Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Rong-Rong He, Jinan University, China

REVIEWED BY

Yung-Hsiang Chen, China Medical University, Taiwan Magdalena Hurkacz, Wroclaw Medical University, Poland

*CORRESPONDENCE Lianqun Jia, Ilq-8@163.com Yupeng Pei, gpyupeng@163.com

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 11 October 2023 ACCEPTED 29 January 2024 PUBLISHED 13 March 2024

CITATION

Song Y, Song N, Jia L and Pei Y (2024), Effectiveness and safety of Danshen injections in treatment of cardiac failure: a network metaanalysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 15:1319551. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2024.1319551

COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Song, Song, Jia and Pei. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Effectiveness and safety of Danshen injections in treatment of cardiac failure: a network meta-analysis

Yuchen Song^{1†}, Nan Song^{2†}, Lianqun Jia³* and Yupeng Pei⁴*

¹College of Integrated Chinese and Western Medicine, Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shenyang, Liaoning, China, ²College of Medical Laboratory, Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shenyang, Liaoning, China, ³Key Laboratory of Ministry of Education for TCM Viscera-State Theory and Applications, Ministry of Education of China, Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shenyang, Liaoning, China, ⁴Benxi Campus Management Committee, Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Shenyang, Liaoning, China

Objective: The purpose of this network meta-analysis (NMA) was to compare the therapeutic effects of various Danshen (*Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bunge [Lamiaceae; Salviae miltiorrhizae radix et rhizoma]) injections on heart failure to determine the optimal Danshen injection combined with conventional treatment.

Methods: 8 databases were searched from the inception of these databases to May 2023 to collect randomized controlled trials (RCTs) on the effectiveness and safety of Danshen injections in the treatment of heart failure. This NMA was performed using Stata 16.0 software and R 4.1.3 software.

Results: A total of 24 RCTs involving 2,186 subjects were included. The intervention group received Danshen injections plus conventional treatment, involving the following 7 Danshen injections. The results of the NMA showed that Compound Danshen injection + Common (SUCRA: 79.6%) and Sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate injection + Common (SUCRA: 78.0%) exhibited higher total effective rates. Sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate injection + Common (SUCRA: 68.2%) were superior to other traditional Chinese medicines in improving left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF). Danshen injection + Common (SUCRA: 99.9%) and Shenxiong glucose injection + Common (SUCRA: 77.2%) were the most effective in reducing brain natriuretic peptide (BNP). In addition, compared with conventional treatment, all Danshen injections did not increase the risk of adverse reactions.

Conclusion: Current evidence shows that all seven Danshen injections are effective for heart failure. Due to the limited quantity and quality of the included studies, our findings need to be verified by more high-quality studies.

KEYWORDS

traditional Chinese medicine, cardiac failure, network meta-analysis, salvia miltiorrhiza injection classes, Chinese medicine injection

1 Introduction

Cardiac failure, also known as heart failure, is a group of clinical syndromes (Zhang et al., 2023) of ventricular filling or ejection ability impairment induced by any heart structural or functional abnormalities. Its main signs include dyspnea, fatigue (limited movement tolerance), and fluid retention (peripheral hematoma and pulmonary congestion). There are about 60 million patients with cardiac failure in the world, with a prevalence rate of approximately 1%-3%. With the aging of the population, the number of such patients is estimated to increase (Adamo et al., 2022) in the next few years. It is pointed out in the Annual Report on Cardiovascular Health and Diseases in China (2020) that there are about 8.9 million patients with cardiac failure in China, with a prevalence rate of as high as 1.3% (Wang and Hu, 2020). Cardiac failure mainly occurs in old people, most of whom suffer from more than three comorbidities (Zheng et al., 2022). Cardiac failure may affect the functions of organs and viscera in the whole body. Specifically, insufficient renal vascular perfusion may induce renal dysfunction; long-term hepatic congestion or hypoxia may lead to cardiogenic cirrhosis, and pulmonary congestion may increase the probability of respiratory tract infection. In addition, cardiac failure may also limit the daily activities of patients to affect their quality of life (QOL) and psychological status. From the perspective of Western medicine, primary myocardial injury or myocardial injury caused by some inducements may induce abnormal cardiac load and finally result in cardiac failure. In traditional Chinese medicine (TCM), long-term cardiac obstruction, angina pectoris, or congenital heart disease may induce a shortage and stagnation of heart qi and blood stasis to cause a deficiency of both qi and yin, thus finally resulting in cardiac failure. The standardized Western treatment for chronic heart failure (CHF) is mainly symptomatic treatment in clinical practice, such as enhancement of cardiac function, diuretic therapy, and vascular dilation (Meng, 2018). Specifically, (renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system) diuretics, RAAS inhibitors, β receptor antagonists, ACEIs (angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors), and digitalis are commonly used. However, high-dose diuretics may reduce the effective circulating blood volume, decrease the cardiac output, and thus aggravate cardiac failure. The reduction of blood volume may also induce reflex sympathetic nerve excitation to aggravate the insufficient perfusion of tissues and organs, thus leading to hepatorenal dysfunction. In addition, some common side effects (e.g., hypotension, renal dysfunction, arrhythmia, etc.) of Western medicine treatment also have a certain impact on clinical decisionmaking (Wang and Liang, 2018). Recently, Western plus traditional Chinese medicine has shown excellent prospects in cardiac failure. In particular, the effectiveness of Danshen (Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge [Lamiaceae; Salviae miltiorrhizae radix et rhizoma]) drugs is prominent. It has been demonstrated that tanshinone IIA can effectively improve patients' cardiac function and the levels of vWF and 6-keto-PGF in vascular endothelium (Li et al., 2019b). Therefore, some injections with Danshen as the main ingredient have been widely used in cardiac failure, such as Sodium Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate Injection, Danshenchuanxionggin Injection, Shenfu Injection, and Shenmai Injection (Gao et al., 2018; Li et al., 2019a; Li et al., 2019b). Shao-mei Wang et al. conducted a randomized controlled trial (RCT) on 120 patients, and found that Shenmai Injection could effectively improve the energy metabolism of patients with cardiac failure (Wang et al., 2020). Many other studies have also demonstrated the effectiveness of Sodium Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate Injection and Shenfu Injection (Wang, 2015; Qiao, 2017; Wang et al., 2019). In addition to monotherapy, Miaomiao Li et al. have also compared the effects of Shenfu Injection + Levosimendan and Levosimendan alone in acute heart failure (AHF). Their study is a single-blind RCT, with 101 patients enrolled, and has revealed that the efficacy of combined medication in AHF is better (Li et al., 2022b).

The Danshen injection treatment group has demonstrated potential in modulating the deposition of myocardial type I and type III collagen, coupled with the regulation of MMP-2 expression. In addition, Danshen injection has shown anti-inflammatory effects by decreasing iNOS and MPO. These findings suggest a significant preventive role for Danshen injection in myocardial fibrosis, cardiac hypertrophy, haemodynamic deterioration, and systolic and diastolic dysfunction associated with heart failure. Consequently, Danshen-based injections emerge as crucial therapeutic agents for heart failure (Wang et al., 2017). However, the existing studies lack a clear elucidation of the efficacy associated with specific Danshen injections (Yuan et al., 2019). In response to this gap in knowledge, this study aims to enhance the available treatment options for heart failure. To achieve this goal, we plan to expand the scope by incorporating a more diverse range of Danshen injections. Furthermore, we aim to conduct a thorough and comprehensive randomized controlled trial, with the overarching objective of establishing a more standardized outcome index. A previous meta-analysis based on the head-to-head comparison at present summarized the clinical results of five types of TCM injections in cardiac failure, including Shenmai Injection, Shenfu Injection, Danhong Injection, Shengmai Injection, and Astragalus Injection. The meta-analysis has proved that Danshen injections, as an auxiliary means of conventional Western medicine treatment (Common), outperform conventional Western medicine treatment alone in total ejection fraction (EF), the relief of cardiac failure symptoms, and the improvement of biochemical indicators (Bai et al., 2018). However, the majority of studies included in that meta-analysis have a small sample size, and the types of drugs included in the studies are limited. Therefore, it is not yet clear which Danshen injection + Common can achieve optimal effectiveness in cardiac failure. To provide more accurate guidance for clinical practitioners to develop optimal treatment strategies for patients, a more comprehensive network meta-analysis (NMA) based on recent RCTs was conducted to identify the most reliable Danshen injection for cardiac failure by integrating as much information as possible through direct and indirect comparisons.

