

MYB and bHLH transcription factor transgenes increase [anthocyanin pigmentation in petunia and lisianthus plants,](http://www.frontiersin.org/Journal/10.3389/fpls.2014.00603/abstract) and the petunia phenotypes are strongly enhanced under field conditions

*[Kathy E. Schwinn1](http://community.frontiersin.org/people/u/31104), [Murray R. Boase1](http://community.frontiersin.org/people/u/189684), J. Marie Bradley2 , David H. Lewis1, [Simon C. Deroles1](http://community.frontiersin.org/people/u/191474), [Cathie R. Martin](http://community.frontiersin.org/people/u/26477)3 and [Kevin M. Davies1](http://community.frontiersin.org/people/u/26041)**

¹ New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Limited, Palmerston North, New Zealand

² New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Limited, Wellington, New Zealand

³ John Innes Centre, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, UK

Edited by:

Stefan Martens, Edmund Mach Foundation, Italy

Reviewed by:

Kathrin Schrick, Kansas State University, USA Brian Traw, University of Pittsburgh, USA

**Correspondence:*

Kevin M. Davies, New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research Limited, Private Bag 11-600, Palmerston North, New Zealand e-mail: kevin.davies@plantandfood. co.nz

Petunia line Mitchell [MP, Petunia axillaris \times (P. axillaris \times P. hybrida)] and Eustoma grandiflorum (lisianthus) plants were produced containing a transgene for over-expression of the R2R3-MYB transcription factor [TF; ROSEA1 (ROS1)] that up-regulates flavonoid biosynthesis in Antirrhinum majus. The petunia lines were also crossed with previously produced MP lines containing a Zea mays flavonoid-related basic helix-loop-helix TF transgene (LEAF COLOR, LC), which induces strong vegetative pigmentation when these 35S:LC plants are exposed to high-light levels. 35S:ROS1 lisianthus transgenics had limited changes in anthocyanin pigmentation, specifically, precocious pigmentation of flower petals and increased pigmentation of sepals. RNA transcript levels for two anthocyanin biosynthetic genes, *chalcone synthase* and *anthocyanidin synthase*, were increased in the 35S:ROS1 lisianthus petals compared to those of control lines. With MP, the 35S:ROS1 calli showed novel red pigmentation in culture, but this was generally not seen in tissue culture plantlets regenerated from the calli or young plants transferred to soil in the greenhouse. Anthocyanin pigmentation was enhanced in the stems of mature 35S:ROS1 MP plants, but the MP white-flower phenotype was not complemented. Progeny from a $35S:ROS1 \times 35S:LC$ cross had novel pigmentation phenotypes that were not present in either parental line or MP. In particular, there was increased pigment in the petal throat region, and the anthers changed from yellow to purple pigmentation. An outdoor field trial was conducted with the 35S:ROS1, 35S:LC, 35S:ROS1 \times 35S:LC and control MP lines. Field conditions rapidly induced intense foliage pigmentation in 35S:LC plants, a phenotype not observed in control MP or equivalent 35S:LC plants maintained in a greenhouse. No difference in plant stature, seed germination, or plant survival was observed between transgenic and control plants.

Keywords: GMO, petunia, pigment, flavonoid, *Eustoma***, transgenic, field trial**

INTRODUCTION

Anthocyanins are a major group of pigments involved in a large range of plant functions. They are also key to the consumer appeal of many ornamental, fruit and vegetable products, and are of increasing interest to researchers for their possible dietary benefits to human health [\(Gould et al.](#page-10-0), [2008;](#page-10-0) [Martin et al.](#page-10-0), [2011;](#page-10-0) [Davies and Espley, 2013](#page-9-0)). Their biosynthesis, as part of the larger phenylpropanoid pathway, is well characterized at both the biochemical and molecular level [\(Grotewold, 2006](#page-10-0)). In addition to DNA sequences being available for most of the biosynthetic enzymes, sequences have also been identified from several species for proteins that regulate the biosynthetic gene transcription [\(Grotewold](#page-10-0), [2006;](#page-10-0) [Feller et al., 2011;](#page-10-0) [Hichri et al., 2011](#page-10-0)[;](#page-9-0) Davies et al., [2012\)](#page-9-0). Of particular note are R2R3-MYB and basic helixloop-helix (bHLH) type transcription factors (TFs) that form a complex with a WD-Repeat protein (the "MBW" complex) to activate the biosynthetic genes. This co-action of R2R3-MYB and bHLH proteins in regulating anthocyanin biosynthesis has been consistently found to occur in a range of monocot and eudicot species. Based on mutant analyses, in eudicots, the anthocyanin-related TFs are principally involved in activating a subset of the anthocyanin biosynthetic genes, the late biosynthetic genes (LBGs), with other R2R3MYBs being the principal regulators of the early biosynthetic genes (EBGs; [Grotewold, 2006;](#page-10-0) [Feller et al., 2011](#page-10-0)).

The availability of anthocyanin-related TF genes has allowed their use to modify the amount and spatial distribution of anthocyanins produced in transgenic plants and cell lines of several species [\(Schwinn and Davies](#page-10-0), [2006;](#page-10-0) [Hichri et al., 2011](#page-10-0); Dixon et al., [2013\)](#page-10-0). Indeed, TF genes have increasing become the focus of biotechnology approaches to altering plant metabolism, as they can coordinately regulate several biosynthetic genes, overcoming

the need to identify a rate-limiting step or introduce multiple transgenes for biosynthetic enzymes. Despite the successes with anthocyanin TF transgenes, there are also cases where no phenotypi[c change has been induced in the transgenic plants \(](#page-9-0)Bradley et al., [1999](#page-9-0)), or where the pigmented phenotype is only observed under stress conditions of high-light or cold [\(Goldsbrough et al.,](#page-10-0) [1996;](#page-10-0) [Ray et al.](#page-10-0), [2003;](#page-10-0) [Albert et al., 2009](#page-9-0); [Rowan et al., 2009](#page-10-0)). This suggests environmental induced changes in the action of endogenous regulatory partners of the TF transgene product are required for effective activation of the anthocyanin pathway. Moreover, some flavonoid related R2R3-MYB transgenes have induced pleiotropic changes in the transgenic plants. For example, tomato plants over-expressing the grapevine VvMYB5a and VvMYB5b had not only modified flavonoid production but also dwarfism and changes in chlorophyll and β-carotene production [\(Mahjoub et al.](#page-10-0), [2009](#page-10-0)).

Petunia is an established model species for understanding the regulation of anthocyanin production and its modification in transgenic plants. *Petunia* has specific vegetative and floral anthocyanin pigmentation patterns that are determined by the expression patterns of individual R2R3-MYB and bHLH activators [\(Quattrocchio et al., 1998](#page-10-0), [1999](#page-10-0); [Albert et al.](#page-9-0), [2011](#page-9-0), [2014](#page-9-0)) and R3-MYB and R2R3-MYBs with a repressive action on anthocyanin biosynthesis [\(Albert et al., 2014](#page-9-0)). Over-expression of the maize bHLH *Leaf color* (*35S:LC*) in the petunia line Mitchell (MP, which contains a mutation in the main petal R2R3-MYB activator, *an2*) enhanced light-induced vegetative and floral pigmentation phenotypes [\(Albert et al., 2011](#page-9-0)). In particular high anthocyanin levels, producing dark colored leaves, were generated in *35S:LC* MP plants grown under high-light conditions in growth chambers. However, over-expression of two endogenous R2R3-MYB activators, DEEP PURPLE (DPL) and PURPLE HAZE (PHZ), generated MP lines that accumulated high levels of anthocyanins in their leaves under standard greenhouse conditions, without the high-light requirement of *35S:LC* plants. [Albert et al.](#page-9-0) [\(2014](#page-9-0)) showed that the expression of the *LC* transgene did not change with light conditions, but transcripts for DPL and PHZ increased in abundance while those for the R2R3-MYB repressor MYB27 decreased upon high-light treatment. These TF transgenic lines have proven very useful in elucidating the interactions of the MBW components in the regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis [\(Albert et al., 2014](#page-9-0)).

