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#### Abstract

The dynamics of polymer solutions are heterogeneous. The different molecule sizes and structures cause different mobilities of polymers and solvents. Recently, regarding the heterogeneity of water, two dielectric relaxation processes of water were argued in several supercooled polymer-water mixtures. To investigate whether this is unique to water, we performed broadband dielectric spectroscopy measurements of poly(vinylpyrrolidone)-water (PVP-water), PVP-propylene glycol, PVP-ethylene glycol, and PVP-propanol mixtures with $65 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{PVP}$ in a temperature range of $123-298 \mathrm{~K}$. For the PVP-water mixture, $\alpha$-relaxation of PVP and two distinct relaxation processes appeared simultaneously: one was the primary relaxation process of water (fast water process) and the other was the relatively small relaxation process (slow process), at a frequency between that of the $\alpha$-process of PVP and the fast water process. The strength ratio of the large fast water and small slow processes remained nearly constant in all the temperatures measured. For the PVP-alcohol mixtures, in addition to the $\alpha$-process of PVP, two or three relaxation processes of alcohol appeared. The primary relaxation process of alcohol above 240 K changed to the small fast secondary process. The small slow processes, which can be recognized below 240 K (the glass transition temperature of the $\alpha$-process of PVP, $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$ ) appears at intermediate frequency between that of the PVP $\alpha$-process and the primary process of alcohol. The small slow process changed to the primary larger process. The properties of the multiple relaxation processes of solvents below $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$ in PVP-alcohol mixtures are completely different from those in PVP-water mixture.
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## INTRODUCTION

Water is the most abundant liquid on Earth and exhibits numerous peculiar properties. The supercooled state and the glassy state of water have been studied extensively [1]. Unfortunately, pure water is easily crystallized; hence, it is impossible to obtain water in a liquid state between 150 and 234 K (the so-called "no man's land") [2]. To understand the phenomena in the no man's land, various types of systems that include water have been examined. The crystallization of water can be avoided by dissolving guest molecules in water [3-23]. Impregnating porous materials with water [24-35] can also prevent water's crystallization. These systems have been called soft and hard
confinements, respectively. The physics of such systems involves not only the water but also the interaction between water and the guest molecules or the surface of the porous material.

One of the most intriguing and controversial aspects of the dynamics of water from its liquid state to its glassy state is the non-Arrhenius-Arrhenius (non-A-A) crossover [35-37]. This crossover has been discussed based on the observations of water dynamics over an extremely wide range of relaxation times and viscosities. The non-A-A crossover of water dynamics has been observed in various types of aqueous systems, such as aqueous solutions of alcohols, ethylene glycol (EG) oligomers, sugars, polymers, and protein and porous systems those contain water [3, $5-11,35]$. The temperature dependence of the relaxation process of water in these systems changes at a certain temperature, which is called the crossover temperature, $T_{\mathrm{c}}$, in this paper. $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ has been observed in the temperature range of $160-260 \mathrm{~K}$, depending on the kind of solute and water content. Below $T_{\mathrm{c}}$, the relaxation process involves a temperature-independent apparent activation energy classified as the strong glass. Conversely, above $T_{\mathrm{c}}$, the relaxation time, or viscosity shows a non-Arrhenius temperature dependence. The temperature dependence of the relaxation time of water increases with decreasing temperature; it shows Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann (VFT) temperature dependence [3840]. In this case, the apparent activation energy of the relaxation process increases with decreasing temperature, which leads to the conclusion that the relaxation process is cooperative, and that the cooperativity of the molecular motion increases with decreasing temperature. The glass-forming materials whose apparent activation energy increases with decreasing temperature are called the fragile glasses [41]. For this reason, the non-A-A crossover of water dynamics has also been called the fragilestrong transition of water.

The non-A-A crossover has been generally observed for the Johari-Goldstein (JG) secondary $\beta$ relaxation in glassforming materials at $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ of the $\alpha$-relaxation and also for the $\alpha$-relaxation of faster components in dynamically asymmetric binary systems [42-44]. The dynamic crossover of the transport properties of glass-forming liquids has been actively discussed [45]. That study suggested the importance of the dynamic crossover temperature instead of the glass transition on single component glass-forming liquids. In this study, we discuss the role of the glass transition in the dynamic crossover of binary mixtures of a polymer and hydrogen-bonding solvents. In order to discuss the non-A-A crossover of water dynamics in a polymer matrix, in addition to the previous works, we performed broadband dielectric spectroscopy measurements of poly(vinylpyrrolidone)-water (PVP-water), PVP-propylene glycol (PG), PVP-EG, and PVP-1-propanol (PrOH) mixtures with $65 \mathrm{wt} \%$ of PVP in a wide frequency over a temperature range of 123-318 K. For the PVP-water mixture, three relaxation processes were observed simultaneously: the slowest process originated from the segmental chain motion of PVP (called the $\alpha$-relaxation of PVP), the fastest process originated from the primary relaxation process of water (called the $v$-process) [3], the relatively small relaxation process at a frequency between those of the $\alpha$-relaxation of PVP and the $\nu$-process. The temperature dependence of the relaxation time of the $v$-process exhibits a
non-A-A crossover at the glass transition temperature $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$ of the $\alpha$-relaxation of PVP. Conversely, the crossover of the relaxation process of alcohol at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$ in alcohol-PVP mixtures differs completely from that observed in the PVP-water mixture.

