

Pan Li, Glenn T. Lines, Mary M. Maleckar and Aslak Tveito *

Center for Biomedical Computing, Simula Research Laboratory and Center for Cardiological Innovation, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway

Edited by:

Leonid L. Rubchinsky, Indiana University - Purdue University, USA

Reviewed by:

Elena Tolkacheva, University of Minnesota, USA Xiaopeng Zhao, University of Tennessee, USA

*Correspondence:

Aslak Tveito, Simula Research Laboratory, Martin Linges vei 17, Fornebu, P.O. Box 134, 1325, Oslo, Norway e-mail: aslak@simula.no

Over the past half century, there has been intense and fruitful interaction between experimental and computational investigations of cardiac function. This has led, for example, to more profound understanding of cardiac excitation-contraction coupling; how it works, as well as how it fails. However, many lines of inquiry remain unresolved, among them the initiation of each heartbeat. The sinoatrial node, a cluster of specialized pacemaking cells in the right atrium of the heart, spontaneously generates an electro-chemical wave that spreads through the atria and the cardiac conduction system to the ventricles, initiating the contraction of cardiac muscle essential for pumping blood to the body. Despite the fundamental importance of this primary pacemaker, this process is still not fully understood, and ionic mechanisms underlying cardiac pacemaking function are currently under heated debate. Several mathematical models of sinoatrial node cell membrane electrophysiology have been constructed as based on different experimental data sets and hypotheses. As could be expected, these differing models offer diverse predictions about cardiac pacemaking activities. This paper aims to present the current state of debate over the origins of the pacemaking function of the sinoatrial node. Here, we will specifically review the state-of-the-art of cardiac pacemaker modeling, with a special emphasis on current discrepancies, limitations, and future challenges.

Keywords: mathematical modeling, cardiac automaticity, pacemaker, ion channels, electrophysiology

1. INTRODUCTION

Complex biological systems rely on numerous yet wellcoordinated interactions at various scales to achieve proper physiological function (1). In the heart, for example, complex signaling pathways regulate chemical processes within the cytosol, cardiac ion channels mediate membrane fluxes, and nexus junctions between cardiac myocytes allow the tissue to function as a syncytium. Although governing principles describing e.g., kinetics for each component may be considered relatively simple, the dynamics of the ensemble is anything but. The processes involved are highly non-linear and may be almost impossible to dissect without the aid of mathematical modeling and computer simulations (2). Current cardiac models range from subcellular structures to the entire organ and from aforementioned ion channels to signaling pathways (a variety of spatial scales), from Purkinje cells to ventricular myocytes (a variety of subtypes of cardiac cells), and from rat to human (a variety of species) (3). The interactions between these diverse models and associated experimental measurements have greatly advanced our basic understanding of cardiac physiology and pathophysiology.

Yet after decades of modeling and experimental studies, one of the most important questions in cardiac physiology remains unanswered: what keeps the heart ticking (4)? The sinoatrial node, a cluster of specialised pacemaking cells in the right atrium of the heart, spontaneously generates an electro-chemical wave known as the action potential (AP). The AP spreads throughout the atria and the cardiac conduction system to the ventricles, initiating the contraction of cardiac muscle essential for pumping blood to the body. The underlying mechanism of this cardiac spontaneous pacemaking activity (known as automaticity) has been under heated debate, with seemingly exclusive hypotheses proposed by different groups (5). Following this debate, a number of mathematical models of cardiac pacemaker cells have been developed (3, 6). These models are based on different experimental data, different hypotheses, and, not surprisingly, they result in diverse predictions about cardiac pacemaker activity (7). A single model or hypothesis has, thus far, been unable to provide convincing explanations which address all experimental findings.

Here, we will review the state-of-the-art of mathematical models of cardiac pacemaking function, with a special emphasis on current discrepancies, limitations and future challenges. It is hoped that this review will allow readers a quick grasp of the core of the debate, and potentially attract a greater number of researchers with interdisciplinary backgrounds to tackle present challenges.

2. MODELS OF CARDIAC PACEMAKING CELLS

In the healthy human heart, the sinoatrial node (SAN) is the primary pacemaker which spontaneously generates APs to initiate each heartbeat. The location and size of SAN vary among different species; in human, the SAN is typically located both laterally and inferior to the terminal crest (crista terminalis), and has been found to take the form of a cigar, with an estimated length of 20 mm and width of 5 mm (8, 9). Morphologies of isolated SAN cells can be either elongated and spindle-shaped (about 150 μ m long) or spider-shaped (about 50 μ m long) (10). These cells are electrically coupled via gap junctions; the spatial distribution of gap junctions in the SAN is sparse as compared to other cardiac tissues. This leads to relatively weak intercellular coupling and slow, non-uniform conduction through the

SAN (11). Cardiac pacemaking is robust, however: in the case of SAN failure, secondary [atrioventricular node (AVN)] and tertiary (Purkinje fiber network) pacemakers will ensure repetitive ventricular contractions (see **Figure 1**) (12). All pacemaker tissues share certain cellular characteristics which distinguish them from non-pacemaking cardiac myocytes; e.g., pacemaking cells lack a T-tubular system (13) and share similar tissuespecific expression levels of various ion channels (14, 15). It is likely that they share also share similar underlying pacemaking mechanisms.

Many models of cardiac pacemaking cells have been developed since the 1960s. A recent publication noted twenty-one such mathematical models of pacemaking cells, including nine Purkinje cell models, eleven SAN cell models and an AVN cell model (3). All mathematical models of cardiac cellular electrophysiology are based, at least in part, on the seminal electrophysiological work of Hodgkin and Huxley in the giant squid axon (16), which quantified the ionic mechanisms underlying the neuronal AP. Based on their work, the cellular AP can be conceptualized as a momentary, active change in the transmembrane electrical potential (the difference between intracellular and extracellular electrical potentials) of an excitable membrane that occurs when it is stimulated. In short, an AP relies on a "depolarization" phase, classically mediated by inward sodium current, wherein the membrane is positively polarized with respect to its resting state, followed by "repolarization," wherein outward potassium current(s) return the membrane to rest. A cardiac pacemaking cell additionally experiences a "diastolic depolarization" (DD) phase, wherein the transmembrane potential becomes progressively depolarized through time in the absence of stimulus, eventually triggering an AP; see Figure 2B. For an automatic, pacemaking cell (and indeed any cardiac cell), these processes



FIGURE 1 | The cardiac conduction system and the cascade of cardiac pacemaking tissues; figure modified from (17). Green arrows indicate the cardiac activation sequence during sinus rhythm. SAN, sinoatrial node; AVN, atrioventricular node; PF, Purkinje fibre; RA, right atrium; LA, left atrium; RV, right ventricle; LV, left ventricle; TV, tricuspid valve; MV, mitral valve.

can be modeled via an electrical circuit, where the membrane is represented as a capacitance, and the voltage-gated channels permitting the passage of ions through this membrane are represented as electrical conductances.



