state. Four dynamism measures were defined by the meta-state analysis, including 1) meta-states number (the number of distinct highdimensional meta-states passed through); 2) meta-states switching times (the number of times switching among different meta-states); 3) occupied meta-states range (the divergence of the meta-states); 4) overall traveled distance (the overall traveled distance among different meta-states), reflecting high dimensional dynamic properties of the whole-brain network. The effectiveness of the meta-state analysis in capturing the overall patterns of dynamic brain networks has been proved in numerous pieces of literature (Miller et al., 2016; Mennigen et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2019).

Development of FNC-based predictive models

Partial least square regression (PLSR) was employed to build predictive models for the percentage change of $HDRS_{24}$ and each of the subdomains of $HDRS_{24}$ separately. PLSR can capture reliable brain-phenotype relationships and has been widely used in predictive neuroimaging. PLSR did not require prior feature selection or prior training to achieve dimension reduction, as it worked by projecting high-dimensional features into a small set of latent components. This strength can facilitate the comparison of predictive models based on different types of features.

We placed the prediction model in a tenfold CV framework. Specifically, 90% of the data were chosen as the training set and the remaining 10% were used for the testing set. Model building was performed using another CV framework using the training set. The testing set was independent of the training process to prevent leakage between them. The predictive model, namely beta value, was directly applied to the testing set without any modifications. Considering the division of data folds was conducted randomly, we further employed shuffle-split techniques by repeating the prediction procedure 1,000 times to control this influence. Model performance was quantified as the correlation r between actual and predicted scores, averaged across 1,000 repetitions.

The sFNC changes between v1 and v3 (v1–v3) were first calculated and used as the input features in the PLSR model. Because the sFNC matrix is symmetric, we only used the upper triangle elements of the sFNC matrix, which results in 1,378 sFNC features for the predictive model. Then we added four dynamism measures, resulting in 1,382 features for the predictive model. We investigated whether adding dFNC features can improve prediction accuracy or not. We also built a PLSR model for the prediction of ECT-induced cognitive changes, which are measured by the changes in HVLT scores. A similar prediction framework was employed in building the PLSR model for predicting HVLT changes.

The relative contribution of each feature to prediction is quantified by extracting the regression coefficients from the predictive model (the returned beta in plsregress). We generated the static feature representation and the dynamic feature representation for the predictive model by averaging 10,000 weight maps (1,000 repetitions \times 10 folds). To unveil how functional domains play a disproportionate role in explaining the success of predicting ECT outcomes, we grouped the whole-brain ICNs into seven functional domains according to their anatomical and functional prior information. Next, for each pair of the functional domains (between- or within-domain), weights of all FNC were added up and then normalized by the total number of FNC belonging to that pair to control for the influence of domain size.

We examined the validity and stability of predictive models through the following analyses. First, the mean and standard deviation of the sFNC and dynamic feature weights across 1000 CV were calculated and displayed. Second, to show the significance of prediction accuracy, we performed a permutation test with 1,000 iterations. Third, to confirm that the predictive models were not biased by the potential confounding effects, we regressed out the covariates of age, sex, current, treatment number, and pulse width from the input features, and reperformed the PLSR framework based on the cleaned features.

Code availability

The codes of the Neuromark framework have been released and integrated into the group ICA Toolbox (https://trendscenter.org/software/gift/), which can be downloaded and used directly by users worldwide. Other MATLAB codes of this study can be obtained from the corresponding authors.

Results

Intrinsic connectivity networks

The Neuromark QC selected 50 subjects for the present study, demographic information is provided in Supplementary Table S1. The

selected subjects have at least one good resting-state fMRI scan at both v1 and v3 sessions that passed the QC. The Neuromark framework identified 53 meaningful ICNs across the whole brain, which were organized into seven functional domains: subcortical (SC), auditory (AUD), visual (VS), sensorimotor (SM), cognitive-control (CC), default-mode (DM), and cerebellar domains (CB). The spatial maps of ICNs (components) were displayed in Supplementary Figure S1 and details of the ICN coordinates and labels are provided in Supplementary Table S2.

Static and dynamic connectome-based prediction of ECT-induced antidepressant outcomes

The sFNC features were the correlations between 53 ICNs while the dFNC features were the four dynamism features captured by a fuzzy k-means clustering with five connectivity patterns. The number of connectivity patterns is within a reasonable range (4-7) in the previous studies. Based on the sFNC features, we built predictive models for antidepressant outcomes which are measured by HDRS₂₄. Separate models were built for the composite HDRS₂₄ and each of its sub-scores within a repeated CV framework. In Figures 2A, C, sFNC features can successfully predict the HDRS₂₄ composite score changes $(r = 0.5169 \pm 0.0444, R^2 = 0.2691 \pm 0.0453, \text{ permutation test } p < 2.0 \times$ 10⁻³). When adding dynamic features to the prediction model, the combined features can achieve better prediction accuracy ($r = 0.5358 \pm$ 0.0433, $R^2 = 0.2889 \pm 0.0455$, permutation test $p < 2.0 \times 10^{-3}$). The two-sample t-test in Figure 2B shows that using combined features provides higher prediction accuracy compared to using only static features (t = 9.6434, $p = 1.5 \times 10^{-21}$). sFNC can also predict two subscores of HDRS₂₄. Specifically, sFNC can predict changes in depersonalization score and anxiety score, with mean correlations between actual versus predicted values as $r = 0.4564 \pm 0.0557$ and r = 0.3531 ± 0.0504 (permutation test $p < 2.3 \times 10^{-2}$). Same to the HDRS₂₄ composite score, combined static features with dynamic features can increase the prediction accuracy ($r = 0.4646 \pm 0.0564$, t = 3.2626, p =0.0011, and $r = 0.4720 \pm 0.0448$, t = 55.7600, $p = 1.0 \times 10^{-30}$). We also found that the sFNC features can predict the change in sleep condition which is measured by the Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS) late sleep score ($r = 0.5394 \pm 0.0466$, $R^2 =$ 0.2931 ± 0.0491 , permutation test $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$). Combining both static and dynamic FNC features can achieve better prediction accuracy ($r = 0.6034 \pm 0.0419$, $R^2 = 0.3658 \pm 0.0502$, t = 32.2820, $p = 2.3 \times 10^{-30}$). Note that, although the prediction accuracies slightly decreased after controlling for the potential covariates, they are still significantly higher than the accuracies from the permutation test (p < 5.0×10^{-2}). More importantly, the models built on combined features can achieve consistently higher prediction accuracy than those built on sFNC features only (Supplementary Table S3).