In *Antirrhinum majus* (antirrhinum), the *Rosea1* (*ROS1*), *Rosea2,* and *Venosa* genes encode R2R3-MYB TFs that regulate anthocyanin pigmentation of the flowers, in conjunction with the bHLH factors DELILA and MUTABILIS [\(Goodrich et al.](#page-10-0), [1992;](#page-10-0) [Schwinn et al., 2006;](#page-10-0) [Shang et al.](#page-10-0), [2011\)](#page-10-0). *ROS1* is required for wildtype levels of pigmentation in the flowers and vegetative parts, while *Rosea2* and *Venosa* determine specific pigmentation patterns, for example venation [\(Schwinn et al., 2006;](#page-10-0) [Shang et al.,](#page-10-0) [2011\)](#page-10-0).

In the study reported here the effect of introducing a transgene for production of ROS1 into the heterologous ornamental species petunia and lisianthus (*Eustoma grandiflorum*) has been examined. These species belong to two Asterid orders, the Solanales (petunia) and Gentianales (lisianthus), that contain many economically important ornamental species and that are generally placed next to each other in phylogenetic trees. While many studies have been conducted on the effects of anthocyanin-related TF transgenes in Solanales species, studies are lacking for any Gentianales species. The plants studied include R2R3-MYB/bHLH double transgenic petunias, generated by crossing the *35S:ROS1* lines with the *35S:LC* lines characterized by [Albert et al.](#page-9-0) [\(2009,](#page-9-0) [2011,](#page-9-0) [2014](#page-9-0)). The influence of the environment on the phenotypes of the *35S:LC*, *35S:ROS1*, and *35S:LC*/*35S:ROS1* petunia lines was assessed by comparison of plants in an outdoor field trial to those in standard greenhouse conditions. As flavonoids have multiple roles in plants, including influences on auxin transport [\(Peer et al.](#page-10-0), [2011;](#page-10-0) [Cheynier et al.](#page-9-0), [2013](#page-9-0)), changes in the pathway activity may alter general plant performance, as observed in tomato [\(Mahjoub et al.,](#page-10-0) [2009](#page-10-0)). Moreover, anthocyanin-based vegetative pigmentation has been postulated to help to improve the general stress tolerance of leaves [\(Gould et al.](#page-10-0), [2008](#page-10-0)), and petunia plants with increased anthocyanin levels in leaves showed increased light saturation and light compensation points without reducing the maximal photosynthetic assimilation rate [\(Albert et al., 2009\)](#page-9-0). Therefore, the transgenics were assessed not only for the changes in anthocyanin production, but also for seed germination rate, plant stature, and plant survival under field conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

PLANT MATERIAL AND GREENHOUSE CONDITIONS

The 'Mitchell' (W115) petunia line (MP) was obtained from Professor Richard Gardner at the University of Auckland, New Zealand, and is *Petunia axillaris* × (*P. axillaris* × *P. hybrida* cv. 'Rose of Heaven'; [Cornu and Farcy](#page-9-0), [1981](#page-9-0)). The *35S:LC* MP transgenic line is as described in [Bradley et al.](#page-9-0) [\(1998](#page-9-0)), and which was further characterized in [Albert et al.](#page-9-0) [\(2009,](#page-9-0) [2011,](#page-9-0) [2014](#page-9-0)). The origin of the purple-flowered lisianthus cv. 54 is described in [Davies et al.](#page-9-0) [\(1993\)](#page-9-0), and further characterized in [Nielsen et al.](#page-10-0) [\(2002\)](#page-10-0). Flower development in lisianthus cv. 54 is divided into six stages: stage 1 – bud less than 1.5 cm in length; stage 2 – bud length 1.5–2.5 cm; stage 3 – bud length greater than 2.5 cm, petals tightly furled; stage 4 – petals starting to unfurl, anthocyanin first present in the petal tips; stage 5 – flowers opening, petals colored throughout; stage 6 – flowers fully open with maximum anthocyanin levels [\(Davies et al.,](#page-9-0) [1993](#page-9-0)). Plants were grown under standard greenhouse conditions in Palmerston North, New Zealand. The greenhouse was heated at 15◦C and vented at 25◦C, without supplementary lighting. Plant color phenotypes were assed using a Minolta CR-200 tristimulus colorimeter with a D65 light [\(Lewis et al.](#page-10-0), [1998](#page-10-0)). The colorimeter readings were compared by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), calculated with Genstat software. Least significant difference (LSD) values are presented to allow comparison of calculated means.

BINARY VECTOR CONSTRUCTION

The full-length *ROS1* cDNA was ligated into the *Kpn*I site of pART7 [\(Gleave](#page-10-0), [1992\)](#page-10-0) in the 'sense' orientation between the 35SCaMV promoter and the octopine synthase (OCS) terminator sequence, to form pLN81. The *35S:ROS1:OCS* cassette was taken as a *Not*I fragment then ligated into the *Not*I site of pART27 [\(Gleave](#page-10-0), [1992](#page-10-0)), which contains a

kanamycin selectable marker, resulting in the binary vector pLN83. DNA sequencing confirmed the integrity of the T-DNA region.

Agrobacterium tumefaciens **MEDIATED TRANSFORMATION OF MP AND LISIANTHUS**

Generation [of transgenic MP plants used the method of](#page-10-0) Deroles and Gardner [\(1988\)](#page-10-0), based on co-cultivation of leaf disks with the disarmed *[Agrobacterium tumefaciens](#page-10-0)* strain LBA4404 (Hoekema et al., [1983\)](#page-10-0). Generation of transgenic lisianthus plants was by the method of [Ledger et al.](#page-10-0) [\(1997](#page-10-0)), based on co-cultivation of leaf disks with the virulent *A. tumefaciens* strain A722, a C58 derivative cured of pTiC58 and containing the octopine pTiA6NC [\(Garfinkel and Nester, 1980](#page-10-0)). The leaf disks for both species were from young leaf tissue from clonal plants that were greenhouse grown.

FIELD TRIAL REGULATORY PROCESS, CONDITIONS AND LOCATION

Approval to conduct a field trial with six transgenic lines and two non-transgenic control lines of MP (to a total of 160 plants) was gra[nted](#page-10-0) [under](#page-10-0) [Section](#page-10-0) [39\(1b\)](#page-10-0) [of](#page-10-0) [the](#page-10-0) New Zealand Government [\(1996\)](#page-10-0) by the GMO Special Committee of the New Zealand Environmental Risk Management Authority. The trial approval required that no open flowers were allowed to form on the transgenic plants, precluding assessment of pollen transfer from transgenic to neighboring non-transgenic lines and the study of plant lines modified for altered flower color. Thus, the plant lines included in the field trial were those modified for vegetative phenotypes and an appropriate control line (**Table 1**; **[Figure 1](#page-3-0)**). The plants were grown from seed, germinated under standard commercial conditions in either open seed trays or cell trays. Once seedlings were well established they were grown on in pots prior to field planting. The field test was located near Palmerston North, New Zealand, and was planted on November 22nd 1999 and grown for 3 months until destruction on February 22nd 2000.