FIGURE 2 | (A) Model schematic of the Noble 1962 model, where the Purkinje cell membrane is represented as an electrical circuit [Figure modified from (18)]. **(B)** Simulated AP and ion currents using the Noble 1962 Purkinje cell model to illustrate the I_{K2} "decay" hypothesis. Black arrows indicate the depolarization and repolarization phases during an AP. Blue arrows indicate the shift between inward and outward current balance during the DD that finally triggers a spontaneous AP.

Instead of providing complete review of the many extant pacemaker cell models, we will instead categorize these based on their implied pacemaking hypotheses. For each mechanistic hypothesis, we will present and comment on the most representative mathematical models.

2.1. THE IK2-BASED MODELS

The first cardiac cell model to describe a Purkinje cell AP and its automaticity was developed by Noble in 1962 (18). Following Hodgkin-Huxley formalism, the cell is represented as an electrical circuit, where the membrane is represented as a capacitance, and voltage-gated ion channels are represented as electrical conductances (**Figure 2A**):

$$C_m \frac{dV_m}{dt} = -\left(I_{Na} + I_K + I_L\right). \tag{1}$$

Here C_m is the membrane capacitance, V_m is the transmembrane potential, and three types of ionic currents are considered: a Na⁺ current (I_{Na}), a K^+ current (I_K) and a non-specific leak (I_L) current. More specifically,

$$I_{Na} = (400 \, m^3 \, h + 0.14) \, (V_m - 40) \,, \tag{2}$$

where m and h are the activation and inactivation gates respectively, and

$$\frac{dm}{dt} = \alpha_m (1 - m) - \beta_m m, \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \alpha_h (1-h) - \beta_h h, \tag{4}$$

$$\alpha_m = \frac{-0.1 \left(V_m + 48 \right)}{e^{-\frac{V_m + 48}{15}} - 1},\tag{5}$$

$$\beta_m = \frac{0.12 \left(V_m + 8 \right)}{e^{\frac{V_m + 8}{5}} - 1},\tag{6}$$

$$\alpha_h = 0.17 e^{-\frac{V_m + 90}{20}},\tag{7}$$

$$\beta_h = \frac{1}{e^{-\frac{V_m + 42}{10}} + 1}.$$
(8)

Furthermore,

$$I_K = I_{K1} + I_{K2}, (9)$$

$$I_{K1} = I_{K1} (V_m) = \left(1.2e^{-\frac{V_m + 90}{50}} + 0.015e^{\frac{V_m + 90}{60}} \right) (V_m + 100), (10)$$

$$I_{K2} = I_{K2} (V_m, t) = 1.2n (V_m, t)^4 \cdot (V_m + 100), \qquad (1)$$

where n is the activation gate, and

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = \alpha_n (1-n) - \beta_n n, \qquad (12)$$

$$\alpha_n = \frac{-0.0001(V_m + 50)}{e^{-\frac{V_m + 50}{10}} - 1},$$
(13)

$$\beta_n = 0.002 e^{-\frac{V_m + 90}{80}}.$$
(14)

Functionally, I_{Na} is a fast inward current mediated by Na⁺ ions responsible for membrane depolarization, I_{K1} is the inward rectifier K^+ current that repolarizes the transmembrane potential to its resting state, and I_{K2} is the K^+ -mediated pacemaker current. The so-called "decay" hypothesis of pacemaking, as mediated by I_{K2} , surmises that, during DD, the outward I_{K2} gradually decays and "unmasks" inward sodium current, I_{Na} , to trigger a spontaneous AP (as shown in **Figure 2B**). The membrane will repolarize following the elicited AP via the outward I_{K2} current, and so on. This cyclical activity is an oscillator known as the "membrane oscillator," as it describes cycles in the transmembrane potential, or simply as the membrane "clock" (M-clock).

In 1975, McAllister, Noble and Tsien constructed an updated model of a cardiac Purkinje cell (the MNT model) based on newly available experimental data (19), and reformulated the pacemaker current I_{K2} as:

$$I_{K2} = \overline{I_{K2}}(V_m) \cdot s(V_m, t) \tag{15}$$

Here, $\overline{I_{K2}}$ is the maximum I_{K2} current, and *s* is the activation gate of I_{K2} . This reformulation was based on a number of experimental studies that further characterized I_{K2} . For instance, the newer data revealed that the role of I_{K2} during the AP plateau had been overestimated, so an additional current I_x was introduced to represent the additional small contribution to the AP plateau from ions other than K^+ . The kinetics of I_{K2} were also found to have certain resemblance to those of I_{K1} , i.e., inward rectification following instantaneous changes in potential. In addition, for any particular potential, it was suggested that I_{K2} was directly proportional to a first-order variable (see Equation 5), instead of a fourth-order variable as in the Noble 1962 model (see Equation (4)) (20).

For over a decade, until the late 1970s, the I_{K2} "decay" hypothesis was the basis for modeling cardiac pacemaking activities. It was supported by an array of experimental and theoretical papers; e.g., evidence suggesting that the catecholaminergic regulation of I_{K2} could lead to the acceleration of the pacing rate induced by sympathetic stimulation (21).

2.2. I_f-BASED HYPOTHESIS AND MODELS

In 1979, Brown et al. reported a novel current and its involvement in the generation and catecholamine-induced acceleration of SAN pacemaking activities (22). Know as the "funny" current, I_f , it is considered so in a number of ways: (1) it has a mixed Na^+-K^+ current; (2) it activates on hyperpolarization, or negative deflection from the resting membrane potential (most voltage-gated channels activate upon depolarization); (3) it has 1) a very slow kinetics. All these properties are well-suited for I_f to function as a pacemaker current; however, they share no similarities with the properties of I_{K2} . While I_{K2} is an outward current activated on depolarization, If is an inward current activated on hyperpolarization. The community considered the simultaneous evolution of two distinct yet mechanistically opposed pacemaking functions in the heart quite unlikely. Thus, the discovery of If in SAN cells led to a series of experimental and modeling studies aimed at radical reinterpretation of I_{K2} (23). It was confirmed that, although I_{K2} has a reversal potential near the expected K^+

equilibrium potential, it is, in fact, not a pure K^+ current. With the application of Ba^{2+} , a K^+ current blocker, I_{K2} was converted into an inward, hyperpolarization-activated current with a mixed Na⁺ and K^+ permeability, similar to that of I_f (24).

In 1985, DiFrancesco and Noble published an updated Purkinje cell model (the DN model) based on these new findings about I_f and the new interpretation of I_{K2} as the primary mechanism underlying the M-clock (25). An activation gate, y, for I_f was introduced, corresponding to the fully deactivated state of I_{K2} in the MNT model, so that

$$y(V_m, t) = 1 - s(V_m, t),$$
 (16)

and I_f is formulated as

$$I_f = y(V_m, t) \cdot \left(G_{f,K} \left(V_m - E_K \right) + G_{f,Na} (V_m - E_{Na}) \right) \frac{K_c}{K_c + K_m},$$
(17)

where K_c is the cleft K^+ concentration, K_m is half activation concentration, and E_K and E_{Na} are the reversal potentials for K^+ and Na⁺, respectively. Instead of the decay of an outward current (see **Figure 2B**), the gradual activation of the inward I_f gives rise to DD until reaching the voltage threshold for I_{Na} activation, as predicted by the DN model (see **Figure 3**).