2 Method

This NMA was carried out following the preferred information to report for systematic review incorporating NMA (PRISMA-NMA) guidelines (Qiao, 2017) and the Cochrane Collaboration Handbook (Wang et al., 2019). This study was registered in PROSPERO (Registration Number: CRD42023432533). This study included data from previous studies without individual patients. Consequently, no prior ethical approval was required.

2.1 Literature search

Two investigators (l.q.J and y. c.S) independently and meticulously conducted systematic searches on databases including PubMed, Web of Science, Cochrane Library, Embase, Sinomed, CNKI, Wanfang Data, and VIP Database. The search spanned from the establishment of these databases to 30 May 2023. The search language was restricted to Chinese and English, and the search was conducted based on subject words + free words. The key subject terms for the search are listed below: "Cardiac Failure," "Heart Decompensation," "Myocardial Failure," "Cardiac decompensation," "Myocardial failure," "Traditional Chinese medicine," "TCM," "Danshen injection," "Danhong injection," "Salvia miltiorrhiza." The search strategy is shown in Supplementary Table S1 shows the search strategy.

The references in the included papers, systematic reviews, or meta-analyses in this area were also reviewed to avoid missing any eligible studies.

2.2 Literature screening

PICOS was used to develop the inclusion criteria: 1) p (Population): The study objects were adult patients who suffered from cardiac failure and were above 18 years; 2) I (Intervention): The interventions included Danshen injection + common Western medicine treatment; 3) C (Comparison): The control measure was common Western medicine treatment (including antiplatelet, anticoagulation, thrombolysis, defibrination, improving cerebral circulation, lowering blood pressure, and regulating blood lipid); 4) O (Outcome): The primary outcome indicators included the total EF, Brain Natriuretic Peptide (BNP), and Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (LVEF); the secondary outcome indicators included 6-min Walking Distance (6MWD), adverse reactions, and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide (NT-proBNP); 5) S (Study Design): The study type was an RCT.

Exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) Review, case report, clinical trial, study protocol, or conference paper; 2) Animal and *in vitro* studies; 3) Repeated literature and literature of which the full text is not available; 4) Literature in which the outcome indicators cannot be extracted; 5) Letters, replies, etc.; Two reviewers (JLQ and SYC) independently screened the literature according to the above criteria. Any disagreements during the screening would be settled through discussion or by a third reviewer (PYP).

2.3 Extracted data and quality evaluation

Two investigators (JLQ and SYC) independently conducted data extraction. The extracted data included: 1) Basic information: Article title, first author, publication date, country/region, *etc.*,; 2) Study characteristics: Interventions, number of study objects, age, and intervention duration of the test group and control group; 3)

Key information required to evaluate the risk of bias of the literature;4) Outcome indicators of the test group and control group.

2.4 Risk of bias

The risk of bias (ROB) of the RCTs included in the study would be assessed by two independent reviewers (JLQ and SYC) with the Cochrane tool for risk of bias (ROB2) (Sterne et al., 2019). The tool was used to assess the following five aspects where bias might have occurred: randomization (3 signal problems), deviation from established interventions (7 signal problems), missing outcome data (6 signal problems), outcome measurement (5 signal problems), and selectively reported results (3 signal problems). The risk of bias for each domain was categorised into three levels based on the reviewers' answers to each signal question: "low risk of bias", "some concerns" and "high risk of bias." If the risk of bias evaluation results for all areas were "low risk," then the overall risk of bias was "low risk." If the risk of bias evaluation results for some areas were "some risk" with no "high risk" area, then the overall risk of bias was "some risk." However, as soon as the risk of bias evaluation results for one area were "high risk," then the overall risk of bias was "high risk." Disagreements in the literature were resolved through discussion or seeking advice from a third investigator, and the evaluation results were presented in a ROB plot.

2.5 Data integration and statistical analysis

The primary outcome indicators included the total EF, LVEF, and BNP. The secondary outcome indicators included adverse reactions and 6MWD.

The gemtc program package (V 1.0-1) in R (V 4.1.3) and the JAGS software were employed to conduct the NMA based on the Bayesian framework by the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) technique. Following established procedures, we assessed the validity of assumptions related to homogeneity, similarity, and consistency. Four Markov chains were used for simulation analysis, with an initial value of 2.5 and a refined iteration step size of 1. 50,000 iterations were pre-simulated for annealing, and 20,000 iterations were to achieve model convergence. A pivotal assumption is that the analyzed network is consistent, devoid of conflicts between direct and indirect evidence (Dias et al., 2013). Model fitting and global consistency were compared based on the Deviance Information Criterion (DIC). If the absolute value of DIC for consistency and inconsistency was less than 5, a consistency model would be used for modeling. Using the gemtc package (V 1.0-1) and JAGS software, we created a network plot with the network function in R (V 4.1.3). The network map function is used to generate a network plot in Stata. Additionally, a heterogeneity test was conducted using the mtc. anohe function. If I² of the two interventions was greater than 50%, it indicated that the combined heterogeneity for the two interventions was large. If there was a closed loop in a network, the local consistency would be analyzed by the node-splitting technique.

The relative risk ratio (RR) was expressed for dichotomous data. The weighted mean difference (WMD) was expressed for



continuous data. The RR, WMD, and 95% confidence interval (CI) were obtained and summarized to estimate the differences among interventions. The analysis results included the network relation diagram, ranking of cumulative probability, league table, and "correction-comparison" funnel plot of each outcome indicator. The surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SUCRA), which indicated the cumulative ranking probability, was used to rank the interventions. The closer the value was to 100%, the better the intervention was. The Pairwise and Network Meta-Analysis was completed with the Stata 16.0 and R software (V 4.1.3).

3 Results

3.1 Screened literature and flow chart

In total, 1,129 papers were acquired from the initial database search, and no other study was found in the scanning of references. After eliminating duplicates, a total of 425 papers underwent screening based on titles and abstracts. Among them, 232 papers were excluded as they did not conform to the inclusion criteria. The full texts of 193 papers were meticulously reviewed to select eligible

TABLE 1 Characteristics of the included studies.

First author	Publication year	Country	Study design	Sam	ple size		(male/ male)	or mec or N	ean <u>+</u> SD lian (IQR) Aedian or range		duration ear)	Trea	tment	Treatment Duration (days)	Follow up time	Complication	Main outcomes
				Case	Control	Case	Control	Case	Control	Case	Control	Case	Control				
Li et al.	2022	China	RCT	51	50	39/11	36/15	73.00 (69.00, 80.00)	73.00 (67.00, 80.00)	NM	NM	SFI + Common	Common	24 h	NM	Hypotension	BNP
Wang et al.	2019	China	RCT	80	80	42/32	48/22	68.58 ± 8.42	68.14 ± 8.73	NM	NM	SFI + Common	Common	7 ± 1	28 ± 3 days	NM	6MWD,LVEF,BAD
Wang et al.	2020	China	RCT	37	39	23/14	24/15	71.27 ± 11.25	69.74 ± 14.06	NM	NM	SMI + Common	Common	7	NM	NM	BNP
Li et al.	2018	China	RCT	62	62	42/20	44/18	61.24 ± 6.45	60.54 ± 6.21	10.32 ± 1.24	10.18 ± 1.32	SF + Common	Common	14	NM	NM	LVEF
Xian et al.	2016	China	RCT	114	114	71/43	66/48	68.95 ± 9.91	68.12 ± 8.88	NM	NM	SMI + Common	Common	7	NM	NM	BAD,LVEF, BNP,6MWD
Xin et al.	2012	China	RCT	28	28	15/13	16/12	58.9 ± 8.7	59.6 ± 9.2	NM	NM	SMI + Common	Common	7	NM	Coronary heart disease	LVEF, EF
Huang et al.	2022	China	RCT	40	40	22/18	21/19	73.7 ± 8.6	73.9 ± 7.1	95.4 ± 40.0 months	100.9 ± 47.6 months	SXG + Common	Common	14	NM	NM	BNP,LVEF,6MWD
Ou et al.	2019	China	RCT	38	30	23/15	17/13	63.97 ± 13.33	62.82 ± 10.63	10.04 ± 2.76	9.94 ± 2.31	SXG + Common	Common	7	NM	Hypertension	6MWD,LVEF, BNP,EF
Yao et al.	2018	China	RCT	24	24	14/10	16/8	66.4 ± 2.8	67.2 ± 3.1	11.1 ± 3.8	11.8 ± 4.1	SXG + Common	Common	14	NM	NM	BNP,EF
Hu et al	2015	China	RCT	38	30	22/16	16/14	67.1 ± 3.8	66.3 ± 3.2	12.2 ± 5.1	12.0 ± 4.7	SXG + Common	Common	14	NM	NM	EF
Zhang et.al	2015	China	RCT	38	38	34/4	36/2	68.1 ± 7.5	68.5 ± 7.0	NM	NM	SXG + Common	Common	14	NM	COPD	EF
Li et al.	2016	China	RCT	60	60	33/27	36/24	62	60	13	14	DSCXQ + Common	Common	14	NM	Chronic pulmonary heart disease	EF,
Jiang et al.	2016	China	RCT	43	40	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	NM	STIIAS + Common	Common	14	NM	NM	EF,BNP
Qiao et al.	2017	China	RCT	30	30	18/12	17/13	63.5 ± 20.5	62.4 ± 17.6	2-25	3-26	STIIAS + Common	Common	14	NM	NM	LVEF,EF,BNP
Qin et al.	2016	China	RCT	40	40	23/17	24/16	62. ± 13.4	59.5 ± 11.2	NM	NM	SMI + Common	Common	15	NM	Hypertension	LVEF,EF,BNP
Shang et al.	2016	China	RCT	64	64	35/29	37/27	64.74 ± 8.23	64.62 ± 8.21	NM	NM	DS + Common	Common	14	NM	NM	EF,LVEF
Lv et al.	2016	China	RCT	29	29	16/13	17/12	48.21 ± 7.52	49.12 ± 7.18	12.21 ± 1.83 months	12.32 ± 1.88months	STIIAS + Common	Common	7	NM	NM	BAD,LVEF, BNP
Zeng et al.	2015	China	RCT	49	49	26/23	28/21	40.9 ± 11.2	40.5 ± 11.7	36.2 ± 7.37 days	35.4 ± 7.50 days	DS + Common	Common	21	NM	Hypertensive heart disease	BAD,LVEF, EF,6MWD

