



# Characterization of Chicken Skin Yellowness and Exploration of Genes Involved in Skin Yellowness Deposition in Chicken

Jingwen Wu<sup>1,2</sup>, Zetong Lin<sup>1,2</sup>, Genghua Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Qingbin Luo<sup>1,2</sup>, Qinghua Nie<sup>1,2</sup>, Xiquan Zhang<sup>1,2</sup> and Wen Luo<sup>1,2\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Animal Genetics, Breeding and Reproduction, College of Animal Science, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China, <sup>2</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Lab of Agro-Animal Genomics and Molecular Breeding, and Key Lab of Chicken Genetics, Breeding and Reproduction, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou, China

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Gergely Zachar, Semmelweis University, Hungary

#### Reviewed by:

Nina Ockendon-Powell, University of Bristol, United Kingdom Lu Dong, Beijing Normal University, China

> \*Correspondence: Wen Luo luowen729@scau.edu.cn

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Avian Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physiology

**Received:** 19 July 2020 **Accepted:** 05 March 2021 **Published:** 31 March 2021

#### Citation:

Wu J, Lin Z, Chen G, Luo Q, Nie Q, Zhang X and Luo W (2021) Characterization of Chicken Skin Yellowness and Exploration of Genes Involved in Skin Yellowness Deposition in Chicken. Front. Physiol. 12:585089. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2021.585089 Skin color is an important economic trait in meat-type chickens. A uniform bright skin color can increase the sales value of chicken. Chickens with bright yellow skin are more popular in China, especially in the broiler market of South China. However, the skin color of chickens can vary because of differences in breeds, diet, health, and individual genetics. To obtain greater insight into the genetic factors associated with the process of skin pigmentation in chickens, we used a colorimeter and high-resolution skin photographs to measure and analyze the skin color of chickens. By analyzing 534 chickens of the same breed, age, and feed condition, we found that the yellowness values of the chickens varied within this population. A significant positive correlation was found between the cloacal skin yellowness values before and after slaughter, and the cloacal skin yellowness value of live chickens was positively correlated with the overall body skin yellowness value. Additionally, chicken skin yellowness exhibited low heritability, ranging from 0.07 to 0.27. Through RNA sequencing, 882 genes were found to be differentially expressed between the skin with the highest and lowest yellowness values. Some of these differentially expressed genes may play an important role in yellow pigment deposition in chicken skin, which included TLR2B, IYD, SMOC1, ALDH1A3, CYP11A1, FHL2, TECRL, ACACB, TYR, PMEL, and GPR143. In addition, we found that the expression and variations of the BCO2 gene, which is referred to as the yellow skin gene, cannot be used to estimate the skin yellowness value of chickens in this population. These data will help to further our understanding of chicken skin yellowness and might contribute to the selection of specific chicken strains with consistent skin coloration.

Keywords: chicken, skin color, yellowness value, pigmentation, candidate gene

# INTRODUCTION

Ma-Huang chicken is a high-quality broiler chicken with golden skin that is preferred by consumers and is one of the most popular chickens in the frozen chicken market of South China. Under the condition of an increasing frozen fresh chicken market, the first impression that a broiler presents to consumers is made by its skin. The yellowness and uniformity of skin color are two important factors influencing consumer choice. Many factors can regulate skin color, and skin yellowness value varies greatly between individuals. The market price of chickens with white or light yellow skin is lower than that of chickens with yellow skin. How to select for uniform skin color and generate chickens with higher yellowness is a critical problem limiting the development of commercial Ma-Huang chickens.

Coloration is a phenotypic trait related to a variety of adaptive functions, including temperature regulation, camouflage, mate choice, etc (Hill, 1991; Hamilton et al., 2013). Skin pigmentation is a complex biological process. Skin color can be influenced by environmental factors, nutrition, and heredity. Carotenoids are compounds responsible for skin color in animals. Most animals can only acquire carotenoid pigments from the environment. Carotenoids can be synthesized by plants, bacteria, and fungi and affect the red, orange, and yellow characteristics of the skin (Goodwin, 1954; Walsh et al., 2012; Toews et al., 2017). Chickens obtain carotenoids through eating feeds. Once ingested, these pigments are transported to the mucosal cells of the duodenum. Scavenger receptor class B, type 1 can recognize carotenoids incorporate with lipid micelles and facilitate carotenoids transportation (Eroglu and Harrison, 2013; Toomey et al., 2017). Once absorbed, carotenoids are transported by lipoproteins through the bloodstream to the target tissues. The abundance and nature of lipoproteins can limit the capacity to obtain carotenoids during this process (Galvan et al., 2016). Furthermore, many species can metabolize carotenoids into alternate, more oxidized forms that are deposited into the integument. The result is that several factors, such as amounts and species of carotenoids ingested, nutrient and health status of the host, genetic background, and food matrix composition, influence the bioavailability of carotenoids (West and Castenmiller, 1998). Therefore, there are large differences between species or individuals in their capacity to obtain these pigments from their diets, resulting in skin color variation. However, unlike endogenously synthesized pigments such as melanin, which have well-characterized genotype-phenotype connections, researchers were poorly understood the genes and pathways involved in exogenously derived carotenoid metabolism and deposition (Toews et al., 2017).