Static and dynamic connectome-based prediction of ECT-induced cognitive changes

To exploit the FNC signatures for ECT-induced cognitive changes, we further built predictive models for cognitive performance measured by HVLT scores. Separate models were built for each of the HVLT t-scores within a repeated CV framework. We found that



the sFNC features can predict changes in the HVLT-DR score and HVLT-R score ($r = 0.4958 \pm 0.0387$, $R^2 = 0.2473 \pm 0.0381$, and $r = 0.4669 \pm 0.0403$, $R^2 = 0.2196 \pm 0.0374$, permutation test $p < 5.0 \times 10^{-3}$, Figures 3A, C). Different from the prediction of antidepressant outcomes, we found that adding dFNC features to the model did not improve the prediction accuracy ($r = 0.4590 \pm 0.0388$, $R^2 = 0.2122 \pm 0.0355$, and $r = 0.4223 \pm 0.0459$, $R^2 = 0.1805 \pm 0.0383$, permutation test $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-2}$, Figures 3A, C). Instead, using only sFNC features provided better performance in predicting cognitive changes (t = -21.2111, $p = 3.2 \times 10^{-20}$, and t = -23.0381, $p = 2.4 \times 10^{-30}$, Figure 3B). The overall prediction results are replicated after controlling for the potential confounding effects, where models built on combined features did not improve the prediction accuracy compared to those built on sFNC features only (Supplementary Table S3).

Predictive anatomy of intrinsic connectivity network and global dynamism

As we leveraged the whole-brain static connectome to make predictions, each sFNC feature obtained a predictive weight representing its contribution to each prediction task. We summarized the weight at the original FNC pair level and at the functional domain level for the predictive model of the HDRS₂₄ composite score, with the results displayed in Figure 4. Here we selected the HDRS₂₄ for the presentation because the weight maps

for antidepressant outcomes are highly similar (vs. HDRS₂₄ Depersonalization, r = 0.4310, $p = 1.1 \times 10^{-63}$; vs. HDRS₂₄ Anxiety, $r = 0.5633, p = 3.3 \times 10^{-116}$; vs. QIDS Late Sleep, $r = 0.4800, p = 2.5 \times 10^{-116}$ 10⁻⁸⁰). In the prediction of antidepressant outcomes, FNC between SC and SM domains, between SC and CB domains, and between VS and CB domains have prominent negative weights (Figures 4A, B). In contrast, FNC within the AUD domain and DM domain, between AUD and DM domains, and between SM and CB domains show prominent positive weights. CC-related FNC shows different weight patterns. On one hand, FNC between CC and AUD/SM domains demonstrate strongly negative weights, especially for FNC between the middle frontal gyrus and multiple SM ICNs and between MTG and multiple CC ICNs. On the other hand, FNC between CC and DM/VS shows obviously positive weights. Similar patterns are observed when we averaged the weights and displayed the results at the domain-pair level (Figures 4C, D). Regarding the dynamic features, only the total distance of the dynamic range shows negative weight while the other dynamism measures show positive weights.

We also summarized the weight for the predictive model of the HVLT-DR score and displayed the results in Figure 5. Note that, the weight maps for the HVLT-DR score and the HVLT-R score are highly correlated (r = 0.7576, $p = 3.6 \times 10^{-257}$). For predicting cognitive changes, FNC within CB, AUD, and DM show prominent negative weights. FNC between SM and CB, and between SM and VS have positive weights (Figures 5A, B). Again, CC-related FNC demonstrates more diverse weight maps. FNC between CC and SC shows negative weights while FNC between CC and VS, and between CC and CB show



FIGURE 3

FNC-based prediction results for cognitive changes induced by ECT. (A) Distribution of prediction accuracies across 1,000 repetitions of cross-validation and distribution of accuracies based on permutation testing across 1,000 iterations. (B) Statistical analysis between prediction accuracies based on sFNC features only and based on combined features (sFNC+dFNC). (C) Scatter plot shows prediction of changes in HVLT scores. Considering that the prediction framework was repeated 1,000 times, we only show results from the iteration with the median prediction accuracy.

more positive weights. For the dynamic features, the number of states and the change of states show negative weights while state span and total distance show positive weights. We further examined the overlap of predictive models for antidepressant outcomes and cognitive changes by evaluating the correlation between their weight maps. Their weight maps only show a weakly negative correlation (r = -0.0566, p = 0.0356). Some functional domains exhibiting great involvement in predicting both antidepressant outcomes and cognitive changes are in the same direction, while some are in opposite directions. For example, FNC between SM and CB shows positive weights for both predictive models of antidepressant outcomes and cognitive changes. In contrast, FNC between SC and CC demonstrate more negative weights in the prediction of cognitive changes while these FNC show more positive weights in the prediction of HDRS changes.