Song	et	al.
------	----	-----

	Study designSample size female)Sex (male) rmediam (OR)Age mean ± SD rmediam (OR)Disease duration (vaa)Treatment DurationFollow log vabComplication regulationLaseLosNLange ()NNN<	<i>led</i>) Char	TABLE 1 (Continued) Characteristics of the included studies.	of the inclu	ded stud	ies.												
LotCostoCostoCostoCostoCostoCostoCostoCostoCostoCostoCostoRCT3621/521/521/521/521/521/521/521/541±0.6C05*C005*C005028NMEnd-ange rend disedRCT181821/1521/1551.2.5.341±0.6C05*C05*C000028NMEnd-ange rend disedRCT40202022/1853:4.45NMNMC05*C00005-7NMNMRCT9434/931/951/1555:3.3813.2.5.2.1515.6.4.1315.6.4.1315.6.1215.6.1315.6.1215.6.1	ClaimControlCaseControlCaseControlCaseControlCaseControlChim8363631501661±7.543±0.6Camon28NMEnd-ange renal diseaseChimRCT181818641±7.543±0.541±0.6Cmmon28NMEnd-ange renal diseaseChimRCT18181855±3.8NMNMCD8+Cemmon5-7NMNMChimRCT40202022/18659±4.5655±3.8132±5.2126±4.3D8+Cemmon5-7NMNMChimRCT393419/1518/166765NMNMSTIA.5Cemmon14NMMmony heatChimRCT393439/166765NMNMSTIA.5Cemmon14NMMmony heatChimRCT39302929/3067±5.3NMNMNMD8+Cemmon14NMMmony heatChimRCT39302929/3067±6.7NMNMD8+Cemmon14NMMmony heatChimRCT39302929/3067±6.768±7.4NMNMD8+Cemmon14NMMmony heatChimRCT39302929/3067±6.768±7.4NMNMD8+Cemmon14NMMmony heatChimRCT <t< td=""><td></td><td>Country</td><td>Study design</td><td>Samp</td><td>le size</td><td>Sex (ferr</td><td>male/ nale)</td><td>Age me or medi or M [range]</td><td>an <u>+</u> SD ian (IQR) edian or range</td><td>Disease (ye.</td><td>duration ar)</td><td>Treat</td><td>ment</td><td>Treatment Duration (days)</td><td>Follow up time</td><td>Complication</td><td>Main outcomes</td></t<>		Country	Study design	Samp	le size	Sex (ferr	male/ nale)	Age me or medi or M [range]	an <u>+</u> SD ian (IQR) edian or range	Disease (ye.	duration ar)	Treat	ment	Treatment Duration (days)	Follow up time	Complication	Main outcomes
RCT363621/1520/16 648 ± 31 613 ± 7.5 413 ± 0.6 CMM CMM 28NMEnd-age rend diseaseRCT1818 $-21/15$ $-21/15$ $-21/15$ $-21/15$ $-21/15$ $-21/15$ NM $DS + 1$ DMM DMM DMM RCT40 -10 2020 20243 653 ± 3.6 NM $DS + 1$ DMM DMM DMM RCT40 -10 2020 20218 653 ± 3.6 NM NM $DS + 1$ DMM DMM RCT94 -10 2020 20218 652 ± 3.8 NM NM $STIA3$ DMM DMM DMM RCT94 -10 $10/15$ $18/16$ 67 ± 3.5 NM NM $STIA3$ DMM DMM DMM RCT80 50 50 -303 50343 -5347 -612 ± 3.5 NM NM $DS + 1$ DMM DMM DMM RCT80 50 503 5347 052 ± 3.6 NM NM $DS + 1$ DMM DMM DMM RCT80 50 50 503 503 503 5033 5033 5033 5033 5033 DMM RCT808080 8033 8033 5033 50343 5033 50343 DMM DMM DMM <	ChiaRCT363633016618 ± 81651 ± 7541 ± 0.541 ± 0.6Common28NMEnd-sage renal diseaseChiaRCT1818 18 2010 2010 2012 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Case</td><td>Control</td><td></td><td>Control</td><td>Case</td><td>Control</td><td>Case</td><td>Control</td><td>Case</td><td>Control</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				Case	Control		Control	Case	Control	Case	Control	Case	Control				
RCT1818 18 $-11/5$ 663 ± 86 NMNMCDS+Common $5-7$ NMNMRCT40 0.00 2020 2218 659 ± 45 655 ± 38 132 ± 52 126 ± 43 $DS+$ Common 15 NMPulnoaryheatRCT34 2020 2020 59245 655 ± 38 132 ± 52 126 ± 43 $DS+$ Common 15 NMPulnoaryheatRCT34 940 915 $18/16$ 67 65 85 NMNM $71/54$ 14 10 10 1000 RCT50 50 50 516 512 ± 35 NMNM $DS+$ $Common14NMPlant diseaseRCT5050503512 \pm 35NMNMDS+Common14NMNMRCT5050512513632 \pm 35NMNMDS+Common14NMNMRCT5050512512512512512512512512512512000014NM10001000RCT50505125125125125125125121000114NM100010001000100010001000100010001000100010001000100010001000100010000$	ChinaRCT1818 $IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII$		China	RCT	36	36	21/15	20/16	64.8 ± 8.1	65.1 ± 7.5	4.3 ± 0.5	4.1 ± 0.6	CDS + Common	Common	28	MN	End-stage renal disease	EF,LVEF,6MWD
RCT 40 40 20/20 22/18 659 ± 43 13.2 ± 52 12.6 ± 43 $DS\pm$ Common IS W Pulnonary leart RCT 34 34 $19/15$ $18/16$ 67 ± 38 13.2 ± 52 12.6 ± 43 $DS\pm$ $Common$ IS	ChinaRCT4040202022/18 659 ± 45 675 ± 3.8 132 ± 5.2 12.6 ± 4.3 $D5 \pm 4.3$ </td <td></td> <td>China</td> <td>RCT</td> <td>18</td> <td>18</td> <td>21</td> <td>1/15</td> <td>66.3</td> <td>± 8.6</td> <td>WN</td> <td>MN</td> <td>CDS + Common</td> <td>Common</td> <td>5-7</td> <td>MN</td> <td>MN</td> <td>BAD,EF,LVEF</td>		China	RCT	18	18	21	1/15	66.3	± 8.6	WN	MN	CDS + Common	Common	5-7	MN	MN	BAD,EF,LVEF
RCT 34 34 19/15 18/16 67 65 NM NM STIAs+ Common 14 NM Chonic pulnonary RCT 50 50 50 50 52347 52347 6.2 ± 3.5 NM NM 514 0 14 NM hart disease RCT 50 50 50 512 ± 3.5 NM NM D_5 0 14 NM NM RCT 50 50 5036 674 ± 6.7 6.8 ± 7.4 NM NM D_5 0 0 NM	ChinaRCT3419/1518/166765NMNMSTIIAS+Common14NMChronic pulmonaryChinaRCT505050 5347 65 ± 74 NMNM $DS+$ Common14NMNMheart diseaseChinaRCT5050 503 503 65 ± 74 NM NM $DS+$ Common14NMNMChinaRCT5950 57 ± 67 6.8 ± 74 NM NM $DS+$ Common14NMNMChinaRCT5959 2930 67 ± 67 6.8 ± 74 NM NM $DS+$ Common21NMNMAn SD, media (IQR) or range.NM.Common $DS+$ Common21NMNMMMAn SD, media (IQR) or range.NM.Common $DS+$ Common21NMNMMMAn SD, media (IQR) or range.NM.Common $DS+$ Common21NMNMCommonAn SD, media (IQR) or range.NM.Common $DS+$ Common $DS+$ Common $DS DS DS DS DS-$ An SD, media (IQR) or range.NM. $DS+$ $COMDS DS DS-$		China	RCT	40	40	20/20	22/18	65.9 ± 4.5	67.5 ± 3.8	13.2 ± 5.2	12.6 ± 4.3	DS + Common	Common	15	MN	Pulmonary heart disease	EF
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ChinaRCT50505053/4761.2 ± 3.5NMDS +Common14NMNMChinaRCT595930/2929/30 67.4 ± 6.7 66.8 ± 7.4 NM $DS +$ Common14NMNMChinaRCT595930/29 $29/30$ 67.4 ± 6.7 66.8 ± 7.4 NM $DS +$ Common21NMNMChinaRCT5930/29 $29/30$ 67.4 ± 6.7 66.8 ± 7.4 NM $DS +$ Common21NMNMCan SD, median (LQR) or range. NM, not mentioned; SH, Shengmai injection; SXG, Shenxiong glucose injection; STIAS, Sodium tanshinone IIA sulforate injection; DS, Danshen injection; CI		China	RCT	34	34	19/15	18/16	67	65	WN	MN	STIIAS + Common	Common	14	MN	Chronic pulmonary heart disease	EFLVEF
RCT 59 59 30/29 29/30 67.4 ± 6.7 66.8 ± 7.4 NM DS + Common Common 21 NM NM	China RCT 59 59 30/29 29/30 6// 3 ± 6/7 6// 6 ± ± 7.4 NM DS + Common 21 NM NM ran SD, median (IQR) or range. NM, not mentioned; SFI, Shenfun injection; SMI, Shengmai injection; SMG, Shengwai injection; SMG,		China	RCT	20	50	22	3/47	61.2	± 3.5	WN	MM	DS + Common	Common	14	MN	MN	EFLVEF
	an SD, median (IQR) or range. NM, not mentioned; SFI, Shenti injection; SMI, Shengmai injection; SXG, Shenxiong glucose injection; STIIAS, Sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate injection; DS, Danshen injection; CI		China	RCT	59	59	30/29	29/30	67.4±6.7	66.8 ± 7.4	MM	MM	DS + Common	Common	21	MN	MM	LVEF