 $\beta$ -Carotene oxygenases are the best-characterized set of enzymes that are involved in carotenoid metabolism, including  $\beta$ -carotene 15, 15' oxygenase (BCO1) and  $\beta$ -carotene 9',10' oxygenase (BCO2) (Toews et al., 2017). *BCO2* is a mitochondrial protein with a set of varied targets, including zeaxanthin, lutein, and lycopene. *BCO2* is able to directly influence coloration by asymmetrically decomposing colored carotenoids into colorless defatted carotenoids, which hinder the deposition of colored pigments in fat and skin (Amengual et al., 2011). In domesticated chickens, lower expression of BCO2 is associated with carotenoid degradation into colorless derivatives (Eriksson et al., 2008). Eriksson et al. (2008) analyzed gene sequences associated with a specific phenotype found in domestic chickens across numerous wild junglefowl and domestic breeds and found that three SNPs in BCO2 were significantly related to yellow skin [located at chr24: 6,264,085 (G < A), chr24: 6,273,428 (A < G), and chr24: 6.287.900 (G < A)]. The presence of BCO2 initiates the degradation of carotenoids and protects mitochondria from oxidative damage (Harrison and Kopec, 2020). Although the above studies have indicated the importance of BCO2 in chicken yellow skin regulation, its function in the regulation of skin pigmentation and skin yellowness value still remains unclear.

In the present study, we systematically analyzed the variation in skin yellowness in yellow-skinned broiler chickens under intensive conditions. We found that the yellowness values of skin varied within the chicken population under intensive conditions. To identify the candidate genes that influence yellowness in chicken skin, we used RNA-seq to investigate differences in skin transcriptomes between the skin tissues of the Ma-Huang chicken showing high yellowness and low yellowness. We identified some candidate genes and metabolic pathways involved in yellow pigment deposition in chickens. All of these findings will help to establish a foundation for breeding chickens with high yellow skin coloration.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### **Ethics Statement**

Ma-Huang chickens were obtained from a chicken farm in Guangzhou, Guangdong Province, China. Animal experiments were performed in accordance with the regulations and guidelines established by the Animal Care Committee of South China Agricultural University (approval number: SCAU#0106; 25 November 2018).

### **Animals and Traits Measurement**

Commercial Ma-Huang chicken pure line broiler chickens were used in the present study. Chickens at 120 days of age raised under the same feeding management conditions were chosen. Slaughter tests and traits measurements were carried out in a total of 534 Ma-Huang chickens (24 males and 510 females). These chickens were from one generation with complete pedigree. All chickens were slaughtered by bloodletting in the jugular vein, then scalded in tanks filled with water at a temperature of 64°C for 220 s and plucked with a chicken defeatherer. The slaughter determination was analyzed using methods adapted from previous studies (Sirri et al., 2010). Growth traits and carcass traits were measured according to the NY/T 823-2004 standard (Chen et al., 2004).

The skin yellowness was measured using a 3nh-NH310 colorimeter (3NH, Guangzhou, China). The colorimeter can directly obtain a\* value (which represents redness), b\* value (which represents yellowness), and L\* value (which represents luminance) from a single measurement. We measure the

skin yellowness by b\* value. To reduce measurement errors, skin color values were determined by the same person, and quantification was performed three times at each position. The skin b\* values (yellowness) of the cloaca (for living chickens) was measured before bloodletting. This area was chosen because it contains a few feathers or blood vessels. After bloodletting, scalding and plucking, the skin b\* values (yellowness) of eight different parts were measured. All color measurement areas were free from obvious defects (plucking damage, bruises, full blood vessels, hemorrhage, discoloration, and any other condition that might affect a uniform color reading).

#### **Digital Skin Color Value Quantification**

Digital photographs of 349 slaughtered chickens, placed on a white disk as the background, were successfully obtained with a Canon EOS 80D color camera (Canon, Tokyo, Japan). We developed an in-house program in MATLAB 2018a (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, United States) to automatically retrieve the color values of the chicken skin. RGB values were converted into HSV space according to standard formulas implemented by the rgb2hsv function of MATLAB (Supplementary Figure 1). Median HSV values, H values, S values, and V values were then reported for each image. In the HSV model, H represents hue (H represents the variation of the color type), S is saturation (S represents the purity or intensity of the color), and V is brightness or luminance. The V values were observed under environmental light, which could not be fully controlled, so we focused on the H and S color dimensions. A photograph is provided in Supplementary Figure 2 as an example. The MATLAB binary "get\_skin\_color" is provided in the Supplementary Material.

#### **DNA and RNA Extraction**

TABLE 1 Sequence of PCR primers used in this study

Genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, CA, United States). Total RNA was extracted from tissues using RNAiso reagent (Takara, Otsu, Japan) and quantified using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer

and an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, United States).

#### **Genetic Parameter Estimates**

The restricted maximum likelihood method implemented by the DMU package was used to obtain estimates of the phenotypic and genetic (co)variance and heritability (Madsen and Jensen, 2013), and the following linear model was used for the analysis of the data:

$$y = Xb + Za + e$$

where y was the phenotypic value of a trait, a was the vector of the animal additive genetic effect, b was the vector of the fixed effects, including gender (two levels) and pen (six levels), e was the vector of random residuals, and X and Z were the incidence matrices. A 534 individuals with complete pedigree and phenotypic trait records were included.

### **RNA-seq and Bioinformatics Analysis**

Among the 534 Ma-Huang chickens, three chickens with high cloacal skin yellowness values were chosen and defined as the high yellowness (s\_deep) group; another three chickens with low cloacal skin yellowness values were chosen and defined as the low yellowness (s\_light) group. There was a significant difference in the average yellowness of the s\_deep and s\_light groups  $(12.53 \pm 0.69 \text{ vs.} 6.99 \pm 0.66, p < 0.001, n = 3)$ . A piece of skin (approximately 8 mm in diameter) was isolated from the cloaca, and the subcutaneous fat was scraped off and then snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen before RNA-seq was conducted. Oligo(dT)attached magnetic beads were used to purify mRNA. The purified mRNA was fragmented into small pieces with fragmentation buffer at the appropriate temperature. Then, first-strand cDNA synthesis was performed. Thereafter, A-Tailing Mix and RNA-Index Adapters were added via incubation for end repair. The cDNA fragments obtained from the previous step were amplified by PCR, and the products were homogenized with Ampure XP Beads and then dissolved in EB solution. The products were validated in an Agilent Technologies 2100 system for quality control. The double-stranded PCR products from the previous