Discussion

Our work combined a fully automated ICA-based framework with a machine learning model to achieve an individual-level estimation of ECT-induced antidepressant outcomes and cognitive changes. Firstly, the Neuromark is a well-established framework for capturing reliable connectivity features that are comparable across subjects, scans, and sessions (Fu et al., 2020; 2021c; Tu et al., 2020). Unlike atlas-based analysis, this framework allows single-scan variability in network representations, which can therefore retain more scan-specific information in the connectivity features (Du et al., 2020). Secondly, we capitalized on advances in machine learning technology to characterize the connectivity signatures of antidepressant outcomes and cognitive changes in a purely data-driven manner (Jiang et al., 2022). Instead of focusing on isolated FNC pairs, the PLS prediction



FIGURE 4

Distributions of weight maps in predicting antidepressant outcomes (HDRS₂₄ composite score). (A) Distributions of raw predictive weights at the FNC pair level averaged across 10,000 CV rounds. (B) Functional connectome plots with the top 50 positive weights and top 50 negative weights. ICNs are arranged into seven functional domains with different colors. (C) The cell plots show the domain-level representation of the predictive weights. For each pair of domains (between-domain and within-domain), we averaged predictive weights of all sFNC belonging to that domain pair. Positive weights and negative weights were separately summarized for each domain pair to demonstrate their relative contribution. (D) Mean weights distribution of within-domain and between-domain sFNC in the predictive models. Error bars indicate standard deviation. (E) Mean weights distribution of dFNC features in the predictive models.

model concentrates on aggregating thousands of antidepressant outcome- and cognitive change-related FNC changes into a single measure that best captures their neural representations. Moreover, the predictive analysis combined dynamic features with static features in the modeling, which might extend our understanding of ECT outcome prediction dominated by the studies focusing on either connectivity feature alone (Perrin et al., 2012; Redlich et al., 2016; Moreno-Ortega et al., 2019; Dini et al., 2021).

We also envision the potential translational impact of the predictive FC changes for improving personalized ECT treatments. During the ECT evaluation, the clinician will need as much information as possible to individualize anticipated risks and benefits. In acute cases with episodic depressive episodes (e.g., severe depressive episodes associated with poor oral intake and acute suicidality), family history of mood disorders, or relatively short depressive episodes (<2 years), the clinical decision-making will be clear and straight-forward in favor of ECT. In the context of non-episodic depression, extensive treatment failures, or a less severe depression severity, the clinician will benefit from additional predictive biomarkers, such as neuroimaging biomarkers, to facilitate clinical decision-making. Combined with future studies that might build relationships between subjects' demographic features, pre-ECT imaging, and FC changes, our predictive models will have a significant translational impact, especially in the absence of clear clinical and demographic features.



Distributions of weight maps in predicting cognitive changes (HVLT-DR score). (A) Distributions of raw predictive weights at the FNC pair level averaged across 10,000 CV rounds. (B) Functional connectome plots with the top 50 positive weights and top 50 negative weights. ICNs are arranged into seven functional domains with different colors. (C) The cell plots show the domain-level representation of the predictive weights. For each pair of domains (between-domain and within-domain), we averaged predictive weights of all sFNC belonging to that domain pair. Positive weights and negative weights were separately summarized for each domain pair to demonstrate their relative contribution. (D) Mean weights distribution of within-domain and between-domain sFNC in the predictive models. Error bars indicate standard deviation. (E) Mean weights distribution of dFNC features in the predictive models.

Existing work has widely established that the depressive brain shows significant relationships with abnormal FC (Greicius et al., 2007; Zeng et al., 2012). Widespread FC abnormalities in major depressive individuals might give rise to a portion of the MDDrelated emotional and cognitive disturbances (Zeng et al., 2012). As one of the most popular ECT mechanisms, the neurogenic hypothesis believes that generating new neurons is beneficial for the depressive brain because of its impairment of producing neurons for mood control (Scott et al., 2000; Petrik et al., 2012). Evidence from previous FC studies demonstrates that ECT significantly affects the whole-brain FC, where the resulting FC changes show associations with clinical outcomes (Perrin et al., 2012; Abbott et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2020). A variety of functional networks are involved in ECT, including the frontoparietal control network, the default-mode network (DMN), the subcortical network, and the cerebellum network (Perrin et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2020; Pang et al., 2022). DMN, a system responsible for self-referential information processing, awareness, and memory processing is supposed to play a key role in MDD and is also promising as a target for ECT-induced antidepressant outcomes (Abbott et al., 2013; Mulders et al., 2015; Pang et al., 2022) and cognitive changes (Wang et al., 2020). Our present results are consistent with the previous findings by showing that DMN-related FNC, especially the FNC within DM, significantly contributes to the prediction models of clinical outcomes. Interestingly, we found that DMN-related FNC shows diversely positive and negative effects in the prediction of ECT outcomes, which are in line with previous studies where both increased and decreased DMN FC are observed after ECT (Li et al., 2017; Pang et al., 2022). Our study further extends the previous findings by demonstrating that DMN FC might show reverse effects in predicting antidepressant outcomes and cognitive changes. That is, more decreased FNC within DM is associated with more antidepressant outcomes and fewer cognitive side effects. In addition, our model reveals that the cerebellum is a complicated brain system implicated in ECT responses. Recent studies have shown that the cerebellum is not a pure motor-control system (Schmahmann, 2004; Schmahmann and Caplan, 2006; Schutter and van Honk, 2006) and its abnormalities show prominent associations with neural deficits in brain diseases (Andreasen, 1997; Fatemi et al., 2012; He et al., 2018). The cerebro-cerebellar neuroplasticity may also imply a potential neural pathway for the mitigation of ECT-induced side effects (Depping et al., 2017; Porta-Casteràs et al., 2021; Wei et al., 2021). Based on the cerebellum's role in cognition and emotional processing (Schmahmann, 2004; Schmahmann and Caplan, 2006; Schutter and van Honk, 2006), we speculate that ECT may impact the cerebellum and modulate its communication with cerebral systems, especially the sensory networks, which therefore influences the allocation of attentional resources to sensory-motor processing, and emotional experience and leads to symptom improvements and cognitive changes (Wang et al., 2018). Collectively, our present work suggests that ECT affects interactions between a variety of functional networks that directly or indirectly impact ECT-induced antidepressant outcomes and cognitive changes.

