

# Deltamethrin Contact Exposure Mediated Toxicity and Histopathological Aberrations in Tissue Systems of Public Health Importance Cockroach Species Periplaneta americana and Blattella germanica

#### Sunil Dhiman<sup>1</sup>\*, Kavita Yadav<sup>1</sup>, B. N. Acharya<sup>2</sup>, D. P. Nagar<sup>3</sup> and Rama Rao Ghorpade<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Vector Management Division, Defence R&D Establishment, Gwalior, India, <sup>2</sup>Synthetic Chemistry, Defence R&D Establishment, Gwalior, India, <sup>3</sup>Pharma and Toxicology Division, Defence R&D Establishment, Gwalior, India

#### OPEN ACCESS

#### Edited by:

Jose Eduardo Serrão, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Brazil

#### Reviewed by:

Jalal Jalali Sendi, University of Guilan, Iran Muhammad Qasim, Zhejiang University, China

\*Correspondence: Sunil Dhiman sunildhiman81@gmail.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Invertebrate Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physiology

Received: 22 April 2022 Accepted: 07 June 2022 Published: 18 July 2022

#### Citation:

Dhiman S, Yadav K, Acharya BN, Nagar DP and Rao Ghorpade R (2022) Deltamethrin Contact Exposure Mediated Toxicity and Histopathological Aberrations in Tissue Systems of Public Health Importance Cockroach Species Periplaneta americana and Blattella germanica. Front. Physiol. 13:926267. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.926267 Cockroach species Periplaneta americana and Blattella germanica potentially survive in locations close to human activity. Besides spoiling food material, cockroaches also transfer pathogens of different diseases among human beings. Since the insecticides have been used extensively to control cockroaches, information on their insecticide susceptibility and toxicity at the cellular level may be crucial. In the study, deltamethrin toxicity as well as the deltamethrin-mediated cytomorphological changes in the brain, ovary and midgut of the two important cockroach species have been assessed. Different concentrations [0.00025% (0.0025 mg/ml), 0.0025% (0.025 mg/ml), 0.025% (0.25 mg/ml), 0.25% (2.5 mg/ml), 0.5% (5 mg/ml), 1% (10 mg/ml)] of deltamethrin in acetone were used to expose test species in WHO bottle assay. Knockdown was recorded after 5 min interval while delayed mortality was observed after 24 h. Brain, ovary and gut were dissected post 1 h exposure and 24 h holding (for 0.25, 0.5 and 1% concentration), and tissues were processed for microscopic analysis. Deltamethrin exposed cockroaches and dissected tissues were used to estimate deltamethrin using HPLC. At 0.00025% (lowest concentration), the percentage knock-down observed was 66.7% for P. americana and 80% B. germanica respectively ( $R^2 = 0.78$ ; p = 0.0001) in 1 h. KDT<sub>50</sub> value was found to be 8.7 min (95% CI: 7.3-10.2), while KDT<sub>99</sub> was 20.7 min (95% CI: 16.0-35.7) in P. americana at 1% concentration. Whereas, the KDT<sub>50</sub> and KDT<sub>99</sub> values for B. germanica were 7.4 min (95% CI: 5.4-9.1) and 27.4 min (95% CI: 18.2-80.0) at a similar concentration.  $LD_{50}$  and  $LD_{95}$  values (for 60 min standard exposure) were 0.0006% (95% Cl: 0.00-0.001) and 0.034% (95% Cl: 0.013-0.49) respectively for P. americana, while these values were 0.0005 (95% CI: 0.00-0.001) and 0.04 (95% CI: 0.01–0.23) for B. germanica. Exposure to 1% deltamethrin induced a considerable toxic effect in the epithelial cells in the midgut. HPLC estimated 0.21  $\pm$  0.05 mg (95% CI: 0.18-0.25; CoV 23.9%) deltamethrin in P. americana post 1% exposure. Even short term exposure to a low concentration of synthetic pyrethroid deltamethrin displayed immediate knockdown and delayed mortality in both the test species. Considerable histological damage was observed in both the insects at 1% exposure. In India, resistance to deltamethrin may have been reported among different insects due to its extensive use. However, the formulations such as insecticide paints, attractant baits etc. developed using deltamethrin as an active ingredient could be useful in cockroach control operations.

Keywords: deltamethrin, Periplaneta americana, Blattella germanica, knock-down, mortality, toxicity

# **1 INTRODUCTION**

Both *Periplaneta americana* and *Blattella germanica* species of cockroaches are important peridomestic pests in urban communities in the majority of Asian countries. These potentially survive in locations close to humans or human activity. Therefore this arthropod pest is primarily found in residential areas, sewage systems, farm produce markets, grain stores, trains and different commercial establishments. Cockroaches are gregarious and it has been found that different species are able to aggregate at the same location (Ame et al., 2004).

*P. americana* (American cockroach) is generally reddish brown, large and may measure up to 34–53 mm in length. This species is highly mobile and studies conducted have indicated the movement to several hundred meters through sewer systems and channels into the neighboring homes. On the other hand *B. germanica* (German cockroach) is a small brown to black coloured species and may measure about 11–16 mm in length (Weaving et al., 2003). Of the few cockroach species that are domestic pests, it probably is the most widely troublesome of all (Bonnefoy et al., 2008).