## EXPERIMENTAL

The PVP used in this study was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and had a weight-averaged molecular weight $M_{\mathrm{w}}=10,000$ $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol}$. The PG, EG, and PrOH were purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd. The purities of the solvents (guaranteed reagent grade) were better than $99 \%$ in those mass. Before use, the PVP was purified using an ion-transfer resin and then freezedried in a vacuum before being mixed with water or alcohols to reduce dc conductivity and electrode polarization (EP). The aqueous PVP solution was prepared with a PVP concentration $C_{\mathrm{PVP}}=65 \mathrm{wt} \%$ in pure water with a conductivity of $18.2 \mathrm{M} \Omega$ cm (Milli-Q water) to avoid the crystallization of water. PVP solutions with PG, EG, and PrOH were also prepared with $C_{\mathrm{PVP}}=65 \mathrm{wt} \%$.

Dielectric measurements were carried out in the frequency range from 10 mHz to 3 GHz using an Alpha-A analyzer (Novocontrol, 10 mHz to 10 MHz ), a precision impedance analyzer (IA; Agilent Technologies 4294A, 40 Hz to 110 MHz ), and an impedance/material analyzer (IMA; Hewlett Packard $4291 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{MHz}$ to 1.8 GHz , and Agilent Technologies E4991A, 1 MHz to 3 GHz ). For the IA and IMA measurements, the temperature was controlled using a Kleemenko Cooler (Bio120; MMR Technologies) and a laboratory-made cooling system with liquid nitrogen, which allowed cooling from 153 to 298 K in steps of 5 K with an accuracy of $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{~K}$. For the Alpha-A analyzer measurement, the temperature was controlled using a Quatro cryosystem (Novocontrol) from 123 to 298 K in steps of 5 K with an accuracy of $\pm 0.01 \mathrm{~K}$. A coaxial cylindrical-cell-type electrode with an outer conductor with an inner diameter of 3.5 mm and an inner conductor with a diameter of 2.0 mm and a length of 2.0 mm (geometrical capacitance: 0.23 pF ) was used for the IA and IMA measurements. A coaxial cylindrical-cell-type electrode with an outer conductor with an inner diameter of 24.0 mm and an inner conductor with a diameter of 19.0 mm (geometrical capacitance: 1.11 pF ), an interdigitated electrode with $15 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ spacing between the electrodes (geometrical capacitance: 0.9 pF ), and a parallel plates electrode with 0.1 mm spacing (geometrical capacitance: 28 pF ) were used for the Alpha-A analyzer measurement. To determine $T_{\mathrm{g}}$, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) measurements were also carried out over a temperature range from 108 to 298 K , at a rate of $20 \mathrm{~K} / \mathrm{min}$ using a DSC 7 (PerkinElmer).

## RESULTS

Figure 1 shows the real and imaginary parts of the complex permittivity of the $65 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PVP-PG mixture as a function of frequency. At 298 K , two relaxation steps appear clearly in the real part $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ : one around 100 Hz and the second around 100 MHz . A loss peak near 100 MHz appears in the imaginary part


FIGURE 1 | Real $\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)$ and imaginary $\left(\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}\right)$ parts of complex permittivity as a function of frequency for the $65 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PVP-PG mixture at $298-128 \mathrm{~K}$ in steps of 5 K . Black dotted curves are the spectra of pure PG at 298 K .
$\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$, whereas no loss peak is visible at 100 Hz . The loss peak at 100 Hz is partly concealed by ionic contributions, namely, EP and dc conductivity. We also studied the two dielectric relaxation processes at 298 K in PVP solutions with water, alcohol, and chloroform and examined the origins in detail [3, 46-49]. The relaxation process observed above 100 MHz is the primary relaxation of the solvents. For comparison, the spectrum of pure PG at 298 K is shown as black dotted curves. The loss peak of the primary relaxation of PG slightly shifts to low frequency and the dielectric constant at around 1 MHz decreased from 29 to around 10 by adding PVP. The decrease of the dielectric constant is consistent with decreasing PG amount by adding PVP, i.e., $29 \times(1-0.65)=13$. In contrast, the relaxation process below 10 MHz is caused by local chain fluctuations of PVP. In previous work, the effective dipole moment of the repeat unit of PVP was determined from the relaxation strength of the PVP process by using the Onsager equation, and the effects of the permittivity of solvents were estimated [49]. We confirm here that the effective dipole moments of the repeat unit of PVP obtained from all solutions were the same and corresponded to the repeat unit of PVP.