Most of the aforementioned studies assumed the FC static over the entire resting-state. The assumption of static interactions between brain regions during the resting-state might be limited as it might oversimplify the representation of brain connectivity (Allen et al., 2014). Indeed, fluctuations in FC have long been appreciated in fMRI studies, which might convey neuronally original underpinning of the brain mechanisms (Hutchison et al., 2013). Existing literature has also reported numerous dynamic FC abnormalities in many brain disorders, such as schizophrenia (Damaraju et al., 2014), autism (Fu et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020), depression (Kaiser et al., 2016; Yao et al., 2019), and Parkinson's disease (Kim et al., 2017). More recently, studies have implemented the dynamic FC analysis to the ECT-related datasets and captured numerous dynamic features which might serve as a potential biomarker of the ECT outcomes (Fu et al., 2021c; Dini et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022). Dynamic FC might convey diseases- or treatments-related mechanisms that cannot be identified by static analysis (Hutchison et al., 2013; Matsui et al., 2019). However, previous work usually focused on either static or dynamic FC separately, failing to answer whether dynamic FC can provide additional information to its static counterpart. The apparent associations between ECT responses and both static and dynamic FC is a basic motivation that drives the current study to investigate the nature of their relationship by incorporating them into the predictive models of ECT outcomes. For the first time, our study demonstrates that the global dynamism of whole-brain FC provides complementary information to the static FC, leading to an improved prediction accuracy of antidepressant outcomes. The changes in global dynamism might reflect how ECT influences the brain dynamic range and fluidity, providing additional information on the ECT-related neuroplasticity of the brain. One potential explanation is that ECT changes the brain dynamism to normalize the weakness in brain circuits related to cognitive control and depressive deficits in executive functioning (MacDonald et al., 2000; Kaiser et al., 2016). Another interesting finding is that brain dynamism does not provide additional information to the static FC in the prediction of cognitive changes. We argue that this may be partially due to the inherently heterogeneous ECT impact on cognitive functions (Stern et al., 1994; Stern, 2002). Specifically, individuals with higher premorbid intelligence can better compensate for the impact of ECT on cognitive functions (Stern et al., 1994; Stern, 2002). The brain dynamism might not be sensitive to the individual difference in intelligence and therefore fails to predict the heterogeneous changes in cognition.

Our study should be considered in light of some potential limitations. First, our study is based on an ECT dataset where the participants received ECT series with different amplitudes. Previous studies have found that the electric field determined by the amplitude shows significant associations with brain neuroplasticity, antidepressant outcomes, and cognitive side effects (Argyelan et al., 2019; Deng et al., 2021). Although we have replicated the majority of the findings in the main text by regressing the confounding effect of amplitude in the Supplementary Material, the neuroimaging signatures might have different representations in different amplitudes. In future studies with more samples collected with each pulse amplitude, we can validate and extend our prediction analysis to each amplitude respectively. Second, the prediction models of antidepressant outcome and cognitive change were developed based on linear models, which might ignore the potential non-linear relationships between FC and ECT outcomes. Third, our present work only considered static and dynamic FC features in the prediction of clinical outcomes. However, ECT-induced structural changes have also been widely associated with clinical outcomes (Argyelan et al., 2019; Deng et al., 2021). It is still an open question whether structural and functional neuroplasticity plays overlapping or complementary roles in antidepression-related circuitry. Investigations incorporating multi-modal imaging features can help to elucidate the relationships between structural and functional neuroplasticity with ECT and might provide better prediction accuracy of ECT response. Fourth, the present work built the predictive model only for ECT-induced memory changes. We mainly focused on the memory domain because it is the most impacted cognitive domain associated with ECT (Semkovska and McLoughlin, 2010). Our prediction models can be extended to the prediction of other cognitive domains to draw a more comprehensive connectivity-based signature of cognitive impairments associated with ECT. Fifth, the prediction model was not built using the dynamic features alone because features with such a small dimension are not suitable for the PLSR method. In future studies, we can investigate other dynamic features with larger dimensions and examine their predictive abilities for ECT outcomes directly. Finally, it is also of interest whether and how pre-ECT imaging and previous antidepressant exposure influence the predictive models. Incorporating antidepressant type, dose, and duration into pre-ECT prediction modeling is a promising future direction that will increase the generalizability and translational impact of predictive modeling. Unfortunately, our current dataset is not sufficiently powered for this type of analysis. We have initiated a multi-site ECT-imaging investigation that will capture pre-treatment medications and a number of failed antidepressant trials. When completed, this large dataset (n > 200) will allow us to incorporate antidepressant classes and pre-ECT clustered FC patterns into the predictive modeling approach for both antidepressant and cognitive outcomes.