In 2001, Altomare et al. combined both experimental and theoretical approaches to illustrate the limitation of Hodgkin-Huxley formalism in describing I_f , the current originating from the now generally-called hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotidegated (HCN) channels (26). They found that some features of HCN channel gating do not comply with classic Hodgkin-Huxley kinetics, such as sigmoidal channel activation and deactivation. Thus, they proposed an allosteric Markovian scheme of voltage gating of HCN channels. In this scheme, HCN channels are considered to be composed of four subunits each. Each subunit is gated independently by voltage, and possesses one voltage sensor that can be either in the "willing" state or the "reluctant" state. These assumptions result in a 10-state model, with 5 open and 5 closed states. Using different sets of rate constants, the model is capable of quantitative representation of the voltage gating of different HCN isoforms, and thus permits an interpretation of various kinetic properties of these isoforms. However, this sophisticated HCN gating scheme has not yet been incorporated into whole SAN cell models to investigate the cellular mechanisms underlying pacemaking activities.

In 2012, Severi et al. developed an updated model (the SD model) of a rabbit SAN cell based on the most recent experimental data, with a updated representation of intracellular Ca²⁺ dynamics (27). I_f remains the major pacemaker current in the Severi model, and it is formulated using a similar Hodgkin-Huxley scheme as in the DN Purkinje cell model. As predicted by the SD model (see **Figure 4**), I_f and the $Na^+ - Ca^{2+}$ exchange current I_{NCX} are of similar size during DD. Yet I_f gradually increases to promote depolarization, while the inward I_{NCX} decays slightly over time. In addition, the model





FIGURE 4 | Simulated AP and ionic currents using the SD SAN model to illustrate the I_f hypothesis. Blue arrows indicate the changes of I_f during the DD finally triggers a spontaneous AP, while the contribution of I_{NCX} is less important.

predicts that complete blockade of I_f will lead to cessation of cell automaticity.

2.3. ICa-BASED HYPOTHESIS AND MODELS

Shortly after the discovery of I_f in the SAN by (22), Yanagihara, Noma and Irisawa published the very first SAN cell model (the YNI model) (22, 28). One major difference between SAN and Purkinje cells is the expression level of Na⁺ channels. While a strong I_{Na} is critical for Purkinje cells to maintain a rapid AP upstroke velocity and secure the robustness of electric conduction (15), I_{Na} is very small in SAN cells, especially those localized at the SAN center (29). In contrast to the I_f hypothesis (see **Figure 3**), the YNI model suggests that changes in K^+ current during DD are quite moderate, and the major pacemaking current is I_{Ca} instead of I_f . They propose that I_{Ca} plays a major role in generating both the AP and the pacemaker potential.

The formulation of I_{Ca} in the YNI model is modified from Beeler and Reuter's model of ventricular myocytes (30):

$$I_{Ca} = d(V_m, t) \cdot f(V_m, t) \cdot \overline{I_{Ca}}(V_m)$$
(18)

where *d* and *f* represent the activation and inactivation of I_{Ca} , respectively. As shown in **Figure 5**, I_{Ca} is the largest inward current and dominates both DD and AP upstroke, while the predicted contributions from I_f and I_{Na} are relatively small.

Two decades later, Endresen et al. presented an alternative approach wherein membrane potential (V_m) of cells could be derived as a function of intracellular and extracellular ionic



 I_{Ca} during diastolic depolarization finally trigger a spontaneous AP.

concentrations, instead of a function of channel gating per Hodgkin-Huxley formalism (31). Along with this novel approach, they presented a simple model of an SAN cell, where, as in the YNI model, I_{Ca} is the pacemaker current driving cellular automaticity.

2.4. Ca²⁺-CLOCK-BASED HYPOTHESIS AND MODELS

Motivated by the formidable success of the Hodgkin-Huxley model, mathematical modeling of cardiac cells was first strongly focused on the cell membrane. Later, experiments revealed that internal Ca²⁺ machinery is of great importance for the action potential of cardiac cells and is essential for understanding excitation-contraction coupling. In addition to the aforementioned membrane oscillator (Mclock), an internal oscillator associated with Ca²⁺ cycling across the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) membrane (or Ca²⁺ "clock") exists in all cardiac cells. In fact, this internal clock can work independently of the sarcolemma (32). Although various experimental studies had reported a possible involvement of the Ca2+-clock in cardiac pacemaking activities (33-37), it was only recently that mathematical models of the SAN with integrated SR membranes and thus Ca²⁺-clocks become available. In contrast to earlier membrane-delimited models of the SAN cell, in 2009, Maltsev and Lakatta (the ML model) first developed a model of a coupled membrane- and Ca²⁺-clock to address the ionic mechanism of cardiac pacemaking (see Figure 6) (32). Importantly, they quantitatively described the contribution of spontaneous Ca^{2+} release during late DD to SAN pacemaking, by triggering an inward $Na^+ - Ca^{2+}$ exchange current (I_{NCX}) . They concluded that only a coupled system of membrane- and Ca²⁺-clocks offers both the robustness and flexibility that are required to maintain normal pacemaking function. The ML model predicts that the most important pacemaker current during late DD is the inward I_{NCX}, instead of I_f. Updated versions of the ML model have recently become available to accomodate additional experimental results (38, 39).

As shown in **Figure 7**, I_{NCX} is the leading current during the DD, and is secondary to dynamic changes in $[Ca]_i$ and the Ca²⁺-clock. Without the Ca²⁺-clock, the M-clock alone is not capable of maintaining normal pacemaking function, as predicted by the ML model (27).

3. THE "Ca²⁺-CLOCK vs. I_f" DEBATE

Although many ionic currents in pacemaker cells have been proposed as potentials pacemaker currents, the present debate is primarily split between two popular candidates: the Ca^{2+} -clock and I_f (4). These two candidate hypotheses can be well represented by the ML and the SD models, respectively, and there exists experimental evidence in support of or in opposition to each hypothesis.