RNA AND DNA EXTRACTION AND ANALYSIS

RNA was isolated using either the RNeasy Mini Kit (Bio-strategy, Auckland, New Zealand) or TRIzol Reagent protocol (Life Technologies, Auckland, New Zealand). DNA was isolated using the Boehringer Plant DNA Isolation Kit (Roche, Auckland, New Zealand). Northern RNA analysis was as described in Deroles

Table 1 | Plant lines used in the field trial.

et al. [\(1998](#page-10-0)). Final wash stringency was 65◦C and 0.1 × SSC/0.1% SDS. Probes were cDNA inserts for *ROS1* (antirrhinum), anthocyanidin synthase (*ANS*) and chalcone synthase-A (*CHS*) from *P. hybrida*, and CHS and ANS from lisianthus. RNA was quantified using UV-spectrophotometry and equivalent RNA loadings confirmed using ethidium bromide staining of RNA in the gels and hybridization of the northern membranes with a cDNA for a 25/26S rRNA from *Asparagus officinalis*. For Southern analysis, 30 μg genomic DNA was digested for several hours at 37◦C in a 50 μL volume with either *Asp*718 (which releases the fulllength *ROS1* cDNA) to check for intact T-DNA copies or *Eco*RI (which generates T-DNA junction fragments) to assay T-DNA copy number. Hybridization was as in [Deroles et al.](#page-10-0) [\(1998\)](#page-10-0), with a final wash stringency was 65° C and $0.1 \times$ SSC/0.1% SDS. The analysis included lambda *Hind*III DNA for size markers and the *Asp*718 full-length *ROS1* cDNA fragment as a positive control.

RESULTS

PHENOTYPES OF KANAMYCIN-RESISTANT *35S:ROS1* **LISIANTHUS CALLI, SHOOTS AND PLANTS IN CULTURE**

Approximately 160 independent shoots were harvested from lisianthus leaf pieces inoculated with *A. tumefaciens* containing the *35S:ROS1* vector. The putative transgenic tissue did not show any differing phenotypes in culture than culture lines derived from transformation with non-anthocyanin related transgenes (data not shown).

PHENOTYPES OF MATURE *35S:ROS1* **LISIANTHUS TRANSGENICS**

Fourteen independent kanamycin-resistant lisianthus lines of cv. 54 were transferred from culture into the greenhouse and grown to maturity. Three lines had no phenotype changes compared to non-transgenic lines, but nine lines exhibited temporal, spatial and quantitative changes in anthocyanin pigmentation. In cv. 54, petal anthocyanin coloration begins at stage 4 when the peta[ls are nearly fully expanded and starting to unfurl \(](#page-9-0)Davies et al., [1993\)](#page-9-0). It begins in the tips of the petals, and increases down throughout the inner epidermis and the outer epidermis of the rest of the petal during flower development (**[Figure 1A](#page-3-0)**). The petals also have a dark 'eye' (**[Figure 1A](#page-3-0)**), which commences pigmentation at the same stage. In some of the *35S:ROS1* transgenics petal anthocyanin pigmentation started at stage 2, including in the eye, and was first apparent in the lower part of the petal

¹see [Bradley et al.](#page-9-0) [\(1998\)](#page-9-0). ND, not determined.

rather than at the tips (**Figures 1A,B**). Petal tissue that was covered by the sepals had less visible pigmentation than the petal tissue fully exposed to the light (**Figure 1C**). Transgenic line 54-14 showed the strongest phenotype, followed by 54-111, while other lines, such as 54-11 and 54-15, had much weaker phenotypes. Examples of both types were included in the further molecular analysis.

The pigmentation that developed in the early bud stages of the *35S:ROS1* transgenics was redder than the pigmentation that occurred later in development or the pigmentation that developed in control flowers (**Figure 1A**). As buds matured from stage 4 to 6, further pigmentation appeared to develop normally, and in mature flowers, color and intensity of pigmentation was similar to flowers from untransformed plants (**Figure 1A**). Changes also occurred in sepal pigmentation. Normally in cv. 54, anthocyanins are readily visible only in the sepal tips, however, in transgenic with altered petal pigmentation anthocyanins were also clearly visible in the sepal bases (**Figure 1B**).

SOUTHERN DNA ANALYSIS OF *35S:ROS1* **LISIANTHUS TRANSGENICS**

Southern DNA analysis detected *ROS1*-hybridizing fragments of the size expected for the *35S:ROS1* T-DNA in all the kanamycinresistant lisianthus lines transferred to the greenhouse (**[Figure 2A](#page-4-0)**).

ROS1 EXPRESSION IN *35S:ROS1* **LISIANTHUS TRANSGENICS**

Northern analysis using the *ROS1* cDNA as a probe showed transcript of the expected size in RNA from petal tissue of all the *35S:ROS1* lisianthus lines (**[Figure 2B](#page-4-0)**). However, *ROS1* transcript abundance was highest in RNA from lines displaying the pigmentation phenotype, such as 54-14, and much lower in those with weak phenotypes, such as 54-11 and 54-15 (**[Figure 2B](#page-4-0)**). *ROS1* transcript abundance was similar in RNA samples from stages 1, 3, or 5 and was also detected in leaf RNA samples (**[Figure 2C](#page-4-0)**).

ANTHOCYANIN BIOSYNTHETIC GENE EXPRESSION IN *35S:ROS1* **LISIANTHUS TRANSGENICS**

Transcript levels for two representative anthocyanin biosynthetic genes, the EBG *CHS* and the LBG *ANS*, were analyzed in RNA from stage three petal tissue of two lines with a strong phenotype and high expression of *ROS1* (54-14 and 54-111), one line with no phenotype and low *ROS1* expression (54-11), and one non-transgenic control (**[Figure 3A](#page-4-0)**). Petal *CHS* transcript levels were elevated in all three transgenics compared to the controls. *ANS* transcript was not detected in the control lines or 54-11 but was readily detected in lines 54-14 and 54-111. Line 54-14, which has the strongest anthocyanin phenotype, had the highest *ANS* transcript levels. *CHS* and *ANS* transcript levels in line 54-14 showed marked variation over the period of petal development (**[Figure 3B](#page-4-0)**). *CHS* transcript was most abundant in stage one RNA samples, while *ANS* transcript abundance increased from stage one through to stage five.

PHENOTYPES OF *IN VITRO* **KANAMYCIN-RESISTANT** *35S:ROS1* **MP TISSUE**

Approximately 200 shoots or calli representing independently transformed loci were dissected from MP leaf disks inoculated with *A. tumefaciens* containing a *35S:ROS1* vector, and allowed to proliferate. The first phenotype observed was purple pigmentation of some calli (**[Figure 4A](#page-5-0)**), a phenotype not observed in calli derived from untransformed MP or MP transformed with nonanthocyanin related transgenes (**[Figure 4A](#page-5-0)** and data not shown). Pigmentation of calli was restricted to the outer layer(s) of cells, and was predominantly on the top portions of the calli, which were not embedded in the medium. Both un-pigmented and pigmented shoots arose from the callus, and pigmentation was also observed sporadically in leaves and stems of some plantlets (**[Figures 4B,C](#page-5-0)**).

PHENOTYPES OF MATURE *35S:ROS1* **MP TRANSGENICS**

Fifty-eight independent kanamycin-resistant *35S:ROS1* MP lines were transferred to the greenhouse and grown to flowering. No pigmentation phenotype was observed when the plants were young, but anthocyanin pigmentation was enhanced in the stems of mature plants compared to non-transgenic MP (**[Figures 4D–F](#page-5-0)**). However, none of the lines showed complementation of the MP white-flower phenotype (**[Figures 4G,H](#page-5-0)**). The increase in pigmentation was most notable in the main stem at the base of the plant, but also occurred in the lower regions of branching stems toward the base of the plant and in the senescing leaves (**[Figure 4I](#page-5-0)**).