Conclusion

In this study, we investigated the extent to which ECT-induced antidepressant outcomes and cognitive changes overlap in brain connectivity via a technique from multivariate predictive modeling. Our findings first demonstrate that changes in static connectivity can predict ECT-induced clinical outcomes. Although sharing a few common patterns, antidepressant outcomes and cognitive changes have specific neural representations in brain connectivity. More importantly, we found that adding dynamic connectivity information to static connectivity can significantly enhance the performance of predicting antidepressant outcomes only (not for memory changes). It is widely believed that connectivity dynamics evaluate brain interactions at a finer time scale and thus might provide more information to the static analysis. However, our findings indicate that dynamic connectivity does not always provide additional neural signatures to its static counterpart, which might depend on the specific task to be investigated. In sum, our work offers new insights into the complex relationships between static and dynamic brain connectivity in ECT outcome prediction. Tracking the patterns of static and dynamic connectivity changes may better characterize the antidepressant efficacy and guide people to provide an optimal ECT paradigm to reverse the symptoms in depressive patients.

Data availability statement

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://nda.nih.gov/. The codes of the Neuromark framework have been released and integrated into the group ICA Toolbox (https://trendscenter.org/software/gift/), which can be downloaded and used directly by users worldwide. Other MATLAB codes of this study can be obtained from the corresponding authors.

Ethics statement

The studies involving human participants was reviewed and approved by the University of New Mexico (UNM) Human

References

Abbott, C. C., Jones, T., Lemke, N. T., Gallegos, P., McClintock, S. M., Mayer, A. R., et al. (2014). Hippocampal structural and functional changes associated with electroconvulsive therapy response. *Transl. Psychiatry* 4, e483. doi:10.1038/tp.2014.124

Abbott, C. C., Lemke, N. T., Gopal, S., Thoma, R. J., Bustillo, J., Calhoun, V. D., et al. (2013). Electroconvulsive therapy response in major depressive disorder: A pilot functional network connectivity resting state fMRI investigation. *Front. Psychiatry* 4, 10. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2013.00010

Abbott, C. C., Quinn, D., Miller, J., Ye, E., Iqbal, S., Lloyd, M., et al. (2021). Electroconvulsive therapy pulse amplitude and clinical outcomes. *Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry* 29, 166–178. doi:10.1016/j.jagp.2020.06.008

Allen, E. A., Damaraju, E., Eichele, T., Wu, L., and Calhoun, V. D. (2018). EEG signatures of dynamic functional network connectivity states. *Brain Topogr.* 31, 101–116. doi:10.1007/s10548-017-0546-2

Allen, E. A., Damaraju, E., Plis, S. M., Erhardt, E. B., Eichele, T., and Calhoun, V. D. (2014). Tracking whole-brain connectivity dynamics in the resting state. *Cereb. Cortex* 24, 663–676. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhs352

Andreasen, N. C. (1997). The role of the thalamus in schizophrenia. *Can. J. Psychiatry* 42, 27–33. doi:10.1177/070674379704200104

Research Protections Office. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

Author contributions

ZF, CA, and VC designed the study; ZF and CA performed the data analysis; ZF and JS wrote the paper. All authors contributed to the results interpretation and discussion.

Funding

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health (Nos. R01MH118695, U01MH111826, and R61MH125126), National Institute of Mental Health (MH125126, MH128692, and MH111826), the National Science Foundation (2112455), and the China Natural Science Foundation (No. 82022035).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2023.1102413/ full#supplementary-material

Argyelan, M., Oltedal, L., Deng, Z., Wade, B., Bikson, M., Joanlanne, A., et al. (2019). Electric field causes volumetric changes in the human brain. *Elife* 8, e49115. doi:10.7554/eLife.49115

Bahji, A., Hawken, E. R., Sepehry, A. A., Cabrera, C. A., and Vazquez, G. (2019). ECT beyond unipolar major depression: Systematic review and meta-analysis of electroconvulsive therapy in bipolar depression. *Acta Psychiatr. Scand.* 139, 214–226. doi:10.1111/acps.12994

Berger, A. (2011). Self-regulation: Brain, cognition, and development. United States: American Psychological Association.

Bosboom, P. R., and Deijen, J. B. (2006). Age-related cognitive effects of ECT and ECTinduced mood improvement in depressive patients. *Depress. Anxiety* 23, 93–101. doi:10. 1002/da.20144

Breggin, P. R. (2008). Brain-disabling treatments in psychiatry: Drugs, electroshock, and the psychopharmaceutical complex. Available at: http://ovidsp.ovid.com/ovidweb.cgi?T=JS&PAGE= reference&D=psyc6&NEWS=N&AN=2008-01812-000 (Accessed December 12, 2022).