In addition to the studies at 298 K , we studied the dielectric constant of PVP solutions with chloroform and PG from the liquid state to the glassy state over a wide range of temperatures and frequencies $[48,50]$. In these studies, the PVP-process observed at 298 K was the $\alpha$-relaxation of PVP in solution, which is related to the glass transition of the solutions. Therefore, we call the relaxation process on the low-frequency side (around 100 Hz at 298 K in Figure 1) the $\alpha_{\text {PVP }}$-process, whereas the relaxation process on the high-frequency side (around 100 MHz at 298 K in Figure 1) is called the $h_{1}$-process of the solvent.

As shown in Figure 1, the $\alpha_{\text {PVP }}$ - and $h_{1}$-processes shift to lower frequencies with decreasing temperature. Below 260 K , the $\alpha_{\text {PVP }}$-process shifts to below our lowest detectable frequency; hence, only the $h_{1}$-process can be observed for temperatures below 260 K . On further decreasing the temperature, another relaxation process, which is not visible at higher temperatures, appears on the low-frequency side of the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process. At 238 K and lower in the imaginary parts, two peaks are clearly visible, both of which originate from the solvent because the sum of their strengths is consistent with the strength of the single relaxation process of the solvent observed at higher temperatures, as shown in the real parts in Figure 1.

Figure 2 shows the dielectric losses at temperatures between 238 and 128 K , in which the additional processes of the solvents can be identified in the mixtures. At 238 K , the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process appears in the MHz range. Above 238 K , the single relaxation process, $\mathrm{h}_{1}$, of the solvents is visible in all PVP-alcohol mixtures. In addition to the $h_{1}$-process, one or two processes can be identified at lower temperatures in PVP-alcohol mixtures. We call the solvent processes at higher, medium, and lower frequencies $\mathrm{h}_{1^{-}}, \mathrm{h}_{2^{-}}$, and $h_{3}$-processes, respectively. For the PVP-water mixture, the loss peak of the $v$-process retains its strength and simply shifts to lower frequency with decreasing temperature. In Figure 2, the dotted black curves with arrows show how the peaks shift as the temperature changes. The $h_{1}$ - and $h_{2}$-processes appear for the PG solution, whereas the $h_{1^{-}}, h_{2^{-}}$, and $h_{3}$-processes appear for the PrOH solution.

Although a loss peak was concealed by the large contribution of EP and dc conductivity, the real parts of dielectric permittivity of the PVP-water mixture show a small step that can be observed at a frequency range between the $\alpha_{\mathrm{PVP}}$-process and $v$-process. To clearly illustrate this small step, Figure 3 shows frequency dependences of the real part of permittivity at various temperatures and frequency derivatives of the real part at 218 K . The frequency derivative of the real part has been known to correspond to the same profiles of dielectric loss. The small peak can be seen at the lower frequency side of the prominent peak of the $v$-process of water at 218 K .

To characterize each process, we fit the data by the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varepsilon^{*}(\omega)=\varepsilon_{\infty}+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\Delta \varepsilon_{\mathrm{h}_{i}}}{1+\left(j \omega \tau_{\mathrm{h}_{i}}\right)^{\beta_{h i}}}+ \\
& \Delta \varepsilon_{\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d} \varphi}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right) \exp (-j \omega t) d t+\frac{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{EP}}}{1+\left(j \omega \tau_{\mathrm{EP}}\right)^{\beta_{\mathrm{EP}}}} \\
& -j \frac{\sigma}{\varepsilon_{0} \omega} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\varphi=\exp \left[-\left(\frac{t}{\tau_{\alpha}}\right)^{\beta_{\mathrm{KWW}, \alpha}}\right]
$$

Here $\omega$ is the angular frequency, $j$ is the imaginary unit defined by $j^{2}=-1, \varepsilon_{0}$ is the permittivity of free space, $\varepsilon_{\infty}$ is the highfrequency dielectric constant, $\sigma$ is the dc conductivity, and $\beta$ and


FIGURE 2 | Imaginary part of permittivity for 65 wt\% (A) PVP-water, (B) PVP-PG, and (C,D) PVP-PrOH mixtures as a function of frequency and for different temperatures in steps of 10 K except for panel (D), which is in step of 5 K . To clearly illustrate the solvent separation processes, the data are shifted vertically.
$\beta_{\mathrm{KWW}}\left(0<\beta, \beta_{\mathrm{KWW}} \leq 1\right)$ are the parameters indicating the broadness of the symmetric and asymmetric relaxation curves, respectively. The subscripts $i=1,2$, and 3 refer to $h_{1}, h_{2}$, and $h_{3}$ processes, respectively, whereas $\alpha$ denotes the $\alpha$-relaxation process of PVP. This equation includes Cole-Cole relaxation functions [51] for the solvent-processes and EP contribution, one Kohlrausch-Williams-Watts relaxation function [52, 53] for the $\alpha_{\text {PVP-process, and a dc conductivity term. Although }}$ the $\alpha$-process of the pure PG [54], EG [55], and PrOH [56] has an asymmetric peak shape, we used a symmetric Cole-Cole relaxation function to fit them. It is because that the loss peak of the solvent processes, especially that of the $h_{1}$-process, cannot be seen clearly to determine whether the loss peak is asymmetry or symmetry. Figure 4 shows the examples of relaxation processes determined using the curve fitting procedures of PVP-PG mixture at 283,238 , and 213 K .