FIGURE 2 | Analysis of *35S:ROS1* **plants for the presence and**

expression of the transgene. (A) Southern hybridization of 35S:ROS1 lisianthus plants using radiolabelled ROS1 cDNA as the probe. Thirty μg of genomic DNA was digested with the restriction enzyme Asp718 to release the ROS1 fragment from the T-DNA. The control (CON) lane contained pLN81-derived DNA and shows the expected fragment from an Asp718 digest. The lane labeled '54' contains genomic DNA from a non-transgenic lisianthus cv. 54 plant. **(B)** Northern hybridization analysis of ROS1 transcript abundance in petal RNA of a non-transgenic control plant (54) and four lines of 35S:ROS1 lisianthus (14, 11, 15, 16). **(C)** Northern hybridization analysis of ROS1 transcript abundance in sepal, leaf, and three petal stages (Stage 1,3 and 5) of 35S:ROS1 lisianthus line 54-14 and petal RNA of a non-transgenic control plant (54). In both **(B)** and **(C)** 20 μg of total RNA was hybridized with radiolabelled ROS1 cDNA or an rRNA cDNA (for an estimation of RNA loading) as the probe. **(D)** Southern hybridization of 35S:ROS1 MP petunia plants using radiolabelled ROS1 cDNA as the probe. Thirty μ g of genomic DNA was digested with the restriction enzyme Asp718 to release the ROS1 fragment from the T-DNA. The control (CON) lane contained pLN81-derived DNA and shows the expected fragment from an Asp718 digest. The lane labeled 'MP' contains genomic DNA from a non-transgenic MP plant. **(E)** Northern hybridization analysis of ROS1 transcript abundance in total RNA (10 μ q) from petals of seven 35S:ROS1 MP plants and a non-transgenic control (MP). RNA was hybridized with radiolabelled ROS1 cDNA as the probe. **(F)** Northern hybridization analysis of ROS1 transcript abundance in total RNA from stem (25 μg) or petal (30 μ g) RNA from 35S:ROS1 lines MP224 and MP231 and a non-transgenic control (MP).

Detailed analysis of the *35S:ROS1* MP focused on lines MP19, MP110, MP27, MP222, MP224, MP230, and MP231. This group displayed varying levels of stem pigmentation; lines MP110, MP19, and MP231 had strong pigmentation, MP230 had moderate pigmentation, and MP27, MP224, and MP222 had weak pigmentation. Line MP27, which was thought to lack an intact *35S:ROS1* transgene had the least pigmentation.

SOUTHERN DNA ANALYSIS OF *35S:ROS1* **MP TRANSGENICS**

Southern DNA analysis was used to determine whether the transformants contained intact copies of the *35S:ROS1* transgene. Seven independent transgenic lines from the 58 that were transferred from culture into the greenhouse were selected for Southern analysis. Six of the seven lines showed bands on a Southern corresponding to intact copies of the *35S:ROS1* transgene (**Figure 2D**), but no transgene was detected in line MP27.

ROS1 EXPRESSION IN *35S:ROS1* **MP TRANSGENICS**

Northern analysis using the *ROS1* cDNA as a probe showed transcript of the expected size in RNA from petal tissue of lines MP231, MP110, MP230 19 and 224 (**Figure 2E**). Two transcripts were found, with the larger of the two main transcripts likely to be due to alternative transcription termination. *ROS1* transcript was detected in both pigmented (stem) and un-pigmented (petal) tissue (**Figure 2F**).

FIGURE 4 | Phenotypes of *35S:ROS1* **MP transgenics. (A)** Comparison of non-transgenic (left) and kanamycin-resistant 35S:ROS1 (right) callus in in vitro culture. **(B)** Close up of the stem tissue of a kanamycin-resistant 35S:ROS1 plantlet in culture. **(C)** Leaves from non-transgenic (top) and 35S:ROS1 (bottom) plants. **(D)** Close up of the base of a non-transgenic. **(E)** Close up of the base of 35S:ROS1 transgenic MP231, showing the pigmented stem tissue. **(F)** A stem from 35S:ROS1 transgenic MP231 with the outer cell layers removed, showing the lack of pigmentation in the central stem tissues. **(G)** A bud (top) and flower (bottom, scale bar $= 2$ cm) of a non-transgenic MP plant. **(H)** A bud (top) and flower (bottom, scale bar = 2 cm) from 35S:ROS1 transgenic MP224. **(I)** Examples of senesced leaves, of a similar developmental age, from a non-transgenic MP (top) and transgenic line MP110 (bottom).

ANTHOCYANIN BIOSYNTHETIC GENE EXPRESSION IN *35S:ROS1* **MP TRANSGENICS**

Induced changes in transcript abundance for CHS and ANS were examined using RNA from both pigmented (stem) and un-pigmented (petal) tissue of two *35S:ROS1* transgenic lines, and from non-transgenic MP (**Figure 5**). Transcript levels for ANS and CHS in *35S:ROS1* stem RNA samples were higher than for non-transgenics, with ANS showing a more marked increase than CHS (**Figure 5**). In RNA from unpigmented petal tissue, *CHS* transcript levels showed no marked change and *ANS* transcript levels were only weakly elevated.

biosynthesis genes CHS and ANS in *35S:ROS1* **(MP224 and MP231) and non-transgenic (MP) petunia lines**. Twenty (CHS) or 50 (ANS) μg of total RNA from stems and petals of transgenic lines was hybridized in northern RNA analysis with radiolabelled CHS, ANS or rRNA cDNA probes.

PHENOTYPES OF MP TRANSGENICS CONTAINING BOTH MYB AND bHLH TRANSGENES

Individuals of two primary transformants with strong stem pigmentation (MP110 and/or MP231) were self-pollinated or crossed to MP lines containing a *35S:LC* transgene [\(Bradley et al.,](#page-9-0) [1998](#page-9-0); [Albert et al., 2009,](#page-9-0) [2011,](#page-9-0) [2014](#page-9-0)). Some progeny from selfed *35S:ROS1* or *35S:ROS1* × *35S:LC* showed possible enhanced stem pigmentation compared to the primary transformants, but as the plants were not grown under controlled environment conditions this was not considered as definitive. However, clear novel phenotypes did occur in the progeny from the *35S:ROS1* × *35S:LC* cross that were not present in either parental line or control MP. In particular, there was increased pigment in the petal throat (**[Figure 6C](#page-6-0)**), and the anthers, which are yellow in MP and *35S:LC* lines, had purple pigmentation (**[Figure 6A](#page-6-0)**). The pollen were not pigmented (**[Figure 6B](#page-6-0)**). There were also variations in the leaf pigmentation phenotype normally observed for the *35S:LC* parental line, most notably an absence of pigmentation from the veins of the leaf blade in some progeny (compare **[Figures 6D,E](#page-6-0)** to **[Figure 6F](#page-6-0)**).

COMPARISON OF MP TRANSGENICS GROWN IN OUTDOOR OR GREENHOUSE CONDITIONS

As environmental conditions have been shown to influence pigmentation phenotypes of plants containing anthocyanin-related TF transgenes for various species, permission was obtained to grow the MP transgenics in the field in the summer season in New Zealand. This also allowed the affect of the TFs on general plant performance to be assessed outside of the artificial conditions of pot-grown plants in a greenhouse. As field trial conditions required that the plants were not allowed to release pollen, only the vegetative phenotypes were recorded in the field.

A minimum of 20 plants each for *35S:ROS1*, *35S:LC* and *35S:ROS1* × *35S:LC* lines (**[Table 1](#page-2-0)**) were grown in the field, along with the control MP line. All plants were germinated from seed

in the greenhouse at the same time, in both cell trays and undivided seed trays. Germination frequencies were similar between transgenic and non-transgenic plants, with uniformly high rates of germination (**Table 2**). When the young plants were transferred to the field some of the same batch of plants were retained in the greenhouse and potted up into standard 150 mm pots. Survival rates over the 3 months of field growth were $> 75\%$ for all lines, except for 55% for seedlings from a $35S:LC \times MP$ back-cross (line 2).