The expression level of HCN channels is much higher in pacemaking tissue as compared to elsewhere in heart (40); it is thus reasonable to associate the tissue specificity of I_f with its role in pacemaking function (23). During beta-adrenergic stimulation, I_f can easily adjusted its working range to promote a faster heart rate by local cAMP-dependent regulation (41). Furthermore, I_f does not consume energy (at least directly), whereas the Ca²⁺clock hypothesis relies on the SR Ca²⁺ pump to re-cycle Ca²⁺



FIGURE 6 | Model schematic of the ML SAN cell model, figure modified from (32). Ca_i : Ca^{2+} in bulk cytosol; Ca_{sub} : Ca^{2+} in the subspace; SERCA: SR Ca^{2+} pump; Ca_{nSR} : Ca^{2+} in the network SR (nSR); Ca_{ISR} : Ca^{2+} in the junctional SR (jSR); $j_{SRCarel}$: SR Ca^{2+} release rate global variable; RyR: cardiac ryanodine receptor; AP: action potential; I_{Kr} : rapid delayed rectifier current; I_{st} : sustained current; I_{NCX} : NCX current; I_{CaT} : T-type Ca²⁺ current; I_f : hyperpolarization-activated or funny current.

against the concentration gradient across the SR membrane (42). These data would suggest I_f to be the primary pacemaker current in the SAN. However, there are experimental data to suggest that pharmacological or genetic blockade of I_f only moderately alters the pacing rate, and neither blockade terminates the pacemaking activity completely (43).

Spontaneous Ca²⁺ release has been experimentally observed during DD of SAN cells; the involvement of a Ca2+-clock in pacemaking activities seems hard to dispute. One ultrastructural property that all cardiac pacemaking tissues lack is a t-tubular system, which is known to have major impact on subcellular Ca²⁺ cycling. Could such lack of a defined t-tubular system be considered characteristic of cardiac pacemaker tissues, as is the expression of HCN channels? During beta-adrenergic stimulation, both the SR Ca²⁺ pump and RyR are phosphorylated to accommodate the faster pacing rate, as is the case for I_f (44). The major evidence against the Ca²⁺-clock hypothesis is that either RyR blockade/knockout or the application of BAPTA to suppress intracellular Ca²⁺ cycling does not have major effects on pacemaking activities. Indeed, in some cases, the heart rate accelerates (45, 46). ATP consumption is likely to be substantial if basal pacemaking activities were mostly Ca^{2+} -clock driven (42).

In addition, it should be noted that similar questions concerning the respective roles of membrane and Ca^{2+} dynamics have been raised for models of cardiac myocytes as well (47, 48). At fast pacing rates, cardiac myocytes can develop alternans, charaterized by beat-to-beat alternations in both AP duration (APD) and Ca transient. However, it remains unclear if APD alternans is driven by Ca^{2+} alternans or vice versa, since membrane and Ca^{2+} subsystems are bidrectionally coupled, and it is experimentally challenging to identify which subsystem is the follower. To resolve this "chicken or the egg" debate, some computational protocols have been implemented, e.g., combined voltage and Ca clamping, and these novel numerical approaches could be helpful to address the "Ca²⁺-clock vs. I_f " discussion in the realm of cardiac pacemaking function.

3.1. COMPARISON OF MODEL FORMULATIONS

The ML and SD models offer diverse predictions about cardiac pacemaking activities (e.g., the effect of If blockade), yet their model formulations have much in common. For example, ion channels in both models are described using Hodgkin-Huxley formalism, with similar channel gating schemes. The Ca²⁺ cycling subsystem is essentially identical between these two models. A major difference between ML and SD, however, is the experimental data used to fit ion channel properties (27). In Figure 8, significant differences in I_f are shown between the two models. The I_f channel availability (y_{ss}^2) is much higher at a given pacemaking voltage range, e.g., at -60 mV, SD y_{ss}^2 is about 2.5 times the size of ML y_{ss}^2 , while the difference in rate constants is much smaller. The difference in channel kinetics is consistent with the difference in the functional role of I_f during APs. In Figure 9, phase plots of I_{NCX} and I_f against membrane voltage (V) are shown. It is straightforward to observe that, at pacemaking voltage ranges; i.e., -60 to -40 mV, that SD I_f can be up to two times larger than in ML. On the contrary, SD $I_{\rm NCX}$ is about half the size of that in ML in the pacemaking voltage range, yet larger as compared to the ML INCX during AP upstroke. In other words, Ca²⁺-mediated I_{NCX} is important to promote DD in the ML model, and to enhance AP upstroke in the SD model.

When there is little difference in model formulation, the experimental data that was used for validation, especially the properties of key ion channels or receptors, will largely determine the ultimate behavior of a model. If such discrepancies between



mathematical models are primarily the result of different experimental data used to constrain these models in the first place, it is logical to conclude that mechanistic insights gleaned using these models may be limited, especially in consideration of the current

debate between I_f and the Ca²⁺-clock. On the other hand, inconsistencies among experimental measurements are inevitable, assuming natural variations in experimental conditions, tissue preparations, and existing limitations of experimental protocols. So how can mathematical models be more helpful when there are existing uncertainties in the experiments, e.g., the steady-state activation of I_f (Figure 8)(left)? One option is to include model constraints that can be quantitatively characterized by additional experiments at tissue level, for example, the responses of SAN and atrial tissue excitation patterns to I_f or Ca²⁺ blockade. These tissue-level constraints are likely to help in evaluation of existing single SAN cell models. Another option could be to keep uncertain parameter values (e.g., the half-activation voltage of I_f) open within experimentally reported ranges, then identify the optimal value(s) that can produce the best pacemaking performance in terms of robustness, stability and flexibility.

As mentioned earlier, both the I_f and Ca^{2+} -clock hypotheses are facing supporting and opposing experimental evidence. It thus seems reasonable to hypothesize that these two mechanisms are not necessarily exclusive. Their "redundant" coexistence may be important to maintain the robustness of cardiac pacemaking function against possible disturbances through one's lifetime. This idea is supported by the fact that neither I_f or Ca²⁺ blockade eliminates pacemaking activities entirely. But it is also possible that these independent pacemaking oscillators in the heart are assigned with different, complementary roles. It has recently been suggested that, while If is essential for maintenance of the basal heart rate, the Ca²⁺-clock is more important for regulation of the heart rate during beta-adrenergic stimulation (49). It may be worthwhile to take a step back from the debate, and consider the question as a design problem: if one could implement any theoretical pacemaker cell for the heart, which would be ideal, a I_f -based M-clock, or a Ca²⁺-clock, or both? In other words, is it possible to define numerical protocols to evaluate and compare the pacemaking performance of theoretical pacemaker cells? And, if so, what are the ultimate properties we are looking for in a pacemaker cell?

In 2013, Maltsev and Lakatta employed a different approach to probe some of these questions (39). Based on the original ML 2009 model, they identified the minimal set of model components (i.e., membrane ion currents and SR Ca²⁺-dynamics - termed simply the Ca²⁺-clock) required to generate robust, flexible and energy-efficient cardiac pacemaking function. Extensive parameter sensitivity analyses were performed to evaluate the pacemaking performance of 13 different model types, each with either 4 or 5 model components. They found that a minimal model with 4 components $(I_{CaL} + I_{Kr} + I_{NCX} + Ca^{2+}-clock)$ is capable of producing the full range of autonomic modulation. Inclusion of If or ICaT increased model robustness, yet reduced model flexibility. In addition, they quantified and compared the energy efficiency of the derived minimal model against earlier models, and suggested that by keeping a small Na⁺ influx, cell energy required to maintain Na⁺ homeostasis can be largely reduced to accommodate the energy associated with SR Ca²⁺ pumping. These numerical findings provided a number of valuable insights into basal cardiac pacemaking function. More importantly, however, these numerical approaches (parameter sensitivity analysis



FIGURE 8 | Differences in I_f steady state activation (y_{ss}^2) (left) and activation rate constant (y_{tau}) (middle) between the ML and SD model. (right) the ratios between SD and ML y_{ss}^2 , and between SD and ML y_{tau} .



and quantitative pacemaking performance evaluation) should prove useful toward reaching a generalized theory of cardiac pacemaking.