Figure 5 shows the relaxation time, $\tau$, as a function of reciprocal temperature for PVP-water, -PG, -EG, and PrOH mixtures. In this figure, red and blue symbols indicate $\alpha_{\text {PVP }}{ }^{-}$and solvent ( $\mathrm{h}_{1^{-}}, \mathrm{h}_{2^{-}}$, and $\mathrm{h}_{3^{-}}$) processes. Error bars indicate uncertainty of the fitting procedure as the maximum and minimum values of $\tau$. The plots without error bars indicate that the uncertainty is smaller than the plot size. For comparison, $\tau$ of the pure solvents are plotted as gray solid symbols [56-58]. $\tau$ of $\alpha_{\mathrm{PVP}^{-}}$and solvent ( $\mathrm{h}_{1^{-}}, \mathrm{h}_{2^{-}}$, and $\mathrm{h}_{3^{-}}$) processes are fitted by the Vogel-Fulcher-Tammann (VFT) equation [38-40] and the Arrhenius equation, respectively. The VFT equation is $\tau=\tau_{\mathrm{VF} \infty} \exp \left(A /\left(T-T_{0}\right)\right)$, where $\tau_{\mathrm{VF} \infty}, A$, and $T_{0}$ are empirical VFT parameters. The Arrhenius equation is $\tau=\tau_{\text {Arr }} \exp (\Delta E /(R T))$, where $\tau_{\text {Arr } \infty}$ is the preexponential
factor, $\Delta E$ is the apparent molar activation energy, and $R$ is the gas constant. The temperature at which the dielectric relaxation time $\tau$ reaches $100-1000 \mathrm{~s}$ can be defined as the glass-transition temperature $T_{\mathrm{g}}$. In terms of a general treatment, we considered defining $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ here as the temperature at which $\tau=100 \mathrm{~s}$. The vertical dotted lines in Figure 5 show $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ for the $\alpha$-relaxation process ( $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$ ) for each solution. Moreover, the vertical dashed lines show $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ both $\mathrm{h}_{2}$ - and $\mathrm{h}_{3}$-processes in each solution. Note that Figure 5 shows the results of DSC thermograms with the gray bands indicating possible $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ ranges. Moreover, for the PVPPG and PVP-EG mixtures, DSC thermograms show two steps, at high- $T$ and low- $T$ sides, whereas PVP-water and PrOH mixtures show a single step that corresponds to the high- $T$ side glass transition temperature in other mixtures. The results clearly show that $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ of the $\alpha_{\mathrm{PVP}}$-process obtained by BDS falls into the range of the high- $T$ side $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ obtained by DSC, whereas for the PVPPG and -EG mixtures, $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ of the slowest solvent process falls into the range of the low- $T$ side $T_{\mathrm{g}}$. Note that DSC measurements cannot detect the low- $T$ side $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ for the PVP-water and PrOH mixtures. Steps in the DSC thermograms on the high- $T$ side are placed at almost the same temperature range as that for all mixtures. The $\alpha_{\text {PVP-processes observed for all the mixtures are }}$ originated from the segmental motion of PVP, and this structural relaxation is related to the glass transition at the high- $T$ side. On the other hand, the slowest process of solvent is related to the glass transition at the low- $T$ side, which indicates that the slowest process of solvent can be interpreted to be the structural relaxation of the solvent.

Although $\Delta \varepsilon$ of the $\alpha_{\text {PVP-process monotonically increased }}$ with decreasing temperature, $\Delta \varepsilon$ of the solvent processes