The color of the plant lines was assessed visually (**[Table 3](#page-7-0)**), by comparison of tri-stimulus colorimeter readings (**[Table 4](#page-7-0)**), and recorded photographically. A colored vegetative phenotype was apparent in the greenhouse in some of the seedlings containing a *35S:LC* transgene, particularly among those plants germinated in cell trays (**[Figure 7](#page-7-0)**). This was consistent across all cell trays. However, this purple coloration decreased markedly after growth in individual pots prior to field planting. Once transferred to the field, individual plants containing the *35S:LC* transgene showed greatly increased anthocyanin pigmentation in leaf tissue, within 4 days of planting. Three distinct color phenotypes were present; greenleaved plants, purple-leaved and an intermediate, pale purple phenotype (**[Figures 7B,C](#page-7-0)**; **[Table 4](#page-7-0)**). The colored foliage phenotype was only observed with *35S:LC* plants, although weak stem pigmentation was observed with all plant lines toward the end of the trial period. The full purple color was clearly distinguishable using LCH tri-stimulus colorimeter readings, with a hue angle in the purple sector of the color wheel and reduced Lightness and Chroma value compared to the other phenotypes (**[Tables 4](#page-7-0)** and **5**). This full purple phenotype was only found in line 1 (seedlings from a *35S:LC* self-cross), and only in field-grown plants. The *35S:LC* transgenic plants retained under greenhouse conditions only developed weak foliage pigmentation (**[Figure 7D](#page-7-0)**; **[Table 5](#page-7-0)**). Line 1 gave all three phenotypes in the field while line 2 ($35S:LC \times MP$) produced only plants with the intermediate phenotype. To assess the colored anther phenotype of the *35S:ROS1* × *35S:LC* seedlings, flower buds were collected and allowed to develop in a containment laboratory. All flowers from non-transgenic, *35S:LC*, and *35S:ROS1* lines had yellow anthers. Twenty-one out of 33 flowers (64%) from the *35S:ROS1* × *35S:LC* seedlings had purple anthers.

Uniformity of growth was assessed visually prior to field planting. Seedlings in open seed trays grew quicker than seedlings in cell trays, as assessed visually (**[Figure 7A](#page-7-0)**). However, there was no obvious difference between plants of different lines in the field, and there was no significant difference in the mean height of the plants when measured after 3 months of field growth (**[Figure 8](#page-8-0)**).

DISCUSSION

Introduction of the *35S:ROS1* transgene into either lisianthus or MP resulted in an increase in the anthocyanin production of the transgenic plants, demonstrating that expression of heterologous anthocyanin-related R2R3-MYBs can affect spatial and temporal

Table 2 | Germination frequencies per plant line, assessed 14 days after sowing seed, and percentage of plants surviving at the end of the 3 month field trial.

Plant line	Total seedlings	Germination (%)	Plant survival	
$1 = 35S/LC \times$	94/100	94	20/25 (75%)	
$2 = 35S/LC \times MP$	94/101	93	20/29 (55%)	
$3 = 35S: LC \times 35S:ROS1$	93/100	93	20/24 (80%)	
$4 = 35S \cdot LC \times 35S \cdot ROS1$	101/102	99	20/23 (85%)	
$5 = 35S:ROS1 \times$	101/103	98	20/23 (85%)	
$6 = MP$ control	98/100	98	20/25 (75%)	

Plant line	Number of plants	Green		Purple Intermediate
$1 = 35S/LC \times$	15	5	4	6
$2 = 35S \cdot LC \times MP$	11	0	0	11
$3 = 35S \text{ } L\text{ } C \times 35S \text{ } ROS1$	16	3	0	13
$4 = 35S \cdot 1C \times 35S \cdot ROS1$	17	2	0	15
$5 = 35S \cdot ROS1 \times$	17	17	O	0
$6 = MP$ control	15	15	0	0

Table 4 |Tri-stimulus colorimeter readings of leaves from plants of transgenic Mitchell petunia Line 2 (*35S:LC* **× MP) exhibiting three color phenotypes.**

Lightness represents the proportion of total incident light that is reflected, Chroma is a measure of color intensity (in relative intensity units), and Hue angle relates color to a position on a color circle or wheel, with red-purple at 0◦, yellow at 90◦, blue-green at 180◦, and blue at 270◦. Multiple readings were taken from two independent plants for each data point, and the data presented are mean values. The LSD values were calculated at the 5% significance level, $df = 3$.

pigmentation patterns in these species. However, as observed with flavonoid-related R2R3-MYB or bHLH transgenes in other species, the phenotypes resulting varied markedly depending on plant species, organ, developmental age, or environmental conditions. In lisianthus, the *35S:ROS1* transgene induced additional pigmentation in the sepals and petals, but had no apparent affect on anthocyanin biosynthesis in callus or plantlets in culture, mature petals or vegetative parts. Both the spatial and temporal accumulation of anthocyanins was altered in sepals and petals. In petals, precocious pigmentation was induced. This novel pigmentation was confined to the lower half of the petals, while in non-transgenics the first pigmentation to appear is at the petal tips. The fact that most lisianthus cultivars have developmentally late petal pigmentation, with the buds being colorless, is considered a significant disadvantage for their use as cut flowers and ornamentals, and breeding programs have previously tried to introduce an early coloration trait to a wider variety of lisianthus germplasm [\(Oren-Shamir et al., 1999\)](#page-10-0). The additional pigmentation in sepals was also predominately at the sepal base. A basal pattern of anthocyanin accumulation is common in the floral organs of many species, and in at least some cases is under the control of R2R3- MYB genes [\(Albert et al.](#page-9-0), [2011;](#page-9-0) [Cooley et al.](#page-9-0), [2011\)](#page-9-0). The lack of change in mature petal or vegetative phenotypes was not due to the expression pattern of the *35S:ROS1* transgene, as transcript was detected in a range of flower stages and in leaves (**[Figure 2](#page-4-0)**).

The novel phenotypes observed with the *35S:ROS1* transgene are unlikely to be due to the transformation event itself, as opposed to the action of the *ROS1* gene product. Mitchell petunia is a

greenhouse or the field. (A) Seedlings in the greenhouse in individual cells in a cell tray (front) or in an open seed tray (rear). Note that the colored-foliage phenotype is more pronounced in the cell tray. **(B, C)** Mature plants in the field, showing dark purple (DP), intermediate (I) and green (G) phenotypes. **(D)** Leaves from plants of the same age planted in the field (left) or retained in the greenhouse (right). Scale bar represents 2 cm.

commonly used line for plant transformation studies, and the changes in phenotype observed here have not been observed when using a wid[e range of other transformation vectors \(e.g.,](#page-10-0) Deroles and Gardner[,1988](#page-10-0); [Davies et al.,2003;](#page-9-0) [Lewis et al.](#page-10-0),[2006](#page-10-0)). The same applies for lisianthus, as phenotypes similar to those found here have not been observed when transgenics of the same cultivars have been generated with either empty vector controls or transfor[mation](#page-10-0) [vectors](#page-10-0) [for](#page-10-0) [various](#page-10-0) [flavonoid](#page-10-0) [biosynthetic](#page-10-0) [genes](#page-10-0) [\(](#page-10-0)Ledger et al., [1997;](#page-10-0) [Schwinn et al.](#page-10-0), [1997](#page-10-0); [Deroles et al., 1998](#page-10-0); [Nielsen et al.,](#page-10-0) [2002](#page-10-0)).

No information is available on the endogenous regulators of the anthocyanin pathway in lisianthus, but the ability of ROS1 to induce anthocyanin biosynthetic gene expression suggests that, like other species studied to date, R2R3-MYB and bHLH factors control anthocyanin pigmentation in this species.

Table 5 |Tri-stimulus colorimeter readings of leaves from plants of Mitchell petunia (MP) or transgenic Line 1 (*35S:LC* **x) grown in a greenhouse or the field.**

Plants of the same age were either transplanted into an open field (FD) or retained in the greenhouse (GH). Readings were taken from five independent plants for each data point, and the data presented are mean values. The LSD values were calculated at the 5% significance level, $df = 16$.