Cockroaches have been regarded as major pest species, which are both a nuisance and can cause severe health problems. These not only spoil the food materials, but also transfer pathogens of different diseases and may cause allergic reactions, and in some cases psychological distress too (Brenner et al., 1995). Although cockroach's role in direct pathogen transmission has not been much established, the studies have shown that many pathogenic organisms such as poliomyelitis viruses, protozoa, bacteria, fungi, and helminths have been associated with the cockroaches (Fathpour et al., 2003; Tatfeng et al., 2005; Saichua et al., 2008). These have been reported as vectors of nosocomial infections, while both these species have been found to carry antibiotic resistance bacteria (Pai et al., 2004; Pai et al., 2005a). B. germanica regularly inhabits the food preparation areas during the night thus such areas may become contaminated. A study by Tachbele et al. (2006) has identified a species of Salmonella, Shigella flexneri, Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, and Bacillus cereus from B. germanica in Ethiopia. These studies indicate that cockroach species may act as possible reservoirs and vectors of many pathogens of human importance. In addition to this, both these species of cockroaches cause allergies to human beings (Sheehan et al., 2016). The major allergens, Bla g1, Bla g2, and Per a1, have been found in the saliva, fecal material, secretions,

cast skins, and debris. Studies have also demonstrated the relationship between cockroach exposure and poor asthma outcomes among those who are exposed to high levels of cockroach allergens (Do et al., 2016). Therefore the households can have allergic reactions due to exposure to cockroach body parts and feces, which in most cases are asthmatic, but can be life threatening in some cases.

The effective control of cockroaches can reduce the diseases associated with allergen spread and associated mortality and morbidity among humans (Rabito et al., 2017). The control of cockroaches mostly relies on using insecticides, however it is crucial to delineate the effectiveness of these insecticides against different cockroach species in an area of interest. The extensive use of different insecticide groups in the control of virtually all harmful arthropod pests has raised serious concerns about their efficacy at a relatively low and sustainable concentration. Like many arthropod vectors, cockroaches have shown resistance to different insecticides (Pai et al., 2005b; Gondhalekar and Scharf, 2012; Zhu et al., 2016). This may be because cockroaches live in relatively close and large populations, thus facilitating rapid selection for different insecticides they are exposed. However, another study (Syed et al., 2014) has shown that many synthetic pyrethroids were effective against American cockroaches. The study further suggested that insecticide efficacy differs among the locations. Therefore estimation of insecticide susceptibility of cockroach species to prominently used insecticides may guide substantial use of such insecticides for the control in an area of interest.

The present study was undertaken with the objective to understand the effectiveness of synthetic pyrethroid deltamethrin against two prominent cockroach species *P. americana* and *B. germanica* under laboratory conditions. The study presents an effort to generate deltamethrin sensitivity data and assess whether deltamethrin as a single active ingredient could be used to effectively control of cockroaches.

# **2 MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### 2.1 Test Organism

The culture of both the cockroach species were maintained in the insectary of Defence Research and Development Establishment, Gwalior. However for the present study, the cockroach species were collected from the kitchens, basements, cupboards and related areas in Gwalior, Madhya Pradesh (India) (Latitude: 26°13′5.8332″N and longitude: 78°10′58.1916″E) and the colonies



FIGURE 1 | Knock-down rate for *P. americana* (PA) and *B. germanica* (BG) for different concentrations of deltamethrin. (A) 0.00025%, (B) 0.0025%, (C) 0.025%, (D) 0.025%, (D) 0.025%, (E) 0.5%, and (F) 1%.

TABLE 1	Deltamethrin	exposure	knock-down	values fo	or P.	americana	and B.	germanica.

•		•			
Species	Concentration (%)	KDT <sub>50</sub> (95% CI)	KDT <sub>99</sub> (95% CI)	p (χ²)	Slope (+/-)
P. americana	0.00025	57.7 (51.4–69.4)	219.2 (147.2–459.7)	0.11 (13.2)	4.0 (+/-0.6)
	0.0025	40.4 (37.4–43.8)	156.2 (120.9–229.8)	0.96 (2.5)	3.9 (+/-0.4)
	0.025	39.0 (35.6–42.7)	138.6 (104.5–225.4)	0.51 (6.3)	4.2 (+/-0.6)
	0.25	23.7 (20.8–26.5)	131.5 (100.2–195.8)	0.37 (10.9)	3.1 (+/-0.3)
	0.5	11.5 (9.2–13.9)	53.3 (35.6–122.8)	0.52 (2.3)	3.5 (+/-0.7)
	1	8.7 (7.3–10.2)	20.7 (16.0–35.7)	0.44 (0.6)	6.2 (+/-1.3)
B. germanica	0.00025	39.8 (36.0-44.4)	176.4 (126.3–308.5)	0.93 (3.1)	3.6 (+/-0.5)
	0.0025	26.5 (23.5–29.5)	135.0 (102.1–206.5)	0.99 (1.8)	3.3 (+/-0.4)
	0.025	16.0 (14.0–18.0)	116.7 (92.0–160.5)	0.68 (7.5)	2.7 (+/-0.2)
	0.25	13.7 (10.5–15.7)	128.6 (87.8–235.5)	0.98 (2.0)	2.4 (+/-0.3)
	0.5	8.9 (5.9–9.6)	29.6 (17.8–167.0)	0.76 (0.09)	3.3 (+/-0.9)
	1	7.4 (5.4–9.1)	27.4 (18.2–80.0)	0.93 (0.00)	4.1 (+/-0.9)

KDT, knockdown time in minutes; CI, confidence interval; p significant if <0.05.

were maintained in 3 L capacity glass jar fitted with specially designed metal steps inside the jar for movement at  $25 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C temperature,  $60 \pm 5\%$  relative humidity and exposed to a photoperiod of 12:12 (L:D) (Syed et al., 2014). The insects were provided water, wheat flour and powdered dog biscuits *ad libitum*. Adult cockroaches of 5–10 days old (almost equal size) from the reared generations were used for the experiments (WHO, 1970; Snoody and Appel, 2014).