Gene	Forward primer sequence $(5' - >3')$	Reverse primer sequence (5'- >3')	Length (bp)	
ACACB	TTCGGGACTTCAACCGTGAG	GGCTGCTTAAAATCCCGCAG	142	
TLR2B	GAAACTTTGAGGGCATTG	TTGCGAAAGAGGAAGACATA	252	
FHL2	CCTGCTTTATCTGCTACCGCTG	CACACCTCCTGTAGTGATAGCCTT	149	
CYP11A1	TCCGCTTTGCCTTGGAGTCTGTG	ATGAGGGTGACGGCGTCGATGAA	112	
SMOC1	CAGCACTTGCCCATTCC	CACCTTCCGCAGTAACAC	174	
β-actin	ATCTTTCTTGGGTATGGAGTC	GCCAGGGTACATTGTGG	133	
GPR143	TCAACAGTGGGCGACAATGA	GCAGAGACATACGCCTGCTA	443	
TECRL	GACACAAACCCTGCCAGTTG	GACCCACTTCGGTTGCTGAA	226	
TYR	CGAGACACACTCTTAGGTGG	CTTCTGTATCTCACGTTCCC	120	
PMEL	GCACGCAGTTCAGCATCACC	CCCGAAGTCCCACGAATAGG	179	
ALDH1A3	AAAGGCAGGTGCTCCTAAGC	CGTTGCAAAGTGCTGACACA	109	
IYD	TAACTGCCTCACCCTA	CCCATCCCTACATACC	258	
BCO2	TCACGCTTTGATCCACCGAA	CGTTTCTGGGTCCACCTTGT	117	



**FIGURE 1** Characteristics of skin yellowness in Ma-Huang chickens. (A) schematic diagram of the positions at which skin yellowness was determined. (B) the b<sup>\*</sup> value distribution for different body parts. (C) 3D Scatterplot of Hue vs saturation vs Value of skin color in Ma-Huang chickens (Rotate different angles along the Z axis). All samples were superimposed on the color space according to the hue, value and saturation values derived from the skin area assessed in digital photographs. According to the HSV ranking, the individual's visual perception is divided into 3 parts, followed by nearly white (blue dots), pale yellow (red dots), and yellow (green dots). n = 360. (D) examples of skin photographs corresponding to the hue-saturation color space.

step were heated, denatured and circularized via the splint oligo sequence to obtain the final library. The single-stranded circular DNA was formatted as the final library. The final library was amplified with phi29 to generate DNA nanoballs (DNBs), which included more than 300 copies of a single molecule. The DNBs were loaded into the patterned nanoarray, and single-end 50-base

TABLE 2   Descriptive statistics of chicken skin col
--

	Cloaca (A)	Cloaca (as)	Back	Saddle	Ab	Breast	Thigh	Shank	AF	Hue	Saturation
N	447	534	534	534	534	534	534	534	494	360	360
Minimum	3.51	1.88	-1.38	1.86	0.10	-0.64	-2.84	1.52	3.04	0.06	0.15
median	8.90	7.54	4.08	7.10	7.15	7.86	2.37	6.75	11.60	0.08	0.29
Maximum	13.98	13.90	14.78	14.19	15.09	17.21	9.56	21.21	33.24	0.10	0.58
mean	8.96	7.62	4.24	7.11	7.03	7.77	2.57	7.27	12.80	0.08	0.30
SEM	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.25	0.00	0.00
Range	10.47	12.02	16.16	12.33	14.99	17.85	12.40	19.69	30.20	0.04	0.43
Skewness	-0.06	0.29	1.11	0.42	0.02	-0.19	0.48	1.05	1.01	0.15	0.64
Kurtosis	-0.03	0.24	3.42	0.35	0.30	1.67	0.17	1.90	0.94	-0.25	0.66

Cloaca (A), Cloaca (alive); Cloaca (as), Cloaca (after slaughter); Ab, Abdomen; AF, abdomen fat.

reads were generated on the BGI-500 platform (BGI-Shenzhen, China). Adaptors, reads with unknown base(N) > 5%, and lowquality sequences were removed using the FASTX tool, which is used to reduce data noise and to clean raw reads. Furthermore, SOPA2(version 2.2.1) was used to filter out potential rRNA reads. After filtering, Clean reads of the skin tissues of each color were aligned to the reference (GCF\_000002315.4\_Gallus\_gallus-5.0) by TopHat (version 2.2.1). Gene expression was measured in fragments per kilobase of exon per million reads mapped (FPKM). Functional annotation of the assembled reference sequences was performed by homology searches against the NCBI Nr (Non-redundant protein) database, the UniProt-Swiss-Prot (The Universal Protein Resource) database, and the KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes database). Searches were conducted by the BLAST program with an E-value cutoff of  $1e^{-5}$ . The gene name and description of the best blast hit were assigned to each contig with significant hits. Finally, edger22 was used to normalize the expression level of each gene in six skin samples to identify the differentially expressed genes by pairwise comparisons. The threshold values of  $\log FC \ge 1$  and FDR (False Discovery Rate)  $\le 0.05$  were used to judge the significance of DEGs. GO term enrichment and KEGG pathway analysis of DEGs was performed using KOBAS program. All original RNA-seq data were deposited in the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE144982). Genes exhibiting a fold change  $\geq 2$  and adjusted  $p \leq 0.001$  were considered differentially expressed genes (DEGs). GO term enrichment and KEGG pathway analysis of the DEGs were performed using the online-accessible Dr. TOM program<sup>1</sup>.

# cDNA Synthesis and Quantitative Real-Time PCR

The reverse transcription reaction for mRNA was performed with the PrimeScript RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara) according to the manufacturer's manual. All primers (**Table 1**) were designed using Primer Premier 5.0 software (Premier Biosoft, Palo Alto, CA, United States). The qPCR program was carried out in a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time Detection System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, United States) with iTaq<sup>TM</sup> Universal

SYBRGreen<sup>®</sup> Supermix (Bio-Rad). The  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method was used to measure gene expression with  $\beta$ -*actin* as the reference gene.