FIGURE $\mathbf{3}$ | The frequency dependence of $\mathbf{( A )}$ the real part of permittivity at temperatures from 148 to 298 K in steps of 10 K and $\mathbf{( B )}$ the frequency derivative of the real part of permittivity at 218 K for the $65 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PVP-water mixture. Plots in the panel $(\mathbf{A})$ indicate a spectrum at 218 K .
demonstrated a complicated temperature dependence. To demonstrate the $\Delta \varepsilon$ of the solvent processes and these relationships, Figures $\mathbf{6 A}, \mathbf{B}$ show the reciprocal temperature dependence of $\Delta \varepsilon$ of the solvent processes and ratios of them. The ratios were obtained by $\Delta \varepsilon_{k} / \Sigma_{k} \Delta \varepsilon_{k}$ where $k$ corresponds to $v$ and slow in PVP-water mixture, $\mathrm{h}_{1}, \mathrm{~h}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{h}_{3}$ in PVPalcohol mixtures at temperatures below $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ of $\alpha_{\mathrm{PVP}}$-process, i. e., $\Delta \varepsilon$ of the solvent process divided by the sum of $\Delta \varepsilon$ of the solvent processes. Therefore, ratios are calculated for limited temperature ranges which all solvent processes are observed. The error bars indicate errors of fitting procedure. Although the presence of relaxation process between $\alpha_{\mathrm{PVP}}{ }^{-}$and the primary (only at temperatures above $T_{\mathrm{gPVP}}$ )-process of solvent is for both PVP-alcohol mixtures and PVP-water mixture, the strength of the small process observed in the water mixture is less and the strength of the $v$-process of water does not decrease and is almost constant. As shown in Figure 6B, the ratio for the small process of PVP-water mixture is temperature-independent, whereas the ratios of the $h_{2}$-processes in PVP-PG and -EG mixtures and $h_{3}$-processes in PVP-EG and PVP-PrOH mixtures depend on the temperature, which increases with decrease in temperature. Therefore, the primary process of water is considerably different from that of alcohols.

## DISCUSSION

In the PVP-PG mixture, the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process can be observed at a temperature above $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$. Above $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}, \tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ closes to the relaxation time of pure PG. Below $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$, the loss peak of the $\mathrm{h}_{2}-$ process can be visible. Moreover, the temperature dependence of $\tau_{\text {h1 }}$ does not undergo a non-A-A crossover at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$. However, at $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ for the $\mathrm{h}_{2}$-process ( $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 2}$ ), the temperature dependence of $\tau_{\text {h1 }}$ exhibits a crossover. It is well known that the main relaxation process of pure PG exhibits an asymmetric loss peak with an excess wing at the high-frequency shoulder [54]. For an aged pure PG below its $T_{\mathrm{g}}$, the excess wing has been confirmed to originate from the JG relaxation [54]. For PVP-PG mixtures with up to $50 \mathrm{wt} \%$ of PVP, the main PG process corresponds to the $\alpha$ relaxation of PG. The relaxation time for the $\alpha$-relaxation of PG increases with PVP concentration. Another secondary relaxation process has been recognized on the high-frequency side of the $\alpha$-relaxation [50]. On the basis of a comparison between the relaxation times and the strength of the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$ - and $\mathrm{h}_{2}$-process in the $65 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PVP-PG mixture and the $\alpha$-relaxation of PG in PVP-PG mixtures with $50 \mathrm{wt} \%$ of PVP or less, the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process above $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$ mimics the $\alpha$-relaxation of PG, whereas below $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$ the $\mathrm{h}_{2}$-process in the $65 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PVP mixture corresponds to the $\alpha$-relaxation of PG. It is also consistent with the glass transition observed by DSC. For the 65 wt\% PVP-PG mixture, the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process at high temperatures does not continue to the $\alpha$-relaxation, and the loss peak of the $h_{2}$-process (i.e., the $\alpha$ relaxation of PG ) can be clearly identified at a temperature below $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$. On the basis of a comparison between the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process in the $65 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PVP-PG mixture below $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$ and the secondary process on the high-frequency side of the $\alpha$-relaxation of PG in PVP-PG mixtures with up to $50 \mathrm{wt} \%$ of PVP, the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process below $T_{g, P V P}$ corresponds to the secondary process of PG. This interpretation is consistent with the reciprocal temperature dependence of the ratio of the $\Delta \varepsilon$ that is shown in Figure 6B, i.e., the ratio of the $\Delta \varepsilon$ of the $\mathrm{h}_{1}-\left(\mathrm{h}_{2^{-}}\right)$process decreases (increases) with decreasing temperature.

For the PVP-EG mixture, in addition to the $\alpha$-relaxation of PVP, only the $h_{1}$-process can be recognized at temperatures above $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$. Below $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$, the loss peaks of the $\mathrm{h}_{2}$-and $\mathrm{h}_{3}$-processes can be observed. Moreover, the temperature dependence of $\tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ exhibits no crossover at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$. However, at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 3}$, the temperature dependence of $\tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ exhibits a crossover. The $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 3}$ has uncertainty caused by the relaxation time obtained from broad spectra of the $h_{3}$-process and the determination by the assumption of a linear extrapolation of the temperature dependence of $\tau_{\mathrm{h} 3}$. Therefore, we regard the crossover of $\tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ appears at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 3}$. The temperature dependence of $\tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ seems to show another weak crossover at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 2}$, at which the slope below $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 2}$ is larger than that above $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 2}$. The change of the temperature dependence of $\tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 2}$ is opposite compared to that at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 3}$. This trend also appears $\tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ for PVP-PG mixture below $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 2}$. Below $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 2}$ for PVP-PG mixture and at around $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 2}$ for PVP-EG mixture, the extremely broad spectra of the $h_{1}$-processes and the presence of the contributions of high frequency wing of the relaxation processes at lower frequency