#### 2.2 Knockdown and Mortality Bioassays

Technical grade deltamethrin (98% purity) obtained from M/S Tagros Chemical India, Chennai was used for the experimental exposure. The insecticide in different concentrations [0.00025% (0.0025 mg/ml), 0.0025% (0.025 mg/ml), 0.025% (0.25 mg/ml), 0.25% (2.5 mg/ml), 0.5% (5 mg/ml), 1% (10 mg/ml)] were made in acetone. Two ml of concentration was applied uniformly on the surface of the glass bottle jar (500 ml capacity; area 487.8 cm<sup>2</sup>) and left to dry for 1 h in a fume hood. For each experiment five adult

cockroaches were exposed for 1 h, and at least 30 cockroaches were taken for each exposure. In the control treatment, only acetone was used. Knockdown was observed after 5 min interval. After the exposure, the cockroaches were transferred to holding plates and provided with ample food and water. Mortality was recorded after 24, 48, and 72 h post exposure. Lethal doses (LD) were determined by exposing the cockroaches at different concentrations for a given time; whereas lethal time (LT) was estimated by exposing the cockroaches at a given concentration for different periods. Petroleum jelly mixed with mineral oil was a thin layer coated at the open end of the jar to prevent the escape of insects during experiments. The experiments were performed as described previously (WHO, 1970; Scharf et al., 1995; Snoody and Appel, 2014).

# 2.3 Histological Analysis

For histopathological evaluation, cockroach species P. *americana* was exposed to 0.25% (group I; N = 5), 0.5%

	Exposure time (min)	LD <sub>50</sub> (95%Cl)	LD <sub>95</sub> (95%Cl)	Slope (+/-)	χ² (p)	R
P. americana	10	1.06 (0.44–7.07)	117.15 (13.18–62,099.0)	0.80 (0.20)	0.80 (0.2)	0.94
	20	0.29 (0.15–0.61)	11.29 (3.27–151.82)	1.03 (0.20)	2.50 (0.5)	0.97
	30	0.07 (ND)	3.8 (ND)	0.95 (0.22)	6.81 (0.03)	0.92
	40	0.06 (0.03–0.17)	3.44 (0.72–97.88)	0.92 (0.18)	1.93 (0.4)	0.98
	50	0.002 (0.00-0.005)	0.38 (0.088-7.67)	0.71 (0.14)	0.17 (0.9)	1
	60	0.0006 (0.00-0.001)	0.034 (0.013-0.49)	0.92 (0.20)	1.79 (0.2)	0.97
B. germanica	10	0.35 (0.16-1.60)	100.6 (9.2–309,939.60)	0.67 (0.19)	3.10 (0.2)	0.89
	20	0.07 (0.04-0.13)	4.18 (1.49–23.36)	0.92 (0.13)	7.76 (0.1)	0.96
	30	0.02 (0.01-0.03)	2.97 (0.79-31.30)	0.72 (0.11)	3.94 (0.3)	0.97
	40	0.01 (0.003-0.01)	2.1 (0.53-24.43)	0.66 (0.10)	1.51 (0.7)	0.98
	50	0.002 (0.00-0.005)	0.41 (0.13-2.68)	0.72 (0.11)	3.97 (0.3)	0.99
	60	0.0005 (0.00-0.001)	0.04 (0.01-0.23)	0.89 (0.17)	1.91 (0.2)	0.97

TABLE 2   Lethal dose (LD) values of P. americana and B. germanica for deltamethrin exposure to different time period	TABLE 2	Lethal dose (	LD) values of P.	americana and B.	<i>germanica</i> for	deltamethrin	exposure to	different time periods
---	---------	---------------	------------------	------------------	----------------------	--------------	-------------	------------------------

(group II; N = 5), and 1% (group III; N = 5) of deltamethrin for 1 h. Whereas the insects exposed to acetone (group IV; N = 5) were taken as control. Brain, ovary and gut were dissected in saline solution (0.13 M NaCl; 0.01 M Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>; 0.02 M KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>; pH 7.2) post 24 h of exposure and fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin solution for another 24 h at room temperature. After fixation and overnight washing in distilled water, the extracted tissues were processed for dehydration in graded series of alcohol and toluene in an auto-tissue processor (Leica TP-1020, Germany). Processed tissues were embedded in paraffin wax (Leica, Germany). Multiple sections of 2.5-3 µm thickness from each block were cut on a rotatory microtome (Thermo Scientific, Microm, United States), mounted on pre-coated glass slide and air dried overnight. The sections were deparaffinised and stained with haematoxylin and eosin (McMannus and Mowry, 1965) in auto-stainer (Leica, Germany) and cover slipped by auto cover slipper (Leica, Germany). After drying, sections were photographed under a light microscope (Leica DMLB, Germany) using DM-500 camera (Leica, Germany).