10.3389/fphar.2024.1319551

studies, strictly adhering to the predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Finally, 24 studies were incorporated into the meta-analysis (Li, 2011; Hu, 2012; Tang, 2013; Hu, 2015; Wang and Gao, 2015; Zeng et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2016; Li, 2016; Lyu et al., 2016; Qin and Jiang, 2016; Xian et al., 2016; Qiao, 2017; Yao, 2018; Shang and Lyu, 2019; Wang et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Li et al., 2022b). The literature screening process is shown in Figure 1.

3.2 Basic characteristics of included studies

Seven interventions were involved in our study, including Shengmai Injection + Common (SM + Common), Shenxiong Glucose Injection + Common, Sodium Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate Injection + Common, Danshen injection + Common, Compound Danshen injection + Common, Shenfu Injection + Common, and Danshenchuanxiongqin Injection.

A total of 24 papers were included, in which 2,186 patients were involved, including 1,218 males and 968 females. The number of subjects in the included literature ranged from 18 to 114, and around 50 for most studies. The majority of subjects were middle-aged and older patients (48-84 years), with a mean age of about 60 years. All the included studies were RCTs completed in China. Regarding the evaluation criteria for total EF, the New York Heart Association (NYHA) Cardiac Function Classification Criteria was used in five studies (Clare, 2007), the Short-form 36 (SF-36) Heart Survey Score in one study, TCM Syndrome Score in four studies, Guidelines for Clinical Studies of New Drugs of Traditional Chinese Medicine in one study (Qiao, 2017), Guidelines for Clinical Studies of New Drugs of Traditional Chinese Medicine in one study (Li, 2011; Jiang et al., 2016), the diagnostic criteria for pulmonary heart disease concluded at the third professional conference on pulmonary heart disease (Zhang, 2009) in one study (Tang, 2013), the definition of EF and markedly EF described in the Practical Journal of Cardiac Cerebral Pneumal and Vascular Disease in one study (Hu, 2012), and the result was calculated according to "total EF = markedly EF + EF (Zhuang, 2010)." Additionally, the evaluation criteria were not specified in 11 studies. The basic statistics of the included studies are presented in Table 1.

3.3 Risk of bias

The ROB assessment result of the 24 included papers is illustrated in Figure 2. For the ROB generated in randomization, 21 studies were assessed as having a potential risk due to the absence of random allocation or allocation concealmen. Conversely, the remaining 3 studies were appraised as having a low risk. Regarding the indicator of deviations from intended interventions, 4 studies were considered as low-risk, while the other 20 studies were evaluated as having some concerns as they did not provide specific information about blind techniques. In the missing outcome data, measurement of the outcome, and selective reporting, the 24 RCTs were evaluated as low-risk as they all reported complete data and measured the outcome indicators in a standardized manner, and there was no risk of selective reporting. Overall, 23 studies were evaluated as having a possible risk, and 1 study was evaluated as low-risk.



3.4 NMA results

3.4.1 Network evidence map

In the 24 included studies, 7 different interventions were involved, including Shengmai Injection + Common, Shenxiong Glucose Injection + Common, Sodium Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate Injection + Common, Danshen injection + Common, Compound Danshen injection + Common, Shenfu Injection + Common, and Danshenchuanxiongqin Injection. The network of various interventions for each outcome indicator is shown in Figure 3. In the figure, the thickness of the lines is proportional to the amount of literature compared between the two, and the size of the diameter of



the circles is proportional to the number of participants involved in the intervention.

3.4.2 Total EF

The indicator of total EF was reported in 17 studies (Hu, 2012; Xin and Shao, 2012; Yan, 2012; Tang, 2013; Cao, 2015; Hu, 2015; Wang and Gao, 2015; Zeng et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2016; Li, 2016; Lyu et al., 2016; Qin and Jiang, 2016; Qiao, 2017; Yao, 2018; Ou and Wang, 2019; Shang and Lyu, 2019). The evidence map is shown in Figure 3A. Outcomes were presented as risk ratios (RR) and the corresponding 95% ci were reported. A statistically significant difference was considered to be present if the 95% CI did not include the value "1". Specially, when the point estimate and confidence interval were >1, the total EF in the test group was considered superior to that in the conventional western medicine group. Conversely, when the point estimate and confidence interval were <1, the total EF in the test group was considered inferior to that in the conventional western medicine group. Shengmai Injection + Common (RR = 1.1; 95% CI: 0.8, 1.54), Shenxiong Glucose Injection + Common (RR = 1.19; 95% CI: 1.06, 1.37), Danshenchuanxiongqin Injection + Common (RR = 1.33; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.7), Sodium Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate Injection + Common (RR = 1.33; 95% CI: 1.17, 1.54), Danshen injection + Common (RR = 1.19; 95% CI: 1.09, 1.31), and Compound Danshen injection + Common (RR = 1.35; 95% CI: 1.05, 1.77) may be superior to common Western medicine treatment alone in improving EF, and the difference was statistically significant (p < 0.05), as shown in Figure 5A. In the ranking of interventions, Compound Danshen injection + Common (SUCRA: 79.6%), Sodium Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate Injection + Common (SUCRA: 78.0%), Common and

Danshenchuanxiongqin Injection (SUCRA: 76.0%) could achieve the optimal effects in improving EF. The ranked probability is shown in Figure 4A.