The strong induction of the LBG *ANS*, and the association of this induction with enhanced pigmentation, supports the previous results from gene expression analysis that anthocyanin pigmentation in lisianthus flowers is normally controlled through the [regulation of the LBGs](#page-10-0) [\(Davies et al.](#page-9-0)[,](#page-10-0) [1993](#page-9-0)[;](#page-10-0) Oren-Shamir et al., [1999;](#page-10-0) [Noda et al., 2004\)](#page-10-0). The increased transcript levels for the EBG *CHS* in the *35S:ROS1* transgenics shows that *CHS* is also responsive, as a direct or indirect target, to R2R3- MYB factors. The EBGs are commonly principally activated by R2R[3-MYB factors that do not require a MBW complex \(](#page-10-0)Feller et al., [2011;](#page-10-0) [Cheynier et al., 2013\)](#page-9-0), however, it is possible they can also be regulated by the anthocyanin-related MBW complex. Based on the color of the precociously pigmented flowers of ROS1-lisianthus, cyanidin-derived anthocyanins accumulated at this stage, not the delphinidin-derived anthocyanins found in the mature petals. This indicates that the genes encoding the flavonoid 3'5' hydroxylase (F3'5'H) were not up-regulated by the *35S:ROS1* transgene, and matches the pigment types seen in line 54 transgenics containing an antisense *flavonol synthase* transgene that redirects flavonoid biosynthesis from flavonols to anthocyanins [\(Nielsen et al.](#page-10-0), [2002](#page-10-0)). Variability in the activation of F3 5 H genes by R2R3-MYB/bHLH transgenes has been found previously, with ROS1/DELILA but not LC/C1 activating the F3 5 H of tomato [\(Butelli et al., 2008](#page-9-0)). Although not effective in lisianthus or tomato, *35S:LC* was able to greatly increased transcript abundance for F3 5 H in MP transgenics [\(Bradley et al.,](#page-9-0) [1998\)](#page-9-0).

The R2R3-MYBs DPL and PHZ have previously been show to mediate light-activation of anthocyanin biosynthesis in flowers and vegetative tissues of petunia [\(Albert et al., 2009](#page-9-0), [2011](#page-9-0)). Over-expression of DPL or PHZ in MP resulted in strong anthocyanin pigmentation throughout leaves and stems, without the requirement for high-light levels [\(Albert et al.](#page-9-0), [2014\)](#page-9-0). However, this was not the case in the *35S:ROS1* MP. The main novel phenotypes in *35S:ROS1* MP were the surface pigmentation of some callus clumps and an increase in pigmentation of the outer layer(s) of stem tissue. Given that these are the areas exposed to light, it would be interesting to test whether high-light treatment of the transgenics would result in stronger phenotypes. In the case of GMYB10-containing tobacco lines, a significant pigmentation increase only occurred when plants were under high-light conditions [\(Elomaa et al., 2003](#page-10-0)). The role of light in regulating anthocyanin production in lisianthus is not known. However, the precocious anthocyanin biosynthesis induced in flowers of *35S:ROS1* lisianthus was much weaker in regions of the petal that were covered by the sepals (**[Figure 1](#page-3-0)**). This indicates that light may promote anthocyanin production in the petals, and that ROS1 over-expression was not able to overcome this effect. Collectively, the limited and very specific changes in pigmentation observed in *35S:ROS1* lisianthus suggest that anthocyanin biosynthesis in this species is under tight temporal and spatial control, and that even in the transgenics the regulation of the pathway remains primarily under the control of endogenous factors rather than being control by ROS1. For both MP and lisianthus, the specificity of pigmentation observed is unlikely to be due to specific expression patterns of the transgene (**[Figure 2](#page-4-0)**).

In MP, *ROS1* induced pigmentation through the regulation of both EBGs (*CHS*) and the LBGs (*ANS*), with a much more marked change seen for *ANS* transcript levels compared to *CHS* (**[Figure 5](#page-5-0)**). This is in line with the function of *ROS1* in antirrhinum, in which it is required for LBG activation and the pigmentation of stem and petal tissue [\(Schwinn et al.](#page-10-0), [2006](#page-10-0)). Although both *CHS* and *ANS* are expressed at significant levels in the petals of the MP transgenics (**[Figure 5](#page-5-0)**) the acyanic petal (due to *an2*) and anther (due to *an4*) phenotypes were not complemented. It was surprising *ROS1* was unable to complement the *an2* and *an4* mutations in MP, since these two loci encode homolog[ous anthocyanin-related R2R3-MYB factors \(](#page-10-0)Quattrocchio et al., [1998](#page-10-0), [1999;](#page-10-0) [Schwinn et al.](#page-10-0), [2006\)](#page-10-0). However, *35S:AN2* expression in MP (W115) also failed to fully complement the *an2* petal phenotype, producing a stem pigmentation phenotype similar to that of *35S:ROS1* but only a weak pigmentation phenotype in petals [\(Quattrocchio et al.](#page-10-0), [1999\)](#page-10-0). Neither was full petal pigmentation restored in the DPL and PHZ transgenics [\(Albert et al.,](#page-9-0) [2011](#page-9-0)). When the grapevine R2R3-MYBs VvMYBA1, VvMYB5a, and VvMYB5b were over-expressed in MP, VvMYB5b transgenics had some increase in petal anthocyanin production, but only VvMYBA1 showed significant complementation of the *an2* phenotype [\(Cavallini et al., 2014](#page-9-0)). In contrast, both the *35S:AN2* and *35S:VvMYBA1* fully complement *an2* in a different genetic background than MP (W115 \times W59; [Quattrocchio et al.](#page-10-0), [1999;](#page-10-0) [Cavallini et al.](#page-9-0), [2014\)](#page-9-0). Ectopic expression of AN2, DPL or PHZ also complemented the phenotype of the *an4* mutation in MP and pro[duced pigmented anthers](#page-9-0) [\(Quattrocchio et al.](#page-10-0)[,](#page-9-0) [1998](#page-10-0)[;](#page-9-0) Albert et al., [2011](#page-9-0)). Neither over-expression of ROS1 or LC alone resulted in pigmented anthers, but the $35S:ROS1 \times 35S:LC$ plants did have pigmented anthers, suggesting that alone, ROS1 and LC may not be able to function effectively with all the endogenous regulatory partners but that together they can activate additional biosynthetic gene promoters. Similarly, transient expression of *35S:ROS1* into *35S:LC* MP leaf pieces showed induction of

vegetative anthocyanin production (Albert et al., 2009). However, both ROS1 and LC must be able to interact with some endogenous MBW partners, as they do generate some increased pigmentation and analysis of mutant lines of many species has shown the requirement of the full MBW complex for activity.

The results show the large variations produced by both the genetic background of the host and the specific TF transgene used. Often transgenes for single TFs can enhance pigmentation in tissues already producing some pigment, while combinations of TF transgenes may result in *de novo* pigmentation. There is unlikely to be a single explanation to account for the variant patterns produced by the different TF transgenes in different species. It may be related to the availability of adequate precursors, the characteristics of the specific TF introduced, the presence or absence of interacting endogenous TFs, or characteristics of the endogenous TFs. The differences seen for the TF could relate to both varying promoter recognition of specific biosynthetic genes (e.g., F3 5 H, Butelli et al., 2008) and differences in hierarchical regulation of the TFs in different species (Albert et al., 2014). Interestingly, both LC (bHLH) and ROS1 (MYB) can induce pigmentation in leaves of MP (**[Figures 4](#page-5-0)** and **6**), and both in the same sub-epidermal cells, suggesting that simple models based on a specific MYB and/or bHLH being limiting are unlikely to apply. The more recent findings of the involvement of repressor TFs in the MBW complex may help in explaining such results [\(Yuan et al., 2013;](#page-10-0) Albert et al., 2014).