### 2.4 HPLC Estimation of Deltamethrin

P. americana adults exposed to 1% deltamethrin were weighted and taken (N = 10) for HPLC quantification of deltamethrin on cockroach surface. Whereas, the brain, gut and ovary tissues dissected from the cockroaches exposed to 0.25, 0.5, and 1% deltamethrin were used for estimation. For quantification from the whole cockroach, each sample was taken into 5 ml ice-cold acetonitrile (HPLC grade), homogenized for 10 min and sonicated for 15 min. Similarly, internal tissues were homogenized in 500 µl acetonitrile, sonicated for 15 min and filtered before using for HPLC. The samples were filtered through  $0.22 \mu$  PVDF membrane filter and stored at  $-4^{\circ}$ C for use in HPLC. Active compound deltamethrin was separated on a C18 reversephase column (4.6  $\times$  250 mm, particle size 5  $\mu$ m; Waters XTerra<sup>™</sup>) maintained at room temperature (25°C). Methanol and water (80:20 v/v) were used as mobile phase with a flow rate of 1 ml/min using a binary pump (Waters, 1225). Detection was performed using a dual absorbance detector (Waters, 2487) at 280 nm. Prepared samples were injected through Rheodyne injector (injection volume 20 µl). The HPLC instrument used **TABLE 3** Mortality effect on *P. americana* and *B. germanica* species post 24, 48, and 72 h after 1 h deltamethrin exposure.

Species	Time (h)	LD <sub>50</sub> (95% CI)	LD <sub>99</sub> (95% CI)	p (χ²)
PA	24	0.0006 (0.0002-0.0013)	0.21 (0.44–8.93)	0.2 (1.79)
	48	0.0002 (0.000-0.0004)	0.04 (0.01–1.94)	0.3 (0.99)
	72	0.00 (ND)	0.01 (ND)	0.9 (0.02)
BG	24	0.0005 (0.0002-0.0011)	0.21 (0.54-3.48)	0.2 (1.91)
	48	0.0003 (0.0001-0.0006)	0.04 (0.01-0.52)	0.7 (0.12)
	72	0.00 (ND)	0.02 (ND)	0.3 (1.21)

 $LD_{50/99}$ , lethal dose 50%/99%; h, hours; Cl, confidence interval; p significant if <0.05; ND, not determined as value of g > 0.4. PA, P. americana; BG, B. germanica.

was controlled by Empower computer programme (Europa Science, Ltd., Cambridge, UK) for data collection and overall instrument control during the experiments.

# 2.5 Statistical Analysis

Knockdown at different time intervals was observed and presented in percent knockdown (% KD), whereas mortality was scored after 24 h of exposure and presented as corrected mortality. Spearman correlation was performed to assess the knockdown for both the species at a different interval, while using that the data did not follow Gaussians distribution. Log dose probit method has been used to estimate the KD, LD, and LT values using LdP Software (Ihabsoft, Turkey). Results were considered significant when p < 0.05 at 95% confidence intervals.

# **3 RESULTS**

# 3.1 Knock-Down Bioassays

The percent knock-down achieved at different time intervals in cockroach species *P. americana* and *B. germanica* after 1 h of exposure to different concentrations of deltamethrin has been depicted in **Figure 1**, whereas the overall knock-down effect observed has been presented in **Table 1**. At the lowest concentration of 0.00025%, the percentage knock-down observed was 66.7% for *P. americana* and 80% *B. germanica* respectively ( $R^2 = 0.78$ ; p = 0.0001) in 1 h. On the other hand after 20 min of the exposure at 1% deltamethrin concentration, both

TABLE 4   Lethal tir	me (LT) values of <i>P. americana</i> and <i>B</i>	<i>B. germanica</i> for different concentration of deltamethrin exposure.
----------------------	--	---

	Exposure concentration (%)	LT <sub>50</sub> (95% CI)	LT <sub>99</sub> (95% CI)	Slope (+/-)	χ² (p)	R
P. americana	0.00025	72.5 (65.0–94.9)	199.6 (131.0–658.5)	5.3 (1.3)	2.2 (0.3)	0.9
	0.0025	53.6 (ND)	236.6 (ND)	3.6 (0.8)	17.3 (0.001)	0.81
	0.025	44.1 (ND)	205.1 (ND)	3.5 (0.6)	24.7 (0.0001)	0.8
	0.25	21.9 (16.0-27.7)	168.6 (92.6–733.5)	2.6 (0.6)	4.0 (0.3)	0.9
	0.5	13.3 (9.6–16.3)	47.7 (34.4–130.0)	4.2 (1.0)	3.2 (0.07)	0.91
B. germanica	0.00025	75.9 (59.6–152.6)	380.8 (175.9-4,891.6)	3.3 (0.9)	1.5 (0.7)	0.97
	0.0025	46.6 (40.2-57.8)	231.0 (135.6-824.3)	3.4 (0.7)	0.1 (0.99)	1
	0.025	25.7 (ND)	181.4 (ND)	2.7 (0.3)	18.7 (0.0009)	0.89
	0.25	15.1 (10.7-18.8)	119.0 (74.6–310.0)	2.6 (0.5)	0.6 (0.9)	0.99
	0.5	7.0 (ND)	54.7 (ND)	2.6 (1.0)	0.05 (0.8)	1

 $LT_{50/99}$ , lethal time 50/99%; CI, confidence interval; p significant when <0.05. ND, not determined as value of g > 0.4.



the species exhibited 100% knockdown (**Figure 1**). The KDT<sub>50</sub> value was 8.7 min (95% CI: 7.3–10.2) whereas KDT<sub>99</sub> was 20.7 min (95% CI: 16.0–35.7) in *P. americana* at 1% concentration. Nevertheless both KDT<sub>50</sub> and KDT<sub>99</sub> values increased at 0.00025% and recorded to be 57.7 min (95% CI: 51.4–69.4) and 219.2 min (95% CI: 147.2–459.7) respectively. On the other hand for *B. germanica*, the KDT<sub>50</sub> and KDT<sub>99</sub> values were 7.4 min (95% CI: 5.4–9.1) and 27.4 min (95% CI: 18.2–80.0) at 1% concentration, while found to be 39.8 min (95% CI: 36.0–44.4) and 176.4 min (95% CI: 126.3–308.5) at 0.00025%, respectively (**Table 1**). The probit analysis used for determining the knock-down percent at different time intervals for all the treatments displayed normal distribution and did not deviate from the linearity ( $p \le 0.11$ ;  $\chi^2 \ge 13.2$ ).