3.4.3 LVEF

In 13 studies (Li, 2011; Hu, 2012; Xin and Shao, 2012; Cao, 2015; Wang and Gao, 2015; Zeng et al., 2015; Lyu et al., 2016; Xian et al., 2016; Li et al., 2018; Ou and Wang, 2019; Shang and Lyu, 2019; Wang et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2022), the indicator of LVEF was reported, and the changes in LVEF after treatment with Danshen injections were compared. The evidence map is shown in Figure 3B. Outcomes were presented as risk ratios (RR) and the corresponding 95% ci were reported. A statistically significant difference was considered to be present if the 95% CI did not include the value "1". Specially, when the point estimate and confidence interval were >1, LVEF was deemed superior in the test group compared to the conventional western medicine group. Conversely, when the point estimate and confidence interval were <1, LVEF was considered lower in the test group than in the conventional western medicine group. Based on the NMA results, SM + Common (WMD = 4.58, 95% CI: -4.41, 15.46), SXG + Common (WMD = 3.56, 95% CI: -5.25, 12.41), STIIAS + Common (WMD = 13.44, 95% CI: 0.79, 26.09), DS + Common (WMD = 6.82, 95% CI: 0.33, 12.98), and CDS + Common (WMD = 3.35, 95% CI: -5.38, 12.11) outperformed common Western medicine treatment alone in improving EF, and the difference was statistically significant (p < p0.05), as shown in Figure 5B (league table). In the ranking of interventions, Sodium Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate Injection + Common (SUCRA: 94.3%), Danshen injection + Common (SUCRA: 68.2%), Shenfu Injection + Common (SUCRA: 52.7%)



FIGURE 4

SUCRA of comparisons on different outcomes of interventions. (A) EF (B) LVEF (C) BNP (D) BAD (E) 6NWD. Notes: In this graphical approach, rankings are presented through examining the area under the curve. The bigger the area under the curve, the higher the likelihood that an intervention is in the top rank or one of the top ranks. SFI, Shenfu injection; SMI, Shengmai injection; SXG, Shenxiong glucose injection; STIIAS, Sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate injection; DS, Danshen injection; CDS, Compound Danshen injection; SF, Shenfu Injection; DSCXQ, Danshenchuanxiongqin injection.

were the most effective in improving LVEF (p < 0.05). The ranked probability is shown in Figure 4B.

3.4.4 BNP

In 9 studies (Jiang et al., 2016; Lyu et al., 2016; Qin and Jiang, 2016; Xian et al., 2016; Qiao, 2017; Yao, 2018; Wang et al., 2020; Li

et al., 2022b; Huang et al., 2022), the indicator of BNP was reported, and the changes in BNP after treatment with Danshen injections were compared. The evidence map is shown in Figure 3C. Outcomes were presented as risk ratios (RR) and the corresponding 95% ci were reported. A statistically significant difference was considered to be present if the 95% CI did not include the value "1." Specially, the

	0.82	0.89 (0.68, 1.18) DS	SCXQ+Common											
-	1.09	1.18 (1.05, 1.36)	1.33 (1.05, 1.7)	Common										
	0.82 (0.58, 1.18)	0.89 (0.74, 1.08)	1 (0.76, 1.32)	0.75 (0.65, 0.86)	STIIAS+Common									
	0.92 (0.66, 1.3)	0.99 (0.85, 1.17)	1.11 (0.86, 1.45)	0.84 (0.76, 0.91)	1.11 (0.95, 1.32)	DS+Common		D						
	0.81 (0.54, 1.23)	0.88 (0.65, 1.16)	0.99 (0.69, 1.39)	0.74 (0.56, 0.94)	0.99 (0.72, 1.31)	0.89 (0.67, 1.14)	CDS+Common	_	SM+Common					
									1					
n								_	(0.1, 10.22)	Comm				
	SM+Common 0.99							BAD	1.63 (0.05, 58.93)	1.61 (0.12, 25		S+Common		
	(-11.22, 15.35) 4.58	SXG+Common 3.56	Common	7					5.64 (0.14, 439.79)	5.45 (0.33, 23		3.52 7, 320.73)	CDS+Common	
	(+4.41, 15.46) +8.88	(+5.25, 12.41) +9.87	-13.44	STIIAS+Common					0	0		0	0	SF+Common
	(-23.86, 8.25) -2.23	(-25.32, 5.48) -3.26	(-26.09, -0.79) -6.82	6.61	DS+Common				(0, 0.59)	(0, 0.4	4) ((), 0.42)	(0, 0.13)	
	(-12.87, 10.76) 1.2 (-11.01, 15.46)	(+13.97, 7.71) 0.21 (+12.2, 12.68)	(-12.98, -0.33) -3.35 (-12.11, 5.38)	(+7.32, 20.98) 10.09 (+5.33, 25.44)	3.45 (-7.56, 14.1)	CDS+Common								
	-0.52 (-12.73, 13.89)	-1.52 (-13.99, 11.01)	-5.07 (-13.86, 3.83)	8.36 (-7.01, 23.9)	(-9.27, 12.45)	-1.72 (-14.06, 10.81)	SF+Common	Е						
								<u> </u>	SM+Common					
									-38.15	SXG+Common				
	SM+Common							6	(-285.91, 210.02) 48.99	87.17	Common	1		
	263.26 (-216.58, 751.87)	SXG+Commo	on					6MWD	(-154, 251.18) 159.54	(-55.73, 230.23) 197.55	110.37	DS+Comm	lon	
	-36.27 (-375.81, 304.27)	-299.37 (-650.94, 45.93	(S) Con	imon				-	(-128.52, 446.31) -51.98	(-51.47, 446.15) -13.41	(-93.01, 314.26) -100.63	-211.41	CDS+Common	1
	133.69 (-195.48, 819.22)	-127.91 (-472.71, 555.9	17		IIAS+Common				(-338.45, 234.61) 18.99	(-263.27, 236.19) 56.98	(-304.78, 102.43) -30.02	(-499.42, 78	70.52	SF+Common
	963.81	699.82	100	0.43	806.7	DS+Common		l	(-268.56, 306.85)	(-193.44, 308.02)	(-235.35, 176.29)	(-430.05, 14	9.93) (-218.76, 360.75)	
		(-2.63, 1396.54		.45 (-4	-172.36	-978.21	SF+Common	1						
	(265.91, 1661.49) -13.86 (-727.43, 721.54)	(-1002.35, 458.1			030.3, 483.34)	(-1861.3, -76.59)								

trial group was regarded as more effective for BNP than the conventional western medicine group when the point estimate and confidence interval were >1. Conversely, the trial group was considered less effective for BNP than the conventional western medicine group when the point estimate and confidence interval was <1. Based on the NMA results, SM + Common (WMD = -36.27), 95% CI: -375.81, 304.27), SXG + Common (WMD = -299.37, 95% CI: -650.94, 45.98), STIIAS + Common (WMD = -174.3, 95% CI: -712.63, 45.7), DS + Common (WMD = -1,000.43, 95% CI: -1,615.43, -379.02), and SF + Common (WMD = -22.45, 95% CI: -676.82, 619.99) were more effective than common Western treatment alone in improving BNP, and the difference was statistically significant (p < 0.05), as shown in Figure 5C (league table). In the ranking of interventions, Danshen injection + Common (SUCRA: 99.9%), Shenxiong Glucose Injection + Common (SUCRA: 77.2%), and Sodium Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate Injection + Common (SUCRA: 51.5%) could achieve the optimal effects in improving BNP. The ranked probability is shown in Figure 4C.