### **Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistical analysis was performed using all available yellowness values records by the SPSS 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States). Pearson correlation coefficients (r) and linear regression were used to evaluate the correlation among traits by the SPSS 21.0. A *p*-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. For statistical analysis of two contrast, we using one-sample *t*-test through SPSS. We considered *p* < 0.05 to be statistically significant. \**p* < 0.05; \*\**p* < 0.01.

# RESULTS

# Characteristic of Skin Yellowness in Ma-Huang Chicken

To understand the characteristics of skin pigmentation in chickens, we collected skin yellowness data from 534 Ma-Huang chickens. A total of eight different body skin positions were evaluated with a colorimeter (Figure 1A), and the discrete distribution of the yellowness value of each position is shown in Table 2. The results showed that the skin yellowness values varied among individuals (Figure 1B). Thigh skin exhibited the lowest mean yellowness values among the measured positions, whereas abdominal fat exhibited the highest mean yellowness values. Next, we used hue and saturation values that were collected from photographs and analyzed with MATLAB software to assess the overall skin color of individual chickens. To better classify the skin yellowness of the chickens, the depth of the skin color of Ma-Huang chickens was graded into three levels according to the HSV values as follows: "nearly white," "pale yellow," and "yellow" (Figure 1C) (according to the HSV ranking, the individual's visual perception is divided into three parts. The specific skin color classification data are in the Supplementary Table 1). Within a subsample, there were 120 chickens with "nearly white" skin, 120 chickens with "pale yellow" skin, and 120 chickens with "yellow" skin. The overall hue value of the skin ranged from 0.06 to 0.10, and the overall saturation value of the skin ranged from 0.15 (very light) to 0.58 (yellow). To better understand the association between Hue-Saturation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>http://report.bgi.com



values and skin color, three representative chicken images were mapped to the 3D scatterplot space (**Figure 1D**). The darkness variation in saturation was easy to perceive, but there was little yellow-red variation in hue (**Figure 1D**). These data indicate that under intensive farming conditions, the saturation of the skin color of broilers presented a greater difference than the hue.

# The Correlation Analysis of Skin Yellowness Between Different Parts of Chicken Skin

To better understand the correlation of yellowness values among different positions and the correlation between skin yellowness and hue-saturation values, we conducted correlation analysis for each value. In general, there was a significant positive correlation among the yellowness values at each measured position, except for the V value and abdominal fat values (Figure 2A). Notably, the yellowness value of the cloaca before slaughter was positively correlated with the yellowness value of cloacal skin after slaughter as well as the yellowness values at other skin positions (Figure 2B). These results suggested that the vellowness value of the cloacal skin in a live chicken can serve as a reference for the overall skin yellowness value of the carcass. Then, we analyzed the correlation between skin color values and other economic traits of chickens. The vellowness value of the cloaca before slaughter was positively correlated with many traits, especially growth traits such as live weight and tibia length (Figure 2C). The HSV values were also positively correlated with these economic traits. However, we noted that many traits related to fat were uncorrelated with skin color traits, and the yellowness value of abdominal fat was significantly negatively correlated with abdominal fat weight. In summary, these results indicate that the skin yellowness values at different positions on the chicken are correlated with each other and that the abdominal fat weight is negatively correlated with skin color.

# Estimation of Genetic Parameters of Skin Yellowness Value

Considering that skin yellowness has a crucial effect on chicken sales, we evaluated the genetic parameters associated with the skin yellowness value. The heritability of the skin yellowness value was low, ranging from 0.07 to 0.27 (**Table 3**). Shank skin yellowness presented the lowest heritability, whereas abdominal fat yellowness presented the highest heritability (**Table 3**).

TABLE 3   Estimates of heritabilities for the traits.							
Traits	Number	h <sup>2</sup>					
Hue	349	0.13728 ± 0.09468					
Saturation	349	$0.24816 \pm 0.11162$					
Cloaca (A) Yellowness	447	$0.15422 \pm 0.1027$					
Cloaca (as) Yellowness	534	$0.11238 \pm 0.06528$					
Saddle Yellowness	534	$0.20576 \pm 0.11324$					
Breast Yellowness	534	$0.15762 \pm 0.11784$					
AF Yellowness	534	$0.2769 \pm 0.12251$					
Shank Yellowness	534	$0.07842 \pm 0.01246$					
Back Yellowness	534	$0.10862 \pm 0.08554$					
Thigh Yellowness	534	$0.11375 \pm 0.04829$					
CW(kg)	534	$0.51487 \pm 0.13756$					
IFW(mm)	511	$0.10165 \pm 0.07356$					
EW(g)	510	$0.2948 \pm 0.10974$					
EWG(g)	510	$0.38747 \pm 0.11795$					
AFW(g)	510	$0.35412 \pm 0.10983$					
LMW(g)	510	$0.17249 \pm 0.09178$					
BMW(g)	510	$0.1919 \pm 0.09108$					

Standard errors of estimates are in parentheses. Cloaca (A), Cloaca (alive); Cloaca (as), Cloaca (after slaughter); Ab, Abdomen; AF, abdomen fat; CW, carcass weight; IFW, intermuscular fat width; EW, eviscerated weight; EWG, eviscerated weight with giblet; AFW, abdominal fat weight; LMW, leg muscle weight; BMW, breast muscle weight.

Among all carcass traits, the carcass weight exhibited the highest heritability, and the heritability of the eviscerated weight (EW), eviscerated weight with giblets (EWG), and abdominal fat weight (AFW) ranged from 0.29 to 0.39 (**Table 3**).