# **3.2 Time-Concentration Mortality**

The exposure time mortality data has been shown in **Table 2**. It was found that post 10 min exposure of deltamethrin and 24 h holding the  $LD_{50}$  and  $LD_{95}$  values were 1.06% (95% CI: 0.44–7.07) and 117.15% (95% CI: 13.18–62,099.0), respectively, while for 60 min standard exposure these values were found to be 0.0006% (95% CI: 0.00–0.001) and 0.034% (95% CI: 0.013–0.49) respectively for *P. americana* species. Furthermore  $LD_{50}$  and  $LD_{95}$  values for *B. germanica* species were found to be 0.35% (0.16–1.60) and 100.6 (9.2–3,09,939.6) after 10 min exposure while 0.0005 (95% CI: 0.00–0.001) and 0.04 (95% CI: 0.01–0.23) after 60 min exposure, respectively. Furthermore the mortality values obtained 48 and 72 h holding post 1 h exposure have been displayed in **Table 3**. It was observed that the  $LD_{99}$  values were



0.01% (p = 0.88) and 0.02% (p = 0.27), respectively for *P. americana* and *B. germanica* species 72 h holding post 1 h of exposure (**Table 3**). Probit model used to determine the lethal time values by using exposure for different time periods at a concentration showed that the LT<sub>50</sub> and LT<sub>99</sub> values were 72.5 and 199.6 min, respectively for *P. americana* at the lowest concentration used (**Table 4**). Similarly, these values were 75.9 and 380.8 min respectively for *B. germanica* at a similar concentration. It was found that the corrected mortality after 24, 48, and 72 h holding time post 1 h exposure was 100% each in 0.25% deltamethrin in both the species, whereas it varied from 40 to 70% in *P. americana* and 43%–70% in *B. germanica* for 0.00025% deltamethrin (**Supplementary File 1**).

# **3.3 Histological Analysis**

#### 3.3.1 Brain

The brain of cockroach species *P. americana* consisted of well—defined regions with condensed neuropiles in the central region while neural cell bodies in the periphery. The histological examination of brain tissue from control and treatment did not show any considerable differences and was normal in histology with intact neurons. There was no abnormality in the treated samples compared to the control (**Figures 2A–D**).

#### 3.3.2 Ovary

The histopathological examination of the ovary of both control as well as deltamethrin exposed (0.25, 0.5, and 1%) cockroach species *P*. *americana* showed normal ovarian follicles with no deteriorating

effects. The developing ovarioles exhibited normal development and yolk construction. In general, the yolk material in oocytes was homogenous and the yolk bodies were surrounded by clear rings, with no cracks and fissures (**Figures 3A–D**). However non-significant distortion in the yolk was observed in 1% treatment (**Figure 3D**).

#### 3.3.3 Midgut

The midgut of control and 0.25 and 0.5% deltamethrin treated cockroaches displayed normal epithelium cells having a striated border with well-defined nucleus. The epithelium cells rest upon a basement membrane followed by a normal inner layer of circular muscles and a regular outer layer of longitudinal muscles. The midgut contained a normal peritrophic membrane within its central lumen and is present as a thin transparent membrane. The histology of the midgut of group I and II insects was normal and did not show a considerable difference from the control (Figures 4A-C). After treatment with 1% of deltamethrin (group III) disorganization and disintegration of epithelial cells were observed in the midgut of the cockroach (Figure 4D). Degenerated cytoplasm with distortion in circular and longitudinal muscle, the disappearance of cell boundaries of the epithelial cells and vacuolization were some common histopathological changes seen in group III as compared to control.

# 3.4 Deltamethrin Quantification

HPLC method could estimate the deltamethrin on the exposed body of *P. americana* during the experiments. It was found that the average deltamethrin was  $0.21 \pm 0.05$  mg/cockroach (95% CI:



0.18–0.25; CoV 23.9%) (**Supplementary File 2** shows median weight of exposed cockroaches and deltamethrin extracted using HPLC). The HPLC chromatograms of insecticide mixture (including deltamethrin) were used as standard and deltamethrin extracted from the *P. americana* surface has been shown in **Figures 5A,B**. HPLC could not detect deltamethrin in the brain, gut and ovary tissue of the exposed cockroaches.

# **4 DISCUSSION**

Both *P. americana* as well as *B. germanica* are extremely common and among the most adapted detrimental pests that survive almost any environment around humans (Bonnefoy et al., 2008; Fakoorziba et al., 2010). Besides being vectors of commonly occurring human pathogenic bacteria, cockroaches have been found to host many antibiotic-resistant bacteria in their digestive system (Fardisi et al., 2019). Control of cockroaches may be difficult due to several reasons, including the development of resistance to repeatedly used insecticides, nevertheless, resistance impact can be minimized through pre-assessment of efficacy in addition to the rotational use of different insecticides.

The present research has been focused on evaluating deltamethrin mediated toxicity (including knock-down, delayed mortality and tissue level degeneration in critical internal organs) through time bound contact exposure to understand the effectiveness of deltamethrin as an active ingredient (AI) in controlling cockroaches. Both the species showed high knock-down sensitivity to the insecticide as the knock-down was found ranging from 66.7% (at 0.00025%) to 100% (at 1%) during the short term continuous exposure of 1 h. The results have suggested the concentration and time dependent knock-down and 24 h delayed mortality in both the tested cockroach species. Therefore, the LD values were found to be comparatively higher when exposure was given for a lower time period. Both the species displayed about 60% survivability for 24 h holding while about 30% survivability for 72 h holding at the lowest concentration. On the other hand 100% reduction in tested species was recorded at the concentration above 0.25%. This shows that probably a sufficient amount of insecticide could not be absorbed through the cuticle at a lower concentration.