Chang, C., and Glover, G. H. (2010). Time-frequency dynamics of resting-state brain connectivity measured with fMRI. *Neuroimage* 50, 81–98. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.12.011

Damaraju, E., Allen, E. A., Belger, A., Ford, J. M., McEwen, S., Mathalon, D. H., et al. (2014). Dynamic functional connectivity analysis reveals transient states of

dysconnectivity in schizophrenia. NeuroImage Clin. 5, 298-308. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2014. 07.003

Deng, Z., Argyelan, M., Miller, J., Quinn, D., Lloyd, M., Jones, T. R., et al. (2022). Electroconvulsive therapy, electric field, neuroplasticity, and clinical outcomes. *Mol. Psychiatry* 27, 1676–1682. doi:10.1038/s41380-021-01380-y

Deng, Z. De, Lisanby, S. H., and Peterchev, A. V. (2015). Effect of anatomical variability on electric field characteristics of electroconvulsive therapy and magnetic seizure therapy: A parametric modeling study. *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.* 23, 22–31. doi:10. 1109/TNSRE.2014.2339014

Depping, M. S., Nolte, H. M., Hirjak, D., Palm, E., Hofer, S., Stieltjes, B., et al. (2017). Cerebellar volume change in response to electroconvulsive therapy in patients with major depression. *Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacology Biol. Psychiatry* 73, 31–35. doi:10.1016/j. pnpbp.2016.09.007

Dini, H., Sendi, M. S. E., Sui, J., Fu, Z., Espinoza, R., Narr, K. L., et al. (2021). Dynamic functional connectivity predicts treatment response to electroconvulsive therapy in major depressive disorder. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 15, 689488. doi:10.3389/fnhum.2021.689488

Du, Y., Fu, Z., Sui, J., Gao, S., Xing, Y., Lin, D., et al. (2020). NeuroMark: An automated and adaptive ICA based pipeline to identify reproducible fMRI markers of brain disorders. *NeuroImage Clin.* 28, 102375. doi:10.1016/j.nicl.2020.102375

Dukart, J., Regen, F., Kherif, F., Colla, M., Bajbouj, M., Heuser, I., et al. (2014). Electroconvulsive therapy-induced brain plasticity determines therapeutic outcome in mood disorders. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 1156–1161. doi:10.1073/pnas. 1321399111

Fatemi, S. H., Aldinger, K. A., Ashwood, P., Bauman, M. L., Blaha, C. D., Blatt, G. J., et al. (2012). Consensus paper: Pathological role of the cerebellum in Autism. *Cerebellum* 11, 777–807. doi:10.1007/s12311-012-0355-9

Frank, L. R. (2002). Electroshock: A crime against the spirit. *Ethical Hum. Sci. Serv.* 4, 63–71.

Fu, Z., Iraji, A., Sui, J., and Calhoun, V. D. (2021a). Whole-brain functional network connectivity abnormalities in affective and non-affective early phase psychosis. *Front. Neurosci.* 15, 682110. doi:10.3389/fnins.2021.682110

Fu, Z., Iraji, A., Turner, J. A., Sui, J., Miller, R., Pearlson, G. D., et al. (2021b). Dynamic state with covarying brain activity-connectivity: On the pathophysiology of schizophrenia. *Neuroimage* 224, 117385. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2020.117385

Fu, Z., Sui, J., Espinoza, R., Narr, K., Qi, S., Sendi, M. S. E., et al. (2021c). Whole-brain functional connectivity dynamics associated with electroconvulsive therapy treatment response. *Biol. Psychiatry Cogn. Neurosci. Neuroimaging* 7, 312–322. doi:10.1016/j.bpsc. 2021.07.004

Fu, Z., Sui, J., Turner, J. A., Du, Y., Assaf, M., Pearlson, G. D., et al. (2020). Dynamic functional network reconfiguration underlying the pathophysiology of schizophrenia and autism spectrum disorder. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 25205, 80–94. doi:10.1002/hbm.25205

Fu, Z., Tu, Y., Di, X., Du, Y., Sui, J., Biswal, B. B., et al. (2019). Transient increased thalamic-sensory connectivity and decreased whole-brain dynamism in autism. *Neuroimage* 190, 191–204. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.06.003

Fujita, A., Nakaaki, S., Segawa, K., Azuma, H., Sato, K., Arahata, K., et al. (2006). Memory, attention, and executive functions before and after sine and pulse wave electroconvulsive therapies for treatment-resistant major depression. J. ECT 22, 107–112. doi:10.1097/00124509-200606000-00006

Gray, J. A. (1990). Brain systems that mediate both emotion and cognition. *Cogn. Emot.* 4, 269–288. doi:10.1080/02699939008410799

Greicius, M. D., Flores, B. H., Menon, V., Glover, G. H., Solvason, H. B., Kenna, H., et al. (2007). Resting-state functional connectivity in major depression: Abnormally increased contributions from subgenual cingulate cortex and thalamus. *Biol. Psychiatry* 62, 429–437. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2006.09.020

He, Y., Wang, Y., Chang, T. T., Jia, Y., Wang, J., Zhong, S., et al. (2018). Abnormal intrinsic cerebro-cerebellar functional connectivity in un-medicated patients with bipolar disorder and major depressive disorder. *Psychopharmacol. Berl.* 235, 3187–3200. doi:10. 1007/s00213-018-5021-6

Hutchison, R. M., Womelsdorf, T., Allen, E. A., Bandettini, P. A., Calhoun, V. D., Corbetta, M., et al. (2013). Dynamic functional connectivity: Promise, issues, and interpretations. *Neuroimage* 80, 360–378. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.05.079

James, S. L., Abate, D., Abate, K. H., Abay, S. M., Abbafati, C., Abbasi, N., et al. (2018). Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: A systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2017. *Lancet (London, Engl.* 392, 1789–1858. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32279-7

Jiang, R., Scheinost, D., Zuo, N., Wu, J., Qi, S., Liang, Q., et al. (2022). A neuroimaging signature of cognitive aging from whole-brain functional connectivity. *Adv. Sci.* 9, e2201621. doi:10.1002/advs.202201621

Kaiser, R. H., Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., Dillon, D. G., Goer, F., Beltzer, M., Minkel, J., et al. (2016). Dynamic resting-state functional connectivity in major depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 41, 1822–1830. doi:10.1038/npp.2015.352