4. MODELING β-ADRENERGIC REGULATION OF THE SAN

Under the conditions of exercise or stress, heart rate increases to accommodate the needs of the body, achieved via β adrenergic regulation (β AR) of the SAN. During β AR, there are a variety of functional modifications of ion channels and transporters, and the properties of both membrane and Ca²⁺-clocks are altered. It is thus quite difficult to evaluate the contribution of each ion current to heart rate regulation experimentally during β AR.

It has long been known that I_f can be activated by direct binding of cyclic AMP (cAMP), a second messenger important in β AR. This voltage-independent regulation of I_f is achieved by shifting the steady state activation curve according to the local concentration of cAMP. In 1999, DiFrancesco proposed an allosteric reaction model to describe the dual activation of I_f by voltage hyperpolarization and cAMP (41). Specifically, the steady state open probability of I_f (P_o) is described as:

$$P_o = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\frac{V_m - V_{1/2} - V_s}{v}}}$$
(19)

where $V_{1/2}$ is the half-activation voltage, v is the inverse slope factor, and Vs is a cAMP-dependent term that represents the

effects of cAMP on channel properties. Furthermore, *Vs* is described as:

$$Vs_{[cAMP]} = v \cdot ln \left(\frac{1 + \frac{[cAMP]}{K_o}}{1 + \frac{[cAMP]}{K_c}} \right)$$
(20)

where K_o and K_c are the cAMP dissociation constants when the channel is open and closed, respectively. As local [cAMP] increases, the channel open probability curve will shift to more positive voltages, e.g., about 14 mV shift with 10 μ M [cAMP] (41), thus increasing the current availability during DD, and accelerating the pacing rate.

Later, a more complete β AR regulation system was modeled in ventricular myocytes, then incorporated into existing SAN cell models (see **Figure 10**) (50–52). These models describe both the β AR signaling cascade and changes to electrophysiological substrates after phosphorylation, and have been very useful tools to quantify the ionic mechanisms underlying the rate adaptation of SAN, e.g., using the lead potential (V_L) analysis proposed by Sarai et al. (53). More recently, β AR system models that describe the complexity of localized signaling domains have become available (54). This level of detail has not been included in most recent SAN cell models, e.g., the ML and SD models, yet very well might be a critical piece leading to resolution of the Ca²⁺-clock *vs I_f* debate.

5. TISSUE LEVEL COMPLICATIONS OF SAN PACEMAKING FUNCTION

The SAN achieves its physiological function via electrical coupling with atrial tissue (29, 55). To illustrate the effects of SANatrial coupling on pacemaking patterns, a simplified 2D SAN model is implemented on a 1 by 1 cm grid, with the elliptically shaped SAN in the center (half-axes 3 and 1 mm, see Figure 11A). Here, we use the SD model (27), and the model developed by Malecker et al. (56), to describe the electrophysiological properties of SAN and atrial cells, respectively. Electric diffusion in the whole 2D tissue is anisotropic, with atrial tissue fibre orientation pointing 30° off from the SAN long axis. The conductivity is 1.2 m/cm along the fibre direction, and 0.25 ms/cm trasversely (57, 58). The cell-area-to-volume ratio is 3000 cm^{-1} . When the coupling is weak (about 40% of the control value), atrial tissue fails to respond to every SAN beat; when the coupling is strong (the control value), SAN and atrial excitations are in synchrony with a slower pacing rate (Figure 11B); when the coupling is too





strong (more than 250% of the control value), the whole 2D tissue became quiescent. **Figure 12** further illustrates the relationship between tissue pacing frequency and SAN-atrial coupling, and the effects of I_f or Ca²⁺ blockade. Specifically, when the SAN-atrial coupling is below a certain threshold, the SAN beats much faster than surrounding atrial tissue and the stimulus-to-response ratio is increased; when the coupling is increased, the SAN and atrial tissue activate in concert, yet at slower rates. When the coupling is above a certain threshold, the SAN remains quiescent due to enhanced electrotonic (electrical sink) effects. With either I_f or Ca²⁺ blockade (by adding strong Ca²⁺ buffers), beats-per-minute (BPM) of both the SAN and atrial tissue are largely reduced. Proper coupling to non-pacemaking tissue is clearly a delicate balance and is essential to achieve robust and rhythmic whole-heart excitation.

To further illustrate the importance and complexities of SANatrial interaction, we implemented a 3D atrial model to quantify the effects of weak SAN-atrial coupling on cardiac excitation



patterns. The 3D mesh of right atria and SAN is a courtesy of Dr Edward J. Vigmond, Université de Bordeaux. The mesh comprised 315986 nodes, and 1065333 tetrahedral elements with an average edge length of $100 \,\mu m$ [please see (59) for more details]. Same cell models are used as in the 2D model (27, 56). The conductivity is zero in the block zone adjacent to the SAN, and 1 ms/cm elsewhere. Within 500 ms of simulation time, there are seven spontaneous SAN excitations, while only three of these successfully trigger atrial excitation (#1, #4, and #7). In addition, chaotic dynamics at the SAN pacemaking site and dyssynchronous SAN excitations are observed (#2, #3, #5, #6); see Figure 13. The complex tissue dynamics seen in this example highlight the difficulty of employing only single-cell studies to discern SAN pacemaking function. 3D tissue effects are important to consider in any study of the cardiac pacemaking mechanism, and may serve as additional constraints to evaluate SAN single cell models. For example, when coupled to atrial tissue, SAN cell models should be capable of producing robust and rhythmic atrial excitations at the complete range of physiological pacing rates. However, none of the current SAN cell models have been systematically evaluated with respect to these tissue-level constraints.