A variety of insecticides has been evaluated against cockroaches, and many of them have been found effective at low concentrations. A study conducted in Iran has shown that cockroach strains which were not much exposed to synthetic pyrethroids displayed >80% mortality as compared to those which were exposed due to irregular use of insecticides, mainly pyrethroids (Shahi et al., 2008). Synthetic pyrethroids have been shown to reduce the population of German cockroaches by >80% in India by the first week of treatment (Agrawal et al., 2005). The toxic effects are inherent capability of an insecticide and largely vary among different species of insects and even similar but geographically isolated species for various reasons (Dhiman et al., 2013; Yadav et al., 2015). Different methods have been used to assess the toxicity of insecticides, however topical application remains the most sensitive method to ascertain the effectiveness (Holbrook et al., 2003). Many studies have demonstrated moderate to high resistance in cockroach species to different insecticides. Chai and Lee (Chai and Lee, 2010) have reported heavy resistance for deltamethrin and cypermethrin



extracted from whole cockroach; deltamethrin (14.997 min).

against both *P. americana* and *B. germanica* in Singapore. In another study, it was found that there was only 20% reduction in number when cockroaches were exposed to different pyrethroids (Fardisi et al., 2017). The resistance to insecticides in cockroaches develops faster probably because these inhabit relatively closely to large populations unlike mosquitoes and bugs, hence experiencing high selection pressure. In the present study, both the tested species were sensitive to deltamethrin and recorded >96% mortality in 0.025% deltamethrin suggesting that the deltamethrin resistance levels are extremely low in the test populations. Fardisi et al. (2019) have reported that against cockroaches an insecticide can effectively reduce the populations in areas with low starting resistance due to limited or no historical exposure of insecticides. Furthermore the study also suggested that the insecticides may exert a repellency effect if they fail to produce mortality due to increased resistance levels.

Present results suggested that short term (24 h) holding post 1 h exposure of 0.25 and 0.5% deltamethrin did not cause considerable degeneration in the brain and ovary tissue. The brain showed normal morphology with distinct neuron cell bodies. It has been well documented that synthetic pyrethroids normally damage the

nerve cells (Soderlund, 2012; Gutiérrez et al., 2016), but no such damage was found in the present study. Furthermore the ovary also displayed normal panostic architecture with distinct oocytes and ovarian follicles without any fissure. Insecticide indoxacarb has been found to cause malformation in the oocytes displaying abnormal yolk and vacuolated follicular epithelium (Razik and El- Raheem, 2019). However, similar to the present results, pyrethroid lambda-cyhalothrin exposure did not significantly alter the ovarian structure (Razik and El-Raheem, 2019). Nevertheless, exposure to 1% deltamethrin caused degeneration in gut epithelium affecting overall cytomorphology. This suggested that the survival deficits observed might have been resulted from a considerable disturbance in the physiological processes such as cellular metabolism and signal transmission in addition to the tissue damage. The sections did not show cytoplasmic granulation indicating that short time exposure was insufficient to allow deltamethrin accumulation or its by-products in the tissue system. However, it has been suggested that 24 h continuous exposure to deltamethrin caused its bio-accumulation in the

midgut cytoplasm of C. radiatus nymph in the form of basophilic granules (Gutiérrez et al., 2016). The vacuolization in the midgut could be the initiation of deltamethrin mediated degeneration process. In an earlier study it was observed that cytoplasmic vacuolization was associated with deltamethrinmediated initial changes, which resulted in autophagy and apoptosis (Gutiérrez et al., 2016). The toxic effect of deltamethrin may not necessarily be due to direct toxicity (Gondhalekar et al., 2013; Acharya et al., 2021) but deltamethrin exposure may result in excito-repellency (Dhiman et al., 2021), homeostasis imbalance in the midgut thereby reducing the ability to digest food for nutrients (Huang et al., 2015), dysregulation of the breathing activities (Unkiewicz-Winiarczyk and Gromysz -K, 2012) and also limiting in the production of signaling molecules that regulate its own physiology (Caccia et al., 2019). Deltamethrin was detected on the body surface of cockroach but not found in brain, ovary and gut tissue using HPLC. This suggests that deltamethrin up-taken through the oral or cuticular route in the used concentrations was insufficient enough to reach and accumulate in the internal tissues.

Resistance to insecticides continues to exacerbate the impact of cockroaches on public health and overall hygiene. It is obvious that resistance to one or another class of insecticides is ubiquitous among the cockroach populations due to use of different insecticides based products. Still, it may not be logical to simply guess the level of resistance at any place. Therefore efficacy assessment of an insecticide, because of its ability to identify suitable insecticide, is vital for choosing an appropriate insecticide for control success. Deltamethrin, at present, is among the most used insecticides in different intervention programmes and was able to provide efficacy against two cockroach species in the present study.

### **5 CONCLUSION**

A low concentration of deltamethrin was effective against two important field collected laboratory reared cockroach species.