FIGURE 4 | The fitting results and spectra for the 65 wt\% PVP-PG mixture at (A) 283 , (B) 238, and (C) 213 K . The solid black curves indicate the fitting results. The light-blue, blue, and red dashed curves indicate the $h_{1}, h_{2}$, and $\alpha$-processes, respectively. The black dashed and dotted curves indicate dc conductivity and EP, respectively.
side seem to give rise to this crossover. These results imply that the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process and $\mathrm{h}_{3}$-processes correspond to the JG $\beta$ relaxation and $\alpha$-relaxation of EG. This is consistent with the reciprocal temperature dependence of the ratio of the $\Delta \varepsilon$ of the solvent processes that is shown in Figure 6B as having the same manner as those of the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$ - and $\mathrm{h}_{2}$-processes in PVP-PG mixture. The existence of $h_{2}$-process in the PVP-EG mixture is somewhat peculiar and seems to be due to the mixture's dynamic heterogeneity. Details will be discussed in future works of the concentration dependence study. The $h_{1}$-process corresponds well with the main process of pure EG $[46,58]$ at temperatures above $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$.

For the PVP-PrOH mixture, in addition to the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process, the loss peaks of the $h_{2}$ - and $h_{3}$-processes can be observed below $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$. Above $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}, \tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ agrees with the relaxation time of pure PrOH. In contrast to the PVP-PG and EG mixtures, no crossover of $\tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ appears in the PVP- PrOH mixture at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 2}$ and $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 3}$. Even the reciprocal temperature dependence of $\tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ of the $\mathrm{PrOH}-$ PVP mixture differs from that of the other PVP-alcohol mixtures. The reciprocal temperature dependence of the $\Delta \varepsilon$ of the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$ process of the PVP-PrOH mixture shows similar behavior to that of other mixtures. Generally, the dielectric response of pure PrOH shows the so-called Debye process, which is attributed to the dynamics of a chain-like supermolecular structure composed of mono-alcohol molecules [59]. The $h_{1}$-process of the PVPPrOH mixture at temperatures above $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$ thus relates to the Debye process. According to our previous work that focused on the dielectric relaxation of the PVP-normal alcohol mixtures [47], the Debye process of pure PrOH smoothly connects to
the $h_{1}$-process (in the previous study, it has been denoted as the h-process). Therefore, we considered that the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process at temperatures above $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$ seems to be related to the "modified" supermolecular structure of PrOH . A detailed discussion of the origin of the solvent processes in the PVP-PrOH mixture cannot be given because of the different origins of the $h_{1}$ processes in PVP-PrOH mixture and in the other PVP-alcohol mixtures. This point should be studied further in future investigations.

For the PVP-water mixture, Figure 5A clearly shows that the non-A-A crossover of the $v$-process occurs at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$, which is consistent with the results reported in Sasaki et al. [3] and also the results of Cerveny et al. [5]. The non-A-A crossover of the $v$-process is mostly observed in various systems containing water. The activation energy $E_{\mathrm{a}}$ of water relaxation in the low$T$ Arrhenius region is nearly $50 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$, which is the universal value for supercooled water in aqueous mixtures [7, 35]. It is true that the PVP-water mixture shows an additional process (slow process) between the $\alpha_{\text {PVP }}$-process and the primary process of the solvent (the $v$-process) that can be found in the PVPPG, PVP-EG, and PVP-PrOH mixtures, i.e., the $h_{2-}$ and/or $h_{3}-$ processes. However, the role of the slow process in the PVPwater mixture is much different from those of $h_{2^{-}}$and/or $h_{3}-$ processes in the PVP-alcohol mixtures, because the reciprocal temperature dependence of $\tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ shows crossover at $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ of $\mathrm{h}_{2}-$ and/or $\mathrm{h}_{3}$-processes, and $\tau_{v}$ does not show crossover at the glass transition temperature of the slow process. In addition, the ratios of the $\Delta \varepsilon$ of the $v$-process and the slow process are very different from those of the solvent processes in other mixtures. In addition, whereas the DSC thermograms for PVP-PG and -EG mixtures


FIGURE 5 | Relaxation time as a function of inverse temperature for the 65 wt\% (A) PVP-water, (B) PVP-PG, (C) PVP-EG, and (D) PVP-PrOH mixtures. (Continued)

FIGURE 5 | The corresponding DSC thermograms are also shown above each panel, with the gray ranges indicating the possible glass transition temperatures. Gray plots indicate the relaxation time of pure solvents [56-58]. Error bars indicate uncertainty of the fitting procedures. Plots without error bar have errors within the plot size. Multiple plots for the PVP-water mixture indicate measurements with different electrodes to characterize the additional small process.