## Differentiated Expressed DEG Between Low Yellowness Skin and High Yellowness Skin

To explore the genetic mechanism underlying the regulation of pigmentation, six RNA-seq libraries were obtained from the skin of chickens with high yellowness (s\_deep) and low yellowness (s\_light) (Figure 3A). There was a significant difference in the average yellowness of the s deep and s light groups ( $12.53 \pm 0.69$ vs. 6.99  $\pm$  0.66, *p* < 0.001). During filtering, linker contamination, unknown base N content greater than 10%, and low-quality reads are sequentially removed (we define reads with a quality value of fewer than 15 bases that account for more than 50% of the total bases in the reads as low-quality reads). A total of 23.03 and 23.01 M valid reads were obtained from s light and s\_deep, respectively (Supplementary Table 2). According to a fold change  $\geq 2$  and an adjusted  $p \leq 0.001$ , 882 unigenes were identified as differentially expressed between s\_deep and s\_light (Wang et al., 2010; Figure 3B). The 20 most significantly differentially expressed genes, including 10 upregulated and 10 downregulated, are listed in Table 4. Compared with the s\_deep group, 612 genes were upregulated, and 270 genes were downregulated in the s\_light group (Figure 3B) (fold change >2, adjusted  $p \le 0.001$ ). Through integrative analysis of these DEGs between the s\_deep and s\_light group, we found that 794 DEGs were commonly expressed in both samples, whereas 42 DEGs and 46 DEGs were specifically expressed in the s\_deep chickens and the s\_light chickens, respectively (Figure 3C and Supplementary Table 3). These group-specific DEGs may be important for resulting in the different performance of pigmentation between the two group chickens. According to the results of the analysis of differentially expressed genes, hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA) was performed on the combined DEGs using the heatmap function in R software. HCA demonstrated diverse expression profiles between s\_deep skin tissues and s\_light skin tissues (Figure 3D).

To define the biological functions of the 882 DEGs, GO and KEGG enrichment analysis was performed with the phyper function of R software. Top 20 most significant pathways and GO terms were listed (Figures 3E,F). The KEGG pathway enrichment analysis showed that the DEGs were significantly involved in Focal adhesion, ECM-receptor interaction, olfactory transduction, drug metabolism-cytochrome P450, and cytokinecytokine receptor interaction (Figure 3E). Notably, there are two enriched pathways related to cytochrome P450 in this result (Figure 3E). Previous studies have shown that the gene encoding the cytochrome P450 enzyme was found to associate with carotenoid-based avian coloration phenotypes (Lopes et al., 2016; Mundy et al., 2016). Therefore, these cytochrome P450 related pathways may play an important role in the regulation of the chicken yellow skin trait. GO analysis showed that intermediate filament, structural constituent



The DFG S [Differentially expressed genes between skin with low yeinowness and high yeinowness. (A) The D value of RivA-seq samples. (B) volcand pilot showing the DEGs between s\_deep and s\_light. The X-axis represents the difference multiplied after log2 conversion, and the Y-axis represents the significant values after log 10 conversion. Red represents upregulated DEGs, blue represents downregulated DEGs, and gray represents non-DEGs. (C) ven diagram representing DEGs overlapping between the two groups. (D) A heat map clusters the FPKM values of the differential genes in each comparison group. The horizontal axis represents the log2 (FPKM + 1) of the sample, and the vertical axis represents genes. The redder the color of the color block, the higher the expression, and the bluer the color, the lower the expression. (E) KEGG enrichment analysis of the DEGs between s\_deep and s\_light. The y axis represents KEGG pathways and the x axis represents | log(p value)]. The number of genes enriched in the indicated pathway was marked. (F) GO enrichment analysis of DEGs between s\_deep and s\_light. The y axis represents GO terms was marked.

of cytoskeleton, intermediate filament cytoskeleton, muscle tissue development, and multicellular organismal process were enriched in the functions of DEGs (**Figure 3F**). Furthermore, *tyrosinase* (*TYR*), *pre-melanosomal protein* (*PMEL*), and *G protein-coupled receptor 143* (*GPR143*), which are involved in the regulation of pigmentation, were significantly differentially expressed between the two groups (**Supplementary Table 3**).

# Gene Interaction Networks Involved in the Regulation of Skin Yellowness

Studies have shown that immunity and lipid metabolism are related to skin pigmentation. To validate our RNA-seq

results and find some candidate genes involved in skin color regulation, we selected 11 DEGs implicated in immunity, lipid metabolism, and pigmentation for qPCR confirmation. We observed consistency between the qPCR results and RNAseq results (**Figures 4A,B**). Next, by using GeneMANIA, we generated a protein interaction network to show how these eleven DEGs communicated or interacted with each other (**Figure 4C**). This network contributes to our understanding of the regulatory mechanism linking pigment deposition and immunity in chickens. Additionally, we also used GeneMANIA software to predict the potential target genes of *TYR*, *PMEL*, *GPR143*, and *BCO2*, which were involved in pigmentation. Then we generated an interaction network consisting of these four genes and their potential targets (**Figure 4D**). This network may play roles on chicken skin carotenoid deposition. Within this network, *TYR*, *PMEL*, and *GPR143* were differentially expressed between s\_light and s\_deep according to the RNA-sequencing results (**Supplementary Table 3**). *PMEL* and *GPR143* are mainly involved in the regulation of melanin deposition, and their roles in carotenoid deposition have rarely been reported. Therefore, based on our interaction network, it will be an important research content to study how *PMEL* and *GPR143* interact with *TYR* and *BCO2*, and ultimately affect the carotenoid deposition in chicken skin. The differential expression of these genes may lead to an imbalance in the network and ultimately affect yellow pigment deposition.