Kessler, R. C., Berglund, P., Demler, O., Jin, R., Koretz, D., Merikangas, K. R., et al. (2003). The epidemiology of major depressive disorder: Results from the national comorbidity survey replication (NCS-R). *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 289, 3095–3105. doi:10. 1001/jama.289.23.3095

Kim, J., Criaud, M., Cho, S. S., Díez-Cirarda, M., Mihaescu, A., Coakeley, S., et al. (2017). Abnormal intrinsic brain functional network dynamics in Parkinson's disease. *Brain* 140, 2955–2967. doi:10.1093/brain/awx233

Li, P., Jing, R. X., Zhao, R. J., Ding, Z. B., Shi, L., Sun, H. Q., et al. (2017). Electroconvulsive therapy-induced brain functional connectivity predicts therapeutic efficacy in patients with schizophrenia: A multivariate pattern recognition study. *npj Schizophr.* 3, 21. doi:10.1038/s41537-017-0023-7

Li, Y., Zhu, Y., Nguchu, B. A., Wang, Y., Wang, H., Qiu, B., et al. (2020). Dynamic functional connectivity reveals abnormal variability and hyper-connected pattern in autism spectrum disorder. *Autism Res.* 13, 230–243. doi:10.1002/aur.2212

Liu, D., Tang, S., Wu, Z., Yang, J., Liu, Z., Wu, G., et al. (2022). Changes in brain network properties in major depressive disorder following electroconvulsive therapy: A combined static and dynamic functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Ann. Palliat. Med.* 11, 1969–1980. doi:10.21037/apm-21-2723

Long, Z., Du, L., Zhao, J., Wu, S., Zheng, Q., and Lei, X. (2020). Prediction on treatment improvement in depression with resting state connectivity: A coordinate-based meta-analysis. *J. Affect. Disord.* 276, 62–68. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.072

MacDonald, A. W., Cohen, J. D., Andrew Stenger, V., and Carter, C. S. (2000). Dissociating the role of the dorsolateral prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortex in cognitive control. *Science* 288, 1835–1838. doi:10.1126/science.288.5472.1835

Malgaroli, M., Calderon, A., and Bonanno, G. A. (2021). Networks of major depressive disorder: A systematic review. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 85, 102000. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102000

Matsui, T., Murakami, T., and Ohki, K. (2019). Neuronal origin of the temporal dynamics of spontaneous BOLD activity correlation. *Cereb. Cortex* 29, 1496–1508. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhy045

Mennigen, E., Miller, R. L., Rashid, B., Fryer, S. L., Loewy, R. L., Stuart, B. K., et al. (2018). Reduced higher-dimensional resting state fMRI dynamism in clinical high-risk individuals for schizophrenia identified by meta-state analysis. *Schizophr. Res.* 201, 217–223. doi:10. 1016/j.schres.2018.06.007

Miller, R. L., Yaesoubi, M., Turner, J. A., Mathalon, D., Preda, A., Pearlson, G., et al. (2016). Higher dimensional meta-state analysis reveals reduced resting fMRI connectivity dynamism in schizophrenia patients. *PLoS One* 11, e0149849. doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0149849

Moreno-Ortega, M., Prudic, J., Rowny, S., Patel, G. H., Kangarlu, A., Lee, S., et al. (2019). Resting state functional connectivity predictors of treatment response to electroconvulsive therapy in depression. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 1–19. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-41175-4

Mulders, P. C., van Eijndhoven, P. F., Schene, A. H., Beckmann, C. F., and Tendolkar, I. (2015). Resting-state functional connectivity in major depressive disorder: A review. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 56, 330–344. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2015.07.014

Ousdal, O. T., Brancati, G. E., Kessler, U., Erchinger, V., Dale, A. M., Abbott, C., et al. (2022). The neurobiological effects of electroconvulsive therapy studied through magnetic resonance: What have we learned, and where do we go? *Biol. Psychiatry* 91, 540–549. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2021.05.023

Pang, Y., Wei, Q., Zhao, S., Li, N., Li, Z., Lu, F., et al. (2022). Enhanced default mode network functional connectivity links with electroconvulsive therapy response in major depressive disorder. *J. Affect. Disord.* 306, 47–54. doi:10.1016/J.JAD.2022.03.035

Perrin, J. S., Merz, S., Bennett, D. M., Currie, J., Steele, D. J., Reid, I. C., et al. (2012). Electroconvulsive therapy reduces frontal cortical connectivity in severe depressive disorder. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 5464–5468. doi:10.1073/pnas.1117206109

Petrik, D., Lagace, D. C., and Eisch, A. J. (2012). The neurogenesis hypothesis of affective and anxiety disorders: Are we mistaking the scaffolding for the building? *Neuropharmacology* 62, 21–34. doi:10.1016/j.neuropharm.2011.09.003

Porta-Casteràs, D., Cano, M., Camprodon, J. A., Loo, C., Palao, D., Soriano-Mas, C., et al. (2021). A multimetric systematic review of fMRI findings in patients with MDD receiving ECT. *Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacology Biol. Psychiatry* 108, 110178. doi:10. 1016/j.pnpbp.2020.110178

Redlich, R., Opel, N., Grotegerd, D., Dohm, K., Zaremba, D., Burger, C., et al. (2016). Prediction of individual response to electroconvulsive therapy via machine learning on structural magnetic resonance imaging data. *JAMA Psychiatry* 73, 557–564. doi:10.1001/ jamapsychiatry.2016.0316