FIGURE 6 | The reciprocal temperature dependence of $(\mathbf{A})$ the relaxation strength of the solvent processes and $\mathbf{( B )}$ the ratio of the relaxation strength of the solvent processes divided by the summation of the relaxation strength of all solvent processes for each mixture. Black, green, red, and blue plots indicate the data for PVP-water, PVP-PG, PVP-EG, and PVP-PrOH mixtures, respectively. Vertical dashed lines indicate $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ of the slowest solvent process for each mixture. The error bars indicate errors of the fitting procedure.
show two steps that correspond to the glass transition of the $\alpha_{\text {PVP }}-$ and $\mathrm{h}_{2}-$ or $\mathrm{h}_{3}$-process, the DSC thermogram of PVPwater mixture shows a single step that corresponds to the $\alpha_{\mathrm{PVP}}{ }^{-}$ process. This result suggests that the characteristic properties of the slow process are somewhat different from those of the structural relaxation of water. One possibility of the origin of the slow process is the JG $\beta$-process of PVP because $\tau$ of the slow process shows crossover at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$. The origin of the slow process may yet be discovered with further investigation.

One major interpretation of the non-A-A crossover in watercontaining systems is the freezing of the solute matrix at $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ $[5,16,35,60]$. Above $T_{g}$, the solute molecules are mobile,
and the relaxation time follows a VFT temperature dependence. The activation energy of solute relaxation, which is related to the cooperativity of the motion of solute molecules, increases with decreasing temperature. The change in the mobility of the solute matrix affects the mobility of confined water; hence, the relaxation time of water also exhibits a VFT temperature dependence. Below $T_{\mathrm{g}}$, the matrix freezes, then the water environment no longer changes and the relaxation time of water exhibits an Arrhenius temperature dependence [35]. Below $T_{\mathrm{g}}$, the fluctuation of solute molecules freezes not only for the PVP-water mixture but also for PVP-alcohol mixtures. If the freezing of the solute matrix is the origin of the non-A-A crossover of the solvent, then this must also appear in PVPalcohol mixtures. Otherwise, the influence of the frozen matrix would differ between water and alcohols. In any case, the solute matrix freezes below $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ in all mixtures. Note that the freezing of the solute matrix does not always cause a non-A-A crossover. In addition, the confinement effect by the polymer chains should also exist even above $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$. Because of the relaxation time of the $\alpha$-process of PVP is always several decades larger than those of alcohols and water, and the $\alpha$-process is essentially frozen matrix even both above and below $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$. Therefore, the freezing of the solute matrix cannot be considered the origin of the non-A-A crossover.

Alternatively, even the non-A-A crossover may be interpreted by invoking the "finite size effect" for spatially confined molecular liquids [35]. Following the Adam-Gibbs theory [61], the size of the cooperative rearranging region (CRR) of the liquid increases with decreasing temperature. On decreasing the temperature, the CRR eventually reaches the size defined as the finite size, at which point the dynamic property of the liquid changes to an Arrhenius temperature dependence. This interpretation is based on the idea that the CRR size cannot exceed the given finite size. For the case of PVP solutions, according to this "finite size effect," the finite size is determined by the freezing of the
 EG and PVP-PG solutions should exhibit a crossover at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$. However, the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-processes in PVP-EG and PVP-PG solutions do not exhibit a non-A-A crossover at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$, but rather at $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ of the $\mathrm{h}_{2}$ and/or $\mathrm{h}_{3}$-processes, indicating that the frozen matrix of the $\alpha_{\text {PVP-process }}$ does not serve as a template of confinement for the solvent processes. In addition, even if the $\tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ crosses over at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 2}$ instead of at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$, the finite size effect cannot describe the discontinuity in the first derivative of the temperature dependence of $\tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$. When the CRR size reaches the given finite size, $E_{a}$ should become fixed, which means that the first derivative of $\tau$ with respect to temperature related to the confined molecules should be continuous. However, this is not the case. For these reasons, the non-A-A crossover of the solvent dynamics in the mixtures cannot be described by the finite size effect.