## THE EXPRESSION AND THE THREE TYPICAL SNPs OF BCO2 CANNOT USE TO ESTIMATE THE CHICKEN SKIN YELLOWNESS IN THIS CHICKEN POPULATION

BCO2 plays an important role in determining the yellow skin of chickens. Mutations in the region of the BCO2 gene can result in a phenotypic change. Eriksson et al. (2008) reported that three single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs: A, B, and C) around the BCO2 gene were associated with the yellow skin phenotype and that low BCO2 expression allows the deposition of yellow carotenoids in the skin. However, our RNA-seq and qPCR results showed that the BCO2 yellow skin gene was not significantly differentially expressed between the s\_deep samples and the s\_light samples (**Figures 5A,B**). To further test whether

TABLE 4 | Detailed information of the top 20 most differentially expressed genes.

the three BCO2 SNPs are correlated with the skin yellowness value in this experimental group, we selected 40 two-tailed samples of skin yellowness values for verification (20 chickens with highest yellowness values and 20 chickens with lowest yellowness values). The genotyping results showed that SNP-A and SNP-B were the same in all 40 chickens, and only SNP-C showed polymorphism in these 40 chickens (Supplementary Table 4). Next, we compared the skin vellowness value between the chickens with GA genotype and the chickens with AA genotype. The result showed that there is no significant difference in skin vellowness value between chickens with different type of SNP-C (Figure 5C). Correlation analysis results also showed that the SNP-C was not correlated with skin yellowness value in any area (Supplementary Table 5). Therefore, these results suggest that the expression and the three typical yellow skin SNPs of BCO2 may not be used to estimate chicken skin yellowness.

## DISCUSSION

Skin color makes phenotypic selection difficult in chickens because the skin of chickens is covered with feathers. Several positions covered with few feathers can be used to measure the skin color directly, such as the skin around the cloaca and the skin of the underwing. However, there is a vein under the skin of the underwing, which can significantly affect the color value detection. Therefore, the skin around the cloaca may be the only position at which skin color can be directly measured in a live chicken. Importantly, there are no blood vessels under the skin around the cloaca, and our correlation analysis results showed that the skin yellowness value at this position was correlated with overall chicken skin color after slaughter. These results suggest

Gene ID Other Gene ID		s_deep FPKM	s_light FPKM	log2(s_light/s_deep)	Q value	P value	Up/ Down
421222	MALL	0	1.94	7.56	<0.001	< 0.001	up
428512	GALR1	0.02	2.56	7.16	< 0.001	< 0.001	up
417428	CACNG1	0	1.14	6.76	< 0.001	< 0.001	up
430657	KRTAP19-2	0	1.59	6.43	< 0.001	< 0.001	up
423298	ACTC1	0.24	13.14	5.76	< 0.001	< 0.001	up
396472	MYL4	0.56	25.45	5.65	< 0.001	< 0.001	up
418726	FHL2	3.08	138.58	5.48	< 0.001	< 0.001	up
408032	BVES	0.34	13.20	5.29	< 0.001	< 0.001	up
100859398	POLD2	0.20	7.41	5.19	< 0.001	< 0.001	up
395814	FMOD	0.35	12.19	5.11	< 0.001	< 0.001	up
428125	ART7C	2.17	0.13	-4.06	< 0.001	< 0.001	down
396383	ISL1	1.54	0.13	-3.94	< 0.001	< 0.001	down
423899	NRAP	2.32	0.19	-3.6	< 0.001	< 0.001	down
693257	GNLY	25.37	2.39	-3.39	< 0.001	< 0.001	down
425968	KRTAP10-4	1.53	0.36	-2.09	< 0.001	< 0.001	down
424018	PRLH	2.26	0.53	-2.09	< 0.001	< 0.001	down
420894	SERPINB1	1.09	0.28	-1.96	< 0.001	< 0.001	down
107051294	QPRT	2.41	0.64	-1.89	< 0.001	< 0.001	down
395108	GZMA	2.29	0.65	-1.82	< 0.001	< 0.001	down
769322	STARD4	16.65	4.73	-1.81	< 0.001	< 0.001	down



indicated in white font. \* 0.01 , \*\*<math>p < 0.01.



significant difference between chickens with GA genotype and AA genotype.

that the cloacal skin yellowness of a live chicken may be a useful value for assessing the overall skin yellowness of a slaughtered chicken, and may imply for application in deep yellow skin chicken selection.

At present, research on the skin color of poultry mainly depends on the use of a colorimeter and color plate to assess skin color after slaughter. For example, Sirri et al. (2010) used a CR-300 chromameter to determine the skin color of the breast, thighs, and shanks. Mueller et al. (2020) used a CR-300 chromameter to detect the skin color of the left breast to evaluate the skin color differences among different broiler breeds. The skin color detection position employed in the experiment of Rajput et al. was the same as employed by Sirri et al. (Rajput et al., 2013). However, a colorimeter can

only determine the color within a small piece of skin, and the color plate measurement is influenced by subjective factors. To overcome these defects, we developed a method combining highresolution photos and MATLAB software valuation to quantify the global skin color of chickens. A similar method has been employed in human skin color research (Jacobs et al., 2013). On the basis of this method, we can use a single number to represent the skin color of a chicken. In addition, we found that many skin yellowness values determined by the colorimeter were positively correlated with the global skin color value analyzed by MATLAB, suggesting that the skin vellowness value of a single position is representative to some extent. Additionally, our results showed that the skin yellowness values detected at different positions were positively correlated with each other. Díaz-Gómez et al. (2017) also found that there was a correlation between breast skin yellowness and thigh skin yellowness in chickens. Therefore, Pigments may evenly deposit in different areas of the chicken body skin.

Broilers are prone to excessive fat deposition, but most of this fat is not physiologically necessary. Yellow fat is occasionally observed in cattle, sheep, pigs, and rabbits, but it occurs widely in poultry (Strychalski et al., 2016). Broilers deposit a portion of the adsorbed dietary pigments into the fat. Female broilers exhibit higher subcutaneous fat levels than males (Fletcher, 1992). Our experiment showed that the yellowness value of abdominal fat presents a very significant negative correlation with abdominal fat weight (**Figure 2**), which means that more abdominal fat deposition will lead to lighter skin color. The potential underlying mechanism may be the dilution of the pigment deposited in the fat by lipids, resulting in a decrease in the yellowness value.