3.4.5 Adverse reactions

In 4 studies (Cao, 2015; Lyu et al., 2016; Xian et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2019), the indicator of adverse reactions was reported, and the safety of Danshen injections in cardiac failure was compared. The evidence map is shown in Figure 3D. Outcomes were presented as risk ratios (RR) and the corresponding 95% ci were reported. A statistically significant difference was considered to be present if the

95% CI did not include the value "1". Specially, when the point estimates and confidence intervals were >1, the risk of adverse events in the trial group was considered to be higher and thus more dangerous, and conversely, when the point estimates and confidence intervals were <1, the risk of adverse events in the trial group was considered to be safer compared to the conventional Western medicine group. Based on the NMA results, SM + Common (RR = 1, 95% CI: 0.1, 10.22), STIIAS + Common (RR = 0.62, 95% CI: 0.04, 8.62), CDS + Common (RR = 0.18, 95% CI: 0, 0.36), and SF + Common (RR = 310,334.94, 95% CI: 2.26, 30598537594444904) were superior to common Western treatment alone in improving adverse reactions, and the difference was statistically significant (p < 0.05), as shown in Figure 5D (league table). In the intervention ranking, Shenfu Injection + Common (SUCRA: 75.2%), Compound Danshen injection + Common (SUCRA: 58.8%), and Sodium Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate Injection + Common (SUCRA: 48.5%) were the three intervention regimens with the lowest risk of adverse reactions (p <0.05). The ranked probability is shown in Figure 4D adverse reactions included four cases of gastrointestinal reactions, one case of respiratory system injury, and one case of severe adverse reactions induced by acute appendicitis. Other adverse reactions could be spontaneously relieved after drug withdrawal or symptomatic treatment. This suggests that Danshen injections + Common in cardiac failure would not increase adverse reactions, indicating a good safety profile of Danshen injections.

3.4.6 6MWD

Six studies (Wang and Gao, 2015; Zeng et al., 2015; Xian et al., 2016; Ou and Wang, 2019; Wang et al., 2019; Huang et al., 2022) reported 6MWD. The evidence map is shown in Figure 3E. Outcomes were presented as risk ratios (RR) and the corresponding 95% ci were reported. A statistically significant difference was considered to be present if the 95% CI did not include the value "1." Specially, the 6MWD in the test group was considered to be higher than that in the conventional western medicine group when the point estimate and confidence interval were >1. Conversely, the 6MWD in the test group was considered to be lower than that in the conventional western medicine group when the point estimate and confidence interval was <1. Based on the NMA results, SM + Common (WMD = 48.99, 95% CI: -154, 251.18), SXG + Common (WMD = 87.17, 95% CI: -55.73, 230.23), DS + Common (WMD = -110.37, 95% CI: -314.26, 93.01), CDS + Common (WMD = 100.63, 95% CI: -102.43, 304.78), and SF + Common (WMD = 30.02, 95% CI: -176.29, 235.35) were superior to common Western treatment in improving 6MWD, and the difference was statistically significant (p < 0.05), as shown in Figure 5E (league table). In the ranking of interventions, Compound Danshen injection + Common (SUCRA: 75.6%), Shenxiong Glucose Injection + Common (SUCRA: 73.7%), and Shengmai Injection + Common (SUCRA: 57.2%) could achieve the optimal effects in improving 6MWD. The ranked probability is shown in Figure 4E.

4 Discussion

4.1 Main findings

This paper is the first systematic retrospective analysis of the efficacy and safety of Danshen injection in the treatment of heart failure. Our findings provide an update to the Efficacy and safety of Danshen injection in the treatment of heart failure: a systematic evaluation and meta-analysis protocol (Yuan et al., 2019). Moreover, our results align with a previous study conducted by Mingxuan Li et al. This study, encompassing a total of 148 studies with 14,979 patients and evaluating eight Danshen-based injectionsnamely, Danshen injection, Compound Danshen injection, Coronary Heart injection, Danshen Chuanxiongzizine injection, Danhong injection, Danshentong IIA xanthic acid injection, Ginsengxiong Grapeshot injection, and Danshen Dofenacitis injection, and it was concluded that all of the eight danshenbased injections were effective (Li et al., 2022a), Cardiac failure is the most severe and late phase of various heart diseases, with a high mortality and readmission rate. The mortality of patients in the intensive care unit (ICU) from cardiac failure has reached 4.1% (Li et al., 2021), with the symptoms manifested as peripheral edema, fluid retention, and dyspnea (Yancy et al., 2013; Wang and Liang, 2018). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first network metaanalysis (NMA) comparing the effectiveness and safety of different Danshen injections in combination with common Western treatment for heart failure. The NMA has revealed that Danshen injections + Common can effectively alleviate various symptoms of patients with cardiac failure. Specifically, Compound Danshen injection + Common is the most effective measure to improve the total EF; Sodium Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate Injection + Common is the most effective measure to improve LVEF; Danshen injection + Common is the most effective measure to improve BNP; Shenfu Injection + Common is the most effective measure to improve adverse reactions, and Compound Danshen injection + Common is the most effective measure to improve 6MWD. Our findings are consistent with the results of a previous meta-analysis (Bai et al., 2018) that included 24 papers involving five injections, including Shenfu Injection, Shenmai Injection, Shengmai Injection, Danhong Injection, and Astragalus Injection. That meta-analysis revealed that Danshen injections + Common could effectively improve relevant symptoms, and Shenfu Injection, Shenmai Injection, and Shengmai Injection could significantly improve 6MWD and total EF. A recent pharmacological study has shown that the effective ingredient of Danshen can significantly reduce blood viscosity, protect the cardiovascular system, and alleviate myocardial hypoxia injury to significantly decrease the ventricular hypertrophy index, thus improving the ventricular myocardial function and regulating the ventricular diastolic function (Yuan et al., 2019).

In terms of total EF, Danshen injection + Common is superior to Western treatment alone, and Compound Danshen injection + Common can achieve the most significant effect. Compound Danshen injection + Common is believed to improve the effectiveness of Western medicine treatment for cardiac failure as adjuvant therapy. A previous study (Bian et al., 2021) has revealed that Rosuvastatin + Compound Danshen Injection is effective in the treatment of acute cerebral infarction, which can effectively improve the clinical symptoms of patients, reduce the incidence of complications, and promote the recovery of IL-6, CRP, and D-dimer levels. Another study (Liu et al., 2019) shows that Compound Danshen injection + Butylphthalide Injection can achieve good clinical effectiveness in old patients with acute cerebral infarction.

In terms of improving LVEF, Sodium Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate Injection + Common is the most effective measure. A previous meta-analysis included 14 RCTs involving 1,368 patients and employed the RevMan 5.3 software for data analysis (Shao et al., 2022). The results revealed that Sodium Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate Injection appeared to be more effective than Western treatment alone in cardiac failure as adjuvant therapy. Specifically, the results of the meta-analysis of LVEF showed that Sodium Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate Injection could reduce high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) and was independently related to cardiovascular events coronary artery disease (CAD). Reduced hs-CRP levels could further decrease the incidence of cardiac failure.

In terms of improving BNP, Danshen injection + Common is the most effective measure, which is consistent with the results of the study (Shao et al., 2018). In the previous study, 10 RCTs were analyzed, involving 944 patients with angina pectoris. It was concluded that Danshen injection + antianginal drugs could improve the angina pectoris symptoms compared with antianginal drugs alone (beta blockers, calcium antagonists, nitrates, *etc.*). Our NMA has also demonstrated the effectiveness of Danshen injection + Common in patients with cardiac failure.

In terms of adverse reactions, Shenfu Injection + Common is the safest measure. A previous study (Wang et al., 2009) has demonstrated that Shenfu Injection has an obvious protective effect on inducing apoptosis of injured myocardial cells by inhibiting the downregulation of Bcl2-2 protein and the sequential activation of caspase-3, thus proving the safety of Shenfu Injection.

Impaired motion ability is the main symptom of cardiac failure. 6MWD is a common technique to measure motion ability, which can provide useful prognostic information for all-cause hospitalization and mortality of various chronic diseases such as cardiac failure. Studies have shown that 6MWD is not only closely related to the peak aerobic capacity of patients with heart disease but also associated with decreases in several traditional indicators of the left ventricle, left atrium, and right ventricle dysfunction (Chen et al., 2016; Patel et al., 2021). Based on our meta-analysis results, Compound Danshen injection + Common is the most effective measure to improve 6MWD. An animal experiment has revealed that Compound Danshen injection can significantly reduce the infarct area, inhibit the expression of caspase-3 protein in myocardial tissue, and lower the level of MDA and the activities of CK, LDH, and cTnI in serum. By activating the Akt-eNOS signaling pathway, Compound Danshen injection can protect myocardial cells from MI/R injury, inhibit myocardial cell apoptosis, and further reduce the incidence of cardiac failure (Ren-an et al., 2014). Regulating cardiac systolic function and reducing cardiac load can promote the recovery of motor function. The results are similar to the findings of our NMA.