One interpretation of the effect of matrix freezing is captured by the Coupling Model (CM). In general, glassy materials show two distinct relaxation processes: the structural $\alpha$ - and secondary $\beta$-processes. Currently, the origin of the $\alpha$-process is understood to be a cooperative structural relaxation related to the glass transition. The secondary $\beta$-process has been characterized and
classified [62]. The dynamics of molecules in glass formers of rigid molecules were investigated in the 1970s by Johari and Goldstein [63, 64]. The secondary relaxation found in rigid molecules with no internal degrees of freedom falls into the category of the JG $\beta$-process. The JG $\beta$-process cannot be assigned to any relaxation process involving the motion of an isolated part of a molecule. The temperature dependence of the relaxation time for the JG $\beta$-process, $\tau_{\mathrm{JG}}$, follows an Arrhenius temperature dependence at temperatures below the glass transition temperature, $T_{\mathrm{g}, \alpha}$, where the relaxation time of the $\alpha$-process is $100-1,000 \mathrm{~s}$. The Arrhenius temperature dependence of $\tau_{\mathrm{JG}}$ does not continue at temperatures above $T_{\mathrm{g}, \alpha}$ but changes to a VFT temperature dependence. The crossover of $\tau_{\mathrm{JG}}$ can be understood as crossing a boundary of the system from equilibrium to nonequilibrium. CM has also been successfully applied to interpreting the relationship between the relaxation process of water and the $\alpha$-relaxation observed in aqueous solutions [7]. According to CM description, it has been suggested that the JG $\beta$-process is the precursor of the cooperative $\alpha$-process in analogy to the primitive relaxation of the CM. At temperatures far above $T_{\mathrm{g}}$, a single relaxation process is observed in aqueous solutions of glycerol, EG oligomers, and fructose. At lower temperatures, the single relaxation process separates into two processes. The relaxation process with the smaller (larger) relaxation time originates from the local motion of water molecules (from the cooperative $\alpha$-relaxation of solute and water molecules). In this case, the $\alpha$-relaxation of water, and that of the solute do not appear individually. The relationship between water relaxation and $\alpha$-relaxation mimics that of the JG $\beta$-process and the $\alpha$-relaxation of various types of conventional glass formers. In other words, the relaxation process of water behaves like the JG $\beta$-process, which means that the water molecules in the mixtures move cooperatively with the solute molecules at low temperatures around $T_{\mathrm{g}}$. For the PVP-water mixture, the relaxation of water ( $v$-process) behaves like the JG $\beta$-process because water molecules can move cooperatively with PVP, which is the origin of the $\alpha$-relaxation. As a result, the structure, which fluctuates globally as the $\alpha$-relaxation, behaves like a matrix for the local fluctuation of water.

In contrast, for the PVP-alcohol mixtures, the relaxation process of alcohol, which follows the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process, does not exhibit a non-A-A crossover at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$. The relaxation processes of the solvent are separated into a local motion (the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process for the PVP-PG and PVP-EG mixtures and the $h_{2}$-process for the PVPPrOH mixture) and an $\alpha$-relaxation (the $\mathrm{h}_{2}$-process for the PVPPG mixture, the $h_{2}$ and $h_{3}$-processes for the PVP-EG mixture, and the $h_{3}$-process for the PVP-PrOH mixture) due to the presence of PVP. In this case, the $\alpha$-relaxations originating from PVP and alcohol coexist. For PVP-PG and PVP-EG mixtures, the temperature dependence of $\tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ changes at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 2}$ and/or $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 3}$, which is lower than $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{PVP}}$. This indicates that the $\mathrm{h}_{2}$ - and/or $h_{3}$-process corresponds to the fluctuation of the matrix, and the freezing of the matrix affects the local $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process. Therefore, the temperature dependence of $\tau_{\mathrm{h} 1}$ changes at $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 2}$ or $T_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h} 3}$. These results indicate that the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$ - and other solvent processes seem to correspond to the JG $\beta$-process and the structural $\alpha$-relaxation of the solvent, respectively. For the relaxation process of water in
the PVP-water mixture, the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process in PVP-alcohol mixtures, and the JG $\beta$-process in conventional glass formers, the non-A-A crossover of the relaxation time of local motion initiated by the freezing of the matrix is universal.

Based on these results, the peculiarity of water is that the relaxation time of the cooperative motion of water is the same as that of the local chain motion of PVP, implying that water molecules cooperatively move with the PVP chains. For the PVP-alcohol mixtures, the $\alpha$-relaxation of PVP and that of alcohol appear individually, which means that even alcohol molecules move cooperatively, and this appears as the $\alpha$ relaxation of alcohol (i.e., an $h_{2}$ - or $h_{3}$-process), although alcohol cannot move cooperatively with PVP chains. The separation of solvent relaxation in binary systems has not been generally observed, although it seems to be brought about by high polymer concentration, which is rarely measured. As seen for the PVPPG mixtures with $50 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PVP and lower, the $\mathrm{h}_{2}$-process does not appear on the low-frequency side of the $h_{1}$-process [50]. Two $\alpha$-relaxations of PG $h_{2}$ - and PVP- processes at temperatures far above $T_{\mathrm{g}}$ are observed continuously down to $T_{\mathrm{g}}$, and both the PG $h_{2}$ - and PVP- processes exhibit $\alpha$-relaxation time with a VFT temperature dependence. In addition to the two $\alpha$-relaxations, a secondary process can be observed on the high-frequency side of the $\alpha$-relaxation of PG that corresponds to the $\mathrm{h}_{1}$-process in the $65 \mathrm{wt} \%$ PVP-PG mixture.

## CONCLUSION

This paper reports the dielectric relaxation processes observed in PVP mixtures with water and three types of alcohol. The results clearly show the uniqueness of water's relaxation process. We focused on comparing the relaxation processes of water with those of PG, because PG is a well-known glass former with a simple relaxation map and no crystallization. The presence of the single primary relaxation process of the solvent above
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