The BCO2 gene encodes beta-carotene dioxygenase 2, which cleaves carotenoids (colorful substance) to apocarotenoids (colorless substance) via an asymmetrical cleavage reaction (Kiefer et al., 2001), is detected in many tissues and can affect color trait (Lindqvist et al., 2005). Kong et al. (2017) reported that the frequency of the reported SNPs (SNP A, SNP B, SNP C) of BCO2 was significantly different between yellow- and whiteskinned. Using CRISPR/Cas9 to knock out the EcBCO2 gene can lead to a color change in the hepatopancreas of prawns (Sun et al., 2020). However, there are also studies showing that BCO2 is not related to yellow skin traits. For example, Yang et al. (2012) found that one SNP of BCO2 (chr24: 6273428) was the same among three yellow skin chicken breeds. Xu et al. (2015) reported that the three classic SNPs of BCO2 and the yellow skin traits of Gushi chickens were not statistically correlated. In our experiment, both mRNA expression and association analysis showed that BCO2 and skin yellowness values were not correlated. Kiefer et al. (2001) proposed that when the expression of BCO2 is low in skin tissue, carotenoids remain intact and will be incorporated into keratinocytes. We speculate that BCO2 may determine whether the skin is yellow or white but cannot determine the yellowness value of skin. The skin yellowness of chickens may be a quantitative trait regulated by multiple genes.

Here, we found that the yellowness value of cloaca skin in live chicken is significantly correlated with skin yellowness of chicken after slaughter. However, this result still need further verified. We are planning to use the yellowness value of cloaca skin in live chicken to select chickens and divide them into high and low yellowness groups. The chickens will then be slaughtered and detected for the yellowness value of their skin. If the high yellowness group has significantly higher yellowness value than those in low yellowness group after slaughtered, this method would be implied for application in yellow skin chicken selection. The lighter the skin color, the cheaper the chicken is sold in South China. Chicken breeding companies can weed out chickens with low yellowness value, therefore, resulting in more uniform skin color in the flock.

In addition, considering the low heritability of skin yellowness that our results showed, it would take much time and require much more records to improve this trait in chicken breeding. Phenotypes such as skin yellowness value, carotenoid levels in the blood, health condition, and composition of pigment additives in feed can be recorded and use to guide the breeding selection. Besides, molecular markers can also be used in chicken yellow skin breeding. Even though the three SNPs of *BCO2* were not correlated with chicken skin yellowness in this study, other SNPs may be useful. DEGs found in our results, especially those genes included in the network, can be potential candidates to scan SNP and do the association analysis with chicken skin yellowness.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in online repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/genbank/, GSE144982.

# ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Animal Care Committee of South China Agricultural University (approval number: SCAU#0106; 25 November 2018).

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JW and WL conceived, designed, and wrote the manuscript. JW, ZL, and GC performed the experiments. GC, QL, and ZL participated in the critical revising. QL, QN, XZ, and WL participated in revising and coordination. All authors reviewed and approved the final version.

# FUNDING

This work was supported by the Guangdong Provincial Promotion Project on Preservation and Utilization of Local Breed of Livestock and Poultry (4300-F18260) for sponsorship and support, the Natural Scientific Foundation of China (31972544), Guangdong Special Support Plans of Young Talent with Scientific and Technological Innovation (2019TQ05N470), Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province, China (2019A1515010923), the China Agriculture Research System (CARS-41-G03), Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou, the China (201804020088),and Special Fund Provincial the Development for and Construction of Modern Agricultural Industry.