The transgenic petunia plant lines generally showed no difference in performance in the greenhouse or field, as measured by seed germination, plant survival or plant stature. The exception was one backcross line of *35S:LC* to MP, which had slightly reduced plant survival in the field. However, as this was not found for any other *35S:LC* lines, including inbreed lines, this is thought to be due to issues with that specific cross or field variation effects. In general, however, no pleiotropic affects such as those found with *35S:VvMYB5* [\(Mahjoub et al., 2009\)](#page-10-0) were observed from the *35S:LC* or *35S:ROS1* transgenes. There was also no observed gain in plant performance, as measured by the general character of plant stature. It has previously been shown that the *35S:LC* MP plants have increased light saturation and light compensation points (Albert et al., 2009), but this did not have a significant effect under the summer field conditions tested. Replication of the trial at different locations would be desirable to assess whether the lack of difference in overall plant performance between non-transgenic and transgenic lines was consistent under different climatic conditions. The clear phenotypic difference between the plants transferred to the field and those retained in the greenhouse was the induction of anthocyanin pigmentation in the foliage within 4 days of planting. The main environmental differences in the field compared to the greenhouse were higher light (including UV) levels and cooler night time temperatures (as the greenhouse was heated to maintain a minimum temperature of 15◦C). Albert et al. (2009) showed that exposing *35S:LC* MP plants to light levels above those normally found in a greenhouse could strongly promote anthocyanin production in the foliage, so that is likely the main environmental factor responsible for the anthocyanin induction in the field. However, temperatures below 10◦C have also been shown to promote anthocyanin biosynthesis in some species [\(Gould et al., 2008\)](#page-10-0), so it is possible that the more variable field temperatures could also contribute to increasing pigmentation.

Overall, the results support the potential application of anthocyanin-related TF transgenes for generating novel phenotypes in ornamental crop species. However, the results also highlight the requirement to identify specific TFs or recipient genetic backgrounds that will enable the generation of strong pigment phenotypes, and the need to conduct both greenhouse and field tests of the new plant germplasm.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Prof Susan Wessler for the LC cDNA.We are also grateful to Ian King, and Ray Rains (now deceased), for skilled care of the transgenic plants and the field trial plantings.

REFERENCES

- Albert, N. W., Davies, K. M., Lewis, D. H., Zhang, H., Montefiori, M., Brendolise, C., et al. (2014). A conserved network of transcriptional activators and repressors regulates anthocyanin pigmentation in eudicots. *Plant Cell* 26, 962–980. doi: 10.1105/tpc.113.122069
- Albert, N. W., Lewis, D. H., Zhang, H., Irving, L. J., Jameson, P. E., and Davies, K. M. (2009). Light-induced vegetative anthocyanin pigmentation in *Petunia*. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60, 2191–2202. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp097
- Albert, N. W., Lewis, D. H., Zhang, H., Schwinn, K. E., Jameson, P. E., and Davies, K. M. (2011). Members of an R2R3-MYB transcription factor family in *Petunia* are developmentally and environmentally regulated to control complex floral and vegetative pigmentation patterning. *Plant J.* 65, 771–784. doi: 10.1111/j.1365- 313X.2010.04465.x
- Bradley, J. M., Davies, K. M., Deroles, S. C., Bloor, S. J., and Lewis, D. H. (1998). The maize Lc regulatory gene up-regulates the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway of *Petunia*. *Plant J.* 13, 381–392. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1998.00031.x
- Bradley, J. M., Deroles, S. C., Boase, M. R., Bloor, S., Swinny, E., and Davies, K. M. (1999). Variation in the ability of the maize Lc regulatory gene to upregulate flavonoid biosynthesis in heterologous systems. *Plant Sci.* 140, 31–39. doi: 10.1016/S0168-9452(98)00200-3
- Butelli, E., Titta, L., Giorgio, M., Mock, H. P., Matros, A., Peterek, S., et al. (2008). Enrichment of tomato fruit with health-promoting anthocyanins by expression of select transcription factors. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 26, 1301–1308. doi: 10.1038/nbt.1506
- Cavallini, E., Zenoni, S., Finezzo, L., Guzzo, F., Zamboni, A., Avesani, L., et al. (2014). Functional diversification of grapevine MYB5a and MYB5b in the control of flavonoid biosynthesis in a petunia anthocyanin regulatory mutant. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 55, 517–534. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pct190
- Cheynier, V., Comte, G., Davies, K., Lattanzio, V., and Martens, S. (2013). Plant phenolics: Recent advances on their biosynthesis, genetics, and ecophysiology. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 72, 1–20. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2013.05.009
- Cooley, A. M., Modliszewski, J. L., Rommel, M. L., and Willis, J. H. (2011). Gene duplication in *Mimulus* underlies parallel floral evolution via independent transregulatory changes. *Curr. Biol.* 21, 700–704. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2011.03.028
- Cornu, A., and Farcy, E. (1981). Genotype of petunia Mitchell line. *Plant Mol. Biol. Newslett.* 2:58.
- Davies, K. M., Albert, N. W., and Schwinn, K. E. (2012). From landing lights to mimicry: the molecular regulation of flower colouration and mechanisms for pigmentation patterning. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 39, 619–638. doi: 10.1071/FP12195
- Davies, K. M., Bradley, J. M., Schwinn, K. E., Markham, K. R., and Podivinsky, E. (1993). Flavonoid biosynthesis in flower petals of five cultivars of lisianthus (*Eustoma grandiflorum* Grise)*. Plant Sci.* 95, 67–77. doi: 10.1016/0168- 9452(93)90080-J
- Davies, K. M., and Espley, R. V. (2013). Opportunities and challenges for the metabolic engineering of plant secondary metabolite pathways for improved human health characters in fruit and vegetable crops. *N. Z. J. Crop Hort. Sci.* 41, 154–177. doi: 10.1080/01140671.2013.793730
- Davies, K. M., Schwinn, K. E., Deroles, S. C., Manson, D. G., Lewis, D. H., Bloor, S. J., et al. (2003). Enhancing anthocyanin production by altering competition for

substrate between flavonol synthase and dihydroflavonol 4-reductase. *Euphytica* 131, 259–268. doi: 10.1023/A:1024018729349