4.2 Limitations

Even though our study has fully demonstrated the advantages of Danshen injections in cardiac failure, there are still three limitations. Firstly, the quality of many included RCTs was relatively low. To reduce the selection bias, good randomization methods should be used in the included RCTs. In our study, however, only half of the RCTs described the appropriate randomization methods. Secondly, the blinding methods for investigators or patients were not described in all RCTs. These shortcomings may lead to overestimation or underestimation of the effectiveness of Danshen injections. Thirdly, the results of some RCTs may be inaccurate due to short treatment times and small sample sizes. Therefore, RCTs with more rigorous design and higher quality are still required.

5 Conclusion

In our study, six types of commonly used Danshen injections were assessed based on different outcome indicators, and the effectiveness of these Danshen injections was ranked. The NMA shows that Compound Danshen injection has the highest EF compared with Western medicine treatment. Danshen injection + Common can achieve the optimal effect in reducing BNP, while Compound Danshen injection + Common can achieve the optimal effect in improving the total EF and 6MWD. In terms of improving LVEF, Sodium Tanshinone IIA Sulfonate Injection + Common can achieve the optimal effect, while Shenfu Injection + Common has the highest safety. Although the current study has demonstrated the unique advantages and effectiveness of TCM in cardiac failure, the safety, effectiveness, and standardization of its clinical application still need further investigation. In the future, more high-quality RCTs with better trial designs are desired to distinguish the degree of patients' illness, unify the dosage of medication, and focus on more specific safety indicators, in the hope of providing more targeted and effective treatments for patients with cardiac failure. Endeavors should be made to promote the standardization and application of Danshen injections.

Author contributions

YS: Writing-original draft. NS: Writing-original draft. LJ: Writing-review and editing. YP: Writing-review and editing.

Funding

The author(s) declare financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82074145, 82374423), Liaoning Provincial Science and Technology Programme Joint Project (Applied Basic Research Project) (2023JH2/101700208), High-level Construction Project of Key Disciplines of Traditional Chinese Medicine of the State Administration of Traditional Chinese Medicine (No. zyyzdxk-2023034), Liaoning Provincial Department of Education Face-to-face Project (No. 2023JH2/101700208), the Open fund of Key Laboratory of Ministry of Education for TCM Viscera-State Theory and Applications, Liaoning University of Traditional Chinese Medicine(zyzx2009), and Liaoning Provincial Department of Education (NO.LJKMZ20221342).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2024.1319551/ full#supplementary-material

References

Adamo, M., Gardner, R. S., McDonagh, T. A., and Metra, M. (2022). The 'Ten Commandments' of the 2021 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure. *Eur. Heart J.* 43 (6), 440–441. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehab853

Bai, D., Yue, G., Wang, R., Miao, Q., Xu, J., and Liu, L. (2018). Clinical characteristics of five traditional Chinese medicine injections in treating heart failure based on Metaanalysis literature. *China J. Chin. Materia Medica* 43 (20), 4152–4162. doi:10.19540/j. cnki.cjcmm.20180709.002

Bian, F., Kang, S., Cui, H., Wang, F., and Luan, T. (2021). The clinical efficacy of compound Danshen injection on acute cerebral infarction and on the changes in the CRP, D-dimer, and IL-6 levels. *Am. J. Transl. Res.* 13 (7), 8126–8133.

Cao, C. (2015). Clinical effect of Milrinone combined with compound Salvia Miltiorrhiza injection on acute exacerbation of chronic heart failure. *Chin. J. Clin. Ration. Drug Use* (15), 40–41. doi:10.15887/j.cnki.13-1389/r.2015.15.018

Chen, Y. W., Hunt, M. A., Campbell, K. L., Peill, K., and Reid, W. D. (2016). The effect of Tai Chi on four chronic conditions-cancer, osteoarthritis, heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 50 (7), 397–407. doi:10.1136/bjsports-2014-094388

Clare, J. T. (2007). Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of chronic heart failure. *Chin. J. Cardiol.* 35 (12), 1076–1095. doi:10.3760/j.issn:0253-3758.2007.12.002

Dias, S., Welton, N. J., Sutton, A. J., and Ades, A. E. (2013). Evidence synthesis for decision making 1: introduction. *Med. Decis. Mak.* 33 (5), 597–606. doi:10.1177/0272989x13487604

Gao, Y., Wang, M., Liu, M., Gao, F., Niu, N., and Lyu, Y. (2018). Clinical efficacy of sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate injection combined with digoxin in the treatment of elderly patients with heart failure. *Shaanxi Med. J.* 47 (05), 646–649. doi:10.3969/j.issn. 1000-7377.2018.05.031

Hu, J. (2015). Clinical effect of Shenxiong glucose injection as an adjunctive therapy in the treatment of heart failure from chronic pulmonary heart disease. *China Mod. Med.* 22 (06), 166–168.

Hu, M. (2012). Curative effect of salvia miltiorrhiza injection on congestive heart failure. *Chin. Foreign Med. Res.* 10 (17), 48–49. doi:10.14033/j.cnki.cfmr.2012.17.069

Huang, X., Sun, L., Lin, C., Yang, R., and Liao, L. (2022). Clinical efficacy of Shenxiong glucose and sodium phosphocreatine injections in chronic heart failure. *J. Guangdong Med. Coll.* 40 (3), 319–321. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1005-4057.2022.03.018

Jiang, Q., Chen, M., Xue, Q., and Cai, T. (2016). Observation of clinical effect of tanshinone IIA sodium sulfonate injection on chronic heart failure. *China Pract. Med.* 11 (03), 121–122. doi:10.14163/j.cnki.11-5547/r.2016.03.087

Li, F., Xin, H., Zhang, J., Fu, M., Zhou, J., and Lian, Z. (2021). Prediction model of inhospital mortality in intensive care unit patients with heart failure: machine learningbased, retrospective analysis of the MIMIC-III database. *BMJ Open* 11 (7), e044779. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044779

Li, M. (2011). Clinical effect of salvia miltiorrhiza injection on 59 cases of chronic heart failure. *Mod. Diagnosis Treat.* 22 (05), 276–278. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1001-8174. 2011.05.009

Li, M., Li, H., Liu, H., Lai, X., and Xing, W. (2022a). Efficacy and safety of eight types Salvia miltiorrhiza injections in the treatment of unstable angina pectoris: a network meta-analysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13, 972738. doi:10.3389/fphar.2022.972738

Li, M., Zhang, H., Zhang, R., and Su, H. (2019a). Research progress of Chinese medicine injection in prevention and treatment of chronic heart failure. *World Latest Med. Inf.* 19 (93), 95–96. doi:10.19613/j.cnki.1671-3141.2019.93.046

Li, M., Zhang, Y., Wan, Q., Li, Y., Qu, T., and Yuan, F. (2022b). Use of levosimendan combined with Shenfu injection to treat acute heart failure patients with hypotension: a prospective randomized controlled single-blind study. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord.* 22 (1), 130. doi:10.1186/s12872-022-02572-2

Li, S. (2016). Analysis of clinical effect of Danshen Chuanxiustrazine injection on chronic pulmonary heart disease and heart failure. *Psychol. Dr.* 22 (20), 115–116.

Li, Y., Zhu, H., Zhao, X., and Zhou, X. (2019b). Therapeutic effect of tanshinone IIA on senile congestive heart failure. *Chin. J. Geriatric Care* 17 (03), 56–59. doi:10.3969/j. issn.1672-2671.2019.03.022

Li, Y. H., Li, W., Cui, Q. L., and Su, X. J. (2018). Shenfu injection combined with levosimendan for treatment of patients with chronic heart failure: impact on gastrointestinal hormones and heart function. *World Chin. J. Dig.* 26 (7), 454–459. doi:10.11569/wcjd.v26.i7.454

Liu, W., Liu, D., Liu, K., and Ping, F. (2019). Clinical analysis of compound salvia miltiorrhiza injection combined with Butylphthalide injection in treatment of acute cerebral infarction in elderly patients. *Chin. Archives Traditional Chin. Med.* 37 (5), 1274–1277. doi:10.13193/j.issn.1673-7717.2019.05.063

Lyu, Z., Ren, C., and Chen, M. (2016). Clinical study of tanshinone injection combined with Shuxuetong in the treatment of chronic congestive heart failure. *Chin. J. Integr. Med. Cardio/Cerebrovascular Dis.* 14 (22), 2648–2650. doi:10.3969/j. issn.1672-1349.2016.22.019

Meng, S. (2018). Effects of Danshen Chuanxiongazine injection on cardiac function and plasma brain natriuretic peptide in patients with chronic heart failure. *Prev. Treat. Cardiovasc. Dis.* (12), 58–59. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1672-3015(x).2018.13.024

Ou, H., and Wang, Y. (2019). Clinical study on the adjunctive treatment of hypertension with acute left heart failure with Shenxiong glucose injection and influence on serum metabolomics. *China Pharm.* 22 (2), 260–263. doi:10.3969/j.issn. 1008-049X.2019.02.016

Patel, R. B., Freed, B. H., Beussink-Nelson, L., Allen, N. B., Konety, S. H., Post, W. S., et al. (2021). Associations of cardiac mechanics with exercise capacity: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 78 (3), 245–257. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2021.04.082

Qiao, C. (2017). Clinical observation of tanshinone -A sodium sulfonate injection in treatment of heart failure. *Qinghai Med. J.* 47 (02), 13–14.