### REFERENCES

- Amengual, J., Lobo, G. P., Golczak, M., Li, H. N., Klimova, T., Hoppel, C. L., et al. (2011). A mitochondrial enzyme degrades carotenoids and protects against oxidative stress. *FASEB J.* 25, 948–959. doi: 10.1096/fj.10-173906
- Chen, K., Gao, Y., Wang, Z., Wang, Y., and Zhang, X. (2004). Performance Ferms and Measurement for Poultry. Beijing: China Agriculture Press.
- Díaz-Gómez, J., Moreno, J. A., Angulo, E., Sandmann, G., Zhud, C., Ramosa, A. J., et al. (2017). High-carotenoid biofortified maize is an alternative to color additives in poultry feed. *Anim. Feed Sci. Technol.* 231, 38–46. doi: 10.1016/j. anifeedsci.2017.06.007
- Eriksson, J., Larson, G., Gunnarsson, U., Bed'Hom, B., Tixier-Boichard, M., Stromstedt, L., et al. (2008). Identification of the yellow skin gene reveals a hybrid origin of the domestic chicken. *PLoS Genet.* 4:e1000010. doi: 10.1371/ journal.pgen.1000010
- Eroglu, A., and Harrison, E. H. (2013). Carotenoid metabolism in mammals, including man: formation, occurrence, and function of apocarotenoids. J. Lipid Res. 54, 1719–1730. doi: 10.1194/jlr.r039537
- Fletcher, D. L. (1992). Methodology for achieving pigment specifications. *Poult. Sci.* 71, 733–743. doi: 10.3382/ps.0710733
- Galvan, I, Garrido-Fernandez, J., Rios, J., Perez-Galvez, A., Rodriguez-Herrera, B., and Negro, J. J. (2016). Tropical bat as mammalian model for skin carotenoid metabolism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 113, 10932–10937. doi: 10.1073/pnas. 1609724113
- Goodwin, T. W. (1954). Carotenoids: Their Comparative Biochemistry. New York, NY: Chemical Publishing.
- Hamilton, D. G., Whiting, M. J., and Pryke, S. R. (2013). Fiery frills: carotenoidbased coloration predicts contest success in frillneck lizards. *Behav. Ecol.* 5, 1138–1149. doi: 10.1093/beheco/art041
- Harrison, E. H., and Kopec, R. E. (2020). Enzymology of vertebrate carotenoid oxygenases. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Biol. Lipids* 1865:158653. doi: 10.1016/j.bbalip.2020.158653
- Hill, G. E. (1991). Plumage coloration is sexually selected indicator of male quality. *Nature* 350, 337–339. doi: 10.1038/350337a0
- Jacobs, L. C., Wollstein, A., Lao, O., Hofman, A., Klaver, C. C., Uitterlinden, A. G., et al. (2013). Comprehensive candidate gene study highlights UGT1A and BNC2 as new genes determining continuous skin color variation in Europeans. *Hum. Genet.* 132, 147–158. doi: 10.1007/s00439-012-1232-9
- Kiefer, C., Hessel, S., Lampert, J. M., Vogt, K., Lederer, M. O., Breithaupt, D. E., et al. (2001). Identification and characterization of a mammalian enzyme catalyzing the asymmetric oxidative cleavage of provitamin A. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 14110–14116. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m011510200
- Kong, F., Chen, S., and Ran, J. (2017). The identification of SNPs in BCO2 gene for skin color in Chinese indigenous chicken. *Rev. Bras. Ciênc. Avíc.* 19, 393–398. doi: 10.1590/1806-9061-2016-0397
- Lindqvist, A., He, Y. G., and Andersson, S. (2005). Cell type-specific expression of beta-carotene 9',10'-monooxygenase in human tissues. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 53, 1403–1412. doi: 10.1369/jhc.5a6705.2005
- Lopes, R. J., Johnson, J. D., Toomey, M. B., Ferreira, M. S., Araujo, P. M., Melo-Ferreira, J., et al. (2016). Genetic basis for red coloration in birds. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 1427–1434. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2016.03.076
- Madsen, P., and Jensen, J. (2013). A user's Guide to DMU, a Package for Analyzing Multivariate Mixed Models. Ver. 6, Rel 5.2. Available online at: http://dmu. agrsci.dk/DMU/Doc/Current/dmuv6\_guide.5.2.pdf
- Mueller, S., Taddei, L., Albiker, D., Kreuzer, M., Siegrist, M., Messikommer, R. E., et al. (2020). Growth, carcass, and meat quality of 2 dual-purpose chickens and a

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys. 2021.585089/full#supplementary-material

layer hybrid grown for 67 or 84 D compared with slow-growing broilers. J. Appl. Poult. Res. 1, 185–196. doi: 10.1016/j.japr.2019.10.005

- Mundy, N. I., Stapley, J., Bennison, C., Tucker, R., Twyman, H., Kim, K. W., et al. (2016). Red Carotenoid Coloration in the Zebra Finch Is Controlled by a Cytochrome P450 Gene Cluster. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 1435–1440. doi: 10.1016/j. cub.2016.04.047
- Rajput, N., Naeem, M., Ali, S., Zhang, J. F., Zhang, L., and Wang, T. (2013). The effect of dietary supplementation with the natural carotenoids curcumin and lutein on broiler pigmentation and immunity. *Poult. Sci.* 92, 1177–1185. doi: 10.3382/ps.2012-02853
- Sirri, F., Petracci, M., Bianchi, M., and Meluzzi, A. (2010). Survey of skin pigmentation of yellow-skinned broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci.* 89, 1556–1561. doi: 10.3382/ps.2009-00623
- Strychalski, J., Gugolek, A., Antoszkiewicz, Z., Kowalska, D., and Konstantynowicz, M. (2016). Biologically active compounds in selected tissues of white-fat and yellow-fat rabbits and their production performance parameters. *Livest. Sci.* 183, 92–97. doi: 10.1016/j.livsci.2015.11.024
- Sun, Y., Liu, M., Yan, C., Yang, H., Wu, Z., Liu, Y., et al. (2020). CRISPR/Cas9mediated deletion of beta, beta-carotene 9', 10'-oxygenase gene (EcBCO2) from Exopalaemon carinicauda. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* 151, 168–177. doi: 10.1016/j. ijbiomac.2020.02.073
- Toews, D. P. L., Hofmeister, N. R., and Taylor, S. A. (2017). The evolution and genetics of carotenoid processing in animals. *Trends Genet.* 33, 171–182. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2017.01.002
- Toomey, M. B., Lopes, R. J., Araujo, P. M., Johnson, J. D., Gazda, M. A., Afonso, S., et al. (2017). High-density lipoprotein receptor SCARB1 is required for carotenoid coloration in birds. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 114, 5219–5224. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1700751114
- Walsh, N., Dale, J., McGraw, K. J., Pointer, M. A., and Mundy, N. I. (2012). Candidate genes for carotenoid coloration in vertebrates and their expression profiles in the carotenoid-containing plumage and bill of a wild bird. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 279, 58–66. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2011.0765
- Wang, L., Feng, Z., Wang, X., Wang, X., and Zhang, X. (2010). DEGseq: an R package for identifying differentially expressed genes from RNAseq data. *Bioinformatics* 26, 136–138. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/ btp612
- West, C. E., and Castenmiller, J. J. (1998). Quantification of the "SLAMENGHI" factors for carotenoid bioavailability and bioconversion. *Int. J. Vitam. Nutr. Res.* 68, 371–377.
- Xu, J., Gao, X., Li, X., Ye, Q., Nie, Q., and Zhang, X. (2015). Association Analysis between BCDO2 Genovariation and Skin Color or Shank Color in Chicken. *China Poult.* 37. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-6654-3\_40
- Yang, X., Li, X., and Deng, X. (2012). Polymorphism of BCDO2 Gene for the Yellow Shank Color in Chicken. *China Poult*. 34, 7–11.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Wu, Lin, Chen, Luo, Nie, Zhang and Luo. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.