Current multi-scale models of the SAN integrate anatomical details of SAN heterogeneity and fiber direction. This permits quantitative description of the functional heterogeneity and synchronization within the SAN, investigation of the role of SAN dysfunction in atrial arrhythmias, and characterization of the complex interactions among SAN, atrial tissue and, more, recently AVN tissue (9, 59–65). Yet most of these models are constructed by scaling-up existing compartmental single cell models to tissue and organ levels based on either simplified or realistic geometries of the SAN. However, the subcellular heterogeneity of SAN cells is much more pronounced as compared to ventricular or even atrial myocytes, due to the lack of a well-defined t-tubular



system (13). Without the t-tubular system, the coupling between membrane and Ca^{2+} subsystmes is relatively weak, since most local Ca^{2+} -release machineries are not located in conjunction with the cell membrane, and do not directly respond to changes in membrane polarization. Thus consideration of the ultrastructural details of SAN cells is critical to a quantitative understanding of SAN pacemaking function. The importance of the spatial hierarchy of subcellular Ca^{2+} patterns in determining the behaviors of Ca^{2+} -clock, i.e., from localized Ca^{2+} sparks to global Ca^{2+} waves, is now clear. Despite this fact, the subcellular heterogeneity of SAN cells has not yet been carefully considered in model construction and spatially distributed models are not yet available (66, 67).

6. SUMMARY

The underlying mechanism of cardiac pacemaking activity has historically been under debate, often with seemingly exclusive hypotheses proposed by different groups. In this review, we have presented these hypotheses and discussed the developments in mathematical models of cardiac pacemaking function in detail. A first group of models supports a driving M-clock (membrane oscillator). For over a decade until the late 1970s, the I_{K2} "decay" hypothesis was the basis for cardiac pacemaker modeling (Noble 1962 SAN model and its iterations). In 1979, the discovery of I_f (HCN channels) in SAN cells led to a series of experimental and modeling studies aimed at radical reinterpretation of the I_{K2} -based hypothesis, leading to the debut of the If-based hypothesis. The DN Purkinje cell model (1985) relies on If as the current underlying the M-clock. Further experimental data regarding sarcolemmal currents and Ca²⁺ cycling are both incorporated into the recent (2012) SD model; If and

thus the M-clock remains the major pacemaking mechanism. The YNI model published in 1980, in contrast, suggests that changes in K^+ current during DD are quite moderate, and that the major pacemaking current is I_{Ca} instead of I_f . Although focused on the sarcolemmal current, this first suggestion of the importance of Ca^{2+} to cardiac cell automaticity may be seen as prescient; in addition to the M-clock, the SR-membrane-dependent Ca²⁺clock is also involved for cardiac pacemaking. In 2009, Maltsev and Lakatta debuted the first coupled M- and Ca²⁺-clock mathematical model (ML) and suggested that a coupled system offered both the flexibility and robustness required to maintain normal pacemaking function. ML predicts that the most important pacemaker current during late DD is the inward I_{NCX} , rather than I_f , as for SD. It is reasonable to conjecture that these two mechanisms may not be mutually exclusive. Indeed, a recent study shows that these could be complementary, and together generate robust, flexible, and energy-efficient cardiac pacemaking (39).

ML and SD may offer diverse predictions about cardiac pacemaking activities, yet their model formulations have much in common. Their distinct emergent behaviors result from the diverse data sets upon which they were built. It is actually elementary, from a methodological perspective, to incorporate new data into these models. When new experimental knowledge becomes available, it is thus tempting to augment extant models by default. However, it is essential to first consider how model expansion leading to a more comprehensive model will help us to further understand SAN function. Alternatively, is it possible to use employ novel experimental data as additional model constraints to simplify existing models? Indeed, as compared to model expansion, model reduction can be more challenging (68).

REFERENCES

- Noble D. Systems biology and the heart. *Biosystems* (2006) 83:75–80. doi: 10.1016/j.biosystems.2005. 05.013
- Kitano H. Computational systems biology. Nature (2002) 420:206–10. doi: 10.1038/ nature01254
- Noble D, Garny A, Noble PJ. How the Hodgkin–Huxley equations inspired the cardiac Physiome Project. J Physiol. (2012) 590:2613–28. doi: 10.1113/ jphysiol.2011.224238
- Billman GE. Does the 'coupled clock' make the heart tick? *Cardiovasc. Res.* (2012) 96:343–4. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvs300
- Lakatta EG, DiFrancesco D. What keeps us ticking: a funny current, a calcium clock, or both? J Mol Cell Cardiol. (2009) 47:157–70. doi: 10.1016/j.yjmcc.2009.03.022
- Wilders R. Computer modelling of the sinoatrial node. *Med Biol Eng Comput.* (2007) 45:189–207. doi: 10.1007/s11517-006-0127-0
- Noble D, Noble PJ, Fink M. Competing oscillators in cardiac pacemaking historical

background. *Circ Res.* (2010) **106**:1791–7. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.110.218875

- Dobrzynski H, Boyett MR, Anderson RH. New insights into pacemaker activity promoting understanding of sick sinus syndrome. *Circulation* (2007) 115:1921–32. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.106.616011
- Seemann G, Höper C, Sachse FB, Dössel O, Holden AV, Zhang H. Heterogeneous three-dimensional anatomical and electrophysiological model of human atria. *Philos Trans R Soc A Math Phys Eng Sci.* (2006) **364**:1465–81. doi: 10.1098/ rsta.2006.1781
- Dobrzynski H, Li J, Tellez J, Greener I, Nikolski V, Wright S, et al. Computer three-dimensional reconstruction of the sinoatrial node. *Circulation* (2005) 111:846–54. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000152100. 04087.DB
- Kwong KF, Schuessler RB, Green KG, Laing JG, Beyer EC, Boineau JP, et al. Differential expression of gap junction proteins in the canine sinus node. *Circ Res.*

A number of natural further directions extends the trajectory of pacemaker cell model development. Critically, a proposed Markovian scheme for HCN channels was put forward by Altomare in 2001, but has yet to be incorporated into whole cell models. Furthermore, subcellular heterogeneity of SAN cells is much more pronounced as compared to other cardiac cell types; consideration of ultrastructural details of SAN cells may be essential to a quantitative understanding of SAN pacemaking function. In addition, under conditions of exercise or stress, our heart rate increases to accommodate the needs of the body, achieved via BAR regulation of the SAN. This level of detail has not been included in the most recent SAN cell models, yet very well may also be a critical piece leading to resolution of the M- vs Ca²⁺-clock analysis. Finally, it is important to consider that the SAN is not an isolated cell; rather it achieves its physiological function via electrical coupling with atrial tissue. Our simulations showed that, when coupling between SAN and atrial cells is weak, atrial tissue fails to respond to every SAN beat. However, when coupling is strong, SAN and atrial excitations are in synchrony with a slower pacing rate. When coupling is above a certain threshold, the SAN remains quiescent due to enhanced electrotonic effects. Clearly, an appreciation of both the SAN's electrophysiology as well as its delicate relationship to surrounding tissue is essential to understanding the mechanisms of robust and rhythmic whole-heart excitation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by the Research Council of Norway, through which Simula is supported via its partnership in the Center for Cardiological Innovation.