Qin, J., and Jiang, L. (2016). Effect of Danshen injections on NT-proBNP in patients with hypertension and left ventricular failure. *Int. J. Laboratory Med.* 37 (19), 2728–2729. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1673-4130.2016.19.027

Ren-an, Q., Juan, L., Chuyuan, L., Wenjuan, F., Chunyan, H., Xuemei, Y., et al. (2014). Study of the protective mechanisms of Compound Danshen Tablet (Fufang Danshen Pian) against myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury via the Akt-eNOS signaling pathway in rats. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 156, 190–198. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2014. 08.023

Shang, S., and Lyu, F. (2019). The influence of non-invasive positive pressure ventilation on clinical Efficacy, Cardiac Function, and inflammatory response in patients with acute left heart failure. *Chin. J. Integr. Med. Cardio/Cerebrovascular Dis.* 17 (10), 1453–1457. doi:10.12102/j.issn.1672-1349.2019.10.004

Shao, H., Fang, C., Huang, Y., Ye, Y., and Tong, R. (2022). Sodium tanshinone IIA sulfonate injection as adjunctive therapy for the treatment of heart failure: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Phytomedicine* 95, 153879. doi:10.1016/j.phymed.2021.153879

Shao, H., Li, M., Chen, F., Chen, L., Jiang, Z., and Zhao, L. (2018). The efficacy of danshen injection as adjunctive therapy in treating angina pectoris: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Heart Lung Circ.* 27 (4), 433–442. doi:10.1016/j.hlc.2017.10.016

Sterne, J. A. C., Savović, J., Page, M. J., Elbers, R. G., Blencowe, N. S., Boutron, I., et al. (2019). RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *Bmj* 366, 14898. doi:10.1136/bmj.14898

Tang, Q. (2013). Clinical effect of salvia miltiorrhiza injection on patients with pulmonary heart disease and heart failure. *Asia-Pacific Tradit. Med.* 9 (08), 174–175. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1673-2197.2013.08.097

Wang, H., Liang, Y., and Editorial Board of Chinese Journal of Cardiology (2018). Chinese guidelines for diagnosis and treatment of heart failure 2018. *Chin. J. Cardiol.* 46 (10), 760–789. doi:10.3760/cma.j.issn.0253-3758.2018.10.004

Wang, L., Yu, J., Fordjour, P. A., Xing, X., Gao, H., Li, Y., et al. (2017). Danshen injection prevents heart failure by attenuating post-infarct remodeling. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 205, 22–32. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2017.04.027

Wang, N. (2015). Astragalus injection combined with danshen injection therapy elderly patients with chronic congestive heart failure, acute exacerbation of its effect on serum brain natriuretic peptide. *J. Liaoning Univ. Traditional Chin. Med.* 17 (11), 172–173. doi:10.13194/j.issn.1673-842x.2015.11.058

Wang, S. M., Ye, L. F., and Wang, L. H. (2020). Shenmai injection improves energy metabolism in patients with heart failure: a randomized controlled trial. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11, 459. doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.00459

Wang, X., Zhao, Z., Mao, J., Du, T., Chen, Y., Xu, H., et al. (2019). Randomized, double-blinded, multicenter, placebo-controlled trial of Shenfu injection for treatment of patients with chronic heart failure during the acute phase of symptom aggravation (yang and qi deficiency syndrome). *Evid. Based Complement. Altern. Med.* 2019, 9297163. doi:10.1155/2019/9297163

Wang, Y., and Gao, G. (2015). Effect of compound salvia miltiorrhiza injection combined with hemodialysis on the efficacy and oxidation indexes of patients with endstage renal disease complicated with heart failure. *Guid. J. Traditional Chin. Med. Pharmacol.* 21 (17), 78–80.

Wang, Y. L., Wang, C. Y., Zhang, B. J., and Zhang, Z. Z. (2009). Shenfu injection suppresses apoptosis by regulation of Bcl-2 and caspase-3 during hypoxia/ reoxygenation in neonatal rat cardiomyocytes *in vitro*. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 36 (2), 365–370. doi:10.1007/s11033-007-9188-x

Wang, Z., and Hu, S. (2020). Interpretation of report on cardiovascular Health and diseases in China 2019. *Chin. J. Cardiovasc. Med.* 25 (05), 401–410. doi:10.3969/j.issn. 1007-5410.2020.05.001

Xian, S., Yang, Z., Lee, J., Jiang, Z., Ye, X., Luo, L., et al. (2016). A randomized, doubleblind, multicenter, placebo-controlled clinical study on the efficacy and safety of Shenmai injection in patients with chronic heart failure. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* 186, 136–142. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2016.03.066

Xin, Q., and Shao, Z. (2012). Adjuvant treatment with Shengmai injection for chronic heart failure combined with coronary heart disease: a clinical observation. *J. Second Mil. Med. Univ.* 33 (5), 573–574. doi:10.3724/sp.J.1008.2012.00573

Yan, L. (2012). Curative effect of tanshinone on chronic pulmonary heart disease complicated with heart failure. *Mod. Diagnosis Treat.* 23 (6), 831–832. doi:10.3969/j. issn.1001-8174.2012.06.156

Yancy, C. W., Jessup, M., Bozkurt, B., Butler, J., Casey, D. E., Jr., Drazner, M. H., et al. (2013). 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on practice guidelines. *Circulation* 128 (16), e240–e327. doi:10.1161/CIR. 0b013e31829e8776

Yao, Y. (2018). Clinical effect of Shenxiong glucose injection on patients with chronic pulmonary heart disease and heart failure. *Proceeding Clin. Med.* 27 (02), 100–101. doi:10.16047/j.cnki.cn14-1300/r.2018.02.008

Yuan, T., Chen, Y., Zhou, X., Lin, X., and Zhang, Q. (2019). Effectiveness and safety of Danshen injection on heart failure: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med. Baltim.* 98 (22), e15636. doi:10.1097/md.000000000015636

Zeng, H., Wang, H., Chao, Y., and Yan, B. (2015). Clinical effect of benazepril combined with Danshen injection in the treat-ment of hypertensive heart disease complicated with heart failure. *China Mod. Med.* (7), 114–116.

Zhang, M., Zheng, X., Hou, X., Chen, L., and Wu, J. (2023). Progress of exercise-based cardiac rehabilitation in patients with reduced ejection fraction heart failure. *Chin. J. Cardiovasc. Rehabilitation Med.* 32 (03), 247–249. doi:10.3969/j.issn.1008-0074.2023.03.07

Zhang, T. (2009). Observation on the curative effect of nitrophenopyridine, rhazidine combined with compound Salvia miltiorrhiza injection in the treatment of pulmonary heart disease. *Mod. J. Integr. Traditional Chin.* 18 (28), 3442–3443. doi:10.3969/j.issn. 1008-8849.2009.28.024

Zhang, X., Zhang, H., and He, C. (2015). Effect of Shenxiong glucose injection on N-telencephalic natriuretic peptide precursors in patients with COPD complicated with pulmonary heart disease and heart failure. *China Pract. Med.* 10 (33), 146–148. doi:10. 14163/j.cnki.11-5547/r.2015.33.106

Zheng, P., Yao, S., Wang, H., and Yang, J. (2022). New progress in patients with heart failure complicated with frailty. *Chin. J. Cardiol.* 50 (01), 95–100. doi:10.3760/cma.j. cn112148-20210124-00084

Zhuang, M. (2010). Chronic pulmonary heart disease with heart failure in clinical research. *Pract. J. Cardiac Cereb. Pneumal Vasc. Dis.* 18 (12), 1827–1828. doi:10.3969/j. issn.1008-5971.2010.12.050