# REFERENCES

- Acharya, B. N., Ahirwar, R., Dhiman, S., Yadav, K., Pandey, P., and Sukumaran, D. (2021). Deltamethrin Microencapsulation in Emulsion Paint Binder and its Long-Term Efficacy against Dengue Vector Aedes aegypti. Front. Public Health 9, 686122. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2021.686122
- Agrawal, V. K., Tilak, R., and Gupta, K. K. (2005). Efficacy of Synthetic Pyrethroid and Propoxur Aerosol in the Control of German Cockroaches (Dictyoptera: Blatellidae) in Cookhouses. J. Vector Borne Dis. 42, 117–121.
- Ame, J. M., Rivault, C., and Deneubourg, J. L. (2004). Cockroach Aggregation Based on Strain Odour Recognition. *Anim. Behav.* 68 (4), 793–801. doi:10.1016/ j.anbehav.2004.01.009
- Bonnefoy, X., Kampen, H., and Sweeney, K.. Public health significance of urban pests. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization. (2008) 54–84. ISBN 978-92-890-7188-8.
- Brenner, R. J. (1995). "Economics and Medical Importance of German Cockroaches," in Understanding and Controlling the German Cockroach. Editors M. K. Rust, J. M. Owens, and D. A. Reierson (New York, USA: Oxford University Press), 77–92.

Even short term exposure displayed immediate knock—down, delayed mortality and considerable histological damage in the gut of tested species. The resistance to deltamethrin may be widespread among various public health importance insects due to its use in various "over the counter" available products, however the suitable formulations such as insecticide paints, attractant baits etc. made using deltamethrin as an active ingredient could be useful in the control operations.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Materials**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

SD: Developed the study idea, performed laboratory experiments, and prepared the manuscript. KY: Involved in dissection, culture maintenance, performed the experiments. BA: Involved in HPLC estimation and drafted the manuscript. RG: HPLC experiments. DN: Histological assessment and manuscript editing. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Authors are thankful to Director, Defence Research Development Establishment, Gwalior, for supporting the work. The help of technical staff during the experiments is acknowledged.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2022.926267/full#supplementary-material

- Caccia, S., Casartelli, M., and Tettamanti, G. (2019). The Amazing Complexity of Insect Midgut Cells: Types, Peculiarities, and Functions. *Cell. Tiss. Res.* 377, 505–525. doi:10.1007/s00441-019-03076-w
- Chai, R. Y., and Lee, C. Y. (2010). Insecticide Resistance Profiles and Synergism in Field Populations of the German Cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae) from Singapore. J. Econ. Entomol. 103 (2), 460–471. doi:10.1603/ec09284
- Dhiman, S., Rabha, B., Talukdar, P. K., Das, N. G., Yadav, K., Baruah, I., et al. (2013). DDT & Deltamethrin Resistance Status of Known Japanese Encephalitis Vectors in Assam, India. *Indian J. Med. Res.* 138, 988–994.
- Dhiman, S., Yadav, K., Acharya, B. N., Ahirwar, R. K., and Sukumaran, D. (2021). Behavioural Response of Mosquito Vectors Aedes aegypti, Anopheles stephensi and Culex quinquefasciatus to Synthetic Pyrethroid and Organophosphorus-Based Slow-Release Insecticidal Paint. Parasites Vectors 14, 259. doi:10.1186/ s13071-021-04746-x
- Do, D. C., Zhao, Y., and Gao, P. (2016). Cockroach Allergen Exposure and Risk of Asthma. Allergy 71 (4), 463–474. doi:10.1111/all.12827
- Fakoorziba, M. R., Eghbal, F., Hassanzadeh, J., and Moemenbellah-Fard, M. D. (2010). Cockroaches (*Periplaneta americana and Blattella germanica*) as Potential Vectors of the Pathogenic Bacteria Found in Nosocomial

Infections. Ann. Trop. Med. Parasitol. 104, 521–528. doi:10.1179/ 136485910x12786389891326