(1998) **82**:604–12. doi: 10.1161/ 01.RES.82.5.604

- Irisawa H. Comparative physiology of the cardiac pacemaker mechanism. *Physiol Rev.* (1978) 58:461–98.
- Brette F, Orchard C. T-tubule function in mammalian cardiac myocytes. *Circ Res.* (2003) 92:1182–92. doi: 10.1161/01.RES. 0000074908.17214.FD
- Musa H, Lei M, Honjo H, Jones SA, Dobrzynski H, Lancaster MK, et al. Heterogeneous expression of Ca2+ handling proteins in rabbit sinoatrial node. *J Histochem Cytochem.* (2002) 50:311–24. doi: 10.1177/002215540205000303
- Boyden PA, Hirose M, Dun W. Cardiac Purkinje cells. *Heart Rhythm* (2010) 7:127–35. doi: 10.1016/j.hrthm. 2009.09.017
- Hodgkin AL, Huxley AF. A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve. *J Physiology*. (1952) 117:500.
- Munshi NV. Gene regulatory networks in cardiac conduction system development. Circ Res.

(2012) **110**:1525–37. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCRESAHA.111.260026

- Noble D. A modification of the Hodgkin–Huxley equations applicable to Purkinje fibre action and pacemaker potentials. *J Physiol.* (1962) 160:317–52.
- McAllister RE, Noble D, Tsien R. Reconstruction of the electrical activity of cardiac Purkinje fibres. *J Physiol.* (1975) 251:1–59.
- Noble D, Tsien R. The kinetics and rectifier properties of the slow potassium current in cardiac Purkinje fibres. *J Physiol.* (1968) 195:185–214.
- Hauswirth O, Noble D, Tsien R. Adrenaline: mechanism of action on the pacemaker potential in cardiac Purkinje fibers. *Science* (1968) 162:916–7. doi: 10.1126/science.162.3856.916
- Brown H, DiFrancesco D, Noble S. How does adrenaline accelerate the heart? *Nature* (1979) 280:235–36. doi: 10.1038/ 280235a0
- DiFrancesco D. The role of the funny current in pacemaker activity. *Circ Res.* (2010) 106:434–46.

doi: 10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.109. 208041

- DiFrancesco D. A new interpretation of the pace-maker current in calf Purkinje fibres. *J Physiol.* (1981) 314:359–76.
- DiFrancesco D, Noble D. A model of cardiac electrical activity incorporating ionic pumps and concentration changes. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* (1985) **307**:353–98. doi: 10.1098/rstb.1985.0001
- Altomare C, Bucchi A, Camatini E, Baruscotti M, Viscomi C, Moroni A, et al. Integrated allosteric model of voltage gating of HCN channels. J Gen Physiol. (2001) 117:519–32. doi: 10.1085/jgp.117.6.519
- Severi S, Fantini M, Charawi LA, DiFrancesco D. An updated computational model of rabbit sinoatrial action potential to investigate the mechanisms of heart rate modulation. *J Physiol.* (2012) 590:4483–99. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2012.229435
- Yanagihara K, Noma A, Irisawa H. Reconstruction of sino-atrial node pacemaker potential based on the voltage clamp experiments. *Jpn J Physiol.* (1980) **30**:841. doi: 10.2170/jjphysiol.30.841
- 29. Zhang H, Holden A, Kodama I, Honjo H, Lei M, Varghese T, et al. Mathematical models of action potentials in the periphery and center of the rabbit sinoatrial node. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. (2000) 279:H397–H421. Available online at: http://ajpheart.physiology. org/content/279/1/H397
- Beeler GW, Reuter H. Reconstruction of the action potential of ventricular myocardial fibres. J Physiol. (1977) 268:177–210.
- Endresen L, Hall K, Høye J, Myrheim J. A theory for the membrane potential of living cells. *Eur Biophys J*. (2000) 29:90–103. doi: 10.1007/s002490050254
- 32. Maltsev VA. Lakatta EG. Synergism of coupled subsarcolemmal Ca2+ clocks and sarcolemmal voltage clocks confers robust and flexible pacemaker function in a novel pacemaker cell model. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. (2009) 296:H594-H615. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.01118.2008
- Vinogradova TM, Zhou YY, Maltsev V, Lyashkov A, Stern M, Lakatta EG. Rhythmic ryanodine receptor Ca2+ releases during diastolic depolarization

of sinoatrial pacemaker cells do not require membrane depolarization. *Circ Res.* (2004) **94**:802–9. doi: 10.1161/01.RES.0000122045. 55331.0F

- 34. Vinogradova TM, Maltsev VA, Bobdanov KY, Lyashkov AE, Lakatta EG. Rhythmic Ca2+ oscillations drive sinoatrial nodal cell pacemaker function to make the heart tick. Ann NY Acad Sci. (2005) 1047:138–56. doi: 10.1196/annals.1341.013
- Méry A, Aimond F, Ménard C, Mikoshiba K, Michalak M, Pucéat M. Initiation of embryonic cardiac pacemaker activity by inositol 1, 4, 5-trisphosphate– dependent calcium signaling. *Mol Biol Cell*. (2005) 16:2414–23. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E04-10-0883
- 36. Lakatta EG, Vinogradova T, Lyashkov A, Sirenko S, Zhu W, Ruknudin A, et al. The integration of spontaneous intracellular Ca2+ cycling and surface membrane ion channel activation entrains normal automaticity in cells of the heart's pacemaker. *Ann NY Acad Sci.* (2006) **1080**:178–206. doi: 10.1196/annals.1380.016
- 37. Vinogradova TM, Lyashkov AE, Zhu W, Ruknudin AM, Sirenko S, Yang D, et al. High basal protein kinase A–dependent phosphorylation drives rhythmic internal Ca2+ store oscillations and spontaneous beating of cardiac pacemaker cells. *Circ Res.* (2006) 98:505–14. doi: 10.1161/ 01.RES.0000204575.94040.d1
- Yaniv Y, Stern MD, Lakatta EG, Maltsev VA. Mechanisms of beat-to-beat regulation of cardiac pacemaker cell function by Ca cycling dynamics. *Biophys* J. (2013) 105:1551–61. doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2013.08.024
- Maltsev VA, Lakatta EG. Numerical models based on a minimal set of sarcolemmal electrogenic proteins and an intracellular Ca clock generate robust, flexible, and energyefficient cardiac pacemaking. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* (2013). 59:181–95. doi: 10.1016/j.yjmcc. 2013.03.004
- 40. Shi W, Wymore R, Yu H, Wu J, Wymore RT, Pan Z, et al. Distribution and prevalence of hyperpolarization-activated cation channel (HCN) mRNA expression in cardiac tissues. *Circ Res.* (1999) 85:e1–e6. doi: 10.1161/01.RES.85.1.e1
- DiFrancesco D. Dual allosteric modulation of pacemaker (f) channels by cAMP and voltage in

rabbit SA node. J Physiol. (1999) 515:367–76. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-7793.1999.367ac.x