Sackeim, H. A., Prudic, J., Devanand, D. P., Nobler, M. S., Lisanby, S. H., Peyser, S., et al. (2000). A prospective, randomized, double-blind comparison of bilateral and right unilateral electroconvulsive therapy at different stimulus intensities. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 57, 425–434. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.57.5.425

Sackeim, H. A., Prudic, J., Fuller, R., Keilp, J., Lavori, P. W., and Olfson, M. (2007). The cognitive effects of electroconvulsive therapy in community settings. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 32, 244–254. doi:10.1038/sj.npp.1301180

Schmahmann, J. D., and Caplan, D. (2006). Cognition, emotion and the cerebellum. Brain 129, 290-292. doi:10.1093/brain/awh729

Schmahmann, J. D. (2004). Disorders of the cerebellum: Ataxia, dysmetria of thought, and the cerebellar cognitive affective syndrome. *J. Neuropsychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 16, 367–378. doi:10.1176/jnp.16.3.367

Schutter, D. J. L. G., and van Honk, J. (2006). An electrophysiological link between the cerebellum, cognition and emotion: Frontal theta EEG activity to single-pulse cerebellar TMS. *Neuroimage* 33, 1227–1231. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.06.055

Scott, B. W., Wojtowicz, J. M., and Burnham, W. M. I. (2000). Neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus of the rat following electroconvulsive shock seizures. *Exp. Neurol.* 165, 231–236. doi:10.1006/exnr.2000.7458

Semkovska, M., Keane, D., Babalola, O., and McLoughlin, D. M. (2011). Unilateral brief-pulse electroconvulsive therapy and cognition: Effects of electrode placement, stimulus dosage and time. *J. Psychiatr. Res.* 45, 770–780. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires. 2010.11.001

Semkovska, M., and McLoughlin, D. M. (2010). Objective cognitive performance associated with electroconvulsive therapy for depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Biol. Psychiatry* 68, 568–577. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2010.06.009

Squire, L. R., and Chace, P. M. (1996). Memory functions six to nine months after electroconvulsive therapy. *Convuls. Ther.* 12, 239-256.

Sterling, P. (2000). ECT damage is easy to find if you look for it. *Nature* 403, 242. doi:10. 1038/35002188

Stern, Y., Gurland, B., Tatemichi, T. K., Tang, M. X., Wilder, D., and Mayeux, R. (1994). Influence of education and occupation on the incidence of Alzheimer's disease. *JAMA J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 271, 1004–1010. doi:10.1001/jama.1994. 03510370056032

Stern, Y. (2002). What is cognitive reserve? Theory and research application of the reserve concept. J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. 8, 448–460. doi:10.1017/ S1355617702813248

Tew, J. D., Mulsant, B. H., Haskett, R. F., Prudic, J., Thase, M. E., Crowe, R. R., et al. (1999). Acute efficacy of ECT in the treatment of major depression in the old-old. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 156, 1865–1870. doi:10.1176/ajp.156.12.1865

Tu, Y., Fu, Z., Mao, C., Falahpour, M., Gollub, R. L., Park, J., et al. (2020). Distinct thalamocortical network dynamics are associated with the pathophysiology of chronic low back pain. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 1–12. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-17788-z

Vos, T., Haby, M. M., Barendregt, J. J., Kruijshaar, M., Corry, J., and Andrews, G. (2004). The burden of major depression avoidable by longer-term treatment strategies. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 61, 1097–1103. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.61.11.1097

Wang, D., Tian, Y., Li, M., Dahmani, L., Wei, Q., Bai, T., et al. (2020). Functional connectivity underpinnings of electroconvulsive therapy-induced memory impairments in patients with depression. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 45, 1579–1587. doi:10.1038/s41386-020-0711-2

Wang, J., Wei, Q., Wang, L., Zhang, H., Bai, T., Cheng, L., et al. (2018). Functional reorganization of intra- and internetwork connectivity in major depressive disorder after electroconvulsive therapy. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 39, 1403–1411. doi:10.1002/hbm.23928

Wei, Q., Ji, Y., Bai, T., Zu, M., Guo, Y., Mo, Y., et al. (2021). Enhanced cerebro-cerebellar functional connectivity reverses cognitive impairment following electroconvulsive therapy in major depressive disorder. *Brain Imaging Behav.* 15, 798–806. doi:10.1007/s11682-020-00290-x

Wilkinson, S. T., Kitay, B. M., Harper, A., Rhee, T. G., Sint, K., Ghosh, A., et al. (2021). Barriers to the implementation of electroconvulsive therapy (ECT): Results from a nationwide survey of ECT practitioners. *Psychiatr. Serv.* 72, 752–757. doi:10.1176/appi. ps.202000387

Yang, X., Xu, Z., Xi, Y., Sun, J., Liu, P., Li, P., et al. (2020). Predicting responses to electroconvulsive therapy in schizophrenia patients undergoing antipsychotic treatment: Baseline functional connectivity among regions with strong electric field distributions. *Psychiatry Res. - Neuroimaging* 299, 111059. doi:10.1016/j.pscychresns.2020.111059

Yao, Z., Shi, J., Zhang, Z., Zheng, W., Hu, T., Li, Y., et al. (2019). Altered dynamic functional connectivity in weakly-connected state in major depressive disorder. *Clin. Neurophysiol.* 130, 2096–2104. doi:10.1016/j.clinph.2019.08.009

Zeng, L. L., Shen, H., Liu, L., Wang, L., Li, B., Fang, P., et al. (2012). Identifying major depression using whole-brain functional connectivity: A multivariate pattern analysis. *Brain* 135, 1498–1507. doi:10.1093/brain/aws059