- Fardisi, M., Gondhalekar, A. D., Ashbrook, A. R., and Scharf, M. E. (2019). Rapid Evolutionary Responses to Insecticide Resistance Management Interventions by the German Cockroach (*Blattella germanica* L.) Sci. Rep. 9, 8292. doi:10. 1038/s41598-019-44296-y
- Fardisi, M., Gondhalekar, A. D., and Scharf, M. E. (2017). Development of Diagnostic Insecticide Concentrations and Assessment of Insecticide Susceptibility in German Cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae) Field Strains Collected From Public Housing. J. Econ. Entomol. 110, 1210–1217. doi:10.1093/ jee/tox076
- Fathpour, H., Emtiazi, G., and Ghasemi, E. (2003). Cockroaches as Reservoirs and Vectors of Drug Resistant Salmonella Spp. Iran. Biomed. J. 7 (1), 35–38.
- Gondhalekar, A. D., and Scharf, M. E. (2012). Mechanisms Underlying Fipronil Resistance in a Multiresistant Field Strain of the German Cockroach (Blattodea: Blattellidae). J. Med. Entomol. 49, 122–131. doi:10.1603/me11106
- Gondhalekar, A. D., Scherer, C. W., Saran, R. K., and Scharf, M. E. (2013). Implementation of an Indoxacarb Susceptibility Monitoring Program Using Field-Collected German Cockroach Isolates from the United States. J. Econ. Entom. 106 (2), 945–953. doi:10.1603/ec12384
- Gutiérrez, Y., Santos, H. P., Serrão, J. E., and Oliveira, E. E. (2016). Deltamethrin-Mediated Toxicity and Cytomorphological Changes in the Midgut and Nervous System of the Mayfly *Callibaetis Radiatus*. *PLoS One* 11 (3), e0152383. doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0152383
- Holbrook, G. L., Roebuck, J., Moore, C. B., Waldvogel, M. G., and Schal, C. (2003). Origin and Extent of Resistance to Fipronil in the German Cockroach, *Blattella germanica* (L.) (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 96 (5), 1548–1558. doi:10.1093/jee/96.5.1548
- Huang, J. H., Jing, X., and Douglas, A. E. (2015). The Multi-Tasking Gut Epithelium of Insects. *Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 67, 15–20. doi:10.1016/j. ibmb.2015.05.004
- McMannus, J. F. A., and Mowry, R. W. (1965). "General Methods for Study of the Cell and its Structure," in *Staining Methods: Histologic and Histochemical* (New York: Harper), 73–90.
- Pai, H. H., Chen, W. C., and Peng, C. F. (2004). Cockroaches as Potential Vectors of Nosocomial Infections. *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.* 25 (11), 979–984. doi:10.1086/502330
- Pai, H. H., Chen, W. C., and Peng, C. F. (2005). Isolation of Bacteria with Antibiotic Resistance from Household Cockroaches (*Periplaneta americana* and *Blattella* germanica). Acta Trop. 93 (3), 259–265. doi:10.1016/j.actatropica.2004.11.006
- Pai, H. H., Wu, S. C., and Hsu, E. L. (2005). Insecticide Resistance in German Cockroaches (*Blattella germanica*) from Hospitals and Households in Taiwan. *Int. J. Environ. Health Res.* 15 (1), 33–40. doi:10.1080/09603120400018816
- Rabito, F. A., Carlson, J. C., He, H., Werthmann, D., and Schal, C. (2017). A Single Intervention for Cockroach Control Reduces Cockroach Exposure and Asthma Morbidity in Children. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 140, 565–570. doi:10.1016/j. jaci.2016.10.019
- Razik, M. A. R. A. M. A., and El-Raheem, A. M. A. (2019). Biochemical and Histological Effects of Lambdacyhalothrin, Emamectin Benzoate and Indoxacarb on German Cockroach Blatella Germanics L. Am. J. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 9, 7–16.
- Saichua, P., Pinmai, K., Somrithipol, S., and Tor-Udom, S. (2008). Isolation of Medically Important Fungi from Cockroaches Trapped at Thammasat Chalermprakiat Hospital. *TMJ* 8 (3), 345–351.
- Scharf, M. E., Bennett, G. W., Reid, B. L., and Qui, C. (1995). Comparisons of Three Insecticide Resistance Detection Methods for the German Cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). *J. Econ. Entomol.* 88 (3), 536–542. doi:10.1093/ jee/88.3.536

- Shahi, M., Hanafi-Bojd, A. A., and Vatandoost, H. (2008). Evaluation of Five Local Formulated Insecticides against German Cockroach (*Blattella germanica* L.) in Southern Iran. *Iran. J. Arthropod Borne Dis.* 2 (1), 21–27.
- Sheehan, W. J., Phipatanakul, W., and Phipatanakul, W. (2016). Indoor Allergen Exposure and Asthma Outcomes. *Curr. Opin. Pediatr.* 28 (6), 772–777. doi:10. 1097/MOP.00000000000421
- Snoody, E. T., and Appel, A. G. (2014). Field and Laboratory Efficacy of Three Insecticides for Population Management of the Asian Cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). J. Econ. Entomol. 107 (1), 326–332.
- Soderlund, D. M. (2012). Molecular Mechanisms of Pyrethroid Insecticide Neurotoxicity: Recent Advances. Arch. Toxicol. 86, 165–181. doi:10.1007/ s00204-011-0726-x
- Syed, R., Manzoor, F., Adalat, R., Abdul-Sattar, A., and Syed, A. (2014). Laboratory Evaluation of Toxicity of Insecticide Formulations from Different Classes against American Cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattdiae). J. Arthropod Borne Dis. 8 (1), 21–34.
- Tachbele, E., Erku, W., Gebre-Michael, T., and Ashenafi, M. (2006). Cockroachassociated Food-Borne Bacterial Pathogens from Some Hospitals and Restaurants in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: Distribution and Antibiograms. J. Rural. Trop. Pub Health 5, 34–41.
- Tatfeng, Y. M., Usuanlele, M. U., Orukpe, A., Digban, A. K., Okodua, M., Oviasogie, F., et al. (2005). Mechanical Transmission of Pathogenic Organisms: the Role of Cockroaches. J. Vector Borne Dis. 42 (4), 129–134.
- Unkiewicz-Winiarczyk, A., and Gromysz-K, K. (2012). Effect Of Temperature on Toxicity of Deltamethrin and Oxygen Consumption by Porcellio scaber Latr (Isopoda). Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 89, 960–965. doi:10.1007/s00128-012-0814-5
- Weaving, A., Griffiths, P. M., and Llewellyn, C. (2003). Field Guide to Insects of South Africa. New South Wales: New Holland Publishers, Ltd. ISBN 1-86872-713-0.
- WHO (1970). Instructions for Determining the Susceptibility or Resistanceof Cockroaches to Insecticides. Geneva: World Health Organization, 130–133. Technical Report Series No. 443.
- Yadav, K., Rabha, B., Dhiman, S., and Veer, V. (2015). Multi-insecticide Susceptibility Evaluation of Dengue Vectors Stegomyia Albopicta and St. Aegypti in Assam, India. Parasites Vectors 8, 143. doi:10.1186/s13071-015-0754-0
- Zhu, F., Lavine, L., O'Neal, S., Lavine, M., Foss, C., and Walsh, D. (2016). Insecticide Resistance and Management Strategies in Urban Ecosystems. *Insects* 7 (1), 2. doi:10.3390/insects7010002

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Dhiman, Yadav, Acharya, Nagar and Rao Ghorpade. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.