- Matsuoka S, Sarai N, Jo H, Noma A. Simulation of ATP metabolism in cardiac excitation–contraction coupling. Prog Biophys Mol Biol. (2004) 85:279–99. doi: 10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2004. 01.006
- Bucchi A, Barbuti A, DiFrancesco D, Baruscotti M. Funny current and cardiac rhythm: insights from HCN knockout and transgenic mouse models. *Front Physiol.* (2012) 3:240. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2012.00240
- 44. Vinogradova TM, Bogdanov KY, Lakatta EG. β-Adrenergic stimulation modulates ryanodine receptor Ca2+ release during diastolic depolarization to accelerate pacemaker activity in rabbit sinoatrial nodal cells. *Circ Res.* (2002) **90**:73–9. doi: 10.1161/hh0102.102271
- Lappi MD, Billman GE. Effect of ryanodine on ventricular fibrillation induced by myocardial ischaemia. *Cardiovasc Res.* (1993) 27:2152–9. doi: 10.1093/cvr/27.12.2152
- 46. Himeno Y, Toyoda F, Satoh H, Amano A, Cha CY, Matsuura H, et al. Minor contribution of cytosolic Ca2+ transients to the pacemaker rhythm in guinea pig sinoatrial node cells. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol. (2011) 300:H251–H261. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00764.2010
- Tolkacheva EG, Zhao X. Nonlinear dynamics of periodically paced cardiac tissue. *Nonlin Dyn.* (2012) 68:347–63. doi: 10.1007/s11071-011-0241-x
- Krogh-Madsen T, Christini DJ. Nonlinear dynamics in cardiology. Ann Rev Biomed Eng. (2012) 14:179–203. doi: 10.1146/annurev-bioeng-071811-150106
- 49. Gao Z, Chen B, Joiner MlA, Wu Y, Guan X, Koval OM, et al. If and SR Ca release both contribute to pacemaker activity in canine sinoatrial node cells. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* (2010) 49:33–40. doi: 10.1016/j.yjmcc. 2010.03.019
- Saucerman JJ, Brunton LL, Michailova AP, McCulloch AD. Modeling β-adrenergic control of cardiac myocyte contractility in silico. J Biol Chem. (2003) 278:47997–8003. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M308362200
- 51. Kuzumoto M, Takeuchi A, Nakai H, Oka C, Noma A, Matsuoka

S. Simulation analysis of intracellular Na+ and Cl- homeostasis during β 1-adrenergic stimulation of cardiac myocyte. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol.* (2008) **96**:171–86. doi: 10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2007. 07.005

- 52. Himeno Y, Sarai N, Matsuoka S, Noma A. Ionic Mechanisms underlying the positive chronotropy induced by B1in adrenergic stimulation sinoatrial guinea-pig node cells: a simulation study. J Physiol Sci. (2008) (0):0801180027. doi: 10.2170/physiolsci.RP015207
- 53. Sarai N, Matsuoka S, Kuratomi S, Ono K, Noma A. Role of individual ionic current systems in the SA node hypothesized by a model study. *Jpn J Physiol.* (2003) 53:125–34. doi: 10.2170/jjphysiol.53.125
- 54. Heijman J, Volders PG, Westra RL, Rudy Y. Local control of β -adrenergic stimulation: effects on ventricular myocyte electrophysiology and Ca transient. *J Mol Cell Cardiol.* (2011) **50**:863–71. doi: 10.1016/j.yjmcc. 2011.02.007
- Garny A, Kohl P, Hunter PJ, Boyett MR, Noble D. One-Dimensional rabbit sinoatrial node models. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. (2003) 14:S121–S132. doi: 10.1046/j.1540.8167.90301.x
- 56. Maleckar MM, Greenstein JL, Giles WR, Trayanova NA. K+ current changes account for the rate dependence of the action potential in the human atrial myocyte. Am J Physiol Heart Circul Physiol. (2009) 297:H1398–H1410. doi: 10.1152/ ajpheart.00411.2009
- Klepfer RN, Johnson CR, Macleod RS. The effects of inhomogeneities and anisotropies on electrocardiographic fields: a 3-D finiteelement study. *Biomed Eng IEEE Trans.* (1997) 44:706–19. doi: 10.1109/10.605427
- Henriquez CS, Muzikant AL, Smoak CK. Anisotropy, fiber curvature, and bath loading effects on activation in thin and thick cardiac tissue preparations. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol.* (1996) 7:424–44. doi: 10.1111/j.1540-8167.1996.tb00548.x
- Muñoz MA, Kaur J, Vigmond EJ. Onset of atrial arrhythmias elicited by autonomic modulation of rabbit sinoatrial node activity: a modeling study. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol.* (2011) **301**:H1974–H1983. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00059.2011

- Michaels DC, Matyas EP, Jalife J. Mechanisms of sinoatrial pacemaker synchronization: a new hypothesis. *Circ Res.* (1987) 61:704–14. doi: 10.1161/01.RES. 61.5.704
- Garny A, Noble D, Kohl P. Dimensionality in cardiac modelling. Progress Biophys Mol Biol. (2005) 87:47–66. doi: 10.1016/j. pbiomolbio.2004.06.006
- Chandler NJ, Greener ID, Tellez JO, Inada S, Musa H, Molenaar P, et al. Molecular architecture of the human sinus node insights into the function of the cardiac pacemaker. *Circulation* (2009) 119:1562–75. doi: 10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.108.804369
- Oren RV, Clancy CE. Determinants of heterogeneity, excitation and conduction in the sinoatrial node: a

model study. *PLoS Comput Biol.* (2010) **6**:e1001041. doi: 10.1371/journal.pcbi.1001041

- 64. Chandler N, Aslanidi O, Buckley D, Inada S, Birchall S, Atkinson A, et al. Computer three-dimensional anatomical reconstruction of the human sinus node and a novel paranodal area. *Anat Record* (2011) **294**:970–9. doi: 10.1002/ ar.21379
- 65. Podziemski P, Zebrowski JJ. A simple model of the right atrium of the human heart with the sinoatrial and atrioventricular nodes included. J Clin Monitor Comput. (2013) 27:481–98. doi: 10.1007/s10877-013-9429-6
- Gaur N, Rudy Y. Multiscale modeling of calcium cycling in cardiac ventricular myocyte: macroscopic consequences of

microscopic dyadic function. Biophys J. (2011) **100**:2904–12. doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2011.05.031

- Nivala M, de Lange E, Rovetti R, Qu Z. Computational modeling and numerical methods for spatiotemporal calcium cycling in ventricular myocytes. *Front Physiol.* (2012) 3:114. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2012. 00114
- Kohl P, Hunter P, Winslow R. Model interactions: it is the simple, which is so difficult. *Prog Biophys Mol Biol.* (2011) **107**:1–3. doi: 10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2011. 07.003

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 29 August 2013; accepted: 08 October 2013; published online: 30 October 2013.

Citation: Li P, Lines GT, Maleckar MM and Tveito A (2013) Mathematical models of cardiac pacemaking function. Front. Physics 1:20. doi: 10.3389/ fphy.2013.00020

This article was submitted to Computational Physics, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physics.

Copyright © 2013 Li, Lines, Maleckar and Tveito. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.