- Deroles, S. C., Bradley, J. M., Schwinn, K. E., Markham, K. R., Bloor, S. J., Manson D. G., et al. (1998). An antisense chalcone synthase gene leads to novel flower patterns in lisianthus (*Eustoma grandiflorum*). *Mol. Breed.* 4, 59–66. doi: 10.1023/A:1009621903402
- Deroles, S. C., and Gardner, R. C. (1988). Expression and inheritance of kanamycin resistance in a large number of transgenic petunias generated by *Agrobacterium*mediated transformation. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 11, 355–364. doi: 10.1007/BF00027392
- Dixon, R. A., Liu, C., and Jun, J. H. (2013). Metabolic engineering of anthocyanins and condensed tannins in plants. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 24, 329–335. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2012.07.004
- Elomaa, P., Uimari, A., Mehto, M., Albert, V. A., Laitinen, R. A. E., and Teeri, T. H. (2003). Activation of anthocyanin biosynthesis in *Gerbera hybrida* (Asteraceae) suggests conserved protein-protein and protein-promoter interactions between the anciently diverged monocots and eudicots. *Plant Physiol.* 133, 1831–1842. doi: 10.1104/pp.103.026039
- Feller, A., Machemer, K., Braun, E. L., and Grotewold, E. (2011). Evolutionary and comparative analysis of MYB and bHLH plant transcription factors. *Plant J.* 66, 94–116. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2010.04459.x
- Garfinkel, D. J., and Nester, E. W. (1980). *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* mutants affected in crown gall tumorigenesis and octopine catabolism. *J. Bacteriol.* 144, 732–743.
- Gleave, A. P. (1992). A versatile binary vector system with a T-DNA organizational structure conducive to efficient integration of cloned DNA into the plant genome. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 20, 1203–1207. doi: 10.1007/BF00 028910
- Goldsbrough, A., Tong, Y., and Yoder, J. I. (1996). Lc as a non-destructive visual reporter and transposition excision marker gene for tomato. *Plant J.* 9, 927–933. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1996.9060927.x
- Goodrich, J., Carpenter, R., and Coen, E. S. (1992). A common gene regulates pigmentation pattern in diverse plant species. *Cell* 68, 955–964. doi: 10.1016/0092-8674(92)90038-E
- Gould, K. S., Davies, K., and Winefield, C. (eds). (2008). *Anthocyanins: Biosynthesis, Functions, and Applications*. New York: Springer.
- Grotewold, E. (2006). The genetics and biochemistry of floral pigments. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 57, 761–780. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.57.032905. 105248
- Hichri, I., Barrieu, F., Bogs, J., Kappel, C., Delrot, S., and Lauvergeat, V. (2011). Recent advances in the transcriptional regulation of the flavonoid biosynthetic pathway. *J. Exp. Bot.* 62, 2465–2483. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq442
- Hoekema, A., Hirsch, P. R., Hooykaas, P. J. J., and Schilperoort, R. A. (1983). A binary plant vector strategy based on the separation of the vir- and Tregion of the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* Ti-plasmid. *Nature* 303, 179–181. doi: 10.1038/303179a0
- Ledger, S. E., Deroles, S. C., Manson, D. G., Bradley, J. M., and Given, N. K. (1997). Transformation of lisianthus (*Eustoma grandiflorum*). *Plant Cell Rep.* 16, 853–858. doi: 10.1007/s002990050333
- Lewis, D., Bradley, J. M., Bloor, S., Swinny, E., Deroles, S.,Winefield, C., et al. (2006). Altering expression of the flavonoid 3 -hydroxylase gene modified flavonol ratios and pollen viability in transgenic 'Mitchell' *petunia* plants. *Funct. Plant Biol.* 33, 1141–1152. doi: 10.1071/FP06181
- Lewis, D. H., Bloor, S. J., and Schwinn, K. E. (1998). Flavonoid and carotenoid pigments in flower tissue of *Sandersonia aurantiaca* (Hook.)*. Sci. Hortic.* 72, 179–192. doi: 10.1016/S0304-4238(97)00124-6
- Mahjoub, A., Hernould, M., Joubes, J., Decendit, A., Mars, M., Barrieu, F., et al. (2009). Overexpression of a grapevine R2R3-MYB factor in tomato affects vegetative development, flower morphology and flavonoid and terpenoid metabolism. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 47, 551–561. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2009. 02.015
- Martin, C., Butelli, E., Petroni, K., and Tonelli, C. (2011). How can research on plants contribute to promoting human health? *Plant Cell* 23, 1685–1699. doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.083279
- New Zealand Government. (1996). *Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996*. Wellington: New Zealand Government.
- Nielsen, K., Deroles, S. C., Markham, K. R., Bradley, J. M., Podivinsky, E., and Manson, D. (2002). Antisense flavonol synthase alters copigmentation and

flower color in lisianthus. *Mol. Breed.* 9, 217–229. doi: 10.1023/A:1020320 809654

- Noda, A., Kanno, Y., Kato, N., Kazuma, K., and Suzuki, M. (2004). Regulation of gene expression involved in flavonol and anthocyanin biosynthesis during petal development in lisianthus (*Eustoma grandiflorum*). *Physiol. Plant.* 122, 305–313. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2004.00407.x
- Oren-Shamir, M., Shaked-Sachray, L., Nissim-Levi, A., and Ecker, R. (1999). Anthocyanin pigmentation of lisianthus flower petals. *Plant Sci.* 140, 81–86. doi: 10.1016/S0168-9452(98)00198-8
- Peer, W. A., Blakeslee, J. J., Yang, H. B., and Murphy, A. S. (2011). Seven things we think we know about auxin transport. *Mol. Plant* 4, 487–504. doi: 10.1093/mp/ssr034
- Quattrocchio, F., Wing, J., van der Woude, K., Souer, E., de Vetten, N., Mol, J., et al. (1999). Molecular analysis of the anthocyanin2 gene of p*etunia* and its role in the evolution of flower color. *Plant Cell* 11, 1433–1444. doi: 10.1105/tpc.11. 8.1433
- Quattrocchio, F., Wing, J. F., van der Woude, K., Mol, J. N. M., and Koes, R. (1998). Analysis of bHLH and MYB–domain proteins: Species–specific regulatory differences are caused by divergent evolution of target anthocyanin genes. *Plant J.* 13, 475–488. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1998.00046.x
- Ray, H., Yu, M., Auser, P., Blahut-Beatty L., McKersie, B., Bowley, S., et al. (2003). Expression of anthocyanins and proanthocyanidins after transformation of alfalfa with maize Lc. *Plant Physiol.* 132, 1448–1463. doi: 10.1104/pp.103.025361
- Rowan, D. D., Cao, M., Lin-Wang, K., Cooney, J. M., Jensen, D. J., Austin, P. T., et al. (2009). Environmental regulation of leaf colour in red 35S:PAP1 *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *New Phytol.* 182, 102–115. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02737.x
- Schwinn, K. E., and Davies, K. M. (2006). "Transcription factors and the engineering of secondary metabolism," in *Plant Genetic Engineering,* Vol. 8, *Metabolic Engineering and Molecular Farming-II,* eds P. K. Jaiwal and R. P. Singh (Houston, TX: Studium Press LLC), 267–309.
- Schwinn, K. E., Davies, K. M., Deroles, S. C., Markham, K., Miller, R. M., Bradley, M., et al. (1997). Expression of an *Antirrhinum majus* UDP-glucose:flavonoid-3-O-glucosyltransferase transgene alters flavonoid glycosylation and acylation in lisianthus (*Eustoma grandiflorum* Grise.)*. Plant Sci.* 125, 53–61. doi: 10.1016/S0168-9452(97)04624-4
- Schwinn, K. E., Venail, J., Shang, Y., Mackay, S., Alm, V., Butelli, E., et al. (2006). A small family of MYB-regulatory genes controls floral pigmentation intensity and patterning in the genus *Antirrhinum*. *Plant Cell* 18, 831–851. doi: 10.1105/tpc.105.039255
- Shang, Y., Venail, J., Mackay, S., Bailey, P. C., Schwinn, K. E., Jameson, P. E., et al. (2011). The molecular basis for venation patterning of pigmentation and its effect on pollinator attraction in flowers of *Antirrhinum*. *New Phytol.* 189, 602–615. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03498.x
- Yuan, Y. W., Sagawa, J. M., Young, R. C., Christensen, B. J., and Bradshaw, H. D. (2013). Genetic dissection of a major anthocyanin QTL contributing to pollinator-mediated reproductive isolation between sister species of *Mimulus*. *Genetics* 194, 255–263. doi: 10.1534/genetics.112.146852

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 04 August 2014; accepted: 16 October 2014; published online: 05 November 2014.

Citation: Schwinn KE, Boase MR, Bradley JM, Lewis DH, Deroles SC, Martin CR and Davies KM (2014) MYB and bHLH transcription factor transgenes increase anthocyanin pigmentation in petunia and lisianthus plants, and the petunia phenotypes are strongly enhanced under field conditions. Front. Plant Sci. 5:603. [doi:](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00603) [10.3389/fpls.2014.00603](http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00603)

This article was submitted to Plant Metabolism and Chemodiversity, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science.

Copyright © 2014 Schwinn, Boase, Bradley, Lewis, Deroles, Martin and Davies. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [License \(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.